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In this paper we present two new generic properties of $C^{1}$ area preserving diffeomorphisms of a compact oriented surface. We obtain a lower bound for the topological entropy of a generic diffeomorphism, and we show that such a diffeomorphism always has closed invariant sets with dense orbits and Hausdorff dimension two.

Before stating our results precisely, let us fix some notation and recall some definitions. Let $M$ be a $C^{\infty}$ compact, connected, orientable 2-manifold, and let $\omega$ be a $C^{\infty}$ area form on M. That is, $\omega$ is a nowhere vanishing differential 2-form of class $C^{\infty}$. Let $\operatorname{Diff}_{\omega}^{1}{ }^{M}$ denote the space of $C^{1}$ diffeomorphisms of $M$ which preserve $\omega$, and give $\operatorname{Diff}{ }_{\omega}^{1} M$ the uniform $C^{1}$ topology.

For $f$ in Diff $\omega_{\omega}^{1}$, a point $p \in M$ is periodic if $f^{n} p=p$ for some $n>0$. Let $\tau(p)=\inf \left\{n>0: f_{p}=p\right\}$. This is the period of $p$. The periodic point $p$ is hyperbolic if all eigenvalues of $T_{p} f^{\tau(p)}$ have norm different from one. In our case this means that $T_{p} f^{\tau(p)}$ has a single eigenvalue of norm bigger than one. Call this eigenvalue $\lambda(p)$. Let $n>0$ be a positive integer, and let Hyp $f$ denote the set of hyperbolic periodic points of $f$ with period less than or equal to $n$. Define $s_{n}(f)=\max \left\{\frac{1}{\tau(p)} \log |\lambda(p)|: p \in \operatorname{Hyp}_{n} f\right\}$, and set $s(f)=\sup _{n \geq 1} s_{n}(f)$.

Let $d$ be a topological metric on $M$. For $\varepsilon>0$, $n>0$, a $\overline{s e t} E \subset M$ is ( $n, \varepsilon$ )-separated if for any $x \neq y$ in $E$, there is a $0 \leq j<n$ such that $d\left(f^{j} x, f^{j} y\right)>\varepsilon$. Let $r(n, \varepsilon, f)$ be the maximal cardinality of an ( $n, \varepsilon$ )-separated set. The number $h(f)=\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup r(n, \varepsilon, f)$ is the topological entropy of $f$. It is a rough asymptotic measure of how much $f$ mixes up the points in M. For any $C^{1}$ diffeomorphism, $0 \leq h(f)<\infty$.

If $\Lambda \subset M$ is a closed f-invariant set, then $h(f \mid \Lambda)$ is defined similarly, and it is easy to see that $h(f \mid \Lambda) \leq h(f)$. Also, for any integer $n$,

$$
\mathrm{h}\left(\mathrm{f}^{\mathrm{n}} \mid \Lambda\right)=\ln \mid \mathrm{h}(\mathrm{f} \mid \Lambda), \text { and if } \phi: \Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda_{1}
$$

is a homeomorphism, then $h\left(\phi f \phi^{-1} \mid \Lambda_{1}\right)=h(f \mid \Lambda)$. For more properties of $h$ we refer to [2]. If $p$ is a hyperbolic periodic point of the diffeomorphism $f$ with orbit $o(p)$, we let $H(p, f)$ be the set of transverse homoclinic points of p. Thus $H(p, f)$ is the set of transverse intersections of $W^{u}(o(p), f)$ and $W^{s}(o(p), f)$ where $W^{u}(o(p), f)$ and $W^{s}(o(p), f)$ are the unstable and stable manifolds of the orbit $o(p)$. Then the closure $\overline{H(p, f)}$ of $H(p, f)$ is a closed f-invariant set on which $f$ has a dense orbit [4].

