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# THE CLASS OF CONVOLUTION OPERATORS ON THE MARCINKIEWICZ SPACES 

by Ka-Sing LAU ( ${ }^{*}$ )

## 1. Introduction.

Throughout the paper, the functions we consider will be complex valued, Borel measurable on R . For $1 \leqslant p<\infty$, we will let

$$
\mathscr{M}^{p}=\left\{f:\|f\|_{\mathscr{M}^{p}}=\varlimsup_{\mathrm{T} \rightarrow \infty}\left(\frac{1}{2 \mathrm{~T}} \int_{-\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{T}}|f|^{p}\right)^{1 / p}<\infty\right\}
$$

and
$\mathscr{V}^{p}=\left\{g:\|g\|_{\mathscr{V}^{p}}=\varlimsup_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}}\left(\frac{1}{2 \epsilon} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}|g(u+\epsilon)-g(u-\epsilon)|^{p} d u\right)^{1 / p}<\infty\right\}$.
The space $\mathscr{M}^{p}$ is called the Marcinkiewicz space. The space $\mathscr{V}^{p}$ was introduced by Hardy and Littlewood [3] in order to study the fractional derivatives and is called the integrated Lipschitz class. By identifying functions whose difference has zero norm, it was proved that both $\mathscr{M}^{p}$ and $\mathscr{V}^{p}$ are Banach spaces [4], [8]. These spaces have also been studied in detail in [2], [3], [7], [10], [11], [12]. Let $\mathscr{W}^{p}$ denote the class of functions $f$ in $\mathscr{M}^{\boldsymbol{p}}$ such that

$$
\lim _{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2 T} \int_{-T}^{T}|f|^{p}
$$

exists; then $\mathscr{W}^{p}$ is a "non-linear" closed subspace of $\mathscr{M}^{p}$. In [13], Wiener introduced the integrated Fourier transformation $g=\mathbf{W}(f)$ of an $f$ in $\mathscr{W}^{2}$ as

$$
\begin{align*}
g(u)=\frac{1}{2 \pi}\left(\int_{-\infty}^{-1}+\int_{1}^{\infty}\right) f(x) & \frac{e^{-i u x}}{-i x} d x \\
& +\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-1}^{1} f(x) \frac{e^{-i u x}-1}{-i x} d x \tag{1.1}
\end{align*}
$$

(*) Partially supported by the NSF grant MCS7903638.

We call this transform the Wiener transformation. By using a deep Tauberian theorem, he showed that

$$
\|f\|_{\mathscr{M}^{2}}=\|\mathrm{W}(f)\|_{\mathscr{V}^{2}}, \quad f \in \mathscr{W}^{2} .
$$

Recently, this result has been extended by Lee and the author [8] to include the fact that the Wiener transformation $\mathrm{W}: \boldsymbol{M}^{2} \longrightarrow{ }^{\text {n2 }}$ is a surjective isomorphism. Moreover, the exact isomorphic constants have also been obtained. The theorem is an analog of the Plancherel theorem in the classical $\mathrm{L}^{2}$ case. For $1<p<2, \frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}=1$, W also defines a bounded linear operator from $\mathscr{M}^{p}$ into $\mathscr{V}^{p^{\prime}}$.

It is the purpose of this paper to study the convolution operators on the Marcinkiewicz space $\mathscr{M}^{p}, \quad 1 \leqslant p<\infty$, and on the closed subspace $\mathscr{M}_{r}^{p}$ of regular functions $f$ (i.e.,

$$
\lim _{\mathrm{T} \rightarrow \pm \infty} \frac{1}{\mathrm{~T}} \int_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{T}+a}|f|^{p}=0
$$

for $a>0$ ). Some results related to this subject can be found in [2], [14], [15].

In [2], Bertrandias showed that for each bounded regular Borel measure $\mu$ on R , the convolution operator $\Phi_{\mu}: \boldsymbol{\mu}^{p} \longrightarrow{ }^{p}$ given by $\Phi_{\mu}(f)=\mu * f$ is well defined and $\left\|\Phi_{\mu}\right\|_{\boldsymbol{\mu}^{p}} \leqslant\|\mu\|$. In § 2, we show that if $\mu$ satisfies $\int_{\mathrm{R}}|x| d|\mu|<\infty$, then the restriction map $\Phi_{\mu}: \mathscr{M}_{r}^{p} \longrightarrow \mathscr{M}_{r}^{p}$ satisfies

$$
\lim _{\mathrm{T} \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2 \mathrm{~T}} \int_{\mathrm{R}}\left|\left(\chi_{\mathrm{T}} \Phi_{\mu}-\Phi_{\mu} \chi_{\mathrm{T}}\right) f\right|^{p}=0
$$

where $X_{T}$ is the characteristic function of $[-T, T]$. This is used to prove that for any bounded regular Borel measure $\mu$,

$$
\left\|\Phi_{\mu}\right\|_{\mu_{r}^{p}}=\left\|\Phi_{\mu}\right\|_{L^{p}}
$$

where $\left\|\Phi_{\mu}\right\|_{L^{p}}$ is the norm of the convolution operator $\Phi_{\mu}$ on $\mathrm{L}^{p}\left(=\mathrm{L}^{p}(\mathrm{R})\right)$ (Theorem 2.4).

Let $\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{M}_{r}^{p}}\left(\mathcal{J}_{L^{p}}\right)$ denote the norm closure of the family of convolution operators on $\mathscr{M}_{r}^{p}$ ( $\mathrm{L}^{p}$, respectively). It follows from the result mentioned above that $\mathscr{J}_{\boldsymbol{\mu}_{r}^{p}}$ is isometrically isomorphic to $\mathscr{J}_{L^{p}}$.

However, under the strong operator topologies, the structures of the two spaces are quite different. We prove that in $\mathcal{J}_{\mathbb{M}_{r}^{p}}$, the strong operator sequential convergence and the norm convergence coincide (Theorem 2.6).

In § 3, we consider the convolution operator under the Wiener transformation $\mathrm{W}: \mathscr{\mu}^{p} \longrightarrow \mathscr{V}^{\boldsymbol{p}^{\prime}}, \quad 1<p \leqslant 2$. One of the difficulties in defining the multiplication operators on $\mathscr{V}^{p}$ is that even for a very "nice" function $h$, the pointwise multiplication

$$
\begin{equation*}
(h \cdot g)(u)=h(u) \cdot g(u), \quad g \in \mathscr{V}^{p} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

does not give a function in $\mathscr{r}^{p}$. Let

$$
\mathscr{D}^{1 / p}=\left\{h: h(u+\epsilon)-h(u)=o\left(\epsilon^{1 / p}\right) \text { uniformly on } u\right\},
$$

it is shown that if $g \in \mathscr{V}^{p} \cap L^{p}$ and $h \in \mathscr{D}^{1 / p}$, then (1.2) defines a function in $\mathscr{V}^{p}$. In [8, Theorem 3.3], it was proved that for each $g \in \mathscr{V}^{p}$, there exists a $g^{\prime} \in \mathscr{V}^{p} \cap L^{p}$ such that $\left\|g-g^{\prime}\right\|_{\mathscr{r}_{p}}=0$. Hence, for the above $h, h \cdot g$ can be defined to be the equivalence class in $\mathscr{V}^{p}$ containing $h \cdot g^{\prime}$ (defined by (1.2)) where $g^{r} \in \mathscr{V}^{p} \cap \mathrm{~L}^{p}$ and $\left\|g-g^{\prime}\right\|_{\curlyvee}{ }^{p}=0$. The main result of this section is that for $1<p \leqslant 2$ and for any bounded regular Borel measure $\mu$ such that the Fourier-Stieltjes transformation $\hat{\mu}$ is in $\mathscr{D}^{1 / p^{\prime}}, \frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}=1$, then $W$ yields

$$
\mathrm{W}(\mu * f)=\hat{\mu} \cdot \mathrm{W}(f), \quad f \in \mathscr{M}^{p} .
$$

In particular, if $\mu$ satisfies $\int_{\mathrm{R}}|x| d|\mu|<\infty$, then $\hat{\mu} \in \mathscr{D}^{1 / p^{\prime}}$ and the above equality holds.

