

P.N. NATARAJAN

Weighted means in non-archimedean fields

Annales mathématiques Blaise Pascal, tome 2, n° 1 (1995), p. 191-200

http://www.numdam.org/item?id=AMBP_1995__2_1_191_0

© Annales mathématiques Blaise Pascal, 1995, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Annales mathématiques Blaise Pascal » (<http://math.univ-bpclermont.fr/ambp/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques

<http://www.numdam.org/>

WEIGHTED MEANS IN NON-ARCHIMEDEAN FIELDS

P.N. Natarajan

§1. INTRODUCTION.

In developing summability methods in non-archimedean fields, Srinivasan [6] defined the analogue of the classical weighted means (\bar{N}, p_n) under the assumption that the sequence $\{p_n\}$ of weights satisfies the conditions :

$$|p_0| < |p_1| < |p_2| < \dots < |p_n| < \dots ; \tag{1}$$

and
$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |p_n| = \infty . \tag{2}$$

However, it turned out that these weighted means were equivalent to convergence. In the present paper, an attempt is made to remedy the situation by assuming that the sequence $\{p_n\}$ of weights satisfies the conditions :

$$p_n \neq 0, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots ; \tag{3}$$

and
$$|p_i| \leq |P_j|, \quad i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, j, \quad j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \tag{4}$$

where $P_j = \sum_{k=0}^j p_k$, $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. Note that (3) and (4) imply $P_n \neq 0$, $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$.

(4) is equivalent to

$$\max_{0 \leq i \leq j} |p_i| \leq |P_j|, \quad j = 0, 1, 2, \dots .$$

Since the valuation is non-archimedean,

$$|P_j| \leq \max_{0 \leq i \leq j} |p_j|$$

so that (4) is equivalent to

$$|P_j| = \max_{0 \leq i \leq j} |p_j| = |p_j|. \tag{4'}$$

The assumptions (3) and (4) make the method of summability arising out of the weighted means non-trivial in certain cases (Remark 4) and further make it possible to compare two regular weighted means (Theorem 3) or compare a regular weighted mean with a regular matrix method (Theorem 4 and Theorem 5). This helps us to obtain (§4) a strictly increasing scale of regular summability methods in \mathbf{Q}_p , the p-adic field for a prime p ; analogous to the scale of Cesàro means in \mathbb{R} (the field of real numbers). These arise out of taking the weights

$$p_n = p^{nk}, \text{ if } n \text{ is odd;} \\ = \frac{1}{p^{nk}}, \text{ if } n \text{ is even,}$$

$$n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

For a knowledge of (\bar{N}, p_n) methods in the classical case, the reader may refer [2],[5] and for analysis in non-archimedean fields [1].

§2. PRELIMINARIES .

Throughout this paper, K denotes a complete, non-trivially valued, non-archimedean field and infinite matrices and sequences have their entries in K . Given an infinite matrix $A = (a_{nk}), n, k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ and a sequence $\{x_k\}, k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, by the A -transform of $\{x_k\}$, we mean the sequence $\{(Ax)_n\}$ where

$$(Ax)_n = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{nk}x_k, n = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$

it being assumed that the series on the right converge. If $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (Ax)_n = s$, we say that $\{x_k\}$ is A -summable (or summable by the infinite matrix method A) to s . If $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (Ax)_n = s$ whenever $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x_k = s$, the matrix method A is said to be regular. It is well-known (see [3], [4]) that A is regular if and only if

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \text{(a)} \quad & \sup_{n,k} |a_{nk}| < \infty \quad ; \\ \text{(b)} \quad & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{nk} = 0, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots \quad ; \\ \text{(c)} \quad & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{nk} \right) = 1 \quad . \end{aligned} \right\} \tag{5}$$

and

(cf. For criterion for the regularity of a matrix method in the classical case see [2], p.43, Theorem 2). If a regular matrix A is such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (Ax)_n = s$ implies $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x_k = s$, the matrix method A is said to be trivial. Given two infinite matrix methods A, B , we say that A is included in B , written as $A \subset B$, if any sequence $\{x_k\}$ that is A -summable to s is also B -summable to s . An infinite matrix $A = (a_{nk})$ is said to be triangular (or, more precisely, lower triangular) if $a_{nk} = 0, k > n, n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

Definition 1. The (\overline{N}, p_n) method is defined by the infinite matrix (a_{nk}) where

$$\left. \begin{aligned} a_{nk} &= \frac{p_k}{P_n}, \quad k \leq n \quad ; \\ &= 0, \quad k > n \quad . \end{aligned} \right\} \tag{6}$$

Remark 1. If $\left| \frac{P_{n+1}}{P_n} \right| > 1, n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |P_n| = \infty$ i.e. $|P_n|$ strictly increases to infinity, then the method (\overline{N}, p_n) is trivial. For $|p_n| = |P_n - P_{n-1}| = |P_n|$, since $|P_n| > |P_{n-1}|$. So (1) is satisfied. Since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |P_n| = \infty, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |p_n| = \infty$ so that (2) is satisfied too. Hence (\overline{N}, p_n) is trivial because of Theorem 4.2 of [6].

