

# ANNALES DE L'I. H. P., SECTION A

JENN-NAN WANG

## **Stability estimates of an inverse problem for the stationary transport equation**

*Annales de l'I. H. P., section A*, tome 70, n° 5 (1999), p. 473-495

[http://www.numdam.org/item?id=AIHPA\\_1999\\_\\_70\\_5\\_473\\_0](http://www.numdam.org/item?id=AIHPA_1999__70_5_473_0)

© Gauthier-Villars, 1999, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Annales de l'I. H. P., section A » implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme  
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques

<http://www.numdam.org/>

# **Stability estimates of an inverse problem for the stationary transport equation**

by

**Jenn-Nan WANG<sup>1</sup>**

Department of Mathematics, National Cheng Kung University, Tainan 701, Taiwan

Manuscript received 6 November 1997

---

**ABSTRACT.** – For the stationary transport equation on a bounded open convex set  $\Omega$ , one can study the following inverse problem: recovering the absorption coefficient and the collision kernel from the albedo operator on the boundary. In this work we will consider the question of stability for this inverse problem. © Elsevier, Paris

**RÉSUMÉ.** – Nous étudions le problème inverse suivant concernant les équations de transport stationnaires sur un domaine ouvert convexe  $\Omega$  : comment retrouver le coefficient d'absorption et le noyau de collision à partir de l'opérateur d'albedo sur le bord du domaine ? Dans cet article, nous considérons la stabilité de ce problème inverse. © Elsevier, Paris

---

## **1. INTRODUCTION AND STATEMENT OF THE RESULTS**

In this article we are concerned with stability estimates of an inverse boundary value problem for the stationary linear transport equation. Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  be a bounded open convex set with  $C^1$  boundary  $\partial\Omega$ . We assume that  $V$  is an open set in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  and  $V \subseteq \{v \in \mathbb{R}^3: 0 < \lambda_1 \leq |v| \leq \lambda_2\}$ .

---

<sup>1</sup> E-mail: jnwang@math.ncku.edu.tw.

Additionally, let  $\Gamma_{\pm} = \{(x, v) \in \partial\Omega \times V; n(x) \cdot v \gtrless 0\}$ , where  $n(x)$  is the outer normal to  $\partial\Omega$  at  $x \in \partial\Omega$ . Now consider the following boundary value problem

$$\begin{cases} -v \cdot \nabla_x f(x, v) - \sigma_a(x) f(x, v) + \int_V k(v', v) f(x, v') dv' = 0, \\ f|_{\Gamma_-} = f_-. \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

Here  $\sigma_a(x)$  is called the absorption coefficient and  $k(v', v)$  is called the collision kernel. Further, we impose widely used admissible conditions on  $\sigma_a(x)$  and  $k(v', v)$ .

(i)  $0 \leq \sigma_a(x) \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ .

(ii)  $0 \leq k(v', \cdot) \in L^1(V)$  for a.e.  $v' \in V$  and  $\int_V k(v', v) dv := \sigma_p(v') \in L^\infty(V)$ .

To make sure that the boundary value problem (1.1) is uniquely solvable, we will assume that

$$\|\tau\sigma_p\|_{L^\infty} < 1, \quad (1.2)$$

where  $\tau = \tau_- + \tau_+$  and  $\tau_{\pm}(x, v) = \min\{t \geq 0: x \pm tv \in \partial\Omega\}$ . In fact, besides (1.2) we also need  $\|\tau\sigma_a\|_{L^\infty} < \infty$ . But this condition is trivially satisfied under admissibility of  $\sigma_a$  and our  $V$ . Now given an admissible pair  $(\sigma_a(x), k(v', v))$  satisfying (1.2), one can show that (1.1) is uniquely solvable whenever  $f_- \in L^1(\Gamma_-, d\xi)$  (see [1]). Here  $d\xi = |n(x) \cdot v| d\mu(x) dv$  is a measure on  $\Gamma_{\pm}$ , where  $d\mu(x)$  is the Lebesgue measure on  $\partial\Omega$ . We then define the so-called *albedo operator*  $\mathcal{A}$  for (1.1)

$$\mathcal{A}: f_- \rightarrow f|_{\Gamma_+}. \quad (1.3)$$

In [1], we can see that  $\mathcal{A}$  is a bounded operator from  $L^1(\Gamma_-, d\xi)$  to  $L^1(\Gamma_+, d\xi)$ . Now the inverse problem for (1.1) is to recover  $\sigma_a(x)$  and  $k(v', v)$  from the knowledge of  $\mathcal{A}$ . Applying Choulli and Stefanov's results in [1] to our case here, one can show that  $\sigma_a(x)$  and  $k(v', v)$  are uniquely determined by the albedo operator  $\mathcal{A}$ . Note that Choulli and Stefanov considered more general  $\sigma_a$  and  $k$  in [1] and they also gave a reconstruction procedure. In this work, we are interested in the stability estimate of this inverse problem. One would like to know how  $\sigma_a(x)$  and  $k(v', v)$  depend on  $\mathcal{A}$ . This is a very important question from numerical point of view. To state the results, let us first introduce some notations. Hereafter,  $\sigma_a(x)$  is replaced by  $\sigma(x)$ . Also, let  $\|\cdot\|_*$  be the operator norm  $L^1(\Gamma_-, d\xi) \rightarrow L^1(\Gamma_+, d\xi)$ . Now we are ready to state the results of this article.

THEOREM 1.1. – *Let*

$$\mathcal{M} = \{u(x) \in H^{3/2+\tilde{r}}(\Omega): u(x) \geq 0, \text{ supp } u \subseteq \Omega, \\ \text{and } \|u\|_{H^{3/2+\tilde{r}}} \leq M\}$$

for some  $\tilde{r} > 0$  and  $M > 0$ . Further, let

$$\mathcal{N} = \{0 \leq k(v', v): k(v', \cdot) \in L^1(V) \text{ for a.e. } v' \in V, \\ k(\cdot, v) \in C^0(V) \text{ for all } v \in V, \\ \|\sigma_p\|_{L^\infty} < \infty \text{ and } \|\tau\sigma_p\|_{L^\infty} < 1\}.$$

Assume that  $(\sigma_i(x), k_i(v', v)) \in \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{N}$  and  $\mathcal{A}_i$  are the associated albedo operators,  $i = 1, 2$ . Then for absorption coefficients we have

$$\|\sigma_1 - \sigma_2\|_{H^{3/2+r}} \leq C \|\mathcal{A}_1 - \mathcal{A}_2\|_*^\theta, \tag{1.4}$$

where  $0 \leq r < \tilde{r}$ ,  $\theta = (\tilde{r} - r)/(\tilde{r} + 2)$ , and  $C = C(\Omega, \lambda_1, \lambda_2, r, \tilde{r})$ , while for collision kernels we get

$$\|k_1 - k_2\|_{L^1(V \times V)} \leq \tilde{C} \|\mathcal{A}_1 - \mathcal{A}_2\|_*, \tag{1.5}$$

where  $\tilde{C} = \tilde{C}(\Omega, M, \lambda_1, \lambda_2)$ .

Note that for the case of pure absorption, i.e.,  $k = 0$ , we can obtain the same estimates (1.4) by some elementary arguments (see Section 3). The interesting point of our result is that the estimate (1.4) is derived when  $k$  is nontrivial. And moreover, the constant  $C$  in (1.4) turns out to be the same as in the case  $k = 0$ . Additionally, we are able to obtain the stability estimate for the collision kernel here. This is the most difficult part of the whole business. The main step in the proof of Theorem 1.1 is to choose appropriate approximations of the identity and cut-off functions. The key to this approach is that the kernel of  $\mathcal{A}$  has a special structure (see Section 2).

Previously, some stability estimates for inverse problems involving the stationary transport equation have been obtained by Romanov in [4]. He used different observable data from ours. Furthermore, his results required smallness assumptions on the absorption coefficient and the collision kernel. For stability estimates for different inverse problems, the reader is referred to a review article by Isakov [2].

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we will review some results in [1] which are needed in our work. In Section 3 we prove

the stability estimate for the pure absorption case, i.e.,  $k = 0$ , which is closely related to the stability estimate for the X-ray transform. We include this result here for completeness. Section 4 is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1.1.

