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## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let $\Omega$ be a domain in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $f=\left(f_{1}, f_{2}, \ldots, f_{n}\right): \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be a mapping of the Sobolev class $W^{1, p}\left(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, where $\mathbf{p}=\left(p_{1}, p_{2}, \ldots, p_{n}\right)$ is an $n$-tuple of exponents $p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n} \in(1, \infty)$ such that $1 / p_{1}+\ldots+1 / p_{n}=1$. Thus the gradient of each co-ordinate $f_{k}, k=1,2, \ldots, n$, belongs to $\mathrm{L}^{p_{k}}(\Omega)$ :

$$
\left\|\nabla f_{k}\right\|_{p_{k}}=\left(\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla f_{k}(x)\right|^{p_{k}} d x\right)^{1 / p_{k}}<\infty
$$

We denote the differential of $f$ by $\mathrm{D} f(x): \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$. The operator norm of $\mathrm{D} f(x)$ is then defined by $|\mathrm{D} f(x)|=\sup \left\{|\mathrm{D} f(x) \xi|: \xi \in \mathrm{S}^{n-1}\right\}$.

We say that $f$ is an orientation preserving mapping if its Jacobian determinant $\mathrm{J}=\mathrm{J}(x, f)=\operatorname{det} \mathrm{D} f(x)$ is non-negative almost everywhere. Clearly, the Jacobian is an integrable function. Because of Hadamard's inequality we have $\mathrm{J}(x, f) \leqq\left|\nabla f_{1}\right| \ldots\left|\nabla f_{n}\right|$. Hence Hölder's inequality implies the following estimate

$$
\int_{\Omega} \mathrm{J}(x, f) d x \leqq\left\|\nabla f_{1}\right\|_{p_{1}} \ldots\left\|\nabla f_{n}\right\|_{p_{n}} .
$$

In case of $p_{1}=\ldots=p_{n}=n, \mathrm{~S}$. Müller [ M ] discovered, using maximal theorems, that the Jacobian actually belongs to the Zygmund class LLog L. This remarkable result inspired a new study of the Jacobian function and related non-linear quantities (null Lagrangians), see [BFS], [CLMS], [G], [IS1], [IL]. For later use we record a more general result corresponding to arbitrary Hölder conjugate exponents $1<p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n}<\infty$. If $\Omega=\mathrm{Q}=\mathrm{Q}(a, \mathrm{R})$ is a cube in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ we then have a rather precise estimate [IL],

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\sigma \mathrm{Q}} \mathrm{~J}(x, f) \log \left(e+\frac{\mathbf{J}(x, f)}{\mathbf{J}_{\sigma \mathrm{Q}}}\right) d x \leqq \frac{c(n, \mathbf{p})}{1-\sigma}\left\|\nabla f_{1}\right\|_{p_{1}} \ldots\left\|\nabla f_{n}\right\|_{p_{n}} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\sigma \mathrm{Q}=\mathrm{Q}(a, \sigma \mathrm{R}), 0<\sigma<1$, and $\mathrm{J}_{\sigma \mathrm{Q}}$ is the integral mean of J over the cube $\sigma \mathbf{Q}$. S. Müller also showed that for $f \in \mathrm{~W}^{1, n}\left(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ the above degree of summability of the Jacobian is the best possible.

In this paper we avoid maximal theorems by using the technique of the Hodge decomposition. This technique was developed in [I] to treat nonlinear problems concerning quasiregular mappings, see also [IL] and [IS2]. Our approach is similar to that of [IS1] and leads to the following estimate.

Theorem 1. - Let $f=\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n}\right) \in \mathbf{W}^{1, p}\left(\mathbf{B}, \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ be an orientation preserving mapping, $\mathbf{p}=\left(p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n}\right), 1<p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n}<\infty, 1 / p_{1}+\ldots+1 / p_{n}=1$, on a ball $\mathrm{B} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$. Then $(\operatorname{det} \mathrm{D} f) \log |\mathrm{D} f|$ belongs to $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{loc}}^{1}(\mathrm{~B})$ and for each
$0<\sigma<1$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\sigma \mathbf{B}} \log \left(e+\frac{|\mathrm{D} f(x)|}{|\mathrm{D} f|_{\mathbf{B}}}\right) \mathrm{J}(x, f) d x \leqq \frac{c(n, \mathbf{p})}{1-\sigma}\left\|\nabla f_{1}\right\|_{p_{1}} \ldots\left\|\nabla f_{n}\right\|_{p_{n}} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, as usually, $|\mathrm{D} f|_{\mathrm{B}}$ denotes the integral mean over the ball B. For $p_{1}=\ldots=p_{n}=n$ this result is sharp, in the sense that the logarithm in inequality (1.2) cannot be replaced by any function $L: \mathbb{R}_{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$such that $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log t}{\mathrm{~L}(t)}=0$.

Remark. - In case $p_{1}=\ldots=p_{n}=n$ the local integrability of $(\operatorname{det} \mathrm{D} f) \log |\mathrm{D} f|$ follows almost immediately from Müller's result. We thank P. L. Lions for pointing out this new argument. Actually, we shall close this paper by showing how his argument can be used to improve inequality (1.7). Estimate (1.2) can be derived from (1.1) similarly by using inequality (6.3) with $s=1$.

It is illuminating and rewarding to discuss first a smooth mapping $f=\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n}\right) \in \mathrm{C}_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$. Using the linear theory of Hodge decomposition, we split the matrix-field $(\mathrm{D} f) \log |\mathrm{D} f|$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{D} f(x) \log |\mathrm{D} f(x)|=\mathrm{D} g(x)+\mathrm{H}(x) \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $g=\left(g_{1}, \ldots, g_{n}\right) \in \mathrm{W}^{1, s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ and $\mathrm{H} \in \mathrm{L}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, \mathrm{GL}(n)\right)$ is a divergence free matrix-field, for all $1<s<\infty$. The matrix H can be expressed by an integral formula; $\mathrm{H}=\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{D} f \log |\mathrm{D} f|)$, where

$$
\mathrm{T}: \mathrm{L}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, \mathrm{GL}(n)\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{L}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, \mathrm{GL}(n)\right)
$$

is a singular integral operator of the Calderòn-Zygmund type. From the uniqueness of the Hodge decomposition it follows that $\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{D} f)=0$. We shall now refer to the known result of R. Rochberg and G. Weiss [RW] on non-linear commutators. Accordingly

$$
\|\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{~F} \log |\mathrm{~F}|)-(\mathrm{TF}) \log |\mathrm{TF}|\|_{s} \leqq c(n, s)\|\mathrm{F}\|_{s}
$$

for all $\mathrm{F} \in \mathrm{L}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, \mathrm{GL}(n)\right), 1<s<\infty$. Applying this to $\mathrm{F}=\mathrm{D} f$ and $s=n$, we arrive at the following homogeneous estimate for H

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}|\mathrm{H}(x)|^{n} d x\right)^{1 / n} \leqq c(n)\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}|\mathrm{D} f(x)|^{n} d x\right)^{1 / n} \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

