Annales scientifiques de l'Université de Clermont-Ferrand 2 Série Mathématiques

THOMAS VOUGIOUKLIS

On some representations of hypergroups

Annales scientifiques de l'Université de Clermont-Ferrand 2, tome 95, série Mathématiques, nº 26 (1990), p. 21-29

http://www.numdam.org/item?id=ASCFM 1990 95 26 21 0>

© Université de Clermont-Ferrand 2, 1990, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Annales scientifiques de l'Université de Clermont-Ferrand 2 » implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (http://www.numdam.org/conditions). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

ON SOME REPRESENTATIONS OF HYPERGROUPS

THOMAS VOUGIOUKLIS

DEMOCRITUS UNIVERSITY OF THRACE
671 00 XANTHI, GREECE

Abstract

A class of hypermatrices to represent hypergroups is introduced. Application on class of P-hypergroups is given.

1. INTRODUCTION

The problem of representations of hypergroups by hypermatrices can be tackled in two ways: First, by using hypermatrices and with the usual multiplication. Second, by using usual matrices with a hypermultiplication of matrices. The former case requires a special hyperring and the latter a permanent hyperoperation. Both above problems are almost open. In this paper we mainly deal with the first problem.

The hypergroup in the sense of Marty is the largest class of multivalued systems that satisfies the group-like axioms: $\langle H, \rangle$ is a hypergroup if .: $H \times H \longrightarrow p(H)$ is an associative hyperoperation which satisfies the reproduction axiom hH = Hh = H, for every h of H.

D-hypergroups, cogroups, polygroups, canonical hypergroups, complete hypergroups, join spaces, etc. are special classes of the hypergroup of Marty [1],[2],[4],[6], but also there are some related hypergroups introduced and studied as in [12].

The hyperring in the general sense [10] is the largest class of multivalued systems that satisfies the ring-like axioms: $\langle R, +, . \rangle$ is a hyperring in the general sense if $\langle R, + \rangle$ is a hypergroup of Marty, (.) is associative hyperoperation and the distributive law x(y+z) < xy+xz, (x+y)z < xz+yz is satisfied for every x,y,z of R. Additive hyperring

Thomas VOUGLIOUKLIS

is the one of which only (+) is a hyperoperation, multiplicative hyperring is the one which only (.) is a hyperoperation. If the equality in the distributive law is valid then the hyperring is called strong or good. The most known class of hyperrings is the additive hyperring in the sense of Krasner.

In the following we shall use the generalization of the hyperring by dropping the reproduction axiom: $\langle R,+,.\rangle$ will be called semihyperring if (+),(.) are associative hyperoperations where (.) is distributive with respect to (+). The rest definitions are analogous. We remark that the definitions presending in the following as well the results on hyperrings are also true for semihyperrings. Note that in the definition of semihyperring we do not require the commutativity even for the hyperoperation (+).

Remark: It is not known yet a general definition of a hyperfield that contains all the known classes of hyperfields.

Hypermatrices are called the matrices with entries, elements of a semihyperring. The product of two hypermatrices (a_{ij}) , (b_{ij}) is the hyperoperation given in the usual manner

$$(a_{ij})(b_{ij}) = \{ (c_{ij}) : c_{ij} \in \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{ik}b_{kj} \}$$

Our problem is the following one: For given hypergroup H, find a semihyperring R such that to have a representation of H by hypermatrices with entries from R. Recall that if $M_R = \{(a_{ij}): a_{ij} \in R\}$, then a map T:H $\longrightarrow M_R$:h \longrightarrow T(h) is called a representation if

 $T(h_1h_2) \in T(h_1)T(h_2)$ for every h_1,h_2 of H.

We are more interested in the case when the good condition

 $T(h_1).T(h_2) = \{ T(h): h \in h_1h_2 \} = T(h_1h_2)$

is valid for every h_1,h_2 of H, in which case we can obtain an induced representation T for the hypergroup algebra of H, see [11].