### 2. PRELIMINARIES

Under the assumption that  $\sigma(x)$  and  $k(v', v)$  are admissible and satisfy (1.2), we obtained that the albedo operator  $\mathcal{A}$  is a bounded operator  $L^1(\Gamma_-, d\xi) \rightarrow L^1(\Gamma_+, d\xi)$ . In fact, one can show that the kernel  $a(x, v, x', v')$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  is of the form  $a = \alpha + \beta + \gamma$ , where

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &= e^{-\int_0^{\tau_-(x,v)} \sigma(x-pv) dp} \delta_{\{x-\tau_-(x,v)v\}}(x') \delta(v-v'), \\ \beta &= \int_0^{\tau_-(x,v)} e^{-\int_0^s \sigma(x-pv) dp} e^{-\int_0^{\tau_-(x-sv,v')} \sigma(x-sv-pv') dp} k(v', v) \\ &\quad \times \delta_{\{x-sv-\tau_-(x-sv,v')v'\}}(x') ds, \\ |n(x') \cdot v'|^{-1} \gamma &\in L^\infty(\Gamma_-; L^1(\Gamma_+, d\xi)), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\delta_{\{x'\}}(x)$  is a distribution on  $\partial\Omega$  defined by

$$(\delta_{\{x'\}}, \phi) = \int \delta_{\{x'\}}(x) \phi(x) d\mu(x) = \phi(x')$$

and  $\delta$  is the standard delta function in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  (see [1] for details).

Next we give two lemmas, which will be needed in many occasions.

LEMMA 2.1. – *Let  $f \in L^1(\Omega \times V)$ , then*

$$\int_{\Omega \times V} f(x, v) dx dv = \int_{\Gamma_\mp} \int_0^{\tau_\pm(x',v)} f(x' \pm tv, v) dt d\xi(x', v).$$

*Proof.* – See [1].  $\square$

LEMMA 2.2. – *Assume that  $f_-(x', v) \in L^1(\Gamma_-, d\xi)$ . Then*

$$\int_{\Gamma_+} f_-(x - \tau_-(x, v)v, v) d\xi(x, v) = \int_{\Gamma_-} f_-(x', v) d\xi(x', v). \tag{2.1}$$

*Proof.* – Note that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Gamma_+} f_-(x - \tau_-(x, v), v) d\xi(x, v) \\ &= \int_V \int_{\{x: n(x) \cdot v > 0\}} f_-(x - \tau_-(x, v)v, v)(n(x) \cdot v) d\mu(x) dv. \end{aligned}$$

It suffices to show that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\{x: n(x) \cdot v > 0\}} f_-(x - \tau_-(x, v)v, v)(n(x) \cdot v) d\mu(x) \\ &= \int_{\{x': n(x') \cdot v < 0\}} f_-(x', v)|n(x') \cdot v| d\mu(x'), \end{aligned}$$

for any fixed  $v \in V$ . Let us denote  $f(x, v) = f_-(x - \tau_-(x, v)v, v)$  for all  $x \in \overline{\Omega}$ . Then one can see that  $(v \cdot \nabla_x) f(x, v) = 0$  a.e. Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \int_{\Omega} (v \cdot \nabla_x) f(x, v) dx = \int_{\partial\Omega} f(x, v)(n(x) \cdot v) d\mu(x) \\ &= \int_{\{x: n(x) \cdot v > 0\}} f_-(x - \tau_-(x, v)v, v)(n(x) \cdot v) d\mu(x) \\ &\quad + \int_{\{x': n(x') \cdot v < 0\}} f_-(x', v)(n(x') \cdot v) d\mu(x'). \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

Now the formula (2.1) follows from (2.2).  $\square$

### 3. THE PURE ABSORPTION CASE

In this section, we will prove the stability estimate for  $\sigma(x)$  in terms of  $\mathcal{A}$  when the collision kernel  $k$  is zero.

**THEOREM 3.1.** – *Assume that the collision kernel  $k = 0$  in (1.1). Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be defined as in Theorem 1.1. Then for  $\sigma_i(x) \in \mathcal{M}$  and their associated albedo operators  $\mathcal{A}_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , we have the estimate (1.4).*

*Proof.* – Consider

$$\begin{cases} -v \cdot \nabla_x f(x, v) - \sigma(x)f(x, v) = 0, & (x, v) \in \Omega \times V, \\ f|_{\Gamma_-} = f_-(x', v) \in L^1(\Gamma_-, d\xi). \end{cases} \tag{3.1}$$

It is readily seen that

$$f(x, v) = e^{-\int_0^{\tau_-(x,v)} \sigma(x-pv) dp} f_-(x - \tau_-(x, v)v, v)$$

is the unique solution to (3.1). Let  $\sigma_1(x)$  and  $\sigma_2(x)$  be any two functions in  $\mathcal{M}$ . Also, let  $\mathcal{A}_1$  and  $\mathcal{A}_2$  be the associated albedo operators. Therefore, for any  $f_- \in L^1(\Gamma_-, d\xi)$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|(\mathcal{A}_1 - \mathcal{A}_2)f_-\|_{L^1(\Gamma_+, d\xi)} &= \int_{\Gamma_+} \left| e^{-\int_0^{\tau_-(x,v)} \sigma_1(x-pv) dp} - e^{-\int_0^{\tau_-(x,v)} \sigma_2(x-pv) dp} \right| \\ &\times |f_-(x - \tau_-(x, v)v, v)| d\xi(x, v) \\ &= \int_{\Gamma_+} e^{\eta(x,v)} \left| \int_0^{\tau_-(x,v)} (\sigma_1 - \sigma_2)(x - pv) dp \right| \\ &\times |f_-(x - \tau_-(x, v)v, v)| d\xi(x, v), \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

where  $\eta(x, v)$  is some point between

$$\int_0^{\tau_-(x,v)} \sigma_1(x - pv) dp \quad \text{and} \quad \int_0^{\tau_-(x,v)} \sigma_2(x - pv) dp.$$

Now since  $\sigma_i \in \mathcal{M}$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , we get that

$$\eta(x, v) \geq -Md/\lambda_1, \tag{3.3}$$

where  $d = \text{diam } \Omega$ . By substituting (3.3) into (3.2) we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \|(\mathcal{A}_1 - \mathcal{A}_2)f_-\|_{L^1(\Gamma_+, d\xi)} &\geq C_1 \int_{\Gamma_+} \left| \int_0^{\tau_-(x,v)} (\sigma_1 - \sigma_2)(x - pv) dp \right| \\ &\times |f_-(x - \tau_-(x, v)v, v)| d\xi(x, v), \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

where  $C_1 = e^{-Md/\lambda_1}$ . Now since  $\text{supp } \sigma_i(x) \in \Omega$ , we can see that

$$\int_0^{\tau_-(x,v)} (\sigma_1 - \sigma_2)(x - pv) dp = \frac{1}{|v|} X(\sigma_1 - \sigma_2) \left( x, \frac{v}{|v|} \right), \tag{3.5}$$

where  $X$  means the X-ray transform, i.e.,

$$(Xg)(x, \omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(x + s\omega) ds, \quad \forall \omega \in \mathbf{S}^2.$$

Note that the value of  $Xg(x, \omega)$  remains the same if we move  $x$  along the direction  $\omega$ . Therefore, we have

$$X(\sigma_1 - \sigma_2)\left(x, \frac{v}{|v|}\right) = X(\sigma_1 - \sigma_2)\left(x - \tau_-(x, v)v, \frac{v}{|v|}\right).$$

