# AnNALI DELLA <br> Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa Classe di Scienze 

## Jeffery Cooper

## Luiz A. Medeiros

## The Cauchy problem for non linear wave equations in domains with moving boundary

Annali della Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa, Classe di Scienze $3^{e}$ série, tome 26, n ${ }^{\circ} 4$ (1972), p. 829-838<br>[http://www.numdam.org/item?id=ASNSP_1972_3_26_4_829_0](http://www.numdam.org/item?id=ASNSP_1972_3_26_4_829_0)

© Scuola Normale Superiore, Pisa, 1972, tous droits réservés.
L'accès aux archives de la revue «Annali della Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa, Classe di Scienze» (http://www.sns.it/it/edizioni/riviste/annaliscienze/) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (http://www.numdam.org/conditions). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

# THE CAUCHY PROBLEM FOR NON LINEAR WAVE EQUATIONS IN DOMAINS WITH MOVING BOUNDARY 

by Jeffery Cooper and Luiz A. Medeiros (§)

## 1. Introduction.

In this paper we shall consider the non linear wave equation
(*)

$$
u_{t t}-\Delta u+F(u)=0
$$

in a non cylindrical domain $Q \subset B=\mathbf{R}^{n} \times[0, T]$, with the boundary condition $u=0$ on $\Sigma$, the lateral boundary of $Q . \Omega(t)$ will denote the intersection of $P$ with the hyperplane at height $t$. We shall say that $Q$ is monotone increasing if the $\Omega(t)$ grows with $t$.

In [2] Lions obtained weak solutions of (*) for the special case $\boldsymbol{F}(u)=$ $=|u|^{\rho} \varrho \geq 0$, under the assumption that $Q$ was monotone increasing. Bardos and Cooper [1] extended this result to a larger class of regions by assuming only that there is a smooth mapping $\varphi: B \rightarrow B$ such that $Q^{*}=$ $\varphi(Q)$ is monotone increasing $\varphi$ preserves the hyperholic character of (*). Such a mapping will be called hyperbolic; a precise definition is given later.

Medeiros [4] generalized the result of Lions [2] in another direction namely by employing: the recent convergence theorem of Strauss [6] to obtain solution of (*) when $Q$ is monotone increasing, for quite general $F$.

In this paper we shall combine these generalizations as follows: suppose that there exists a smoot mapping $\varphi$ of $B$ onto $B$ (with smooth inverse $\psi)$ such that $\varphi$ is hyperbolic and $Q^{*}=\varphi(Q)$ is monotone increasing. Sup-

[^0]pose that $\boldsymbol{F}(x, u)$ is continuos on $\mathbf{R}^{n} \times \mathbf{R}$ as well as $\frac{\partial F}{\partial x_{i}}(x, u)$ and that
$$
\left|\frac{\partial F}{\partial x_{i}}(x, u)\right| \leq c|F(x, u)|, \quad i=i, 2, \ldots, n
$$
for all $x$ and $u$. Suppose in addition that $u F(x, u) \geq 0$. Denote by $G(x, u)$ the function such that $G_{u}=F$ and $G(x, 0)=0$. Let $u_{0} \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega(0))$ such that $G\left(u_{0}\right) \in L^{1}$ and $u_{1} \in L^{2}(\Omega(0))$ be given. Then there exists a solution of (*) in the sense of distributions on $Q$ such that
\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u \in L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; H_{0}^{1}(\Omega(t))\right) \\
& u_{t} \in L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega(t))\right)
\end{aligned}
$$
\]

with $u(x, 0)=u_{0}(x)$ and $u_{t}(x, 0)=u_{1}(x)$ for $x \in \Omega(0)$.

## 2. Existence of Weak Solutions.

We consider the set $B \subset \mathbf{R}^{n+1}$ defined as

$$
B=\left\{(x, t): x \in \mathbf{R}^{n}, 0<t<T\right\}
$$

where $0<T<\infty$. Let $Q$ be an open set in $B$. By $\Omega\left(t_{0}\right)$ we denote the intersection of $Q$ with the hyperplane $P_{t_{0}}=\left\{(x, t): t=t_{0}\right\} ; \Omega(0)(\operatorname{resp} . \Omega(T))$ denotes the interior of $\bar{Q} \cap P_{0}$ (resp. $\left.\bar{Q} \cap P_{T}\right)$. Let $\Gamma(t)=\partial \Omega(t)$ the boundary of $\Omega(t)$ and set $\Sigma=\bigcup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \Gamma(t) . \Sigma$ is the lateral boundary of $Q$.

