

# JOURNAL

de Théorie des Nombres  
de BORDEAUX

*anciennement Séminaire de Théorie des Nombres de Bordeaux*

Tetsuya TANIGUCHI

**Prime factors of class number of cyclotomic fields**

Tome 20, n° 2 (2008), p. 525-530.

[http://jtnb.cedram.org/item?id=JTNB\\_2008\\_\\_20\\_2\\_525\\_0](http://jtnb.cedram.org/item?id=JTNB_2008__20_2_525_0)

© Université Bordeaux 1, 2008, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux articles de la revue « Journal de Théorie des Nombres de Bordeaux » (<http://jtnb.cedram.org/>), implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://jtnb.cedram.org/legal/>). Toute reproduction en tout ou partie cet article sous quelque forme que ce soit pour tout usage autre que l'utilisation à fin strictement personnelle du copiste est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

cedram

*Article mis en ligne dans le cadre du*  
*Centre de diffusion des revues académiques de mathématiques*  
<http://www.cedram.org/>

## Prime factors of class number of cyclotomic fields

par TETSUYA TANIGUCHI

RÉSUMÉ. Soit  $p$  un nombre premier impair,  $r$  une racine primitive modulo  $p$  et  $r_i \equiv r^i \pmod{p}$  avec  $1 \leq r_i \leq p-1$ . En 2007, R. Queme a posé la question : le  $\ell$ -rang ( $\ell$  premier impair  $\neq p$ ) du groupe des classes d'idéaux du  $p$ -ième corps cyclotomique est-il égal au degré du plus grand diviseur commun sur le corps fini  $\mathbb{F}_\ell$  de  $x^{(p-1)/2} + 1$  et du polynôme de Kummer  $f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{p-2} r_{-i} x^i$ . Dans cet article, nous donnons une réponse complète à cette question en produisant un contre-exemple.

ABSTRACT. Let  $p$  be an odd prime,  $r$  be a primitive root modulo  $p$  and  $r_i \equiv r^i \pmod{p}$  with  $1 \leq r_i \leq p-1$ . In 2007, R. Queme raised the question whether the  $\ell$ -rank ( $\ell$  an odd prime  $\neq p$ ) of the ideal class group of the  $p$ -th cyclotomic field is equal to the degree of the greatest common divisor over the finite field  $\mathbb{F}_\ell$  of  $x^{(p-1)/2} + 1$  and Kummer's polynomial  $f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{p-2} r_{-i} x^i$ . In this paper, we shall give the complete answer for this question enumerating a counter-example.

### 1. Introduction

Let  $\zeta_n = e^{2\pi i/n}$  ( $n \geq 2$ ) be a primitive  $n$ -th root of unity and  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)$  be the cyclotomic field defined by  $\zeta_n$ . Also, let  $h_n$  be the ideal class number of  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)$ . It is well-known that  $h_n$  can be written as  $h_n = h_n^- h_n^+$ , where  $h_n^-$  and  $h_n^+$  are the so-called relative and real class numbers of  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)$ , respectively.

We put that  $p$  is an odd prime,  $N = \frac{p-1}{2}$ ,  $r$  is a primitive root modulo  $p$  and  $r_i$  is the least positive residue of  $r^i$  ( $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ ) modulo  $p$ , i.e.,  $r_i \equiv r^i \pmod{p}$  with  $1 \leq r_i \leq p-1$ .

In 1850, Kummer [3] established the following formula for  $h_p^-$ :

$$h_p^- = \frac{1}{(2p)^{N-1}} \left| \prod_{j=1}^N F(\zeta_{p-1}^{2j-1}) \right|,$$

where

$$F(x) = r_0 + r_{-1}x + r_{-2}x^2 + \cdots + r_{-(p-2)}x^{p-2}.$$

This formula was slightly modified by Lehmer [4] and it was shown that

$$(1.1) \quad h_p^- = \frac{1}{(2p)^{N-1}} \left| \prod_{j=1}^N G(\zeta_{p-1}^{2j-1}) \right|,$$

where  $G$  is the “monic” polynomial defined by

$$(1.2) \quad G(x) = x^{p-2} F\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) = r_{-(p-2)} + r_{-(p-3)}x + \cdots + r_{-1}x^{p-3} + r_0x^{p-2}, \quad r_0 = 1.$$

In his papers , Queme investigated the structure of class group of  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)$  and raised the following question.