From now on it will be easier to use the calculus of differential forms. Thus $\mathrm{J}(x, f) d x=d f_{1} \wedge d f_{2} \wedge \ldots \wedge d f_{n}$ is an $n$-form, while (1.3) can be rewritten as

$$
(\log |\mathrm{D} f|) d f_{k}=d g_{k}+h_{k}, \quad k=1,2, \ldots, n
$$

where $h_{k}$ are the differential forms of degree 1 whose coefficients coincide with the entries of the $k$-th column of H . Letting $k$ be equal to 1 , we
compute that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \mathrm{~J}(x, f) \log |\mathrm{D} f(x)| & d x \\
& =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \operatorname{dg}_{1} \wedge d f_{2} \wedge \ldots \wedge d f_{n}+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \mathrm{~h}_{1} \wedge d f_{2} \wedge \ldots \wedge d f_{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

By Stokes' theorem we notice that the integral $\int d g_{1} \wedge d f_{2} \wedge \ldots \wedge d f_{n}$ vanishes, because $d g_{1} \in \mathrm{~L}^{p_{1}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right), d f_{2} \in \mathrm{~L}^{p_{2}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right), \ldots, d f_{n} \in \mathrm{~L}^{p_{n}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ with some Hölder conjugate exponents $1<p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n}<\infty$. With the aid of Hadamard's inequality, we estimate the second integral by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \mathrm{~h}_{1} \wedge d f_{2} \wedge \ldots \wedge d f_{n} \leqq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}|\mathrm{H} \| \mathrm{D} f|^{n-1} d & \\
& \leqq\|\mathrm{H}\|_{n}\|\mathrm{D} f\|_{n}^{n-1} \leqq c(n)\|\mathrm{D} f\|_{n}^{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

The latter being an immediate consequence of (1.4). We then conclude with the following estimate

Theorem 2.- Let $f: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be a mapping of class $\mathrm{C}_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \mathrm{~J}(x, f) \log |\mathrm{D} f(x)| d x \leqq c(n) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}|\mathrm{D} f(x)|^{n} d x \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

This result seems to be of some interest for the future study of the Jacobian function.

The sign condition on the Jacobian determinant is crucial for absolute convergence of the integrals in (1.1) and (1.2).

Having inequality (1.5) with constant $c(n)$ independent of $f$, it is now possible to extend it to mappings $f$ with $\mathrm{D} f \in \mathrm{~L}^{n}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, \mathrm{GL}(n)\right)$. By using an approximation argument one can give a meaning to the integral in the left hand side, for instance as $\lim \sup \int \mathbf{J}\left(x, f_{j}\right) \log \left|\mathbf{D} f_{j}(x)\right| d x$, where $\left\{f_{j}\right\}$ is any sequence of $\mathrm{C}_{0}^{\infty}$-mappings such that $\mathrm{D} f_{j} \rightarrow \mathrm{D} f$ in $\mathrm{L}^{n}$.

Another way to interpret (1.5) for such mappings is by using the well known duality between BMO and the Hardy space $\mathscr{H}^{1}$, due to Fefferman and Stein [FS]. As pointed out by P. L. Lions, we can decompose

$$
\int \mathbf{J}(x, f) \log |\mathrm{D} f|=\int \mathbf{J}(x, f) \log \mathrm{M}|\mathrm{D} f|+\int \mathbf{J}(x, f) \log \frac{|\mathrm{D} f|}{\mathrm{M}|\mathrm{D} f|}
$$

Here the Jacobian determinant J belongs to $\mathscr{H}^{1}$, see [CLMS]. On the other hand $\log \mathrm{M}|\mathrm{D} f| \in \mathrm{BMO}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, see Coifman and Rochberg [CR], so can be regarded as a bounded functional on $\mathscr{H}^{1}$. The first intergral has, therefore, a meaning. The last one is obviously converging.

In an entirely routine manner one can deduce local variants of (1.5). Unfortunately, inequality (1.5) and their local variants cannot be derived for mappings $f \in \mathbf{W}^{1, n}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$. This is due to the fact that, for $\mathrm{D} f \in \mathrm{~L}^{n}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, \mathrm{GL}(n)\right)$, the term $\mathrm{D} g$ in the Hodge decomposition (1.3) need not belong to $\mathrm{L}^{n}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, \mathrm{GL}(n)\right)$, which is required for the cancellation of the integral $\int d g_{1} \wedge d f_{2} \wedge \ldots \wedge d f_{n}$. It is worth noting, however, that the term $H$ belongs to $\mathrm{L}^{n}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, \mathrm{GL}(n)\right)$ and satisfies (1.4).

The limit theorems for integrals also fail. For $f \in \mathrm{~W}^{1, n}\left(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ an orientation preserving mapping (as in theorem 1), one might try local variants of (1.5) and then use Fatou's lemma. This is still a nontrivial task. The reason being that it is not always possible to approximate $f$ by smooth mappings with non-negative Jacobian. We overcome these difficulties by proving first certain $L^{s}$-estimates with exponents $s=n-\varepsilon$ below the dimension $n$. Then letting $\varepsilon$ go to zero establishes the result.

As it might be expected, the local estimates between the integral averages such as (1.1) imply higher degree of integrability of $\operatorname{det} \mathrm{D} f$, provided the differential $\mathrm{D} f$ belongs to an appropriate integrability class. To illustrate this fact, in section 6 we examine an orientation preserving mapping $f=\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n}\right): \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$, where we assume that each of the gradients $\nabla f_{i}$ belongs to the Zygmund class $\mathrm{L}^{p_{i}} \log \mathrm{~L}(\Omega) i=1,2, \ldots, n, 1<p_{1}, \ldots$, $p_{n}<\infty, 1 / p_{1}+\ldots+1 / p_{n}=1$, that is

$$
\llbracket \nabla f_{i} \rrbracket_{p_{i}}:=\left\{\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla f_{i}(x)\right|^{p_{i}} \log \left(e+\frac{\left|\nabla f_{i}(x)\right|}{\left|\nabla f_{i}\right|_{\Omega}}\right) d x\right\}^{1 / p_{i}}<\infty
$$

Under this assumption we obtain
Theorem 3. - The Jacobian determinant $\mathrm{J}(x)=\operatorname{det} \mathrm{D} f(x)$ belongs to the Orlicz space $\mathrm{L} \log ^{2} \mathrm{~L}(\Omega)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\sigma \Omega} \mathrm{J}(x) \log ^{2}\left(e+\frac{\mathbf{J}(x)}{\mathbf{J}_{\sigma \Omega}}\right) d x \leqq \frac{c(n, \mathbf{p})}{(1-\sigma) \sigma^{n}} \llbracket \nabla f_{1} \rrbracket_{p_{1}} \ldots \llbracket \nabla f_{n} \rrbracket_{p_{n}}, \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Omega$ is a cube in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $0<\sigma<1$.
In the case $|\mathrm{D} f| \in \mathrm{L}^{n} \log \mathrm{~L}(\Omega)$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\sigma \Omega} \mathbf{J}(x) \log ^{2}\left(e+\frac{\mathbf{J}(x)}{\mathbf{J}_{\sigma \Omega}}\right) d x & \\
& \leqq \frac{c(n)}{(1-\sigma) \sigma^{n}} \int_{\Omega}|\mathrm{D} f|^{n} \log \left(e+\frac{|\mathrm{D} f|}{|\mathrm{D} f|_{\Omega}}\right) d x \tag{1.7}
\end{align*}
$$

Let us stress that inequality (1.6) follows directly from (1.1), that is, no specific properties of the Jacobian function are invoked. To this effect we introduce a new maximal operator of the functions of the Zygmund
class $\mathrm{L} \log \mathrm{L}$. This little innovation seems to be interesting on its own accord.