In § 4, the results of § 3 are used to prove a Tauberian theorem on $\boldsymbol{\mu}^{2}$. If $\mu$ is a bounded regular Borel measure on R such that $\hat{\mu} \in \mathscr{D}^{1 / 2}$ and $\hat{\mu}(u) \neq 0 \quad \forall u \in \mathrm{R}$, and if $f \in \mathscr{M}^{2}$ satisfies

$$
\|\mu * f\|_{\mu^{2}}=\lim _{\mathrm{T} \rightarrow \infty}\left(\frac{1}{2 \mathrm{~T}} \int_{-\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{T}}|\mu * f|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}=0
$$

then for any continuous measure $\nu \in \mathrm{M}$ such that $\hat{\nu} \in \mathscr{D}^{1 / 2}$,

$$
\|\nu * f\|_{\mathscr{M}^{2}}=\lim _{\mathrm{T} \rightarrow \infty}\left(\frac{1}{2 \mathrm{~T}} \int_{-\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{T}}|\nu * f|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}=0 .
$$

This improves a result of Wiener [15, Theorem 29].

## 2. The Convolution Operators.

Let $\mathscr{M}^{p}, \mathscr{V}^{p}$ be defined as above. When there is no confusion, we will use the same notation $f \in \mathscr{M}^{p}\left(\mathscr{V}^{p}\right)$ to denote the function $f$ on R as well as the equivalence class of functions in $\mathscr{M}^{p}\left(\mathscr{V}^{p}\right.$, respectively) whose difference from $f$ has zero norm.

Let $\Phi$ be a bounded linear operator from a Banach space $X$ into X and let $\|\Phi\|_{\mathrm{X}}$ denote the norm of $\Phi$ on X .

Proposition 2.1. - Let X be a closed subspace of $\mathscr{M}^{p}$ such that $\mathrm{L}^{p} \subseteq \mathrm{X}$ and let $\Phi: \mathrm{X} \longrightarrow \mathrm{X}$ be a linear map. Suppose $\Phi$ satisfies the following conditions:
i) the restriction of $\Phi$ on $\mathrm{L}^{p}$ defines a bounded linear operator $\Phi: \mathrm{L}^{p} \longrightarrow \mathrm{~L}^{p}$,
ii) for each $f \in \mathrm{X}, \lim _{\mathrm{T} \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2 \mathrm{~T}} \int_{\mathrm{R}}\left|\left(\chi_{\mathrm{T}} \Phi-\Phi \chi_{\mathrm{T}}\right) f\right|^{p}=0$.

Then $\|\Phi\|_{\mathrm{X}} \leqslant\|\Phi\|_{\mathrm{L}^{p}}$.
Proof. - Let $f \in \mathbf{X}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\frac{1}{2 \mathrm{~T}} \int_{-\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{T}}|\Phi(f)|^{p}\right)^{1 / p} \\
& \quad \leqslant\left(\frac{1}{2 \mathrm{~T}} \int_{\mathrm{R}}\left|\Phi \cdot \chi_{\mathrm{T}} f\right|^{p}\right)^{1 / p}+\left(\frac{1}{2 \mathrm{~T}} \int_{\mathrm{R}}\left|\left(\chi_{\mathrm{T}} \Phi-\Phi \chi_{\mathrm{T}}\right) f\right|^{p}\right)^{1 / p} \\
& \quad \leqslant\|\Phi\|_{\mathrm{L}} \cdot\left(\frac{1}{2 \mathrm{~T}} \int_{-\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{T}}|f|^{p}\right)^{1 / p}+\left(\frac{1}{2 \mathrm{~T}} \int_{\mathrm{R}}\left|\left(\chi_{\mathrm{T}} \Phi-\Phi \chi_{\mathrm{T}}\right) f\right|^{p}\right)^{1 / p} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking the limit supremum on T yields

$$
\|\Phi(f)\|_{\mathcal{M}^{p}} \leqslant\|\Phi\|_{L^{p}} \cdot\|f\|_{\mathscr{M}^{p}}
$$

and $\|\Phi\|_{\mathrm{X}} \leqslant\|\Phi\|_{\mathrm{L} p}$.
Let $M$ be the class of bounded, regular Borel measures on $R$ and let $M_{1}$ be the dense subspace of $\mu \in M$ such that

$$
\int_{\mathrm{R}}|x| d|\mu|<\infty .
$$

In [2, p. 19], Bertrandias showed that for each $\mu \in M$, the convolution operator $\Phi_{\mu}: \mathscr{M}^{p} \longrightarrow \mathscr{M}^{p}$ can be defined as the $\mathscr{M}^{p}$-limit
of the functions $\int_{-\mathrm{A}}^{\mathrm{B}} f(x-y) d \mu(y)$ as $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B} \longrightarrow \infty, f \in \mathscr{M}^{p}$. Since $\mathscr{M}^{\boldsymbol{p}} \subset \mathscr{M}^{1}$ and
$\frac{1}{2 \mathrm{~T}} \int_{-\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{T}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}|f(x-y)| d|\mu|(y) d x$ $=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2 \mathrm{~T}} \int_{-\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{T}}|f(x-y)| d x d|\mu|(y)<\infty$,
the integral $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x-y) d \mu(y)$ exists for almost all $x$. We can write the pointwise expression of $\Phi_{\mu}(f)$ as

$$
\Phi_{\mu}(f)(x)=(\mu * f)(x)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x-y) d \mu(y)
$$

In the following, the convolution operators on the closed subspace $\mathscr{M}_{r}^{p}$ of regular functions $f$ (i.e. $\lim _{T \rightarrow \pm \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_{T}^{T+a}|f|^{p}=0$ for $a>0$ ) in $\mathscr{M}^{p}$ will be considered. Note that $f \in \mathscr{M}_{r}^{p}$ if and only if $\lim _{\mathrm{T} \rightarrow \pm \infty} \frac{1}{\mathrm{~T}} \int_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{T}+1}|f|^{p}=0$. Also $\mathscr{W}^{p} \subset \mathscr{M}^{p}$. It is easy to show that if $\mu \in M, f \in \mathscr{M}_{r}^{p}$, then $\mu * f \in \mathscr{M}_{r}^{p}$.