**Question** (Queme, 2007): Let  $p$  be an odd prime and  $\ell$  be an odd prime with  $\ell \neq p$ . Is the degree of the greatest common divisor over  $\mathbb{F}_\ell$  (the finite field with  $\ell$  elements) of  $F(x)$  and  $x^N + 1$  equal to the  $\ell$ -rank of the  $\ell$ -Sylow subgroup of relative class group  $Cl_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)}^-$  of  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)$ ?

In the present paper, we shall give an answer to the above Question. This will be done by inspecting the  $\ell$ -part of the class group of  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)$  with an argument about resultants of certain polynomials and by producing concretely counter-evidence using computer.

### 2. Some preliminaries on resultants

In this section, we deal with some basic properties of resultants as preliminaries, which will be needed later in order to discuss a prime-divisibility of  $h_p^-$  in the next section.

Let  $L$  be any field. For  $f \in L[x]$ , we write  $\partial f$  for the degree of  $f$  and  $c(f)$  for the leading coefficient of  $f$ . Given two non-constant polynomials  $f$  and  $g$  in  $L[x]$ , we denote by  $R_L(f, g)$  the resultant of  $f$  and  $g$ , that is, using roots  $\alpha_i$  and  $\beta_j$  in  $\bar{L}$  (an algebraic closure of  $L$ ) of  $f$  and  $g$ , respectively,

$$R_L(f, g) = c(f)^{\partial g} c(g)^{\partial f} \prod_{i=1}^{\partial f} \prod_{j=1}^{\partial g} (\alpha_i - \beta_j).$$

**Lemma 2.1** (cf. [5]). *Let  $L$  be any field and  $f, f_1, f_2, g, g_1, g_2$  be non-constant polynomials in  $L[x]$ . Then we have*

- (i)  $R_L(f_1 f_2, g) = R_L(f_1, g) R_L(f_2, g)$ ,
- (ii)  $R_L(f, g_1 g_2) = R_L(f, g_1) R_L(f, g_2)$ ,
- (iii) if  $f = sg + t$  ( $s, t \in L[x]$ ,  $\partial t < \partial g$ ), then

$$R_L(f, g) = \begin{cases} c(g)^{\partial f - \partial t} R_L(t, g) & \text{if } \partial t > 0, \\ c(g)^{\partial f} t^{\partial g} & \text{if } \partial t = 0. \end{cases}$$

Let  $\Phi_n$  ( $n \geq 1$ ) be the  $n$ -th cyclotomic polynomial, i.e.,

$$\Phi_n(x) = \prod_{\substack{k=1 \\ (k,n)=1}}^{n-1} (x - \zeta_n^k).$$

Concerning the resultant of cyclotomic polynomials, we can state

**Lemma 2.2** (cf. [1]). *Let  $\varphi$  be the Euler totient function. Then we get*

(i) *if  $n > 1$ , then*

$$R_{\mathbb{Q}}(\Phi_1, \Phi_n) = \begin{cases} u & \text{if } n = u^a \ (\exists u \text{ a prime, } a \geq 1), \\ 1 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

(ii) *if  $n > m > 1$  and  $\gcd(m, n) = 1$ , then  $R_{\mathbb{Q}}(\Phi_m, \Phi_n) = 1$ ,*

(iii) *if  $n > m > 1$  and  $\gcd(m, n) > 1$ , then*

$$R_{\mathbb{Q}}(\Phi_m, \Phi_n) = \begin{cases} u^{\varphi(m)} & \text{if } m \mid n \text{ and } \frac{n}{m} = u^a \ (\exists u \text{ a prime, } a \geq 1), \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

**Lemma 2.3.** *Define two polynomials  $S$  and  $T$  in  $\mathbb{Q}[x]$  by*

$$(2.1) \quad S(x) = \frac{\prod_{d \mid N} \Phi_d(x)}{\Phi_1(x)} = \frac{x^N - 1}{x - 1}, \quad T(x) = \frac{\prod_{d \mid p-1} \Phi_d(x)}{\prod_{d \mid N} \Phi_d(x)} = x^N + 1.$$

