

JOURNAL

de Théorie des Nombres
de BORDEAUX

anciennement Séminaire de Théorie des Nombres de Bordeaux

Claire BURRIN

The Manin–Drinfeld theorem and the rationality of Rademacher symbols

Tome 34, n° 3 (2022), p. 739-753.

<https://doi.org/10.5802/jtnb.1225>

© Les auteurs, 2022.



Cet article est mis à disposition selon les termes de la licence
CREATIVE COMMONS ATTRIBUTION – PAS DE MODIFICATION 4.0 FRANCE.
<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nd/4.0/fr/>



*Le Journal de Théorie des Nombres de Bordeaux est membre du
Centre Mersenne pour l'édition scientifique ouverte*

<http://www.centre-mersenne.org/>

e-ISSN : 2118-8572

The Manin–Drinfeld theorem and the rationality of Rademacher symbols

par CLAIRE BURRIN

RÉSUMÉ. Pour tout groupe fuchsien non compact Γ , on montre que les périodes de la différentielle associée aux diviseurs résiduels des pointes sont exprimées en fonction de symboles de Rademacher pour Γ (qui généralisent les périodes de certaines formes modulaires). Ce résultat établit une relation entre les symboles de Rademacher et le célèbre théorème de Manin et Drinfeld. On verra, plus précisément, que les groupes fuchsien dont les symboles de Rademacher sont à valeur rationnelle vérifient l'énoncé de Manin–Drinfeld. Dans un second temps, on démontre la rationalité des symboles de Rademacher pour plusieurs familles de groupes fuchsien.

ABSTRACT. For any noncompact Fuchsian group Γ , we show that periods of the canonical differential of the third kind associated to residue divisors of cusps are expressed in terms of Rademacher symbols for Γ (generalizations of periods appearing in the classical theory of modular forms). This result provides a relation between Rademacher symbols and the famous theorem of Manin and Drinfeld. More precisely, Fuchsian groups whose Rademacher symbols are rational-valued verify the statement of Manin–Drinfeld. We then establish the rationality of Rademacher symbols for various families of Fuchsian groups.

1. Introduction

Let Γ be a noncompact Fuchsian group. Then $\Gamma \backslash \mathbf{H}$ is an open Riemann surface, and its compactification X can be seen as a smooth algebraic curve. Fixing a base point $x \in X$, we have an embedding $X \hookrightarrow J(X)$ into its Jacobian $J(X)$. Manin and Mumford conjectured that for any such embedding, there are only finitely many torsion points in the image. The conjecture was first confirmed by Raynaud [21].

Manuscrit reçu le 1^{er} mai 2021, révisé le 9 juillet 2021, accepté le 1^{er} octobre 2021.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. 11F67, 11F20, 11F30.

Mots-clefs. Periods of automorphic forms, Kronecker limit formula, torsion points on Jacobians, noncongruence Fuchsian groups.

This note was inspired by the beautiful paper of Murty and Ramakrishnan [19] on a similar connection between the Manin–Drinfeld theorem and generalized Ramanujan sums. The author thanks Jay Jorgenson for many discussions on connections between Manin–Drinfeld and Dedekind symbols of various types, and Asbjørn Nordentoft for pointing out a mistake in a previous version of this manuscript.

If Γ is a congruence subgroup of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{Z})$, Drinfeld [5], generalizing a result of Manin [17], provided significant examples of these finitely many torsion points on modular curves; the subgroup $C(\Gamma) \subset J(X)$ spanned by the images of the cusps of Γ is contained in $J(X)^{\mathrm{tor}}$. Their proofs used Hecke operators, limiting the reach of the statement to modular curves. In this note, we observe a new connection between the Manin–Drinfeld theorem and Rademacher symbols, which are ubiquitous invariants appearing in connection to Dedekind sums [20], class numbers of real quadratic fields [18, 28], aspects of Atiyah–Bott–Singer index theory [1], and linking numbers of knots [6, 8]. On the basis of this relation, we give a new proof of the Manin–Drinfeld theorem and examine for which other families of curves it might hold.

As is customary, we reframe this discussion in terms of divisors. Recall that a divisor is a formal sum

$$D = \sum a_i x_i,$$

where $x_i \in X$, and a_i are integers, only finitely many of which are non-zero. The degree of the divisor D is the sum $\sum a_i$, and divisors of degree zero form a group. Then by the Abel–Jacobi theorem, there is an isomorphism between divisor classes (with respect to linear equivalence) of degree zero and the Jacobian $J(X)$ modulo periods.

Let D be a divisor of degree zero. By Riemann–Roch, there is a differential ω_D of the third kind (i.e., a meromorphic differential) whose residual divisor is D , and this differential can be made unique; see, e.g., Theorem 5.3 in [16]. Then D is torsion if and only if the periods of ω_D are in $2\pi i\mathbf{Q}$.

Theorem 1.1. *Let Γ be a noncompact Fuchsian group with h inequivalent cusps $\mathfrak{a}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{a}_h$. Let $D = \sum m_i(\mathfrak{a}_i)$ be a divisor of degree zero, and let ω_D be the canonical differential of the third kind associated to the residue divisor D . Then for every hyperbolic element $\gamma \in \Gamma$ of positive trace, we have*

$$\int_{\gamma} \omega_D = 2\pi i (m_1 \Psi_{\mathfrak{a}_1}(\gamma) + \dots + m_h \Psi_{\mathfrak{a}_h}(\gamma)),$$

where $\Psi_{\mathfrak{a}}$ is the Rademacher symbol on Γ for the cusp \mathfrak{a} . Hence, if all Rademacher symbols on Γ are rational-valued, then $C(\Gamma) \subset J(X)^{\mathrm{tor}}$.

We first describe Rademacher symbols as they appear in the classical theory of modular forms and elliptic functions. Dedekind showed that the transformation-theory of the η -function

$$(1.1) \quad \eta(z) = q^{\frac{1}{24}} \prod_{n \geq 1} (1 - q^n),$$

($q = e^{2\pi iz}$), under the linear fractional action of $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{Z})$ on the upper half-plane \mathbf{H} is encoded by the Dedekind symbol

$$\Phi(\gamma) = \begin{cases} \frac{b}{d} & \text{if } c = 0, \\ \frac{a+d}{c} - 12 \operatorname{sign}(c) \cdot s(a, c) & \text{if } c \neq 0, \end{cases}$$

where $s(a, c)$ are Dedekind sums — arithmetic sums depending only on a/c , and obeying a reciprocity law that makes them easy to compute via the Euclidean algorithm. The same reciprocity law also allows to show that $\Phi(\gamma)$ is always an integer. These classical facts are exposed in the monograph of Rademacher’s lecture notes on the subject; see [20]. In his study of Dedekind sums, Rademacher introduced the associated function

$$(1.2) \quad \Psi(\gamma) = \Phi(\gamma) - 3 \operatorname{sign}(c(a + d)),$$

which has the remarkable feature of being conjugacy class invariant. In fact, on hyperbolic elements, it can be realized as the period

$$\int_{\gamma} E_2(z) dz = \Psi(\gamma),$$

where E_2 is Hecke’s modified Eisenstein series of weight 2 (see Section 3 and [7] for further historical references).

