

JOURNAL

de Théorie des Nombres

de BORDEAUX

anciennement Séminaire de Théorie des Nombres de Bordeaux

Yingchun CAI

Waring-Goldbach problem: two squares and higher powers

Tome 28, n° 3 (2016), p. 791-810.

http://jtnb.cedram.org/item?id=JTNB_2016__28_3_791_0

© Société Arithmétique de Bordeaux, 2016, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux articles de la revue « Journal de Théorie des Nombres de Bordeaux » (<http://jtnb.cedram.org/>), implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://jtnb.cedram.org/legal/>). Toute reproduction en tout ou partie de cet article sous quelque forme que ce soit pour tout usage autre que l'utilisation à fin strictement personnelle du copiste est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

cedram

Article mis en ligne dans le cadre du
Centre de diffusion des revues académiques de mathématiques
<http://www.cedram.org/>

Waring-Goldbach problem: two squares and higher powers

par YINGCHUN CAI

RÉSUMÉ. Soit P_r l'ensemble des nombres presque-premiers avec au plus r facteurs premiers comptés avec multiplicité. Dans cet article, on montre que pour tout entier pair N suffisamment grand, l'équation

$$N = x^2 + p^2 + p_1^5 + p_2^5 + p_3^5 + p_4^5 + p_5^5 + p_6^5$$

a des solutions avec x un P_6 et les autres étant des nombres premiers. Ceci est une amélioration de résultats antérieurs de C. Hooley.

ABSTRACT. Let P_r denote an almost-prime with at most r prime factors, counted according to multiplicity. In this paper it is proved, that for every sufficiently large even integer N , the equation

$$N = x^2 + p^2 + p_1^5 + p_2^5 + p_3^5 + p_4^5 + p_5^5 + p_6^5$$

is solvable with x being a P_6 and the other variables primes. This result constitutes an enhancement upon that of C. Hooley.

1. Introduction

Waring problem of mixed type concerns the representation of a natural number N as the form

$$(1.1) \quad N = x_1^{k_1} + \cdots + x_s^{k_s} \quad (k_1 \leq \cdots \leq k_s).$$

Not very much is known about results of this kind. For references in this aspect we refer the reader to section P12 of LeVeque's *Reviews in number theory*, the bibliography in [14] and the recent papers by J. Brüdern and by T. D. Wooley.

Hooley [7] introduced divisor sum techniques into the investigation of (1.1). In particular, these techniques provided asymptotic formulae for

Manuscrit reçu le 14 octobre 2014, révisé le 5 juillet 2015, accepté le 21 juillet 2015.

Mathematics Subject Classification. 11P32, 11N36.

Mots-clefs. Waring-Goldbach problem, Hardy-Littlewood method, sieve theory, almost-prime.

Project supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant no.11071186).

the number of representations of the equations

$$\begin{aligned} N &= x_1^2 + x_2^2 + y_1^3 + y_2^3 + y_3^3 + y_4^3, \\ N &= x_1^2 + x_2^2 + y_1^4 + y_2^4 + y_3^4 + y_4^4 + y_5^4. \end{aligned}$$

Afterwards, Hooley's results were generalized by Brüdern [1]. By introducing a pruning process into the Hardy-Littlewood method, he proved that the equations

$$\begin{aligned} N &= x_1^2 + x_2^2 + y_1^5 + y_2^5 + y_3^5 + y_4^5 + y_5^5 + y_6^5, \\ N &= x_1^2 + x_2^2 + y_1^4 + y_2^6 + y_3^6 + y_4^6 + y_5^6 + y_6^6 + y_7^6 \end{aligned}$$

are solvable for sufficiently large integer N .

In principle the Hardy-Littlewood method is applicable to problems of this kind, but one has to overcome various difficulties not experienced in the pure Waring problem (1.1) with $k_1 = \dots = k_s$. In particular, the choice of the relevant parameters in the definition of major and minor arcs tends to become complicated if a deeper representation problem (1.1) is under consideration.

In view of Hooley's and Brüdern's results, it is plausible to expect that for every sufficiently large integer in some congruence classes, the above equations are solvable in primes. But this expectation is probably far out of the reach of modern number theory techniques. However, motivated by [2], [3], the sieve theory and the Hardy-Littlewood method enable us to obtain the following approximation to them.

In this paper, P_r denote an almost-prime with at most r prime factors, counted according to multiplicity.

Theorem 1.1. *For every sufficiently large even integer N , the number of solutions of the equation*

$$(1.2) \quad N = x^2 + p^2 + p_1^3 + p_2^3 + p_3^3 + p_4^3$$

with x being a P_3 and the other variables primes, is

$$\gg N^{\frac{11}{9}} \log^{-6} N.$$

Theorem 1.2. *For every sufficiently large integer $N \equiv 7$ or $103 \pmod{240}$, the number of solutions of the equation*

$$(1.3) \quad N = x^2 + p^2 + p_1^4 + p_2^4 + p_3^4 + p_4^4 + p_5^4$$

with x being a P_4 and the other variables primes, is

$$\gg N^{\frac{33}{28}} \log^{-7} N.$$

Theorem 1.3. *For every sufficiently large even integer N , the number of solutions of the equation*

$$(1.4) \quad N = x^2 + p^2 + p_1^5 + p_2^5 + p_3^5 + p_4^5 + p_5^5 + p_6^5$$

with x being a P_6 and the other variables primes, is

$$\gg N^{\frac{91}{85}} \log^{-8} N.$$

In this paper we present the detailed proof of Theorem 1.3 only, by the admissible exponents $(1, \frac{5}{6}, \frac{5}{6})$ (see [13]) and $(1, \frac{6}{7}, \frac{6}{7})$ (see [11]), Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2 can be proved along similar line of arguments respectively.

2. Notation and Some Preliminary Lemmas

In this paper, $\varepsilon \in (0, 10^{-10})$ and N denotes a sufficiently large even integer in terms of ε . The constants in O -term and \ll -symbol depend at most on ε . By $A \asymp B$ we mean that $A \ll B$ and $B \ll A$. The letter p , with or without subscript, is reserved for a prime number. We denote by (m, n) the greatest common divisor of m and n . As usual, $\varphi(n)$ and $\mu(n)$ denote Euler’s function and Möbius function respectively. By $\tau(n)$ we denote the divisor function, and by $a(n)$ we denote an arithmetical function bounded above by $\tau(n)$. We use $e(\alpha)$ to denote $e^{2\pi i\alpha}$ and $e_q(\alpha) = e(\alpha/q)$. We denote by $\sum_{x(q)}$ and $\sum_{x(q)^*}$ sums with x running over a complete system and a reduced system of residues modulo q respectively. We always denote by χ a Dirichlet character $(\text{mod } q)$, and by χ^0 the principal Dirichlet character $(\text{mod } q)$. By $\sum_{\chi(q)}$ we denote a sum with χ running over the Dirichlet characters $(\text{mod } q)$.
Let

