

# ANNALES DE L'I. H. P., SECTION A

AKITAKA KISHIMOTO

## **Variational principle for quasi-local algebras over the lattice**

*Annales de l'I. H. P., section A*, tome 30, n° 1 (1979), p. 51-59

[http://www.numdam.org/item?id=AIHPA\\_1979\\_\\_30\\_1\\_51\\_0](http://www.numdam.org/item?id=AIHPA_1979__30_1_51_0)

© Gauthier-Villars, 1979, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Annales de l'I. H. P., section A » implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme  
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques

<http://www.numdam.org/>

## Variational principle for quasi-local algebras over the lattice

by

Akitaka KISHIMOTO

ABSTRACT. — It is shown that a variational principle holds for certain quasi-local algebras over the lattice.

### 1. INTRODUCTION AND DEFINITION

In general, as in Ruelle's book [3, 6.2.4], to describe the infinite systems of statistical mechanics over the lattice  $Z^v$ , we associate finite-dimensional algebras  $\mathfrak{A}_\Lambda$  with finite subsets  $\Lambda$  of  $Z^v$  and we assume that:

a) If  $\Lambda \subset \Lambda'$ , an (identity-preserving) isomorphism  $\alpha_{\Lambda', \Lambda}$  of  $\mathfrak{A}_\Lambda$  into  $\mathfrak{A}_{\Lambda'}$  is given such that if  $\Lambda \subset \Lambda' \subset \Lambda''$ , then  $\alpha_{\Lambda'', \Lambda} = \alpha_{\Lambda'', \Lambda'} \circ \alpha_{\Lambda', \Lambda}$ .

b) An isomorphism  $\tau_n^\Lambda$  of  $\mathfrak{A}_\Lambda$  onto  $\mathfrak{A}_{\Lambda+n}$  is given for each translation  $n \in Z^v$  and each  $\Lambda$  such that  $\tau_{n+m}^\Lambda = \tau_n^{\Lambda+m} \circ \tau_m^\Lambda$ ; and if  $\Lambda \subset \Lambda'$ , then

$$\tau_n^{\Lambda'} \circ \alpha_{\Lambda', \Lambda} = \alpha_{\Lambda'+n, \Lambda+n} \circ \tau_n^\Lambda.$$

By using a) we define the C\*-inductive limit  $\mathfrak{A}$  of the family  $\{\mathfrak{A}_\Lambda, \alpha_{\Lambda', \Lambda}\}$ , i. e. we have a unique C\*-algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$  and isomorphisms  $\alpha_\Lambda$  of  $\mathfrak{A}_\Lambda$  into  $\mathfrak{A}$  such that if  $\Lambda \subset \Lambda'$ ,  $\alpha_{\Lambda'} \circ \alpha_{\Lambda', \Lambda} = \alpha_\Lambda$ ; and the union of  $\alpha_\Lambda(\mathfrak{A}_\Lambda)$  is dense in  $\mathfrak{A}$ . By using b) we define a homomorphism  $\tau$  of the group  $Z^v$  into the automorphism group of  $\mathfrak{A}$  such that  $\tau_n \circ \alpha_\Lambda = \alpha_{\Lambda+n} \circ \tau_n^\Lambda$ . The triple  $(\mathfrak{A}, Z^v, \tau)$  is called the « quasi-local » algebra constructed from the « local » algebras  $\mathfrak{A}_\Lambda$ .

We further assume the following properties, the first part of which is commonly assumed:

c) If  $\Lambda_1 \cap \Lambda_2 = \emptyset$ , then  $\alpha_{\Lambda_1 \Lambda_2}(\mathfrak{A}_{\Lambda_1})$  is in the commutant of  $\alpha_{\Lambda_1 \Lambda_2}(\mathfrak{A}_{\Lambda_2})$ ,

and  $\alpha_{\Lambda\Lambda_1}(\mathfrak{A}_{\Lambda_1})$  and  $\alpha_{\Lambda\Lambda_2}(\mathfrak{A}_{\Lambda_2})$  generate a subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{A}_\Lambda$  whose relative commutant is its center, where  $\Lambda = \Lambda_1 \cup \Lambda_2$ .

The second part of *c*) also seems quite natural. It requires that observables in  $\mathfrak{A}_\Lambda$  which commute with all strictly local ones, i. e. elements in  $\alpha_{\Lambda\{n\}}(\mathfrak{A}_{\{n\}})$ ,  $n \in \Lambda$ , must be generated by strictly local observables.

Now, if  $\Lambda_1 \cap \Lambda_2 = \emptyset$ , we define a homomorphism  $\Phi_{\Lambda_1\Lambda_2}$  of  $\mathfrak{A}_{\Lambda_1} \otimes \mathfrak{A}_{\Lambda_2}$  into  $\mathfrak{A}_\Lambda$  by:

$$\Phi_{\Lambda_1\Lambda_2}(\Sigma a_i \otimes b_i) = \Sigma \alpha_{\Lambda_1 \cup \Lambda_2, \Lambda_1}(a_i) \alpha_{\Lambda_1 \cup \Lambda_2, \Lambda_2}(b_i).$$

It is easily shown that  $\Phi_{\Lambda_1\Lambda_2}$  is well-defined and satisfies:

- c'1*) the restriction of  $\Phi_{\Lambda_1\Lambda_2}$  to  $\mathfrak{A}_{\Lambda_1}$  (identified with  $\mathfrak{A}_{\Lambda_1} \otimes 1$ ) is  $\alpha_{\Lambda_1 \cup \Lambda_2, \Lambda_1}$  ;  
*c'2*) if  $\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2$  and  $\Lambda_3$  are mutually disjoint,

$$\Phi_{\Lambda_1 \cup \Lambda_2, \Lambda_3} \circ \Phi_{\Lambda_1\Lambda_2} \otimes l = \Phi_{\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2 \cup \Lambda_3} \circ l \otimes \Phi_{\Lambda_2\Lambda_3}$$

where  $l$  is the identity isomorphism;

*c'3*) the quotient  $\mathfrak{A}_{\Lambda_1} \otimes \mathfrak{A}_{\Lambda_2} / \ker \Phi_{\Lambda_1\Lambda_2}$  is mapped into  $\mathfrak{A}_{\Lambda_1 \cup \Lambda_2}$  with multiplicity 1 under the induced isomorphism; and

$$c'4) \quad \tau_n^{\Lambda_1 \cup \Lambda_2} \circ \Phi_{\Lambda_1\Lambda_2} = \Phi_{\Lambda_1 + n, \Lambda_2 + n} \circ \tau_n^{\Lambda_1} \otimes \tau_n^{\Lambda_2} \quad \text{for} \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}^{\nu}.$$

In the rest of this note we show that the variational principle holds for the systems satisfying *a*), *b*) and *c*). In particular we show that if  $\mathfrak{A}_\Lambda$  is generated by  $\alpha_{\Lambda\{n\}}(\mathfrak{A}_{\{n\}})$ ,  $n \in \Lambda$  for any  $\Lambda$ , then the systems are classical (or rather semi-quantum) and the ones considered by Ruelle, i. e. the systems, restricted to closed invariant subsets of the whole configuration space (see the announcement in [4]).

In section 2, we derive some results on the algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$  from the condition *c*) and in section 3 we show the existence of thermodynamic quantities. The main result is shown in section 4 and examples are given in section 5.

## 2. STRUCTURE OF $\mathfrak{A}$

Suppose *a*), *b*) and *c*). If  $\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_k$  are mutually disjoint, we inductively define a homomorphism  $\Phi_{\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_k}$  of  $\mathfrak{A}_{\Lambda_1} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathfrak{A}_{\Lambda_k}$  into  $\mathfrak{A}_\Lambda$  with  $\Lambda = \Lambda_1 \cup \dots \cup \Lambda_k$  by  $\Phi_{\Lambda_1 \cup \dots \cup \Lambda_{k-1}, \Lambda_k} \circ \Phi_{\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_{k-1}} \otimes l$ , where if  $k = 2$ ,  $\Phi_{\Lambda_1} = l$  and  $\Phi_{\Lambda_1\Lambda_2}$  is already defined. By using *c'2*) we have the identity:

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{\Lambda' \cup \Lambda_{k-1}, \Lambda_k} \circ \Phi_{\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_{k-1}} \otimes l \\ &= \Phi_{\Lambda' \cup \Lambda_{k-1}, \Lambda_k} \circ \Phi_{\Lambda', \Lambda_{k-1}} \otimes l \circ \Phi_{\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_{k-2}} \otimes l \otimes l \\ &= \Phi_{\Lambda', \Lambda_{k-1} \cup \Lambda_k} \circ \Phi_{\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_{k-2}} \otimes \Phi_{\Lambda_{k-1}, \Lambda_k} \end{aligned}$$

where  $\Lambda' = \Lambda_1 \cup \dots \cup \Lambda_{k-2}$ . Thus the homomorphism  $\Phi_{\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_k}$  does not depend on the order of  $\{\Lambda_{k-1}, \Lambda_k\}$ , and so, inductively, on the order of  $\{\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_k\}$ . Note that the properties as in *c'1*), *c'3*) and *c'4*) still hold for  $\Phi_{\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_k}$ .

For a finite  $\Lambda = \{n_1, \dots, n_k\}$  let  $\tilde{\mathfrak{A}}_\Lambda$  be the tensor product of  $\mathfrak{A}_{\{n_i\}}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, k$ , and let  $\tilde{\Phi}_\Lambda = \Phi_{\{n_1\}, \dots, \{n_k\}}$ . If  $\Lambda \subset \Lambda'$ , we have that  $\alpha_{\Lambda', \Lambda} \circ \tilde{\Phi}_\Lambda = \tilde{\Phi}_{\Lambda'} \circ l$  where  $l$  is the natural embedding of  $\tilde{\mathfrak{A}}_\Lambda$  into  $\tilde{\mathfrak{A}}_{\Lambda'}$ . In more general we have the following commutative diagram : if  $\Lambda_1 \cap \Lambda_2 = \phi$ ,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{\mathfrak{A}}_{\Lambda_1} \otimes \tilde{\mathfrak{A}}_{\Lambda_2} & = & \mathfrak{A}_{\Lambda_1 \cup \Lambda_2} \\ \tilde{\Phi}_{\Lambda_1} \otimes \tilde{\Phi}_{\Lambda_2} \downarrow & & \downarrow \tilde{\Phi}_{\Lambda_1 \cup \Lambda_2} \\ \mathfrak{A}_{\Lambda_1} \otimes \mathfrak{A}_{\Lambda_2} & \xrightarrow{\Phi_{\Lambda_1 \wedge \Lambda_2}} & \mathfrak{A}_{\Lambda_1 \cup \Lambda_2} \end{array}$$