Now we can obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Gamma_+} \frac{1}{|v|} \left| X(\sigma_1 - \sigma_2)\left(x, \frac{v}{|v|}\right) \right| |f_-(x - \tau_-(x, v)v, v)| d\xi(x, v) \\ &= \int_{\Gamma_+} \frac{1}{|v|} \left| X(\sigma_1 - \sigma_2)\left(x - \tau_-(x, v)v, \frac{v}{|v|}\right) \right| |f_-(x - \tau_-(x, v)v, v)| d\xi \\ &= \int_{\Gamma_-} \frac{1}{|v|} \left| X(\sigma_1 - \sigma_2)\left(x', \frac{v}{|v|}\right) \right| |f_-(x', v)| d\xi(x', v), \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

where the second equality follows from Lemma 2.2. Combining (3.4) and (3.6), we have

$$\|(\mathcal{A}_1 - \mathcal{A}_2)f_-\|_{L^1(\Gamma_+, d\xi)} \geq C_2 \|X(\sigma_1 - \sigma_2)f_-\|_{L^1(\Gamma_-, d\xi)}, \tag{3.7}$$

where  $C_2 = C_1/\lambda_2$ . The inequality (3.7) now implies that

$$\|\mathcal{A}_1 - \mathcal{A}_2\|_* \geq C_2 \sup_{\|f_-\|_{L^1(\Gamma_-, d\xi)} \leq 1} \|X(\sigma_1 - \sigma_2)f_-\|_{L^1(\Gamma_-, d\xi)}. \tag{3.8}$$

Next it is not hard to show that

$$\sup_{\|f_-\|_{L^1(\Gamma_-, d\xi)} \leq 1} \|X(\sigma_1 - \sigma_2)f_-\|_{L^1(\Gamma_-, d\xi)} = \|X(\sigma_1 - \sigma_2)\|_{L^\infty(\Gamma\mathbf{S}_-^2)}, \tag{3.9}$$

where  $\Gamma\mathbf{S}_-^2 = \{(x', \omega) \in \partial\Omega \times \mathbf{S}^2: n(x') \cdot \omega < 0\}$ . Further, we can see that

$$\|X(\sigma_1 - \sigma_2)\|_{L^2(\Gamma\mathbf{S}^2)} \leq C_3 \|X(\sigma_1 - \sigma_2)\|_{L^\infty(\Gamma\mathbf{S}_-^2)}, \tag{3.10}$$



where

$$\|Xg\|_{L^2(TS^2)}^2 = \int_{S^2} \|Xg(\cdot, \omega)\|_{L^2(\omega^\perp)}^2 d\omega.$$

For the X-ray transform, we have the following estimate (see [3])

$$\|g\|_{H^{-1/2}} \leq C_4 \|Xg\|_{L^2(TS^2)}, \tag{3.11}$$

for  $g \in H^{-1/2}(\Omega)$  and  $\text{supp } g \subseteq \Omega$ . Combining (3.8)–(3.11), we get that

$$\|\sigma_1 - \sigma_2\|_{H^{-1/2}} \leq C_5 \|\mathcal{A}_1 - \mathcal{A}_2\|_*. \tag{3.12}$$

Now by the interpolation formula, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\sigma_1 - \sigma_2\|_{H^{3/2+r}} &\leq C_6 \|\sigma_1 - \sigma_2\|_{H^{3/2+\tilde{r}}}^{1-\theta} \|\sigma_1 - \sigma_2\|_{H^{-1/2}}^\theta \\ &\leq C_7 \|\sigma_1 - \sigma_2\|_{H^{-1/2}}^\theta, \end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

where  $0 \leq r < \tilde{r}$  and  $\theta = (\tilde{r} - r)/(\tilde{r} + 2)$ . By using (3.12) and (3.13), we immediately obtain the estimate (1.4) and Theorem 3.1.  $\square$

#### 4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

We will divide the proof into two parts. One is for  $\sigma$ 's and the other is for  $k$ 's.

*Part 1.* – To begin with, let us choose

$$0 \leq \psi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad \int \psi(x) dx = 1, \quad \text{and} \quad \psi(0) = 1.$$

Next let  $(x'_0, v'_0) \in \Gamma_-$ , i.e.,  $n(x'_0) \cdot v'_0 < 0$ , be fixed. We now define

$$\psi_{v'_0}^\varepsilon(v') = \frac{1}{\varepsilon^n} \psi\left(\frac{v' - v'_0}{\varepsilon}\right).$$

Now we choose  $\varepsilon > 0$  sufficiently small so that

$$Q^\varepsilon(x'_0) \times \text{supp } \psi_{v'_0}^\varepsilon(v') \subseteq \Gamma_-,$$

where  $Q^\varepsilon(x'_0) := B^\varepsilon(x'_0) \cap \partial\Omega$ , where  $B^\varepsilon(x'_0)$  is the  $\varepsilon$ -ball in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  centered at  $x'_0$ . Next let  $\phi_{x'_0}^\varepsilon(x')$  be such that:

- $\text{supp } \phi_{x'_0}^\varepsilon(x') \subseteq Q^\varepsilon(x'_0)$ ;

- $\int_{Q^\varepsilon(x'_0)} \phi_{x'_0}^\varepsilon(x') d\mu(x') = 1$  and

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\partial\Omega} f(x') \phi_{x'_0}^\varepsilon(x') d\mu(x') = f(x'_0), \quad \text{for all } f(x') \in C^0(\partial\Omega).$$

To see that  $\phi_{x'_0}^\varepsilon(x')$  do exist, let us argue in the following way. Let  $O^\varepsilon$  be an open set in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  such that  $\rho: O^\varepsilon \rightarrow Q^\varepsilon(x'_0)$  is a coordinate function of  $\partial\Omega$  near  $x'_0$  and  $D(y) := \rho_{y_1} \times \rho_{y_2} \neq 0, \forall y = (y_1, y_2) \in O^\varepsilon$ . For simplicity, we also take  $\rho(0) = x'_0$ . Assume that  $0 \leq w(y) \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$ ,  $\text{supp } w(y/\varepsilon) \subseteq O^\varepsilon$  and satisfies  $\int w(y) dy = 1, w(0) = 1$ . Now let

$$\phi_{x'_0}^\varepsilon(x') = \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2 |D(\rho^{-1}(x'))|} w\left(\frac{\rho^{-1}(x')}{\varepsilon}\right).$$

Then we have  $\phi_{x'_0}^\varepsilon(x') \geq 0$  and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{Q^\varepsilon(x'_0)} \phi_{x'_0}^\varepsilon(x') d\mu(x') &= \int_{O^\varepsilon} \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2 |D(y)|} w\left(\frac{y}{\varepsilon}\right) |D(y)| dy \\ &= \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \int_{O^\varepsilon} w\left(\frac{y}{\varepsilon}\right) dy = 1, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{Q^\varepsilon(x'_0)} f(x') \phi_{x'_0}^\varepsilon(x') d\mu(x') &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{O^\varepsilon} f(\rho(y)) \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2 |D(y)|} w\left(\frac{y}{\varepsilon}\right) |D(y)| dy \\ &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \int f(\rho(y)) w\left(\frac{y}{\varepsilon}\right) dy. \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

Since  $\rho$  is at least a homeomorphism, we get that  $f(\rho(y))$  is a continuous function. Therefore, we obtain that the limit in (4.1) is equal to  $f(\rho(0)) = f(x'_0)$ . Finally, we define

$$f_{x'_0, v'_0}^\varepsilon(x', v') = \frac{1}{|n(x') \cdot v'|} \phi_{x'_0}^\varepsilon(x') \psi_{v'_0}^\varepsilon(v').$$

Now we need to choose an appropriate cut-off function. Let

$$\tilde{\chi}_{x'_0, v'_0}^\varepsilon(x', v') = \tilde{\phi}_{x'_0}^\varepsilon(x') \tilde{\psi}_{v'_0}^\varepsilon(v'),$$

where

$$\tilde{\phi}_{x'_0}^\varepsilon(x') = \begin{cases} 1, & x' \in Q^\varepsilon(x'_0), \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and

$$\tilde{\psi}_{v'_0}^\varepsilon(v') = \begin{cases} 1, & v' \in \text{supp } \psi_{v'_0}^\varepsilon, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Now let  $f_- = f_{x'_0, v'_0}^\varepsilon$  then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (\mathcal{A}_1 - \mathcal{A}_2) f_{x'_0, v'_0}^\varepsilon(x, v) \tag{4.2} \\ &= \int_{\Gamma_-} (a_1(x, v, x', v') - a_2(x, v, x', v')) f_{x'_0, v'_0}^\varepsilon(x', v') d\mu(x') dv'. \end{aligned}$$

Further, let us set  $\chi^\varepsilon(x, v) = \tilde{\chi}_{x'_0, v'_0}^\varepsilon(x - \tau_-(x, v)v, v)$  for  $(x, v) \in \Gamma_+$ . Our plan here is to multiply  $\chi^\varepsilon(x, v)$  on both sides of (4.2) and then integrate the new formula over  $\Gamma_+$ . We first deal with the right-hand side of (4.2) and prove the following two claims.

