# On a mixed Littlewood conjecture for quadratic numbers

## par Bernard de MATHAN

RÉSUMÉ. Nous étudions un problème diophantien simultané relié à la conjecture de Littlewood. En utilisant des minorations connues de formes linéaires de logarithmes p-adiques, nous montrons qu'un résultat que nous avons précédemment obtenu, concernant les nombres quadratiques, est presque optimal.

ABSTRACT. We study a simultaneous diophantine problem related to Littlewood's conjecture. Using known estimates for linear forms in *p*-adic logarithms, we prove that a previous result, concerning the particular case of quadratic numbers, is close to be the best possible.

#### 1. Introduction

In a joint paper, with O. Teulié [5], we have considered the following problem. Let  $\mathcal{B} = (b_k)_{k\geq 1}$  be a sequence of integers greater than 1. Consider the sequence  $(r_n)_{n\geq 0}$ , where  $r_0 = 1$  and  $r_n = \prod_{0 < k \leq n} b_k$  for n > 0. For  $q \in \mathbb{Z}$ , set

$$w_{\mathcal{B}}(q) = \sup\{n \in \mathbb{N} ; q \in r_n \mathbb{Z}\}\$$

and

$$|q|_{\mathcal{B}} = \inf\{1/r_n ; q \in r_n \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

Notice that  $|.|_{\mathcal{B}}$  is not necessarily an absolute value, but when  $\mathcal{B}$  is the constant sequence p, where p is a prime number, then  $|.|_{\mathcal{B}}$  is the usual p-adic value.

For  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , we denote by  $\{x\}$  the number in [-1/2, 1/2[ such that  $x - \{x\} \in \mathbb{Z}$ . As usual, we put  $||x|| = |\{x\}|$ .

Let  $\alpha$  be a real number. Given a positive integer M, Dirichlet's Theorem asserts that for any n, there exists an integer q, with  $0 < q \le Mr_n$ , satisfying simultaneously the approximation condition  $||q\alpha|| < 1/M$  and the divisibility condition  $r_n|q$ , i. e.  $|q|_{\mathcal{B}} \le 1/r_n$ . Indeed, it is enough to

apply Dirichlet's Theorem to the number  $r_n\alpha$ . We thus find positive integers q with

$$q||q\alpha|||q|_{\mathcal{B}} < 1.$$

By analogy with Littlewood's conjecture, we ask whether

$$\inf_{q \in \mathbb{N}^*} q \|q\alpha\| |q|_{\mathcal{B}} = 0 \tag{1}$$

holds. The problem is trivial for  $\alpha$  rational, and for an irrational number  $\alpha$ , one can easily see [5] that condition (1) is equivalent to the following: for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , consider the continued fraction expansion

$$r_n \alpha = [a_{0,n}; a_{1,n}, ..., a_{k,n}...].$$

We have (1) if and only if

$$\sup_{n\geq 0, k\geq 1} a_{k,n} = +\infty.$$

However, we shall not use this characterization here.

We do not know whether (1) is satisfied for any real number  $\alpha$ . In [5], we have proved that if we assume that the sequence  $\mathcal{B} = (b_k)_{k\geq 1}$  is bounded, (1) is true for every quadratic number  $\alpha$ . More precisely:

Theorem 1.1. (de Mathan and Teulié [5]) Suppose that the sequence  $\mathcal{B}$  is bounded. Let  $\alpha$  be a quadratic real number. Then there exists an infinite set of integers q > 1 with

$$||q\alpha|| \ll 1/q \tag{2}$$

and

$$|q|_{\mathcal{B}} \ll 1/\ln q. \tag{3}$$

In particular, we have

$$\lim_{q \longrightarrow +\infty} q \ln q ||q\alpha|| ||q|_{\mathcal{B}} < +\infty.$$

As usual, for positive functions x and y, the notation  $x \ll y$  means that there exists a positive constant C such that  $x \leq Cy$ .