This is shown by the induction on the cardinality of  $\Lambda_1 \cup \Lambda_2$ , and by the identities:

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{\Lambda_1 \wedge \Lambda_2} \circ (\tilde{\Phi}_{\Lambda_1} \otimes \tilde{\Phi}_{\Lambda_2}) &= \Phi_{\Lambda_1 \wedge \Lambda_2} \circ (\tilde{\Phi}_{\Lambda_1} \otimes (\Phi_{\Lambda_2' \setminus \{n\}} \circ \tilde{\Phi}_{\Lambda_2'} \otimes l)) \\ &= \Phi_{\Lambda_1 \wedge \Lambda_2} \circ (l \otimes \Phi_{\Lambda_2' \setminus \{n\}}) \circ (\tilde{\Phi}_{\Lambda_1} \otimes \tilde{\Phi}_{\Lambda_2'} \otimes l) \\ &= \Phi_{\Lambda_1 \cup \Lambda_2' \setminus \{n\}} \circ ((\Phi_{\Lambda_1 \wedge \Lambda_2'} \circ \tilde{\Phi}_{\Lambda_1} \otimes \tilde{\Phi}_{\Lambda_2'}) \otimes l) \end{aligned}$$

where  $n \in \Lambda_2$  and  $\Lambda_2' = \Lambda_2 \setminus \{n\}$ .

Let  $\tilde{\mathfrak{A}}$  be the C\*-inductive limit of  $\tilde{\mathfrak{A}}_\Lambda$ . We have a homomorphism  $\tilde{\Phi}$  of  $\tilde{\mathfrak{A}}$  into  $\mathfrak{A}$  such that  $\alpha_\Lambda \circ \tilde{\Phi}_\Lambda = \tilde{\Phi}$  on  $\tilde{\mathfrak{A}}_\Lambda$ . If  $\tau^{\tilde{\mathfrak{A}}}$  denotes the action of  $Z^\nu$  on  $\tilde{\mathfrak{A}}$  extending  $\otimes_{m \in \Lambda} \tau_n^{(m)}$  of  $\tilde{\mathfrak{A}}_\Lambda$  into  $\tilde{\mathfrak{A}}_{\Lambda+n}$ , we have that  $\tau_n \circ \tilde{\Phi} = \tilde{\Phi} \circ \tau_n^{\tilde{\mathfrak{A}}}$ .

**THEOREM 1.** — Let  $\mathfrak{A}_\Lambda$  satisfy a), b) and c). Further suppose that  $\mathfrak{A}_\Lambda$  is generated by  $\alpha_{\Lambda(n)}(\mathfrak{A}_{\{n\}})$ ,  $n \in \Lambda$ , for any  $\Lambda$ . Then the quasi-local algebra  $(\mathfrak{A}, Z^\nu, \tau)$  is isomorphic to  $(\tilde{\mathfrak{A}}/I, Z^\nu, \tau')$ , where  $I$  is the kernel of  $\tilde{\Phi}$  and  $\tau'$  is the induced action on the quotient algebra  $\tilde{\mathfrak{A}}/I$  from  $\tau^{\tilde{\mathfrak{A}}}$ .

If  $\mathfrak{A}_{\{n\}}$  is commutative, say the algebra  $C(F)$  of (continuous) functions on a finite set  $F$ , then  $\tilde{\mathfrak{A}} \simeq C(F^{Z^\nu})$  and  $\tilde{\mathfrak{A}}/I \simeq C(\Omega)$  where

$$\Omega = \{ x \in F^{Z^\nu}; f(x) = 0, f \in I \}$$

is a translation invariant closed set. Hence, this system has a good thermodynamic property (cf. [4]). This is easily extended to the « semi-quantum » case, i. e. the case that  $\mathfrak{A}_{\{n\}}$  is not commutative. In particular, we have a theorem similar to [2, 8.3].

In general there is a unique projection  $p$  of norm 1 of  $\mathfrak{A}$  onto  $\tilde{\Phi}(\tilde{\mathfrak{A}})$ , such that  $p(\mathfrak{A}_\Lambda) = \tilde{\Phi}(\tilde{\mathfrak{A}}_\Lambda)$ . This is shown by using the fact

$$\mathfrak{A}_\Lambda \cap \tilde{\Phi}(\tilde{\mathfrak{A}}_\Lambda)' = \tilde{\Phi}(\tilde{\mathfrak{A}}_\Lambda) \cap \tilde{\Phi}(\tilde{\mathfrak{A}}_\Lambda)'.$$

Now we associate central projections  $e_\Lambda$  of  $\tilde{\mathfrak{A}}_\Lambda$  with finite  $\Lambda$  such that  $\ker \tilde{\Phi}_\Lambda = (1 - e_\Lambda)\tilde{\mathfrak{A}}_\Lambda$ . If  $\Lambda_1 \cap \Lambda_2 = \phi$ , we have that  $e_\Lambda \otimes e_{\Lambda_2} \geq e_{\Lambda_1 \cup \Lambda_2}$  since the kernel of  $\tilde{\Phi}_{\Lambda_1 \cup \Lambda_2}$  is larger than that of  $\tilde{\Phi}_{\Lambda_1} \otimes \tilde{\Phi}_{\Lambda_2}$ . In particular, if  $\Lambda \subset \Lambda'$ , then  $e_{\Lambda'} \leq e_\Lambda$  in  $\tilde{\mathfrak{A}}$ . And the kernel of  $\tilde{\Phi}$  is generated by  $1 - e_\Lambda$ , with finite  $\Lambda$ .