It is possible to show that if $\nabla f_{i} \in \mathrm{~L}^{p_{i}} \log ^{s} \mathrm{~L}, i=1, \ldots, n$, then $\mathrm{J}=\operatorname{det} \mathrm{D} f$ belongs to the Orlicz space $\mathrm{L} \log ^{s+1} \mathrm{~L}$ for $s=0$ [M], $s=-1$ [IS1], arbitrary $s \in(-1,0)[\mathrm{BFS}], s>0[\mathrm{Mo}]$ and $s<-1[\mathrm{G} 2]$. Some new approachs to these questions are discussed by Milman [Mi]. We shall report on estimates of the Jacobian in more general Orlicz spaces in [GIM].

## 2. HODGE DECOMPOSITION

Arguments proving theorem 1 are based on the following
Lemma 2.1. - Let $\mathrm{B}=\mathrm{B}(a, \mathrm{R})$ be a ball in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ and let $u$ be a function of the Sobolev class $\mathbf{W}^{1, r}(\mathrm{~B}), r>1$. Then for each $\varepsilon \in(1-r, 1)$ the vector field $|\nabla u|^{-\varepsilon} \nabla u \in \mathrm{~L}^{r /(1-\varepsilon)}\left(\mathrm{B}, \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ can be decomposed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\nabla u(x)|^{-\varepsilon} \nabla u(x)=\nabla \varphi(x)+\mathrm{H}(x) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for almost every $x \in \mathrm{~B}$, where $\varphi \in \mathrm{W}^{1, r /(1-\varepsilon)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ and $\mathrm{H} \in \mathrm{L}^{r /(1-\varepsilon)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ is $a$ (divergence free) vector field such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}|\mathrm{H}(x)|^{r /(1-\varepsilon)} d x\right)^{(1-\varepsilon) / r} \leqq \mathrm{~A}(n, r)|\varepsilon|\left(\int_{B}|\nabla u(x)|^{r} d x\right)^{(1-\varepsilon) / r} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The constant $\mathrm{A}(n, r)$ depends only on $r$ and the dimension.
This result is a refinement of theorem 3 in [IS2], where we have considered $u \in \mathrm{~W}_{0}^{1, r}(\mathrm{~B})$.

Proof. - It may be assumed that $B$ is centered at the origin. With the aid of the inversion about the sphere $\partial \mathrm{B}$, we extend $u$ to the whole space $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ by

$$
u(x)=u\left(\frac{\mathrm{R}^{2} x}{|x|^{2}}\right) \quad \text { for } \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}-\mathrm{B}
$$

It is easy to see that

$$
\int_{\mathrm{R}<|\mathrm{x}|<2 \mathrm{R}}|\nabla u(x)|^{r} d x=\int_{\mathrm{R} / 2<|x|<\mathrm{R}}|\nabla u(x)|^{r}\left|\frac{x}{\mathrm{R}}\right|^{2 r-2 n} d x
$$

Hence

$$
\int_{2 \mathrm{~B}}|\nabla u(x)|^{r} d x \leqq 4^{n} \int_{B}|\nabla u(x)|^{r} d x
$$

Next, let $\eta \in C_{0}^{\infty}$ (2 B) be a cut-off function such that $0 \leqq \eta \leqq 1, \eta=1$ on B and $|\nabla \eta| \leqq c(n) / \mathrm{R}$. We define an auxiliary function

$$
\omega \in \mathrm{W}_{0}^{1, r}(2 \mathrm{~B}) \subset \mathrm{W}^{1, r}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)
$$

by

$$
\omega(x)=\eta(x)\left[u(x)-u_{2 \mathrm{~B}}\right] .
$$

Then Poincaré's inequality yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}|\nabla \omega|^{r} d x \leqq \mathrm{~A}(n, r) \int_{2 \mathrm{~B}}|\nabla u|^{r} d x \leqq 4^{n} \mathrm{~A}(n, r) \int_{\mathrm{B}}|\nabla u|^{r} d x \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this way the problem reduces to theorem 3 from [IS2]. To apply this theorem, we consider the following Hodge decomposition in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\nabla \omega|^{-\varepsilon} \nabla \omega=\nabla \varphi+H \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\varphi \in W^{1, r /(1-\varepsilon)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ and $H \in L^{r /(1-\varepsilon)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ a vector field such that

$$
\|\mathrm{H}\|_{r /(1-\varepsilon)} \leqq c(n, r)|\varepsilon|\||\nabla \omega|\|_{r}^{1-\varepsilon}
$$

this, in view of (2.3), implies (2.2). What remains is to observe that the Hodge decomposition (2.4) coincides with (2.1) on the ball B.

## 3. TWO INEQUALITIES

We shall need to prove two elementary inequalities that clear away quite long computations in section 4.

Lemma 3.1. - Let $0<\varepsilon<1 / 2$ and $\delta>0$. Then for each $a \geqq 0$ we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left|a-a^{1-\varepsilon}\right| \leqq \varepsilon\left(2+\delta^{-1} a^{1+\delta}\right)  \tag{3.1}\\
\varepsilon a^{1-\varepsilon} \log (2+a) \leqq\left(a-a^{1-\varepsilon}\right)+4 \varepsilon \tag{3.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

Proof. - We begin with the familiar inequality

$$
1-1 / x \leqq \log x \leqq x-1, \quad x>0
$$

For $x=a^{\varepsilon}$ this reads as

$$
1-a^{-\varepsilon} \leqq \varepsilon \log a \leqq a^{\varepsilon}-1
$$

where $\varepsilon$ can be any number. Hence, for $\varepsilon>0$,

$$
a-a^{1-\varepsilon} \leqq \varepsilon a \log a \leqq \varepsilon a \delta^{-1}\left(a^{\delta}-1\right) \leqq \varepsilon \delta^{-1} a^{1+\delta}
$$

Similarly, for $0<\varepsilon<1 / 2$

$$
a-a^{1-\varepsilon} \geqq \varepsilon a^{1-\varepsilon} \log a>\varepsilon a^{1-\varepsilon}(1-\varepsilon)^{-1}\left(1-a^{\varepsilon-1}\right) \geqq-2 \varepsilon .
$$

These two estimates obviously imply (3.1).
To prove (3.2), we write

$$
\begin{aligned}
\log \left(1+\frac{2}{a}\right) & \leqq \log \left[\left(1+2^{1-\varepsilon} a^{\varepsilon-1}\right)^{1 /(1-\varepsilon}\right] \\
& \leqq(1-\varepsilon)^{-1} \log \left(1+2(1-\varepsilon)^{-1} a^{\varepsilon-1}\right) \\
& \leqq a^{\varepsilon-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Hence

$$
\varepsilon a^{1-\varepsilon} \log (2+a) \leqq 4 \varepsilon+\varepsilon a^{1-\varepsilon} \log a \leqq 4 \varepsilon+\left(a-a^{1-\varepsilon}\right)
$$

as desired.