CLAIM 4.1. –

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Gamma_+} \chi^\varepsilon(x, v) \int_{\Gamma_-} (\alpha_1 - \alpha_2)(x, v, x', v') f_{x'_0, v'_0}^\varepsilon(x', v') d\mu(x') dv' d\xi(x, v) \\ &= e^{-\int_0^{\tau_+(x'_0, v'_0)} \sigma_1(x'_0 + pv'_0) dp} - e^{-\int_0^{\tau_+(x'_0, v'_0)} \sigma_2(x'_0 + pv'_0) dp}. \tag{4.3} \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* – Note that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Gamma_-} (\alpha_1 - \alpha_2)(x, v, x', v') f_{x'_0, v'_0}^\varepsilon(x', v') d\mu(x') dv' \\ &= \left( e^{-\int_0^{\tau_-(x, v)} \sigma_1(x - pv) dp} - e^{-\int_0^{\tau_-(x, v)} \sigma_2(x - pv) dp} \right) \\ & \quad \times f_{x'_0, v'_0}^\varepsilon(x - \tau_-(x, v)v, v). \tag{4.4} \end{aligned}$$

Next we observe that

$$\int_0^{\tau_-(x, v)} \sigma(x - pv) dp = \int_0^{\tau_+(x - \tau_-(x, v)v, v)} \sigma(x - \tau_-(x, v)v + pv) dp. \tag{4.5}$$

Let us denote

$$R(\sigma_1 - \sigma_2)(x', v') = e^{-\int_0^{\tau_+(x', v')} \sigma_1(x' + pv') dp} - e^{-\int_0^{\tau_+(x', v')} \sigma_2(x' + pv') dp}.$$

Then combining (4.4) and (4.5), we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_{\Gamma_+} \chi^\varepsilon(x, v) \int_{\Gamma_-} (\alpha_1 - \alpha_2)(x, v, x', v') f_{x'_0, v'_0}^\varepsilon(x', v') d\mu(x') dv' d\xi(x, v) \\
 &= \int_{\Gamma_+} \tilde{\chi}_{x'_0, v'_0}^\varepsilon(x - \tau_-(x, v)v, v) R(\sigma_1 - \sigma_2)(x - \tau_-(x, v)v, v) \\
 & \quad \times f_{x'_0, v'_0}^\varepsilon(x - \tau_-(x, v)v, v) d\xi(x, v) \\
 &= \int_{\Gamma_-} \tilde{\chi}_{x'_0, v'_0}^\varepsilon(x', v) R(\sigma_1 - \sigma_2)(x', v) f_{x'_0, v'_0}^\varepsilon(x', v) d\xi(x', v) \\
 &= \int_{\Gamma_-} R(\sigma_1 - \sigma_2)(x', v) \phi_{x'_0}^\varepsilon(x') \psi_{v'_0}^\varepsilon(v) d\mu(x') dv. \tag{4.6}
 \end{aligned}$$

The second equality in (4.6) follows from Lemma 2.2 and the third equality is a consequence of choices of  $\tilde{\chi}_{x'_0, v'_0}^\varepsilon$  and  $f_{x'_0, v'_0}^\varepsilon$ . Since  $R(\sigma_1 - \sigma_2)(x', v)$  is continuous in  $(x', v)$ , we get (4.3) by taking  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ .  $\square$

CLAIM 4.2. –

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Gamma_+} \chi^\varepsilon(x, v) \int_{\Gamma_-} (\beta_1 - \beta_2)(x, v, x', v') \\
 & \quad \times f_{x'_0, v'_0}^\varepsilon(x', v') d\mu(x') dv' d\xi(x, v) = 0. \tag{4.7}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Gamma_+} \chi^\varepsilon(x, v) \int_{\Gamma_-} (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)(x, v, x', v') \\
 & \quad \times f_{x'_0, v'_0}^\varepsilon(x', v') d\mu(x') dv' d\xi(x, v) = 0. \tag{4.8}
 \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* – We first prove (4.7). Let us denote

$$\begin{aligned}
 E_i(s, x, v', v) &= e^{-\int_0^s \sigma_i(x-pv) dp} e^{-\int_0^{\tau_-(x-sv, v')} \sigma_i(x-sv-pv') dp}, \\
 i &= 1, 2. \tag{4.9}
 \end{aligned}$$

Using the fact that  $\sigma_i \in \mathcal{M}$  and Fubini's theorem, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left| \int_{\Gamma_+} \chi^\varepsilon(x, v) \int_{\Gamma_-} (\beta_1 - \beta_2) f_{x'_0, v'_0}^\varepsilon d\mu(x') dv' d\xi(x, v) \right| \\
 & \leq \int_{\Gamma_+} \chi^\varepsilon(x, v) \int_{\Gamma_-} \int_0^{\tau_-(x, v)} |E_1 k_1 - E_2 k_2|(s, x, v', v)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \times \delta_{\{x-sv-\tau_-(x-sv,v')v'\}}(x') f_{x'_0,v'_0}^\varepsilon ds d\mu dv' d\xi \\
 & \leq C_8 \int_{\Gamma_+} \chi^\varepsilon(x, v) \int_V \int_0^{\tau_-(x,v)} (k_1 + k_2)(v', v) \\
 & \quad \times f_{x'_0,v'_0}^\varepsilon(x - sv - \tau_-(x - sv, v')v', v') ds dv' d\xi \\
 & \leq C_8 \int_V \int_{\Gamma_+} \tilde{\psi}_{v'_0}^\varepsilon(v) \int_0^{\tau_-(x,v)} (k_1 + k_2)(v', v) \\
 & \quad \times f_{x'_0,v'_0}^\varepsilon(x - sv - \tau_-(x - sv, v')v', v') ds d\xi dv' \\
 & := C_8 T. \tag{4.10}
 \end{aligned}$$

Now by Lemma 2.1, we can see that

$$\begin{aligned}
 T &= \int_V \int_{\Omega \times V} \tilde{\psi}_{v'_0}^\varepsilon(v) (k_1 + k_2)(v', v) f_{x'_0,v'_0}^\varepsilon(x - \tau_-(x, v')v', v') dx dv dv' \\
 &= \int_{\Omega \times V} f_{x'_0,v'_0}^\varepsilon(x - \tau_-(x, v')v') \int_V \tilde{\psi}_{v'_0}^\varepsilon(v) (k_1 + k_2)(v', v) dv dx dv'. \tag{4.11}
 \end{aligned}$$

Applying Lemma 2.1 to (4.11) and from  $k_i \in \mathcal{N}$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , we get that

$$\begin{aligned}
 T &= \int_{\Gamma_-} \int_0^{\tau_+(x',v')} f_{x'_0,v'_0}^\varepsilon(x', v') \int_V \tilde{\psi}_{v'_0}^\varepsilon(v) (k_1 + k_2)(v', v) dv dt d\xi(x', v') \\
 &\leq \frac{d}{\lambda_1} \sup_{v' \in V} \int_V \tilde{\psi}_{v'_0}^\varepsilon(v) (k_1 + k_2)(v', v) dv \int_{\Gamma_-} f_{x'_0,v'_0}^\varepsilon(x', v') d\xi(x', v') \\
 &\leq \frac{d}{\lambda_1} \sup_{v' \in V} \int_{v \in \text{supp} \tilde{\psi}_{v'_0}^\varepsilon} \tilde{\psi}_{v'_0}^\varepsilon(v) (k_1 + k_2)(v', v) dv. \tag{4.12}
 \end{aligned}$$

Now combining (4.10), (4.12) and taking  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ , we have (4.7).