2. THE FUNDAMENTAL EQUIVALENCE RELATIONS

Let <H,..> be a hypergroup. The fundamental equivalence relation

 β_H^{π} is the transitive closure of the relation β_H defined by setting

$$a \beta_{H} b \text{ iff } \exists x_{1},...,x_{n} \in H : \{a,b\} \subset \prod_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}$$

An equivalent definition is the following:

a
$$\beta_H^*$$
 b iff $\exists x_0, x_1, ..., x_k; h_i, ..., h_i \in H$ with $x_0 = a$, $x_k = b$, and $I_1, ..., I_k$ finite sets of indices such that

$$\{x_{j-1},x_{j}\} \subset i_{j} \prod_{j=1}^{n} h_{i_{j}}, j=1,...,k.$$

The basic property of the fundamental relation is that it is the minimum equivalence relation such that H/β_H^* is a group, the fundamental group (see [2],[3],[9]). So, denoting by $F_H(x)$ the fundamental class of the element x, we have the fundamental property:

 $F_H(a).F_H(b) = F_H(ab) = F_H(x)$ for every $x \in ab$. The kernel of the canonical map $\phi:H \longrightarrow H/\beta_H$ is called *core* and it is denoted by ω_H , if $|\omega_H| = n$ then H is called n-hypergroup.

Remark The fundamental equivalence relations on hypergroupoids, semihypergroups, hypergroups etc. can lead us to stricter algebraic domains from given ones, see [3].

Now let $\langle R,+,.\rangle$ be a hyperring in the general sense and $n\neq 0$ a natural number. The n-fundamental relation, denoted by \underline{n} , is defined as follows [10]:

- (i) $a \underline{n} a$ for every a of R
- (ii) $a \ \underline{n} \ b \ , a \neq b \ iff \ \exists \ x_0 = a, x_1, ..., x_k = b \in \mathbb{R}, \text{ and } i_1, ..., i_k \in \mathbb{N} \{0,1\}$:

$$\{x_{s-1},x_s\} \subset \sum_{\nu=1}^{n} (\sum_{\mu=1}^{n} x_{s\mu\nu}), s=1,...,k \text{ and } x_{s\mu\nu} \in \mathbb{R}.$$

The n-fundamental relation is an equivalence relation and let us denote by F_n the n-quotient set and by $F_n(x)$ the n-fundamental class of x. The 1-fundamental relation coincides with the fundamental relation β^* defined only on the multiplication.

THEOREM 1

The $\langle F_n, \bullet, \circ \rangle$ is an additive hyperring where

$$\begin{array}{lll} F_n(x) & F_n(y) = \{F_n(z): z \in F_n(x) + F_n(y)\} &, \\ F_n(x) & F_n(y) = \{F_n(z): z \in F_n(x) . F_n(y)\} &. \end{array}$$

Proof

The above hyperoperations are associative, the fundamental property for the multiplication is satisfied i.e. $F_n(x) \circ F_n(y) = \{F_n(z)\}, \forall z \in xy$. The distributivity is not strong. For a complete proof see [10].

THEOREM 2

A necessary condition in order to have a representation T of the hypergroup H by nxn hypermatrices over the hyperring R is the following:

For any fundamental class $F_n(x)$, $x \in H$, we must have elements R, i,j=1,...,n such that

$$T(F_H(x)) \in \{ (a_{ij}^2) : a_{ij}^2 \in F_n(a_{ij}^2), i,j=1,...,n \}.$$
Proof See [10].

3. A CLASS OF HYPERMATRICES

Let (G,.) be a group with |G|=n, and $\{X_g\}_{g\in G}$ be a disjoint family of sets indexed of the set G. We set $X = \bigcup_{g \in G} X_g$ and we consider one more element, the zero element 0, and set $X^0 = X \cup \{0\}$. On X⁰ we define the (hyper)multiplication as follows

$$0.x=x.0=0$$
 for all $x \in X^0$

$$x_r.x_s = X_{rs}$$
 for all $x_r \in X_r$ and $x_s \in X_s$.

This hyperoperation is associative. The X^0 becomes a semihyperring, strong distributive, with 0 the additively absolute scalar identity and where the (hyper)addition on the rest elements can be defined in some ways as the following ones (c.f. [10])

(a)
$$x_r + x_s = \{ x_r, x_s \}$$

(a)
$$x_r + x_s = \{x_r, x_s\}$$

(b) $x_r + x_s = X_r \cup X_s$ for all $x_r \in X_r$ and $x_s \in X_s$

(c)
$$x_r + x_s = X$$

THEOREM 3

All the above semihyperrings have

$$F_1 = \{\{0\}\} \cup \{X_g: g \in G\} \text{ and } F_n = \{\{0\},X\}, n>1.$$

Proof

The 0 is not contained in any sum of products with any other element so $F_n(0)=\{0\}$ for every n.

