

Astérisque

FULVIO LAZZERI

Morse theory on singular spaces

Astérisque, tome 7-8 (1973), p. 263-268

http://www.numdam.org/item?id=AST_1973__7-8_263_0

© Société mathématique de France, 1973, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la collection « Astérisque » (<http://smf4.emath.fr/Publications/Asterisque/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques

<http://www.numdam.org/>

MORSE THEORY ON SINGULAR SPACES

Fulvio LAZZERI

Introduction.

Let X be a space, $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a map. Denote by X_a the set $\{x \in X \mid f(x) \leq a\}$. Morse theory is concerned with the homotopy type of $X_a \hookrightarrow X_b$ for real numbers $a < b$ when X is a differentiable manifold and f is a proper differentiable generic map.

Here we treat the case that X has isolated singularities. The main applications are to complex spaces. For example applying this theory we can prove that a Stein space X with isolated singularities is homotopically equivalent to a CW complex of dimension $n = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} X$. Also Lefschetz type theorems are available. They depend in general on the kind of singularities of X .

An example : let X be a complex projective algebraic variety with isolated singularities, X_0 an hyperplane section and let

$$\sigma_i : H_i(X_0, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_i(X, \mathbb{Z})$$

be the homomorphism induced by the inclusion $X_0 \hookrightarrow X$; in general nothing can be said on the σ_i . However if the singularities of X are "good" (for example if they are of complete intersection type) then the usual Lefschetz theorem holds, i.e. σ_i is an isomorphism for $i < \dim_{\mathbb{C}} X_0$ and surjective for $i = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} X_0$.

In the sequel we give the definitions and we state the theorems.

Proofs are only sketched ; details will appear in a forthcoming paper in *Annali Scuola Normale Superiore, Pisa.*

1. Let X be a locally closed set in \mathbb{R}^N . Suppose that there exists a discrete subset $\Sigma \subset X$ such that $X - \Sigma$ is a differentiable submanifold of \mathbb{R}^N of dimension $n > 0$. For $x \in X - \Sigma$ denote by $T_x(X)$ the tangent space to X at x . We shall say that X is a space with isolated singularities iff

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow y} \sin(\angle(T_x(X), x-y)) = 0$$

for all $y \in \Sigma$, where $\sin(H, v)$ denotes the sinus between the vector v and the linear subspace H in \mathbb{R}^N .

Remarks.

a) We suppose X embedded in some \mathbb{R}^N for simplicity only ; actually every construction or result in the sequel will depend only on the structure given by the sheaf \mathfrak{E}_X of germs of differentiable functions on X .

b) Every analytic space with isolated singularities is a space with isolated singularities (see H. Whitney, "Tangents to analytic variety", Ann. of Math., 81 (1965), 547).

From now on $X \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ is a space with isolated singularities of dimension n .

Let $Z(X, x)$ denote the Zariski tangent space of X at x ; namely $Z(X, x)$ is the vector subspace of \mathbb{R}^N of all vectors c such that $df_x(v) = 0$ for every differentiable function f vanishing on X .

An n -plane H in \mathbb{R}^N is said to be a tangent plane to X at x iff there exists a sequence of regular points (x_v) in X such that $x_v \rightarrow x$ and $T_{x_v}(X) \rightarrow H$, the

latter limit being made in the Grassmanian of n -planes in \mathbb{R}^N . The set of all tangent planes to X at x will be denoted by $T_x(X)$; it is easily recognized as a closed subset of the Grassmanian of n -planes in $Z(X, x)$.

Remark that if x is a regular point of X , then $Z(X, x)$ is the usual tangent space $T_x(X)$ and that it is also the single tangent n -plane to X at x .

Denote by $Z'(X, x)$ the vector space of linear forms on $Z(X, x)$, by $D(X, x)$ the set of all $l \in Z'(X, x)$ identically vanishing on some element of $T_x(X)$ and $\Omega(X, x) = Z'(X, x) - D(X, x)$.

Proposition 1.

If X is a real analytic space, then $D(X, x)$ is closed without interior. Moreover if f can be endowed with a complex analytic structure near x , then $\Omega(X, x)$ is connected.

Both assertions are proved by looking at $T_x(X)$. One proves that $T_x(X)$ is a closed set of Hausdorff dimension less or equal to $n-1$; from that the first assertion follows easily. The second is easier, since in that case $T_x(X)$ is an analytic space of dimension less or equal to $n-2$ in the appropriate

Grassmanian.