## 4. THE PROOF OF THEOREM

Our theorem will follow almost immediately from the following estimate

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{|\mathbf{B}|} \int_{\sigma \mathbf{B}} \log \left(2+\frac{\left|d f_{1}\right|}{\left|d f_{1}\right|_{\mathbf{B}}}\right)\left|d f_{1}\right|^{-\varepsilon} d f_{1} \wedge d f_{2} \wedge \ldots \wedge d f_{n} \\
& \leqq \frac{c(n, \mathbf{p})}{1-\sigma}\left[d f_{1}\right]_{p_{1}}^{1-\varepsilon}\left[d f_{2}\right]_{p_{2}} \ldots\left[d f_{n}\right]_{p_{n}} \tag{4.1}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\varepsilon$ is any sufficiently small positive number, for instance $0<\varepsilon<\frac{p_{1}}{2 n\left(p_{1}-1\right) p_{2}}$. Hereafter the symbol $[g]_{p}=\left(\frac{1}{|\mathrm{~B}|} \int_{\mathrm{B}}|g|^{p}\right)^{1 / p}$ stands for the $p$-average of $g$ over the ball $\mathrm{B}=\mathrm{B}(a, \mathrm{R}) \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $\sigma \mathrm{B}=\mathrm{B}(a, \sigma \mathrm{R})$, $0<\sigma<1$.

Notice that the integral in the left-hand side of (4.1) is converging. Because of the same degree of homogeneity of both sides of (4.1), we may assume that

$$
\left|d f_{1}\right|_{\mathrm{B}}=\frac{1}{|\mathrm{~B}|} \int_{\mathrm{B}}\left|d f_{1}\right| d x=1
$$

This yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
1=\left[d f_{1}\right]_{1} \leqq\left[d f_{1}\right]_{p_{1}}^{1-\varepsilon} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, we may interpolate to obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\left|d f_{1}\right|^{1+\delta}\right]_{n p_{1} p_{2} /\left(p_{1}+n p_{2}\right)} \leqq\left[d f_{1}\right]_{1}^{\varepsilon+\delta}\left[d f_{1}\right]_{p_{1}}^{1-\varepsilon}=\left[d f_{1}\right]_{p_{1}}^{1-\varepsilon} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\delta=\frac{1}{n p_{2}}+\frac{\varepsilon}{p_{1}}-\varepsilon>\frac{1}{2 n p_{2}}$.
Next observe that adding a constant to the function $f_{2}$ does not affect its differential $d f_{2}$, so we may assume that the integral of $f_{2}$ over $\mathbf{B}$ is equal to zero. With this assumption we can write the Poincaré-Sobolev inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[f_{2}\right]_{p_{2}} \leqq\left[f_{2}\right]_{n p_{2} /(n-1)} \leqq c\left(n, p_{2}\right) \mathrm{R}\left[d f_{2}\right]_{p_{2}} \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Fix a cut-off function $\lambda \in \mathrm{C}_{0}^{\infty}(\mathrm{B})$ such that $0 \leqq \lambda \leqq 1, \lambda \equiv 1$ on $\sigma \mathbf{B}$ and $|\nabla \lambda| \leqq c(n) /(1-\sigma)$ R. Thus (4.4) implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[f_{2} d \lambda\right]_{n p_{2}(n-1)} \leqq \frac{c\left(n, p_{2}\right)}{1-\sigma}\left[d f_{2}\right]_{p_{2}} \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[d\left(\lambda f_{2}\right)\right]_{p_{2}} \leqq \frac{c\left(n, p_{2}\right)}{1-\sigma}\left[d f_{2}\right]_{p_{2}} . \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

We shall examine the following integral

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{I}=\varepsilon f_{\mathrm{B}} \lambda \log \left(2+\left|d f_{1}\right|\right)\left|d f_{1}\right|^{-\varepsilon} d f_{1} \wedge \ldots \wedge d f_{n} . \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the $n$-form $d f_{1} \wedge \ldots \wedge d f_{n}=\mathbf{J}(x, f) d x$ was assumed to be nonnegative, we may apply inequality (3.2) to get

$$
\mathrm{I} \leqq \int_{\mathrm{B}} \lambda\left(1-\left|d f_{1}\right|^{-\varepsilon}\right) d f_{1} \wedge \ldots \wedge d f_{n}+4 \varepsilon f_{\mathrm{B}} \lambda\left|d f_{1}\right|^{-1} d f_{1} \wedge d f_{2} \wedge \ldots \wedge d f_{n} .
$$

We then break the first integral in accordance with the formula $\lambda d f_{2}=d\left(\lambda f_{2}\right)-f_{2} d \lambda$ to write that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{I} \leqq \mathrm{I}_{1}+\mathrm{I}_{2}+\mathrm{I}_{3} \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{I}_{1}=\int_{\mathrm{B}}\left(1-\left|d f_{1}\right|^{-\varepsilon}\right) d f_{1} \wedge d\left(\lambda f_{2}\right) \wedge d f_{3} \wedge \ldots \wedge d f_{n} ; \\
\mathrm{I}_{2}=\int_{\mathrm{B}}\left(1-\left|d f_{1}\right|^{-\varepsilon}\right) d f_{1} \wedge f_{2} d \lambda \wedge d f_{3} \wedge \ldots \wedge d f_{n} ; \\
\mathrm{I}_{3}=4 \varepsilon \int_{\mathrm{B}} \lambda\left|d f_{1}\right|^{-1} d f_{1} \wedge d f_{2} \wedge d f_{3} \wedge \ldots \wedge d f_{n} .
\end{gathered}
$$

It follows by Hölder's inequality and (4.2) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{I}_{3} \leqq 4 \varepsilon\left[d f_{1}\right]_{p_{1}}^{1-\varepsilon}\left[d f_{2}\right]_{p_{2}} \ldots\left[d f_{n}\right]_{p_{n}} . \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The second term $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ is estimated with the aid of inequality (3.1) and Hölder's inequality:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{I}_{2} & \leqq \varepsilon \int_{\mathrm{B}}\left(2+\delta^{-1}\left|d f_{1}\right|^{1+\delta}\right)\left|f_{2} d \lambda\right|\left|d f_{3}\right| \ldots\left|d f_{n}\right| d x \\
& \leqq \varepsilon\left[2+\delta^{-1}\left|d f_{1}\right|^{1+\delta}\right]_{n p_{1} p_{2} /\left(p_{1}+n p_{2}\right)}\left[f_{2} d \lambda\right]_{n p_{2} /(n-1)}\left[d f_{3}\right]_{p_{3}} \ldots\left[d f_{n}\right]_{p_{n}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Here, in view of (4.2) and (4.3), the first factor is controlled by $\left(2+\delta^{-1}\right)\left[d f_{1}\right]_{p_{1}}^{1-\varepsilon}$. This together with the inequality (4.5) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{I}_{2} \leqq \frac{c(n, \mathbf{p})}{1-\sigma} \varepsilon\left[d f_{1}\right]_{p_{1}}^{1-\varepsilon}\left[d f_{2}\right]_{p_{2}} \ldots\left[d f_{n}\right]_{p_{n}} \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