One observes that the 1-fundamental relation coincides with the relation on the multiplication (.). Therefore the 1-fundamental classes are {0} and the family $\{X_g\}_{g \in G}$.

Now let n>1. We observe that the smallest hyperoperation is the case so it has the smallest equivalence classes. Therefore it is enough to prove the theorem only in this case.

If e be the unit element of G we have for all r,s \in G and $x_r \in X_r, x_s \in X_s$

$$\{x_r, x_s\} \subset X_r \cup X_s = X_r + X_s = \underbrace{X_{re} + ... + X_{re}}_{n-1 \text{ times}} + X_{se} = \underbrace{x_r x_e + ... + x_r x_e}_{n-1 \text{ times}} + x_s x_e$$

That means that $x_{\underline{n}} x_{\underline{s}}$. So $F_{\underline{n}}(x_{\underline{r}}) = F_{\underline{n}}(x_{\underline{s}}) = X$. Q.E.D.

<u>Remark</u> If G is imbedable in the multiplicative group of a field then also the addition can be defined by setting $x_r + x_s = X_{r+s}$ then X becomes a hyperring. In this case $F_n(x_r) = X_r, \forall x_r \in X_r$, and $F_n(0) = \{0\}$.

Let $f: g \longrightarrow M_g$ be the regular representation of the group G by $n \times n$ permutation matrices. For every permutation matrix $M_g = (g_{ij})$ we consider the set of associated hypermatrices

$$\underline{\mathbf{M}}_{\mathbf{g}} = \{ A = (a_{ij}) : a_{ij} = 0 \text{ if } g_{ij} = 0 \text{ and } a_{ij} \in X_{\mathbf{g}} \text{ if } g_{ij} = 1 \}$$

We observe that $|\underline{M}_g| = n |X_g|$.

THEOREM 4

The set $\underline{M}=\bigcup_{g\in G}\underline{M}_g$ is a hypergroup with respect to the usual multiplication of hypermatrices.

Proof

Let $r,s \in G$ and $A=(a_{ij}) \in \underline{M}_r$, $B=(b_{ij}) \in \underline{M}_s$ we have

A.B = {
$$C=(c_{ij}) : c_{ij} \in \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{ik}b_{kj}$$
 } = \underline{M}_{rs}

because every non zero product of the form $a_{ik}b_{kj}$ is equal to X_{rs} , and the permutation matrix corresponding to the product A.B is M_{rs} . Therefore if $A \in \underline{M}_r$, $B \in \underline{M}_s$, $C \in \underline{M}_t$ we have

$$A(BC) = A(\underline{M}_{St}) = \bigcup_{D \in \underline{M}_{St}} AD = \underline{M}_{rst}$$

Similarly (AB)C = \underline{M}_{rst} , so (.) is associative.

Moreover for all $A \in \underline{M}_g$ we have

$$A.\underline{M} = \bigcup_{g \in G} A.\underline{M}_g = \bigcup_{g \in G} \underline{M}_{fg} = \bigcup_{g \in G} \underline{M}_g = \underline{M}$$

and $\underline{M}.A = \underline{M}$. Therefore $\langle \underline{M}, \rangle$ is a hypergroup.

Remark

Remark

It is obvious that $|\underline{M}/\beta_{\underline{M}}| = n$. More precisely for all A of \underline{M}_r we have $F_{\underline{M}}(A) = \underline{M}_r$. Moreover since $AB = \underline{M}_{rs}$ for every A of \underline{M}_r and B of \underline{M}_s we obtain that $<\underline{M}_r>$ is a complete hypergroup [2].

We also notice that if $|X_g| = v = \text{constant then we have } |\underline{M}| = n^{v+1}$.

4. A REPRESENTATION

Let $\langle H,...\rangle$ be a hypergroup with H/β_H^* be the fundamental group which we suppose that is of finite order n. Let $F_H(x)$ be the fundamental class of the element x and let $h_1,...,h_n$ be selected elements of each class. We consider the semihyperring $\langle X^O, \oplus, \circ \rangle$ introduced above where $G = H/\beta_H^*$, $X_h = F_H(h_i)$, i=1,...,n, so $X^O = H^O = H \cup \{0\}$. Therefore we actually have $G \cong \{h_1,...,h_n\}$. The hyperoperation \circ is given by the relations $0 \circ x = x \circ 0 = 0$, for $x \in H^O$ and $x \circ y = F_H(x).F_H(y) = F_H(xy) = F_H(z)$, where $z \in xy$ and $x,y \in H$.