Throughout this paper we shall assume that $\Sigma$ is an $n$ dimensional manifold of class $C^{1}$.

We shall say that $Q$ is monotone increasing if $\Omega(t)$ grows with $t$. That is, if $\Omega^{1}(t)$ denotes the projection of $\Omega(t)$ onto $P_{0}$, then $s \leq t$ implies $\Omega^{\prime}(s) \subset \Omega^{\prime}(t)$.

Only real valued functions will be considered here and derivatives will be taken in the sense of disiributions.

If $\Omega$ is an open set of $\mathbf{R}^{n}$, then $H^{1}(\Omega)$ denotes the space of (classes of) functions $u \in L^{2}(\Omega)$ such that $\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}} \in L^{2}(\Omega), i=1,2, \ldots, n . H^{1}(\Omega)$ is a Hilbert space with the norm

$$
\|u\|_{H^{2}}^{2}=\int_{\Omega} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}}\right)^{2} d x+\int_{\Omega} u^{2} d x
$$

$H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ will denote the closure in $H^{1}(\Omega)$ of $\mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ the space of infinitely differentiable functions with compact support contained in $\Omega . H^{-1}(\Omega)$ will denote the dual of $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$.

Now suppose that $u(x, t)$ is a measurable functions on $Q$. Then we say that $u \in L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega(t))\right)$ if $u(x, t) \in L^{2}(\Omega(t))$ for almost all $t$ and $\sup _{0 \leq t \leq T} \| u\left(x, t_{L^{2}(\Omega(t))}<\infty\right.$. The space $L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; H_{0}^{1}(\Omega(t))\right)$ is defined similarly.

With these definitions made we now pose the following problem. Let $F(x, u)$ be a continuous function on $\mathbf{R}^{n} \times \mathbf{R}$ with $u \boldsymbol{F}(x, u) \geq 0$. Suppose that $\partial F / \partial x_{i}$ are also continuous and that for some constant $c,\left|\frac{\partial F}{\partial x_{i}}(x, u)\right| \leq$ $\leq c|F(x, u)|$. We denote by $G(x, u)$ one function such that $G_{u}=F$ with $G(x, 0)=0$. Let $u_{0} \in H_{1}(\Omega(0))$ and $u_{1} \in L^{2}(\Omega(0))$ be given. Then we search a function $u$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u \in L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; H_{0}^{1}(\Omega(t))\right) \text { and } u_{t} \in L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega(t))\right), \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{gather*}
u_{t t}-\Delta u+F(x, u)=0 \text { in the weak sense, }  \tag{2}\\
u(x, 0)=u_{0}(x), u_{t}(x, 0)=u_{1}(x) \text { in } \Omega(0) \tag{3}
\end{gather*}
$$

Our method of solving (1)•(3) involves a change of variable. Let $\Phi(x, t)=$ $=\left(\Phi_{1}(x, t), \ldots, \Phi_{n+1}(x, t)\right)$ be a one to one mapping of $B$ with derivatives of first and second order bounded on $B$. Let $J(x, t)$ denote the Jacobian of $\Phi$. We shall assume that $J$ and its derivatives are bounded away from zero so that $|J(x, t)|$ is of class $C^{1}$. We denote the inverse mapping of $\Phi(x, t)$ by $\psi(y, s)$. Next we shall assume that $\Phi$ preserves the hyperbolic character of the wave equation. We set $\nabla \Phi_{i}=\left(\frac{\partial \Phi_{i}}{\partial x_{1}}, \ldots, \frac{\partial \Phi_{i}}{\partial x^{n}}\right)$ and assume

The $n \times n$ matrix

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{i j}=\left\langle\nabla \Phi_{i}, \nabla \Phi_{j}\right\rangle-\left(\frac{\partial \Phi_{i}}{\partial t}\right)\left(\frac{\partial \Phi_{j}}{\partial t}\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

$i, j=1,2, \ldots, n$, is positive definite on $B$ and bounded away from zero by a positive constant and

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(\frac{\partial \Phi_{n+1}}{\partial t}\right)^{2}-\left|\nabla \Phi_{n+1}\right|^{2}>c_{0}>0 \\
\frac{\partial \Phi_{n+1}}{\partial t} \geq 0 \text { on } B \text { and } \Phi \text { maps } P_{0}\left(\text { resp. } P_{T}\right) \tag{5}
\end{gather*}
$$

onto $P_{0}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.P_{T}\right)$.