This is for the classical theory. Over the last 50 years, analogues of these various functions have been constructed for other noncompact Fuchsian groups. A standard construction builds on extending the celebrated first limit formula of Kronecker, which classically can be used to deduce the transformation-theory of η and determine the Dedekind sums. The classical first limit formula of Kronecker expresses the constant term in the Laurent expansion of the non-holomorphic Eisenstein series $E(z, s)$ for $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbf{Z})$ at its pole $s = 1$. More generally, to each cusp \mathfrak{a} of a general noncompact Fuchsian group, one has an associated non-holomorphic Eisenstein series $E_{\mathfrak{a}}(z, s)$. On the basis of Selberg’s study of their analytic properties, one obtains a Kronecker limit formula in this extended setting, and this limit formula can be used to define a generalized Dedekind symbol $\Phi_{\mathfrak{a}}$. Such constructions have been extensively studied in recent years [2, 9, 13]. Much less attention has been given the associated Rademacher symbol $\Psi_{\mathfrak{a}} : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$, which we define in Section 2. In Lemma 3.1, we show that $\Psi_{\mathfrak{a}}$ can again be realized as the hyperbolic period

$$\int_{\gamma} E_{2,\mathfrak{a}}(z) dz = \Psi_{\mathfrak{a}}(\gamma).$$

The Fuchsian Rademacher symbols $\Psi_{\mathfrak{a}}$ are a priori real-valued. In the face of Theorem 1.1, we do not expect Fuchsian Rademacher symbols to

be rational-valued in general. In fact, Rohrlich observed that for some noncongruence subgroups of $\Gamma(2)$ appearing in connection to the Fermat curve, $C(\Gamma)$ must be infinite (see [14, p. 198]) and thus the associated Rademacher symbols cannot all be rational. Nevertheless, for certain groups, it is possible to show that the image is in fact rational through rigorous arithmetic computations. This is the case for the Rademacher symbol Ψ_∞^Γ when Γ is any principal congruence group $\Gamma(N)$ or Hecke congruence group $\Gamma_0(N)$, following the works of [4], [25], and [27]. By a theorem of Helling [10], every noncocompact arithmetic subgroup of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{R})$ is conjugate to a subgroup of $\Gamma_0(N)^+$, the group obtained by adding all Atkin–Lehner involutions to $\Gamma_0(N)$, for some squarefree N . (See Section 4 for an explicit parametrization.) Moreover, each maximal noncocompact arithmetic group (under inclusion) is a conjugate of $\Gamma_0(N)^+$ for some squarefree N . As noted by Helling, the groups $\Gamma_0(N)^+$ have a unique cusp, which can be taken at the point at ∞ . As a sidenote, we record that among all Helling groups $\Gamma_0(N)^+$, there are 44 of genus 0 and these appear in connection to monstrous moonshine.

Theorem 1.2. *Rademacher symbols are rational for all genus 0 noncocompact Fuchsian groups, for all Helling groups $\Gamma_0(N)^+$, and for all congruence subgroups of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{Z})$.*

In particular, we recover a new proof of the Manin–Drinfeld theorem. On the other hand, since Theorem 1.2 applies to noncocompact triangle groups (e.g., Hecke triangle groups) because these have genus 0, we have examples of nonarithmetic Fuchsian groups that have rational Rademacher symbols. In fact, by a theorem of Takeuchi [26], we know that among triangle groups, only finitely many (up to conjugation) are arithmetic. The proof of Theorem 1.2 builds on certain relations between Rademacher symbols for Γ_1 and Γ , when $\Gamma_1 < \Gamma$ is a normal subgroup of finite index; see Proposition 4.2.

2. Rademacher symbols for cusps

The classical monographs of Shimura [24] and Kubota [15] and the more recent book of Iwaniec [11] serve as references for facts cited in this section on Fuchsian groups and Eisenstein series.

2.1. Cusps, Eisenstein series. Let \mathfrak{a} be a cusp for Γ , and let $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}$ be the stabilizer subgroup of \mathfrak{a} , i.e., $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}} = \{\gamma \in \Gamma : \gamma\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{a}\}$. The group $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}$ is infinite cyclic. We will denote by $\gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}$ the cyclic generator of $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}$. We say that two cusps \mathfrak{a} , \mathfrak{b} are equivalent if $\mathfrak{b} = \gamma\mathfrak{a}$ for some $\gamma \in \Gamma$. If \mathfrak{a} and \mathfrak{b} are equivalent, then $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}} = \Gamma_{\mathfrak{b}}$.

We may choose a scaling transformation $\sigma_{\mathfrak{a}} \in \mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbf{R})$ such that

$$\sigma_{\mathfrak{a}}(\infty) = \mathfrak{a} \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_{\mathfrak{a}}^{-1}\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}\sigma_{\mathfrak{a}} = \pm \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \mathbf{Z} \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Such a choice of $\sigma_{\mathfrak{a}}$ is only unique up to right multiplication by an element of $\pm \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \mathbf{R} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. Conjugating Γ by $\sigma_{\mathfrak{a}}$ provides a group with a cusp at infinity of width 1, and facilitates computation.

The group Γ acts discontinuously on the upper half-plane \mathbf{H} by fractional linear transformation $\pm \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} : z \mapsto \frac{az+b}{cz+d}$. For each $z \in \mathbf{H}$, the Eisenstein series for the cusp \mathfrak{a} is defined by

$$E_{\mathfrak{a}}(z, s) = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}} \backslash \Gamma} \text{Im}(\sigma_{\mathfrak{a}}^{-1} \gamma z)^s,$$

where s is a complex parameter controlling the convergence of the infinite series; the series $E_{\mathfrak{a}}(z, s)$ converges absolutely and uniformly on compact subsets when $\text{Re}(s) > 1$. Beyond this half-plane, Eisenstein series admit a meromorphic continuation to the whole complex plane, as famously proved by Selberg. The definition of $E_{\mathfrak{a}}(z, s)$ does not depend on the particular choice of scaling $\sigma_{\mathfrak{a}}$. Moreover, equivalent cusps yield identical Eisenstein series.