If $E$ is a closed subset of $M$ and $\alpha>0, \varepsilon>0$ are positive real numbers, let

$$
\begin{aligned}
H_{\varepsilon}^{\alpha}(E)=\inf \left\{\sum_{i}\left(\operatorname{diam} U_{i}\right)^{\alpha}:\left\{U_{i}\right\}\right. & \text { is a countable open covering of } E \text { each } \\
& \text { of whose elements has diameter less than } \varepsilon\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The Hausdorff $\alpha$-outer measure of $E$ is the number $H^{\alpha}(E)=\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} H_{\varepsilon}^{\alpha}(E)$. The Hausdorff dimension of $E$, denoted $H D(E)$, is the number

$$
\inf \left\{\alpha: H^{\alpha}(E)=0\right\}=\sup \left\{\beta: H^{\beta}(E)=\infty\right\} .
$$

If $\operatorname{dim} E$ is the topological dimension of $E$, then $H D(E) \geq \operatorname{dim} E$. Also, $m(E)>0$ implies $H D(E)=2$, but not conversely, where $m(E)$ is the Lebesgue measure of E .

A closed f-invariant set $\Lambda$ is hyperbolic if there are a continuous splitting $T_{\Lambda} M=E^{s} \oplus E^{\mathbf{u}}$, a Riemann norm $|\cdot|$, and a constant $0<\lambda<1$ such that $\operatorname{Tf}\left(E^{s}\right)=E^{s}, \operatorname{Tf}\left(E^{u}\right)=E^{u},|T f| E^{s} \mid<\lambda$, and $\left|T f^{-1}\right| E^{u} \mid<\lambda$. The hyperbolic set $\Lambda$ is a hyperbolic basic set if $f \mid \Lambda$ has a dense orbit and there is a compact neighborhood $U$ of $\Lambda$ such that $\bigcap_{-\infty<n<\infty} f^{n} U=\Lambda$. For $g C^{1}$ near $f$, there is a
hyperbolic basic set $\Lambda(g)=\bigcap_{-\infty<n<\infty} g^{n} U$ for $g$ such that $f \mid \Lambda(f)$ and $g \mid \Lambda(g)$ are topologically equivalent [3].

If $M$ is a hyperbolic set for $f$, then $f$ is called Anosov.

Theorem. There is a residual set $B \subset$ Diff $^{1} M$ such that if $\underline{f}$ is in $\underline{B}$, then each set $\overline{\underline{H(p, f)}}$ has Hausdorff dimension two. In addition, if $\underline{f}$ is in $\underline{B}$ and $\underline{f}$ is not Anosov, then
(*) $\quad \underline{h(f)} \geq s(f)$

Recall that a residual set is one which contains a countable intersection of dense open sets. Properties true for residual sets are called generic, and a generic diffeomorphism is defined to be an element of some residual set.

Remarks 1. For an Anosov diffeomorphism $f$, each $\overline{H(p, f)}=M$, so the first statement of our theorem is trivially true. On the other hand, it is easily seen that there are open sets of Anosov diffeomorphisms for which (*) fails. For instance, if $f$ is linear, then $h(f)=\log |\lambda(p)|$ where $f(p)=p$. However, with a small perturbation, we can increase the expansion at non-fixed periodic points to make (*) fail. With a bit more work one can show that (*) fails for an open dense set of Anosov diffeomorphisms. To see this, consider the function $\phi^{u}$ of Bowen and Ruelle [1]. We may suppose that $f$ is $C^{2}$, so Lebesgue measure is the unique equilibruim state for $\phi^{\mathbf{u}}$. Let $\mu$ be the unique invariant measure of maximal entropy for $f$. Then, $-\int \phi d \mu \leq s(f)$. As $\mu$ and $m$ are ergodic f-invariant probability measures, they are either equivalent or mutually singular. Using Proposition 4.5 of [1] and simple perturbation techniques, one can show that $C^{2}$ generically, $\mu$ is singular with respect to $m$. Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
0=P_{m}\left(\phi^{u}\right) & =h_{m}(f)+\int \phi^{u} d m \\
& >h_{\mu}(f)+\int \phi^{u} d \mu \\
& =h(f)+\int \phi^{u} d \mu,
\end{aligned}
$$