In our lecture at Graz, for the "Journées Arithmétiques 2003", it was discussed whether the factor  $\ln q$  in (3) is best possible. We do not know the answer to this question, but we shall prove:

**Theorem 1.2.** Assume that the sequence  $\mathcal{B}$  is bounded. Let  $\alpha$  be a real quadratic number, and let  $\mathcal{S}$  be a set of integers q > 1 with

$$||q\alpha|| \ll 1/q. \tag{2}$$

Then there exists a constant  $\lambda = \lambda(S)$  such that

$$|q|_{\mathcal{B}} \gg \frac{1}{(\ln q)^{\lambda}} \tag{4}$$

for any  $q \in S$ .

One may expect that (4) holds for any  $\lambda > 1$ , but we are not able to prove this. We do not even know whether there exists a real number  $\lambda$  for which (4) holds for any set  $\mathcal{S}$  of integers q > 1 satisfying (2). Indeed, Theorem 1.2 does not ensure that  $\sup_{\mathcal{S}} \lambda(\mathcal{S}) < +\infty$ .

There is some analogy between this problem, and the classical simultaneous Diophantine approximation. For instance, let us recall Peck's Theorem. Let n be an integer greater than 1, and let  $\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_n$ , be n numbers in a real algebraic number field of degree n + 1 over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Then it was proved by Peck [7] that there exists an infinite set of integers q > 1 with

$$||q\alpha_k|| \ll (\ln q)^{-1/(n-1)}q^{-1/n}$$

for  $1 \le k < n$ , and

$$||q\alpha_n|| \ll q^{-1/n}.$$

Assume that  $1, \alpha_1, ..., \alpha_n$  are linearly independent over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , and let  $\mathcal{S}$  be an infinite set of integers q > 1, with

$$||q\alpha_k|| \ll q^{-1/n}$$

for each  $1 \le k \le n$ . Then we have proved in [3] that there exists a constant  $\kappa = \kappa(\mathcal{S})$  such that

$$\max_{1 \le k < n} \|q\alpha_k\| \gg (\ln q)^{-\kappa} q^{-1/n}.$$

Theorem 1.2 can be regarded as an analogue of this result with n = 1, and its proof is similar.

## 2. Proof of the result

## **2.1.** Some rational approximations of $\alpha$ .

In the quadratic field  $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ , there exists a unit  $\omega$  of infinite order. Replacing, if necessary,  $\omega$  by  $\omega^2$  or  $1/\omega^2$ , we may suppose  $\omega > 1$ . In his original work, Peck uses units which are "large" and whose other conjugates are "small" and close to be equal. Here, Peck's units are just the  $\omega^m$ 's, with  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . We shall use these units in order to describe the rational approximations of  $\alpha$  which satisfy (2).

Denote by  $\sigma_0 = \operatorname{id}$  and  $\sigma_1 = \sigma$  the automorphisms of  $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ . As usual, we denote by Tr the trace form  $\operatorname{Tr}_{\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)/\mathbb{Q}} = \sigma_0 + \sigma_1$ . The basis  $(1,\alpha)$  of  $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$  admits a dual basis  $(\beta_0,\beta_1)$  for the non-degenerate  $\mathbb{Q}$ -bilinear form  $(x,y) \longmapsto \operatorname{Tr}(xy)$  on  $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ . That means that, if we set  $\alpha_0 = 1$  and  $\alpha_1 = \alpha$ , we have  $\operatorname{Tr}(\alpha_k\beta_l) = \delta_{kl}$ , for k = 0,1 and l = 0,1, where  $\delta_{ll} = 1$ , and  $\delta_{kl} = 0$  if  $k \neq l$ . Here it is easy to calculate  $\beta_0 = -\frac{\sigma(\alpha)}{\alpha - \sigma(\alpha)}$  and  $\beta_1 = \frac{1}{\alpha - \sigma(\alpha)}$ . Hence, if we put

$$\eta = \frac{-q\sigma(\alpha) + q'}{\alpha - \sigma(\alpha)},$$

where q and q' are rational numbers, we have

$$q = \text{Tr}\eta \tag{5}$$

and

$$q' = \text{Tr}(\alpha \eta). \tag{6}$$

Also notice that (5) and (6) imply that

$$q\alpha - q' = (\alpha - \sigma(\alpha))\sigma(\eta). \tag{7}$$

Let D be a positive integer such that  $D\alpha$ ,  $\frac{D}{\alpha-\sigma(\alpha)}$ , and  $\frac{D\alpha}{\alpha-\sigma(\alpha)}$  are algebraic integers.