Further we have that if  $\Lambda_1 \cap \Lambda_2 = \phi$ ,  $\tilde{\Phi}_{\Lambda_1} \otimes \tilde{\Phi}_{\Lambda_2}(e_{\Lambda_1 \cup \Lambda_2})$  is in the center of  $\mathfrak{A}_{\Lambda_1} \otimes \mathfrak{A}_{\Lambda_2}$  and that

$$\ker \Phi_{\Lambda_1 \Lambda_2} = \tilde{\Phi}_{\Lambda_1} \otimes \tilde{\Phi}_{\Lambda_2}(e_{\Lambda_1} \otimes e_{\Lambda_2} - e_{\Lambda_1 \cup \Lambda_2}) \cdot \mathfrak{A}_{\Lambda_1} \otimes \mathfrak{A}_{\Lambda_2}$$

Let  $\mathcal{B}_{\{n\}}$  be a factor containing  $\mathfrak{A}_{\{n\}}$  with multiplicity 1. Let  $\mathcal{B}_\Lambda = \bigotimes_{n \in \Lambda} \mathcal{B}_{\{n\}}$  and let  $\hat{\mathcal{B}}_\Lambda = e_\Lambda \mathcal{B}_\Lambda e_\Lambda$ . There is a unique subalgebra  $\hat{\mathfrak{A}}_\Lambda$  of  $\hat{\mathcal{B}}_\Lambda$  such that  $\hat{\mathfrak{A}}_\Lambda$  is isomorphic to  $\mathfrak{A}_\Lambda$  by an isomorphism extending  $\tilde{\Phi}_\Lambda$  of  $\tilde{\mathfrak{A}}_\Lambda e_\Lambda (\subset \hat{\mathcal{B}}_\Lambda)$  into  $\hat{\mathfrak{A}}_\Lambda$ . If  $\Lambda \subset \Lambda'$ , there is a natural embedding of  $\hat{\mathfrak{A}}_\Lambda$  into  $\hat{\mathfrak{A}}_{\Lambda'}$  given by the multiplication of  $e_{\Lambda'}$ . At this point we do not know if there are isomorphisms  $\beta_\Lambda$  of  $\mathfrak{A}_\Lambda$  onto  $\hat{\mathfrak{A}}_\Lambda$  satisfying the obvious consistency relations. But examples given in section 5 have the structure of  $(\hat{\mathfrak{A}}_\Lambda)$ . So we give a remark: let  $\mathcal{B}$  be the C\*-inductive limit of  $\mathcal{B}_\Lambda$  and let  $\mathcal{D}$  be the C\*-subalgebra generated by  $\hat{\mathfrak{A}}_\Lambda$  with all  $\Lambda$ , in  $\mathcal{B}$ . Let  $I$  be the ideal of  $\mathcal{D}$  generated by  $1 - e_\Lambda$  with all  $\Lambda$ . Then the C\*-inductive limit  $\hat{\mathfrak{A}}$  of  $\hat{\mathfrak{A}}_\Lambda$  is isomorphic to the quotient  $\mathcal{D}/I$  (the action of  $Z^\nu$  on  $\hat{\mathfrak{A}}$  should be the induced one from the natural translations on  $\mathcal{B}$ ). Hence,  $\hat{\mathfrak{A}}$  has a good thermodynamic property, too, as maybe shown in the same way as in the classical case.

If  $\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_k$  are mutually disjoint and if  $\beta_{\Lambda_i}$  are isomorphisms of  $\mathfrak{A}_{\Lambda_i}$  onto  $\hat{\mathfrak{A}}_{\Lambda_i}$  with  $\tilde{\Phi}_{\Lambda_i} \circ \beta_{\Lambda_i} = I$  on  $\tilde{\Phi}(\mathfrak{A}_{\Lambda_i})$ , it is shown that there is an isomorphism  $\beta_\Lambda$  of  $\mathfrak{A}_\Lambda$  onto  $\hat{\mathfrak{A}}_\Lambda$  with  $\Lambda = \Lambda_1 \cup \dots \cup \Lambda_k$  such that  $\beta_\Lambda \circ \Phi_{\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_k} = e_\Lambda \cdot \beta_{\Lambda_1} \otimes \dots \otimes \beta_{\Lambda_k}$ . For instance if  $k = 2$ , and if  $x \in \mathfrak{A}_{\Lambda_1} \otimes \mathfrak{A}_{\Lambda_2}$ ,  $e_\Lambda \cdot \beta_{\Lambda_1} \otimes \beta_{\Lambda_2}(x) = \beta_{\Lambda_1} \otimes \beta_{\Lambda_2}(e_\Lambda x)$ . So if  $\Phi_{\Lambda_1 \Lambda_2} \cdot e_\Lambda$  denotes the isomorphism of  $e_\Lambda \mathfrak{A}_{\Lambda_1} \otimes \mathfrak{A}_{\Lambda_2}$  into  $\mathfrak{A}_\Lambda$ ,  $\beta_\Lambda$  is an extension of  $\beta_{\Lambda_1} \otimes \beta_{\Lambda_2} \circ (\Phi_{\Lambda_1 \Lambda_2} \cdot e_\Lambda)^{-1}$ .

