

ANNALES DE L'INSTITUT FOURIER

KYOJI SAITO

On a generalization of de Rham lemma

Annales de l'institut Fourier, tome 26, n° 2 (1976), p. 165-170

http://www.numdam.org/item?id=AIF_1976__26_2_165_0

© Annales de l'institut Fourier, 1976, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Annales de l'institut Fourier » (<http://annalif.ujf-grenoble.fr/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques

<http://www.numdam.org/>

ON A GENERALIZATION OF DE-RHAM LEMMA

by Kyoji SAITO

In this short note, we give a proof of a theorem (cf. § 1) which is a generalization of a lemma due to de-Rham [1] and which was announced and used in [2].

As no proof of this theorem was available in the literature, Lê Dũng Tráng pushed me to publish it: I am grateful to him.

1. Notations and formulations of the theorem.

Let R be a noetherian commutative ring with unit. The profondeur of an ideal \mathfrak{A} of R is the maximal length q of sequences $a_1, \dots, a_q \in \mathfrak{A}$ with:

i) a_1 is a non-zero-divisor of R .

ii) a_i is a non-zero-divisor of $R/a_1R + \dots + a_{i-1}R$, $i=2, \dots, q$.

Let M be a free R -module of finite rank n . We denote by

$\bigwedge^p M$ the p -th exterior product of M (with $\bigwedge^0 M = R$ and $\bigwedge^{-1} M = 0$).

Let $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_k$ be given elements of M , and (e_1, \dots, e_n) be a free basis of M ,

$$\omega_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_k = \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq n} a_{i_1 \dots i_k} e_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{i_k}.$$

We call \mathfrak{A} : the ideal of R generated by the coefficients $a_{i_1 \dots i_k}$, $1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq n$. (We put $\mathfrak{A} = R$, when $k = 0$.)

Then we define :

$$\begin{aligned} Z^p &:= \{ \omega \in \bigwedge^p M : \omega \wedge \omega_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \omega_k = 0 \} \quad p = 0, 1, 2, \dots \\ H^p &:= Z^p / \sum_{i=1}^k \omega_i \wedge \bigwedge^{p-1} M \quad p = 0, 1, 2, \dots \end{aligned}$$

In the case when $k = 0$, we understand $Z^p = 0$, $H^p = 0$ for $p = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

THEOREM. — i) *There exists an integer $m \geq 0$ such that :*

$$\mathcal{C}^m H^p = 0 \quad \text{for } p = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

ii) $H^p = 0$ for $0 \leq p < \text{prof}(\mathcal{C})$.

2. Proof of the theorem.

Proof of i). — Since R is noetherian, we have only to show for any $\omega \in Z^p$ and any coefficients $a_{i_1 \dots i_k}$,

$$1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_k \leq n,$$

there exists an integer $m \geq 0$ such that

$$(a_{i_1 \dots i_k})^m \omega \in \sum_{i=1}^k \omega_i \wedge \bigwedge^{p-1} M.$$

If $a_{i_1 \dots i_k}$ is nilpotent, then nothing is to show. Suppose $a_{i_1 \dots i_k} = a$ is not nilpotent and let $R_{(a)}$ be the localization of R by the powers of $a = a_{i_1 \dots i_k}$. There is a canonical morphism $R \rightarrow R_{(a)}$ and we denote by $[\omega]$ the image of $\omega \in \bigwedge^p M$ in $\left(\bigwedge^p M \right) \otimes_R R_{(a)} \left(= \bigwedge^p \left(M \otimes_R R_{(a)} \right) \right)$ because M is free over R).

Since the ideal in $R_{(a)}$ generated by the coefficients of $[\omega_1] \wedge \cdots \wedge [\omega_k]$ contains the image of $a = a_{i_1 \dots i_k}$ in $R_{(a)}$, it coincides with $R_{(a)}$ and we may consider

$$[\omega_1], \dots, [\omega_k]$$

as a part of free basis of $M \otimes_R R_{(a)}$. We add some other elements $[e_1], \dots, [e_{n-k}]$ such that

$$[\omega_1], \dots, [\omega_k], [e_1], \dots, [e_{n-k}]$$

give a basis of $M \otimes_R R_{(\alpha)}$. Then any element

$$[\omega] \in \bigwedge^p (M \otimes_R R_{(\alpha)})$$

can be developed in the form :

$$[\omega] = \sum_{l+m=p} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_l \leq k \\ 1 \leq j_1 < \dots < j_m \leq n-k}} a_{i_1 \dots i_l, j_1 \dots j_m} [\omega_{i_1}] \wedge \dots \wedge [\omega_{i_l}] \wedge [e_{j_1}] \wedge \dots \wedge [e_{j_m}].$$

Then the fact $[\omega] \wedge [\omega_1] \wedge \dots \wedge [\omega_k] = 0$ is equivalent to the existence of some $\eta'_i \in \bigwedge^{p-1} (M \otimes_R R_{(\alpha)})$ $i = 1, \dots, k$ with $[\omega] = \sum_{i=1}^k \eta'_i \wedge [\omega_i]$. Let us take $\eta_i \in \bigwedge^{p-1} M$ and $m_1 \geq 0$ with $\eta'_i = a^{-m_1} [\eta_i]$ $i = 1, \dots, k$.