It remains to estimate the integral $I_{1}$. To this effect we decompose

$$
\left|d f_{1}\right|^{-\varepsilon} d f_{1}=d \varphi+h
$$

as in lemma 2.1. Inequality (2.2), applied with

$$
r=(1-\varepsilon) p_{1}>\frac{1}{2}\left(1+p_{1}\right)>1
$$

shows that the $p_{1}$-average of $|h|$ is small compared to $\left[d f_{1}\right]_{p_{1}}$. Indeed, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
[h]_{p_{1}} \leqq c\left(n, p_{1}\right) \varepsilon\left[\left|d f_{1}\right|^{1-\varepsilon}\right]_{p_{1}} \leqq c\left(n, p_{1}\right) \varepsilon\left[d f_{1}\right]_{p_{1}}^{1-\varepsilon} \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, because of the decomposition $\left(1-\left|d f_{1}\right|^{-\varepsilon}\right) d f_{1}=d f_{1}-d \varphi-h$, we split $\mathrm{I}_{1}$ into two integrals
$\mathrm{I}_{1}=\int_{\mathrm{B}} d\left(f_{1}-\varphi\right) \wedge d\left(\lambda f_{2}\right) \wedge d f_{3} \wedge \ldots \wedge d f_{n}-\int_{\mathrm{B}} h \wedge d\left(\lambda f_{2}\right) \wedge d f_{3} \wedge \ldots \wedge d f_{n}$.
By Stockes' theorem the first integral vanishes. We then estimate the second one by Hölder's inequality to obtain

$$
\mathrm{I}_{1} \leqq[h]_{p_{1}}\left[d\left(\lambda f_{2}\right)\right]_{p_{2}}\left[d f_{3}\right]_{p_{3}} \ldots\left[d f_{n}\right]_{p_{n}}
$$

Finally, using (4.11) and (4.6) we infer that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{I}_{1} \leqq \frac{c(n, \mathbf{p})}{1-\sigma} \varepsilon\left[d f_{1}\right]_{p_{1}}^{1-\varepsilon}\left[d f_{2}\right]_{p_{2}} \ldots\left[d f_{n}\right]_{p_{n}} \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

This together with (4.10), (4.9) and (4.8) implies

$$
\mathrm{I} \leqq \frac{c(n, \mathbf{p})}{1-\sigma} \varepsilon\left[\mathrm{df}_{1}\right]_{p_{1}}^{1-\varepsilon}\left[d f_{2}\right]_{p_{2}} \ldots\left[d f_{n}\right]_{p_{n}}
$$

Recalling the definition of $I$, see (4.7), completes the proof of (4.1).
The final step is to pass, by means of a limiting argument, from (4.1) to (1.2). First, letting $\varepsilon$ go to zero, by Fatou's lemma we deduce that $J(., f) \log \left(2+\frac{\left|d f_{1}\right|}{\left|d f_{1}\right|_{\mathbf{B}}}\right)$ is integrable on $\sigma$ B. We also obtain the estimate

$$
\int_{\sigma \mathrm{B}} \log \left(2+\frac{\left|d f_{1}(x)\right|}{\left|d f_{1}\right|_{\mathrm{B}}}\right) J(x, f) d x \leqq \frac{c(n, \mathbf{p})}{1-\sigma}\left\|d f_{1}\right\|_{p_{1}} \ldots\left\|d f_{n}\right\|_{p_{n}}
$$

Clearly, one can replace $f_{1}$ in the left-hand side by any other co-ordinate function $f_{k}, k=1,2, \ldots, n$. Taking into account that

$$
\log \left(e+\frac{|\mathrm{D} f(x)|}{|\mathrm{D} f|_{\mathbf{B}}}\right) \leqq \sum_{k=1}^{n} \log \left(2+\frac{\left|d f_{k}(x)\right|}{\left|d f_{k}\right|_{\mathbf{B}}}\right),
$$

inequality (1.2) is immediate, proving theorem 1. For $p_{1}=\ldots=p_{n}=n$, this inequality reads as:

$$
\int_{\sigma \mathbf{B}} \log \left(e+\frac{|\mathrm{D} f(x)|}{|\mathrm{D} f|_{\mathbf{B}}}\right) \mathrm{J}(x, f) d x \leqq \frac{c(n)}{1-\sigma} \int_{\mathrm{B}}|\mathrm{D} f(x)|^{n} d x,
$$

which is an extension of Müller's result [M].

## 5. A MAXIMAL OPERATOR IN L $\log \mathrm{L}$

Let $\Omega$ be a cube in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $f$ a measurable function on $\Omega$. We denote the integral mean of $|f|$ over a subcube $\mathrm{Q} \subset \Omega$ by

$$
|f|_{\mathbf{Q}}=\int_{\mathbf{Q}}|f(x)| d x=\frac{1}{|\mathrm{Q}|} \int_{\mathbf{Q}}|f(x)| d x .
$$

Accordingly, the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator is defined by

$$
\mathrm{M} f(x)=\sup \left\{|f|_{\mathrm{Q}} ; x \in \mathrm{Q} \subset \Omega\right\} .
$$

Although this maximal operator plays a primary role in the theory of Lebesgue spaces $L^{p}(\Omega)$, there are larger classes of Orlicz spaces in which specific variants of the maximal operator appear to be appropriate tools. In this section we introduce one of such operators acting on the Zygmund class $\mathrm{L} \log \mathrm{L}(\Omega)$. We mimic the lines of the classical theory of maximal operators. There are, however, new interesting details which may be useful for further development of the differentiation of integrals.
The Zygmund space consists of the functions $f: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle f\rangle_{\Omega}:=f_{\Omega}|f(x)| \log \left(e+\frac{|f(x)|}{|f|_{\Omega}}\right) d x<\infty \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Notice that $\mathrm{L} \log \mathrm{L}(\Omega)$ is a Banach space and $\left\rangle_{\Omega}\right.$ is an order preserving norm, that is $\langle f\rangle_{\Omega} \leqq\langle g\rangle_{\Omega}$ whenever $|f| \leqq|g|$ pointwise, see [IK].
The well known theorem of E . Stein $[\mathrm{S} 1]$ asserts that $f \in \mathrm{~L} \log \mathrm{~L}$ if and only if $\mathrm{M} f \in \mathrm{~L}^{1}(\Omega)$. The following estimates establish the equivalence
between these two norms in $L \log \mathrm{~L}(\Omega)$

$$
\begin{array}{rl}
3^{-n-2} f_{\Omega} \mathbf{M} & f(x) d x \\
& \leqq f_{\Omega}|f(x)| \log \left(e+\frac{|f(x)|}{|f|_{\Omega}}\right) d x \leqq 2^{n+1} f_{\Omega} \mathbf{M} f(x) d x \tag{5.2}
\end{array}
$$

It should be notified in advance that the maximal function $\mathbf{M} f$ depends on the cube $\Omega$. In particular, the Lebesgue differentiation theorem does not apply, so no point-wise estimates follow from (5.2).