so $h(f)<s(f)$. Since $h(f)<s(f)$ is a $C^{1}$ open condition for Anosov diffeomorphisms, (*) fails for a $C^{1}$ open dense set.
2. It would be nice to know if $C^{1}$ generically each set $\overline{M(p, f)}$ has positive measure or if $f \mid \overline{H(p, f)}$ has positive measure theoretic entropy. Also, what analogs of our results hold for the $C^{r}$ topology, $r \geq 2$ ?

We proceed to the proof of the theorem.
In view of remark 1 our theorem only has content for non-Anosov diffeomorphisms.
Let $A$ be the set of Anosov diffeomorphisms on $M$ and let $D=\operatorname{Diff} \frac{1}{\omega} M-A$. Of course, $A$ is open in $D_{i f f}{ }_{\omega} M$ and is empty unless $M$ is the two-dimensional torus.

For positive integers $n$ and $m$, let $B_{n, m}$ be the set of diffeomorphisms $f$ in $D$ such that there are a $p$ in $\operatorname{Hyp}_{n} f$ and a hyperbolic basic set $\Lambda \subset \overline{H(p, f)}$ satisfying $h(f \mid \Lambda)>s_{n}(f)-\frac{1}{m}$. Analogously, we let $B_{n, m}^{\prime}$ be the set of diffeomorphisms $f$ in $D$ such that $\operatorname{Hyp}_{n} f \neq \emptyset$, and, for each $p$ in $H y p_{n} f$, there is a hyperbolic basic set $\Lambda \subset \overline{H(p, f)}$ so that $H D(\Lambda)>2-\frac{1}{m}$.

We assert that (1) $B_{n, m}$ and $B_{n, m}^{\prime}$ are dense open sets in $D$.
The theorem follows from (1) by taking $B=A \cup \bigcap_{n, m}^{B} n_{n, m} \cap B_{n, m}^{\prime}$.
The main step in the proof of (1) is the next result.

Proposition. Suppose $p$ is a hyperbolic periodic point of the diffeomorphism $f$ and $W^{u}(o(p))$ is tangent to $W^{s}(o(p))$ at some point. Given $\varepsilon>0$ and any neighborhood $N$ of $f$ in $D$, there is $a \operatorname{in} N$ such that $p$ is a hyperbolic periodic point for $g$, and
(a) $g$ has a hyperbolic basic set $\Lambda$ in $\overline{H(p, g)}$ on which $h(g \mid \Lambda)>\frac{1}{\tau(p)} \log |\lambda(p)|-\varepsilon$
(b) each $g_{1}$ near $g$ has a hyperbolic basic set $\Lambda\left(g_{1}\right)$ in $\overline{H\left(p\left(g_{1}\right), g_{1}\right)}$ such that $\operatorname{HD}\left(\Lambda\left(g_{1}\right)\right)>2-\varepsilon$.

Before proving the proposition, let us show how we can use it to prove assertion (1).

Let $f \in D$, and let $n$ and $m$ be positive integers. We may perturb $f$ to $f_{1}$ so that the hyperbolic and elliptic periodic points of $f_{1}$ are dense in $M$ by theorems (1.3) and Corollary (3.2) in [5]. Using Takens [10], we may also assume $W^{u}\left(p, f_{1}\right) \cup W^{S}\left(p, f_{1}\right) \subset \overline{H\left(p, f_{1}\right)}$ for each hyperbolic periodic point $p$ of $f_{1}$. Choose $p \in \operatorname{Hyp}_{n}\left(f_{1}\right)$ so that $\frac{1}{\tau(p)} 10 g|\lambda(p)|>s_{n}\left(f_{1}\right)-\frac{1}{2 m}$.

