

Clayton PETSCHE et Brian STOUT Global minimal models for endomorphisms of projective space Tome 26, n° 3 (2014), p. 813-823.

 $\verb|\c| + ttp://jtnb.cedram.org/item?id=JTNB_2014__26_3_813_0 > \\$

© Société Arithmétique de Bordeaux, 2014, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux articles de la revue « Journal de Théorie des Nombres de Bordeaux » (http://jtnb.cedram.org/), implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (http://jtnb.cedram.org/legal/). Toute reproduction en tout ou partie de cet article sous quelque forme que ce soit pour tout usage autre que l'utilisation à fin strictement personnelle du copiste est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

cedram

Article mis en ligne dans le cadre du

Centre de diffusion des revues académiques de mathématiques

http://www.cedram.org/

Global minimal models for endomorphisms of projective space

par Clayton PETSCHE et Brian STOUT

RÉSUMÉ. Nous démontrons l'existence des modèles minimaux globaux pour les endomorphismes $\phi: \mathbb{P}^N \to \mathbb{P}^N$ de l'espace projectif sur le corps des fractions d'un anneau principal.

ABSTRACT. We prove the existence of global minimal models for endomorphisms $\phi: \mathbb{P}^N \to \mathbb{P}^N$ of projective space defined over the field of fractions of a principal ideal domain.

1. Definitions and statement of the main results

Let R be a principal ideal domain (PID) with field of fractions K, and let N be a positive integer. In this paper, our primary objects of study are nonconstant morphisms $\phi: \mathbb{P}^N \to \mathbb{P}^N$ defined over K. Fixing a choice of homogeneous coordinates $\mathbf{x} = (x_0, \dots, x_N)$ on \mathbb{P}^N , we may write ϕ explicitly as

(1.1)
$$\phi(x_0:\dots:x_N) = (\Phi_0(x_0,\dots,x_N):\dots:\Phi_N(x_0,\dots,x_N)),$$

where $\Phi: \mathbb{A}^{N+1} \to \mathbb{A}^{N+1}$ is a map defined by an (N+1)-tuple $\Phi = (\Phi_0, \dots, \Phi_N)$ of forms of some common degree $d \geq 1$ in the variables x_0, x_1, \dots, x_N , with the property that

(1.2)
$$\Phi(\mathbf{a}) \neq \mathbf{0}$$
 whenever $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{A}^{N+1}(\bar{K}) \setminus \mathbf{0}$,

where \bar{K} is the algebraic closure of K, or equivalently that

(1.3)
$$\operatorname{Res}(\Phi) \neq 0,$$

where $\operatorname{Res}(\Phi)$ is the resultant of Φ , a certain homogeneous integral polynomial in the coefficients of the forms Φ_n ; see Proposition 4.1 for a review of the necessary facts about the resultant. We refer to d as the algebraic degree of ϕ , and we refer to the map Φ , which is uniquely determined by ϕ up to multiplication by a nonzero scalar in K, as a homogeneous lift for ϕ .

Conversely, starting with any map $\Phi: \mathbb{A}^{N+1} \to \mathbb{A}^{N+1}$ defined by an (N+1)-tuple $\Phi = (\Phi_0, \dots, \Phi_N)$ of forms of some common degree $d \geq 1$, such that Φ satisfies the nonvanishing condition (1.2), the formula (1.1) gives rise to a morphism $\phi: \mathbb{P}^N \to \mathbb{P}^N$ of algebraic degree d.

In the study of the dynamical system obtained from iteration of the morphism ϕ , it is generally true that the dynamical properties of ϕ are left unchanged when it is replaced with its conjugate $f \circ \phi \circ f^{-1}$ by an element f of the automorphism group $\operatorname{PGL}_{N+1}(K)$ of \mathbb{P}^N over K. Given a representative $A \in \operatorname{GL}_{N+1}(K)$ for f under the quotient map $\operatorname{GL}_{N+1} \to \operatorname{PGL}_{N+1}$, and given a homogeneous lift $\Phi : \mathbb{A}^{N+1} \to \mathbb{A}^{N+1}$ for ϕ , observe that the map $\Psi = A \circ \Phi \circ A^{-1} : \mathbb{A}^{N+1} \to \mathbb{A}^{N+1}$ is a homogeneous lift for $\psi = f \circ \phi \circ f^{-1}$. It is therefore natural to offer the following loosening of the notion of a homogeneous lift for ϕ .

Definition. Let $\phi: \mathbb{P}^N \to \mathbb{P}^N$ be a nonconstant morphism defined over K. A model for ϕ over K is a map $\Psi: \mathbb{A}^{N+1} \to \mathbb{A}^{N+1}$ given by $\Psi = A \circ \Phi \circ A^{-1}$ for some homogeneous lift $\Phi: \mathbb{A}^{N+1} \to \mathbb{A}^{N+1}$ of ϕ defined over K and some linear automorphism $A \in \mathrm{GL}_{N+1}(K)$ of \mathbb{A}^{N+1} .