$$\begin{aligned} A &= 10^{10}, \quad Q_0 = \log^{20A} N, \quad Q_1 = N^{\frac{73}{170} + 10\varepsilon}, \quad Q_2 = N^{\frac{1}{2} - 10\varepsilon}, \\ D &= N^{\frac{9}{170} - 10\varepsilon}, \quad z = D^{\frac{1}{3}}, \quad U_k = 0.5N^{\frac{1}{k}}, \quad V_1 = 0.5N^{\frac{72}{425}}, \quad V_2 = 0.5N^{\frac{64}{425}}, \\ \mathcal{M}_r &= \{ m \mid U_2 < m \leq 2U_2, m = p_1 \cdots p_r, z \leq p_1 \leq \cdots \leq p_{r-1}, \\ &\quad p_1 \cdots p_{r-2} p_{r-1}^2 \leq 2U_2 \} \quad (7 \leq r \leq 28), \end{aligned}$$

$$G_k(\chi, a) = \sum_{r(q)} \chi(r) e_q(ar^k), \quad S_k^*(q, a) = G_k(\chi^0, a), \quad S_k(q, a) = \sum_{r(q)} e_q(ar^k),$$

$$B_d(q, N) = \sum_{a(q)^*} S_2(q, ad^2) S_2^*(q, a) S_5^{*6}(q, a) e_q(-aN),$$

$$A_d(q, N) = \frac{B_d(q, N)}{q\varphi^7(q)}, \quad A(q, N) = A_1(q, N),$$

$$\mathfrak{S}_d(N) = \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} A_d(q, N), \quad \mathfrak{S}(N) = \mathfrak{S}_1(N),$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 f_k(\alpha) &= \sum_{U_k < p \leq 2U_k} (\log p)e(\alpha p^k), & f_{2,r}(\alpha) &= \sum_{m \in \mathcal{M}_r} e(\alpha m^2), \\
 g_j(\alpha) &= \sum_{V_j < p \leq 2V_j} (\log p)e(\alpha p^5), & u_k(\lambda) &= \int_{U_k}^{2U_k} e(\lambda u^k) du, \\
 v_j(\lambda) &= \int_{V_j}^{2V_j} e(\lambda u^5) du, & \mathfrak{J}(N) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u_2^2(\lambda) u_5^3(\lambda) v_1(\lambda) v_2^2(\lambda) e(-\lambda N) d\lambda.
 \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.1 (See [10]). *Let*

$$F_k(\alpha) = \sum_{U_k < n \leq 2U_k} e(\alpha n^k), \quad G_j(\alpha) = \sum_{V_j < n \leq 2V_j} e(\alpha n^5).$$

Then we have

$$\int_0^1 |F_5(\alpha)G_1(\alpha)G_2^2(\alpha)|^2 d\alpha \ll N^{\frac{57}{85} + \varepsilon}.$$

Proof. This is the case $j = 7$ of Lemma 6.1 in [10]. □

Lemma 2.2. *For $(q, a) = 1$ we have*

- (i) $S_k(q, a) \ll q^{1-\frac{1}{k}}$;
- (ii) $G_k(\chi, a) \ll q^{\frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon}$.

In particular, for $(p, a) = 1$ we have

- (iii) $|S_k(p, a)| \leq ((k, p - 1) - 1)p^{\frac{1}{2}}$;
- (iv) $|S_k^*(p, a)| \leq ((k, p - 1) - 1)p^{\frac{1}{2}} + 1$;
- (v) $S_k^*(p^l, a) = 0$ for $l \geq \gamma(p)$,

where

$$\gamma(p) = \begin{cases} \theta + 2 & \text{if } p^\theta \parallel k, \quad p \neq 2 \text{ or } p = 2, \theta = 0 \\ \theta + 3 & \text{if } p^\theta \parallel k, \quad p = 2, \theta > 0. \end{cases}$$

Proof. For (i), (iii) and (iv), see Theorem 4.2 and Lemma 4.3 in [14] respectively. For (ii), see Chapter VI, problem 14 in [15]. For (v), see Lemma 8.3 in [8]. □

Lemma 2.3. *Let $F_k(\alpha)$ and $G_j(\alpha)$ be the functions defined in Lemma 2.1. Then we have*

$$\int_0^1 |F_2(\alpha)F_5(\alpha)G_1(\alpha)G_2^2(\alpha)|^2 d\alpha \ll N^{\frac{114}{85}}.$$

Proof. For $(a, q) = 1$, put

$$\mathfrak{J}(q, a) = \left(\frac{a}{q} - \frac{1}{10qN^{\frac{2}{3}}}, \frac{a}{q} + \frac{1}{10qN^{\frac{2}{3}}} \right], \quad \mathfrak{J}_0(q, a) = \left(\frac{a}{q} - \frac{1}{N^{\frac{5}{6}}}, \frac{a}{q} + \frac{1}{N^{\frac{5}{6}}} \right],$$

$$\mathfrak{J} = \bigcup_{1 \leq q \leq N^{\frac{1}{3}}} \bigcup_{\substack{q \\ (a,q)=1}} \mathfrak{J}(q, a), \quad \mathfrak{i} = \left(-\frac{1}{10N^{\frac{2}{3}}}, 1 - \frac{1}{10N^{\frac{2}{3}}} \right] \setminus \mathfrak{J},$$

$$\mathfrak{J}_1(q, a) = \left(\frac{a}{q} - \frac{1}{qQ_0}, \frac{a}{q} + \frac{1}{qQ_0} \right] \setminus \mathfrak{J}_0(q, a).$$

Then we have

$$(2.1) \quad \int_0^1 |F_2(\alpha)F_5(\alpha)G_1(\alpha)G_2^2(\alpha)|^2 d\alpha$$

$$= \int_{-\frac{1}{10N^{\frac{2}{3}}}}^{1-\frac{1}{10N^{\frac{2}{3}}}} |F_2(\alpha)F_5(\alpha)G_1(\alpha)G_2^2(\alpha)|^2 d\alpha$$

$$= \int_{\mathfrak{J}} |F_2(\alpha)F_5(\alpha)G_1(\alpha)G_2^2(\alpha)|^2 d\alpha$$

$$+ \int_{\mathfrak{i}} |F_2(\alpha)F_5(\alpha)G_1(\alpha)G_2^2(\alpha)|^2 d\alpha.$$

It follows from Weyl’s inequality that for $\alpha \in \mathfrak{i}$

$$F_2(\alpha) \ll N^{\frac{1}{3}+\varepsilon},$$

and hence we get

$$(2.2) \quad \int_{\mathfrak{i}} |F_2(\alpha)F_5(\alpha)G_1(\alpha)G_2^2(\alpha)|^2 d\alpha \ll N^{\frac{2}{3}+2\varepsilon} \int_0^1 |F_5(\alpha)G_1(\alpha)G_2^2(\alpha)|^2 d\alpha$$

$$\ll N^{\frac{114}{85}},$$

where Lemma 2.1 is used.