Our key assumption on $Q$ is then that

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q^{*}=\Phi(Q) \text { is monotone increasing. } \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $Q^{*}(t)$ denote $\Phi(\Omega(t))$. As was noted in [1],(4)-(6) imply that the exterior normal to $\Sigma$ always lies strictly outside the forward light cone.

Before proceeding the statement of our theorem, we give a form of a recent result of Strauss [6] which we will need later.

Lemma 1. Let $\Omega$ be a finite measure space. Let $u_{j}(x)$ be a sequence of measurable functions on $\Omega$. Let $F_{j}(x, u)$ be a sequence of measurable fonctions on $\Omega \times \mathbf{R}$ such that
(i) $F_{j}(x, u)$ is uniformly bounded on $\Omega \times E$ for any bounded sub set $E$ of $\mathbf{R}$,
(ii) $F_{j}\left(x, u_{j}(x)\right)$ is measurable and

$$
\int_{\Omega} \| u_{j}(x)| | F_{j}\left(x, u_{j}(x)\right) \mid d x \leq c<\infty
$$

(iii) $\left|F_{j}\left(x, u_{j}(x)\right)-v(x)\right| \rightarrow 0 \quad$ a.e.

Then $v \in L_{1}(\Omega)$ and $\int_{\Omega}\left|F_{j}\left(x, u_{j}(x)\right)-v(x)\right| d x \rightarrow 0$.
we now state our existence theorem.
Theorem 1. Let $F(x, u)$ and $G(x, u)$ be as described before. Let $u_{0} \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega(0))$ and $u_{1} \in L^{2}(\Omega(0))$ be given and assume that $G\left(x, u_{0}(x)\right)$ is integrable on $\Omega(0)$. Then, if (4)-(6) is satisfied, the problem (1)•(3) has a solution.

Proof. We transform the equation (2) via the mapping $(y, s)=\Phi(x, t)$. In divergence form it then becomes (with $u(x, t)=v(\Phi(x, t)), D_{j}=\partial / \partial y_{j}$ and $\left.D_{s}=\partial / \partial s\right)$

$$
\begin{align*}
D_{s}\left(a D_{s} v-\sum_{i, j=1}^{n} D_{i}\left(a_{1 j} D_{j} v\right)+\right. & \sum_{j=1}^{n} D_{j}\left(b_{j} D_{s} v\right)+  \tag{7}\\
& +\sum_{j=1}^{n} c_{j} D_{j} v+c D_{s} v+f(y, s, v)=0
\end{align*}
$$

where $f(y, s, v)=\boldsymbol{F}\left(\psi_{1}(y, s), \ldots, \psi_{n}(y, s), u \cdot \psi\right)$ is still continuous on $\mathbf{R}^{n+1}$ and of $(y, s, v) \geq 0$. Then $g(y, s, v)=G\left(\psi_{1}(y, s), \ldots, \psi_{n}(y, s), u \cdot \psi\right)$ is a primitive of $f$ such that $g\left(y, s, 0\right.$; a and $a_{i j}$ are given in (4) and the other coefficients
involve first and second order derivatives of $\Phi$. The initial conditions become

$$
\begin{gather*}
v(y, 0)=v_{0}(y)=u_{0}(\psi(y))  \tag{8}\\
D_{s} v(y, 0)=v_{1}(y)=u_{1}\left(\psi(y) \supset \frac{\partial \psi_{n+1}}{\partial s}(y, 0)+\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial u_{0}}{\partial x_{i}}(\psi(y)) \frac{\partial \psi_{i}}{\partial s}(y, 0)\right.
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\psi(\boldsymbol{y})=\left(\psi_{1}(y, 0), \ldots, \psi_{n}(y, 0)\right)$.
The boundary conditions of course becomes.

$$
\begin{equation*}
v=0 \text { on } \Sigma^{*} \text {, the iateral boundary of } Q^{*} . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

To solve (7).(9) we shall follow the tecnique of Straus [6] and at the same time use the penalty method. Thus we shall consider equation (7) in $B$ with $f$ approximated by a Lipschitz function and with the addition of the penalty term.