While $\operatorname{PGL}_{N+1}(K)$ -conjugation does not affect purely dynamical properties of morphisms, it does have subtle and unpredictable effects on integrality and divisibility properties in the ring R. For each nonzero prime ideal $\mathfrak p$ of R, denote by $K_{\mathfrak p}$ the completion of K with respect to the $\mathfrak p$ -adic valuation, and let $R_{\mathfrak p}$ be the subring of $\mathfrak p$ -integral elements of $K_{\mathfrak p}$. Let $\mathbb F_{\mathfrak p} = R_{\mathfrak p}/\mathfrak p R_{\mathfrak p}$ be the residue field at $\mathfrak p$, and denote by $x \mapsto \tilde x_{\mathfrak p}$ the surjective reduction map $R_{\mathfrak p} \to \mathbb F_{\mathfrak p}$.

reduction map $R_{\mathfrak{p}} \to \mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Given a model $\Psi : \mathbb{A}^{N+1} \to \mathbb{A}^{N+1}$ for a nonconstant morphism $\phi : \mathbb{P}^N \to \mathbb{P}^N$ defined over $K_{\mathfrak{p}}$, we declare that Ψ is integral (or \mathfrak{p} -integral) if each form Ψ_n has coefficients in $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and at least one coefficient is in $R_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\times}$. If Ψ is \mathfrak{p} -integral, then we may reduce the coefficients modulo \mathfrak{p} and obtain a nonzero homogeneous map $\tilde{\Psi}_{\mathfrak{p}} : \mathbb{A}^{N+1} \to \mathbb{A}^{N+1}$ defined over the residue field $\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Note that any morphism of \mathbb{P}^n defined over K has a \mathfrak{p} -integral model.

Definition. A nonconstant morphism $\phi: \mathbb{P}^N \to \mathbb{P}^N$ defined over $K_{\mathfrak{p}}$ has good reduction if ϕ has a \mathfrak{p} -integral model $\Psi: \mathbb{A}^{N+1} \to \mathbb{A}^{N+1}$ satisfying either (and therefore both) of the following two equivalent conditions:

- (1) The reduced map $\tilde{\Psi}_{\mathfrak{p}}: \mathbb{A}^{N+1} \to \mathbb{A}^{N+1}$ satisfies $\tilde{\Psi}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathbf{a}) \neq \mathbf{0}$ whenever $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{A}^{N+1}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{\mathfrak{p}}) \setminus \mathbf{0}$;
- (2) $\operatorname{Res}(\Psi) \in R_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\times}$.

According to condition (1), this definition has the following fairly intuitive interpretation: a nonconstant morphism $\phi: \mathbb{P}^N \to \mathbb{P}^N$ of algebraic degree $d \geq 1$ defined over $K_{\mathfrak{p}}$ has good reduction precisely when it is $\operatorname{PGL}_{N+1}(K)$ -conjugate to a morphism $\psi: \mathbb{P}^N \to \mathbb{P}^N$ for which reduction modulo \mathfrak{p} gives rise to a morphism $\tilde{\psi}_{\mathfrak{p}}: \mathbb{P}^N \to \mathbb{P}^N$ of algebraic degree d defined over the residue field $\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}$. The equivalence of conditions (1) and (2) is a simple consequence of basic properties of the resultant, along with the fact that the unit group $R_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\times}$ is precisely the set of elements in $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ whose image is nonzero under the reduction map $R_{\mathfrak{p}} \to \mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}$.

If $\Psi: \mathbb{A}^{N+1} \to \mathbb{A}^{N+1}$ is an arbitrary \mathfrak{p} -integral model for ϕ , then $\operatorname{ord}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\operatorname{Res}(\Psi)) \geq 0$ since $\operatorname{Res}(\Psi)$ is an integral polynomial in the coefficients of Ψ ; good reduction at \mathfrak{p} occurs precisely when a \mathfrak{p} -integral model Ψ can be found with $\operatorname{ord}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\operatorname{Res}(\Psi)) = 0$. Even in the case of bad reduction, however, one might still ask for a \mathfrak{p} -integral model Ψ for ϕ with $\operatorname{ord}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\operatorname{Res}(\Psi))$ as small as possible.

Definition. Let $\phi : \mathbb{P}^N \to \mathbb{P}^N$ be a nonconstant morphism defined over $K_{\mathfrak{p}}$. A \mathfrak{p} -integral model $\Psi : \mathbb{A}^{N+1} \to \mathbb{A}^{N+1}$ for ϕ is *minimal* (or \mathfrak{p} -*minimal*) if $\operatorname{ord}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\operatorname{Res}(\Psi))$ is minimal among all \mathfrak{p} -integral models Ψ for ϕ .

We can now state the main theorem of this paper. Given a nonconstant morphism $\phi: \mathbb{P}^N \to \mathbb{P}^N$ defined over K, and a nonzero prime ideal \mathfrak{p} of R, there always exists a minimal \mathfrak{p} -integral model Ψ for ϕ : start with an arbitrary model defined over $K_{\mathfrak{p}}$, scale by a \mathfrak{p} -adic uniformizing parameter to obtain a \mathfrak{p} -integral model Ψ , and among all such Ψ , select one for which $\operatorname{ord}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\operatorname{Res}(\Psi))$ is minimal. A priori these minimal \mathfrak{p} -integral models vary from prime to prime, but it is natural to ask whether one can find a global minimal model; that is, a model defined over R which is simultaneously a minimal \mathfrak{p} -integral model at all prime ideals \mathfrak{p} of R.

Theorem 1.1. Let R be a PID with field of fractions K, and let ϕ : $\mathbb{P}^N \to \mathbb{P}^N$ be a nonconstant morphism defined over K. Then ϕ has a model $\Psi: \mathbb{A}^{N+1} \to \mathbb{A}^{N+1}$, with coefficients in R, and which is \mathfrak{p} -minimal for all nonzero prime ideals \mathfrak{p} of R.