The notation  $A \simeq B$ , where A and B are positive quantities, means that  $B \ll A \ll B$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** Let  $\gamma$  be a positive number in  $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ . Let  $\Delta$  be a positive integer such that  $\Delta \gamma$  is an algebraic integer. For each  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , define the rational number

$$q = q(m) = \text{Tr}(\gamma \omega^m).$$
 (8)

Then  $\Delta q$  is a rational integer, one has q > 0 when m is large, and the integers  $D\Delta q$  satisfy (2).

*Proof.* Also define

$$q' = q'(m) = \operatorname{Tr}(\alpha \gamma \omega^m).$$

As  $\Delta \gamma \omega^m$  and  $D\Delta \alpha \gamma \omega^m$  are algebraic integers,  $\Delta q$  and  $D\Delta q'$  are rational integers. As  $\sigma(\omega) = 1/\omega$ , we have  $q = \gamma \omega^m + \sigma(\gamma)\omega^{-m}$ , hence q > 0 as soon as  $\omega^{2m} > -\sigma(\gamma)/\gamma$ , and then

$$q \simeq \omega^m$$
. (9)

From (7), we get  $q\alpha - q' = (\alpha - \sigma(\alpha))\sigma(\gamma)\omega^{-m}$ , hence

$$|q\alpha - q'| \simeq \omega^{-m}. (10)$$

As  $D\Delta q$  and  $D\Delta q'$  are integers, it follows from (10) that for large m we have  $||D\Delta q\alpha|| = D\Delta |q\alpha - q'|$ , and by (9) and (10), the integers  $D\Delta q$  satisfy (2).

Conversely:

**Lemma 2.2.** Let S be a set of positive integers q satisfying (2). Then there exists a finite set  $\Gamma$  of numbers  $\gamma \in \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ ,  $\gamma \neq 0$ , such that for any  $q \in S$ , there exist  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  and  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$q = \operatorname{Tr}(\gamma \omega^m). \tag{8}$$

*Proof.* For  $q \in \mathcal{S}$ , let m(q) = m be the positive integer such that  $\omega^{m-1} \leq q < \omega^m$ . We thus have  $\omega^m \approx q$ . Let q' be the rational integer such that  $\{q\alpha\} = q\alpha - q'$ . Set

$$\gamma = \frac{-q\sigma(\alpha) + q'}{\alpha - \sigma(\alpha)}\omega^{-m}.$$

First, notice that  $D\gamma$  is an algebraic integer. From (5), we get (8). Writing

$$\gamma \omega^m = q - \frac{q\alpha - q'}{\alpha - \sigma(\alpha)}$$

we see that  $\gamma > 0$  when q is large, and  $\gamma \omega^m \simeq q$ . As we have  $\omega^m \simeq q$ , we thus get  $\gamma \simeq 1$ . We also have

$$\sigma(\gamma) = \frac{q\alpha - q'}{\alpha - \sigma(\alpha)}\omega^m,$$

hence, by (2),  $|\sigma(\gamma)| \ll \omega^m/q$ , and thus,  $|\sigma(\gamma)| \ll 1$ . Then, as  $D\gamma$  is an algebraic integer in  $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ , and  $\max(|\gamma|, |\sigma(\gamma)|) \ll 1$ , the set of the  $\gamma$ 's is finite.