Lemma 2. Let $f(y, s, v)$ be continuous on $B \times \mathbf{R}$ with $v f(y, s, v) \geq \mathbf{0}$. Suppose that $\frac{\partial f}{\partial s}$ is continuous on $B \times \mathbf{R}$ and that is constant such $c>0$ $\left|\frac{\partial f}{\partial s}\right| \leq c|f|$ on $B \times \mathbf{R}$. Then there is a sequence of continuous functions $f_{k}(y, s, v)$ such that $v f_{k}(y, s, v) \geq 0$ and
(i) $\left|f_{k}(y, s, \xi)-f_{k}(y, s, \eta)\right| \leq c_{k}(y s)|\xi-\eta|$
where $c_{k}$ is continuous on $B$;
(ii) $f_{k} \rightarrow f$ uniformy ou $B_{0} \times K$ is any bounded interval of $\mathbf{R}$ and $B_{0}$ is a bounded set of $B$;
(iii) $\left|\frac{\partial f_{k}}{\partial_{s}}\right| \leq c\left|f_{k}\right|$ on $B \times R$

The proof of lemma 2 is left till the end.
Now define $g_{k}(y, s, v)=\int_{0}^{v} f_{k}(y, s, \xi) d \xi$. From (iii) it follows that $\left|\frac{\partial g_{k}}{\partial^{s}}\right| \leq$ $\leq c\left|g_{k}\right|$. After makiug this approximate the initial data. We extend $v_{0}(y)$ and $v_{1}(y)$ by zero to all of $\mathbf{R}^{n}$, keeping to same notation. Then $v_{1}(y) \in L^{2}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)$, and by the smoothness assumption on the boundary of Q we have $v_{0}(y) \varepsilon$ $\varepsilon H^{1}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)$. There exists a sequence $v_{0 k}(y)$ such that $v_{0 k}(y) \rightarrow v_{0}(y)$ in $H^{1}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)$ and a. e. such that $v_{0 k}$ has bounded support and $\left|v_{0 k}(y)\right| \leq k$. The former is achieved by multiplying $v_{0}$ by a suitable smooth function of bounded
support, and the latter by truncating at height $k$ (see Stampacchia [5], lemma 11).

It follows from lemma 2 that $g_{j} \rightarrow g$ uniformely on $B_{0} \times K$ where $K$ is a bounded subset of $R$, and $B_{0}$ is a bounded set of $B$. Then, for each fixed $k, g_{j}\left(y, 0, v_{0 k}(y)\right)$ converges to $g\left(y, 0, v_{0 k}\right)$ a. e. and hence in $L^{1}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)$ because the support of $v_{0 k}$ is bounded. Now because $g(y, s, v)$ is monotone increasing in $|v|, g\left(y, 0, v_{0 k}(y)\right) \leq g\left(y, 0, v_{0}(y)\right) \in L^{1}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)$ and $g\left(v_{0 k}\right) \longrightarrow g\left(v_{0}\right)$ in $L^{1}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)$. Thus we may choose a subsequence of the $g_{j}$ which we shall denote by $g_{k}$, such that

$$
g_{k}\left(v_{0, k}\right) \rightarrow g\left(v_{0}\right) \text { in } L^{1}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)
$$

After these preliminaires, we consider the following approximate equation in $B$ to (7)-(9):

$$
\begin{gather*}
D_{s}\left(a D_{s} V\right)-\sum_{i, j=1}^{n} D_{j}\left(a_{i j} D_{i} V\right)+\sum_{j=0}^{n} D_{j}\left(b_{j} D_{s} V\right)+  \tag{10}\\
\quad+\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{j} D_{j} V+c D_{s} V+f_{k}(y, s, V)+k M D_{s} V=0 \\
V(y, 0)=v_{0 k}(y) ; D_{s} V(y, 0)=v_{1}(y) \tag{11}
\end{gather*}
$$