Let $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a differentiable function. The differential df_x of f at a point x is a well defined element of $Z'(X, x)$. We shall say that f is regular at x iff $df_x \in \Omega(X, x)$; otherwise x is said to be a critical point of f . A critical point x of f will be said non degenerate iff x is a simple point of X and (as usual) the Hessian $H(f)_x$ is non singular.

Definition.

A Morse function on X is a proper differentiable map $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with only non degenerate critical points.

It is easy to see that if f is a Morse function, the set of its critical points is discrete on X . Also the usual density theorems for Morse functions are available in view of proposition 1, if X is a real analytic space.

2. Let x be an isolated singularity of $X \subset \mathbb{R}^N$, $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a differentiable function regular at x , $f(x) = 0$.

Notations : $B(\varepsilon)$ the open ball of radius ε around x , $D(\varepsilon) = \overline{B(\varepsilon)}$,

$$S(\varepsilon) = D(\varepsilon) - B(\varepsilon) \quad , \quad X_\eta = \{y \in X \mid f(y) = \eta\} .$$

Proposition 2.

There exists $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ and a continuous function $\eta : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ so that :

- i) $X_0 \cap B(\varepsilon_0)$ is a space with isolated singularities, whose only singularity is x .
- ii) for $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$, S_ε is transversal to X and X_0 .
- iii) for $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$, $0 < \eta < \eta(\varepsilon)$ the set $M(\varepsilon, \eta) = X_{-\eta} \cap D(\varepsilon)$ is a smooth compact manifold with boundary whose diffeomorphism class depends only on X , x and f ; it will be called the vanishing manifold of f at x in X and denoted by $M(X, x, f)$.

ii) and iii) have usual proofs. The proof of i) is just the following lemma on angles between linear spaces.

Lemma 3.

Let H be an h -plane, K an hyperplane and v a vector in \mathbb{R}^N .

Suppose

$$\sin(v,H) < \varepsilon_1, \quad \sin(v,K) < \varepsilon_2, \quad \sin(v,H \cap K) > \eta$$

where $0 < \varepsilon_1 < \eta$. Then

$$\sin(H,K) < (2\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2) \cdot (\eta^2 - \varepsilon_1^2)^{-1/2}$$

Proposition 4.

Let f, g be differentiable functions on X , both regular at x .

If df_x and dg_x belong to the same connected component of $\Omega(X, x)$, then the vanishing manifolds $M(X, x, f)$ and $M(X, x, g)$ are diffeomorphic.

The proof is based on the study of the map $\pi : X \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ defined by $\pi : (x, \lambda) \rightarrow (\|x\|^2, f(x) + \lambda g(x), \lambda)$; lemma 3 gives a uniformity which implies that π is of maximal rank onetc....

In view of proposition 1, if X carries a complex analytic structure, the preceding theorem assures that $M(X, x, f)$ does not depend on f at all. In that case we can say some more. Let $X \subset \mathbb{E}^N$. Then $T_x(X)$ is a subset of a complex Grassmanian. A holomorphic function $g : X \rightarrow \mathbb{E}$ with $g(x) = 0$ will be said regular at $x \in X$ iff dg_x (the complex differential of g at x) is not identically zero on any element of $T_x(X)$.

Let $0 < \varepsilon \ll 1$ and $0 < \eta \ll 1$ and define $M_{\mathbb{E}}(X, x, g) = \{y \in X \mid g(y) = \eta\} \cap D(\varepsilon)$. Then $M_{\mathbb{E}}(X, x, g)$ is a compact manifold with boundary that does not depend on ε , η or g . Moreover the function $\tilde{g} = \text{real part of } g$ is a function $X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ which is regular at x .

Proposition 5.

$M_{\mathbb{E}}(X, x, g)$ is a deformation retract of $M(X, x, \tilde{g})$.

The proof is just the construction of a vector field on $M(X, x, f)$ whose flow gives the required deformation; it is constructed with the gradient of the function $(g^1)^2$ where g^1 is the imaginary part of g .

3. Let $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a Morse function. For $a \in \mathbb{R}$ denote by M_a the set $\{y \in X \mid f(y) \leq a\}$. Let x be a singular point of X , $f(x) = c$; suppose $a < c < b$ and that $f^{-1}([a, b]) - \{x\}$ does not contain singular points of X or critical points of f .

We shall describe the map $M_a \hookrightarrow M_b$ in the homotopical category. First let us recall some definition. Let Z be a topological space, Y a subset in Z . In the disjoint union of Z with $\{Y\}$ (a one-point space) identify $\{Y\}$ with all $y \in Y \subset Z$. The resulting space is denoted by Z/Y . In particular $Z \times [0, 1]/Z \times \{1\}$ is called the cone over Z and denoted by $C(Z)$. Next identify in the disjoint union of Z with $C(Y)$ each $y \in Y \subset Z$ with $y \times \{0\} \in C(Y)$. The resulting space is denoted by $C(Z, Y)$.