Since $f_{1}$ has elliptic periodic points, it is in $D$. If $\overline{H\left(p, f_{1}\right)}$ were hyperbolic, it would have interior (since $W^{u}(p) \cup W^{s}(p) \subset \overline{\left.H\left(p, f_{1}\right)\right)}$. But then local product structure [9, Theorem (7.4)] and topological transitivity would imply that $\overline{H\left(p, f_{1}\right)}$ is open and closed in $M$. So $\overline{H\left(p, f_{1}\right)}$ would equal $M$, making $\mathrm{f}_{1}$ Anosov and giving a contradiction. Thus, $\overline{\mathrm{H}\left(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{f}_{1}\right)}$ is not hyperbolic. Using [5], we can find $f_{2} C^{1}$ near $f_{1}$ so that $p \in \operatorname{Hyp}_{n} f_{2}$, and $W^{u}(o(p))$ has a tangency with $W^{s}(o(p))$. Applying statement (a) in the proposition enables us to find $f_{3} C^{1}$ near $f_{2}$ so that $f_{3}$ has a hyperbolic basic set $\Lambda$ with entropy larger than $\frac{1}{\tau(p)} \log |\lambda(p)|-\frac{1}{4 m}$. Also, $s_{n}(\cdot)$ is continuous, so if $f_{3}$ is near $f_{1}$ and $f^{\prime}$ is near $f_{3}$, we have $s_{n}\left(f^{\prime}\right)<s_{n}\left(f_{1}\right)+\frac{1}{4 m}$. But $\Lambda$ continues to topologically equivalent hyperbolic sets for perturbations $f^{\prime}$ of $f_{3}$. Hence, for $f^{\prime}$ near $f_{3}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
h\left(f^{\prime}\right) & >\frac{1}{\tau(p)} \log |\lambda(p)|-\frac{1}{4 m} \\
& >s_{n}\left(f_{1}\right)-\frac{3}{4 m} \\
& >s_{n}\left(f^{\prime}\right)-\frac{1}{m}
\end{aligned}
$$

This proves that $B_{n, m}$ is dense and open in $D$. Similarly, we can use statement (b) of the proposition to prove that $B_{n, m}^{\prime}$ is dense and open in $D$.

It remains to prove the proposition. All of our estimates will be with respect to the $C^{r}$ norm induced from a fixed finite covering by symplectic coordinate charts, $r=1$ and 2. The $C^{r}$ norm of a function $f$ will be the maximum of the $r^{\text {th }}$ order partial derivatives computed in that covering, and we denote it by $|f|_{C}$

All of our approximations are local and will be done in local coordinates using generating functions. Let us recall the main properties of these functions.

Suppose ( $x, y$ ) are coordinates in $I R^{2}$ and $f(x, y)=(f,(x, y), \eta(x, y))$ is an area preserving $C^{1}$ diffeomorphism with $f(0,0)=(0,0)$ and $\frac{\partial \eta}{\partial y}$ nowhere zero. Then we may solve for $y$ as a $c^{1}$ function of $x$ and $\eta$ in the equation $\eta=\eta(x, y)$, and the mapping $(x, \eta) \longrightarrow(x, y(x, \eta))$ allows us to use $x$ and $\eta$ as coordinates on $\mathbb{I R}^{2}$. Since $f$ preserves area, the 1 -form $\alpha=\xi \mathrm{d} \eta+y \mathrm{dx}$ is closed, and we may find a unique $C^{2}$ function $S(x, \eta)$ so that $S(0,0)=0$, $S_{x}=y, \quad S_{\eta}=\xi$, and $S_{x \eta}$ never vanishes. The function $S$ is called the generating function of f. Conversely, given a $C^{2}$ function $S(x, \eta)$ so that $S(0,0)=0$ and $S_{x \eta}(x, \eta)$ is never zero, we may solve for $\eta$ as a function of $x$ and $y$ in the equation $S_{x}(x, \eta)=y$, and obtain an area preserving diffeomorphism by

$$
f(x, y)=\left(S_{\eta}(x, \eta(x, y)), \eta(x, y)\right)
$$

If $g$ is an area preserving diffeomorphism $C^{1}$ near $f$, then its generating function $\overline{\mathbf{s}}$ is $C^{2}$ near $S$, and conversely, The generating function for the identity transformation is $S(x, \eta)=x \eta$.