The hyperoperation \oplus is one of the above (a),(b) or (c). For the group G and the above semihyperring we consider the hypergroup M of the associated hypermatrices.

We have, if H be finite, $|\underline{M}_{h_i}| = n^{|F_H(h_i)|}$ and $|\underline{M}| = \sum_{i=1}^n n^{|F_H(h_i)|}$. We consider any map T: $H \longrightarrow \underline{M}$ such that if $F_H(x) = F_H(h_i)$ we have $T(F_H(x)) \subset \underline{M}_{h_i}$. Then T is a representation of H by hypermatrices. Since \underline{M} is complete it is possible to represent hypergroups with order greater than |H|. We give such a construction in the following: Let us consider the maximal k_i 's such that

$$|F_{H}(h_{i})|^{k_{i}} \leq n^{|F_{H}(h_{i})|} \quad \text{and let} \quad k = \min \{k_{1}, \dots, k_{n}\}.$$

We consider the union K of the cartesian products $(F_H(h_i))^k$, i.e.

$$K = \{ (x_1,...,x_k) \in (F_H(h_i))^k : i=1,...,n \}.$$

In K we define a hyperoperation * as follows:

if
$$(x_1,...,x_k) \in (F_H(h_i))^k$$
 and $(y_1,...,y_k) \in (F_H(h_j))^k$ then $(x_1,...,x_k)^*(y_1,...,y_k) = (F_H(h_ih_j))^k$.

It is immediate that any map $T: K \longrightarrow \underline{M}$ such that

$$T((F_H(h_i))^k) \in \underline{M}_{h_i}$$

is a representation of K by hypermatrices.

In the special case for which $|F_H(h_i)| = \nu$ for every i then the above set K is isomorphic to the set

$$\begin{split} & \quad K' = \{(h_i, x_1, ..., x_{\nu}) : i = 1, ..., n \quad \text{with} \quad x_1, ..., x_{\nu} \in F_H(h_i) \} \\ & \quad \text{with hyperproduct} \\ & \quad (h_i, x_1, ..., x_{\nu})^*(h_j, y_1, ..., y_{\nu}) = \{(h_i h_j, z_1, ..., z_{\nu}) : z_1, ..., z_{\nu} \in F_H(h_i h_j)\} = \\ & \quad = (h_i h_i, F_H(h_i h_i), ..., F_H(h_i h_i)) \; . \end{split}$$

5. APPLICATION ON P-HYPERGROUPS

A large class of hypergroups is the following one [7],[8]:

Let (S,.) be a semigroup and $P \in S$, $P \neq \emptyset$. The $(S,P)^*$ is called P-semihypergroup if the associative P-hyperoperation P is defined by setting xP y = xPy for all x,y of S. If (S,P) is a hypergroup then it is called P-hypergroup. One defines P-hyperoperations on semihypergroups instead of semigroups as well. So the following theorem is also true for this case.

THEOREM 5

Let (S,.) be a semigroup, then the P-semihypergroups $(S,P)^*$ are hypergroups iff (S,.) is a group.

Proof

If (S,.) is a group then obviously $(S,P)^*$ is a hypergroup.

Now let us suppose that (S,.) is not a group, then we have an element x of S such that $xS \subseteq S$.

We remark that the greater P-hyperoperation is for P=S, i.e. for all $u,v \in S$ and PcS we have $uP^*v \subset uSv$. Therefore it is enough to prove that $\langle S,S^* \rangle$ is not a hypergroup. Let us take an element $y \notin xS$ and suppose that the reproduction axiom is valid for $\langle S,S^* \rangle$ then $y \in xS^*S = xSS \subset xS$ which is a contradiction. Q.E.D.