Next, we want to establish (4.8). Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left| \int_{\Gamma_+} \chi^\varepsilon(x, v) \int_{\Gamma_-} (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)(x, v, x', v') f_{x'_0,v'_0}^\varepsilon(x', v') d\mu(x') dv' d\xi(x, v) \right| \\
 & \leq \int_{\Gamma_+} \chi^\varepsilon(x, v) \int_{\Gamma_-} |n(x') \cdot v'|^{-1} |\gamma_1 - \gamma_2|(x, v, x', v')
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\times \phi_{x'_0}^\varepsilon(x') \psi_{v'_0}^\varepsilon(v') d\mu(x') dv' d\xi(x, v) := \tilde{T}. \tag{4.13}$$

Since  $|n(x') \cdot v'|^{-1} \gamma_i(x, v, x', v') \in L^\infty(\Gamma_-; L^1(\Gamma_+, d\xi))$  for  $i = 1, 2$ , we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{T} &\leq \sup_{(x', v') \in \Gamma_-} \int_{\Gamma_+} \chi^\varepsilon(x, v) |n(x') \cdot v'|^{-1} |\gamma_1 - \gamma_2|(x, v, x', v') d\xi(x, v) \\ &\quad \times \int_{\Gamma_-} \phi_{x'_0}^\varepsilon(x') \psi_{v'_0}^\varepsilon(v') d\mu(x') dv' \\ &= \sup_{(x', v') \in \Gamma_-} \int_{\Gamma_+} \chi^\varepsilon(x, v) |n(x') \cdot v'|^{-1} \\ &\quad \times |\gamma_1 - \gamma_2|(x, v, x', v') d\xi(x, v). \end{aligned} \tag{4.14}$$

Now in view of (4.13) and taking  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  in (4.14), we immediately get (4.8).  $\square$

After establishing Claims 4.1 and 4.2, we are in a position to derive the estimate (1.4) in Theorem 1.1. Let  $\chi^\varepsilon(x, v)$  and  $f_{x'_0, v'_0}^\varepsilon(x', v')$  be defined as above. Then

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\chi^\varepsilon(\mathcal{A}_1 - \mathcal{A}_2) f_{x'_0, v'_0}^\varepsilon\|_{L^1(\Gamma_+, d\xi)} \\ &= \left| \int_{\Gamma_+} \chi^\varepsilon \int_{\Gamma_-} (\alpha_1 - \alpha_2) f_{x'_0, v'_0}^\varepsilon d\mu(x') dv' d\xi \right. \\ &\quad + \int_{\Gamma_+} \chi^\varepsilon \int_{\Gamma_-} (\beta_1 - \beta_2) f_{x'_0, v'_0}^\varepsilon d\mu(x') dv' d\xi \\ &\quad \left. + \int_{\Gamma_+} \chi^\varepsilon \int_{\Gamma_-} (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2) f_{x'_0, v'_0}^\varepsilon d\mu(x') dv' d\xi \right|. \end{aligned} \tag{4.15}$$

For the left-hand side of (4.15), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\chi^\varepsilon(\mathcal{A}_1 - \mathcal{A}_2) f_{x'_0, v'_0}^\varepsilon\|_{L^1(\Gamma_+, d\xi)} &\leq \|(\mathcal{A}_1 - \mathcal{A}_2) f_{x'_0, v'_0}^\varepsilon\|_{L^1(\Gamma_+, d\xi)} \\ &\leq \|\mathcal{A}_1 - \mathcal{A}_2\|_* \|f_{x'_0, v'_0}^\varepsilon\|_{L^1(\Gamma_-, d\xi)} \\ &= \|\mathcal{A}_1 - \mathcal{A}_2\|_*. \end{aligned} \tag{4.16}$$

Now taking  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  on the right-hand side of (4.15) and using Claim 4.1, Claim 4.2, and (4.16), we obtain that

$$\left| e^{-\int_0^{\tau+(x'_0, v'_0)} \sigma_1(x'_0 + pv'_0) dp} - e^{-\int_0^{\tau+(x'_0, v'_0)} \sigma_2(x'_0 + pv'_0) dp} \right| \leq \|\mathcal{A}_1 - \mathcal{A}_2\|_*, \tag{4.17}$$

for any  $(x'_0, v'_0) \in \Gamma_-$ . Note that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| e^{-\int_0^{\tau_+(x'_0, v'_0)} \sigma_1(x'_0 + pv'_0) dp} - e^{-\int_0^{\tau_+(x'_0, v'_0)} \sigma_2(x'_0 + pv'_0) dp} \right| \\ & \geq C_9 \left| \int_0^{\tau_+(x'_0, v'_0)} (\sigma_1 - \sigma_2)(x'_0 + pv'_0) dp \right|. \end{aligned} \tag{4.18}$$

Combining (4.17), (4.18), and the relation (cf. (3.5))

$$\int_0^{\tau_+(x'_0, v'_0)} g(x'_0 + pv'_0) dp = \frac{1}{|v'_0|} Xg\left(x'_0, \frac{v'_0}{|v'_0|}\right),$$

we have

$$\|X(\sigma_1 - \sigma_2)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega \times \mathbb{S}^2)} \leq C_{10} \|\mathcal{A}_1 - \mathcal{A}_2\|_*,$$

i.e.,

$$\|X(\sigma_1 - \sigma_2)\|_{L^\infty(\Gamma\mathbb{S}^2_-)} \leq C_{10} \|\mathcal{A}_1 - \mathcal{A}_2\|_*. \tag{4.19}$$

Having established (4.19), the remaining arguments of the proof are the same as in the proof of Theorem 3.1. The proof of the estimate (1.4) is now complete.

*Part 2.* – Here we will prove the estimate (1.5) in Theorem 1.1. As before, we want to choose appropriate approximations of the identity and cut-off functions. Let  $(x'_0, v'_0) \in \Gamma_-$ , i.e.,  $n(x'_0) \cdot v'_0 < 0$ . Assume that  $\psi_{v'_0}^\varepsilon(v')$  is replaced by  $\psi_{v'_0}^{\varepsilon_1}(v')$  and  $\phi_{x'_0}^\varepsilon(x')$  is replaced by  $\phi_{x'_0}^{\varepsilon_2}(x')$ , where  $\varepsilon_1$  and  $\varepsilon_2$  are chosen sufficiently small so that  $\psi_{v'_0}^{\varepsilon_1}$  and  $\phi_{x'_0}^{\varepsilon_2}$  satisfy all conditions for  $\psi_{v'_0}^\varepsilon$  and  $\phi_{x'_0}^\varepsilon$ . Note that here we will take  $\varepsilon_1 \rightarrow 0$  and  $\varepsilon_2 \rightarrow 0$  in a different order. Also, the following condition is imposed

$$Q^{\varepsilon_2}(x'_0) \times \text{supp } \psi_{v'_0}^{\varepsilon_1} \subset \Gamma_-.$$

Now let  $P$  be a plane in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  containing the vector  $v'_0$ . This is a plane in the velocity space. Let us denote  $P_{v'_0} = P \cap V$ . Assume that  $m$  is the normal vector to  $P$ . Let  $S_{x'}$  be the intersection of  $\partial\Omega$  and the plane having the normal  $m$  and passing  $x' \in \text{supp } \phi_{x'_0}^{\varepsilon_2}(x')$ . Next we denote

$$\Pi_{\varepsilon_2} = \bigcup_{x' \in \text{supp } \phi_{x'_0}^{\varepsilon_2}} S_{x'}.$$

Then  $\Pi_{\varepsilon_2}$  forms a band on  $\partial\Omega$  since  $\Omega$  is convex. We now define a cut-off function on  $\partial\Omega$

$$\chi^{\varepsilon_2}(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{on } \Pi_{\varepsilon_2}, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Further, let  $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}^{\varepsilon_3}$  (respectively  $-\tilde{\mathcal{V}}^{\varepsilon_3}$ ) be a closed  $\varepsilon_3$ -conical neighborhood of  $v'_0$  (respectively  $-v'_0$ ) on  $P_{v'_0}$ , where  $\varepsilon_3$  is the degree of the angle for  $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}^{\varepsilon_3}$  (also  $-\tilde{\mathcal{V}}^{\varepsilon_3}$ ). Next we set

$$\mathcal{V}^{\varepsilon_3} = \tilde{\mathcal{V}}^{\varepsilon_3} \cup (-\tilde{\mathcal{V}}^{\varepsilon_3}),$$

and

$$\tilde{\Gamma}_+ = \{(x, v) \in \Gamma_+ : v \in (P_{v'_0} \setminus \mathcal{V}^{\varepsilon_3})\}.$$

Here we will take the measure on  $\tilde{\Gamma}_+$  to be

$$d\xi_{v'_0}(x, v) = |n(x) \cdot v| d\mu(x) dH_{v'_0},$$

where  $dH_{v'_0}$  is the Lebesgue measure on  $P_{v'_0}$ .