For $\alpha = \frac{a}{q} + \beta$, let

$$W_k(\alpha) = \frac{S_k(q, a)}{q} u_k(\beta).$$

Then for $\alpha = \frac{a}{q} + \beta \in \mathfrak{J}$, by Theorem 4.1 in Vaughan [14], we obtain

$$F_2(\alpha) = W_2(\alpha) + O(N^{\frac{1}{6}+\varepsilon}),$$

$$F_2^2(\alpha) \ll |W_2(\alpha)|^2 + O(N^{\frac{2}{3}+\varepsilon})$$

and so we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.3) \quad & \int_{\mathcal{J}} |F_2(\alpha)F_5(\alpha)G_1(\alpha)G_2^2(\alpha)|^2 d\alpha \\
 & \ll \int_{\mathcal{J}} |W_2(\alpha)F_5(\alpha)G_1(\alpha)G_2^2(\alpha)|^2 d\alpha \\
 & \quad + O\left(N^{\frac{2}{3}+\varepsilon} \int_0^1 |F_5(\alpha)G_1(\alpha)G_2^2(\alpha)|^2 d\alpha\right) \\
 & \ll \sum_{q \leq Q_0} \sum_{\substack{a=-q \\ (a,q)=1}}^{2q} \int_{\mathcal{J} \cap \mathcal{J}_0(q,a)} |W_2(\alpha)F_5(\alpha)G_1(\alpha)G_2^2(\alpha)|^2 d\alpha \\
 & \quad + \sum_{q \leq Q_0} \sum_{\substack{a=-q \\ (a,q)=1}}^{2q} \int_{\mathcal{J} \cap \mathcal{J}_1(q,a)} |W_2(\alpha)F_5(\alpha)G_1(\alpha)G_2^2(\alpha)|^2 d\alpha + O(N^{\frac{114}{85}}),
 \end{aligned}$$

where Lemma 2.1 is used.

For $\alpha = \frac{a}{q} + \beta \in \mathcal{J}_1(q, a)$, by Lemma 4.2 in [12], we get

$$(2.4) \quad W_2(\alpha) \ll N^{\frac{1}{3}},$$

from which and Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.5) \quad & \sum_{q \leq Q_0} \sum_{\substack{a=-q \\ (a,q)=1}}^{2q} \int_{\mathcal{J} \cap \mathcal{J}_1(q,a)} |W_2(\alpha)F_5(\alpha)G_1(\alpha)G_2^2(\alpha)|^2 d\alpha \\
 & \ll N^{\frac{2}{3}} \int_0^1 |F_5(\alpha)G_1(\alpha)G_2^2(\alpha)|^2 d\alpha \\
 & \ll N^{\frac{114}{85}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

For $\alpha = \frac{a}{q} + \beta \in \mathcal{J}_0(q, a)$, by Theorem 4.1 in [14] and Lemma 4.2 in [12], we obtain

$$(2.6) \quad F_5(\alpha) = W_5(\alpha) + O(q^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}) \ll \frac{U_5}{q^{\frac{1}{5}}(1 + |\beta|N)},$$

$$(2.7) \quad G_j(\alpha) = \frac{S_5(q, a)}{q} v_j(\beta) + O(q^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}) \ll \frac{V_j}{q^{\frac{1}{5}}}, \quad j = 1, 2,$$

where Lemma 2.2 (i) is used.

From (2.6) and (2.7), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.8) \quad \sum_{q \leq Q_0} \sum_{\substack{a=-q \\ (a,q)=1}}^{2q} \int_{\mathcal{J} \cap \mathcal{J}_0(q,a)} |W_2(\alpha) F_5(\alpha) G_1(\alpha) G_2^2(\alpha)|^2 d\alpha \\
 \ll \sum_{1 \leq q \leq Q_0} \sum_{\substack{a=-q \\ (a,q)=1}}^{2q} q^{-\frac{13}{5}} \int_{|\beta| \leq N^{-\frac{5}{6}}} \frac{N^{\frac{199}{85}}}{(1 + |\beta|N)^4} d\beta \\
 \ll N^{\frac{114}{85}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Now by (2.1)–(2.3), (2.5) and (2.8), the proof of Lemma 2.3 is completed. □

Lemma 2.4. For $\alpha = \frac{a}{q} + \beta$, let

$$(2.9) \quad W(\alpha) = \sum_{d \leq D} \frac{a(d)}{dq} S_2(q, ad^2) u_2(\beta),$$

$$(2.10) \quad \Delta_k(\alpha) = f_k(\alpha) - \frac{S_k^*(q, a)}{\varphi(q)} \sum_{U_k < n \leq 2U_k} e(\beta n^k),$$

$$(2.11) \quad \mathcal{I}(q, a) = \left[\frac{a}{q} - \frac{1}{qQ_0}, \frac{a}{q} + \frac{1}{qQ_0} \right].$$

Then we have

$$\sum_{q \leq Q_0} \sum_{\substack{a=-q \\ (a,q)=1}}^{2q} \int_{\mathcal{I}(q,a)} |W^2(\alpha) \Delta_k^2(\alpha)| d\alpha \ll N^{\frac{2}{k}} \log^{-100A} N.$$

Proof. From Lemma 2.2 (i) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.12) \quad \left| W \left(\frac{a}{q} + \beta \right) \right| &\ll \sum_{d \leq D} \frac{\tau(d)}{d} (q, d^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} q^{-\frac{1}{2}} |u_2(\beta)| \\
 &\ll q^{-\frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon} |u_2(\beta)| \log^2 N,
 \end{aligned}$$

where the inequalities $(q, d^2) \leq (q, d)^2$ and $\tau(dl) \leq \tau(d)\tau(l)$ are used.

On the other hand, let

$$\begin{aligned}
 E(\chi) &= \begin{cases} 1, & \chi = \chi^0, \\ 0, & \chi \neq \chi^0, \end{cases} \\
 J_k(\chi, \beta) &= \sum_{U_k < p \leq 2U_k} \chi(p) e(\beta p^k) \log p - E(\chi) \sum_{U_k < n \leq 2U_k} e(\beta n^k).
 \end{aligned}$$

Then for $\alpha = \frac{a}{q} + \beta$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Delta_k(\alpha) &= \frac{1}{\varphi(q)} \sum_{\chi(q)} G_k(\bar{\chi}, a) J_k(\chi, \beta) \\
 &\ll q^{-\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \sum_{\chi(q)} |J_k(\chi, \beta)| \\
 &\ll q^\varepsilon \left(\sum_{\chi(q)} |J_k(\chi, \beta)|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}},
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{2.13}$$

where Lemma 2.2 (ii) and Cauchy’s inequality are used.