To understand the behavior of the Eisenstein series $E_{\mathfrak{a}}$ at the (not necessarily distinct) cusp \mathfrak{b} of Γ , one considers the series $E_{\mathfrak{a}}(\sigma_{\mathfrak{b}} z, s)$, for which we have the relation

$$E_{\mathfrak{a}}(\sigma_{\mathfrak{b}} z, s) = E_{\mathfrak{a}}(\gamma_{\mathfrak{b}} \sigma_{\mathfrak{b}} z, s) = E_{\mathfrak{a}}(\sigma_{\mathfrak{b}}(z + 1), s)$$

and the resulting Fourier expansion is explicitly given by

$$E_{\mathfrak{a}}(\sigma_{\mathfrak{b}} z, s) = \delta_{\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}} y^s + \frac{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(s - 1/2)}{\Gamma(s)} \varphi_{\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}}(s) y^{1-s} + \frac{2\pi^s \sqrt{y}}{\Gamma(s)} \sum_{n \neq 0} |n|^{s-1/2} K_{s-1/2}(2\pi|n|y) \varphi_{\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}}(n, s) e(nx),$$

where $\delta_{\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}} = 1$ if the cusps \mathfrak{a} and \mathfrak{b} are in the same Γ -orbit, and 0 otherwise, where $\Gamma(s)$ denotes the classical Γ -function, where $K_s(z)$ is the K -Bessel function (or, modified Bessel function of the second kind), where $e(z) = e^{2\pi iz}$, and where $\varphi_{\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}}(s)$ and $\varphi_{\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}}(n, s)$ are the Dirichlet series

$$\varphi_{\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}}(s) = \sum_{c>0} c^{-2s} \#\{d \in [0, c) : \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \sigma_{\mathfrak{a}}^{-1} \Gamma \sigma_{\mathfrak{b}}\},$$

$$\varphi_{\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}}(n, s) = \sum_{c>0} c^{-2s} \sum_{\substack{0 \leq d < c \\ \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \sigma_{\mathfrak{a}}^{-1} \Gamma \sigma_{\mathfrak{b}}}} e\left(n \frac{d}{c}\right).$$

These Dirichlet series do not depend on a particular representative for the cusps \mathfrak{a} , \mathfrak{b} , but $\varphi_{\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}}(n, s)$ depends on the particular choice of the scaling transformation $\sigma_{\mathfrak{b}}$ up to a unitary multiplicative factor. Indeed, if we replace $\sigma_{\mathfrak{b}}$ by $\sigma_{\mathfrak{b}} n_x$, $n_x = \pm \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, then $\varphi_{\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}}(n, s)$ is replaced by $\varphi_{\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}}(n, s) e(nx)$. Both Dirichlet series are absolutely convergent on $\text{Re}(s) > 1$.

On the vertical line $\operatorname{Re}(s) = 1$, the Eisenstein series are holomorphic, save for a simple pole at $s = 1$. Examining the local behavior of its Fourier coefficients, we note that the K -Bessel function is entire as a function of s — in fact, at $s = 1$, we have $2(|n|y)^{1/2}K_{1/2}(2\pi|n|y)e(nx) = e^{-2\pi|n|y}e(nx)$, see [11, p. 227] —, and that the Dirichlet series $\varphi_{\mathfrak{ab}}(n, s)$ are holomorphic along the vertical line $\operatorname{Re}(s) = 1$. At $s = 1$, $\varphi_{\mathfrak{ab}}(n, 1)$ is real valued, hence $\varphi_{\mathfrak{ab}}(n, 1) = \varphi_{\mathfrak{ab}}(-n, 1)$, and satisfies the estimate $|\varphi_{\mathfrak{ab}}(n, 1)| \ll n^{1+\epsilon}$, for any $\epsilon > 0$; see [12, Theorem 1.1]. Finally, the Dirichlet series defining $\varphi_{\mathfrak{ab}}(s)$ has a simple pole at $s = 1$ of residue $(\pi V)^{-1}$, where V denotes the hyperbolic volume of any fundamental domain for Γ . Thus $\varphi_{\mathfrak{ab}}(s)$ has a Laurent expansion at $s = 1$ of the form

$$\varphi_{\mathfrak{ab}}(s) = \frac{(\pi V)^{-1}}{s - 1} + \sum_{n \geq 0} c_n (s - 1)^n.$$

2.2. Kronecker’s first limit formula. An extension (see [9, Thm. 3-1]) of Kronecker’s classical first limit formula gives the constant coefficient in the Laurent series for $E_{\mathfrak{a}}(\sigma_{\mathfrak{b}}z, s)$ at the simple pole at $s = 1$. Using the Fourier expansion of the Eisenstein series and letting $s \rightarrow 1^+$, we immediately obtain the corresponding formal Laurent series at $s = 1$, given by

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{s \rightarrow 1^+} \left(E_{\mathfrak{a}}(\sigma_{\mathfrak{b}}z, s) - \frac{V^{-1}}{s - 1} \right) &= c_0 - V^{-1} \log y + \delta_{\mathfrak{ab}}y + \sum_{n > 0} \pi \varphi_{\mathfrak{ab}}(n, 1)e(nz) \\ &\quad + \sum_{n < 0} \pi \varphi_{\mathfrak{ab}}(n, 1)e(n\bar{z}) \\ &= c_0 - V^{-1} \log y \\ &\quad - \operatorname{Re} \left(\delta_{\mathfrak{ab}}iz - 2\pi \sum_{n > 0} \varphi_{\mathfrak{ab}}(n, 1)e(nz) \right). \end{aligned}$$

We denote the term in parenthesis by $f_{\mathfrak{a}, \sigma_{\mathfrak{b}}}(z)$ and record that $f_{\mathfrak{a}, \sigma_{\mathfrak{b}}n_x}(z) = f_{\mathfrak{a}, \sigma_{\mathfrak{b}}}(n_x z) - \delta_{\mathfrak{ab}}ix$. As a function of z , $f_{\mathfrak{a}, \sigma_{\mathfrak{b}}}$ is holomorphic; this establishes the validity of the limit formula.

Specializing to $\Gamma = \operatorname{PSL}_2(\mathbf{Z})$, we recover the classical first limit formula of Kronecker as follows. We have

$$\begin{aligned} E(z, s) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{c, d \in \mathbf{Z} \\ (c, d) = 1}} \frac{y^s}{|cz + d|^{2s}}, & \varphi(s) &= \sum_{c \geq 1} \frac{\phi(c)}{c^{2s}}, \\ \varphi(n, s) &= \sum_{c \geq 1} c^{-2s} \sum_{\substack{d=1 \\ (c, d) = 1}}^c e\left(n \frac{d}{c}\right) = \sum_{c \geq 1} \frac{1}{c^{2s}} \sum_{\delta | (c, n)} \delta \mu\left(\frac{c}{\delta}\right) = \frac{1}{\zeta(2s)} \sum_{\delta | n} \frac{1}{\delta}, \end{aligned}$$

where ϕ is Euler’s totient function, and we have used Kluÿven’s identity for Ramanujan sums in the last line. Using that $\zeta(2) = \frac{\pi^2}{6}$,

$$f(z) = \frac{12}{\pi} \left(\frac{\pi iz}{12} - \sum_{m,n>0} \frac{q^{mn}}{m} \right),$$

and the term in parenthesis is a branch of the logarithm of Dedekind’s η -function, defined by (1.1).

