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## O. INTRODUCTION.

A closed subset S of the circle group T is called a weak Kronecker set ( $K_{o}-s e t$ ) if each complex measure $\mu$ carried by $S$ has the property $\sup |\hat{\mu}(n)|=\|\mu\|$; $S$ is called an M-set if it carries a distribution $\boldsymbol{\tau} \neq 0$ (of L. Schwartz) whose Fourier transform $\hat{\tau}(n)$ vanishes for $n= \pm \infty$; and $S$ is called $M_{o}$ if the distribution $\tau$ is a finite measure. In 1954 Pyatečkii-Šapiro [3] showed the existence of sets of type $M$, not of type $M_{0}$; this work is still striking because it exhibits a specific set $S$. Then Körner [1] showed the existence of sets of type $M \cap K_{0}$. In this note we modify the method of [3] to prove.

THEOREM. Each closed set $S$ of type $M$ contains a closed set $S_{1}$ of type $M \cap K_{o}$.

1. Let the $N$-dimensional torus $T^{N}$ be represented as the product of intervals $[-\pi, \pi]$ and let $\quad V_{\varepsilon}^{N}$ be the set of $N$-tuples $\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{N}\right)$ such that $\left|x_{k}\right| \leq \varepsilon$ for at least $(1-\varepsilon) \mathrm{N}$ indices $\mathrm{K}=1,2, \ldots, \mathrm{~N} \quad(0<\varepsilon<1)$. We need a chain of lemmas to prove.

LEMMA 1. For $N>N_{E}$ we can find a function $F$, continuous on $T$, vanishing off $V_{\varepsilon}^{N}$, such that $|\hat{F}(x)|<\varepsilon|\hat{F}(0)|$ for all characters $x \neq 0$ (in additive notation).

To prove Lemma 1 we construct a special kind of product probability measure on $T^{N}$. Let $0 \leq t \leq 1$ and let $\sigma_{t}$ be the measure $(2 \pi)^{-1} t d x+(1-t) \delta_{0}$ on $t$, and $\lambda_{t}$ the $N$-fold product of $\sigma_{t}$, a probability measure on $T N$. (The index $N$ is suppressed in $\lambda_{\mathbf{t}}$ ).

LEMMA 2. $\lambda_{t}\left(\mathrm{~T}^{\mathrm{N}} \sim \mathrm{V}_{\varepsilon}^{\mathrm{N}}\right) \rightarrow 0$ as $\mathrm{N} \rightarrow+\infty$, uniformly on the interval $0 \leq \mathrm{t} \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$.

This is a simple consequence of Chebyshev's inequality, because $[-\varepsilon, \varepsilon]$ has $\sigma_{t}$ measure $\geq 1-\frac{\varepsilon}{2}$.

LEMMA 3. Let $V$ be an open set in a compact abelian group $G$, with dual $\Gamma$; suppose that $\sup \{|\hat{F}(x)|: x \neq 0\} \geq \varepsilon|\hat{F}(0)|$ for every $F$ continuous on $G$ and vanishing on $G \sim V$. Then there is an identity

$$
1=\sum \alpha_{X} x(y), \sum\left|\alpha_{X}\right| \leq \varepsilon^{-1}, \alpha_{0}=0
$$

valid for all $y$ in $V$.

Proof. The Fourier transform associates to each continuous $F$ an element of the space $C_{0}(\Gamma) ;$ assuming that $\varepsilon|\hat{F}(0)| \leq \sup \{|\hat{F}(x)|: x \neq 0\} \quad$ for all $F$ in our subspace, we can write $\hat{F}(0)=\sum b_{X} \hat{F}(x)$, with $\sum\left|b_{X}\right| \leq \varepsilon^{-1}$. Since $V$ is open, this implies $1=\sum b_{X} \overline{x(y)}$ identically in $V$.