From the standard estimate

$$u_k(\beta) \ll \frac{U_k}{1 + N|\beta|},
 \tag{2.14}$$

which follows from Lemma 4.2 in [14], and (2.12)–(2.13), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\sum_{q \leq Q_0} \sum_{\substack{a=-q \\ (a,q)=1}}^{2q} \int_{\mathcal{I}(q,a)} |W^2(\alpha) \Delta_k^2(\alpha)| d\alpha \\
 &\ll (\log^4 N) \sum_{q \leq Q_0} q^{-1+4\varepsilon} \sum_{\substack{a=-q \\ (a,q)=1}}^{2q} \sum_{\chi(q)} \int_{|\beta| \leq \frac{1}{Q_0}} |u_2(\beta)|^2 |J_k(\chi, \beta)|^2 d\beta \\
 &\ll (\log^4 N) \sum_{q \leq Q_0} q^{-1+4\varepsilon} \sum_{\substack{a=-q \\ (a,q)=1}}^{2q} \sum_{\chi(q)} \left(N \int_{|\beta| \leq \frac{1}{N}} |J_k(\chi, \beta)|^2 d\beta \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \max_{1 \leq Z \leq NQ_0^{-1}} (\log N) NZ^{-2} \int_{\frac{Z}{N} \leq |\beta| \leq \frac{2Z}{N}} |J_k(\chi, \beta)|^2 d\beta \right).
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{2.15}$$

By Gallagher’s Lemma in [5] and Siegel’s Lemma in prime number theory, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\int_{|\beta| \leq \frac{1}{N}} |J_k(\chi, \beta)|^2 d\beta \\
 &\ll \frac{1}{N^2} \int_2^N \left| \sum_{\substack{U_k < p \leq 2U_k \\ \theta < p^k \leq \theta + \frac{N}{2}}} \chi(p) \log p - E(\chi) \sum_{\substack{U_k < n \leq 2U_k \\ \theta < n^k \leq \theta + \frac{N}{2}}} 1 \right|^2 d\theta \\
 &\ll \frac{1}{N^2} NU_k^2 \exp(-\log^{\frac{1}{3}} N),
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{2.16}$$

and

$$(2.17) \quad \int_{\frac{Z}{N} \leq |\beta| \leq \frac{2Z}{N}} |J_k(\chi, \beta)|^2 d\beta \ll Z^2 N^{\frac{2}{k}-1} \exp(-\log^{\frac{1}{3}} N).$$

It follows from (2.15)–(2.17) that

$$(2.18) \quad \sum_{q \leq Q_0} \sum_{\substack{a=-q \\ (a,q)=1}}^{2q} \int_{\mathcal{I}(q,a)} |W^2(\alpha) \Delta_k^2(\alpha)| d\alpha \ll Q_0^{2+4\epsilon} N^{\frac{2}{k}} \exp(-\log^{\frac{1}{4}} N) \\ \ll N^{\frac{2}{k}} \log^{-100A} N.$$

Now the proof of Lemma 2.4 is completed. □

Lemma 2.5. *Let*

$$(2.19) \quad U_k(\alpha) = \frac{S_k^*(q, a)}{\varphi(q)} u_k(\beta).$$

Then we have

- (i) $\sum_{q \leq Q_0} \sum_{\substack{a=-q \\ (a,q)=1}}^{2q} \int_{\mathcal{I}(q,a)} |U_k(\alpha)|^2 d\alpha \ll N^{\frac{2}{k}-1} \log^{21A} N,$
- (ii) $\sum_{q \leq Q_0} \sum_{\substack{a=-q \\ (a,q)=1}}^{2q} \int_{\mathcal{I}(q,a)} |W(\alpha)|^2 d\alpha \ll \log^{21A} N,$

where $W(\alpha)$ and $\mathcal{I}(q, a)$ are defined by (2.9) and (2.11) respectively.

Proof. It follows from Lemma 2.2 (ii) and (2.14) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{q \leq Q_0} \sum_{\substack{a=-q \\ (a,q)=1}}^{2q} \int_{\mathcal{I}(q,a)} |U_k^2(\alpha)| d\alpha \\ & \ll \sum_{q \leq Q_0} q^{-1+\epsilon} \sum_{\substack{a=-q \\ (a,q)=1}}^{2q} \int_{|\beta| \leq \frac{1}{Q_0}} |u_k(\beta)|^2 d\beta \\ & \ll \sum_{q \leq Q_0} q^{-1+\epsilon} \sum_{\substack{a=-q \\ (a,q)=1}}^{2q} \left(N^{\frac{2}{k}} \int_{|\beta| \leq \frac{1}{N}} d\beta + N^{\frac{2}{k}-2} \int_{\frac{1}{N} \leq |\beta| \leq \frac{1}{Q_0}} |\beta|^{-2} d\beta \right) \\ & \ll N^{\frac{2}{k}-1} \log^{21A} N, \end{aligned}$$

and Lemma 2.5 (i) is proved. By (2.12) we can prove Lemma 2.5 (ii) in a similar way. □

For $(a, q) = 1, 1 \leq a \leq q$, put

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{M}_0(q, a) &= \left(\frac{a}{q} - \frac{Q_0}{N}, \frac{a}{q} + \frac{Q_0}{N} \right], \quad \mathfrak{M}_0 = \bigcup_{1 \leq q \leq Q_0^5} \bigcup_{\substack{a=1 \\ (a,q)=1}}^q \mathfrak{M}_0(q, a), \\ \mathfrak{M}(q, a) &= \left(\frac{a}{q} - \frac{1}{qQ_2}, \frac{a}{q} + \frac{1}{qQ_2} \right], \quad \mathfrak{M} = \bigcup_{1 \leq q \leq Q_0^5} \bigcup_{\substack{a=1 \\ (a,q)=1}}^q \mathfrak{M}(q, a), \\ \mathfrak{J}_0 &= \left(-\frac{1}{Q_2}, 1 - \frac{1}{Q_2} \right], \quad \mathfrak{m}_0 = \mathfrak{M} \setminus \mathfrak{M}_0, \\ \mathfrak{m}_1 &= \bigcup_{Q_0^5 < q \leq Q_1} \bigcup_{\substack{a=1 \\ (a,q)=1}}^q \mathfrak{M}(q, a), \quad \mathfrak{m}_2 = \mathfrak{J}_0 \setminus (\mathfrak{M} \cup \mathfrak{m}_1). \end{aligned}$$

Then we have the Farey dissection

$$(2.20) \quad \mathfrak{J}_0 = \mathfrak{M}_0 \cup \mathfrak{m}_0 \cup \mathfrak{m}_1 \cup \mathfrak{m}_2.$$

Lemma 2.6. For $\alpha = \frac{a}{q} + \beta$, let

$$V_j(\alpha) = \frac{S_5^*(q, a)}{\varphi(q)} v_j(\beta), \quad j = 1, 2.$$

Then for $\alpha = \frac{a}{q} + \beta \in \mathfrak{M}_0$, we have

- (i) $f_k(\alpha) = U_k(\alpha) + O(U_k \exp(-\log^{\frac{1}{3}} N)), \quad k = 2, 5$
- (ii) $g_j(\alpha) = V_j(\alpha) + O(V_j \exp(-\log^{\frac{1}{3}} N)), \quad j = 1, 2$
- (iii) $f_{2,r}(\alpha) = \frac{c_r U_2(\alpha)}{\log U_2} + O(U_2 \exp(-\log^{\frac{1}{3}} N)), \quad 7 \leq r \leq 28$

where $U_k(\alpha)$ is defined by (2.19), and

$$c_r = (1 + O(\varepsilon)) \int_{r-1}^{\frac{82}{3}} \frac{dt_1}{t_1} \int_{r-2}^{t_1-1} \frac{dt_2}{t_2} \dots \int_3^{t_{r-4}-1} \frac{dt_{r-3}}{t_{r-3}} \int_2^{t_{r-3}-1} \frac{\log(t_{r-2} - 1) dt_{r-2}}{t_{r-2}}$$

Proof. By some routine arrangements and summation by parts, (i), (ii) and (iii) follow from Siegel-Walfisz theorem and Prime number theorem. \square

3. Mean value theorems

In this section we prove two mean value theorems for the proof of Theorem 1.3.