An interesting special case of Theorem 1.1 occurs when the morphism $\phi: \mathbb{P}^N \to \mathbb{P}^N$ is assumed to have *everywhere* good reduction; that is, when ϕ has good reduction at all nonzero prime ideals \mathfrak{p} of R. While this represents an extremal case of Theorem 1.1, it is perhaps not as special as it may appear: since any nonconstant morphism $\phi: \mathbb{P}^N \to \mathbb{P}^N$ defined over K has good reduction at all except a finite set S of nonzero prime ideals \mathfrak{p} of R, replacing R with the larger PID $R_S = \{r \in K \mid \operatorname{ord}_{\mathfrak{p}}(r) \geq 0 \text{ for all } \mathfrak{p} \notin S\}$, we observe that ϕ has everywhere good reduction over R_S .

Corollary 1.1. Let R be a PID with field of fractions K, let $\phi: \mathbb{P}^N \to \mathbb{P}^N$ be a nonconstant morphism defined over K, and assume that ϕ has good reduction at all nonzero prime ideals \mathfrak{p} of R. Then ϕ has a model $\Psi: \mathbb{A}^{N+1} \to \mathbb{A}^{N+1}$, with coefficients in R, such that $\text{Res}(\Psi) \in R^{\times}$.

In the case N=1, Theorem 1.1 was proposed by Silverman ([6] pp. 236-237) and proved by Bruin-Molnar [2]; thus our result generalizes this to arbitrary dimension $N \geq 1$. Our proof is not a straightforward generalization the proof by Bruin-Molnar, however. In [2], it is shown that, in order to produce a global minimal model for a rational map $\phi : \mathbb{P}^1 \to \mathbb{P}^1$, one only needs to consider conjugates $f \circ \phi \circ f^{-1}$ of ϕ by f in the group

Aff₂ of automorphisms leaving ∞ fixed; i.e. automorphisms taking the form $f(x) = \alpha x + \beta$ in an affine coordinate x. We do not know whether, in the higher dimensional case, a generalization of Aff₂ can be used in a similar fashion leading to a proof of Theorem 1.1.

Our proof of Theorem 1.1 relies on the theory of lattices over a PID, and in particular on the action of the adelic general linear group $GL_n(\mathbb{A}_R)$ on the space of all such lattices of rank n. The main technical lemma of this paper is a factorization of the group $GL_n(\mathbb{A}_R)$ as the product of the subgroup $GL_n(K)$ of principal adeles with a certain naturally occurring subgroup $GL_n(\mathbb{A}_R)$ of $GL_n(\mathbb{A}_R)$. When R is a ring of S-integers in a number field K, this follows from a more general result of Borel [1] on the finiteness of the class number of GL_n . Since we have not been able to find the required material worked out over an arbitrary PID, in this paper we give a self-contained treatment.

Theorem 1.1 and Corollary 1.1 may find arithmetic applications in the setting of a global field K (a number field or a function field of an algebraic curve with a finite constant field) and a finite subset S of places of K. After possibly replacing S with a suitable larger finite set of places, it is always possible to obtain the situation in which the ring \mathcal{O}_S of S-integers is a PID. In [8], the second author uses Theorem 1.1 to prove a finiteness theorem for twists of rational maps having prescribed good reduction. Other applications of this idea, in slightly different contexts, can be found in the proof of Shafarevich's Theorem for elliptic curves (see [7] §IX.6), as well as analogues for rational maps due to Szpiro-Tucker [9] and the first author [5].

The first author's research was supported in part by grant DMS-0901147 of the National Science Foundation. The second author is supported by grant DMS-0739346 of the National Science Foundation.

2. Global and local lattices over a PID

Throughout this paper R is a PID with field of fractions K, and R^{\times} denotes the group of units in R. The set of non-zero prime (and thus maximal) ideals of R will be denoted by M_R . Each prime $\mathfrak{p} \in M_R$ defines a discrete valuation ord_{\mathfrak{p}} on K, and it is a standard exercise to check the identities

(2.1)
$$R = \{ a \in K \mid \operatorname{ord}_{\mathfrak{p}}(a) \ge 0 \text{ for all } \mathfrak{p} \in M_R \}$$
$$R^{\times} = \{ a \in K \mid \operatorname{ord}_{\mathfrak{p}}(a) = 0 \text{ for all } \mathfrak{p} \in M_R \}.$$

For each prime $\mathfrak{p} \in M_R$, $|\cdot|_{\mathfrak{p}} = e^{-\operatorname{ord}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\cdot)}$ is a non-archimedean absolute value which defines a topology on K, and we let $K_{\mathfrak{p}}$ denote the completion of K with respect to this topology. The \mathfrak{p} -adic absolute value on K (and,

therefore, the discrete valuation) extend to $K_{\mathfrak{p}}$, and we define

$$R_{\mathfrak{p}} = \{ a \in K_{\mathfrak{p}} \mid \operatorname{ord}_{\mathfrak{p}}(a) \ge 0 \}$$

$$R_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\times} = \{ a \in K_{\mathfrak{p}} \mid \operatorname{ord}_{\mathfrak{p}}(a) = 0 \},$$

the subring of \mathfrak{p} -integral elements of $K_{\mathfrak{p}}$, and its unit group, respectively. If $\pi_{\mathfrak{p}} \in R$ is a uniformizer at \mathfrak{p} (that is, a generator for \mathfrak{p} as an ideal of R), then the ideals $\mathfrak{p}^k = \pi_{\mathfrak{p}}^k R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ form a base for the neighborhoods of zero in $K_{\mathfrak{p}}$. By nature of the strong triangle inequality, it follows that closed balls are also open balls; in particular, $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is both open and closed.