Let  $t$  be the unique tracial state of  $\mathcal{B}$ . We define a trace  $t_\Lambda$  on  $\mathfrak{A}_\Lambda$  by  $t \circ \beta_\Lambda$ , which does not depend on  $\beta_\Lambda$ , and takes the same value on each minimal projection of  $\mathfrak{A}_\Lambda$ . Note that  $t_\Lambda(1)^{-1} t_\Lambda \circ \alpha_{\Lambda'} = t_\Lambda(1)^{-1} t_\Lambda$  does not hold in general ( $\Lambda \subset \Lambda'$ ).

### 3. THERMODYNAMIC QUANTITIES

Let  $\omega$  be a translation invariant state of  $\mathfrak{A}$ . For each  $\Lambda$  let  $\rho_\Lambda = \rho_\Lambda(\omega)$  be an element of  $\mathfrak{A}_\Lambda$  satisfying that  $t_\Lambda(\rho_\Lambda A) = \omega(A)$  for all  $A \in \mathfrak{A}_\Lambda$  and set

$S(\Lambda) = -t_\Lambda(\rho_\Lambda \log \rho_\Lambda)$ . If  $\Lambda_1 \cap \Lambda_2 = \emptyset$ , we have the subadditivity  $S(\Lambda_1 \cup \Lambda_2) \leq S(\Lambda_1) + S(\Lambda_2)$ , by the inequality [3, 2.5.3] :

$$t(\beta_\Lambda(\rho_\Lambda) \log \beta_\Lambda(\rho_\Lambda)) - t(\beta_\Lambda(\rho_\Lambda) \log \beta_{\Lambda_1}(\rho_{\Lambda_1}) \otimes \beta_{\Lambda_2}(\rho_{\Lambda_2})) \geq 0$$

where  $\Lambda = \Lambda_1 \cup \Lambda_2$ , and  $\beta_\Lambda$ ,  $\beta_{\Lambda_1}$  and  $\beta_{\Lambda_2}$  satisfy that

$$\beta_\Lambda \circ \Phi_{\Lambda_1 \Lambda_2} = e_\Lambda \cdot \beta_{\Lambda_1} \otimes \beta_{\Lambda_2}.$$

Hence, we can define the mean entropy:

$$s(\omega) = \lim_N |\Lambda(N)|^{-1} S(\Lambda(N))$$

where  $\Lambda(N) = \{n \in Z^v; 0 \leq n_i < N_i\}$  for  $N \in Z^v$  with  $N_i > 0$  and  $|\Lambda(N)|$  is the cardinality of  $\Lambda(N)$  (cf. [3, 7.2.11]).

Let  $\Phi$  be a (translation-invariant) potential in  $\mathfrak{A}$ , i. e.  $\Phi$  is a family of  $\Phi(\Lambda) \in \mathfrak{U}_\Lambda$  with all non-empty finite subsets  $\Lambda$  of  $Z^v$  satisfying that  $\Phi(\Lambda)^* = \Phi(\Lambda)$ ,  $\tau_n \Phi(\Lambda) = \Phi(\Lambda + n)$  and  $\|\Phi\| \equiv \sum_{\Lambda \neq \emptyset} |\Lambda|^{-1} \|\Phi(\Lambda)\| < \infty$ . We set

$$U_\Lambda = U_\Lambda^\Phi = \sum_{\Gamma \subset \Lambda} \alpha_{\Lambda\Gamma}(\Phi(\Gamma)),$$

$$p_\Lambda = p_\Lambda(\Phi) = |\Lambda|^{-1} t_\Lambda(e^{-U_\Lambda})$$

If  $\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_k$  are mutually disjoint, we have

$$t_\Lambda \left( \exp \left( - \sum_1^k \alpha_{\Lambda \Lambda_i}(U_{\Lambda_i}) \right) \right) = t \left( e_\Lambda \cdot \exp \left( - \sum \beta_{\Lambda_i}(U_{\Lambda_i}) \right) \right)$$

$$\leq t \left( \exp \left( - \sum \beta_{\Lambda_i}(U_{\Lambda_i}) \right) \right) = \prod_1^k t_{\Lambda_i}(e^{-U_{\Lambda_i}})$$

where  $\Lambda = \Lambda_1 \cup \dots \cup \Lambda_k$ , and  $\beta_\Lambda \circ \Phi_{\Lambda_1 \dots \Lambda_k} = e_\Lambda \cdot \beta_{\Lambda_1} \otimes \dots \otimes \beta_{\Lambda_k}$ .

If  $\Phi$  is of finite range, we can show, as in the proof of [3, 2.3.1], that

$$p_{\Lambda(M)} \leq p_{\Lambda(N)} + \varepsilon_N + \delta_M(N)$$

where  $\varepsilon_N$  tends to zero (independently of  $M$ ) as  $N \rightarrow \infty$  and  $\delta_M(N)$  tends to zero for each  $N$  as  $M \rightarrow \infty$ . Thus we have

$$\sup \lim p_{\Lambda(M)} \leq \inf \lim p_{\Lambda(N)}.$$

By the same reasoning as in [3, 2.3.3] we have the pressure  $p(\Phi) = \lim p_{\Lambda(N)}(\Phi)$  for any  $\Phi$  (with  $\|\Phi\| < \infty$ ).

From the special case  $\Phi = 0$ , we have that  $p(0) = \lim |\Lambda|^{-1} \log t_\Lambda(1)$ . Hence, replacing  $t_\Lambda$  by the normalized  $t_\Lambda(1)^{-1} t_\Lambda$  in the definition of entropy and pressure implies replacing  $s(\omega)$  by  $s(\omega) - p(0)$  and  $p(\Phi)$  by  $p(\Phi) - p(0)$ .