We now begin the proof of the proposition. Let us assume, at first, for notational simplicity, that $p$ is a fixed point of $f$, so $\tau(p)=1$.

Suppose $W^{u}(p, f)$ is tangent to $W^{s}(p, f)$ at $z_{o}$. With a preliminary $C^{1}$ approximation we may make $W^{u}(p, f)$ and $W^{s}(p, f)$ coincide on a small curve, say I, around $z_{o}$ in $W^{u}(p, f)$. The picture is as follows:


Figure 1

Let $U$ be a small neighborhood around $z_{0}$ in $M$ with $f^{-1} U \cap U=\emptyset$ and assume $I$ small enough to be in $U$. Introduce local symplectic coordinates $z=(x, y)$ about $z_{0}=(0,0)$ in $U$ so that $I$ is contained in $(y=0)$. Thus there is a diffeomorphism $\phi: U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2}$ so that $\phi\left(z_{o}\right)=(0,0), \phi(I) \subset\{(x, y):$ $y=0\}$, and $\phi^{*}(d x \wedge d y)=\omega$. Let $a>0$ be such that $\phi^{-1}([-2 a, 2 a]) \subset I$.

We identify $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ with $U$ via $\phi$ in the sequel.
Let $\varepsilon>0$. We will produce an area preserving $C^{1}$ perturbation $g$ of $f$ with $g(z)=f(z)$ for $z \notin f^{-1} U$ such that $g$ has a hyperbolic basic set $\Lambda \subset \overline{H(p, g)}$ such that $h(g \mid \Lambda)>\log |\lambda(p)|-\varepsilon$.

Intuitively, we obtain $\Lambda$ in the following way. Introduce a large number of bumps in $W^{\mathrm{u}}(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{g})$ over the interval $[-\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{a}]$ in I without disturbing the fact that $I \subset W^{s}(p, g)$. Letting $I^{\prime}$ denote the piece of $W^{u}(p, g)$ over $I$, we arrange for $I^{\prime}$ to be the graph of the function $x \rightarrow A \cos \left(\frac{\pi N x}{2 a}\right)$ with $-a \leq x \leq a$, N a large positive integer, and $A$ a small positive number. The maximum height of $I^{\prime}$ is $A$, the minimum is $-A$, and $I^{\prime}$ has $N$ intersections with $I$. This gives the next figure


Figure 2

To do this with $g \delta-C^{1}$ close to $f$ we will need $2 A\left(\frac{2 a}{N}\right)^{-1}<K_{1} \delta$ for some constant $K_{1}$ independent of $N$. Suppose we take $A=\frac{K_{1} \delta a^{N}}{2 N}$. Since $I \subset W^{s}(p, g)$ and $I^{\prime} \subset W^{u}(p, g)$, we will be able to find a rectangle $D_{A}$ with distance around $\frac{A}{4}$ units from $I$ whose image under $g^{n}$ for some large $n$ is around $\frac{A}{h}$ units from $I^{\prime}$ as in the next figure