Proposition 3.1. Let

$$J_d(N) = \sum_{\substack{(dl)^2 + p^2 + p_1^5 + \dots + p_6^5 = N \\ U_2 < dl, p \leq 2U_2, U_5 < p_1, p_2, p_3 \leq 2U_5 \\ V_1 < p_4 \leq 2V_1, V_2 < p_5, p_6 \leq 2V_2}} (\log p)(\log p_1) \cdots (\log p_6).$$

Then for $|u(m)| \leq 1, |v(n)| \leq 1$, we have

$$\sum_{m \leq D^{\frac{2}{3}}, n \leq D^{\frac{1}{3}}} u(m)v(n) \left(J_{mn}(N) - \frac{\mathfrak{S}_{mn}(N)}{mn} \mathfrak{J}(N) \right) \ll N^{\frac{91}{85}} \log^{-A} N.$$

Proof. Let

$$h(\alpha) = \sum_{m \leq D^{\frac{2}{3}}, n \leq D^{\frac{1}{3}}} u(m)v(n) \sum_{\substack{U_2 \\ \frac{U_2}{mn} < l \leq \frac{2U_2}{mn}}} e(\alpha(mnl)^2),$$

$$K(\alpha) = h(\alpha) f_2(\alpha) f_5^3(\alpha) g_1(\alpha) g_2^2(\alpha) e(-\alpha N).$$

Then by the Farey dissection (2.20), we have

$$(3.1) \quad \sum_{m \leq D^{\frac{2}{3}}, n \leq D^{\frac{1}{3}}} u(m)v(n) J_{mn}(N) = \int_{\mathfrak{J}_0} K(\alpha) d\alpha$$

$$= \left(\int_{\mathfrak{M}_0} + \int_{\mathfrak{m}_0} + \int_{\mathfrak{m}_1} + \int_{\mathfrak{m}_2} \right) K(\alpha) d\alpha.$$

From Cauchy's inequality, Lemma 1 in [1] and Lemma 2.1, we obtain

$$(3.2) \quad \int_0^1 |f_2(\alpha) f_5^3(\alpha) g_1(\alpha) g_2^2(\alpha)| d\alpha$$

$$\ll \left(\int_0^1 |f_2(\alpha) f_5^2(\alpha)|^2 d\alpha \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_0^1 |f_5(\alpha) g_1(\alpha) g_2^2(\alpha)|^2 d\alpha \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$\ll N^{\frac{267}{340} + \varepsilon}.$$

By (3.2), we get

$$(3.3) \quad \int_{\mathfrak{m}_2} K(\alpha) d\alpha \ll \max_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{m}_2} |h(\alpha)| \left(\int_0^1 |f_2(\alpha) f_5^3(\alpha) g_1(\alpha) g_2^2(\alpha)| d\alpha \right)$$

$$\ll N^{\frac{91}{85} - \varepsilon},$$

where the bound $h(\alpha) \ll N^{\frac{97}{340} - 3\varepsilon}$ for $\alpha \in \mathfrak{m}_2$, which follows from (4.6) in [4], is used.

Write

$$a(d) = \sum_{\substack{m \leq D^{\frac{2}{3}}, n \leq D^{\frac{1}{3}} \\ mn=d}} u(m)v(n), \quad h(\alpha) = \sum_{d \leq D} a(d) \sum_{\substack{U_2 \\ \frac{U_2}{d} < l \leq \frac{2U_2}{d}}} e(\alpha(dl)^2).$$

Then from Theorem 4.1 in Vaughan [14], for $\alpha \in \mathfrak{m}_1$, we obtain

$$(3.4) \quad h(\alpha) = W(\alpha) + O(DQ_1^{\frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon}) = W(\alpha) + O(N^{\frac{91}{340} + \varepsilon}),$$

where $W(\alpha)$ is defined by (2.9). Let

$$K_1(\alpha) = W(\alpha) f_2(\alpha) f_5^3(\alpha) g_1(\alpha) g_2^2(\alpha) e(-\alpha N).$$

Then by (3.2) and (3.4), we have

$$(3.5) \quad \int_{\mathfrak{m}_1} K(\alpha)d\alpha = \int_{\mathfrak{m}_1} K_1(\alpha)d\alpha + O(N^{\frac{91}{85}-\varepsilon}).$$

Let

$$\mathcal{I}_0(q, a) = \left(\frac{a}{q} - \frac{1}{N^{\frac{39}{50}}}, \frac{a}{q} + \frac{1}{N^{\frac{39}{50}}} \right], \quad \mathcal{I}_1(q, a) = \mathcal{I}(q, a) \setminus \mathcal{I}_0(q, a),$$

where $\mathcal{I}(q, a)$ is defined by (2.11). Then we have

$$(3.6) \quad \int_{\mathfrak{m}_1} K_1(\alpha)d\alpha \leq \sum_{q \leq Q_0} \sum_{\substack{a=-q \\ (a,q)=1}}^{2q} \int_{\mathfrak{m}_1 \cap \mathcal{I}_0(q,a)} |K_1(\alpha)| d\alpha \\ + \sum_{q \leq Q_0} \sum_{\substack{a=-q \\ (a,q)=1}}^{2q} \int_{\mathfrak{m}_1 \cap \mathcal{I}_1(q,a)} |K_1(\alpha)| d\alpha.$$

From (3.2), we have

$$(3.7) \quad \sum_{q \leq Q_0} \sum_{\substack{a=-q \\ (a,q)=1}}^{2q} \int_{\mathfrak{m}_1 \cap \mathcal{I}_1(q,a)} |K_1(\alpha)| d\alpha \\ \ll N^{\frac{97}{340}-2\varepsilon} \int_0^1 |f_2(\alpha)f_5^3(\alpha)g_1(\alpha)g_2^2(\alpha)|d\alpha \\ \ll N^{\frac{91}{85}-\varepsilon},$$

where the bound $W(\alpha) \ll N^{\frac{97}{340}-2\varepsilon}$ for $\alpha \in \mathcal{I}_1(q, a)$, which follows from (2.12) and (2.14), is used.

By Lemma 4.7 and Lemma 4.5 in [12], we obtain

$$(3.8) \quad \int_{\mathfrak{m}_1 \cap \mathcal{I}_0(q,a)} |K_1(\alpha)| d\alpha \\ = \int_{\mathfrak{m}_1 \cap \mathcal{I}_0(q,a)} |W(\alpha)U_5(\alpha)f_2(\alpha)f_5^2(\alpha)g_1(\alpha)g_2^2(\alpha)| d\alpha \\ + \int_{\mathfrak{m}_1 \cap \mathcal{I}_0(q,a)} |W(\alpha)\Delta_5(\alpha)f_2(\alpha)f_5^2(\alpha)g_1(\alpha)g_2^2(\alpha)| d\alpha \\ + O\left(N^{\frac{11}{100}} \int_{\mathfrak{m}_1 \cap \mathcal{I}_0(q,a)} |W(\alpha)f_2(\alpha)f_5^2(\alpha)g_1(\alpha)g_2^2(\alpha)|d\alpha\right),$$

where $\Delta_5(\alpha), U_5(\alpha)$ are defined by (2.10) and (2.19) respectively.