For any invariant state  $\omega$  of  $\mathfrak{A}$  and any potential  $\Phi$ , as easily shown, we have the mean energy

$$\omega(A_\Phi) = \lim_{\Lambda \rightarrow \infty} |\Lambda(N)|^{-1} \omega(U_{\Lambda(N)}^\Phi)$$

where

$$A_\Phi = \sum_{\Lambda \neq \emptyset} |\Lambda|^{-1} \Phi(\Lambda).$$

#### 4. VARIATIONAL PRINCIPLE

Let  $\omega$  be a translation invariant state of  $\mathfrak{A}$  and let  $\Phi$  be a potential. For each  $\Lambda$  we have

$$\log t_\Lambda \left( e^{-U_\Lambda^\Phi} \right) \geq -t_\Lambda(\rho_\Lambda(\omega) \log \rho_\Lambda(\omega)) - \omega(U_\Lambda^\Phi)$$

Thus, we obtain the variational inequality:  $p(\Phi) \geq s(\omega) - \omega(A_\Phi)$ .

Let  $N \in Z^v$  with  $N_i > 0$  and let  $\mathfrak{A}(N) = \otimes_{n \in Z^v} \mathfrak{A}_{\Lambda(N) + nN}$  and so especially  $\mathfrak{A}(1, \dots, 1) = \tilde{\mathfrak{A}}$ . In the same way as to construct  $\tilde{\Phi}$  in section 2, we have a homomorphism  $\Phi_N$  of  $\mathfrak{A}(N)$  into  $\mathfrak{A}$ , extending

$$\Phi_{\Lambda(N) + n_1 N, \dots, \Lambda(N) + n_k N} (\{n_1, \dots, n_k\} \subset Z^v).$$

Furthermore, we have the natural action of  $NZ^v$  on  $\mathfrak{A}(N)$  such that  $\tau_{Nn} \circ \Phi_N = \Phi_N \circ \tau_{Nn}$ .

Let  $M$  be also in  $Z^v$  with  $M_i > 0$ . We have a homomorphism  $\Phi_{N,M}$  of  $\mathfrak{A}(N)$  into  $\mathfrak{A}(NM)$  given by

$$\otimes_n \Phi_{\Lambda(N) + (nM+a)N, \dots, \Lambda(N) + (nM+b)N}$$

with  $\{a, \dots, b\} = \Lambda(M)$ . We have that  $\Phi_{NM} \circ \Phi_{N,M} = \Phi_N$ ; and

$$\tau_{NMn} \circ \Phi_{N,M} = \Phi_{N,M} \circ \tau_{NMn}.$$

Let  $\Phi$  be a potential of finite range and let  $\varphi_N$  be a product state of  $\mathfrak{A}(N) = \otimes_n \mathfrak{A}_{\Lambda(N) + nN}$  such that

$$\rho_{\Lambda(N) + nN}(\varphi_N) = e^{-U_{\Lambda(N) + nN} / t_{\Lambda(N) + nN}} (e^{-U_{\Lambda(N) + nN}}).$$

Then  $\varphi_N$  is  $\tau_N$ -invariant. Let  $\varphi_{N,M} = \varphi_{NM} \circ \Phi_{N,M}$ , which is a  $\tau_{NM}$ -invariant state of  $\mathfrak{A}(N)$ , and let  $\bar{\varphi}_{N,M}$  be the  $\tau_N$ -invariant state obtained by averaging  $\varphi_{N,M}$  over the translations  $NZ^v$ . Then, by using the product trace of  $t_{\Lambda(N) + nN}$  in the definition of entropy, we have,

$$\begin{aligned} s(\varphi_{NM}) &= |\Lambda|^{-1} S_\Lambda(\varphi_{NM}) \leq |\Lambda|^{-1} S_\Lambda(\varphi_{NM} \circ \Phi_{N,M}) \\ &= s(\varphi_{N,M}) = s(\bar{\varphi}_{N,M}) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$s(\varphi_{NM}) = |\Lambda|^{-1}t_\Lambda(e^{-U_\Lambda}) + |\Lambda|^{-1}t_\Lambda(U_\Lambda e^{-U_\Lambda})/t_\Lambda(e^{-U_\Lambda})$$

where  $\Lambda = \Lambda(NM)$ . A simple argument shows that there is a constant  $\varepsilon_N$  which tends to zero as  $N \rightarrow \infty$  such that

$$s(\overline{\varphi_{N,M}}) \geq |\Lambda(NM)|^{-1}t_{\Lambda(NM)}(e^{-U_{\Lambda(NM)}}) + |\Lambda(N)|^{-1}\overline{\varphi_{N,M}}(U_{\Lambda(N)}) - \varepsilon_N.$$

Let  $\omega_N$  be a weak limit point of  $\overline{\varphi_{N,M}}$  as  $M \rightarrow \infty$ . By the upper semi-continuity of  $s(\cdot)$  we have

$$s(\omega_N) \geq p(\Phi) - |\Lambda(N)|^{-1}\omega_N(U_{\Lambda(N)}) - \varepsilon_N.$$