For $f \in \mathrm{~L} \log \mathrm{~L}(\Omega)$ we shall consider a maximal function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Z} f(x)=\sup \left\{\langle f\rangle_{\mathbf{Q}} ; x \in \mathrm{Q} \subset \Omega\right\} \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, as usually, the supremum is taken over all cubes $\mathrm{Q} \subset \Omega$ containing the given point $x \in \Omega$. Thus $\mathrm{Z}: \mathrm{L} \log \mathrm{L}(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathscr{M}_{0}(\Omega)$ (here $\mathscr{M}_{0}(\Omega)$ stands for the space of all measurable functions which are a.e. finite) is an order preserving sublinear operator. This latter means that $\mathbf{Z}(f+g) \leqq Z f+\mathbf{Z} g$. In honor of Professor Antoni Zygmund we call Z the Zygmund maximal operator. We shall prove the following analogue of Stein's result

Theorem 4. - The maximal function $\mathrm{Z} f$ is integrable on $\Omega$ if and only iff belongs to the Orlicz class $\mathrm{L} \log ^{2} \mathrm{~L}(\Omega)$. Moreover, we have the following inequalities

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{\Omega} \mathrm{Z} f(x) d x \leqq 3^{n+4} f_{\Omega}|f(x)| \log ^{2}\left(e+\frac{|f(x)|}{|f|_{\Omega}}\right) d x \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and conversely

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{\Omega}|f(x)| \log ^{2}\left(e+\frac{|f(x)|}{|f|_{\Omega}}\right) d x \leqq 2^{n+4} f_{\Omega} Z f(x) d x \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Before the proof, we recall the Luxemburg norm of a function $h \in \mathrm{~L} \log \mathrm{~L}(\mathrm{Q})$, with Q a cube in $\Omega$ :

$$
\langle\langle h\rangle\rangle_{\mathrm{Q}}:=\inf \left\{\lambda>0 ; f_{\mathrm{Q}} \frac{|h|}{\lambda} \log \left(e+\frac{|h|}{\lambda}\right)<1\right\} .
$$

In other words, for $h \not \equiv 0$ the number $\langle\langle h\rangle\rangle_{\mathrm{Q}}$ is the unique solution of the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle\langle h\rangle\rangle_{\mathbf{Q}}=\int_{\mathbf{Q}}|h| \log \left(e+\frac{|h|}{\langle\langle h\rangle\rangle_{\mathbf{Q}}}\right) . \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

These two norms in the space $\mathrm{L} \log \mathrm{L}(\mathrm{Q})$ compares to each other by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle\langle h\rangle\rangle_{\mathbf{Q}} \leqq\langle h\rangle_{\mathbf{Q}} \leqq 2\langle\langle h\rangle\rangle_{\mathbf{Q}} \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

To see this, we first observe that $\langle\langle h\rangle\rangle_{\mathbf{Q}} \geqq|h|_{\mathbf{Q}}$. Hence

$$
\langle\langle h\rangle\rangle_{\mathbf{Q}} \leqq \int_{\mathbf{Q}}|h| \log \left(e+\frac{|h|}{|h|_{\mathbf{Q}}}\right)=\langle h\rangle_{\mathbf{Q}}
$$

On the other hand

$$
\begin{aligned}
\langle h\rangle_{\mathbf{Q}} & =\int_{\mathbf{Q}}|h| \log \left(e+\frac{h}{\langle\langle h\rangle\rangle_{\mathbf{Q}}} \cdot \frac{\langle\langle h\rangle\rangle_{\mathbf{Q}}}{|h|_{\mathbf{Q}}}\right) \\
& \leqq f_{\mathbf{Q}}|h| \log \left(e+\frac{h}{\langle\langle h\rangle\rangle_{\mathbf{Q}}}\right)+f_{\mathbf{Q}}|h| \log \left(1+\frac{\langle\langle h\rangle\rangle_{\mathbf{Q}}}{|h|_{\mathbf{Q}}}\right) \\
& \leqq\langle\langle h\rangle\rangle_{\mathbf{Q}}+f_{\mathbf{Q}}|h| \frac{\langle\langle h\rangle\rangle_{\mathbf{Q}}}{|h|_{\mathbf{Q}}}=2\langle\langle h\rangle\rangle_{\mathbf{Q}}
\end{aligned}
$$

as claimed.
Proof of inequality (5.4). - For $t>0$ we shall consider the distribution set $\mathrm{E}_{t}$ of the Zygmund maximal function $\mathrm{Z}: \mathrm{L} \log \mathrm{L}(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathscr{M}_{0}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{E}_{t}=\{x \in \Omega ; \mathbf{Z} f(x)>t\} \tag{5.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

We need to estimate the measure of $\mathrm{E}_{t}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\mathrm{E}_{t}\right| \leqq \frac{3^{n} 6}{t} \int_{|f|>t / 3}|f| \log \left(e+\frac{6|f|}{t}\right) \tag{5.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The proof of this inequality is based on Vitali's lemma. First we split $f$ as $f=h+(f-h)$, where

$$
h(x)=\left\{\begin{aligned}
f(x), & \text { if }|f(x)|>t / 3 \\
0, & \text { otherwise }
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

Clearly $|f(x)| \leqq|h(x)|+t / 3$. Hence we obtain the pointwise inequality $\mathrm{Z} f(x) \leqq \mathrm{Z} h(x)+\log (1+e) t / 3 \leqq \mathrm{Z} h(x)+2 t / 3$. Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\mathrm{E}_{t}\right| \leqq|\{x \in \Omega ; \mathbf{Z} h(x)>t / 3\}| \tag{5.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, as a consequence of the definition of the maximal function $\mathrm{Z} h$, it follows that for each $x \in \mathrm{E}_{t}$ there exists a cube $\mathrm{Q}_{x} \subset \Omega$ containing $x$ such that $\langle h\rangle_{Q_{x}}>t / 3$. This combined with (5.7) yields

$$
\langle\langle h\rangle\rangle_{\mathbf{Q}_{x}}=\int_{\mathbf{Q}_{x}}|h| \log \left(e+\frac{|h|}{\langle\langle h\rangle\rangle_{\mathbf{Q}_{x}}}\right)>\frac{t}{6} .
$$

Hence

$$
f_{\mathbf{Q}_{X}}|h| \log \left(e+\frac{6|h|}{t}\right)>\frac{t}{6}
$$

or, equivalently

$$
\left|\mathrm{Q}_{x}\right| \leqq \frac{6}{t} \int \mathrm{Q}_{x}|h| \log \left(e+\frac{6|h|}{t}\right)
$$

Finally, with the aid of Vitali's lemma [S] we select mutually disjoint cubes $\mathrm{Q}_{x}, x \in \mathrm{E}$, to conclude with the estimate

$$
\left|\mathrm{E}_{t}\right| \leqq 3^{n} \sum\left|\mathrm{Q}_{x}\right| \leqq \frac{3^{n} 6}{t} \int_{\Omega}|h| \log \left(e+\frac{6|h|}{t}\right)
$$

Here the summation is taken over selected cubes. This in view of the definition of $h$ is the same as (5.9).