In the sequel we shall suppose that the sequence $\{p_n\}$ of weights satisfies conditions (3) and (4).

An example of such an (\overline{N}, p_n) method corresponds to $\{p_n\}$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned} p_n &= p^n, \quad \text{if } n \text{ is odd;} \\ &= \frac{1}{p^n}, \quad \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \end{aligned}$$

where $K = \mathbf{Q}_p$.

Remark 2. We note that (4) is equivalent to

$$|P_{n+1}| \geq |P_n|, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots \tag{7}$$

Proof. Let (4) hold. Now

$$\begin{aligned} |P_{n+1}| &= \max_{0 \leq i \leq n+1} |p_i| \\ &= \max \left[\max_{0 \leq i \leq n} |p_i|, |p_{n+1}| \right] \\ &= \max \left[|P_n|, |p_{n+1}| \right] \\ &\geq |P_n|, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots \end{aligned}$$

Conversely, let (7) hold. For a fixed integer $j \geq 0$ let $0 \leq i \leq j$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} |p_i| &= |P_i - P_{i-1}| \\ &\leq \max \left[|P_i|, |P_{i-1}| \right] \\ &\leq |P_i| \\ &\leq |P_j| \end{aligned}$$

by (7).

§3. MAIN RESULTS.

Theorem 1. (\overline{N}, p_n) is regular if and only if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |P_n| = \infty \tag{8}$$

Proof. Let the (\overline{N}, p_n) method be regular. Using (6) and (5)(b), we note that (8) holds. Conversely, let (8) hold. In view of (6) and (8) it follows that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{nk} = 0, k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$.

Now, $|a_{nk}| = 0, k > n$. If $k \leq n, |a_{nk}| = \frac{|p_k|}{|P_n|} \leq 1$, in view of (4).

Also $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{nk} = 1, n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ so that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{nk} \right) = 1$. Thus, by (5) the method (\overline{N}, p_n) is regular.

Remark 3. If (\overline{N}, p_n) is non-trivial, then (1) cannot be satisfied. Suppose (1) holds, then $|p_n| = |P_n|$ so that (2) also holds. Thus (\overline{N}, p_n) is trivial by Theorem 4.2 of [6], a contradiction. This establishes the claim.

Remark 4. There are non-trivial (\overline{N}, p_n) methods. Let $\alpha \in K$ such that $0 < c = |\alpha| < 1$, this being possible since K is non-trivially valued. Let

$$\{p_n\} = \left\{ \alpha, \frac{1}{\alpha^2}, \alpha^3, \frac{1}{\alpha^4}, \dots \right\}$$

and

$$\{s_n\} = \left\{ \frac{1}{\alpha}, \alpha^2, \frac{1}{\alpha^3}, \alpha^4, \dots \right\}$$

It is clear that $\{s_n\}$ does not converge. If $\{t_n\}$ is the (\overline{N}, p_n) transform of $\{s_k\}$,

$$\begin{aligned} |t_{2k}| &= \left| \frac{2k}{\alpha + \frac{1}{\alpha^2} + \alpha^3 + \dots + \frac{1}{\alpha^{2k}}} \right| \\ &= \frac{|2k|}{\left(\frac{1}{c^{2k}}\right)} \\ &\leq c^{2k} \\ |t_{2k+1}| &= \left| \frac{2k+1}{\alpha + \frac{1}{\alpha^2} + \alpha^3 + \dots + \frac{1}{\alpha^{2k}} + \alpha^{2k+1}} \right| \\ &= \frac{|2k+1|}{\left(\frac{1}{c^{2k}}\right)} \\ &\leq c^{2k} \end{aligned}$$

so that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_n = 0$. Thus $\{s_n\}$, though non convergent, is summable (\overline{N}, p_n) (in fact, to 0). This establishes our claim.