Lemma 2.2. - Let $\mu \in M_{1}$ and let $\Phi_{\mu}: \mathscr{M}_{r}^{p} \longrightarrow \mathscr{M}_{r}^{p}$ be the convolution operator. Then $\Phi_{\mu}$ satisfies

$$
\lim _{\mathrm{T} \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2 \mathrm{~T}} \int_{\mathrm{R}}\left|\left(\chi_{\mathrm{T}} \Phi_{\mu}-\Phi_{\mu} \chi_{\mathrm{T}}\right) f\right|^{p}=0, \quad f \in \mathscr{M}_{r}^{p}
$$

Proof. - Let $f \in \mathscr{M}_{r}^{p}$ and let $\|\mu\|=1$. For any $\epsilon>0$, there exists an $a>0$ such that

$$
\int_{\mathrm{R} \backslash[-a, a]}|y| d|\mu|<\epsilon
$$

and a $\mathrm{T}_{0}>1$ such that for $|\mathrm{T}|>\mathrm{T}_{0}$,

$$
\frac{1}{2 \mathrm{~T}} \int_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{T}+a}|f|^{p}<\epsilon
$$

and for $T>T_{0}$,

$$
\frac{1}{2 T} \int_{-T}^{T}|f|^{p} \leqslant\|f\|_{M^{p}}^{p}+\epsilon
$$

Now for $T>T_{0}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{aligned}
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mid\left(\chi_{\mathrm{T}}\right. & \left.\Phi_{\mu}-\Phi_{\mu} \chi_{\mathrm{T}}\right)\left.f\right|^{p} \\
& =\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left|\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left(\chi_{\mathrm{T}}(x)-\chi_{\mathrm{T}}(x-y)\right) f(x-y) d \mu(y)\right|^{p} d x \\
& \leqslant \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left|\left(\chi_{\mathrm{T}}(x)-\chi_{\mathrm{T}}(x-y)\right) f(x-y)\right|^{p} d|\mu|(y) d x \\
& =\iint_{\mathrm{E}}|f(x-y)|^{p} d|\mu|(y) d x
\end{aligned} \\
& \text { where } \mathrm{E}= \\
& =\mathrm{E}_{1} \cup \mathrm{E}_{2} \cup \mathrm{E}_{3} \cup \mathrm{E}_{4} \text { with }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{E}_{1}=\{(x, y):-\mathrm{T} \leqslant x \leqslant \mathrm{~T}, x+\mathrm{T} \leqslant y\} \\
& \mathrm{E}_{2}=\{(x, y):-\mathrm{T} \leqslant x \leqslant \mathrm{~T}, y \leqslant x-\mathrm{T}\} \\
& \mathrm{E}_{3}=\{(x, y): \mathrm{T} \leqslant x, x-\mathrm{T} \leqslant y \leqslant x+\mathrm{T}\}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\mathrm{E}_{4}=\{(x, y): x \leqslant-\mathrm{T}, x-\mathrm{T} \leqslant y \leqslant x+\mathrm{T}\}
$$

On the region $\mathrm{E}_{1}$, we have
$\iint_{\mathbf{E}_{1}}|f(x-y)|^{p} d|\mu|(y) d x$
$\leqslant \int_{0}^{a} \int_{-\mathrm{T}}^{y-\mathrm{T}}|f(x-y)|^{p} d x d|\mu|(y)^{\infty}$

$$
+\int_{a}^{\infty} \int_{-\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{T}}|f(x-y)|^{p} d x d|\mu|(y)
$$

$\leqslant\left(\int_{0}^{a} d|\mu|\right)\left(\int_{-\mathrm{T}-a}^{-\mathrm{T}}|f(z)|^{p} d z\right)$ $+\int_{a}^{\infty} \int_{-(\mathrm{T}+y)}^{\mathrm{T}+y}|f(z)|^{p} d z d|\mu|(y)$.
This implies that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{2 \mathrm{~T}} \iint_{\mathrm{E}_{1}}|f(x-y)|^{p} d|\mu|(y) d x \\
& \quad \leqslant \epsilon+\left(\|f\|_{\mathscr{M}^{p}}^{p}+\epsilon\right) \int_{a}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{T}+y}{\mathrm{~T}} d|\mu|(y) \\
& \quad \leqslant \epsilon+2\left(\|f\|_{\mathscr{M}^{p}}^{p}+\epsilon\right) \epsilon \tag{2.1}
\end{align*}
$$

Similarly, we can show that the inequality (2.1) also holds for $\mathrm{E}_{i}$, $i=2,3,4$. This completes the proof.

It follows from Proposition 2.1 and Lemma 2.2 that

$$
\|\Phi\|_{M_{r}^{p}} \leqslant\|\Phi\|_{L^{p}}
$$

To obtain the reverse inequality, the following is required.

Lemma 2.3. - Let $\mu \in \mathrm{M}_{1}$ and let $f \in \mathrm{~L}^{p}$. For any $\epsilon>0$, there exists an $\tilde{f} \in \mathscr{M}_{r}^{p}$ such that
i) $\|\widetilde{f}\|_{\boldsymbol{M}^{p}}^{p} \leqslant\|f\|_{L^{p}}^{p}+\epsilon$,
ii) $\|\mu * \widetilde{f}\|_{\boldsymbol{M}^{p}}^{p} \geqslant\|\mu * f\|_{\mathrm{L}^{p}}^{p}$.

Proof. - Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\operatorname{supp} f \subseteq[-\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{A}], \quad \operatorname{supp} \mu \subseteq[-\mathrm{B}, \mathrm{B}]$ and $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}>1$. Let $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{A}+\mathrm{B}$, then $\operatorname{supp}(\mu * f) \subseteq[-\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{C}]$.

Let $\mathrm{T}_{1}=\mathrm{C}$ and let $f_{1}=f$. Suppose that $\mathrm{T}_{n-1}, f_{n-1}$ have been chosen, choose $T_{n}$ such that
and

$$
\mathrm{T}_{n}>\mathrm{T}_{n-1}+2 n \mathrm{C}, \frac{\mathrm{~T}_{n}}{\mathrm{~T}_{n}+2 n \mathrm{C}} \geqslant\left(1-\frac{1}{n}\right)
$$

$$
\frac{1}{\mathrm{~T}_{n}-\mathrm{C}} \int_{0}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{~T}_{n}\left|\sum_{m=1}^{n-1} f_{m}\right|^{p}<\frac{\epsilon}{2}
$$

Let

$$
f_{n}=\frac{\mathrm{T}_{n}}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} s_{k}
$$

where

$$
g_{k}(x)=f\left(x-\mathrm{T}_{n}-2 k \mathrm{C}\right)
$$

Since each $f_{n}$ is composed of $n$ disjoint copies of $f$ and all of the $f_{n}$ 's are disjoint, it follows that the sequence $\left\{\mu * f_{n}\right\}$ has the same property. Let

$$
\widetilde{f}=2^{1 / p} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_{n}
$$

To see that $\tilde{f} \in \mathscr{M}_{r}^{p}$, observe that $\tilde{f}$ is supported by
and that

$$
\mathrm{E}=\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty}\left[\mathrm{T}_{n}-\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{~T}_{n}+(2 n-1) \mathrm{C}\right]
$$

$$
\varlimsup_{\mathrm{T} \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2 \mathrm{~T}} \int_{-\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{T}}|\widetilde{f}|^{p}=\varlimsup_{\substack{\mathrm{T} \rightarrow \infty \\ \mathrm{~T} \in \mathrm{E}}} \frac{1}{2 \mathrm{~T}} \int_{-\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{T}}|\tilde{f}|^{p}
$$