$M(y, s)$ is a function equal to zero on $\mathrm{Q}^{*}$ and equal to one outside $Q^{*}$.
As is well known, the Galerkin method may be used to solve (10), (11) (see [3]). The only point to mention is that in multiplying by $D_{s} V$ in order to make the usual energy estimate, one finds that

$$
f_{k}(y, s, \nabla) D_{s} \nabla=D_{s} g_{k}(y, s, v)-\frac{\partial g_{k}}{\partial^{s}}(y, s, V)
$$

The last term may be absorved in the estimate because $\left|\partial g_{k} / \partial s\right| \leq c\left|g_{k}\right|$.
Thus for each $k=1,2, \ldots$ there exists a solution $V^{k}$ of (10), (11) such that $V^{k}(s)$ is weakly continuous in $H^{1}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)$ and $D_{s} V^{k}(s)$ is weakly continuous in $L^{2}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right), 0 \leq s \leq T$. Furthermore $V^{k}$ satisfies the energy inequality

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{2} \int_{R n}\left\{\left(a D_{s} V^{k}(s)\right)^{2}+\right. & \sum_{i, j=1}^{n} a_{i j} D_{i} V^{k}(s) D_{j} V^{k}(s) d y+  \tag{12}\\
& +\int_{R^{n}} g_{k}\left(y, s, V^{k}(s)\right) d x+k \int_{0}^{s} M(y, \xi)\left(D_{s} V^{k}\right)^{2} d \xi \leq \\
\leq & c_{1} \int_{R^{n}}\left\{\frac{1}{2}\left(a v_{1}(y)\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i, j=1}^{n} a_{i j} D_{i} v_{0 k}(y) D_{j} v_{0 k}(y)+g_{k}\left(y, 0, v_{0 k}(y)\right)\right\} d y
\end{align*}
$$

By our choice of the sequence $v_{0 k}$ and of the subsequence $g^{k}$, we know that the right side of (12) will converges to

$$
\int_{R^{n}}\left\{\frac{1}{2}\left(a(0) v_{1}(y)\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i, j=1}^{n} a_{i j}(0) D_{i} v_{0}(y) D_{j} v_{0}(y)+g\left(y, 0, v_{0}(y)\right)\right\} d y
$$

The left side consists only of positive terms and these must be bounded. Thus we may extract a subsequence again denoted by $V^{k}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
V^{k} \rightarrow V \text { weak star in } L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; H^{1}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)\right) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
D_{s} V^{k} \longrightarrow D_{s} V \text { weak star in } L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{2}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)\right)
$$

By a standard compactness argument (see Lions [2]) we also assume that $V^{k} \rightarrow V$ a.e. in $B$. (12) also implies that for some constant $c_{2}>0$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
k \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}} M\left|D_{s} V^{k}\right|^{2} d y d s \leq c_{2} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the fact that $Q^{*}$ is monotone increasing we may deduce that

$$
M V^{k}(y, s)=\int_{0}^{*} M(y, \xi) D_{s} V^{k}(y, \xi) d \xi
$$

and it follows that

$$
\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}} M\left|V^{k}\right|^{2} d y d s \leq c_{3} \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}}^{T} M\left|D_{s} V^{k}\right|^{2} d y d x
$$

Then by Schwartz inequality and (14), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
k \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}} M D_{s} V^{k} \nabla^{k} d y d s \leq c_{4} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

To obtain convergence of the non linear term we shall need (15), We multiply (10) by $V^{k}$ and integrate from 0 to $T$. Using the weak continuity of $\nabla^{k}$ we obtain :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(a(T) D_{s} V^{k}(T), V^{k}(T)\right),-\left(a(0) D_{s} V^{k}(0), V^{k}(0)\right)- \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
-\int_{0}^{T}\left(a D_{s} V^{k}, D_{s} V^{k}\right) d s+\int_{0}^{T} \sum_{i, j=1}^{n}\left(a_{i j} D_{i} V^{k}, D_{j} V^{k}\right) d s+ \\
\int_{0}^{T}\left(f\left(V^{k}\right), V^{k}\right) d s+k \int_{0}^{T}\left(M D_{s} V^{k}, V^{k}\right) d s+\text { lower order terms }=0 .
\end{gathered}
$$

The bounds established in (12) and (15) imply that $\int_{0}^{T}\left(f_{k}\left(V^{k}\right), \nabla^{k}\right) d s$ is also bounded. Thus we are in a position to apply lemma 1 and we deduce that $f(V)$ is locally integrable and that $f_{k}\left(V^{k}\right) \rightarrow f(V)$ in $L^{1}(D)$ for any bounded set $D$ of $B$.