Theorem 2. (Limitation theorem) If $\{s_n\}$ is summable (\overline{N}, p_n) to s , then

$$|s_n - s| = o\left(\left|\frac{P_n}{p_n}\right|\right), n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Proof. If $\{t_n\}$ is the (\overline{N}, p_n) transform of $\{s_k\}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \left|\frac{p_n(s_n - s)}{P_n}\right| &= \left|\frac{p_n s_n - p_n s}{P_n}\right| \\ &= \left|\frac{P_n t_n - P_{n-1} t_{n-1} - s(P_n - P_{n-1})}{P_n}\right| \\ &= \left|\frac{P_n(t_n - s) - P_{n-1}(t_{n-1} - s)}{P_n}\right| \\ &\leq \max\left[|t_n - s|, \left|\frac{P_{n-1}}{P_n}\right| |t_{n-1} - s|\right] \\ &\leq \max[|t_n - s|, |t_{n-1} - s|] \end{aligned}$$

since $\left|\frac{P_{n-1}}{P_n}\right| \leq 1$, by (7). Since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_n = s$, it follows that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left|\frac{p_n(s_n - s)}{P_n}\right| = 0$. Thus

$$|s_n - s| = o\left(\left|\frac{P_n}{p_n}\right|\right), n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Theorem 3. (Comparison theorem for two regular weighted means). If (\overline{N}, p_n) , (\overline{N}, q_n) are two regular methods and if

$$\left|\frac{P_n}{p_n}\right| \leq H \left|\frac{Q_n}{q_n}\right|, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \tag{9}$$

where $H > 0$ is a constant and $Q_n = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} q_k$, then $(\overline{N}, p_n) \subset (\overline{N}, q_n)$.

Proof. Let, for a given sequence $\{s_n\}$,

$$\begin{aligned} t_n &= \frac{p_0 s_0 + p_1 s_1 + \dots + p_n s_n}{P_n}, \\ u_n &= \frac{q_0 s_0 + q_1 s_1 + \dots + q_n s_n}{Q_n}, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots \end{aligned}$$

Then $p_0s_0 = P_0t_0$, $p_ns_n = P_nt_n - P_{n-1}t_{n-1}$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$. Now,

$$u_n = \frac{1}{Q_n} \left[\frac{q_0}{p_0} P_0t_0 + \frac{q_1}{p_1} (P_1t_1 - P_0t_0) + \dots + \frac{q_n}{p_n} (P_nt_n - P_{n-1}t_{n-1}) \right]$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_{nk}t_k,$$

where

$$c_{nk} = \left(\frac{q_k}{p_k} - \frac{q_{k+1}}{p_{k+1}} \right) \frac{P_k}{Q_n}, \quad k < n;$$

$$= \frac{q_k}{p_k} \frac{P_k}{Q_k}, \quad k = n;$$

$$= 0, \quad k > n.$$

Since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |Q_n| = \infty$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} c_{nk} = 0$, $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. If $s_n = 1$, $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$,

$t_n = u_n = 1$, $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ so that $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_{nk} = 1$, $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ and so $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_{nk} \right) = 1$.

Let $k < n$.

$$|c_{nk}| = \left| \frac{q_k}{p_k} - \frac{q_{k+1}}{p_{k+1}} \right| \left| \frac{P_k}{Q_n} \right|$$

$$\leq \max \left[\left| \frac{q_k}{p_k} \right| \left| \frac{P_k}{Q_n} \right|, \left| \frac{q_{k+1}}{p_{k+1}} \right| \left| \frac{P_k}{Q_n} \right| \right]$$

$$\leq \max \left[\left| \frac{q_k}{p_k} \right| \left| \frac{P_k}{Q_k} \right|, \left| \frac{q_{k+1}}{p_{k+1}} \right| \left| \frac{P_{k+1}}{Q_{k+1}} \right| \right]$$

$$\leq H,$$

by (9), since $k < n$ implies $|Q_k|, |Q_{k+1}| \leq |Q_n|$ and so $\frac{1}{Q_n} \leq \frac{1}{Q_k}, \frac{1}{Q_{k+1}}$ and $|P_k| \leq |P_{k+1}|$.

If $k = n$, $|c_{nn}| = \left| \frac{q_n}{p_n} \frac{P_n}{Q_n} \right| \leq H$ and $|c_{nk}| = 0 \leq H$, $k > n$. Consequently $\sup_{n,k} |a_{nk}| \leq H$.

The method (c_{nk}) is thus regular, using (5) and so $(\overline{N}, p_n) \subset (\overline{N}, q_n)$. The proof of the theorem is now complete.

Remark 5. Note that the classical counterpart of Theorem 3 (see [2], p.58, Theorem 14) has an additional hypothesis.