Let $n \geq 1$ be an integer. The affine adelic space \mathbb{A}^n_R over R is the restricted direct product of the affine spaces $K^n_{\mathfrak{p}}$ with respect to the subsets $R^n_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Specifically,

$$\mathbb{A}_{R}^{n} = \Big\{ (a_{\mathfrak{p}}) \in \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \in M_{R}} K_{\mathfrak{p}}^{n} \Big| \ a_{\mathfrak{p}} \in R_{\mathfrak{p}}^{n} \text{ for almost all } \mathfrak{p} \Big\}.$$

Thus an arbitrary element of \mathbb{A}^n_R is a tuple $(a_{\mathfrak{p}})$, indexed by the primes $\mathfrak{p} \in M_R$, where each $a_{\mathfrak{p}} \in K^n_{\mathfrak{p}}$, and where $a_{\mathfrak{p}} \in R^n_{\mathfrak{p}}$ for almost all \mathfrak{p} .

We give $K_{\mathfrak{p}}^n$ the product topology, and the affine adelic space has a topology whose basis consists of sets of the form $\Pi_{\mathfrak{p}}U_{\mathfrak{p}}$, where each $U_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is an open subset of $K_{\mathfrak{p}}^n$ and where $U_{\mathfrak{p}}=R_{\mathfrak{p}}^n$ for almost all \mathfrak{p} . Naturally, K^n is a subset of \mathbb{A}_R^n by identifying each $a \in K^n$ with the principal adele $(a_{\mathfrak{p}})$, where $a_{\mathfrak{p}}=a$ for all \mathfrak{p} .

Proposition 2.1. K^n is dense in \mathbb{A}^n_R .

Proof. Because of the definitions of the topologies on $K_{\mathfrak{p}}$, $K_{\mathfrak{p}}^n$, and \mathbb{A}_R^n , in order to prove the proposition it suffices to prove the following statement: given $(\alpha_{\mathfrak{p}}) \in \mathbb{A}_R^1$ and a collection $\epsilon_{\mathfrak{p}}$ of positive real numbers, indexed by primes $\mathfrak{p} \in M_R$ and with $\epsilon_{\mathfrak{p}} = 1$ for almost all \mathfrak{p} , there exists $\alpha \in K$ such that $|\alpha_{\mathfrak{p}} - \alpha|_{\mathfrak{p}} \leq \epsilon_{\mathfrak{p}}$ for all $\mathfrak{p} \in M_R$.

Case 1: $|\alpha_{\mathfrak{p}}|_{\mathfrak{p}} \leq 1$ for all $\mathfrak{p} \in M_R$. In this case the statement follows from the Chinese remainder theorem, solving a simultaneous system of congruences in R modulo suitably large powers of the finitely many primes $\mathfrak{p} \in M_R$ for which $\epsilon_{\mathfrak{p}} < 1$.

Case 2: $(\alpha_{\mathfrak{p}}) \in \mathbb{A}^1_R$ arbitrary. Let $\gamma \in R$ be a product of uniformizing parameters such that $|\gamma \alpha_{\mathfrak{p}}|_{\mathfrak{p}} \leq 1$ for all $\mathfrak{p} \in M_R$. Case 1 implies that we may find $\beta \in R$ for which $|\gamma \alpha_{\mathfrak{p}} - \beta|_{\mathfrak{p}} \leq |\gamma|_{\mathfrak{p}} \epsilon_{\mathfrak{p}}$ for all $\mathfrak{p} \in M_R$. Letting $\alpha = \frac{\beta}{\gamma}$, we have $|\alpha_{\mathfrak{p}} - \alpha|_{\mathfrak{p}} \leq \epsilon_{\mathfrak{p}}$ for all $\mathfrak{p} \in M_R$.

Proposition 2.2. Let X be an R-submodule of K^n . Then the following three conditions are equivalent:

- (1) X is free and rank(X) = n.
- (2) $aR^n \subseteq X \subseteq bR^n$ for some $a, b \in K^{\times}$.
- (3) $X = AR^n$ for some $A \in GL_n(K)$.

- *Proof.* (1) \Rightarrow (3): If (1) holds, let A be an $n \times n$ matrix over K whose columns form an R-basis for X. Then $X = AR^n$ and A is nonsingular, hence $A \in \mathrm{GL}_n(K)$. (If A were singular, then there would be a non-trivial K-linear dependence among the columns of A; multiplying by the product of the denominators of the coefficients of this linear dependence, we would obtain a linear dependence with coefficients in R, in violation of the assumption that the columns of A form an R-basis for X.)
- $(3) \Rightarrow (2)$: If (3) holds, let $A \in GL_n(K)$ such that $X = AR^n$. Let a_{ij} denote the entries of A and let b be the reciprocal of the product of the denominators of the a_{ij} for $1 \leq i, j \leq n$. Then $b^{-1}X = b^{-1}AR^n \subseteq R^n$ since $b^{-1}A$ has entries in R, and therefore $X \subseteq bR^n$. Let b_{ij} denote the entries of A^{-1} and let a be the product of the denominators of the b_{ij} for $1 \leq i, j \leq n$. Then $aR^n \subseteq aA^{-1}X \subseteq X$ since aA^{-1} has entries in R and X is an R-module.
- $(2) \Rightarrow (1)$: Since $x \mapsto ax$ is an isomorphism $R^n \to aR^n$, we see that aR^n is a free R-module of rank n; the same is true of bR^n . Since R is a PID, it follows from Theorem 7.1 of [4] that any R-submodule of bR^n is also free of rank less than or equal to n. Since $X \subseteq bR^n$, X is free and rank $(X) \le \operatorname{rank}(bR^n)$. The inequality $\operatorname{rank}(aR^n) \le \operatorname{rank}(X)$ now follows from the same theorem, as X has been shown to be free. Because aR^n and bR^n are both of rank n, it follows that X has rank n.