## 2.2. End of proof.

Denote by P the set of all prime numbers dividing one of the  $b_k$ . Since we assume that the sequence  $(b_k)$  is bounded, this set is finite. For  $p \in P$ , we extend the p-adic absolute value to  $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ . The completion of this field is  $\mathbb{Q}_p(\alpha)$ . As above, let  $\omega$  be a unit in  $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$  with  $\omega > 1$ . Note that  $|\omega|_p = 1$ . The ball  $\{x \in \mathbb{Q}_p(\alpha); |x-1|_p < p^{-1/(p-1)}\}$  is a subgroup of finite index in the multiplicative group  $\{x \in \mathbb{Q}_p(\alpha); |x|_p = 1\}$ . Hence, replacing  $\omega$  by  $\omega^n$ , where n is a suitable positive integer, we may also suppose that  $|\omega-1|_p < p^{-1/(p-1)}$  for every  $p \in P$ .

We shall use the *p*-adic logarithm function, which is defined on the multiplicative group  $\{x \in \mathbb{C}_p; |x-1|_p < 1\} \subset \mathbb{C}_p$  by

$$\log x = \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} (-1)^{n-1} \frac{(x-1)^n}{n}.$$

This function satisfies

$$\log xy = \log x + \log y,$$

and, for  $|x-1|_p < p^{-1/(p-1)}$ ,  $|\log x|_p = |x-1|_p$ . Hence, for  $|x-1|_p < p^{-1/(p-1)}$  and  $|y-1|_p < p^{-1/(p-1)}$ , we have

$$|\log x - \log y|_p = |\log \frac{x}{y}|_p = |\frac{x}{y} - 1|_p = |x - y|_p.$$
 (11)

We prove:

**Lemma 2.3.** Let p be a number of P. Let  $\gamma$  be a positive number of  $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ . For  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , set

$$q = q(m) = \text{Tr}(\gamma \omega^m). \tag{8}$$

Then, if

$$\left|\frac{\sigma(\gamma)}{\gamma} + 1\right|_p \ge p^{-1/(p-1)},$$

we have

$$|q|_p \approx 1$$

for large m; if

$$|\frac{\sigma(\gamma)}{\gamma} + 1|_p < p^{-1/(p-1)},$$

then

$$|q|_p \simeq |2m\log\omega - \log(-\sigma(\gamma)/\gamma)|_p$$
 (12)

*Proof.* Recall that q > 0 when m is large (Lemma 2.1). From the definition, we get for each  $p \in P$ ,  $|q|_p = |\gamma\omega^m + \sigma(\gamma)\omega^{-m}|_p = |\gamma|_p |\omega^{2m} - \delta|_p$ , where  $\delta = -\sigma(\gamma)/\gamma$ . If  $|\delta - 1|_p \ge p^{-1/(p-1)}$ , we have  $|\omega^{2m} - \delta|_p \ge p^{-1/(p-1)}$ , since  $|\omega - 1|_p < p^{-1/(p-1)}$  and  $|\omega^{2m} - 1|_p < p^{-1/(p-1)}$ . Then we get

$$|q|_p \approx 1.$$

If  $|\delta-1|_p < p^{-1/(p-1)}$ , then, by (11), we write  $|\omega^{2m}-\delta|_p = |2m\log\omega-\log\delta|_p$ , and we obtain (12).

Accordingly, in order to achieve the proof of the result, we shall use known lower bounds for linear forms in p-adic logarithms. For instance, it follows from [8] that:

**Lemma 2.4.** (K. Yu [8]) Let x and y be algebraic numbers in  $\mathbb{C}_p$ , with  $|x-1|_p < p^{-1/(p-1)}$  and  $|y-1|_p < p^{-1/(p-1)}$ . Then there exists a real constant  $\kappa$  such that for any pair  $(k,\ell)$  of rational integers with  $k \log x + \ell \log y \neq 0$ , one has

$$|k \log x + \ell \log y|_p \gg (\max(|k|, |\ell|))^{-\kappa}.$$

Note that this result is trivial, with  $\kappa=1$ , if  $\log x$  and  $\log y$  are not linearly independent over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , and  $\log x \neq 0$ , i.e,  $x \neq 1$ . Indeed, if  $a \log x = b \log y$ , where a and b are rational integers with  $b \neq 0$ , then we write  $|k \log x + \ell \log y|_p = \frac{1}{|b|_p} |bk + a\ell|_p |x - 1|_p$ . Hence we get  $|k \log x + \ell \log y|_p \gg |bk + a\ell|_p \geq |bk + a\ell|^{-1} \gg (\max(|k|, |\ell|)^{-1}, \text{ when } k \log x + \ell \log y \neq 0.$ 