For any  $m \in Z^v$  with  $m_i > 0$ ,  $\varphi_{N,M}(e_{\Lambda+nN}) = 1$  with  $\Lambda = \Lambda(Nm)$  if  $\Lambda + nN \subset \Lambda(NM)$ . Thus, we have that  $\omega_N(e_{\Lambda+n}) = 1$  for any  $n \in NZ^v$ . Since the kernel of  $\Phi_N$  is generated by  $1 - e_{\Lambda(Nm)+Nm}$ , we have a unique ( $\tau_N$ -invariant) state  $\widehat{\omega}_N$  of  $\Phi_N(\mathfrak{A}(N))$  such that  $\omega_N = \widehat{\omega}_N \circ \Phi_N$ . We extend  $\widehat{\omega}_N$  to a state of  $\mathfrak{A}$ , denoted by  $\widehat{\omega}_N$  also, by using a unique projection of norm 1 of  $\mathfrak{A}$  onto  $\Phi_N(\mathfrak{A}(N))$  mapping  $\mathfrak{A}_{\Lambda(NM)}$  onto  $\Phi_N(\otimes_{m \in \Lambda(M)} \mathfrak{A}_{\Lambda(N)+mN})$ . Let  $\overline{\omega}_N$  be the  $\tau$ -invariant state of  $\mathfrak{A}$  obtained by averaging  $\widehat{\omega}_N$  over  $Z^v$ . Then, we have  $s(\overline{\omega}_N) = s(\widehat{\omega}_N) = s(\omega_N)$ . Thus,

$$s(\overline{\omega}_N) \geq p(\Phi) - |\Lambda(N)|^{-1}\widehat{\omega}_N(U_{\Lambda(N)}) - \varepsilon_N$$

where  $U_{\Lambda(N)}$  is identified with  $\alpha_{\Lambda(N)}(U_{\Lambda(N)})$ . Again a simple argument shows that  $\widehat{\omega}_N$  can be replaced by  $\overline{\omega}_N$  in the above inequality with  $\varepsilon_N$  replaced by a different constant  $\varepsilon'_N$  tending to zero as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ . If  $\omega$  is a weak limit point of  $\overline{\omega}_N$  as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ , we have

$$s(\omega) \geq p(\Phi) - \omega(A_\Phi).$$

Hence, the equality holds and further this equality holds for any  $\Phi$  (not only of finite range) (cf. [3, 7.4.1]).

**THEOREM 2.** — Let  $(\mathfrak{A}_\Lambda)$  satisfy a) b) and c). Then, the thermodynamic qualities can be defined and the variational principle holds.

### 5. EXAMPLES

First we give a known example in classical case, i. e. lattice gas with hard core of radius 1. Let  $F = \{0, 1\}$ . For each finite  $\Lambda$  let  $\Omega_\Lambda$  be a subset of  $F^\Lambda$  such that  $\Omega_\Lambda = \{\xi \in F^\Lambda; \xi_n \xi_m = 0 \text{ if } |n - m| = 1\}$  where  $|n| = \sum_1^v |n_i|$ .



Let  $\mathfrak{A}_\Lambda = C(\Omega_\Lambda)$ . If  $\Lambda \subset \Lambda'$ , there is a natural injection of  $\mathfrak{A}_\Lambda$  into  $\mathfrak{A}_{\Lambda'}$ , since the projection of  $\Omega_{\Lambda'}$  into  $F_\Lambda$  is  $\Omega_\Lambda$ . If  $\Lambda_1 \cap \Lambda_2 = \phi$ , it follows from  $\Omega_{\Lambda_1} \times \Omega_{\Lambda_2} \supset \Omega_{\Lambda_1 \cup \Lambda_2}$  that there is a homomorphism of  $\mathfrak{A}_{\Lambda_1} \otimes \mathfrak{A}_{\Lambda_2} (\simeq C(\Omega_{\Lambda_1} \times \Omega_{\Lambda_2}))$  onto  $\mathfrak{A}_{\Lambda_1 \cup \Lambda_2}$ , given by restriction. Further we have all properties given in *a*), *b*) and *c*).

The corresponding quantum model is constructed as follows: we associate a  $2 \times 2$  matrix algebra  $\mathcal{B}_{\{n\}}$  with each  $n \in Z^v$  such that  $\mathcal{B}_{\{n\}} \supset C(F_n)$  with  $F_n = F$ . Let  $\partial\Lambda = \{n \in \Lambda; \exists m \notin \Lambda \text{ s. t. } |n - m| = 1\}$ . With each  $\Lambda$  and  $\xi \in \Omega_{\partial\Lambda}$  we associate a subfactor  $\mathfrak{A}_\Lambda^\xi$  of  $\mathcal{B}_\Lambda = \otimes_{n \in \Lambda} \mathcal{B}_{\{n\}}$ , by  $\mathfrak{A}_\Lambda^\xi = \chi_{\Omega_\Lambda^\xi} \mathcal{B}_\Lambda \chi_{\Omega_\Lambda^\xi}$  where  $\chi_{\Omega_\Lambda^\xi}$  is the characteristic function of  $\Omega_\Lambda^\xi = \{\eta \in \Omega_\Lambda : \eta|_{\partial\Lambda} = \xi\}$ . Let  $\mathfrak{A}_\Lambda$  be the algebra generated by  $\mathfrak{A}_\Lambda^\xi$ ,  $\xi \in \Omega_{\partial\Lambda}$ ;  $\mathfrak{A}_\Lambda \simeq \oplus \mathfrak{A}_\Lambda^\xi$ .