Definition. An R-lattice in K^n is a free R-submodule of K^n of rank n.

For each $\mathfrak{p} \in M_R$, the local ring $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is itself a PID, and thus Proposition 2.2 applies to $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -submodules of $K_{\mathfrak{p}}^n$. In particular, an $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -lattice in $K_{\mathfrak{p}}^n$ is a free $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -submodule of $K_{\mathfrak{p}}^n$ of rank n.

If X is an R-lattice in K^n and $\mathfrak{p} \in M_R$ is a nonzero prime ideal of R, there is a natural way to associate to X an $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -lattice $X_{\mathfrak{p}}$ in $K^n_{\mathfrak{p}}$. By Proposition 2.2, we may find some $A \in \mathrm{GL}_n(K)$ such that $X = AR^n$, and we define $X_{\mathfrak{p}} = AR^n_{\mathfrak{p}}$. This definition does not depend on the choice of matrix A. For if $X = BR^n$, then $A^{-1}B$ is an isomorphism $R^n \to R^n$, and therefore $A^{-1}B \in \mathrm{GL}_n(R) \subseteq \mathrm{GL}_n(R_{\mathfrak{p}})$. Then $A^{-1}BR^n_{\mathfrak{p}} = R^n_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and therefore $BR^n_{\mathfrak{p}} = AR^n_{\mathfrak{p}}$. The definition of $X_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is equivalent to the $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -module $X \otimes_R R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ obtained by extension of scalars.

Lemma 2.1. Let X be an R-lattice in K^n . Then for every $\mathfrak{p} \in M_R$, $X_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is an $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -lattice in $K_{\mathfrak{p}}^n$, and for almost every $\mathfrak{p} \in M_R$, $X_{\mathfrak{p}} = R_{\mathfrak{p}}^n$.

Proof. Let $X=AR^n$ for $A \in GL_n(K)$. For any $\mathfrak{p} \in M_R$, we have that $X_{\mathfrak{p}} = AR_{\mathfrak{p}}^n$ and therefore $X_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is an $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -lattice in $K_{\mathfrak{p}}^n$ by Proposition 2.2. Furthermore, $X_{\mathfrak{p}} = R_{\mathfrak{p}}^n$ for all $\mathfrak{p} \in M_R$ except for the finitely many \mathfrak{p} for which $A \notin GL_n(R_{\mathfrak{p}})$. These primes correspond to the irreducible elements which occur in the denominators of the entries of A or in the numerator of the determinant of A.

Lemma 2.2. Conversely, suppose that $(X_{\mathfrak{p}})$ is a collection of $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -lattices in $K_{\mathfrak{p}}^n$ for each $\mathfrak{p} \in M_R$, such that $X_{\mathfrak{p}} = R_{\mathfrak{p}}^n$ for almost every \mathfrak{p} . Then

$$X' = \{ x \in K^n | x \in X_{\mathfrak{p}} \text{ for all } \mathfrak{p} \}$$

is an R-lattice in K^n , and $X'_{\mathfrak{p}} = X_{\mathfrak{p}}$ for each prime $\mathfrak{p} \in M_R$.

Proof. X' is plainly an R-submodule of K^n because $R \subseteq R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ for all $\mathfrak{p} \in M_R$ and each $X_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is an $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -submodule of $K^n_{\mathfrak{p}}$. By Proposition 2.2, to show that X' is free of rank n it is sufficient to show that $aR^n \subseteq X' \subseteq bR^n$ for some $a, b \in K^{\times}$. As each $X_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is an $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -lattice in $K^n_{\mathfrak{p}}$, we know by Proposition 2.2 that a similar chain of inclusions $a_{\mathfrak{p}}R^n_{\mathfrak{p}} \subseteq X_{\mathfrak{p}} \subseteq b_{\mathfrak{p}}R^n_{\mathfrak{p}}$ holds for each each prime \mathfrak{p} where $a_{\mathfrak{p}}, b_{\mathfrak{p}} \in K^{\times}_{\mathfrak{p}}$. By the assumption $X_{\mathfrak{p}} = R^n_{\mathfrak{p}}$ for almost every \mathfrak{p} , we may assume that $a_{\mathfrak{p}} = b_{\mathfrak{p}} = 1$ for almost every \mathfrak{p} . Because R is a PID we may assume that both $a_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and $b_{\mathfrak{p}}$ are powers of \mathfrak{p} -adic uniformizing parameters in R (recall that a uniformizing parameter for a prime \mathfrak{p} is a unit in $R_{\mathfrak{q}}$ for all primes $\mathfrak{q} \neq \mathfrak{p}$). Let $a = \Pi_{\mathfrak{p}}a_{\mathfrak{p}}, b = \Pi_{\mathfrak{p}}b_{\mathfrak{p}} \in K^{\times}$ and it follows that $aR^n_{\mathfrak{p}} \subseteq X_{\mathfrak{p}} \subseteq bR^n_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Using (2.1) we have that $aR^n = \{x \in K^n | x \in aR^n_{\mathfrak{p}} \text{ for all } \mathfrak{p}\}$ and that $bR^n = \{x \in K^n | x \in bR^n_{\mathfrak{p}} \text{ for all } \mathfrak{p}\}$. Therefore $aR^n \subseteq X' \subseteq bR^n$ and we conclude X' to be an R-lattice.