If $n_{0}$ is such that $\frac{\mathrm{T}_{n_{0}}}{\mathrm{~T}_{n_{0}}-\mathrm{C}}\|f\|_{\mathrm{L}^{p}}^{p} \leqslant\|f\|_{\mathrm{L}^{p}}^{p}+\frac{\epsilon}{2}$, then for $n>n_{0}$ and for $T \in\left[T_{n}-C, T_{n}+(2 n-1) \mathrm{C}\right]$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2 \mathrm{~T}} \int_{-\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{T}}|\tilde{f}|^{p} & \leqslant \frac{1}{\mathrm{~T}} \int_{-\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{T}}\left|\sum_{m=1}^{n} f_{m}\right|^{p} \\
& \leqslant \frac{1}{\mathrm{~T}} \int_{-\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{T}}\left|f_{n}\right|^{p}+\frac{\epsilon}{2} \\
& \leqslant \frac{\mathrm{~T}_{n}}{n \mathrm{~T}} \int_{-\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{T}} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\left|g_{k}\right|^{p} d x+\frac{\epsilon}{2} \\
& \leqslant \frac{\mathrm{~T}_{n}}{\mathrm{~T}_{n}-\mathrm{C}}\|f\|_{\mathrm{L}}^{p}+\frac{\epsilon}{2} \\
& \leqslant\|f\|_{\mathrm{L}^{p}}^{p}+\epsilon
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover, for any $T$ such that $T_{n}-C \leqslant T \leqslant T_{n+1}-C$,

$$
\frac{1}{2 \mathrm{~T}} \int_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{T}+1}|\widetilde{f}| \leqslant \frac{\mathrm{T}_{n}}{n \mathrm{~T}}\|f\|_{\mathrm{L} p}^{p} \leqslant \frac{1}{n} \cdot \frac{\mathrm{~T}_{n}}{\mathrm{~T}_{n}-\mathrm{C}}\|f\|_{\mathrm{L}^{p}}^{p}
$$

Hence $\tilde{f} \in \mathscr{M}_{r}^{p}$ and satisfies i). To prove ii), we let

$$
\mathrm{T}=\mathrm{T}_{n}+(2 n-1) \mathrm{C}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2 \mathrm{~T}} \int_{-\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{T}}|\mu * \widetilde{f}|^{p} & =\frac{1}{2 \mathrm{~T}} \int_{-\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{T}}\left|\sum_{m=1}^{n} \mu * f_{m}\right|^{p} \\
& \geqslant \frac{1}{2 \mathrm{~T}} \int_{-\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{T}}\left|\mu * f_{n}\right|^{p} \\
& \geqslant \frac{\mathrm{~T}_{n}}{\mathrm{~T}_{n}+(2 n-1) \mathrm{C}}\|\mu * f\|_{\mathrm{L}^{p}}^{p}
\end{aligned}
$$

This implies that

$$
\|\mu * \widetilde{f}\|_{\boldsymbol{M}^{p}}^{p} \geqslant\|\mu * f\|_{\mathrm{L}^{p}}^{p}
$$

Theorem 2.4. - Let $1 \leqslant p<\infty$ and let $\mu \in \mathrm{M}$. Then the convolution operator $\Phi_{\mu}: \mathscr{M}_{r}^{p} \longrightarrow \mathscr{M}_{r}^{p}$ satisfies $\left\|\Phi_{\mu}\right\|_{\boldsymbol{M}_{r}^{p}}=\left\|\Phi_{\mu}\right\|_{L^{p}}$.

Proof. - It follows from Proposition 2.1, Lemma 2.2 and Lemma 2.3 that $\left\|\Phi_{\mu}\right\|_{\mu_{r}^{p}}=\left\|\Phi_{\mu}\right\|_{L^{p}}$ for $\mu \in M_{1}$. For $\mu \in M$, there exists a sequence $\left\{\mu_{n}\right\}$ in $M_{1}$ which converges to $\mu$. Since

$$
\left\|\Phi_{\mu}-\Phi_{\mu_{n}}\right\|_{\mathcal{M}_{r}^{p}} \leqslant\left\|\Phi_{\mu}-\Phi_{\mu_{n}}\right\|_{\mathcal{M}^{p}} \leqslant\left\|\mu-\mu_{n}\right\|
$$

it follows that

$$
\left\|\Phi_{\mu}\right\|_{\mathcal{M}_{r}^{p}}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\Phi_{\mu_{n}}\right\|_{\boldsymbol{M}_{r}^{p}}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\Phi_{\mu_{n}}\right\|_{L^{p}}=\left\|\Phi_{\mu}\right\|_{L} p
$$

Let $\mathscr{I}_{\mathbb{M}_{r}^{p}}\left(\mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{L}}\right)$ denote the norm closure of the class of convolution operators on $\mathscr{M}_{r}^{p}\left(\mathrm{~L}^{p}\right.$, respectively $)$, Theorem 2.4 implies that $\mathscr{J}_{\boldsymbol{M}_{r}^{p}}$ and $\mathscr{I}_{L^{p}}$ are isometrically isomorphic. However, under the strong operator topologies, the two classes of operators are different (Theorem 2.6).

Lemma 2.5. - Let $\left\{\Phi_{\mu_{n}}\right\}$ be a sequence in $\mathscr{J}_{\mu_{r}^{p}}$. Suppose $\left\{\Phi_{\mu_{n}}\right\}$ converges to zero under the strong operator topology. Then $\left\{\Phi_{\mu_{n}}\right\}$ converges to zero under the norm topology.

Proof. - If the lemma were not true, then it follows from Theorem 2.4 and by passing to subsequence, we can assume that there exists a sequence $\left\{f_{n}\right\}$ in $L^{p}$ and an $a>0$ such that

$$
\left\|f_{n}\right\|_{\mathbf{L}^{p}}=1 \quad \text { and } \quad\left\|\mu_{n} * f_{n}\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{p}}^{p}>a \quad \forall n \in \mathrm{~N} .
$$

We will construct an $\widetilde{f} \in \mathscr{M}_{r}^{p}$ such that

$$
\left\|\mu_{n} * \widetilde{f}\right\|_{\mathscr{M}^{p}}^{p} \geqslant a \quad \forall n \in \mathrm{~N}
$$

This contradicts the hypothesis that $\left\{\Phi_{\mu_{n}}\right\}$ converges to zero under the strong operator topology.

Without loss of generality assume that for each $n$,

$$
\operatorname{supp} f_{n} \subseteq\left[-\mathbf{A}_{n}, \mathbf{A}_{n}\right], \quad \operatorname{supp} \mu_{n} \subseteq\left[-\mathbf{B}_{n}, \mathbf{B}_{n}\right]
$$

and $\left\{\mathrm{A}_{n}\right\},\left\{\mathrm{B}_{n}\right\}$ are increasing. Let $\mathrm{C}_{n}=\mathrm{A}_{n}+\mathrm{B}_{n}$. In the following, we will define two sequences $\left\{\mathrm{T}_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{h_{n}\right\}$. Let $\mathrm{T}_{1}=\mathrm{C}_{1}$, $h_{1}=f_{1}$. Given $\mathrm{T}_{n-1}, h_{n-1}$, choose $\mathrm{T}_{n}$ such that

$$
\mathrm{T}_{n}>\mathrm{T}_{n-1}+2 n \mathrm{C}_{n-1}+\mathrm{C}_{n}, \frac{\mathrm{~T}_{n}}{\mathrm{~T}_{n}+(2 n+1) \mathrm{C}_{n}} \geqslant\left(1-\frac{1}{n}\right)
$$

and

$$
\frac{1}{\mathrm{~T}_{n}} \int_{0}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{~T}_{n}\left|\sum_{m=1}^{n-1} h_{m}\right|^{p}<1
$$

Let

$$
h_{n}(x)=\frac{\mathrm{T}_{n}}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} f_{k}\left(x-\mathrm{T}_{n}-2(k-1) \mathrm{C}_{n}\right)
$$

and let

$$
\widetilde{f}=2^{1 / p} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} h_{n}
$$

then the same proof as in Lemma 2.3 shows that $\widetilde{f} \in \mathscr{M}_{r}^{p}$ and $\left\|\mu_{n} * \widetilde{f}\right\|_{\boldsymbol{M}^{p}} \geqslant a$.