Theorem 4. (Comparison theorem for a regular (\overline{N}, p_n) method and a regular matrix). Let (\overline{N}, p_n) be a regular method and A be a regular matrix. If

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a_{nk}P_k}{p_k} = 0, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots; \tag{10}$$

and

$$\sup_{n,k} \left| \left(\frac{a_{nk}}{p_k} - \frac{a_{n,k+1}}{p_{k+1}} \right) P_k \right| < \infty, \tag{11}$$

then $(\overline{N}, p_n) \subset A$.

Proof. Let $\{s_n\}$ be any sequence, $\{t_n\}$, $\{\tau_n\}$ be its (\overline{N}, p_n) , A transforms respectively so that

$$t_n = \frac{p_0s_0 + p_1s_1 + \dots + p_ns_n}{P_n},$$

$$\tau_n = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{nk}s_k, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Now,

$$s_n = \frac{P_nt_n - P_{n-1}s_1t_{n-1}}{p_n}, \quad P_{-1} = 0$$

Let $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_n = s$. $\tau_n = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{nk}s_k$ exists. $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ and in fact

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_n &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{nk}s_k = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{nk} \left\{ \frac{P_k t_k - P_{k-1} t_{k-1}}{p_k} \right\} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{a_{nk}}{p_k} - \frac{a_{n,k+1}}{p_{k+1}} \right) P_k t_k, \end{aligned}$$

since $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a_{n,k+1}}{p_{k+1}} P_k t_k = 0$ by (10) and using the fact that $\{t_k\}$ is convergent and so

bounded and $\left| \frac{P_k}{P_{k+1}} \right| \leq 1$. We can now write

$$\tau_n = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_{nk} t_k,$$

where

$$b_{nk} = \left(\frac{a_{nk}}{p_k} - \frac{a_{n,k+1}}{p_{k+1}} \right) P_k.$$

By (11), $\sup_{n,k} |b_{nk}| < \infty$. Since A is regular, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{nk} = 0$, $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ so that

$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_{nk} = 0$, $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. Let $s_n = 1$, $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. Then $t_n = 1$, $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$.

It now follows that $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_{nk} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{nk}$, $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. Consequently $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_{nk} \right) =$

$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{nk} \right) = 1$. The method (b_{nk}) is thus regular and so $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_n = s$ implies $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tau_n =$

s . i.e. $(\overline{N}, p_n) \subset A$.

Theorem 5. (\overline{N}, p_n) is a regular method and $A = (a_{nk})$ is a regular triangular matrix. Then $(\overline{N}, p_n) \subset A$ if and only if (11) holds.

Proof . Let (11) hold. Since A is a triangular matrix, (10) clearly holds. In view of Theorem 4, we have $(\overline{N}, p_n) \subset A$. Conversely, let $(\overline{N}, p_n) \subset A$. Following the notation of Theorem 4, let $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_n = s$. As in the proof of Theorem 4,

$$\tau_n = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{nk} s_k = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_{nk} t_k,$$

where

$$b_{nk} = \left(\frac{a_{nk}}{p_k} - \frac{a_{n,k+1}}{p_{k+1}} \right) P_k .$$

Since $(\overline{N}, p_n) \subset A$, for every sequence $\{t_k\}$ with $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} t_k = s$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tau_n = s$. This means that (b_{nk}) is a regular matrix and so (11) holds. This complicates the proof.

§4. A SCALE OF STRICTLY INCREASING WEIGHTED MEANS.

We conclude the present paper by obtaining a strictly increasing scale of regular summability methods in \mathbf{Q}_p . We define, for $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, the method $(\overline{N}, p_n^{(k)})$ by

$$\begin{aligned} p_n^{(k)} &= p^{nk}, \text{ if } n \text{ is odd;} \\ &= \frac{1}{p_{nk}}, \text{ if } n \text{ is even;} \end{aligned}$$

We now establish that

$$(\overline{N}, p_n^{(k)}) \not\subset (\overline{N}, p_n^{(k+1)}). \tag{12}$$

We apply Theorem 3 to prove this assertion. For convenience, let $p_n = p_n^{(k)}$ and $q_n = p_n^{(k+1)}$, $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. If n is odd,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{P_n}{p_n} \right| &= \frac{1}{c^{(n-1)k}} \cdot \frac{1}{c^{nk}} = \frac{1}{c^{(2n-1)k}} \\ \left| \frac{Q_n}{q_n} \right| &= \frac{1}{c^{(n-1)(k+1)}} \cdot \frac{1}{c^{n(k+1)}} = \frac{1}{c^{(2n-1)(k+1)}}, \quad c = |p| < 1, \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\left| \frac{P_n}{p_n} \right| \leq \left| \frac{Q_n}{q_n} \right|$$