*Then it follows that  $R_{\mathbb{Q}}(S, T) = 2^{N-1}$ .*

*Proof.* Using Lemma 2.1, we know

$$R_{\mathbb{Q}}(S, T) = R_{\mathbb{Q}}\left(\prod'_m \Phi_m, \prod''_n \Phi_n\right) = \prod'_m \prod''_n R_{\mathbb{Q}}(\Phi_m, \Phi_n),$$

where the products  $\prod'_m$  and  $\prod''_n$  are taken over all positive  $m$  and  $n$  such that  $m \mid N$  for  $m \geq 2$  and  $n \mid p-1$  with  $n \nmid N$ , respectively. Hence, for each fixed  $m$  there exists only one single  $n = 2^e m$  such that  $R_{\mathbb{Q}}(\Phi_m, \Phi_n) \neq 1$ , where  $e = \text{ord}_2(p-1) - \text{ord}_2 m$ . Here note that if  $\gcd(m, n) = 1$ , then  $R_{\mathbb{Q}}(\Phi_m, \Phi_n) = 1$ . Also, it is clear that if  $m \mid n$  and  $\frac{n}{m} = u^a$  ( $\exists u$  a prime,  $a \geq 1$ ), then  $u = 2$ .

Using Lemma 2.2 and Möbius' inversion formula, we can deduce

$$R_{\mathbb{Q}}(S, T) = \prod'_m \prod''_n 2^{\varphi(m)} = \prod'_m 2^{\varphi(m)} = 2^{\sum'_m \varphi(m)} = 2^{N-1},$$

where the sum  $\sum'_m$  runs over all  $m \geq 2$  such that  $m \mid N$ . □

### 3. Divisibility properties of $h_p^-$

In this section, we will discuss the  $\ell$ -divisibility properties ( $\ell$  a prime,  $\ell \neq p$ ), and as a consequence, we are able to answer to the Question introduced in Section 1.

Let  $S, T$  be the polynomials as in (2.1) and put  $G_0 = G/S$  for the polynomial  $G$  in (1.2). Here we comment that  $G_0$  is a polynomial with integer coefficients. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} &(x - 1)G_0 \\ &\equiv r_{-(p-2)} + r_{-(p-2)+(p-1)/2-1} - r_0 - r_{-(p-2)+(p-1)/2} \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^{(p-3)/2} \left( r_{-(p-2)+i-1} - r_{-(p-2)+i} + r_{-(p-3)/2+i-1} - r_{-(p-3)/2+i} \right) x^i \\ &\equiv 0 \pmod{(x - 1)S}, \end{aligned}$$

hence  $G_0 = G/S \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ . We shall state

**Proposition 3.1.** *We get*

- (i)  $(2p)^{N-1}h_p^- = |\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{Q}}(G, T)|$ ,
- (ii)  $p^{N-1}h_p^- = |\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{Q}}(G_0, T)|$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{C}, i = 1, \dots, p-2$ , be the roots of  $G$ . From (1.1), we obtain

$$h_p^- = \frac{1}{(2p)^{N-1}} \left| \prod_{j=1}^N \prod_{i=1}^{p-2} (\alpha_i - \zeta_{p-1}^{2j-1}) \right| = \frac{1}{(2p)^{N-1}} |\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{Q}}(G, T)|,$$

as desired in (i). For (ii), we deduce from Lemmas 2.1 and 2.1 that, since  $G = SG_0$ ,

$$(2p)^{N-1}h_p^- = |\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{Q}}(G, T)| = |\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{Q}}(S, T) \mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{Q}}(G_0, T)| = 2^{N-1} |\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{Q}}(G_0, T)|.$$

This gives at once  $p^{N-1}h_p^- = |\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{Q}}(G_0, T)|$  and we are done. □

Here, we should remark that above (i) has been mentioned in Lehmer [4].

**Proposition 3.2.** *For a prime  $\ell$  with  $\ell \neq p$ , we have*

$$(3.1) \quad \ell \mid h_p^- \Leftrightarrow \partial \operatorname{gcd}(G_0, T) \geq 1 \text{ in } \mathbb{F}_{\ell}.$$

*Proof.* From Proposition 3.1, we can see that

$$\ell \mid h_p^- \Leftrightarrow \ell \mid \mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{Q}}(G_0, T) \Leftrightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{F}_{\ell}}(G_0, T) = 0,$$

which yields the proposition. □

We now quote the following one from [8, Lemma 16.15] for stating our main Proposition 3.4 given below.