The following theorem follows immediately from Lemma 2.5.
Theorem 2.6. - Let $\mathcal{I}_{M_{r}^{p}}^{p}$ be the closure of the family of convolution operators on $\mathscr{M}_{r}^{p}$. Then $\mathscr{J}_{\mathcal{M}_{r}^{p}}$ is a Banach algebra such that the strong operator sequential convergence and the norm convergence coincide.

Note that under the strong operator topology, $\mathscr{I}_{\mathrm{L}} p$ is metrizable on bounded sets, hence Theorem 2.6 does not hold for $\mathscr{I}_{\mathrm{L}}{ }^{p}$.

## 3. The Multipliers.

In this section, we will consider the convolution operator under the Wiener transformation. First, we will define the operators on $\mathscr{V}^{p}$ of multiplying by scalar functions. We need the following proposition which was proved in [8].

Proposition 3.1. - Let $1<p<\infty$. Then for any $g \in \mathscr{V}^{p}$, there exists a $g^{\prime} \in \mathscr{V}^{p} \cap \mathrm{~L}^{p}$ such that $\left\|g-g_{\mathscr{V}^{\prime}}\right\|^{p}=0$.

The proposition amounts to saying that by identifying functions whose difference has zero norm, each equivalence class has a representation in $L^{p}$.

For each $t \in \mathrm{R}$, we use $\tau_{t}$ to denote the translation operator defined by

$$
\left(\tau_{t} g\right)(u)=g(t+u)
$$

where $g$ is a function on $R$. For each $g \in \mathscr{V}^{p}$, we can rewrite the definition of $\|g\|_{\boldsymbol{V}} \boldsymbol{p}$ as
$\|g\|_{V^{p}}=\varlimsup_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}}(2 \epsilon)^{-1 / p}\left\|\tau_{\epsilon} g-\tau_{-\epsilon} g\right\|_{L^{p}}=\varlimsup_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}} \epsilon^{-1 / p}\left\|\tau_{\epsilon} g-g\right\|_{L^{p}}$.
Let $\mathscr{D}^{1 / p}$ be the class of bounded functions on R such that

$$
h(u+\epsilon)-h(u)=o\left(\epsilon^{1 / p}\right)
$$

uniformly on $u$. Let $h \in \mathscr{D}^{1 / p}$, let $g \in \mathscr{V}^{p} \cap \mathrm{~L}^{p}$ and let $h \cdot g$ be the pointwise multiplication of $h$ and $g$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
\epsilon^{-1 / p}\left\|\tau_{\epsilon}(h \cdot g)-h \cdot g\right\|_{L} p & \leqslant \epsilon^{-1 / p}\left\|h \cdot\left(\dot{\tau}_{\epsilon} g-g\right)\right\|_{L^{p}} \\
& +\epsilon^{-1 / p}\left\|\left(\tau_{\epsilon} h-h\right) \cdot \tau_{\epsilon} g\right\|_{L} p \tag{3.1}
\end{align*}
$$

Note that

$$
\begin{align*}
\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}} \epsilon^{-1 / p} & \left\|\left(\tau_{\epsilon} h-h\right) \cdot \tau_{\epsilon} g\right\|_{L^{p}} \\
& =\left\|\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}} \epsilon^{-1 / p}\left(h-\tau_{-\epsilon} h\right) \cdot g\right\|_{L^{p}} \quad \text { (by the dominated } \\
& =0 \tag{3.2}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence, (3.1) and (3.2) imply

$$
\|h \cdot g\|_{\mathscr{V}^{p}} \leqslant\|h\|_{\infty} \cdot\|g\|_{\mathcal{V}^{p}} .
$$

It also follows from the above argument that if $g$ and $g^{\prime}$ are in $\mathscr{V}^{p} \cap \mathrm{~L}^{p}$, then $h \cdot g=h \cdot g^{\prime}$ in $\mathscr{V}^{p}$. We define for $h \in \mathscr{D}^{1 / p}$ and for each $g \in \mathscr{V}^{p}$, the multiplication operator $\Psi_{h}(g)$ to be the equivalence class in $\mathscr{V}^{p}$ containing $h \cdot g^{\prime}$ where $g^{\prime} \in \mathscr{V}^{p} \cap \mathrm{~L}^{p}$ and $\left\|g-g^{\prime}\right\|_{\gamma^{p}}=0$. We still use $h \cdot g$ to denote $\Psi_{h}(g)$.

Remark. - For an arbitrary $g \in \mathscr{V}^{p}$, the pointwise multiplication $h \cdot g$ is not necessary a function in $\mathscr{V}^{p}$. For example, let $h(u)=e^{i u}$ and let $g(u)=1, u \in \mathrm{R}$, then the pointwise multiplication $h \cdot g$ is not in $\mathscr{V}^{p}$.

Proposition 3.2. - Let $1<p<\infty$ and let $h \in \mathscr{D}^{1 / p}$. Then the operator $\Psi_{h}: \mathscr{V}^{p} \longrightarrow \mathscr{V}^{p}$ defined above is a bounded linear operator with $\left\|\Psi_{h}\right\|_{\gamma^{p}} \leqslant\|h\|_{\infty}$. Moreover,

$$
\left\|\Psi_{h}(g)\right\|_{\gamma^{p}}=\overline{\lim }_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}} \epsilon^{-1 / p}\left\|h \cdot\left(\tau_{\epsilon} g-g\right)\right\|_{L^{p}}
$$

Proof. - We need only prove the last formula. The expressions (3.1) and (3.2) imply that

$$
\left\|\Psi_{h}(g)\right\|_{\gamma^{p}} \leqslant \varlimsup_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}} \epsilon^{-1 / p}\left\|h \cdot\left(\tau_{\epsilon} g-g\right)\right\|_{L^{p}}
$$

The reverse inequality is obtained by interchanging the first two terms of (3.1) and applying (3.2) again.

For each $\mu \in M_{1}$, it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{\mu}^{\prime}(u) & =\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{\hat{\mu}(u+\epsilon)-\hat{\mu}(u)}{\epsilon}=\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{1}{\epsilon} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left(e^{-i(u+\epsilon) x}-e^{-i u x}\right) d \mu(x) \\
& =-i \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-i x u} \cdot x d \mu(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, $\hat{\mu}(u+\epsilon)-\hat{\mu}(u)=o\left(\epsilon^{1 / p}\right)$ uniformly in $u$, i.e. $\hat{\mu} \in \mathscr{D}^{1 / p}$.

Corollary 3.3. - Let $1<p<\infty$ and let $\mu \in \mathrm{M}$ such that $\hat{\mu} \in \mathscr{D}^{1 / p}$. Then the operator $\Psi_{\hat{\mu}}: \mathscr{V}^{p} \longrightarrow \mathscr{V}^{p}$ is a bounded linear operator with $\left\|\Psi_{\hat{\mu}}\right\|_{\mathscr{V}} p \leqslant\|\hat{\mu}\|_{\infty}$. In particular, if $\mu \in \mathrm{M}_{1}$, then $\mu$ satisfies the inequality.

Let W be the Wiener transformation defined by (1.1).
Theorem 3.4 [8]. - The Wiener transformation W defines a bounded linear operator from $\mathscr{M}^{p}$ into $\mathscr{V}^{p^{\prime}}, 1<p \leqslant 2$, $\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}=1$.