Figure 3

The "around" in the preceding statement means we are ignoring constants independent of $N$. Then, if $\Lambda_{1}$ is the largest invariant set for $g^{n} \mid D_{A}$, $\Lambda_{1}$ will be hyperbolic for $g^{n}$ and $h\left(g^{n} \mid \Lambda_{1}\right)=\log N$. This gives us $\Lambda=\bigcup_{0<j<n} g^{j} \Lambda_{1}$ hyperbolic for $g$ and $h(g \mid \Lambda)=\frac{1}{n} \log N$. From the construction, $g$ has a periodic point in $\Lambda$ which is homoclinically related to $p$, so $\Lambda \subset \overline{H(p, g)}$. Except, for constants independent of $N$, we will have $|\lambda(p)|^{-n}=\frac{A}{4}=\frac{K_{1} \delta a}{K_{1} \delta\left(N_{a}\right.}$. Thus, $-n \log |\lambda(p)|=\log \frac{K_{1} \delta a}{8}-\log N$ or $\log |\lambda(p)|=-\frac{1}{n} \log \frac{K_{1} \delta a}{8}+\frac{\log N}{n}$. Choosing $N$ very large forces $n$ to be large, so we can get

$$
h(g \mid \Lambda)=\frac{1}{n} \log N>\log |\lambda(p)|-\varepsilon .
$$

Let us now specify more precisely how we obtain $g$.
Let $\alpha(x, y)$ be a $C^{\infty}$ function from $U$ to $\mathbb{R}$ so that $\alpha(x, y)=1$ on a neighborhood $U_{1}$ of $I$ and $\alpha(x, y)=0$ off a slightly larger neighborhood contained
in $U$. Given the neighborhood $N$ of f, let $\delta>0$ be small enough so that any $g$ which is $\delta-C^{1}$-close to
$f$ must be in $N$. Let $A$ be a small constant, and consider the area preserving transformation $\xi(x, y)=\left(x, A \cos \frac{\pi x N}{2 a}+y\right)$. It carries the line segment $-a \leq x \leq a, y=0$ onto a curve $I^{\prime}$ as described earlier.

The generating function for $\xi$ is $S(x, \eta)=x \eta-\int_{0}^{x} A \cos \left(\frac{\pi s N}{2 a}\right) d s$ where $\zeta(x, y)=x$ and $n(x, y)=A \cos \left(\frac{\pi x N}{2 a}\right)+y$. Note that $S_{x \eta}=1$ throughout the region, so $(x, n)$ is a good coordinate system throughout.

Let $\beta(x, \eta)=\alpha(x, y(x, \eta))=\alpha\left(x, \eta-A \cos \frac{\pi x N}{2 a}\right)$, and let $S_{1}(x, \eta)=\beta(x, \eta)(S(x, \eta)$

- $x n)+x n$. The reader may check that as AN approaches 0 , the function $S(x, \eta)$ - $x \eta$ approaches 0 in the $C^{2}$ topology. Thus, for AN small, $S_{1 x \eta}(x, \eta) \neq 0$ for all $x, \eta$. We may find a $C^{1}$ function $\eta_{1}(x, y)$ so that $S_{1 x}\left(x, \eta_{1}(x, y)\right)=y$, and $\eta_{1}(x, y)$ approaches $\eta(x, y)$ in the $C^{1}$ topology as $A N \rightarrow 0$. Let $\psi(x, y)=\left(S_{1 \eta}\left(x, \eta_{1}(x, y)\right), \eta_{1}(x, y)\right)$ be the area preserving transformation induced by $S_{1}$, and let $g=\psi \circ f$. For some small constant $K_{1}>0$, if we put $A=\frac{K_{1} \delta a}{2 N}$, then $|\mathrm{g}-\mathrm{f}|_{\mathrm{C}^{1}}<\delta$ and $\mathrm{g}=\mathrm{f}$ off $\mathrm{f}^{-1} \mathrm{U}_{1}$ as required.