We can obtain a representation (isomorphic) on a class of P-hypergroups using the construction given in the above sections 3,4 as follows:

Let $X_g = G$ for all $g \in G$ and (G,.) be a group, then in the above construction $|\underline{M}| = n^{n+1}$. We consider the cartesian product G^{n+1} and we take the set

 $P = \{(e,g_1,...,g_n): g_1,...,g_n \in G \} = \{e\} \times G^n$ where e be the identity of G. Then in G^{n+1} the P-hypergroup is defined where the P-hyperoperation P* is given by

 $(g,g_1,...,g_n)P^*(g',g_1',...,g_n') = \{gg'\} \times G^n,$ We consider the map

T:
$$G^{n+1} \longrightarrow \underline{M}$$
: $(g,g_1,...,g_n) \longrightarrow A = (a_{ij})$

where $A \in \underline{M}_g$ is such that in the permutation matrix M_g we set $a_{ij} = g_i$ for all $a_{ij} \neq 0$. This map (which is not unique) is obviously an isomorphic representation of the P-hypergroup $\langle G^{n+1}, P^* \rangle$ by hypermatrices. It is clear that the good condition is valid.

6. P-HYPEROPERATION ON MATRICES

In this section we use ordinary matrices but we introduce a new hyperoperation on them.

DEFINITION

Let $M_{m\times n}$ be the set of $m\times n$ matrices with entries from a given ring. Let also $P = \{ P_i : i \in I \} \subset M_{m\times n}$ we can define a P-hyperoperation P^{t^*} on $M_{m\times n}$ extending the Ree's multiplication, see [5], as follows

$$AP^{t^*}B = AP^tB$$
, $\forall A,B \in M_{m \times n}$

where $P^t = \{P_i^t : i \in I\}$ is the set of transpose matrices of the set P. This hyperoperation is defined always and is obviously associative. Therefore $\langle M_{m \times n}, P^{t*} \rangle$ is a semihypergroup, which we shall call also P-semihypergroup.

Remark

The set $M_{m\times n}$ is not a semigroup for $m\neq n$, but this P-hyperoperation is actually defined on the set of all matrices (for every m and n) where an associative partial operation (the multiplication of matrices) is defined. Therefore P-hyperoperations can be defined on subsets of sets equipped with partial associative operations.

Using the P-hyperoperation on the set M_n of square matrices we can represent all P-hypergroups as follows:

Let (G_n) be a group with |G|=n and let

$$T: G \longrightarrow M_n : g \longrightarrow T(g) = M_g$$

be the ordinary representation of G by permutation matrices and we set $M = \{M_g: g \in G\}$. Let $P \in G$ and we consider the P-hypergroup $\langle G,P^* \rangle$. In M_n we take the set $\{M_p^t: p \in P\} = P$ then the map T is a representation of the P-hypergroup $\langle G,P^* \rangle$ on the set M_n using the P-hyperoperation P.

REFERENCES

- [1] Comer,S., Polygroups derived from cogroups, J. of Algebra, V.89(2),(1984),p.397-405.
- [2] Corsini,P., Prolegomeni Alla Teoria Degli Ipergruppi, Quaderni Dell'Ist. Mat. Udine (1986).
- [3] Corsini, P.- Vougiouklis, T., From groupoids to groups through hypergroups, (1989), preprint.
- [4] Haddad, L.- Sureau, Y., Les cogroupes et les D-hypergroupes, J. of Algebra, 118, (1988), p, 468-476.
- [5] Ljapin, E., Semigroups, Translations Math. Monographs, A.M.S., (1963).
- [6] Prenowitz, W.- Jantosciak, J., Join Geometries, U.T.M., Springer-Verlag, New York, (1979).
- [7] Vougiouklis, T., Cyclicity in a special class of hypergroups, Acta Un. Car. Math. Ph., 22(1), (1981), p. 3-6.
- [8] Vougiouklis, T., Generalization of P-hypergroups, Rend. Circolo Mat. Palermo, Ser. II, 36(1987), p. 114-121.
- [9] Vougiouklis, T., Groups in hypergroups, Annals Discrete Math. 37, (1988), p. 459-468.
- [10] Vougiouklis, T., Representations of hypergroups by hypermatrices, Rivista Mat. Pura Appl., (1987), p.7-19.
- [11] Vougiouklis, T., Representations of hypergroups. Hypergroup algebra, Proc. Conv. su: Ipergruppi, str. multivoche appl. Udine (1985), p. 59-73.
- [12] Wall, H.S., Hypergroups, Amer. J. Math., 59(1937), p. 77-98.

Manuscrit reçu en Juillet 1989