Proof of Lemma 1. We shall prove that an equality of the type mentioned in Lemma 3 can be valid for only finitely many integers $1,2, \ldots, N_{\varepsilon}$. The key to this is the
formula $\lambda_{t}(x)=(1-t)^{k} \quad(k=1,2,3, \ldots)$ for each character $x \neq 0$ on $T^{N}$. Suppose $\quad \lambda_{t}\left(V_{\varepsilon}^{N}\right) \geq 1-\eta_{N}$ for $0 \leq t \leq \varepsilon / 2$, and integrate the identity with respect to $\lambda_{t}$. Then $\left|1-\sum_{1}^{\infty} C_{k}^{N}(1-t)^{k}\right| \leq \varepsilon^{-1} \eta_{N} \quad$ over $\quad 0 \leq t \leq \varepsilon / 2$, with $\sum\left|C_{k}^{N}\right| \leq \varepsilon^{-1}$. Since the functions $\sum_{1}^{\infty} C_{k}^{N} s^{k}$ form a normal family for $|s| \leq 1$ in the plane, and $\quad \eta_{N} \rightarrow 0$, the identities in question are possible only for $N \leq N_{\varepsilon}$.

Let $\quad F_{N}$ be the function just constructed, corresponding to an $\varepsilon>0$ and $\mathrm{N}>\mathrm{N}_{\varepsilon}$. We must replace $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{N}}$ by a smooth function, since $\tau$, being a distribution rather than a measure, does not admit multiplication by continuous functions. Let $\psi(x)$ be a smooth approximation to $\delta_{0}$ vanishing outside a small interval $[-\delta, \delta]$ and let $G_{N}$ be the convolution $F_{N^{*}}\left(\psi\left(x_{1}\right) \ldots \psi\left(x_{N}\right)\right)$. Then $\hat{G}_{N^{\prime}}(0)=\hat{F}_{N^{\prime}}(0)=1 \quad$ (say) and $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{N}} \quad$ vanishes outside $\quad \mathrm{V}_{\varepsilon+\delta}^{\mathrm{N}} \subseteq \mathrm{V}_{2 \delta}^{\mathrm{N}}$ when $0<\varepsilon<\delta$. Also $\left|\hat{\mathrm{G}}_{\mathrm{N}}(\mathrm{x})\right|<\varepsilon \mid \hat{\psi}\left(\mathrm{k}_{1}\right) \ldots$ $\ldots \hat{\psi}\left(k_{N}\right) \mid$ when $x \neq 0$ and $x$ has components $\left(K_{1}, \ldots, K_{N}\right)$.
2. Proof of Theorem. Let $\tau$ be a distribution such that $\hat{\tau}(\infty)=0$, and $g(x)$ a real function of class $C^{\infty}(T)$. For integers $p \geq 1$ we are going to use distributions of the form $\quad \tau_{1}=G_{N}\left(g(x)-p x, \ldots, g(x)-p^{N} x\right) . \quad \tau(d x), \quad$ and observe first of all that the multiplier of $\tau$ is smooth on T , so the product is defined. Using the expansion of $G_{N}$ as a Fourier series on $T^{N}$, we can write $\tau_{1}$ as a sum $\sum C\left(k_{1}, \ldots, k_{N}\right) \exp i\left(k_{1}+\ldots+k_{N}\right) g(x) . \exp -i\left(p k_{1}+\ldots+p^{N_{k}}{ }_{N}\right) x . \tau(d x)$.