In particular, if $p=2$, then W is an isomorphism from $\mathscr{M}^{\mathbf{2}}$ onto $\mathscr{V}^{2}$ with

$$
\|\mathrm{W}\|=\left(\int_{0}^{\infty} h(x) d x\right)^{1 / 2}, \quad\left\|\mathrm{~W}^{-1}\right\|=\left(\max _{x \geqslant 0} x \tilde{h}(x)\right)^{-1 / 2}
$$

where

$$
h(x)=\frac{2 \sin ^{2} x}{\pi x^{2}} \quad \text { and } \quad \tilde{h}(x)=\sup _{t \geqslant x} h(x), x \geqslant 0 .
$$

Lemma 3.5. - Let $1<p<\infty$ and let $h \in \mathscr{D}^{1 / p}$. Suppose $g \in \mathscr{V}^{p}$ and $g^{\prime} \in \mathscr{V}^{p} \cap \mathrm{~L}^{p}$ are such that $\| g-g_{\boldsymbol{v}^{\prime}}^{p}=0$. Then

$$
\varlimsup_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}} \epsilon^{-1 / p}\left\|h \cdot\left(\tau_{\epsilon} g-\tau_{-\epsilon} g\right)-\left(\tau_{\epsilon}\left(h \cdot g^{\prime}\right)-\tau_{-\epsilon}\left(h \cdot g^{\prime}\right)\right)\right\|_{L^{p}}=0
$$

(where the involved multiplications are pointwise multiplication).
Proof. - Observe that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varlimsup_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}} \epsilon^{-1 / p} \| h \cdot\left(\tau_{\epsilon} g\right. & \left.\left.-\tau_{-\epsilon} g\right)-\tau_{\epsilon}\left(h \cdot g^{\prime}\right)-\tau_{-\epsilon}\left(h \cdot g^{\prime}\right)\right) \|_{L^{p}} \\
& \leqslant \varlimsup_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}} \epsilon^{-1 / p}\left\|h \cdot\left(\tau_{\epsilon}\left(g-g^{\prime}\right)-\tau_{-\epsilon}\left(g-g^{\prime}\right)\right)\right\|_{L^{p}} \\
& +\varlimsup_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}} \epsilon^{-1 / p}\left\|\left(\tau_{\epsilon} h-h\right) \cdot \tau_{\epsilon} g^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{p}} \\
& +\varlimsup_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}} \epsilon^{-1 / p}\left\|\left(\tau_{-\epsilon} h-h\right) \cdot \tau_{-\epsilon} g^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{p}}
\end{aligned}
$$

The first term is not greater than

$$
\|h\|_{\infty} \varlimsup_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}} \epsilon^{-1 / p}\left\|\tau_{\epsilon}\left(g-g^{\prime}\right)-\tau_{-\epsilon}\left(g-g^{\prime}\right)\right\|_{L^{p}}
$$

which is equal to $\|h\|_{\infty} \cdot\left\|g-g^{\prime}\right\|_{\boldsymbol{r}^{p}}$ and by hypothesis, it equals
zero. By an argument similar to (3.2), the second and the third term are also zero. This completes the proof of the lemma.

For an $f \in \mathrm{~L}^{p}, \quad 1<p \leqslant 2$, we will use $\hat{f}$ to denote the Fourier transformation of $f$ in $\mathrm{L}^{p^{\prime}}$. It is well known that for the above $f$,

$$
\left(\int_{\mathrm{R}}|\hat{f}(u)|^{p^{\prime}} \frac{d u}{\sqrt{2 \pi}}\right)^{1 / p^{\prime}} \leqslant\left(\int_{\mathrm{R}}|f(x)|^{p} \frac{d x}{\sqrt{2 \pi}}\right)^{1 / p}:
$$

Theorem 3.6. - Let $1<p \leqslant 2, \frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}=1$. Then for any
$\boldsymbol{\mu}^{p}, \mu \in M$ such that $\hat{\mu} \in \mathscr{D}^{1 / p^{\prime}}$, $f \in \mathscr{M}^{p}, \mu \in M$ such that $\hat{\mu} \in \mathscr{D}^{1 / p^{\prime}}$,

$$
\mathrm{W}(\mu * f)=\hat{\mu} \cdot \mathrm{W} f \quad \text { in } \quad \mathscr{V}^{p^{\prime}} .
$$

Proof. - First consider the case that $\mu$ has bounded support, say, $\operatorname{supp} \mu \subseteq[-\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{A}]$. Without loss of generality assume that $\|\mu\|=1$ and let

$$
\mathrm{W}(f)=g \quad \text { and } \quad \mathrm{W}(\mu * f)=g_{1} .
$$

In view of Lemma 3.5, it suffices to show that

$$
\varlimsup_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}} \epsilon^{-1 / p^{\prime}}\left\|\left(\tau_{\epsilon} g_{1}-\tau_{-\epsilon} g_{1}\right)-\hat{\mu} \cdot\left(\tau_{\epsilon} g-\tau_{-\epsilon} g\right)\right\|_{L^{p^{\prime}}}=0 .
$$

Since ( $\tau_{\epsilon} g-\tau_{-\epsilon} g$ ) is the Fourier transformation of

$$
h(x)=\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} f(x) \frac{\sin \epsilon x}{x},
$$

it follows that $\left(\tau_{\epsilon} g_{1}-\tau_{-\epsilon} g_{1}\right)$ is the Fourier transformation of

$$
h_{1}(x)=\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}}(\mu * f)(x) \frac{\sin \epsilon x}{x},
$$

and both $h_{1}$ and $h$ are in $\mathrm{L}^{p}$ (cf. [8, Theorem 5.5]). Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (2 \epsilon)^{-1 / p^{\prime}}\left\|\left(\tau_{\epsilon} g_{1}-\tau_{-\epsilon} g_{1}\right)-\hat{\mu} \cdot\left(\tau_{\epsilon} g-\tau_{-\epsilon} g\right)\right\|_{L^{p^{\prime}}} \\
& =(2 \epsilon)^{-1 / p^{\prime}}\left\|\left(h_{1}-h\right)^{\hat{}}\right\|_{L^{p^{\prime}}} \\
& =(2 \epsilon)^{-1 / p^{\prime}}\left(\sqrt{2 \pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left|\left(h_{1}-h\right)^{\wedge}\right|^{p^{\prime}} \frac{d u}{\sqrt{2 \pi}}\right)^{1 / p^{\prime}} \\
& \leqslant(2 \epsilon)^{-1 / p^{\prime}}(2 \pi)^{1 / 2 p^{\prime}}\left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left|h_{1}-h\right|^{p} \frac{d u}{\sqrt{2 \pi}}\right)^{1 / p} \\
& =\left(\frac{1}{\pi \epsilon^{p-1}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left|\int_{-\mathrm{A}}^{\mathrm{A}} f(x-y)\left(\frac{\sin \epsilon x}{x}-\frac{\sin \epsilon(x-y)}{x-y}\right) d \mu(y)\right|^{p} d x\right)^{1 / p}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \leqslant\left(\frac{1}{\pi \epsilon^{p-1}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\mathrm{A}}^{\mathrm{A}}|f(x-y)|^{p}\left|\frac{\sin \epsilon x}{x}-\frac{\sin \epsilon(x-y)}{x-y}\right|^{p} d|\mu|(y) d x\right)^{1 / p} \\
& \leqslant\left(\frac{1}{\pi \epsilon^{p-1}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\mathrm{A}}^{\mathrm{A}}|f(x-y)|^{p}\left(\frac{8 \epsilon|y|}{|x|+|y|}\right)^{p} d|\mu|(y) d x\right)^{1 / p} \tag{15,p.157}
\end{align*}
$$