We now construct the rectangle $D_{A}$. Let $W_{l o c}^{s}(p, g)$ be a closed interval in $W^{s}(p, g)$ containing $p$ and $I$ in its interior, and let $V$ be a tubular neighborhood of $\mathrm{N}_{\text {loc }}^{s}(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{g})$. We assume that $U$ is contained in $V$. For a set $E$ and a point $z$ in $E$, let $C(z, E)$ be the connected component of $E$ which contains z. Let $\gamma_{1}$ be the curve in $U$ given by $x=-a, 0 \leq y \leq 2 A$, and let $\gamma_{2}$ be the curve given by $x=a, 0 \leq y \leq 2 A$. Set $\left\{z_{1}\right\}=\gamma_{1} \cap I^{\prime}$ and $\left\{z_{2}\right\}=\gamma_{2} \cap I^{\prime}$. Since $I^{\prime} \subset W^{u}(p, g)$, parts of backward iterates of $\gamma_{1}$ and $\gamma_{2}$ will accumulate on $W_{l o c}^{s}(p, g)$ by the $\lambda$-lemma [8]. Also, there are constants $K_{2}, K_{3}>0$ so that if $g^{j}(z) \in V$ for $0 \leq j \leq m$, then

$$
K_{2}|\lambda(p)|^{-m} \leq \operatorname{dist}\left(z, W_{1 o c}^{s}(p, g)\right) \leq K_{3}|\lambda(p)|^{-m},
$$

and if $g^{-j}(z) \varepsilon V$ for $0 \leq j \leq m$, then

$$
K_{2}|\lambda(p)|^{-m} \leq \operatorname{dist}\left(z, C\left(p, W^{u}(p, g) \cap v\right)\right) \leq K_{3} \mid \lambda\left(\left.p\right|^{-m}\right.
$$

For this step it is convenient to assume via a preliminary approximation that $f$ is $C^{2}$. Then $g$ is $C^{2}$ as well and hence $C^{1}$ linearizable on $W^{s}(p, g)$ and $W^{u}(p, g)$ near $p$.

For $n$ large the curves $\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}, C\left(g^{-n} z_{1}, g^{-n} \gamma_{1} \cap V\right)$, and $C\left(g^{-n} z_{2}, g^{-n} \gamma_{2} \cap V\right)$ will enclose a rectangle $R_{n}$ in $U$ near $I$. Let $\gamma_{1}^{\prime}$ and $\gamma_{2}^{\prime}$ be the pieces of $r_{1}$ and $r_{2}$ In that rectangle as indicated in figure 4.


Figure 4

Let $n$ be the smallest positive integer such that $C\left(g^{-n} z_{1}, g^{-n} \gamma_{1} \cap V\right)$ and $C\left(g^{-n} z_{2}, g^{-n} \gamma_{2} \cap V\right)$ are $c^{1}$ closer to $W_{10 c}^{s}(p, g)$ than $\frac{A}{4}$ and $g^{n} \gamma_{1}^{\prime}$ and $g^{n} \gamma_{2}^{\prime}$ are $C^{1}$ closer to $I^{\prime}$ than $\frac{A}{4}$. There are constants $K_{4}, K_{5}>0$ so that $K_{4}|\lambda(p)|^{-n} \leq A \leq K_{5}|\lambda(p)|^{-n}$. Set $\quad D_{A}=R_{n}, \Lambda_{1}=\bigcap_{-\infty<j<\infty} g^{j n} D_{A}$, and $\Lambda=\bigcup_{0 \leq j \leq n} g^{j} \Lambda_{1}$. For $N$ large, the reader may verify, with estimates similar to those $\ln [\bar{m}]$ and [6], that $\Lambda$ is hyperbolic basic set for $g$. Clearly, $\Lambda \subset \overline{\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{g})}$ and, as we have indicated, $h(g \mid \Lambda)=\frac{1}{n} \log N>\log |\lambda(p)|-\varepsilon$. This proves statement (a) of the proposition when $\tau(p)=1$.