If n is even,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{P_n}{p_n} \right| &= \frac{1}{c^{nk}} \cdot c^{nk} = 1 \\ \left| \frac{Q_n}{q_n} \right| &= \frac{1}{c^{n(k+1)}} \cdot c^{n(k+1)} = 1. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\left| \frac{P_n}{p_n} \right| \leq \left| \frac{Q_n}{q_n} \right|$$

in this case too. Consequently, by Theorem 3, $(\overline{N}, p_n^{(k)}) \subset (\overline{N}, p_n^{(k+1)})$. Let now

$$s_n = 0, \quad \text{if } n \text{ is even;} \\ = \frac{1}{p^{n(k+1)+k(n-1)}}, \quad \text{if } n \text{ is odd.}$$

Let $\{\tau_n\}$ be the (\overline{N}, q_n) transform of $\{s_n\}$.

If n is odd,

$$|\tau_n| = \left| \frac{0 + p^{k+1} \cdot \frac{1}{p^{k+1}} + 0 + p^{3(k+1)} \cdot \frac{1}{p^{3(k+1)+2k}} + \dots + 0 + p^{n(k+1)} \cdot \frac{1}{p^{n(k+1)+k(n-1)}}}{1 + p^{k+1} + \frac{1}{p^{2(k+1)}} + \dots + \frac{1}{p^{(n-1)(k+1)}} + p^{n(k+1)}} \right| \\ = \frac{\frac{1}{c^{k(n-1)}}}{\frac{1}{c^{(k+1)(n-1)}}} \\ = c^{n-1}$$

If n is even,

$$|\tau_n| = \left| \frac{0 + p^{k+1} \cdot \frac{1}{p^{k+1}} + 0 + p^{3(k+1)} \cdot \frac{1}{p^{3(k+1)+2k}} + \dots + 0}{1 + p^{k+1} + \frac{1}{p^{2(k+1)}} + \dots + p^{(n-1)-(k+1)} + \frac{1}{p^{n(k+1)}}} \right| \\ + \frac{p^{(n-1)(k+1)} \cdot \frac{1}{p^{(n-1)(k+1)+k(n-2)}} + 0}{1 + p^{k+1} + \frac{1}{p^{2(k+1)}} + \dots + p^{(n-1)-(k+1)} + \frac{1}{p^{n(k+1)}}} \\ = \frac{\frac{1}{c^{k(n-2)}}}{\frac{1}{c^{n(k+1)}}} \\ = c^{n+2k}$$

In both the cases, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tau_n = 0$. Thus $\{s_n\}$ is summable (\overline{N}, q_n) to 0. Let, now, $\{t_n\}$ be the (\overline{N}, p_n) transform of $\{s_n\}$.

If n is odd

$$\begin{aligned}
 |\tau_n| &= \left| \frac{0 + p^k \cdot \frac{1}{p^{k+1}} + 0 + p^{3k} \cdot \frac{1}{p^{3(k+1)+2k}} + \dots + 0 + p^{nk} \cdot \frac{1}{p^{n(k+1)+k(n-1)}}}{1 + p^k + \frac{1}{p^{2k}} + \dots + \frac{1}{p^{(n-1)k}} + p^{nk}} \right| \\
 &= \frac{1}{\frac{c^{n+k(n-1)}}{1}} \\
 &= \frac{1}{c^{(n-1)k}} \\
 &= \frac{1}{c^n}
 \end{aligned}$$

Since $\frac{1}{c} > 1$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\tau_n| = \infty$ that $\{t_n\}$ cannot converge. Thus $\{s_n\}$ is not (\overline{N}, p_n) summable and consequently (12) holds.

REFERENCES

- [1] G. BACHMAM, *Introduction to p-adic numbers and valuation theory*, Academic Press, 1964.
- [2] G.H. HARDY, *Divergent Series*, Oxford, 1949.
- [3] A.F. MONNA, *Sur le théorème de Banach-Steinhaus*, Indag. Math. 25(1963), 121-131.
- [4] P.N. NATARAJAN, *Criterion for regular matrices in non-archimedean fields* J. Ramanujan Math. Soc. 6 (1991), 185-195.
- [5] G.M. PETERSEN, *Regular matrix transformations*, Mc Graw-Hill, London, 1966.
- [6] V.K. SRINIVASAN, *On certain summation processes in the p-adic field*, Indag. Math. 27 (1965), 368-374.

Department of Mathematics
 Ramakrishna Mission Vivekananda College,
 Madras - 600 004,
 INDIA