Now we compute the integral of $\mathrm{Z} f$. Let $a=|f|_{\mathbf{Q}}$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega} \mathrm{Z} f(x) d x & =\int_{0}^{\infty}\left|\mathrm{E}_{t}\right| d t \leqq a|\Omega|+\int_{a}^{\infty}\left|\mathrm{E}_{t}\right| d t \\
& \leqq a|\Omega|+3^{n} 6 \int_{a}^{\infty} \frac{1}{t} \int_{|f|>t / 3}|f| \log \left(e+\frac{6|f|}{t} d x d t\right) \\
& =a|\Omega|+3^{n} 6 \int_{|f| \geqq a / 3}|f|\left(\int_{a}^{3|f|} \log \left(e+\frac{6|f|}{t}\right) \frac{d t}{t}\right) d x
\end{aligned}
$$

Here we have changed the order of integration. Finally we make the substitution $s=6|f| / t$ to obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{\Omega} \mathrm{Z} f(x) d x & \leqq a+\frac{3^{n} 6}{|\Omega|} \int_{|f| \geqq a / 3}|f| \int_{2}^{6|f| / a} \log (e+s) \frac{d s}{s} d x \\
& \leqq a+\frac{3^{n} 6}{|\Omega|} 4 \int_{|f| \geqq a / 3}|f| \int_{2}^{6|f| / a} \frac{\log (e+s)}{e+s} d s d x \\
& =a+\frac{3^{n} 12}{|\Omega|} \int_{|f|>a / 3}|f|\left[\log ^{2}\left(e+\frac{6|f|}{a}\right)-\log ^{2}(e+2)\right] d x \\
& \leqq a+3^{n+1} \cdot 12 f_{\mathrm{B}}|f| \log ^{2}\left(e+\frac{|f|}{a}\right) d x \\
& \leqq\left(1+3^{n+1} \cdot 12\right) f_{\mathrm{B}}|f| \log ^{2}\left(e+\frac{|f|}{|f|_{q}}\right) d x .
\end{aligned}
$$

This completes the proof of inequality (5.4).
Proof of inequality (5.5). - Because of homogeneity we may wish $f$ to be normalized so that $\langle f\rangle_{\Omega}=1$. Our first objective is to reverse inequality (5.9) for the distribution function of $\mathrm{Z} f$. More precisely, we shall need
to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\mathrm{E}_{t}\right| \geqq \frac{1}{2^{n} t} \int_{|f|>t}|f| \log \left(e+\frac{|f|}{2^{n} t}\right) \tag{5.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $t \geqq 1$, which requires a slight modification of the Calderón-Zygmund lemma. This is fairly easy to achieve by deploying the familiar dyadic partition of $\Omega$, as in the celebrated construction by Calderón-Zygmund. The reader is referred to $[\mathrm{S}]$ for details. First notice that, if $\mathbf{P}$ is one of the $2^{n}$ congruent subcubes of a cube Q (obtained by bisecting the sides of Q ), then the corresponding $\mathrm{L} \log \mathrm{L}$-averages compare as:

$$
\langle f\rangle_{\mathbf{P}} \leqq 2^{n}\langle f\rangle_{\mathbf{Q}} .
$$

Indeed, using the order preserving property of the norm $\left\rangle_{\mathbf{Q}}\right.$ yields

$$
\langle f\rangle_{\mathbf{P}}=2^{n} f_{\mathbf{Q}}\left|\chi_{\mathbf{P}} f\right| \log \left(e+\frac{\left|\chi_{\mathbf{P}} f\right|}{2^{n}\left|\chi_{\mathbf{P}} f\right|_{\mathbf{Q}}}\right) \leqq 2^{n}\left\langle\chi_{\mathbf{P}} f\right\rangle_{\mathbf{Q}} \leqq 2^{n}\langle f\rangle_{\mathbf{Q}}
$$

Here $\chi_{\mathbf{P}}$ stands for the characteristic function of $\mathrm{P} \subset \mathrm{Q} \subset \Omega$. Notice also that by Lebesgue's differentiation theorem, for almost every $x \in \Omega$ there exists the limit

$$
\lim \langle f\rangle_{\mathbf{Q}_{x}}=|f(x)| \log (e+1)
$$

where $\mathrm{Q}_{x}$ are those dyadic subcubes of $\Omega$ which contain $x$. These observations allow us to establish the following decomposition, analogous to that of Calderón-Zygmund.

For each $t \geqq\langle f\rangle_{\Omega}=1$, there exists a disjoint family $\mathscr{F}$ of dyadic subcubes $\mathrm{Q} \subset \boldsymbol{\Omega}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
t<\int_{\mathbf{Q}}|f| \log \left(e+\frac{|f|}{|f|_{\mathbf{Q}}}\right) \leqq 2^{n} t \tag{5.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

for each cube $\mathrm{Q} \in \mathscr{F}$. Moreover

$$
\begin{equation*}
|f(x)| \leqq \frac{t}{\log (1+e)}<t \tag{5.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

for almost every $x \in \Omega-\cup \mathscr{F}$.
In particular $|f|_{\mathrm{Q}} \leqq 2^{n} t$, which combined with the right hand side of (5.12) yields

$$
|\mathrm{Q}| \geqq \frac{1}{2^{n} t} \int_{\mathbf{Q}}|f| \log \left(e+\frac{|f|}{2^{n} t}\right)
$$

On the other hand, inequalities (5.12) and (5.13) imply that $\mathrm{Z} f(x)>t$ for $x \in \cup \mathscr{F}$ and $\cup \mathscr{F} \supset\{x ;|f(x)|>t\}$. Hence estimate (5.11) follows
immediately

$$
\left|\mathrm{E}_{\ell}\right| \geqq \sum_{Q \in \mathscr{F}}|\mathrm{Q}| \geqq \sum_{Q \in \mathscr{F}} \frac{1}{2^{n} t} \int_{Q}|f| \log \left(e+\frac{|f|}{2^{n} t}\right) \geqq \frac{1}{2^{n} t} \int_{|f|>t}|f| \log \left(e+\frac{|f|}{2^{n} t}\right) .
$$

The rest of the proof of theorem 4 proceeds in much the same way as the proof of inequality (5.4). Namely, changing the order of integration yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega} Z f(x) d x & \geqq \int_{1}^{\infty}\left|\mathrm{E}_{t}\right| d t \\
& \geqq \frac{1}{2^{n}} \int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{t} \int_{|f|>t}|f| \log \left(e+\frac{|f|}{2^{n} t}\right) d x d t \\
& =\frac{1}{2^{n}} \int_{|f|>1}|f| \int_{1}^{|f|} \log \left(e+\frac{|f|}{2^{n} t}\right) \frac{d t}{t} d x .
\end{aligned}
$$

We make the substitution $s=\frac{|f|}{2^{n} t}$ to obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega} Z f & \geqq \frac{1}{2^{n}} \int_{|f|>1}|f| \int_{2^{-n}}^{2^{-n}|f|} \log (e+s) d s d x \\
& \geqq \frac{1}{2^{n}} \int_{|f|>1}|f| \int_{2^{-n}}^{2^{-n}|f|} \frac{\log (e+s)}{e+s} d s d x \\
& =\left.\frac{1}{2^{n+1}} \int_{|f|>1}|f| \log ^{2}(e+s)\right|_{2^{-n}} ^{2^{-n}|f|} d x \\
& \geqq \frac{1}{2^{n+1}} \int_{|f|>1}|f| \log ^{2}\left(e+\frac{|f|}{2^{n}}\right) d x-\frac{1}{2^{n}} \int_{|f|>1}|f| d x .
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand we have a trivial estimate

$$
\int_{|f|<1}|f| \log ^{2}\left(e+\frac{|f|}{2^{n}}\right) d x \leqq 2 \int_{|f|<1}|f| d x
$$

which combined with the previous one yields

$$
f_{\Omega}|f| \log ^{2}\left(e+\frac{|f|}{2^{n}}\right) d x \leqq 2^{n+1} f_{\Omega}|\mathbf{Z} f| d x+2 f_{\Omega}|f| d x .
$$