$\leqslant 8 \pi^{-1 / p} \cdot \epsilon^{1 / p}\left(\int_{y \mid<1} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}|f(x-y)|^{p} \frac{1}{|x|^{p}+1} d x d|\mu|(y)\right.$

$$
\left.+\int_{1<|y|<\mathrm{A}}\left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}|f(x-y)|^{p} \frac{1}{|x|^{p}+1} d x\right)|y|^{p} d|\mu|(y)\right)
$$

The fact that $\mathscr{M}^{p} \subseteq \mathrm{~L}^{p}\left(\mathrm{R}, \frac{d x}{|x|^{p}+1}\right)$ [8, Proposition 2.1] implies that the last two terms of the above inequality are bounded. Hence

$$
\varlimsup_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}} \epsilon^{-1 / p^{\prime}}\left\|\left(\tau_{\epsilon} g_{1}-\tau_{-\epsilon} g_{1}\right)-\mu \cdot\left(\tau_{\epsilon} g-\tau_{-\epsilon} g\right)\right\|_{L^{p^{\prime}}}=0
$$

This completes the proof of the theorem for measures $\mu$ with bounded support. Now, for any $\mu \in M_{1}$, there exists a sequence of $\left\{\mu_{n}\right\}$ with bounded support such that $\left\|\mu_{n}-\mu\right\| \longrightarrow 0$ as $n \longrightarrow \infty$. Corollary 3.3 implies

Hence

$$
\left\|\Psi_{\mu_{n}}-\Psi_{\mu}\right\|_{\gamma^{p^{\prime}}} \leqslant\left\|\hat{\mu}_{n}-\hat{\mu}\right\|_{\infty} \leqslant\left\|\mu_{n}-\mu\right\|
$$

$$
\mathrm{W}(\mu * f)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathrm{~W}\left(\mu_{n} * f\right)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \hat{\mu}_{n} \cdot \mathrm{~W}(f)=\hat{\mu} \cdot \mathrm{W}(f)
$$

Let $\mu \in M$ and define the multiplication operator $\Psi_{\hat{\mu}}$ : $\mathscr{V}^{p} \longrightarrow \mathscr{V}^{p}$ as the limit of $\Psi_{\mu_{n}}, \mu_{n} \in \mathscr{D}^{1 / p}$.

Corollary 3.7. - Let $\quad 1<p \leqslant 2, \quad \frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}=1 . \quad$ For each $\mu \in M$, let $\Phi_{\mu}$ be the convolution operator of $\mu$ on $\mathscr{M}^{p}$ and let $\Psi_{\hat{\mu}}$ be the multiplication oqerator on $\mathscr{V}^{p}$. Then for any $f \in \mathscr{M}^{p}$,

$$
\mathrm{W}\left(\Phi_{\mu} f\right)=\Psi_{\hat{\mu}}(\mathrm{W}(f))
$$

Let $\mathscr{V}_{r}^{2}=\mathrm{W}\left(\mathscr{M}_{r}^{2}\right)$, then the following result follows from Theorem 2.4, Corollary 3.3, Theorem 3.4 and Theorem 3.6.

Corollary 3.8. - For each $\mu \in \mathrm{M}$, we have

$$
\mathrm{C}^{-1}\left\|\Phi_{\mu}\right\|_{\mathcal{M}_{r}^{2}} \leqslant\left\|\Psi_{\hat{\mu}}\right\|_{\mathcal{M}_{r}^{2}} \leqslant\left\|\Phi_{\mu}\right\|_{\mathcal{M}_{r}^{2}}=\|\hat{\mu}\|_{\infty}
$$

where $\mathrm{C}=\|\mathrm{W}\| \cdot\left\|\mathrm{W}^{-1}\right\|$.

## 4. A Tauberian Theorem.

In [15, Theorem 29], Wiener proved a Tauberian theorem on $\mathscr{M}^{\mathbf{2}}$. In this section, by making use of his idea and the results in the previous section, we can simplify his argument and extend the theorem.

Lemma 4.1. - Let $\mu \in \mathrm{M}$ such that $\hat{\mu} \in \mathscr{D}^{1 / 2}$ and $\hat{\mu}(u) \neq 0$ for all $u$ in R . If $f \in \mathscr{M}^{2}$ is such that $\|\mu * f\|_{\boldsymbol{\mu}^{2}}=0$. Then $g=\mathrm{W}(f)$ satisfies

$$
\overline{\lim }_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{1}{\epsilon} \int_{-\mathrm{C}}^{\mathrm{C}}|g(u+\epsilon)-g(u)|^{2} d u=0 \quad \forall \mathrm{C}>0
$$

Proof. - Since $\hat{\mu}$ is continuous and $\hat{\mu} \neq 0$, there exists a $\mathrm{Q}>0$ such that $|\hat{\mu}(u)|>\mathrm{Q}$ for all $u \in[-\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{C}]$. Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varlimsup_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{\mathrm{Q}^{2}}{\epsilon} \int_{-\mathrm{C}}^{\mathrm{C}} & |g(u+\epsilon)-g(u)|^{2} d u \\
& \leqslant \varlimsup_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{1}{\epsilon} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}|\hat{\mu}(u)|^{2}|g(u+\epsilon)-g(u)|^{2} d u \\
& =\|\mathrm{W}(\mu * f)\|_{\mathscr{V}^{2}}^{2} \quad \text { (by Proposition 3.2 and Theorem 3.6) } \\
& \leqslant\|\mathrm{W}\|^{2} \cdot\|\mu * f\|_{\mathbb{M}^{2}}^{2} \\
& =0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 4.2. - Let $\nu$ be a continuous measure in M such that $\hat{\nu} \in \mathscr{D}^{1 / 2}$. Let $f \in \mathscr{M}^{2}$ and let $g=\mathrm{W}(f)$. Then

$$
\lim _{c \rightarrow \infty} \varlimsup_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{1}{\epsilon}\left(\int_{-\infty}^{-c}+\int_{C}^{\infty}\right)|\hat{\nu}(u)|^{2}|g(u+\epsilon)-g(u)|^{2}=0 .
$$

Proof. - We will estimate the following limit :

$$
\lim _{\eta \rightarrow 0^{+}} \varlimsup_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{1}{\epsilon} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left|1-\frac{e^{i u \eta}-1}{i u \eta}\right|^{2}|\hat{\nu}(u)|^{2} \cdot|g(u+\epsilon)-g(u)|^{2} .
$$

Since $\nu$ is a continuous measure, $\lim _{|u| \rightarrow \infty} \hat{\nu}(u)=0$. Also note that

$$
\left|1-\frac{e^{i u \eta}-1}{i u \eta}\right|
$$

is bounded, and for any $\mathrm{A}>0$,

$$
\lim _{\eta \rightarrow 0^{+}}\left|1-\frac{e^{i u \eta}-1}{i u \eta}\right|=0 \text { uniformly for } u \in[-\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{~A}] .
$$