The distributions with bounded Fourier transforms form a Banach space with the norm $\|\sigma\|=\sup |\hat{\sigma}|$. The sum above converges in norm, uniformly with respect to $p$. For $\|\exp -i k x . \tau(x)\|=\|\tau\|$; the $C^{1}(T)$-norm of $\exp i\left(k_{1}+\ldots+k_{N}\right) g(x)$ is $O(1)+O\left(\left|k_{1}+\ldots+k_{N}\right|\right)$, and $\left.|C| k_{1}, \ldots, k_{N}\right)\left|\leq\left|\hat{\psi}\left(k_{1}\right) \ldots \hat{\psi}\left(k_{N}\right)\right|\right.$ with $\psi$ in
$\mathrm{C}^{\infty}(\mathrm{T})$, so $\hat{\psi}$ decreases rapidly.
We assert now that for large $p\left\|\tau_{1}-\tau\right\|$ exceeds by $o(1)$ the maximum norm of the summands with $\left|k_{1}\right|+\ldots+\left|k_{N}\right|>0$, a number bounded in turn by $\|\tau\| . \sup \left|C\left(k_{1}, \ldots, k_{N}\right)\right| .\left\|\exp i\left(k_{1}+\ldots+k_{N}\right) g(x)\right\|_{C} 1$. In view of the uniform convergence mentioned above, it is sufficient to verify this for finite sums, say for $1 \leq\left|k_{1}\right|+\ldots+\left|k_{N}\right| \leq A$. Each distribution in the sum has a transform vanishing at infinity ; to each $B$, and $p>p(B)$, the values of $p k_{1}+\ldots+p^{N} k_{N}$, generated by the N -tuples in question, differ by at least B . This in fact suffices for the necessary bound on $\left\|\tau_{1}-\tau\right\|$.

Recall that p was chosen after N ; we now study the effect of increasing N , and assert that $\left|\hat{\psi}\left(k_{1}\right) \ldots \hat{\psi}\left(k_{N}\right)\right| .\left|k_{1}+\ldots+k_{N}\right|$ remains bounded for all $N$. In the argument we can assume $1 \leq k_{1} \leq \ldots \leq k_{N}$, and observe that $|\hat{\psi}(k)| \leq 1-\eta$ for $k \geq 1$. Cancellation of $k_{1}$ effects a multiplication by at least $(1-\eta)^{-1}\left(1-N^{-1}\right)$, and this exceeds 1 provided $\mathrm{N}>\eta^{-1}$. Thus the problem is reduced to the special case $\mathrm{N} \leq \eta^{-1}$ and here the inequality $|\hat{\psi}(\mathrm{k})|<\mathrm{k}^{-1}$ is at hand. Finally, for large N we have the additional factor $\varepsilon>0$.

Before applying this to the last step, we recapitulate what has been attained. Given $g$ in $C^{\infty}(T)$ and $\delta>0$ we found a function $H$ in $C^{\infty}(T)$ such that $\|\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{x}) \tau(\mathrm{x})-\tau(\mathrm{x})\|<\delta$. Moreover there exist integers $\mathrm{p} \geq 1$ and $\mathrm{N} \geq 1$ such that $H(x)=0 \quad$ unless at least $\quad(1-\delta) N$ of the inequalities $\quad\left|g(x)-p^{r} x\right| \leq 2 \delta \quad$ (modulo $2 \pi$ ) are fulfilled. Of course the closed support of $H(x) \tau(x)$ is contained in that of $\tau$, and also in the set just mentioned.

Beginning with a distribution $\tau \neq 0$, we choose a sequence $\left(\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{j}}\right)_{1}^{\infty}$, uniformly dense in the real Banach space $C(T)$ and perform a sequence of operations of the kind
just completed. We obtain a distribution $\tau_{1} \neq 0$, whose closed support $\quad S_{1}$ is contained in the support of $S$. For each $j \geq 1$ there are integers $p_{j}$ and $N_{j}$ so that at least $\left(1-2^{-j}\right) N_{j}$ of the $N_{j}$ inequalities (with $\quad p=p_{j}, \quad g=g_{j}, \quad N=N_{j}$ ) $\left|g(x)-p^{r} x\right| \leq 2^{-j} \quad$ (modulo $2 \pi$ ), $\quad 1 \leq r \leq N \quad$ are fulfilled at each point in $\quad S_{1}$. Thus $S_{1}$ is a $K_{o}$-set ; $S_{1}$ has the property, somewhat stronger, that each finite measure on $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ is nearly carried by a Kronecker set.
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