From Cauchy's inequality, Lemma 2.3 and Lemma 2.4, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.9) \quad & \sum_{q \leq Q_0} \sum_{\substack{a=-q \\ (a,q)=1}}^{2q} \int_{\mathfrak{m}_1 \cap \mathcal{I}_0(q,a)} |W(\alpha) \Delta_5(\alpha) f_2(\alpha) f_5^2(\alpha) g_1(\alpha) g_2^2(\alpha)| d\alpha \\
 & \ll N^{\frac{1}{5}} \left(\sum_{q \leq Q_0} \sum_{\substack{a=-q \\ (a,q)=1}}^{2q} \int_{\mathcal{I}(q,a)} |W(\alpha) \Delta_5(\alpha)|^2 d\alpha \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
 & \quad \times \left(\int_0^1 |f_2(\alpha) f_5(\alpha) g_1(\alpha) g_2^2(\alpha)|^2 d\alpha \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
 & \ll N^{\frac{91}{85}} \log^{-10A} N.
 \end{aligned}$$

It follows from Cauchy's inequality, Lemma 2.3 and Lemma 2.5 (i) that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.10) \quad & \sum_{q \leq Q_0} \sum_{\substack{a=-q \\ (a,q)=1}}^{2q} \int_{\mathfrak{m}_1 \cap \mathcal{I}_0(q,a)} |W(\alpha) U_5(\alpha) f_2(\alpha) f_5^2(\alpha) g_1(\alpha) g_2^2(\alpha)| d\alpha \\
 & \ll N^{\frac{1}{5} + \frac{1}{2}} \log^{-33A} N \left(\sum_{q \leq Q_0} \sum_{\substack{a=-q \\ (a,q)=1}}^{2q} \int_{\mathcal{I}_0(q,a)} |U_5(\alpha)|^2 d\alpha \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
 & \quad \times \left(\int_0^1 |f_2(\alpha) f_5(\alpha) g_1(\alpha) g_2^2(\alpha)|^2 d\alpha \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
 & \ll N^{\frac{91}{85}} \log^{-10A} N,
 \end{aligned}$$

where the bound $W(\alpha) \ll N^{\frac{1}{2}} \log^{-33A} N$ for $\alpha \in \mathfrak{m}_1$, which follows from (2.12) and (2.14), is used.

By Cauchy's inequality, Lemma 2.3 and Lemma 2.5 (ii), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.11) \quad & N^{\frac{11}{100}} \sum_{q \leq Q_0} \sum_{\substack{a=-q \\ (a,q)=1}}^{2q} \int_{\mathfrak{m}_1 \cap \mathcal{I}_0(q,a)} |W(\alpha) f_2(\alpha) f_5^2(\alpha) g_1(\alpha) g_2^2(\alpha)| d\alpha \\
 & \ll N^{\frac{31}{100}} \left(\sum_{q \leq Q_0} \sum_{\substack{a=-q \\ (a,q)=1}}^{2q} \int_{\mathcal{I}_0(q,a)} |W(\alpha)|^2 d\alpha \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
 & \quad \times \left(\int_0^1 |f_2(\alpha) f_5(\alpha) g_1(\alpha) g_2^2(\alpha)|^2 d\alpha \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
 & \ll N^{\frac{91}{85}} \log^{-10A} N.
 \end{aligned}$$

It follows from (3.8)–(3.11) that

$$(3.12) \quad \sum_{q \leq Q_0} \sum_{\substack{a=-q \\ (a,q)=1}}^{2q} \int_{\mathfrak{m}_1 \cap \mathcal{I}_0(q,a)} K_1(\alpha) d\alpha \ll N^{\frac{91}{85}} \log^{-10A} N.$$

From (3.5)–(3.7) and (3.12), we get

$$(3.13) \quad \int_{\mathfrak{m}_1} K(\alpha) d\alpha \ll N^{\frac{91}{85}} \log^{-10A} N.$$

By arguments similar to but simpler than that leading to (3.13), we obtain

$$(3.14) \quad \int_{\mathfrak{m}_0} K(\alpha) d\alpha \ll N^{\frac{91}{85}} \log^{-10A} N.$$

For $\alpha \in \mathfrak{M}_0$, let

$$(3.15) \quad K_0(\alpha) = W(\alpha)U_2(\alpha)U_5^3(\alpha)V_1(\alpha)V_2^2(\alpha)e(-\alpha N).$$

Then it follows from Lemma 2.6 and (3.4) which holds for $\alpha \in \mathfrak{M}_0$ also, that for $\alpha \in \mathfrak{M}_0$, we have

$$(3.16) \quad K(\alpha) - K_0(\alpha) \ll N^{\frac{176}{85}} \exp(-\log^{\frac{1}{4}} N).$$

By (3.16), we get

$$(3.17) \quad \int_{\mathfrak{M}_0} K(\alpha) d\alpha = \int_{\mathfrak{M}_0} K_0(\alpha) d\alpha + O\left(N^{\frac{91}{85}} \log^{-A} N\right).$$

Now the well-known standard endgame technique in the Hardy-Littlewood method establishes that

$$(3.18) \quad \int_{\mathfrak{M}_0} K_0(\alpha) d\alpha = \sum_{\substack{m \leq D^{\frac{2}{3}}, \\ n \leq D^{\frac{1}{3}}}} u(m)v(n) \frac{\mathfrak{S}_{mn}(N)}{mn} \mathfrak{J}(N) + O\left(N^{\frac{91}{85}} \log^{-A} N\right),$$

$$(3.19) \quad \mathfrak{J}(N) \asymp N^{\frac{91}{85}}.$$

Now upon combining (3.1), (3.3), (3.13), (3.14) and (3.18), the proof of Proposition 3.1 is completed. \square

By the same method, we have

Proposition 3.2. *For $7 \leq r \leq 28$ let*

$$J_d^{(r)}(N) = \sum_{\substack{(dl)^2 + m^2 + p_1^5 + \dots + p_6^5 = N \\ U_2 < dl \leq 2U_2, U_5 < p_1, p_2, p_3 \leq 2U_5 \\ m \in \mathcal{M}_r, V_1 < p_4 \leq 2V_1, V_2 < p_5, p_6 \leq 2V_2}} (\log p_1) \cdots (\log p_6).$$

Then for $|u(m)| \leq 1, |v(n)| \leq 1$, we have

$$\sum_{m \leq D^{\frac{2}{3}}, n \leq D^{\frac{1}{3}}} u(m)v(n) \left(J_{mn}^{(r)}(N) - c_r \frac{\mathfrak{S}_{mn}(N)}{mn \log U_2} \mathfrak{J}(N) \right) \ll N^{\frac{91}{85}} \log^{-A} N,$$

where c_r is defined in Lemma 2.6.