Similar to Part 1, we proceed our proof with several claims.

CLAIM 4.3. –

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{\varepsilon_1 \rightarrow 0} \int_{\tilde{\Gamma}_+} \chi^{\varepsilon_2}(x) \int_{\Gamma_-} |\alpha_1 - \alpha_2| \\ & \times (x, v, x', v') \phi_{x'_0}^{\varepsilon_2}(x') \psi_{v'_0}^{\varepsilon_1}(v') d\mu(x') dv' d\xi_{v'_0}(x, v) = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (4.20)$$

for all  $\varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3$  sufficiently small.

*Proof.* – Set

$$G(x, v) := \left| e^{-\int_0^{\tau_-(x,v)} \sigma_1(x-pv) dp} - e^{-\int_0^{\tau_-(x,v)} \sigma_2(x-pv) dp} \right|.$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\tilde{\Gamma}_+} \chi^{\varepsilon_2} \int_{\Gamma_-} |\alpha_1 - \alpha_2| \phi_{x'_0}^{\varepsilon_2} \psi_{v'_0}^{\varepsilon_1} d\mu(x') dv' d\xi_{v'_0} \\ & = \int_{\tilde{\Gamma}_+} \chi^{\varepsilon_2} G(x, v) \phi_{x'_0}^{\varepsilon_2}(x - \tau_-(x, v)v) \psi_{v'_0}^{\varepsilon_1}(v) d\xi_{v'_0}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.21)$$



Now we can take  $\varepsilon_1$  to be sufficiently small so that

$$\text{supp } \psi_{v'_0}^{\varepsilon_1} \cap (P_{v'_0} \setminus \mathcal{V}^{\varepsilon_3}) = \emptyset.$$

Therefore, the right-hand side of (4.21) vanishes as we first take  $\varepsilon_1 \rightarrow 0$ . Thus we get (4.20).  $\square$

CLAIM 4.4. –

$$\begin{aligned} & \liminf_{\varepsilon_1 \rightarrow 0} \int_{\tilde{\Gamma}_+} \chi^{\varepsilon_2}(x) \int_{\Gamma_-} |\gamma_1 - \gamma_2|(x, v, x', v') \phi_{x'_0}^{\varepsilon_2}(x') \\ & \times \psi_{v'_0}^{\varepsilon_1}(v') d\mu(x') dv' d\xi_{v'_0}(x, v) = J_{x'_0, v'_0}^{\varepsilon_3, \varepsilon_2} < \infty, \end{aligned} \tag{4.22}$$

and

$$\lim_{\varepsilon_2 \rightarrow 0} J_{x'_0, v'_0}^{\varepsilon_3, \varepsilon_2} = 0, \tag{4.23}$$

$\forall \varepsilon_3$ .

*Proof.* – Remember that

$$|n(x') \cdot v'|^{-1} \gamma_i \in L^\infty(\Gamma_-; L^1(\Gamma_+, d\xi)), \quad i = 1, 2.$$

So we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\tilde{\Gamma}_+} \chi^{\varepsilon_2} \int_{\Gamma_-} |\gamma_1 - \gamma_2| \phi_{x'_0}^{\varepsilon_2} \psi_{v'_0}^{\varepsilon_1} d\mu dv' d\xi_{v'_0} \\ & \leq \int_{\tilde{\Gamma}_+} \chi^{\varepsilon_2} \int_{\Gamma_-} |n(x') \cdot v'|^{-1} |\gamma_1 - \gamma_2| |n(x') \cdot v'| \phi_{x'_0}^{\varepsilon_2} \psi_{v'_0}^{\varepsilon_1} d\mu dv' d\xi \\ & \leq \lambda_2 \sup_{(x', v') \in \Gamma_-} \int_{\tilde{\Gamma}_+} \chi^{\varepsilon_2} |n(x') \cdot v'|^{-1} |\gamma_1 - \gamma_2| d\xi \int_{\Gamma_-} \phi_{x'_0}^{\varepsilon_2} \psi_{v'_0}^{\varepsilon_1} d\mu dv' \\ & = \lambda_2 \sup_{(x', v') \in \Gamma_-} \int_{\tilde{\Gamma}_+} \chi^{\varepsilon_2} |n(x') \cdot v'|^{-1} |\gamma_1 - \gamma_2| d\xi. \end{aligned} \tag{4.24}$$

It is easily seen that (4.22) follows from (4.24). In view of the definition of  $\chi^{\varepsilon_2}$ , we obtain (4.23) from (4.24).  $\square$

Now we are ready to prove (1.5). To begin with, we know that

$$(\mathcal{A}_1 - \mathcal{A}_2) \phi_{x'_0}^{\varepsilon_2} \psi_{v'_0}^{\varepsilon_1}(x, v) = I_1 + I_2 + I_3, \tag{4.25}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_1 &= \int_{\Gamma_-} (\alpha_1 - \alpha_2)(x, v, x', v') \phi_{x'_0}^{\varepsilon_2}(x') \psi_{v'_0}^{\varepsilon_1}(v') d\mu(x') dv', \\
 I_2 &= \int_{\Gamma_-} (\beta_1 - \beta_2)(x, v, x', v') \phi_{x'_0}^{\varepsilon_2}(x') \psi_{v'_0}^{\varepsilon_1}(v') d\mu(x') dv', \\
 I_3 &= \int_{\Gamma_-} (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)(x, v, x', v') \phi_{x'_0}^{\varepsilon_2}(x') \psi_{v'_0}^{\varepsilon_1}(v') d\mu(x') dv'.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\int_{\tilde{\Gamma}_+} \chi^{\varepsilon_2}(x) |(\mathcal{A}_1 - \mathcal{A}_2) \phi_{x'_0}^{\varepsilon_2} \psi_{v'_0}^{\varepsilon_1}(x, v)| d\xi_{v'_0}(x, v) \\
 &= \int_{\tilde{\Gamma}_+} \chi^{\varepsilon_2}(x) |I_1 + I_2 + I_3| d\xi_{v'_0}(x, v). \tag{4.26}
 \end{aligned}$$

We first deal with the left-hand side of (4.26). We have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_{\tilde{\Gamma}_+} \chi^{\varepsilon_2} |(\mathcal{A}_1 - \mathcal{A}_2) \phi_{x'_0}^{\varepsilon_2} \psi_{v'_0}^{\varepsilon_1}| d\xi_{v'_0} &\leq \|(\mathcal{A}_1 - \mathcal{A}_2) \phi_{x'_0}^{\varepsilon_2} \psi_{v'_0}^{\varepsilon_1}\|_{L^1(\Gamma_+, d\xi)} \\
 &\leq \|\mathcal{A}_1 - \mathcal{A}_2\|_* \|\phi_{x'_0}^{\varepsilon_2} \psi_{v'_0}^{\varepsilon_1}\|_{L^1(\Gamma_-, d\xi)} \\
 &\leq \lambda_2 \|\mathcal{A}_1 - \mathcal{A}_2\|_*. \tag{4.27}
 \end{aligned}$$

From (4.26) and using (4.27), we get that

$$\int_{\tilde{\Gamma}_+} \chi^{\varepsilon_2} |I_2| d\xi_{v'_0} \leq \lambda_2 \|\mathcal{A}_1 - \mathcal{A}_2\|_* + \int_{\tilde{\Gamma}_+} \chi^{\varepsilon_2} (|I_1| + |I_3|) d\xi_{v'_0}. \tag{4.28}$$