Proposition 6.

- i) There exist a compact set K in $f^{-1}(a)$ and a homeomorphism $M_a/K \rightarrow M_c$ that is the identity on $M_{a-\varepsilon}$ for some $0 < \varepsilon \ll 1$.
- ii) M_c is a deformation retract of M_b .
- iii) K can be chosen homeomorphic with the vanishing manifold $M(X, x, f)$.

The proofs of i) ii) are similar. Start with the gradient of f on X , normalized so that if $\sigma(t)$ is an integral of it, then $\frac{d}{dt} f(\sigma(t)) = 1$. Then multiply by a function equal to 1 on $f^{-1}([a, b])$ and 0 out of $f^{-1}(]a-\varepsilon, b+\varepsilon[)$ for small ε . The vector field one gets is not defined at x . However studying the associated flow, one sees that each integral starting on M_a can be extended to the interval $[0, c-a]$ to obtain a continuous map $\rho : M_a \times [0, c-a] \rightarrow M_c$. From this it is easy to show ii). Then consider $\rho_{c-a} : M_a \rightarrow M_c$. Define $K = \rho_{c-a}^{-1}(x)$; ρ_{c-a} is a diffeomorphism between $M_a - K$ and $M_c - \{x\}$ and this proves i). To prove iii) remark first that for small ε , $M_c \cap D(\varepsilon)$ is the cone over $M_c \cap S(\varepsilon)$ and $f^{-1}(c) \cap D(\varepsilon)$ the cone over $f^{-1}(c) \cap S(\varepsilon)$; this follows from the proposition 2 applying standard techniques. Moreover from the description above one has that $M_c/f^{-1}(c) \cap D(\varepsilon)$ is homeomorphic with $M_a/M(X, x, f)$. The following lemma shows that M_c is homeomorphic with $M_c/f^{-1}(c) \cap D(\varepsilon)$ and so concludes the proof.

Lemma 7.

Let Y be a compact manifold with boundary, H the set

$$\{(y, t) \in C(Y) \mid y \in \partial Y, 1/2 \leq t \leq 1\}.$$

There exists a homeomorphism between $C(Y)$ and $C(Y)/H$ which is the identity on $Y \times \{0\}$.

Remark now that $M_a \rightarrow M_a/M(X, x, f)$ is homotopically equivalent to $M_a \hookrightarrow C(M_a, M(X, x, f))$. Also, if $K \subset M(X, x, f)$ is a deformation retract of $M(X, x, f)$, then $M_a \hookrightarrow C(M_a, M(X, x, f))$ is homotopically equivalent to $M_a \hookrightarrow C(M_a, K)$, so that the former proposition gives the following result

Theorem 8.

Let $K \subset M(X, x, f)$ be a deformation retract of $M(X, x, f)$. Then $M_a \hookrightarrow M_b$ is homotopically equivalent to $M_a \hookrightarrow C(M_a, K)$.

Remark now that if $H \subset M_a$ is obtained from a non empty subset $K \subset M_a$ by adjoining a cell e_λ , then $C(M_a, H)$ is obtained from $C(M_a, K)$ by adjoining a cell $e_{\lambda+1}$. This proves the following

Theorem 9.

Let $M(X, x, f)$ retract with a deformation on a finite spherical complex obtained from a point p by adjoining successively cells $e_{i_1}^{(1)}, \dots, e_{i_r}^{(r)}$.

Then $M_a \hookrightarrow M_b$ is homotopically equivalent to adjoining successively cells $e_{i_1+1}^{(1)}, \dots, e_{i_r+1}^{(r)}$ to M_a .

The same remark applied to a Morse function on $M(X, x, f)$ gives the following

Theorem 10.

Let $\varphi : M(X, x, f) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a differentiable function with the following properties :

- i) φ has critical points p_0, p_1, \dots, p_r , each non degenerate and not in $\partial M(X, x, f)$.
- ii) $\partial M(X, x, f)$ is a fibre of φ .
- iii) $\varphi(p_0) \leq \dots \leq \varphi(p_r)$

Denote by λ_i the index of φ at p_i , $i = 1, \dots, r$. Then $M_a \hookrightarrow M_b$ is homotopically equivalent to attaching successively cells $l_{\lambda_1+1}^{(1)}, \dots, l_{\lambda_r+1}^{(r)}$ to M_a .