2.3. Dedekind and Rademacher symbols. We introduce two auxiliary functions on Γ ; the inner automorphism $\tau_{\sigma_b}(\gamma) = \sigma_b^{-1}\gamma\sigma_b$, and the automorphic cocycle $j(\gamma, z) = cz + d$. The latter is a multiplicative cocycle: for any pair $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \Gamma$, we have $j(\gamma_1\gamma_2, z) = j(\gamma_1, \gamma_2 z)j(\gamma_2, z)$. The limit formula derived above implies the relation

$$\operatorname{Re} f_{\mathbf{a},\sigma_b}(\tau_b(\gamma)z) = V^{-1} \ln |j(\tau_b(\gamma), z)|^2 + \operatorname{Re} f_{\mathbf{a},\sigma_b}(z)$$

for each $\gamma \in \Gamma$. We choose $\log z$ to be the principal branch of logarithm, i.e., $\log z = \ln |z| + i \arg(z)$ with $\arg(z) \in (-\pi, \pi]$. Then $\log(-j(\gamma, z)^2)$ is well defined, and $\operatorname{Re}(\operatorname{sign}(c)^2 \log(-j(\gamma, z)^2)) = \ln |j(\gamma, z)|^2$. Therefore, the holomorphic function

$$(2.1) \quad F_{\mathbf{a},\sigma_b}(\gamma; z) := f_{\mathbf{a},\sigma_b}(\tau_{\sigma_b}(\gamma)z) - f_{\mathbf{a},\sigma_b}(z) - V^{-1} \operatorname{sign}(c_{\tau_{\sigma_b}(\gamma)})^2 \log(-j(\tau_{\sigma_b}(\gamma), z)^2)$$

has trivial real part, and thus by the open mapping theorem, it must be a constant function of z , i.e., $F_{\mathbf{a},\sigma_b}(\gamma; z) = F_{\mathbf{a},\sigma_b}(\gamma)$. As a result, it is also independent of the particular choice of the scaling transformation σ_b , since

$$F_{\mathbf{a},\sigma_b n_x}(\gamma) = f_{\mathbf{a},\sigma_b}(\tau_{\sigma_b}(\gamma)n_x z) - f_{\mathbf{a},\sigma_b}(n_x z) - V^{-1} \operatorname{sign}(c_{\tau_{\sigma_b}(\gamma)})^2 \log(-j(\tau_{\sigma_b}(\gamma), n_x z)^2) = F_{\mathbf{a},\sigma_b}(\gamma).$$

We henceforth denote this function $F_{\mathbf{ab}}$. We can now define the Dedekind and Rademacher symbols attached to the cusp \mathbf{a} . Set

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{\mathbf{ab}}(\gamma) &:= -iF_{\mathbf{ab}}(\gamma), \\ \Phi_{\mathbf{a}}(\gamma) &:= \Phi_{\mathbf{aa}}(\gamma), \\ \Psi_{\mathbf{a}}(\gamma) &:= \Phi_{\mathbf{a}}(\gamma) - \pi V^{-1} \operatorname{sign}(c(a + d)), \end{aligned}$$

with $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = \tau_{\sigma_a}(\gamma)$. Looking at the Gauss–Bonnet formula for V (see, e.g., [11, p. 46]), we note that $\pi V^{-1} \in \mathbf{Q}$.

When $\Gamma = \operatorname{PSL}(2, \mathbf{Z})$, we recover the classical Dedekind and Rademacher symbols Φ and Ψ ; see [20, Chapters 4A–C]. A detailed study of the properties of (a slight modification of) the Dedekind symbols $\Phi_{\mathbf{ab}}$ appears in [13].

We only record here that following the analysis in [20, p. 52–53], we have

$$(2.2) \quad \Phi_a(\gamma_1\gamma_2) = \Phi_a(\gamma_1) + \Phi_a(\gamma_2) - \pi V^{-1} \text{sign}(c_1c_2c_3)$$

for any $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \Gamma$, with $(\begin{smallmatrix} * \\ c_1 \end{smallmatrix} \begin{smallmatrix} * \\ * \end{smallmatrix}) = \tau_{\sigma_a}(\gamma_1)$, $(\begin{smallmatrix} * \\ c_2 \end{smallmatrix} \begin{smallmatrix} * \\ * \end{smallmatrix}) = \tau_{\sigma_a}(\gamma_2)$, and $(\begin{smallmatrix} * \\ c_3 \end{smallmatrix} \begin{smallmatrix} * \\ * \end{smallmatrix}) = \tau_{\sigma_a}(\gamma_1\gamma_2)$. The following relations follow immediately: $\Phi_a(I) = \Psi_a(I) = 0$, $\Phi_a(-\gamma) = \Phi_a(\gamma)$, $\Psi_a(-\gamma) = \Psi_a(\gamma)$, $\Phi_a(\gamma^{-1}) = -\Psi_a(\gamma)$, $\Psi_a(\gamma^{-1}) = -\Psi_a(\gamma)$.

Proposition 2.1. *Rademacher symbols are rational on elliptic and parabolic motions. Since Fuchsian groups are finitely generated and the transformation formula (2.2) for Φ_a depends only on the rational constant πV^{-1} , we conclude that genus 0 noncompact Fuchsian groups have rational-valued Rademacher symbols.*

Proof. Let γ be a parabolic element. Recall that Γ_b is an infinite cyclic group and denote its generator by γ_b . Then $\gamma = \gamma_b^m$ for some $m \in \mathbf{N}$. We first observe that $\Phi_{ab}(\gamma) = -i(f_{a,\sigma_b}(\gamma z) - f_{a,\sigma_b}(z)) = \delta_{ab} \cdot m$. Hence Φ_b is an integer on Γ_b . Suppose now that a and b are inequivalent cusps. Since $E_a(\sigma_a z, s) = E_a(\sigma_b z', s)$ with $z' = \sigma_b^{-1}\sigma_a z$, the Kronecker limit formula implies the relation