Now let  $E_i(s, x, v', v)$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , be defined as in (4.9). Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_2 &= \int_{\Gamma_-} \int_0^{\tau_-(x, v)} (E_1(s, x, v', v) k_1(v', v) - E_2(s, x, v', v) k_2(v', v)) \\
 &\quad \times \delta_{\{x-sv-\tau_-(x-sv, v')v'\}}(x') \phi_{x'_0}^{\varepsilon_2}(x') \psi_{v'_0}^{\varepsilon_1}(v') ds d\mu(x') dv' \\
 &= \int_V \int_0^{\tau_-(x, v)} (E_1(s, x, v', v) k_1(v', v) - E_2(s, x, v', v) k_2(v', v)) \\
 &\quad \times \phi_{x'_0}^{\varepsilon_2}(x - sv - \tau_-(x - sv, v')v') \psi_{v'_0}^{\varepsilon_1}(v') ds dv'. \tag{4.29}
 \end{aligned}$$

According to (4.28) and (4.29), we have

$$\int_{\tilde{\Gamma}_+} \chi^{\varepsilon_2} \tilde{I}_2 d\xi_{v'_0} \leq \lambda_2 \| \mathcal{A}_1 - \mathcal{A}_2 \|_* + \int_{\tilde{\Gamma}_+} \chi^{\varepsilon_2} (|I_1| + |I_3|) d\xi_{v'_0} + \int_{\tilde{\Gamma}_+} \chi^{\varepsilon_2} \tilde{J} d\xi_{v'_0}, \tag{4.30}$$

where

$$\tilde{I}_2 = \left| \int_V \int_0^{\tau_-(x,v)} E_1(s, x, v', v) (k_1 - k_2)(v', v) \times \phi_{x'_0}^{\varepsilon_2}(x - sv - \tau_-(x - sv, v')v') \psi_{v'_0}^{\varepsilon_1}(v') ds dv' \right|, \tag{4.31}$$

and

$$\tilde{J} = \left| \int_V \int_0^{\tau_-(x,v)} (E_1 - E_2)(s, x, v', v) k_2(v', v) \times \phi_{x'_0}^{\varepsilon_2}(x - sv - \tau_-(x - sv, v')v') \psi_{v'_0}^{\varepsilon_1}(v') ds dv' \right|. \tag{4.32}$$

We now focus on the left-hand side of (4.30). It is clear that the integrand of (4.31) is continuous in  $v'$ . So by Fatou's lemma we have

$$\begin{aligned} \liminf_{\varepsilon_1 \rightarrow 0} \int_{\tilde{\Gamma}_+} \chi^{\varepsilon_2} \tilde{I}_2 d\xi_{v'_0} &\geq \int_{\tilde{\Gamma}_+} \chi^{\varepsilon_2} \liminf_{\varepsilon_1 \rightarrow 0} \tilde{I}_2 d\xi_{v'_0} \\ &= \int_{\tilde{\Gamma}_+} \chi^{\varepsilon_2}(x) |k_1 - k_2|(v'_0, v) \left| \int_0^{\tau_-(x,v)} E_1(s, x, v'_0, v) \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times \phi_{x'_0}^{\varepsilon_2}(x - sv - \tau_-(x - sv, v'_0)v'_0) ds \right| d\xi_{v'_0}(x, v) := R_1. \end{aligned} \tag{4.33}$$

With the choices of  $\phi_{x'_0}^{\varepsilon_2}$  and  $\tilde{\Gamma}_+$ , we can see that

$$\phi_{x'_0}^{\varepsilon_2}(x - sv - \tau_-(x - sv, v'_0)v'_0) = 0$$

for all  $(x, v) \in \tilde{\Gamma}_+$ ,  $x \notin \Pi_{\varepsilon_2}$ , and  $0 \leq s \leq \tau_-(x, v)$ . Also, note that the integrand inside  $\int_0^{\tau_-(x,v)}$  is non-negative. Therefore, from (4.33) and using Lemma 2.1 (with  $V$  being replaced by  $P_{v'_0} \setminus \mathcal{V}^{\varepsilon_3}$ ) we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} R_1 &= \int_{\tilde{\Gamma}_+} |(k_1 - k_2)(v'_0, v)| \int_0^{\tau_-(x,v)} E_1(s, x, v'_0, v) \\ &\quad \times \phi_{x'_0}^{\varepsilon_2}(x - sv - \tau_-(x - sv, v'_0)v'_0) ds d\xi_{v'_0}(x, v) \\ &= \int_{(P_{v'_0} \setminus \mathcal{V}^{\varepsilon_3}) \times \Omega} |(k_1 - k_2)(v'_0, v)| E_1(x, v'_0, v) \\ &\quad \times \phi_{x'_0}^{\varepsilon_2}(x - \tau_-(x, v'_0)v'_0) dx dH_{v'_0} := R_2, \end{aligned} \tag{4.34}$$

where

$$E_1(x, v'_0, v) = e^{-\int_0^{\tau_-(x,v'_0)} \sigma_1(x - pv'_0) dp} e^{-\int_0^{\tau_+(x,v)} \sigma_1(x + pv) dp}.$$

Since  $\sigma_1(x) \in \mathcal{M}$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} R_2 &\geq e^{-\frac{2dM}{\lambda_1}} \int_{P_{v'_0} \setminus \mathcal{V}^{\varepsilon_3}} |(k_1 - k_2)(v'_0, v)| dH_{v'_0} \int_{\Omega} \phi_{x'_0}^{\varepsilon_2}(x - \tau_-(x, v'_0)v'_0) dx \\ &:= R_3. \end{aligned} \tag{4.35}$$

Now observe that

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\Omega} \phi_{x'_0}^{\varepsilon_2}(x - \tau_-(x, v'_0)v'_0) dx \\ &= \int_{\{x' \in \partial\Omega: n(x') \cdot v'_0 < 0\}} \int_0^{\tau_+(x', v'_0)} \phi_{x'_0}^{\varepsilon_2}(x') dt |n(x') \cdot v'_0| d\mu(x') \\ &= \int_{\{x' \in \partial\Omega: n(x') \cdot v'_0 < 0\}} \tau_+(x', v'_0) (-n(x') \cdot v'_0) \phi_{x'_0}^{\varepsilon_2}(x') d\mu(x'). \end{aligned} \tag{4.36}$$

Since  $\tau_+(x', v'_0)(-n(x') \cdot v'_0)$  in (4.36) is continuous in  $x'$ , we have

$$\lim_{\varepsilon_2 \rightarrow 0} R_3 = e^{-\frac{2dM}{\lambda_1}} \tau_+(x'_0, v'_0) |n(x'_0) \cdot v'_0| \int_{P_{v'_0} \setminus \mathcal{V}^{\varepsilon_3}} |(k_1 - k_2)(v'_0, v)| dH_{v'_0}. \tag{4.37}$$

Now it is helpful to summarize what we have done. By taking  $\liminf_{\varepsilon_1 \rightarrow 0}$  on both sides of (4.30) and using Claim 4.3, we get that

$$\begin{aligned}
 R_3 &\leq \liminf_{\varepsilon_1 \rightarrow 0} \int_{\tilde{\Gamma}_+} \chi^{\varepsilon_2} \tilde{I}_2 d\xi_{v'_0} \\
 &\leq \lambda_2 \|\mathcal{A}_1 - \mathcal{A}_2\|_* + J_{x'_0, v'_0}^{\varepsilon_3, \varepsilon_2} + \liminf_{\varepsilon_1 \rightarrow 0} \int_{\tilde{\Gamma}_+} \chi^{\varepsilon_2} \tilde{J} d\xi_{v'_0}. \tag{4.38}
 \end{aligned}$$

Next let  $\varepsilon_2 \rightarrow 0$  in (4.38) and use Claim 4.4 and (4.37), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\tau_+(x'_0, v'_0) |n(x'_0) \cdot v'_0| \int_{P_{v'_0} \setminus \mathcal{V}^{\varepsilon_3}} |(k_1 - k_2)(v'_0, v)| dH_{v'_0} \\
 &\leq C_{11} \left( \|\mathcal{A}_1 - \mathcal{A}_2\|_* + \lim_{\varepsilon_2 \rightarrow 0} \liminf_{\varepsilon_1 \rightarrow 0} \int_{\tilde{\Gamma}_+} \chi^{\varepsilon_2} \tilde{J} d\xi_{v'_0} \right). \tag{4.39}
 \end{aligned}$$