We can then achieve the proof of Theorem 1.2. Applying Lemma 2.2, we can suppose that the set  $\Gamma$  contains a unique element  $\gamma>0$ , i.e., for any  $q\in\mathcal{S}$ , there exists  $m\in\mathbb{N}$  such that we have (8). It follows from Lemma 2.3 and 2.4 that there exists a constant  $\kappa$  such that  $|q|_p\gg m^{-\kappa}$  (one may take  $\kappa=0$  if  $|\frac{\sigma(\gamma)}{\gamma}+1|_p\geq p^{-1/(p-1)}$ ). As  $q\asymp\omega^m$ , hence  $m\asymp\ln q$ , we get  $|q|_p\gg (\ln q)^{-\kappa}$ . Now set  $\kappa=\kappa_p$  (the constant  $\kappa_p$  may depend upon  $p\in P$ ). Note that  $|q|_{\mathcal{B}}\geq \prod_{p\in P}|q|_p$ . Indeed, putting  $|q|_{\mathcal{B}}=1/r_n$ , we have  $q\in r_n\mathbb{Z}$ , hence  $|q|_p\leq |r_n|_p$  and  $\prod_{p\in P}|q|_p\leq \prod_{p\in P}|r_n|_p=1/r_n$ . We thus get (4) with  $\lambda=\sum_{p\in P}\kappa_p$ , and Theorem 1.2 is proved.

### 2.3. A remark.

Note that one may also use Lemma 2.3 for solving the opposite problem. For simplicity, consider the case where  $|.|_{\mathcal{B}}$  is the p-adic value for a prime number p. If we take a positive number  $\gamma \in \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$  such that  $\sigma(\gamma) = -\gamma$ , for instance,  $\gamma = \alpha - \sigma(\alpha)$  (one may replace  $\alpha$  by  $-\alpha$ , and so, we can suppose  $\alpha - \sigma(\alpha) > 0$ ), then we have  $\log(-\sigma(\gamma)/\gamma) = 0$ , and by (12), we get  $|\text{Tr}(\gamma\omega^m)|_p \asymp |m|_p$ . By Lemma 2.1, there exists a positive integer A such that for every large m, the numbers  $q = q(m) = A\text{Tr}(\gamma\omega^m)$  are positive integers satisfying (2). For  $m = p^s$  with  $s \in \mathbb{N}$ , we get  $|m|_p = 1/m$ , hence  $|q|_p \asymp 1/m$ . Since  $m \asymp \ln q$ , we have thus proved that there exists an infinite set of integers q > 1 satisfying (2) and (3) (which is Theorem 1.1). In this way we obtain integers q > 1 satisfying (2) and such that  $|q|_p \asymp 1/\ln q$ .

One can ask whether there exists an infinite set of integers q > 1 satisfying (2), with

$$\inf |q|_p \ln q = 0. \tag{3'}$$

Given a positive decreasing sequence  $(\epsilon_m)$  with  $\sum_{m=0}^{+\infty} \epsilon_m = +\infty$ , a p-adic version [4] of Khintchine's Theorem ensures that for almost all  $x \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ , there exist infinitely many positive integers m such that  $|x-m|_p \le \epsilon_m$ . One often considers as reasonable the hypothesis that a given "special" irrational number  $x \in \mathbb{Z}_p$  satisfies this condition, with  $\epsilon_m = 1/(m \ln m)$  for m > 1 (which is false if  $x \in \mathbb{Z}_p \cap \mathbb{Q}$ , since in this case, we have  $|x-m|_p \gg 1/m$  for m large). Let us prove that we can choose  $\gamma > 0$  in  $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ , with  $|\frac{\sigma(\gamma)}{\gamma} + 1|_p < |\omega - 1|_p$ , such that  $\frac{\log(-\sigma(\gamma)/\gamma)}{\log \omega}$  is an irrational number in  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ . In order to make this obvious, we prove:

**Lemma 2.5.** There exists  $\xi \in \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$  such that  $\xi$  is not a unit,  $N_{\mathbb{Q}(\alpha):\mathbb{Q}}\xi = 1$ , and  $|\xi|_p = 1$ .