$$f_{a,\sigma_b}(z') - f_{a,\sigma_a}(z) = V^{-1} \log\left(-j(\sigma_b^{-1}\sigma_a, z)^2\right) + \text{constant}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_a(\gamma) &= -i\left(f_{a,\sigma_a}(\tau_{\sigma_a}(\gamma)z) - f_{a,\sigma_a}(z) - V^{-1} \log(-j(\tau_{\sigma_a}(\gamma), z)^2)\right) \\ &= -i\left(f_{a,\sigma_b}(z' + m) - V^{-1} \log(-j(\sigma_b^{-1}\sigma_a, \tau_{\sigma_a}(\gamma_b)z)^2) - f_{a,\sigma_b}(z') \right. \\ &\quad \left. + V^{-1} \log(-j(\sigma_b^{-1}\sigma_a, z)^2) - V^{-1} \log(-j(\tau_{\sigma_a}(\gamma), z)^2)\right) \\ &= \Phi_{ab}(\gamma) + 2iV^{-1}\left(\log j(\sigma_b^{-1}\sigma_a, \tau_{\sigma_a}(\gamma)z) + \log j(\tau_{\sigma_a}(\gamma), z) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \log j(\sigma_b^{-1}\sigma_a, z) + i\frac{\pi}{2}A_{ab}(\gamma)\right) \\ &= \delta_{ab} \cdot m + 2iV^{-1}\left(2\pi iB_{ab}(\gamma) + i\frac{\pi}{2}A_{ab}(\gamma)\right), \end{aligned}$$

where A_{ab} and B_{ab} are integer-valued. Since $\pi V^{-1} \in \mathbf{Q}$, this concludes the proof for parabolic elements.

Let now γ be an elliptic element of order m . Applying (2.2) recursively, we have

$$(2.3) \quad 0 = \Phi_a(\gamma^m) = m\Phi_a(\gamma) - \pi V^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} \text{sign}(c_\gamma c_{\gamma^k} c_{\gamma^{k+1}})$$

and this shows that $\Phi_a(\gamma) \in \mathbf{Q}$. □

For certain congruence subgroups, it is possible to deduce an explicit expression for $\Phi_{\mathfrak{a}}$, following the method of proof of Dedekind. For example, using the Fourier expansion of the Eisenstein series for $\Gamma(N)$ and the cusp at ∞ , the function $f := f_{\infty, \sigma_{\infty}}$, ($\sigma_{\infty} = I$), arising from the Kronecker limit formula is explicitly given by Takada [25, Theorem 1] as

$$f(z) = iz + 4V_{\Gamma(N)}^{-1} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \log \prod_{\substack{m \geq 1 \\ m \equiv j(N)}} (1 - q^{mN})$$

and (following the method of Dedekind) the corresponding Dedekind symbol is¹ [25, Theorem 2]

$$\Phi_{\infty}^{\Gamma(N)}(\gamma) = \begin{cases} \frac{b}{d} & \text{if } c = 0, \\ \frac{a+d}{c} - \frac{4\pi V_{\Gamma(N)}^{-1}}{|c|N} \sum_{j=1}^{|c|N-1} j C_{N,j} \left(\left(\frac{Naj}{c} \right) \right) & \text{if } c \neq 0, \end{cases}$$

where $((x)) = x - [x] - \frac{1}{2}$ if $x \notin \mathbf{Z}$ and 0 otherwise, and

$$C_{N,j} = \frac{\pi^2}{6} \prod_{p|N} (1 - p^{-2}) \sum_{\substack{a=1 \\ (a,N)=1}}^N \sum_{\substack{n \geq 1 \\ na \equiv 1(N)}} \frac{\mu(n)}{n^2} \cos \frac{2\pi aj}{N}.$$

If $N = 2$, then $C_{2,j} = \cos(\pi j) \in \{\pm 1\}$ and the Dedekind symbol is rational-valued. If $N > 2$, Takada shows that $C_{N,j}$ lies in the cyclotomic field $\mathbf{Q}(\zeta_N, \zeta_{\phi(N)})$, where $\phi(N)$ is the Euler totient function. This implies that $\Phi_{\infty}^{\Gamma(N)}$ — and in turns $\Psi_{\infty}^{\Gamma(N)}$ — are rational-valued for all N 's.

3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

For each cusp \mathfrak{a} , consider the modified Eisenstein series

$$E_{2,\mathfrak{a}}(z, s) := 2i \frac{\partial}{\partial z} E_{\mathfrak{a}}(z, s) = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y} + i \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right) E_{\mathfrak{a}}(z, s).$$

Since the residue of $E_{\mathfrak{a}}(z, s)$ at the simple pole at $s = 1$ is constant in z , this modified Eisenstein series is regular at $s = 1$, and we set $E_{2,\mathfrak{a}}(z) := E_{2,\mathfrak{a}}(z, 1)$. Explicitly, we have

$$E_{2,\mathfrak{a}}(z) = \lim_{s \rightarrow 1^+} sy^{s-1} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}} \setminus \Gamma} j(\sigma_{\mathfrak{a}}^{-1} \gamma, z)^{-2} |j(\sigma_{\mathfrak{a}}^{-1} \gamma, z)|^{-2s+2}.$$

Hence this is a real-analytic Eisenstein series of weight 2; for principal congruence groups, this recovers Hecke's construction. The Kronecker limit

¹We have the following dictionary between our notation and Takada's: $\pi\alpha_N = V_{\Gamma(N)}^{-1}$, $f = 4\pi\alpha_N \log \eta_N$, $\Phi(\gamma) = 4\pi\alpha_N (S_N(\gamma) - \frac{1}{4} \text{sign}(c))$ (for $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ c & * \end{pmatrix}$ with $c \neq 0$).

formula for $E_a(\sigma_b z, s)$ yields the Fourier expansion of $E_{2,a}(\sigma_b z)$, which is given by

$$\begin{aligned} E_{2,a}(\sigma_b z)\sigma'_b(z) &= 2i \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left(c_0 - V^{-1} \log y + \delta_{ab} y \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{n>0} \pi \varphi_{ab}(n, 1) e(nz) + \sum_{n<0} \pi \varphi_{ab}(n, 1) e(n\bar{z}) \right) \\ &= -\frac{V^{-1}}{y} + \delta_{ab} - 4\pi^2 \sum_{n>0} n \varphi_{ab}(n, 1) e(nz) \\ &= -\frac{V^{-1}}{y} + \frac{1}{i} \frac{d}{dz} f_{a,\sigma_b}(z). \end{aligned}$$

The relation (2.2) implies that $\Psi_a(\gamma^{-1}) = -\Psi_a(\gamma)$ and $\Psi_a(-\gamma) = \Psi_a(\gamma)$. Thus up to replacing γ by $\pm\gamma^{\pm 1}$, we may assume that for $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$, we have $c > 0$ and $a + d > 0$.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $\gamma \in \Gamma$ be a hyperbolic element of positive trace. Then*

$$\int_{\gamma} E_{2,a}(z) dz = \Psi_a(\gamma).$$

Proof. Fix a base point $z_0 \in \mathbf{H}$ on the geodesic axis of γ . Using the above Fourier expansion,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{z_0}^{\gamma z_0} E_{2,a}(z) dz &= \int_{\sigma_a^{-1} z_0}^{\sigma_a^{-1} \gamma z_0} E_{2,a}(\sigma_a z) \sigma'_a(z) dz \\ &= -\frac{1}{V} \int_{\sigma_a^{-1} z_0}^{\sigma_a^{-1} \gamma z_0} \frac{dz}{y} + \frac{1}{i} \left(f_{a,\sigma_a}(\tau_{\sigma_a}(\gamma) \sigma_a^{-1} z_0) - f_{a,\sigma_a}(\sigma_a^{-1} z_0) \right). \end{aligned}$$