For $\epsilon_{0}>0$, there exists $A_{0}$ such that for $A \geqslant A_{0},|\hat{\nu}(u)| \leqslant \frac{\epsilon_{0}}{K_{1}}$ where $K_{1}(>1)$ is the bound of $\left|1-\frac{e^{i u \eta}-1}{i u \eta}\right|$. There exists $\begin{array}{r}K_{1} \\ \eta_{0}\end{array}$ such that for $0<\eta<\eta_{0}$

$$
\left|1-\frac{e^{i u \eta}-1}{i u \eta}\right|<\frac{\epsilon_{0}}{\mathbf{K}_{2}}, \quad u \in\left[-\mathbf{A}_{0}, \mathbf{A}_{0}\right]
$$

where $K_{2}(>1)$ is a bound of $\hat{v}$ in $\left[-A_{0}, A_{0}\right]$. Hence, for $0<\eta<\eta_{0}$,

$$
\left|1-\frac{e^{i u \eta}-1}{i u \eta}\right| \cdot|\hat{\mu}(u)|<\epsilon_{0}, \quad u \in \mathrm{R}
$$

and
$\varlimsup_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{1}{\epsilon} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left|1-\frac{e^{i u \eta}-1}{i u \eta}\right|^{2}|\hat{\nu}(u)|^{2}|g(u+\epsilon)-g(u)|^{2} \leqslant \epsilon_{0}\|g\|_{\mathscr{V}^{2}}$.
This implies
$\lim _{\eta \rightarrow 0^{+}} \varlimsup_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{1}{\epsilon} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left|1-\frac{e^{i u \eta}-1}{i u \eta}\right|^{2}|\hat{\nu}(u)|^{2}|g(u+\epsilon)-g(u)|^{2}=0$.
Since $\left|1-\frac{e^{i u \eta}-1}{i u \eta}\right|>\frac{1}{2}$ for any $u \eta>4$, we have

$$
\lim _{\eta \rightarrow 0^{+}} \varlimsup_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{1}{\epsilon}\left(\int_{-\infty}^{-4 / \eta}+\int_{4 / \eta}^{\infty}\right)|\hat{\nu}(u)|^{2}|g(u+\epsilon)-g(u)|^{2}=0
$$

Theorem 4.3. - Let $\mu \in M$ such that $\hat{\mu} \in \mathscr{D}^{1 / 2}$ and $\hat{\mu}(u) \neq 0$ for all $u$ in R . Suppose $f \in \mathscr{M}^{2}$ satisfies

$$
\lim _{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2 T} \int_{-T}^{T}|\mu * f|^{2}=0
$$

Then for any continuous measure $\nu \in M$ such that $\hat{\nu} \in \mathscr{D}^{1 / 2}$,

$$
\lim _{\mathrm{T} \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2 \mathrm{~T}} \int_{-\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{T}}|\nu * f|^{2}=0
$$

Proof. - Lemma 4.1 implies that for any $\mathrm{C}>0$,

$$
\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{1}{\epsilon} \int_{-\mathrm{C}}^{\mathrm{C}}|\hat{\nu}(u)|^{2}|g(u+\epsilon)-g(u)|^{2}=0
$$

Also by Lemma 4.2,

$$
\lim _{C \rightarrow \infty} \varlimsup_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{1}{\epsilon}\left(\int_{-\infty}^{-C}+\int_{C}^{\infty}\right)|\hat{\nu}(u)|^{2}|g(u+\epsilon)-g(u)|^{2}=0
$$

This implies that $\|\hat{\nu} \cdot g\|_{\mathscr{V}^{2}}=0$. By Theorem 3.4 and Theorem 3.6, $\|\nu * f\|_{\mathfrak{r}^{2}}=0$.

## 5. Some Remarks.

In Section 2, we proved that the convolution operator $\Phi_{\mu}: \mathscr{M}_{r}^{p} \longrightarrow \mathscr{M}_{r}^{p}$ satisfies $\left\|\Phi_{\mu}\right\|_{\mathscr{M}_{r}^{p}}=\left\|\Phi_{\mu}\right\|_{L^{p}}$, we do not know whether or not $\Phi_{\mu}: \mathscr{M}^{p} \longrightarrow \mathscr{M}^{p r}$ will satisfy the same equality.

An operator $\Phi: \mathrm{L}^{p} \longrightarrow \mathrm{~L}^{p}$ is called a multiplier if $\Phi \tau_{t}=\tau_{t} \Phi$ for $t \in R$. The relationship of multipliers and the equation $\Phi(f)^{\wedge}=h \cdot f^{-}$for some bounded function $h$ on R is generally well known. Also, the class of multipliers on $L^{p}$ equals the strongoperator closure of the class of convolution operators. However, nothing is known for the multipliers on $\mathscr{M}^{p}$. It would be nice to have complete characterizations of the multiplier on $\mathscr{M}^{p}$, especially on $\mathscr{M}^{2}$.

In Section 4, we can only prove the Tauberian theorem on $\mathscr{M}^{2}$ (Theorem 4.3). For $1<p<2$, the Wiener transformation is well defined. All the proofs in Section 4 will go through except the last step in Theorem 4.3. It depends on the following statement which has to be justified:

For $1<p<2$, the Wiener transformation $\mathrm{W}: \mathscr{M}^{p} \longrightarrow \mathscr{V}^{p^{\prime}}$ is one to one.

Note that the statement is true for the Fourier transformation from $\mathrm{L}^{p}$ to $\mathrm{L}^{p^{\prime}}, 1 \leqslant p<2$ 。

In our Tauberian Theorem, we have to assume that

$$
\lim _{\mathrm{T} \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2 \mathrm{~T}} \int_{-\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{T}}|\mu * f|^{2}=0
$$

We do not know whether the conclusion holds if we let $f \in \mathscr{W}^{2}$ and replace the zero by a positive number. Also, we do not know whether the condition on $\mu$ and $\nu$ in Theorem 4.3 can be relaxed.

## BIBLIOGRAPHY

[1] K. Anzai, S. Koizumi and K. Matsuoka, On the Wiener formula of functions of two variables, Tokyo J. Math., (to appear).
[2] J.P. Bertrandias, Espaces de fonctions bornées et continues en moyenne asymptotique d'ordre $p$, Bull. Soc. Math. France, (1966), Mémoire 5.
[3] J.P. Bertrandias, Opérateurs subordinatifs sur des espaces de fonctions bornées en moyenne quadratique, J. Math. Pures et Appl., 52 (1973), 27-63.
[4] H. Bohr and E. Følner, On some types of functional spaces, Acta Math., 76 (1944), 31-155.
[5] G. Hardy and J. Littlewood, Some properties of fractional integrals, Math. Zeit., 27 (1928), 564-606.
[6] E. Hewitt and R. Ross, Abstract Harmonic Analysis II, Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1970.
[7] K. LaU, On the Banach spaces of functions with bounded upper means, Pacific J. Math., (1980), to appear.
[8] K. LaU and J. Lee. On generalized harmonic analysis, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 259 (1980), 75-97.
[9] R. Larsen, An introduction to the theory of multipliers, Springer Verlag, Berlin, (1971).
[10] P. Masani, Commentary on the memoire on generalized harmonic analysis [30a], Norbert Wiener: Collected Works, MIT Press, (1979), 333-379.
[11] R. Nelson, The spaces of functions of finite upper p-variation, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 253 (1979), 171-190.
[12] R. Nelson, Pointwise evaluation of Bochner integrals in Marcinkiewicz space, (to appear).
[13] N. Wiener, Generalized harmonic analysis, Acta Math., 55 (1930), 117-258.
[14] N. Wiener, Tauberian theorems Ann. of Math., 33 (1932), 1-100.
[15] N. WIENER, The Fourier integral and certain of its applications, Dover, New York, 1959.

Manuscrit reçu le 15 décembre 1980.
Ka-Sing LaU,
University of Pittsburgh
Faculty of Arts and Sciences
Department of Mathematics \& Statistics
Pittsburgh, Pa. 15260 (USA).