*Proof.* The number  $\omega$  is a root of the equation  $\omega^2 - S\omega + 1 = 0$ , where S is a rational integer,  $S = \text{Tr }\omega$ . The number  $\xi$  must be a root of an equation  $\xi^2 - t\xi + 1 = 0$ , where t is a rational number for which there exists a positive

rational number  $\rho$  such that  $t^2 - 4 = \rho^2(S^2 - 4)$ . Such pairs  $(t, \rho)$  can be expressed by using a rational parameter  $\theta$ :

$$t = \frac{2(S^2 - 4)\theta^2 + 2}{(S^2 - 4)\theta^2 - 1} = 2 + \frac{4}{(S^2 - 4)\theta^2 - 1}$$
$$\rho = \frac{4\theta}{(S^2 - 4)\theta^2 - 1}.$$

Let us show that we can choose  $\theta \in \mathbb{Q}^*$  such that  $t \notin \mathbb{Z}$  and  $|t|_p \leq 1$ . It is enough to take  $\theta = p$ . As we have  $S^2 > 4$ , hence  $S^2 \geq 9$  and  $(S^2 - 4)p^2 - 1 > 4$ , t cannot be an integer for this choice of  $\theta$ . But we have  $|t|_p \leq 1$ , since  $|(S^2 - 4)p^2 - 1|_p = 1$ . Then there exists a number  $\xi \in \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$  such that  $\xi^2 - t\xi + 1 = 0$ , and  $\xi$  is neither a rational number, since  $\rho > 0$ , nor an algebraic integer, since  $t \notin \mathbb{Z}$ . Then we have  $N_{\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)/\mathbb{Q}}(\xi) = 1$ , and  $|\xi|_p = 1$  because either condition  $|\xi|_p < 1$  or  $|\xi|_p > 1$  would imply  $|t|_p = |\xi + \xi^{-1}|_p > 1$ .

Replacing  $\xi$  by  $\xi^n$ , where n is a suitable positive integer, we thus may find a  $\xi$  satisfying Lemma 2.5, with moreover  $|\xi-1|_p < |\omega-1|_p$ . Then we have  $|\log \xi|_p < |\log \omega|_p$ . Further let us prove that  $\frac{\log \xi}{\log \omega} \in \mathbb{Q}_p$ . Indeed that is trivial if  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}_p$ , since in this case  $\xi$  and  $\omega$  lie in  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ , hence so do  $\log \xi$  and  $\log \omega$ . If  $\mathbb{Q}_p(\alpha)$  has degree 2 over  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ , then  $\log \xi$  and  $\log \omega$  lie in  $\mathbb{Q}_p(\alpha)$ . But  $\sigma$  can be extended into a continuous  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -automorphism of  $\mathbb{Q}_p(\alpha)$ , and we get  $\sigma(\frac{\log \xi}{\log \omega}) = \frac{\log \sigma(\xi)}{\log \sigma(\omega)} = \frac{-\log \xi}{-\log \omega} = \frac{\log \xi}{\log \omega}$ , since  $\xi \sigma(\xi) = \omega \sigma(\omega) = 1$ . That proves that  $\frac{\log \xi}{\log \omega} \in \mathbb{Q}_p$ , and since  $|\log \xi|_p < |\log \omega|_p$ , we conclude that  $\frac{\log \xi}{2\log \omega} \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ . Lastly,  $\frac{\log \xi}{\log \omega}$  is not a rational number, since  $\xi$  is not a unit. Now, by Hilbert's Theorem, there exists  $\gamma \in \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ , with  $\gamma > 0$ , such that  $\xi = -\sigma(\gamma)/\gamma$ . We thus have found  $\gamma > 0$  in  $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ , such that  $|\frac{\sigma(\gamma)}{\gamma} + 1|_p < p^{-1/(p-1)}$  and  $\frac{\log(-\sigma(\gamma)/\gamma)}{2\log \omega}$  is an irrational element of  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ . Under the above hypothesis, it would exist infinitely many integers m > 1 with  $|\frac{\log(-\sigma(\gamma)/\gamma)}{2\log \omega} - m|_p \ll 1/(m\log m)$ , and, by (12), we could obtain an infinite set of integers q > 1,  $q = A \text{Tr}(\gamma \omega^m)$  where A is a positive integer, satisfying (2) and such that  $|q|_p \ll \frac{1}{\ln q \ln \ln q}$ . In particular, (3') would be satisfied.