For any $g = \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \text{SL}_2(\mathbf{R})$, we have

$$\frac{dz}{y} \circ g - \frac{dz}{y} = \frac{|cz + d|^2 - (cz + d)^2}{(cz + d)^2 y} dz = -\frac{2ic}{cz + d} dz = -2i d \log j(g, z)$$

and this shows that

$$\int_{\sigma_a^{-1} z_0}^{\tau_{\sigma_a}(\gamma) \sigma_a^{-1} z_0} \frac{dz}{y} = -2i \log j(\tau_{\sigma_a}(\gamma), \sigma_a^{-1} z_0).$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} f_{a,\sigma_a}(\tau_{\sigma_a}(\gamma) \sigma_a^{-1} z_0) - f_{a,\sigma_a}(\sigma_a^{-1} z_0) \\ = i\Phi_a(\gamma) + V^{-1} \text{sign}(c_{\tau_{\sigma_a}(\gamma)})^2 \log \left(-j(\tau_{\sigma_a}(\gamma), \sigma_a^{-1} z_0)^2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\text{tr}(\gamma) > 2$, we have $c_{\tau_{\sigma_a}(\gamma)} \neq 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \log \left(-j(\tau_{\sigma_a}(\gamma), \sigma_a^{-1}z_0)^2 \right) &= 2 \log \left(\frac{j(\tau_{\sigma_a}(\gamma), \sigma_a^{-1}z_0)}{i \text{sign}(c_{\tau_{\sigma_a}(\gamma)})} \right) \\ &= 2 \log j(\tau_{\sigma_a}(\gamma), \sigma_a^{-1}z_0) - i\pi \text{sign}(c_{\tau_{\sigma_a}(\gamma)}). \end{aligned}$$

We conclude that

$$\int_{z_0}^{\gamma z_0} E_{2,\mathbf{a}}(z) dz = \Phi_{\mathbf{a}}(\gamma) - \pi V^{-1} \text{sign}(c_{\tau_{\sigma_a}(\gamma)}),$$

which coincides with the definition of the Rademacher symbol $\Psi_{\mathbf{a}}(\gamma)$ for γ of positive trace. \square

Let $\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2, \dots, \mathbf{a}_h$ denote the inequivalent cusps of Γ . For each integer tuple $\mathbf{m} = (m_1, \dots, m_h)$ such that $\sum m_i = 0$, define

$$E_{2,\mathbf{m}}(z) := \sum_{i=1}^h m_i E_{2,\mathbf{a}_i}(z).$$

Then $E_{2,\mathbf{m}}(z)$ has the Fourier expansion

$$E_{2,\mathbf{m}}(\sigma_{\mathbf{b}}z)\sigma'_{\mathbf{b}}(z) = m_{\mathbf{b}} - 4\pi^2 \sum_{n \geq 1} n \left(\sum_{i=1}^h m_i \varphi_{\mathbf{a}_i \mathbf{b}}(n) \right) e(nz),$$

from which it can be seen that $E_{2,\mathbf{m}}(z)$ is a holomorphic modular form of weight 2. In particular, $E_{2,\mathbf{m}}$ induces a holomorphic differential on X and we conclude our proof of Theorem 1.1 with the following result of Scholl; see Proposition 2 in [23].

Proposition 3.2. *Let $\mathbf{m} = (m_1, \dots, m_h)$. In the notation above,*

$$2\pi i E_{2,\mathbf{m}}(z) dz = \omega_D$$

which is the canonical differential of the third kind associated to the residue divisor $D = \sum m_i(\mathbf{a}_i)$.

Let

$$I_{\mathbf{m}}(\gamma) := 2\pi i \int_{z_0}^{\gamma z_0} E_{2,\mathbf{m}}(z) dz$$

and note that the integral does not depend on the particular choice of $z_0 \in \mathbf{H}$. In particular, $I_{\mathbf{m}} : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is a group homomorphism and $I_{\mathbf{m}}(\gamma) = 0$ if γ is elliptic. Considering the Fourier expansion of $E_{2,\mathbf{m}}$, we see that if $\gamma = \gamma_{\mathbf{b}}^k$, where $\gamma_{\mathbf{b}}$ denotes the generator of the parabolic subgroup $\Gamma_{\mathbf{b}}$, then $I_{\mathbf{m}}(\gamma) = k \cdot m_{\mathbf{b}}$. We conclude that the periods of ω_D are in $2\pi i \mathbf{Q}$ if and only if all Rademacher symbols for Γ are rational-valued on hyperbolic elements. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

4. Proof of Theorem 1.2

Lemma 4.1. *If $\Gamma_1 < \Gamma$ is a subgroup of finite index, then the Rademacher symbol $\Psi_{\mathfrak{a}}$ is rational if and only if its restriction $\Psi_{\mathfrak{a}}|_{\Gamma_1}$ to Γ_1 is rational on hyperbolic elements.*

Proof. The “only if” part is immediate. By (2.2), we have that for any $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \Gamma$,

$$\pi^{-1}V\Psi_{\mathfrak{a}}(\gamma_1\gamma_2) \equiv \pi^{-1}V(\Psi_{\mathfrak{a}}(\gamma_1) + \Psi_{\mathfrak{a}}(\gamma_2)) \pmod{1},$$

where we recall that $\pi^{-1}V \in \mathbf{Q}$. We write $\Gamma = \bigcup_{\tau} \Gamma_1\tau$ as a disjoint union of Γ_1 -cosets. Since Γ_1 has finite index, there is some $n \in \mathbf{N}$ such that $\tau^n \in \Gamma_1$. By the recursion formula (2.3), we have

$$\pi^{-1}V\Psi_{\mathfrak{a}}(\tau^n) \equiv n\pi^{-1}V\Psi_{\mathfrak{a}}(\tau) \pmod{1}.$$

Each $\gamma \in \Gamma$ can be written as $\gamma = \gamma_1\tau$ for some $\gamma_1 \in \Gamma_1$ and some coset representative τ , so that

$$n\pi V^{-1}\Psi_{\mathfrak{a}}(\gamma) \equiv \pi V^{-1}(n\Psi_{\mathfrak{a}}(\gamma_1) + \Psi_{\mathfrak{a}}(\tau^n)) \pmod{1}.$$

To conclude, we recall that by Proposition 2.1, Rademacher symbols are always rational on parabolic and elliptic elements, hence it suffices to check that $\Psi_{\mathfrak{a}}|_{\Gamma_1}$ is rational on hyperbolic elements. □

It immediately follows from Proposition 2.1 that Rademacher symbols on genus 0 Fuchsian groups are rational. To obtain new classes of examples among Fuchsian groups of genus $g \geq 1$, we build on the following relations along normal covers.