The inequality (4.39) is what we have obtained up to this point. Now we continue our proof by taking  $\varepsilon_3 \rightarrow 0$  in (4.39). First of all, we note that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon_3 \rightarrow 0} \int_{P_{v'_0} \setminus \mathcal{V}^{\varepsilon_3}} |(k_1 - k_2)(v'_0, v)| dH_{v'_0} = \int_{P_{v'_0}} |(k_1 - k_2)(v'_0, v)| dH_{v'_0} \tag{4.40}$$

by the Lebesgue’s convergence theorem. Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\tau_+(x'_0, v'_0) |n(x'_0) \cdot v'_0| \int_{P_{v'_0}} |(k_1 - k_2)(v'_0, v)| dH_{v'_0} \\
 &\leq C_{11} \left( \|\mathcal{A}_1 - \mathcal{A}_2\|_* + \lim_{\varepsilon_3 \rightarrow 0} \lim_{\varepsilon_2 \rightarrow 0} \liminf_{\varepsilon_1 \rightarrow 0} \int_{\tilde{\Gamma}_+} \chi^{\varepsilon_2} \tilde{J} d\xi_{v'_0} \right). \tag{4.41}
 \end{aligned}$$

Now we need to estimate

$$\lim_{\varepsilon_3 \rightarrow 0} \lim_{\varepsilon_2 \rightarrow 0} \liminf_{\varepsilon_1 \rightarrow 0} \int_{\tilde{\Gamma}_+} \chi^{\varepsilon_2} \tilde{J} d\xi_{v'_0} := R_4.$$

One can see that

$$R_4 = \lim_{\varepsilon_3 \rightarrow 0} \lim_{\varepsilon_2 \rightarrow 0} \liminf_{\varepsilon_1 \rightarrow 0} \int_{\tilde{\Gamma}_+} \chi^{\varepsilon_2} \tilde{J} d\xi_{v'_0}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\leq \lim_{\varepsilon_2 \rightarrow 0} \limsup_{\varepsilon_1 \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Gamma_+} \tilde{J} d\xi \leq \lim_{\varepsilon_2 \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Gamma_+} \limsup_{\varepsilon_1 \rightarrow 0} \tilde{J} d\xi \\
 &\leq \lim_{\varepsilon_2 \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Gamma_+} \int_0^{\tau_-(x,v)} |E_1 - E_2|(x, v'_0, v) k_2(v'_0, v) \\
 &\quad \times \phi_{x'_0}^{\varepsilon_2}(x - sv - \tau_-(x - sv, v'_0)v'_0) ds d\xi. \tag{4.42}
 \end{aligned}$$

Next we observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
 &|E_1 - E_2|(x, v'_0, v) \\
 &= \left| e^{-\int_0^{\tau_-(x,v'_0)} \sigma_1(x-pv'_0) dp} e^{-\int_0^{\tau_+(x,v)} \sigma_1(x+pv) dp} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - e^{-\int_0^{\tau_-(x,v'_0)} \sigma_2(x-pv'_0) dp} e^{-\int_0^{\tau_+(x,v)} \sigma_2(x+pv) dp} \right| \\
 &= e^{-\int_0^{\tau_-(x,v'_0)} \sigma_1(x-pv'_0) dp} e^{-\int_0^{\tau_+(x,v)} \sigma_2(x+pv) dp} \\
 &\quad \times \left| e^{\int_0^{\tau_+(x,v)} (\sigma_2 - \sigma_1)(x+pv) dp} - e^{\int_0^{\tau_-(x,v'_0)} (\sigma_1 - \sigma_2)(x-pv'_0) dp} \right| \\
 &\leq C_{12} \left| \int_0^{\tau_+(x,v)} (\sigma_2 - \sigma_1)(x + pv) dp - \int_0^{\tau_-(x,v'_0)} (\sigma_1 - \sigma_2)(x - pv'_0) dp \right| \\
 &\leq C_{13} \|X(\sigma_1 - \sigma_2)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega \times S^2)}.
 \end{aligned}$$

We now recall the estimate (4.19) and obtain

$$\|E_1 - E_2\|_{L^\infty(\Omega \times V \times V)} \leq C_{14} \|\mathcal{A}_1 - \mathcal{A}_2\|_*. \tag{4.43}$$

By substituting (4.43) into (4.42) and using Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 R_4 &\leq C_{14} \|\mathcal{A}_1 - \mathcal{A}_2\|_* \lim_{\varepsilon_2 \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Gamma_+} \int_0^{\tau_-(x,v)} k_2(v'_0, v) \\
 &\quad \times \phi_{x'_0}^{\varepsilon_2}(x - sv - \tau_-(x - sv, v'_0)v'_0) ds d\xi \\
 &= C_{14} \|\mathcal{A}_1 - \mathcal{A}_2\|_* \lim_{\varepsilon_2 \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Omega \times V} k_2(v'_0, v) \phi_{x'_0}^{\varepsilon_2}(x - \tau_-(x, v'_0)v'_0) dx dv \\
 &= C_{14} \|\mathcal{A}_1 - \mathcal{A}_2\|_* \int_V k_2(v'_0, v) dv
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\times \lim_{\varepsilon_2 \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Omega} \phi_{x'_0}^{\varepsilon_2} (x - \tau_-(x, v'_0) v'_0) dx. \quad (4.44)$$

According to (4.36) and  $k_2 \in \mathcal{N}$ , it follows from (4.44) that

$$R_4 \leq C_{15} \|\mathcal{A}_1 - \mathcal{A}_2\|_*. \quad (4.45)$$

Therefore, combining (4.41) and (4.45) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \tau_-(x'_0, v'_0) |n(x'_0) \cdot v'_0| \int_{P_{v'_0}} |(k_1 - k_2)(v'_0, v)| dH_{v'_0} \\ & \leq C_{16} \|\mathcal{A}_1 - \mathcal{A}_2\|_*, \end{aligned} \quad (4.46)$$

where the right-hand side of (4.46) is independent of  $(x'_0, v'_0) \in \Gamma_-$ . Most importantly, the inequality (4.46) is uniformly in the class of  $P_{v'_0}$ . Note that the region formed by the union of  $P_{v'_0}$  for any fixed  $v'_0$  is in fact  $V$ . In view of the spherical coordinates, we can see that

$$\begin{aligned} & \tau_-(x'_0, v'_0) |n(x'_0) \cdot v'_0| \int_V |(k_1 - k_2)(v'_0, v)| dv \\ & \leq (\pi \lambda_2) C_{16} \|\mathcal{A}_1 - \mathcal{A}_2\|_*. \end{aligned} \quad (4.47)$$

Now since (4.47) holds for all  $(x'_0, v'_0) \in \Gamma_-$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Vol}(\Omega) \|k_1 - k_2\|_{L^1(V \times V)} \\ & = \int_{\Omega \times V} \|(k_1 - k_2)(v', \cdot)\|_{L^1(V)} dx dv' \\ & = \int_{\Gamma_-} \int_0^{\tau_-(x', v')} \|(k_1 - k_2)(v', \cdot)\|_{L^1(V)} dt d\xi(x', v') \\ & = \int_{\Gamma_-} \tau_-(x', v') |n(x') \cdot v'| \|(k_1 - k_2)(v', \cdot)\|_{L^1(V)} d\mu(x') dv' \\ & \leq (\pi \lambda_2) C_{16} \|\mathcal{A}_1 - \mathcal{A}_2\|_* \int_{\Gamma_-} d\mu(x') dv'. \end{aligned} \quad (4.48)$$

The estimate (1.5) follows immediately from (4.48). The proof of Theorem 1.1 is now complete.

**ACKNOWLEDGMENT**

The author is sincerely grateful to Professor Plamen Stefanov for bring this problem to his attention and for many constructive suggestions.

**REFERENCES**

- [1] M. CHOULLI and P. STEFANOV, An inverse boundary value problem for the stationary transport equation, Preprint.
- [2] V. ISAKOV, Uniqueness and stability in multidimensional inverse problems, *Inverse Prob.* 9 (1993) 579–621.
- [3] A. LOUIS and F. NATTERER, Mathematical problems of computerized tomography, *Proc. IEEE* 71 (1983) 379–389.
- [4] V. ROMANOV, Stability estimates in problems of recovering the attenuation coefficient and the scattering indicatrix for the transport equation, *J. Inv. Ill-Posed Prob.* 4 (1996) 297–305.