4. On the function $\omega(d)$

In this section we investigate the function $\omega(d)$ which is defined in (4.8) and is required in the proof of Theorem 1.3.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $K(q, N)$ and $L(q, N)$ denote the number of solutions to the congruences*

$$y^2 + \sum_{j=1}^6 u_j^5 \equiv N \pmod{q}, \quad 1 \leq y, u_j \leq q, \quad (yu_j, q) = 1$$

and

$$x^2 + y^2 + \sum_{j=1}^6 u_j^5 \equiv N \pmod{q}, \quad 1 \leq x, y, u_j \leq q, \quad (yu_j, q) = 1$$

respectively. Then we have $L(p, N) > K(p, N)$. Moreover, we have

$$(4.1) \quad L(p, N) = p^7 + O(p^6),$$

$$(4.2) \quad K(p, N) = p^6 + O(p^5).$$

Proof. Let $L^*(q, N)$ denote the number of solutions to the congruence

$$x^2 + y^2 + \sum_{j=1}^6 u_j^5 \equiv N \pmod{q}, \quad 1 \leq x, y, u_j \leq q, \quad (xyu_j, q) = 1.$$

Then by the orthogonality of additive characters, we have

$$(4.3) \quad \begin{aligned} pL^*(p, N) &= \sum_{a=1}^p S_2^{*2}(p, a) S_5^{*6}(p, a) e_p(-aN) \\ &= (p-1)^8 + E_p, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$E_p = \sum_{a=1}^{p-1} S_2^{*2}(p, a) S_5^{*6}(p, a) e_p(-aN).$$

By Lemma 2.3 (iv), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 |E_p| &\leq (\sqrt{p} + 1)^2 \sum_{a=1}^{p-1} |S_5^{*6}(p, a)| \\
 (4.4) \quad &= (\sqrt{p} + 1)^2 \left(\sum_{a=1}^p |S_5^{*6}(p, a)| - (p-1)^6 \right) \\
 &\leq (\sqrt{p} + 1)^2 \left(5p(p-1)^5 - (p-1)^6 \right) \\
 &= (p-1)^5 (\sqrt{p} + 1)^2 (4p + 1).
 \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to verify that $|E_p| < (p-1)^8$ for $p \geq 11$, hence we have $L^*(p, N) > 0$ for $p \geq 11$.

On the other hand, when $p = 2, 3, 5, 7$, we can check by hand that $L^*(p, N) > 0$.

In summary, we have $L(p, N) = L^*(p, N) + K(p, N) > K(p, N)$.

By (4.3) and (4.4), we have

$$L^*(p, N) = p^7 + O(p^6),$$

and (4.1) and (4.2) follow from similar arguments.

Now Lemma 4.1 is proved. □

Lemma 4.2. *The series $\mathfrak{S}(N)$ is convergent and $\mathfrak{S}(N) > 0$.*

Proof. The convergence of $\mathfrak{S}(N)$ follows from Lemma 2.3 (i)–(ii) easily. Note the fact that $A(q, N)$ is multiplicative in q , by Lemma 2.3 (v), we know that

$$(4.5) \quad \mathfrak{S}(N) = \prod_p (1 + A(p, N)).$$

For $p > 101$, by Lemma 2.3 (iii)–(iv), we have

$$|A(p, N)| \leq \frac{(p-1)p^{\frac{1}{2}}(p^{\frac{1}{2}} + 1)^2(4p^{\frac{1}{2}} + 1)^6}{p(p-1)^7} \leq \frac{400}{p^2}.$$

So we get

$$(4.6) \quad \prod_{p>101} (1 + A(p, N)) \geq \prod_{p>101} \left(1 - \frac{400}{p^2} \right) > c > 0.$$

It is easy to show that

$$(4.7) \quad 1 + A(p, N) = \frac{L(p, N)}{p(p-1)^7}.$$

Now by Lemma 4.1 and (4.5)–(4.7), we have $\mathfrak{S}(N) > 0$, and the proof of Lemma 4.2 is completed. □

In view of Lemma 4.2, for natural number d , we may define

$$(4.8) \quad \omega(d) = \frac{\mathfrak{S}_d(N)}{\mathfrak{S}(N)}.$$

Similar to (4.5), we have

$$(4.9) \quad \mathfrak{S}_d(N) = \prod_{p|d} (1 + A_d(p, N)) \times \prod_{p|d} (1 + A_d(p, N)).$$

It follows from the facts that $S_k(q, ad^k) = S_k(q, a)$ for $(d, q) = 1$, $A_d(p, N) = A_p(p, N)$ for $p|d$ and (4.8)–(4.9) that

$$(4.10) \quad \omega(p^l) = \frac{1 + A_p(p, N)}{1 + A(p, N)},$$

$$(4.11) \quad \omega(d) = \prod_{p|d} \omega(p)$$

for each prime p and natural number l . It is easy to show that

$$(4.12) \quad 1 + A_p(p, N) = \frac{K(p, N)}{(p - 1)^7}.$$

From (4.7), (4.10) and (4.12), we have

$$(4.13) \quad \omega(p^l) = \frac{pK(p, N)}{L(p, N)}$$

for each prime p and natural number l .

It follows from Lemma 4.1 and (4.13) that

Lemma 4.3. *The function $\omega(d)$ is multiplicative, and*

$$0 \leq \omega(p) < p, \quad \omega(p^l) = 1 + O(p^{-1})$$

for each prime p and natural number l .

5. Proof of Theorem 1.3

In this section $f(s)$ and $F(s)$ denote the classical functions in the linear sieve theory, and $\gamma = 0.577 \dots$ denotes Euler’s constant. Then by (8.2.8) and (8.2.9) in [6], we have

$$f(s) = \frac{2e^\gamma \log(s - 1)}{s}, \quad 2 \leq s \leq 4;$$

$$F(s) = \frac{2e^\gamma}{s}, \quad 1 \leq s \leq 3.$$

In the proof of Theorem 1.3 we adopt the following notation:

$$\mathfrak{P} = \prod_{2 < p < z} p, \quad \log 2\mathbf{U} = (\log 2U_2)(\log^3 2U_5)(\log 2V_1)(\log^2 2V_2),$$

$$\log \mathbf{U} = (\log U_2)(\log^3 U_5)(\log V_1)(\log^2 V_2).$$

Let

$$\mathfrak{N}(z) = \prod_{2 < p < z} \left(1 - \frac{\omega(p)}{p}\right).$$

Then by Lemma 4.3 and Mertens’s prime number theorem, we obtain

$$(5.1) \quad \mathfrak{N}(z) \asymp \frac{1}{\log N}.$$

Let $R(N)$ denote the number of solutions of the equation (1.4) with x being a P_6 and the other variables primes. Then we have

$$(5.2) \quad \begin{aligned} R(N) &\geq \sum_{\substack{l^2+p^2+p_1^5+\dots+p_6^5=N \\ U_2 < l, p \leq 2U_2, U_5 < p_1, p_2, p_3 \leq 2U_5 \\ (l, \mathfrak{P})=1, V_1 < p_4 \leq 2V_1, V_2 < p_5, p_6 \leq 2V_2}} 1 \\ &\quad - \sum_{r=7}^{28} \sum_{\substack{m^2+p^2+p_1^5+\dots+p_6^5=N \\ U_2 < p \leq 2U_2, U_5 < p_1, p_2, p_3 \leq 2U_5 \\ m \in \mathcal{M}_r, V_1 < p_4 \leq 2V_1, V_2 < p_5, p_6 \leq 2V_2}} 1 \\ &= \mathcal{R}(N) - \sum_{r=7}^{28} \mathcal{R}_r(N), \text{ say.} \end{aligned}$$

In the following we shall give a non-trivial lower bound for $R(N)$ by the linear sieve theory with the bilinear error term in [9].