Lastly, we show that $X'_{\mathfrak{p}} = X_{\mathfrak{p}}$ for all $\mathfrak{p} \in M_R$. The inclusion $X'_{\mathfrak{p}} \subseteq X_{\mathfrak{p}}$ follows immediately from the definitions: Proposition 2.2 provides an element $A \in \mathrm{GL}_n(K)$ such that $X' = AR^n$, and $X'_{\mathfrak{p}} = AR^n_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Since $X' \subseteq X_{\mathfrak{p}}$, the column vectors of A are in $X_{\mathfrak{p}}$, whereby $X'_{\mathfrak{p}} = AR^n_{\mathfrak{p}} \subseteq X_{\mathfrak{p}}$.

To show equality $X'_{\mathfrak{p}} = X_{\mathfrak{p}}$ for all $\mathfrak{p} \in M_R$, suppose there exists some $\mathfrak{p}_0 \in M_R$ with proper inclusion $X'_{\mathfrak{p}_0} \subsetneq X_{\mathfrak{p}_0}$; we will derive a contradiction.

Define subsets of \mathbb{A}_R^n by $Y' = \Pi_{\mathfrak{p}} X'_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and $Y = \Pi_{\mathfrak{p}} X_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Since we have already shown that $X'_{\mathfrak{p}} \subseteq X_{\mathfrak{p}}$ for all $\mathfrak{p} \in M_R$, and since we have assumed that $X'_{\mathfrak{p}_0} \subsetneq X_{\mathfrak{p}_0}$ for some $\mathfrak{p}_0 \in M_R$, it follows that $Y' \subsetneq Y$. Since an arbitrary $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -lattice is both open and closed in $K^n_{\mathfrak{p}}$, it follows from the definition of the restricted direct product topology that Y and Y' are both open and closed in \mathbb{A}_R^n , and therefore that $Y \setminus Y'$ is a nonempty open subset of \mathbb{A}_R^n . Since K^n is a dense subset of \mathbb{A}_R^n , there exists $x \in K^n$ whose principal adele (x) is an element of $Y \setminus Y'$. Since $(x) \in Y = \Pi_{\mathfrak{p}} X_{\mathfrak{p}}$, we have $x \in X_{\mathfrak{p}}$ for all \mathfrak{p} and hence by definition, $x \in X'$. It follows that $x \in X'_{\mathfrak{p}}$ for all \mathfrak{p} and consequently $(x) \in \Pi_{\mathfrak{p}} X'_{\mathfrak{p}} = Y'$. This contradiction implies that $X'_{\mathfrak{p}} = X_{\mathfrak{p}}$ for all $\mathfrak{p} \in M_R$.

3. The adelic general linear group over a PID

The adelic general linear group $GL_n(\mathbb{A}_R)$ associated to R is the restricted direct product of the groups $GL_n(K_{\mathfrak{p}})$ with respect to the subgroups

 $\mathrm{GL}_n(R_{\mathfrak{p}})$. More specifically,

$$\operatorname{GL}_n(\mathbb{A}_R) = \Big\{ (A_{\mathfrak{p}}) \in \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \in M_R} \operatorname{GL}_n(K_{\mathfrak{p}}) \Big| A_{\mathfrak{p}} \in \operatorname{GL}_n(R_{\mathfrak{p}}) \text{ for almost all } \mathfrak{p} \Big\}.$$

The main result of this section shows that the group $GL_n(\mathbb{A}_R)$ factors into a product of two natural subgroups. First, $GL_n(K)$ embeds into $GL_n(\mathbb{A}_R)$ by the identification of each $A \in GL_n(K)$ with the its principal adele $(A_{\mathfrak{p}})$, defined by $A_{\mathfrak{p}} = A$ for all $\mathfrak{p} \in M_R$. The second subgroup of $GL_n(\mathbb{A}_R)$ is

$$\operatorname{GL}_n^0(\mathbb{A}_R) = \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \in M_R} \operatorname{GL}_n(R_{\mathfrak{p}}),$$

the direct product of the $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -integral subgroups $\mathrm{GL}_n(R_{\mathfrak{p}})$, over all primes $\mathfrak{p} \in M_R$.

Proposition 3.1. $GL_n(\mathbb{A}_R) = GL_n^0(\mathbb{A}_R)GL_n(K)$.

The following lemma contains most of work toward the proof of Proposition 3.1.

Lemma 3.1. Let \mathcal{X}_R denote the set of R-lattices in K^n . There exists a transitive group action

$$\operatorname{GL}_n(\mathbb{A}_R) \times \mathcal{X}_R \to \mathcal{X}_R$$

 $(A, X) \mapsto A \cdot X,$

where $A \cdot X$ is defined to be the R-lattice

$$A \cdot X = \{ x \in K^n | x \in A_{\mathfrak{p}} X_{\mathfrak{p}} \text{ for all } \mathfrak{p} \}.$$

Moreover, the stabilizer in $GL_n(\mathbb{A}_R)$ of the trivial lattice \mathbb{R}^n is $GL_n^0(\mathbb{A}_R)$.

Proof. Let $A, B \in GL_n(\mathbb{A}_R)$ and $X \in \mathcal{X}_R$. Lemma 2.1 and the definition of $GL_n(\mathbb{A}_R)$ as a restricted direct product imply that $A_{\mathfrak{p}}X_{\mathfrak{p}} = R^n_{\mathfrak{p}}$ for almost every $\mathfrak{p} \in M_R$. The fact that $A \cdot X$ is an R-lattice in K^n then follows from Lemma 2.2.