When $\tau(p)>1$, the proof is analogous except that $z_{0}$ will be in $W^{s}(p, f) \cap W^{u}\left(f^{k} p, f\right)$, $[0 \leq k<\tau(p)]$. The $n$ above may then be chosen of the form $n=\tau(p) n_{1}+k$, and we have the estimate $K_{4}|\lambda(p)|^{-n} 1 \leq A \leq K_{5}|\lambda(p)|^{-n} 1$. We obtain $\Lambda$ and $g$ near f so that $h(g \mid \Lambda)=\frac{1}{n} \log N=\frac{1}{\tau(p) n_{1}+k} \log N$, and $\frac{1}{\tau(p) n_{1}+k} \log N \rightarrow \frac{1}{\tau(p)} \log |\lambda(p)|$ as $\mathrm{N} \rightarrow \infty$.

We now move on to statement (b) of the proposition. We assume $\tau(p)=1$ leaving the remaining generalization to the reader.

Consider the rectangle $D_{A}$ and the mapping $g^{n}$. It is clear from figure 3 that $g^{n} D_{A} \cap D_{A}$ has $N$ components. These are slanted "rectangles" joining the top and bottom of $D_{A}$ as in the next figure.


Figure 5
Also, $g^{-n}\left(D_{A}\right) \cap D_{A}$ consists of $N$ rectangular strips stretching across $D_{A}$. In the standard way, this implies that for $k>0, \bigcap_{-k \leq j \leq 0} g^{j n} D_{A}$ consists of $N^{k}$ thin rectangular strips joining the sides of $D_{A}, \frac{-k \leq j \leq 0}{a n d} \int_{0 \leq j \leq k} g^{j n} D_{A}$ consists of $N^{k}$ thin slanted rectangular strips joining the top and bottom of $D_{A}$. Each component of $\bigcap_{-k \leq j \leq k} g^{j n} D_{A}$ is a small disk whose diameter is larger than $\left(K_{6}|\lambda(p)|^{-n}\right)^{k}$ with $K_{6}>0$ independent of $N$. There are $N^{2 k}$ such compunents and their diameters approach zero as $k \rightarrow \infty$.

From this it follows that the Hausdorff dimension $\alpha$ of $\int_{-\infty<j<\infty} g^{j n} D_{A}$ satisfies

$$
\alpha \geq \alpha_{1}=\inf \left\{\beta: \inf _{k \geq 0} N^{2 k}\left(k_{6}|\lambda(p)|^{-n}\right)^{k \beta}=0\right\}
$$

Now $\alpha_{1}$ is given by $N^{2}\left(K_{6}|\lambda(p)|^{-n}\right)^{\alpha}=1 \quad$ or $\quad \alpha_{1}=\frac{2 \log N}{n \log |\lambda(p)|-\log K_{6}}$.
But for some constant $K_{7}>0$ independent of $N, n \log |\lambda(p)|<K_{7}+\log N$, so $\quad \alpha_{1}>\frac{2 \log N}{\mathrm{~K}_{7}+\log \mathrm{N}-\log \mathrm{K}_{6}}$. Thus $\alpha_{1}+2$ as $\mathrm{N} \rightarrow \infty$, so $\alpha \rightarrow 2$. Given $\varepsilon>0$, we choose $N_{1}$ large enough so that $\frac{2 \log N_{1}}{\mathrm{~K}_{7}+\log \mathrm{N}_{1}-\log \mathrm{K}_{6}}>2-\varepsilon$. Then,
$\mathrm{HD}(\Lambda)>2-\varepsilon$ with $\Lambda=\bigcup_{0 \leq j \leq \mathrm{n}} g^{j}\left(\bigcap_{-\infty<k<\infty} g^{n k} D_{A}\right)$. For $g_{1}$ near $g$, each component of $\bigcap_{-k \leq j \leq k} g_{1}^{j n_{D}}$ has diameter larger than $\left(K_{6}|\lambda(p)|^{-n}-\varepsilon_{1}\right)^{k}$ with $\varepsilon_{1}$ small, so we can insure that $\operatorname{HD}\left(\Lambda\left(g_{1}\right)\right)>2-\varepsilon$. This completes the proof of the proposition.
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