5.1. The lower bound for $\mathcal{R}(N)$. Let

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{N}(l) &= \sum_{\substack{l^2+p^2+p_1^5+\dots+p_6^5=N \\ U_2 < p \leq 2U_2, U_5 < p_1, p_2, p_3 \leq 2U_5 \\ V_1 < p_4 \leq 2V_1, V_2 < p_5, p_6 \leq 2V_2}} (\log p)(\log p_1) \cdots (\log p_6), \\ \mathcal{E}(d) &= \sum_{\substack{U_2 < l \leq 2U_2 \\ l \equiv 0 \pmod{d}}} \mathcal{N}(l) - \frac{\omega(d)}{d} \mathfrak{S}(N) \mathfrak{I}(N). \end{aligned}$$

Then by Theorem 1 in [9] (see also Lemma 9.1 in [10]) and Proposition 3.1, we get

$$(5.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}(N) &\geq \frac{1}{\log 2\mathbf{U}} \sum_{\substack{U_2 < l \leq 2U_2 \\ (l, \mathfrak{P})=1}} \mathcal{N}(l) \\ &\geq \left(1 + O\left(\log^{-\frac{1}{3}} D\right)\right) \frac{f(3) \mathfrak{S}(N) \mathfrak{I}(N) \mathfrak{N}(z)}{\log \mathbf{U}} \\ &\quad + O\left(N^{\frac{91}{85}} \log^{-100} N\right). \end{aligned}$$

5.2. The upper bound for $\mathcal{R}_r(N)$. Let

$$\mathcal{N}_r(l) = \sum_{\substack{l^2+m^2+p_1^5+\dots+p_6^5=N \\ m \in \mathcal{M}_r, U_5 < p_1, p_2, p_3 \leq 2U_5 \\ V_1 < p_4 \leq 2V_1, V_2 < p_5, p_6 \leq 2V_2}} 1$$

$$\mathcal{E}_r(d) = \sum_{\substack{U_2 < l \leq 2U_2 \\ l \equiv 0 \pmod{d}}} \mathcal{N}_r(l) - \frac{c_r \omega(d)}{d} \mathfrak{S}(N) \mathfrak{J}(N),$$

where c_r is defined in Lemma 2.6. Then by Theorem 1 in [9] (see also Lemma 9.1 in [10]) and Proposition 3.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}_r(N) &\leq \frac{\log U_2}{\log \mathbf{U}} \sum_{\substack{U_2 < l \leq 2U_2 \\ (l, \mathfrak{P})=1}} \mathcal{N}_r(l) \\ (5.4) \quad &\leq \left(1 + O\left(\log^{-\frac{1}{3}} D\right)\right) \frac{F(3)c_r \mathfrak{S}(N) \mathfrak{J}(N) \mathfrak{N}(z)}{\log \mathbf{U}} \\ &\quad + O\left(N^{\frac{91}{85}} \log^{-100} N\right). \end{aligned}$$

5.3. Proof of Theorem 1.3. By numerical integration, we get

$$c_7 < 0.2093, \quad c_8 < 0.0427, \quad c_r < 0.0067 \text{ for } 9 \leq r \leq 28$$

$$(5.5) \quad \sum_{r=7}^{28} c_r < 0.3861.$$

From (5.1)–(5.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} R(N) &> 0.3 \frac{2e^\gamma}{3} \frac{\mathfrak{S}(N) \mathfrak{J}(N) \mathfrak{N}(z)}{\log \mathbf{U}} + O\left(N^{\frac{91}{85}} \log^{-100} N\right) \\ &\gg \frac{N^{\frac{91}{85}}}{\log^8 N}, \end{aligned}$$

where (3.19) and Lemma 4.2 are employed. The proof of Theorem 1.3 is completed.

References

- [1] J. BRÜDERN, “Sums of squares and higher powers. I”, *J. London Math. Soc. (2)* **35** (1987), no. 2, p. 233-250.
- [2] ———, *Sieves, the circle method, and waring’s problem for cubes*, *Mathematica Gottingensis*, vol. 51, Sonderforschungsbereich Geometrie und Analysis, 1991.
- [3] ———, “A sieve approach to the Waring-Goldbach problem. I. Sums of four cubes”, *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)* **28** (1995), no. 4, p. 461-476.
- [4] J. BRÜDERN & K. KAWADA, “Ternary problems in additive prime number theory”, in *Analytic number theory (Beijing/Kyoto, 1999)*, *Dev. Math.*, vol. 6, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 2002, p. 39-91.
- [5] P. X. GALLAGHER, “A large sieve density estimate near $\sigma = 1$ ”, *Invent. Math.* **11** (1970), p. 329-339.

- [6] H. HALBERSTAM & H.-E. RICHERT, *Sieve methods*, Academic Press [A subsidiary of Harcourt Brace Jovanovich, Publishers], London-New York, 1974, London Mathematical Society Monographs, No. 4, xiv+364 pp. (loose errata) pages.
- [7] C. HOOLEY, “On a new approach to various problems of Waring’s type”, in *Recent progress in analytic number theory, Vol. 1 (Durham, 1979)*, Academic Press, London-New York, 1981, p. 127-191.
- [8] L. K. HUA, *Additive theory of prime numbers*, Translations of Mathematical Monographs, Vol. 13, American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., 1965, xiii+190 pages.
- [9] H. IWANIEC, “A new form of the error term in the linear sieve”, *Acta Arith.* **37** (1980), p. 307-320.
- [10] K. KAWADA & T. D. WOOLEY, “On the Waring-Goldbach problem for fourth and fifth powers”, *Proc. London Math. Soc. (3)* **83** (2001), no. 1, p. 1-50.
- [11] K. THANIGASALAM, “On admissible exponents for k th powers”, *Bull. Calcutta Math. Soc.* **86** (1994), no. 2, p. 175-178.
- [12] E. C. TITCHMARSH, *The theory of the Riemann zeta-function*, second ed., The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1986, Edited and with a preface by D. R. Heath-Brown, x+412 pages.
- [13] R. C. VAUGHAN, “Sums of three cubes”, *Bull. London Math. Soc.* **17** (1985), no. 1, p. 17-20.
- [14] ———, *The Hardy-Littlewood method*, second ed., Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, vol. 125, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997, xiv+232 pages.
- [15] I. M. VINOGRADOV, *Elements of number theory*, Dover Publications, Inc., New York, 1954, Translated by S. Kravetz, viii+227 pages.

Yingchun CAI
Department of Mathematics
Tongji University
Shanghai, 200092, P. R. China
E-mail: yingchunca@mail.tongji.edu.cn