Let $I = (I_{\mathfrak{p}})$ denote the identity adele: $I_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is the identity matrix in $\mathrm{GL}_n(K_{\mathfrak{p}})$ for each $\mathfrak{p} \in M_R$. We show that $I \cdot X = X$, or equivalently, that

$$\{x \in K^n | x \in X_{\mathfrak{p}} \text{ for all } \mathfrak{p}\} = X.$$

First, if $X = \mathbb{R}^n$ then the desired identity

$$\{x \in K^n | x \in R_{\mathfrak{p}}^n \text{ for all } \mathfrak{p}\} = R^n$$

follows immediately from (2.1), and thus $I \cdot R^n = R^n$. Now let X be arbitrary. By Proposition 2.2, $X = AR^n$ for some $A \in GL_n(K)$, and by

definition $X_{\mathfrak{p}} = AR_{\mathfrak{p}}^n$. It follows that

$$I \cdot X = \{ x \in K^n | x \in X_{\mathfrak{p}} = AR_{\mathfrak{p}}^n \text{ for all } \mathfrak{p} \}$$
$$= \{ Ax | x \in K^n, x \in R_{\mathfrak{p}}^n \text{ for all } \mathfrak{p} \}$$
$$= AR^n = X.$$

The equality $A \cdot (B \cdot X) = (AB) \cdot X$ follows from the identity $(B \cdot X)_{\mathfrak{p}} = B_{\mathfrak{p}} X_{\mathfrak{p}}$, which itself is a trivial consequence of Lemma 2.2. Specifically,

$$A \cdot (B \cdot X) = \{x \in K^n | x \in A_{\mathfrak{p}}(B \cdot X)_{\mathfrak{p}} \text{ for all } \mathfrak{p}\}$$

$$= \{x \in K^n | x \in A_{\mathfrak{p}}(B_{\mathfrak{p}}X_{\mathfrak{p}}) \text{ for all } \mathfrak{p}\}$$

$$= \{x \in K^n | x \in (AB)_{\mathfrak{p}}X_{\mathfrak{p}} \text{ for all } \mathfrak{p}\}$$

$$= (AB) \cdot X.$$

The transitivity of the action follows from Proposition 2.2: for any lattice X there is $A \in GL_n(K)$ such that $X = AR^n$ and considering A as a principal adele it then follows that $X = A \cdot R^n$. Therefore every R-lattice in K^n is in the $GL_n(\mathbb{A}_R)$ -orbit of the trivial lattice.

Finally, we must show that the stabilizer in $GL_n(\mathbb{A}_R)$ of the trivial lattice R^n is $GL_n^0(\mathbb{A}_R)$. If $A = (A_{\mathfrak{p}}) \in GL_n^0(\mathbb{A}_R)$, then $A_{\mathfrak{p}} \in GL_n(R_{\mathfrak{p}})$ for all $\mathfrak{p} \in M_R$, which implies that $A_{\mathfrak{p}}R_{\mathfrak{p}}^n = R_{\mathfrak{p}}^n$. We conclude using (2.1) that

$$A \cdot R^n = \{ x \in K^n | x \in A_{\mathfrak{p}} R_{\mathfrak{p}}^n \text{ for all } \mathfrak{p} \}$$
$$= \{ x \in K^n | x \in R_{\mathfrak{p}}^n \text{ for all } \mathfrak{p} \}$$
$$= R^n.$$

Conversely, suppose $A = (A_{\mathfrak{p}}) \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{A}_R)$ such that $A \cdot R^n = R^n$, which, by definition means that

(3.1)
$$\{x \in K^n | x \in A_{\mathfrak{p}} R_{\mathfrak{p}}^n \text{ for all } \mathfrak{p}\} = R^n.$$

Let X and Y denote the left-hand side and right-hand side of (3.1), respectively, and fix $\mathfrak{p} \in M_R$. Then trivially $Y_{\mathfrak{p}} = R_{\mathfrak{p}}^n$, and Lemma 2.2 shows that $X_{\mathfrak{p}} = A_{\mathfrak{p}}R_{\mathfrak{p}}^n$. We conclude that $A_{\mathfrak{p}}R_{\mathfrak{p}}^n = R_{\mathfrak{p}}^n$, and this implies that $A \in \mathrm{GL}_n(R_{\mathfrak{p}})$. Hence $A_{\mathfrak{p}} \in \mathrm{GL}_n(R_{\mathfrak{p}})$ for every prime \mathfrak{p} , and so by definition $A \in \mathrm{GL}_n^0(\mathbb{A}_R)$.

Proof of Proposition 3.1. Let $A \in GL_n(\mathbb{A}_R)$ be an arbitrary adele. Let $X = A^{-1} \cdot R^n$ be the lattice obtained by letting A^{-1} act on the trivial lattice. By Proposition 2.2, $X = BR^n$ for $B \in GL_n(K)$. Both A^{-1} and B take R^n bijectively onto X, so AB fixes R^n and therefore lies in the stabilizer $GL_n^0(\mathbb{A}_R)$, say AB = C for $C \in GL_n^0(\mathbb{A}_R)$. Therefore $A = CB^{-1} \in GL_n^0(\mathbb{A}_R)GL_n(K)$.

4. The existence of global minimal models

In this section we prove the main results of the paper, Theorem 1.1 and Corollary 1.1. First, however, we give a proposition summarizing the relevant properties of the resultant associated to a homogeneous map Φ : $\mathbb{A}^{N+1} \to \mathbb{A}^{N+1}$.