Proposition 4.2. *Let $\Gamma_1 < \Gamma$ be a normal subgroup of finite index, and let \mathfrak{a} be a cusp of Γ_1 with stabilizer subgroup $\Gamma_{1,\mathfrak{a}} = \Gamma_1 \cap \Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}$. We denote the Rademacher symbols for the cusp at \mathfrak{a} on Γ and Γ_1 by $\Psi_{\mathfrak{a}}^{\Gamma}$ and $\Psi_{\mathfrak{a}}^{\Gamma_1}$ respectively. For each hyperbolic element $\gamma \in \Gamma_1$ of positive trace, we have*

$$\Psi_{\mathfrak{a}}^{\Gamma}(\gamma) = \Psi_{\mathfrak{a}}^{\Gamma}|_{\Gamma_1}(\gamma) = \sum_{\tau \in \Gamma_1 \backslash \Gamma} \Psi_{\mathfrak{a}}^{\Gamma_1}(\tau\gamma\tau^{-1}),$$

and this formula does not depend on the particular choice of coset representatives. Moreover, if \mathfrak{a} and \mathfrak{b} are cusps of Γ_1 that are Γ -equivalent — i.e., if there is some $\tau \in \Gamma$ such that $\tau\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{b}$ — then for each hyperbolic element $\gamma \in \Gamma_1$ of positive trace, we have

$$\Psi_{\mathfrak{a}}^{\Gamma_1}(\gamma) = \Psi_{\mathfrak{b}}^{\Gamma_1}(\tau\gamma\tau^{-1}).$$

Proof. Writing $\Gamma = \bigcup_{\tau} \Gamma_1 \tau$ as a finite disjoint union of Γ_1 -cosets, we have the formal equality

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}} \backslash \Gamma} j(\sigma_{\mathfrak{a}}^{-1} \gamma, z)^{-2} &= \sum_{\tau} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_{1, \mathfrak{a}} \backslash \Gamma_1} j(\sigma_{\mathfrak{a}}^{-1} \gamma \tau, z)^{-2} \\ &= \sum_{\tau} j(\tau, z)^{-2} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_{1, \mathfrak{a}} \backslash \Gamma_1} j(\sigma_{\mathfrak{a}}^{-1} \gamma, \tau z)^{-2}. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that this equality does not depend on the particular choice of coset representatives. Hence for each hyperbolic element $\gamma \in \Gamma_1$ of positive trace, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_{\mathfrak{a}}^{\Gamma}(\gamma) &= \int_{\gamma} E_{2, \mathfrak{a}}^{\Gamma}(z) dz \\ &= \sum_{\tau} \int_{\gamma} E_{2, \mathfrak{a}}^{\Gamma_1}(\tau z) \tau'(z) dz \\ &= \sum_{\tau} \int_{\tau \gamma \tau^{-1}} E_{2, \mathfrak{a}}^{\Gamma_1}(z) dz = \sum_{\tau} \Psi_{\mathfrak{a}}^{\Gamma_1}(\tau \gamma \tau^{-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Suppose that $\tau \mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{b}$, and set $\delta = \sigma_{\mathfrak{b}}^{-1} \tau \sigma_{\mathfrak{a}}$. Since $\tau \sigma_{\mathfrak{a}} \infty = \sigma_{\mathfrak{b}} \infty$, we have $\delta = \begin{pmatrix} d & * \\ 0 & d^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$ for some $d \neq 0$. We claim that $d = \pm 1$. We have $\tau^{-1} \Gamma_{\mathfrak{b}} \tau = \Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}$ and hence

$$B := \sigma_{\mathfrak{a}}^{-1} \Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}} \sigma_{\mathfrak{a}} = (\tau \sigma_{\mathfrak{a}})^{-1} \Gamma_{\mathfrak{b}} (\tau \sigma_{\mathfrak{a}}) = \delta^{-1} (\sigma_{\mathfrak{b}}^{-1} \Gamma_{\mathfrak{b}} \sigma_{\mathfrak{b}}) \delta = \delta^{-1} B \delta.$$

Using that B is generated by $\pm \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, a direction computation yields $d = \pm 1$. We thus have the formal equality

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_{1, \mathfrak{a}} \backslash \Gamma_1} j(\sigma_{\mathfrak{a}}^{-1} \gamma, z)^{-2} &= \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_{1, \mathfrak{a}} \backslash \Gamma_1} j(\delta^{-1} \sigma_{\mathfrak{b}}^{-1} \tau \gamma, z)^{-2} \\ &= j(\tau, z)^{-2} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_{1, \mathfrak{a}} \backslash \Gamma_1} j(\sigma_{\mathfrak{b}}^{-1} (\tau \gamma \tau^{-1}), \tau z)^{-2} \\ &= j(\tau, z)^{-2} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_{1, \mathfrak{b}} \backslash \Gamma_1} j(\sigma_{\mathfrak{b}}^{-1} \gamma, \tau z)^{-2} \end{aligned}$$

where we used $\tau \Gamma_{1, \mathfrak{a}} \tau^{-1} = \Gamma_{1, \mathfrak{b}}$ and $\tau \Gamma_1 \tau^{-1} = \Gamma_1$ for the last equality. Thus

$$E_{2, \mathfrak{a}}^{\Gamma_1}(z) = E_{2, \mathfrak{b}}^{\Gamma_1}(\tau z) \tau'(z)$$

and the equality of corresponding Rademacher symbols follows. □

Let Γ be a congruence subgroup of level N . By Lemma 4.1 and Proposition 4.2, Rademacher symbols on Γ are rational-valued if Rademacher symbols on $\Gamma(N)$ are rational-valued on hyperbolic elements. The computations of Takada (see the last paragraph of Section 2) verify this for $\Psi_{\infty}^{\Gamma(N)}$. Since $\Gamma(N)$ is normal in $\Gamma(1)$ and each cusp of $\Gamma(N)$ is $\Gamma(1)$ -equivalent to

the cusp at ∞ , we conclude by the second part of Proposition 4.2 that each Rademacher symbol on $\Gamma(N)$ is rational-valued.