**Proposition 3.3.** *Let  $\ell$  be a prime and let  $L/K$  be an extension of number fields of degree prime to  $\ell$ . Let  $A_L$  and  $A_K$  be the  $\ell$ -parts of the class groups of  $L$  and  $K$ . Then, the natural map  $A_K \rightarrow A_L$  is injective and*

$$A_L \simeq A_K \oplus (A_L/A_K).$$

The next proposition answers to the Question by Queme stated in Section 1.

**Proposition 3.4.** *There exists a pair  $(p, \ell)$  of distinct primes  $p$  and  $\ell$  such that*

$$\text{rank}_\ell Cl_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)}^- > \partial \text{gcd}(G, T) \text{ in } \mathbb{F}_\ell,$$

where  $\text{rank}_\ell Cl_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)}^-$  means the  $\ell$ -rank of the  $\ell$ -Sylow subgroup of  $Cl_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)}^-$ .

*Proof.* We will produce counter-evidence for the Question by finding a concrete pair  $(p, \ell)$  of primes  $p$  and  $\ell$  with  $p \neq \ell$ . In fact, choosing particularly  $(p, \ell) = (3299, 3)$ , we know that

$$(3.2) \quad Cl_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-p})} \simeq \mathbb{Z}/\ell^2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}.$$

The degree of  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)$  over  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-p})$  is equal to  $N = 1649 = 17 \times 97$ , which is prime to  $\ell$ . From Proposition 3.3, we obtain  $A_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)} \supseteq \mathbb{Z}/\ell^2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}$ , and hence  $\text{rank}_\ell Cl_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)} \geq 2$ . Further, since the class-group of  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-p})$  is in the minus eigenspace with respect to complex conjugation acting on ideal classes and so is its isomorphic image in the class group of  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)$ , we may conclude that  $\text{rank}_\ell Cl_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)}^- \geq 2$ .

On the one hand, we can know that, for the above pair  $(p, \ell)$ ,

$$(3.3) \quad \text{gcd}(G_0, T) = x + 1 \text{ over } \mathbb{F}_\ell,$$

and hereby  $\text{gcd}(G, T) = x + 1$  over  $\mathbb{F}_\ell$ . This implies the assertion immediately. □

*Addendum.* We verified the facts (3.2) and (3.3) by computer with aid of the softwares “GP/PARI CALCULATOR Version 2.3.3 (released)” and “Mathematica 6.0.1.0 for Linux x86 (32bit)”, respectively. For details, consult with our on-line resources [7]. It should be noted that by several authors (see e.g. [2, 6]) the isomorphism (3.2) has been also confirmed by computer for the same pair  $(p, \ell)$  as indicated above.

**Acknowledgments.** The author thanks professor T.Agoh for his generous help.

### References

[1] Tom M. Apostol. Resultants of cyclotomic polynomials. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 24:457–462, 1970.  
 [2] H. Kisilevsky. Olga Taussky-Todd’s work in class field theory. *Pacific J. Math.*, (Special Issue):219–224, 1997.

- [3] Eduard Ernst Kummer. Bestimmung der Anzahl nicht äquivalenter Classen für die aus  $\lambda$  ten Wurzeln der Einheit gebildeten complexen Zahlen und die idealen Factoren derselben. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 40:43–116, 1850.
- [4] D. H. Lehmer. Prime factors of cyclotomic class numbers. *Math. Comp.*, 31:599–607, 1977.
- [5] M. Pohst and H. Zassenhaus. *Algorithmic algebraic number theory*, volume 30 of *Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications*. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1997.
- [6] René Schoof. Minus class groups of the fields of the  $l$ th roots of unity. *Math. Comp.*, 67:1225–1245, 1998.
- [7] Tetsuya Taniguchi. Program codes of “Prime factors of class number of cyclotomic fields”. <http://www.ma.noda.tus.ac.jp/g/tt/jtnb2008/>.
- [8] Lawrence C. Washington. *Introduction to cyclotomic fields*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 2nd ed., 1997.

Tetsuya TANIGUCHI  
Department of Mathematics,  
Tokyo University of Science,  
Noda, Chiba 278-8510, Japan  
*E-mail:* [taniguti\\_tetuya@ma.noda.tus.ac.jp](mailto:taniguti_tetuya@ma.noda.tus.ac.jp)  
*URL:* <http://www.ma.noda.tus.ac.jp/g/tt/>