Proposition 4.1. Let $\Phi: \mathbb{A}^{N+1} \to \mathbb{A}^{N+1}$ be a map defined over a field K by an (N+1)-tuple $\Phi = (\Phi_0, \dots, \Phi_N)$ of forms of some common degree $d \geq 1$ in the variables x_0, x_1, \dots, x_N , and let $\operatorname{Res}(\Phi)$ denote the resultant of Φ .

- (1) Res(Φ) = 0 if and only if Φ (\mathbf{a}) = $\mathbf{0}$ for some $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{A}^{N+1}(\bar{K}) \setminus \mathbf{0}$.
- (2) If $A \in \operatorname{GL}_{N+1}(K)$ is a linear automorphism of \mathbb{A}^{N+1} defined over K, then $\operatorname{Res}(A \circ \Phi \circ A^{-1}) = \det(A)^{C(N,d)}\operatorname{Res}(\Phi)$ for some integer C(N,d) depending only on N and d.

Proof. Part (1) is standard, see [10], $\S 82$. Part (2) follows from [3], Cor. 5.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let $\Phi: \mathbb{A}^{N+1} \to \mathbb{A}^{N+1}$ be an arbitrary homogeneous lift for ϕ . For each $\mathfrak{p} \in M_R$, let $\Phi_{\mathfrak{p}}: \mathbb{A}^{N+1} \to \mathbb{A}^{N+1}$ be a minimal \mathfrak{p} -integral model for ϕ ; thus $\Phi_{\mathfrak{p}} = A_{\mathfrak{p}} \circ \Phi \circ A_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-1}$ for some $A_{\mathfrak{p}} \in \mathrm{GL}_{N+1}(K)$. If S denotes the finite set of $\mathfrak{p} \in M_R$ for which some coefficient of Φ is not $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -integral, or for which $\mathrm{Res}(\Phi)$ is not an $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -unit, then we may take $\Phi_{\mathfrak{p}} = \Phi$ and $A_{\mathfrak{p}} = I$ for all $\mathfrak{p} \notin S$.

By Proposition 3.1, there exists $A \in \operatorname{GL}_{N+1}(K)$ such that $A_{\mathfrak{p}}A^{-1} \in \operatorname{GL}_{N+1}(R_{\mathfrak{p}})$ for each $\mathfrak{p} \in M_R$. Consider the model $\Psi : \mathbb{A}^{N+1} \to \mathbb{A}^{N+1}$ for ϕ defined by $\Psi = A \circ \Phi \circ A^{-1}$. For each $\mathfrak{p} \in M_R$, we have

(4.1)
$$\Psi = (AA_{\mathfrak{n}}^{-1}) \circ \Phi_{\mathfrak{p}} \circ (AA_{\mathfrak{n}}^{-1})^{-1}.$$

Since $AA_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-1} = (A_{\mathfrak{p}}A^{-1})^{-1} \in \mathrm{GL}_{N+1}(R_{\mathfrak{p}})$ and $\Phi_{\mathfrak{p}}$ has coefficients in $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$, it follows from (4.1) that Ψ has coefficients in $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ as well; since this holds for arbitrary $\mathfrak{p} \in M_R$, it follows from (2.1) that Ψ has coefficients in R. Finally, since $\mathrm{ord}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\det(AA_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-1})) = 0$, it follows from (4.1) and Proposition 4.1 that

$$\operatorname{ord}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\operatorname{Res}(\Psi)) = \operatorname{ord}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\operatorname{Res}(\Phi_{\mathfrak{p}})),$$

and so Ψ is \mathfrak{p} -minimal for each $\mathfrak{p} \in M_R$.

Proof of Corollary 1.1. Since ϕ has everywhere good reduction, the model Ψ constructed in Theorem 1.1 satisfies $\operatorname{ord}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\operatorname{Res}(\Psi)) = 0$ for all nonzero prime ideals \mathfrak{p} of R, and therefore (2.1) implies that $\operatorname{Res}(\Psi) \in R^{\times}$.

References

- [1] A. BOREL, Some finiteness properties of adele groups over number fields, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math., 16, (1963), 5–30.
- [2] N. Bruin et A. Molnar, Minimal models for rational functions in a dynamical setting, LMS J. Comp. Math., 15, (2012), 400-417.
- [3] C. C. CHENG, J. H. McKAY ET S. S. WANG, A chain rule for multivariable resultants, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 123, (1995), 4, 1037–1047.
- [4] S. Lang, Algebra, third ed, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 211, Springer-Verlag, New York, (2002).
- [5] C. Petsche, Critically separable rational maps in families, Comp. Math., 148, (2012), 6, 1880–1896.
- [6] J. H. SILVERMAN, *The arithmetic of dynamical systems*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics **241**, Springer-Verlag, New York, (2007).
- [7] J. H. SILVERMAN, The arithmetic of elliptic curves, second ed, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 106, Springer, Dordrecht, (2009).
- [8] B. Stout, A dynamical Shafarevich theorem for twists of rational morphisms, Preprint (2013), http://arxiv.org/abs/1308.4992
- [9] L. SZPIRO ET T. J. TUCKER, A Shafarevich-Faltings theorem for rational functions, Pure Appl. Math. Q. 4, (2008), 3, 715–728.
- [10] B. VAN DER WAERDEN, Modern Algebra Vol. II, Frederick Ungar Publishing Co., New York, (1949).

Clayton PETSCHE
Department of Mathematics
Oregon State University
Corvallis OR 97331 U.S.A.
E-mail: petschec@math.oregonstate.edu

Brian Stout Ph.D. Program in Mathematics CUNY Graduate Center 365 Fifth Avenue New York, NY 10016-4309 U.S.A. E-mail: bstout@gc.cuny.edu