Let $v$ denote the restriction of $V$ to $Q^{*}$. Then if $\varphi$ is any testing function with support in $Q^{*}$, we have $\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}} M D_{s} V^{k} \varphi d y d s=0$. Taking the limit as $k \rightarrow \infty$ we will have that $V$ satisfies (7) in $\mathcal{D}^{\prime}\left(Q^{*}\right)$. Next we show that $v$ satisfies (8). Let $S$ denote the cylinder $\Omega^{*}(0) \times[0, T]$ which is contained in $Q^{*}$ because $Q^{*}$ is monotone increasing. Then of course $v$ satisfies (7) in $\mathscr{D}^{\prime}(S)$. We may deduce tbat the restriction of $v$ to $S$ is continuous (as a function of $s$ ) in $L^{2}\left(\Omega^{*}(0)\right)$ and that $D_{s} v$ is continuous in $H^{1}\left(\Omega^{*}(0)\right)+$ $+L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{1}\left(\Omega^{*}(0)\right)$.

The usual integration by partes then implies that $v(y, 0)=v_{0}(y)$ and $D_{s} v(y, 0)=v_{1}(y)$ in $\Omega^{*}(0)$. Finally, we turn our attention to (9). Our estimate ( 15 and the fact that $V^{k} \rightarrow V$ a.e. implies that $V=0$ a.e. in $B \sim Q^{*}$. Hence by the regularity property of the boundary of $Q^{*}$ we may deduce that

$$
v \in L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; H_{0}^{1}\left(\Omega^{*}(s)\right)\right)
$$

which is to say that $v$ satisfies (9) in a generalized sense.
Setting $u(x, t)=v(\Phi(x, t))$ and using the smoothness properties of the mapping $J$ we find that $u$ is a solution to our original problem (1)-(3).
Q.E.D.

Proof of Lemma 2. We set

$$
f_{k}(y, s, v)=k \int_{v}^{v+\frac{1}{k}} f(y, s, \xi) d \xi
$$

for $0 \leq v \leq k$ and $-k \leq v \leq-\frac{1}{k}$ Between 0 and $-1 / k$ we let $f_{k}$ be
linear and for $|v| \geq k$ we let $f_{k}$ be the appropriate constante. We have $v f_{k}(v) \geq 0 f$ is continuous so it clear that $f_{k}$ is uniformly Lipschitz in $v$. Furthermore $f_{k} \rightarrow f$ uniformly on vounded sets. Now

$$
\frac{\partial f_{k}}{\partial s}=k \int_{v}^{v+\frac{1}{k}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial s}(y, s, \xi) d \xi
$$

so that

$$
\left|\frac{\partial f_{k}}{\partial s}\right| \leq k \quad \int_{v}^{v+\frac{1}{k}}\left|\frac{\partial f}{\partial s}\right| d \xi \leq c k \int_{v}^{v+\frac{1}{k}}|f| d s=c\left|f_{k}\right|
$$

## 3. Final Remarks.

The importance of the condition

$$
\left|\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{F}}{\partial s}\right| \leq c|\boldsymbol{F}|
$$

is not yet clear. Thus if $Q$ itself is monotone increasing, we can solve (7).(9) with, say, $F(x, u)=e^{x_{1} u}-1$ for $u \geq 0$.

However, a change of variable would yield

$$
f(y, s, v)=e^{\psi_{1}(y, s) v}-1
$$

with

$$
\frac{\partial f}{\partial s}=\left(\frac{\partial v \psi_{1}}{\partial s}\right) e^{\psi_{1}(y, s) v}
$$

which would not satisfy our condition.
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