### 3. Conclusion

For a sequence  $\mathcal{B}$  bounded, the Roth-Ridout Theorem [6] allows us to see that for any irrational algebraic real number  $\alpha$ , thus in particular for  $\alpha$  quadratic, we have:

$$\inf_{q>0} q^{1+\epsilon} ||q\alpha|| |q|_{\mathcal{B}} > 0$$

(see [5]). Of course, our method is far from enabling us to prove that there exists a real constant  $\lambda$  such that

$$\inf_{q>1} q(\ln q)^{\lambda} ||q\alpha|| |q|_{\mathcal{B}} > 0.$$

We can only study the approximations with  $q||q\alpha|| \ll 1$ . It seems difficult to study approximations in the "orthogonal direction"  $q|q|_{\mathcal{B}} \ll 1$ , with for instance,  $q = p^n$ , for a prime number p. For such approximations, it is not known whether  $\inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} ||p^n \alpha|| = 0$  holds, neither if there exists  $\lambda$  such that  $\inf_{n>0} n^{\lambda} ||p^n \alpha|| > 0$ . It is very difficult to obtain more precise results than the Roth-Ridout Theorem (see [1]).

Even for rational approximations satisfying (2), we are not able to prove that the constants  $\lambda(\mathcal{S})$  are bounded. This is related to Lemma 2.4. It would be necessary to prove that there exists a real constant  $\kappa$  for which this Lemma holds for  $x = \omega$  and for any  $y \in \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$  with  $|y-1|_p < p^{-1/(p-1)}$  and  $N_{\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)/\mathbb{Q}}(y) = 1$ . There exist many effective estimates of  $|k \log x + \ell \log y|_p$  (see for instance [2] and [8]), but they do not provide the needed result. It seems difficult to take the particular conditions required into account.

**Acknowledgements.** The author thanks warmly Michel Waldschmidt for their lighting conversations about linear forms in logarithms, at Graz. The author also thanks the referee.

#### References

- [1] M. BAUER, M. BENNETT, Applications of the hypergeometric method to the generalized Ramanujan-Nagell equation. Ramanujan J. 6 (2002), 209-270.
- [2] Y. BUGEAUD, M. LAURENT, Minoration effective de la distance p-adique entre puissances de nombres algébriques. J. Number Theory 61 (1996), 311-342.
- [3] B. DE MATHAN, Linear forms in logarithms and simultaneous Diophantine approximation. (To appear).
- [4] B. DE MATHAN, Approximations diophantiennes dans un corps local. Bull. Soc. math. France, Mémoire 21 (1970).
- [5] B. DE MATHAN, O. TEULIÉ, Problèmes diophantiens simultanés. Monatshefte Math. 143 (2004), 229-245.
- [6] D. RIDOUT, Rational approximations to algebraic numbers. Mathematika 4 (1957), 125– 131.
- [7] L. G. Peck, Simultaneous rational approximations to algebraic numbers. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 67 (1961), 197–201.
- [8] K. Yu, p-adic logarithmic forms and group varieties II. Acta Arith. 89 (1999), 337-378.

Bernard DE MATHAN
Université Bordeaux I
UFR Math-Info. Laboratoire A2X
351 cours de la Libération
33405 Talence, France

 $E ext{-}mail: Bernard.de ext{-}Mathan@math.u-bordeaux1.fr}$