The groups $\Gamma_0(N)^+$ are obtained from $\Gamma_0(N)$ by adding all (finitely many) Atkin–Lehner involutions and normalizing to matrices of determinant 1 by taking the quotient by a positive scalar. Explicitly, one has

$$\Gamma_0(N)^+ = \left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{e}} \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{R}) : a, b, c, d, e \in \mathbf{Z}, e \parallel N, e \mid a, d, N \mid c \right\},$$

where $e \parallel N$ signifies that $e \mid N$ and $(e, \frac{N}{e}) = 1$. It is easily seen that the groups $\Gamma_0(N)^+$ are commensurable to $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{Z})$ but not necessarily conjugate to a subgroup of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{Z})$, that they have a single (inequivalent) cusp at ∞ , and that $\Gamma_0(N)^+$ contains $\Gamma_0(N)$ as a normal subgroup of index $2^{\omega(N)}$, where $\omega(N)$ is the number of distinct prime divisors of N . Once again, by Lemma 4.1 and Proposition 4.2, the Rademacher symbol on $\Gamma_0(N)^+$ is rational if $\Psi_{\infty}^{\Gamma_0(N)}$ is rational, which we have just established.

This proves Theorem 1.2. It would be very interesting to understand under which conditions the rationality of Rademacher symbols for a Fuchsian group Γ passes down to its normal subgroups $\Gamma_1 < \Gamma$. For instance, finite-index normal subgroups of Fuchsian triangle groups are precisely the uniformizing groups of Galois Belyi curves, i.e. curves that admit a Galois Belyi map, see [3], sometimes also called curves with many automorphisms, triangle curves, or quasiplatonic surfaces. There are only finitely many such curves for each given genus, but these include much studied examples such as the Fermat curves (for which Manin–Drinfeld is already established [22]).

References

- [1] M. ATIYAH, “The logarithm of the Dedekind η -function”, *Math. Ann.* **278** (1987), no. 1, p. 1-4.
- [2] C. BURRIN, “Generalized Dedekind sums and equidistribution mod 1”, *J. Number Theory* **172** (2017), p. 270-286.
- [3] P. L. CLARK & J. VOIGHT, “Algebraic curves uniformized by congruence subgroups of triangle groups”, *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* **371** (2019), no. 1, p. 33-82.
- [4] Y. DRIENCOURT, “Sur les sommes de Dedekind attachées aux groupes de congruence”, *Bull. Soc. Math. Fr.* **111** (1983), no. 4, p. 373-419.
- [5] V. G. DRINFELD, “Two theorems on modular curves”, *Funkts. Anal. Prilozh.* **7** (1973), no. 2, p. 83-84.
- [6] W. DUKE, Ö. IMAMOĞLU & Á. TÓTH, “Modular cocycles and linking numbers”, *Duke Math. J.* **166** (2017), no. 6, p. 1179-1210.
- [7] ———, “Kronecker’s first limit formula, revisited”, *Res. Math. Sci.* **5** (2018), no. 2, article no. 20 (21 pages).
- [8] É. GHYS, “Knots and dynamics”, in *Proceedings of the international congress of mathematicians (ICM) (Madrid, 2006). Volume I: Plenary lectures and ceremonies*, vol. 111, European Mathematical Society, 2007, p. 247-277.
- [9] L. J. GOLDSTEIN, “Dedekind sums for a Fuchsian group. I”, *Nagoya Math. J.* **50** (1973), p. 21-47.
- [10] H. HELLING, “Bestimmung der Kommensurabilitätsklasse der Hilbertschen Modulgruppe”, *Math. Z.* **92** (1966), p. 269-280.

- [11] H. IWANIEC, *Spectral methods of automorphic forms*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, vol. 53, American Mathematical Society, 2002.
- [12] J. JORGENSEN & C. O’SULLIVAN, “Convolution Dirichlet series and a Kronecker limit formula for second-order Eisenstein series”, *Nagoya Math. J.* **179** (2005), p. 47-102.
- [13] J. JORGENSEN, C. O’SULLIVAN & L. SMAJLOVIĆ, “Modular Dedekind symbols associated to Fuchsian groups and higher-order Eisenstein series”, *Res. Number Theory* **6** (2020), no. 2, article no. 22 (42 pages).
- [14] D. S. KUBERT & S. LANG, *Modular units*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, vol. 244, Springer, 1981.
- [15] T. KUBOTA, *Elementary theory of Eisenstein series*, Kodansha Ltd.; John Wiley & Sons, 1973.
- [16] S. LANG, *Introduction to algebraic and abelian functions*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 89, Springer, 1982.
- [17] Y. I. MANIN, “Parabolic points and zeta functions of modular curves”, *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Ser. Mat.* **36** (1972), p. 19-66.
- [18] C. MEYER, *Die Berechnung der Klassenzahl Abelscher Körper über quadratischen Zahlkörpern*, Mathematische Lehrbücher und Monographien. II. Abteilung: Mathematische Monographien, vol. 5, Akademie-Verlag, 1957.
- [19] V. K. MURTY & D. RAMAKRISHNAN, “The Manin–Drinfeld theorem and Ramanujan sums”, *Proc. Indian Acad. Sci., Math. Sci.* **97** (1987), no. 1-3, p. 251-262.
- [20] H. RADEMACHER & E. GROSSWALD, *Dedekind sums*, The Carus Mathematical Monographs, vol. 16, The Mathematical Association of America, 1972.
- [21] M. RAYNAUD, “Courbes sur une variété abélienne et points de torsion”, *Invent. Math.* **71** (1983), no. 1, p. 207-233.
- [22] D. E. ROHRLICH, “Points at infinity on the Fermat curves”, *Invent. Math.* **39** (1977), no. 2, p. 95-127.
- [23] A. J. SCHOLL, “Fourier coefficients of Eisenstein series on non-congruence subgroups”, *Math. Proc. Camb. Philos. Soc.* **99** (1986), no. 1, p. 11-17.
- [24] G. SHIMURA, *Introduction to the arithmetic theory of automorphic functions*, Publications of the Mathematical Society of Japan, vol. 11, Mathematical Society of Japan, 1971.
- [25] I. TAKADA, “Dedekind sums of $\Gamma(N)$ ”, *Jpn. J. Math.* **12** (1986), no. 2, p. 401-411.
- [26] K. TAKEUCHI, “Arithmetic triangle groups”, *J. Math. Soc. Japan* **29** (1977), no. 1, p. 91-106.
- [27] I. VASSILEVA, “Dedekind eta function, Kronecker limit formula and Dedekind sum for the Hecke group”, PhD Thesis, UMass Amherst (USA), 1996.
- [28] D. ZAGIER, “Nombres de classes et fractions continues”, in *Journées arithmétiques de Bordeaux (1974)*, Astérisque, vol. 24-25, Société Mathématique de France, 1974, p. 81-97.

Claire BURRIN
 ETH Zürich
 Rämistrasse 101, 8092 Zürich, Switzerland
Current address: University of Zurich
 Winterthurerstrasse 190, 8057 Zürich, Switzerland
E-mail: claire.burrin@math.uzh.ch
URL: <http://user.math.uzh.ch/burrin/>