If  $\Lambda \subset \Lambda'$  and  $\xi \in \Omega_{\partial\Lambda}$  and  $\eta \in \Omega_{\partial\Lambda'}$ , the map  $\alpha_{\Lambda, \Lambda'}^\xi$  of  $\mathfrak{A}_\Lambda^\xi$  into  $\mathfrak{A}_{\Lambda'}^\eta$ , is given by:  $A \mapsto A \chi_{E_{\xi\eta}}$ , where  $E_{\xi\eta} = \{\zeta \in \Omega_{\Lambda' \setminus \Lambda \cup \partial\Lambda}; \zeta|_{\partial\Lambda} = \xi, \zeta|_{\partial\Lambda'} = \eta\}$ , which may be empty. Since  $\bigcup_{\eta} E_{\xi\eta} \neq \phi$  for each  $\xi \in \Omega_{\partial\Lambda}$ , this map is injective.

Let  $\Lambda_1 \cap \Lambda_2 = \phi$  and let  $\xi_1 \in \Omega_{\partial\Lambda_1}$ , and  $\xi_2 \in \Omega_{\partial\Lambda_2}$ . The map  $\Phi_{\Lambda_1 \Lambda_2}$  of  $\mathfrak{A}_{\Lambda_1}^{\xi_1} \otimes \mathfrak{A}_{\Lambda_2}^{\xi_2}$  into  $\mathfrak{A}_{\Lambda_1 \cup \Lambda_2}$  is given by:  $A \mapsto A$  if  $\xi_1 \times \xi_2 \in \Omega_{\partial\Lambda_1 \cup \partial\Lambda_2}$  and  $A \mapsto 0$  otherwise. It is easily shown that all properties in *a*), *b*) and *c*) hold.

This is maximal in the sense that if there are a family  $(\mathfrak{A}'_\Lambda)$  of local algebras satisfying *a*), *b*) and *c*) and a family  $(\phi_\Lambda)$  of isomorphisms of  $\mathfrak{A}_\Lambda$  into  $\mathfrak{A}'_\Lambda$  with multiplicity 1 satisfying the obvious consistency relations, then all  $\phi_\Lambda$  are surjective.

Hence, we can take as  $\mathcal{D}$  in section 2 the  $C^*$ -subalgebra of  $\mathcal{B} = \otimes \mathcal{B}_{\{n\}}$  of elements which commute with all  $\chi_{\Omega_\Lambda}$ , and as  $I$  the ideal of  $\mathcal{D}$  generated by all  $1 - \chi_{\Omega_\Lambda}$ . Then the family  $(\mathfrak{A}_\Lambda)$  constructed above is isomorphic to  $(q(\mathcal{D} \cap \mathcal{B}_\Lambda))$  where  $q$  is the quotient map of  $\mathcal{D}$  onto  $\mathcal{D}/I$ .

We notice that if the distance between  $\partial\Lambda$  and  $\partial\Lambda'$  is larger than 1 in case  $\Lambda \subset \Lambda'$ , then  $E_{\xi\eta} \neq \phi$  for any  $\xi \in \Omega_{\partial\Lambda}$  and  $\eta \in \Omega_{\partial\Lambda'}$ . Thus each subfactor  $\mathfrak{A}_\Lambda^\xi$  of  $\mathfrak{A}_\Lambda$  is mapped into each subfactor  $\mathfrak{A}_{\Lambda'}^\eta$  of  $\mathfrak{A}_{\Lambda'}$ . So the  $C^*$ -inductive limit  $\mathfrak{A}$  of  $\mathfrak{A}_\Lambda$  is simple [I].

Both the classical and quantum models above satisfy: if  $\Lambda_1 \cap \Lambda_2 = \phi$  and the distance between  $\Lambda_1$  and  $\Lambda_2$  is larger than 1,  $\Phi_{\Lambda_1 \Lambda_2}$  is an isomorphism of  $\mathfrak{A}_{\Lambda_1} \otimes \mathfrak{A}_{\Lambda_2}$  onto  $\mathfrak{A}_{\Lambda_1 \cup \Lambda_2}$ .

Any finite-dimensional abelian algebra  $C$  can be a quasi-local algebra by setting  $\mathfrak{A}_\Lambda = C$  for all  $\Lambda$ . This is maximal in the sense above but not simple.

There is an example of local algebras where  $(\mathfrak{A}_\Lambda)$  satisfies *a*), *b*) and *c*) except the second part of *c*). Let  $\mathcal{B}_\Lambda$  be a usual quantum lattice system and set  $\mathfrak{A}_\Lambda = \mathcal{B}_{\Lambda^0}$  (or  $\mathcal{B}_\Lambda \otimes \mathcal{B}_{\Lambda^0}$ ) with  $\mathcal{B}_\phi = \mathbb{C}.1$ , where  $\Lambda^0$  is the interior of  $\Lambda$ , i. e.  $\Lambda = \Lambda \setminus \partial\Lambda$ .

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author would like to thank Professors D. Kastler and D. W. Robinson for the kind hospitality at CNRS, Marseilles, and Professor D. Ruelle for suggesting this problem.

## REFERENCES

- [1] O. BRATTELI, Inductive Limits of Finite-Dimensional  $C^*$ -Algebras. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, t. **171**, 1972, p. 195-234.
- [2] A. KISHIMOTO, Equilibrium States of a Semi-Quantum Lattice System. *Rep. Math. Phys.*, t. **12**, 1977, p. 341-374.
- [3] D. RUELLE, *Statistical Mechanics*, W. A. Benjamin, 1969.
- [4] D. RUELLE, On Manifolds of Phase Coexistence. *Theoret. Mat. Fiz.*, t. **30**, 1977, p. 40-47.

(Manuscrit reçu le 24 juillet 1978)