Finally, using an elementary inequality $\log ^{2}(e+a b) \leqq 2 \log ^{2}(e+a)+8+8 b$, for $a, b \geqq 0$, we complete our estimation as follows

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{\Omega}|f| \log ^{2}\left(e+\frac{|f|}{|f|_{\Omega}}\right) \\
& \quad \leqq 2 f_{\Omega}|f| \log ^{2}\left(e+\frac{|f|}{2^{n}}\right)+8 f_{\Omega}|f|\left(1+\frac{2^{n}}{|f|_{\Omega}}\right) \\
& \leqq 2^{n+2}(\mathrm{Z} f)_{\Omega}+4|f|_{\Omega}+8|f|_{\Omega}+8.2^{n} \\
&=2^{n+1}(\mathrm{Z} f)_{\Omega}+12|f|_{\Omega}+2^{n+3}\langle f\rangle_{\Omega} \\
& \quad \leqq\left(12+2^{n+1}\right)(\mathrm{Z} f)_{\Omega}+2^{n+3} \inf Z f \\
& \quad \leqq\left(12+2^{n+1}+2^{n+3}\right)(Z f)_{\Omega} \\
& \quad \leqq 2^{n+4}(Z f)_{\Omega}
\end{aligned}
$$

This ends the proof of theorem 4.

## 6. THE PROOF OF THEOREM 3

First we rephrase inequality (1.1) as

$$
\begin{align*}
f_{\sigma Q} \mathrm{~J}(x) \log (e+ & \left.\frac{\mathrm{J}(x)}{\mathrm{J}_{\sigma \mathbf{Q}}}\right) d x \\
& \leqq \frac{c(n, \mathbf{p})}{\sigma^{n}(1-\sigma)}\left(f_{\mathbf{Q}}\left|\nabla f_{1}\right|^{p_{1}}\right)^{1 / p_{1}} \cdots\left(f_{\mathbf{Q}}\left|\nabla f_{n}\right|^{p_{n}}\right)^{1 / p_{n}} \tag{6.1}
\end{align*}
$$

for each cube $\mathrm{Q} \subset \Omega$.
Let $Z$ denote the Zygmund type maximal operator associated with the cube $\sigma \Omega$, that is

$$
\mathrm{Z} h(x)=\sup \left\{f_{\mathrm{Q}} \mathrm{~h} \log \left(e+\frac{h}{h_{\mathrm{Q}}}\right) ; x \in \mathrm{Q} \subset \sigma \Omega\right\}
$$

We denote by $\mathbf{M}_{p}, 1 \leqq p<\infty$, the Hardy-Littlewood type maximal operator associated with the cube $\Omega$,

$$
M_{p} h(x)=\sup \left\{\left(f_{Q}|h|^{p}\right)^{1 / p} ; x \in \mathrm{Q} \subset \Omega\right\}
$$

Then applying (6.1) yields a pointwise inequality between the maximal functions

$$
(\mathrm{Z} f)(x) \leqq \frac{c(n, \mathbf{p})}{\sigma^{n}(1-\sigma)} \mathbf{M}_{p_{1}}\left(\nabla f_{1}\right) \ldots \mathbf{M}_{p_{n}}\left(\nabla f_{n}\right)
$$

for all $x \in \sigma \Omega$.

Observe that $\mathrm{M}_{p_{i}}\left(\nabla f_{i}\right) \in \mathrm{L}^{p_{i}}(\Omega)$. Indeed, by (5.2) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\mathrm{M}_{p_{i}}\left(\nabla f_{i}\right)\right\|_{p_{i}} & =\left\|\mathrm{M}\left(\left|\nabla f_{i}\right|^{p_{i}}\right)\right\|_{1}^{1 / p_{i}} \\
& \leqq\left[3^{n+2} \int_{\mathrm{B}}\left|\nabla f_{i}\right|^{p_{i}} \log \left(e+\frac{\left|\nabla f_{i}\right|^{p_{i}}}{\left(\left|\nabla f_{i}\right|^{p_{i}}\right)_{\Omega}}\right)\right]^{1 / p_{i}} \\
& \leqq\left[3^{n+2} p_{i} \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla f_{i}\right|^{\mid p_{i}} \log \left(e+\frac{\left|\nabla f_{i}\right|}{\left|\nabla f_{i}\right|_{\Omega}}\right)\right]^{1 / p_{i}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

In case $p_{1}=\ldots=p_{n}=n$ this estimate takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\mathbf{M}_{n}\left(\nabla f_{i}\right)\right\|_{n} \leqq\left\|\mathbf{M}_{n}(\mathrm{D} f)\right\|_{n} \leqq\left[3^{n+2} n \int_{\Omega}|\mathrm{D} f|^{n} \log \left(e+\frac{|\mathrm{D} f|}{|\mathrm{D} f|_{\Omega}}\right)\right]^{1 / n} \tag{6.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, by Hölder's inequality we find that ZJ is integrable on $\sigma \Omega$ and its $L^{1}$-norm is controlled by

$$
\int_{\sigma \Omega}(\mathrm{ZJ})(x) d x \leqq \frac{c(n, \mathbf{p})}{\sigma^{n}(1-\sigma)} 3^{n+2} n \llbracket \nabla f_{1} \rrbracket_{p_{1}} \ldots \llbracket \nabla f_{n} \rrbracket_{p_{n}}
$$

Here we used the elementary inequality $p_{1}^{1 / p_{1}} \ldots p_{n}^{1 / p_{n}} \leqq n$.
Finally, by theorem 4 we deduce that $\mathrm{J} \in \mathrm{L} \log ^{2} \mathrm{~L}(\Omega)$ and by (5.5) we conclude with inequality (1.6). Similarly, in case of $p_{1}=\ldots=p_{n}=n$ estimate (6.2) yields inequality (1.7), completing the proof of theorem 3.

It is possible to improve slightly inequality (1.7) by replacing the Jacobian under the logarithm in (1.7) by $|\mathrm{D} f(x)|^{n}$, as mentioned in the Introduction. Indeed, by using an elementary inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{A} \log ^{s}\left(e+\frac{\mathrm{B}}{t}\right) \leqq c_{s} \mathrm{~A} \log ^{s}\left(e+\frac{\mathrm{A}}{t}\right)+c_{s} \mathrm{~B} \tag{6.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}, s, t>0$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\sigma \Omega} \mathrm{J}(x) \log ^{2}\left(e+\frac{|\mathrm{D} f(x)|^{n}}{\mathrm{~J}_{\sigma \Omega}}\right) d x \\
& \quad \leqq c_{2} \int_{\sigma \Omega} \mathrm{J}(x) \log ^{2}\left(e+\frac{\mathrm{J}(x)}{\mathrm{J}_{\sigma \Omega}}\right) d x+c_{2} \int_{\sigma \Omega}|\mathrm{D} f(x)|^{n} d x \\
& \quad \leqq \frac{c(n)}{(1-\sigma) \sigma^{n}} \int_{\Omega}|\mathrm{D} f(x)|^{n} \log \left(e+\frac{|\mathrm{D} f(x)|}{|\mathrm{D} f|_{\Omega}}\right) d x \tag{6.4}
\end{align*}
$$
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[^0]:    Classification A.M.S. : 73 C 50, 42 B 20, 58 G 26.