Then we have :

$$\left[a^{m_1} \omega - \sum_{i=1}^k \eta_i \wedge \omega_i \right] = a^{m_1} [\omega] - \sum_{i=1}^k [\eta_i] \wedge [\omega_i] = 0.$$

By the definition of $R_{(\alpha)}$, there exists some $m_2 \geq 0$ such that

$$a^{m_2} \left\{ a^{m_1} \omega - \sum_{i=1}^k \eta_i \wedge \omega_i \right\} = 0 \text{ in } \bigwedge^p M.$$

This completes the proof of i).

Proof of ii). We prove it by double induction on (p, k) for $p, k \geq 0$.

a) In the case $k = 0$, the assertion is trivially true by the definition of H^p .

b) Case $p = 0$.

Let $\omega \in \bigwedge^0 M = R$ with $\omega \wedge \omega_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_k = 0$. The fact $p = 0 < \text{prof}(\mathcal{A})$ implies the existence of $a \in \mathcal{A}$, which is non-zero-divisor of R . Since $a\omega = 0$, we get $\omega = 0$.

c) Case $0 < p < \text{prof}(\mathcal{A})$ and $0 < k$.

The induction hypothesis is then, that for $(p - 1, k)$ and $(p, k - 1)$ the assertion ii) of the theorem is true.

Let $a \in \mathcal{A}$ be a non-zero-divisor of R . According to i), there exists an integer $m > 0$ with $a^m H^p = 0$. Since $a^m \in \mathcal{A}$ is again a non-zero-divisor of R , we may assume that $m = 1$.

We denote by $\bar{\omega}$ the image of $\omega \in \bigwedge^p M$ in

$$\left(\bigwedge^p M\right) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{R}/a\mathbb{R} \simeq \bigwedge^p \left(M \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{R}/a\mathbb{R}\right).$$

For $\omega \in Z^p$, we have a presentation :

$$(*) \quad a\omega = \sum_{i=1}^k \eta_i \wedge \omega_i, \quad \text{with } \eta_i \in \bigwedge^{p-1} M.$$

We have then: $0 = \sum_{i=1}^k \bar{\eta}_i \wedge \bar{\omega}_i$.

For any $1 \leq j \leq k$, we get :

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\eta}_j \wedge \bar{\omega}_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \omega_k &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^k \bar{\eta}_i \wedge \bar{\omega}_i \right) \\ &\wedge ((-1)^{j-1} \bar{\omega}_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \hat{\bar{\omega}}_j \wedge \cdots \wedge \bar{\omega}_k) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Here the symbol $\hat{}$ means, we omit the corresponding term. Since the ideal of $\mathbb{R}/a\mathbb{R}$ generated by the coefficients of $\bar{\omega}_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \bar{\omega}_k$ is equal to $\mathfrak{A}/a\mathbb{R}$ and

$$\text{prof } \mathfrak{A}/a\mathbb{R} = \text{prof } \mathfrak{A} - 1 \geq p - 1 \geq 0,$$

we can apply to $\bar{\eta}_j$ the induction hypothesis for $(p-1, k)$; there exist $\bar{\xi}_{ji} \in \bigwedge^{p-2} M$, $j, i = 1, \dots, k$, such that

$$\bar{\eta}_j = \sum_{i=1}^k \bar{\xi}_{ji} \wedge \bar{\omega}_i, \quad j = 1, \dots, k.$$

Lifting back this relation to $\bigwedge^{p-1} M$, we find some $\zeta_j \in \bigwedge^{p-1} M$, $j = 1, \dots, k$, such that

$$\eta_j = \sum_{i=1}^k \xi_{ji} \wedge \omega_i + a\zeta_j \quad j = 1, \dots, k.$$

Replacing η_j in the presentation (*) by this, we obtain :

$$a \left(\omega - \sum_{j=1}^k \zeta_j \wedge \omega_j \right) = \sum_{i,j=1}^k \xi_{ji} \wedge \omega_i \wedge \omega_j.$$

Multiplying by $\omega_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge \omega_k$, we have :

$$a \left(\omega - \sum_{i=1}^k \zeta_i \wedge \omega_i \right) \wedge \omega_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge \omega_k = 0.$$

Since a is a non-zero-divisor of R , we have :

$$\left(\omega - \sum_{i=1}^k \zeta_i \wedge \omega_i \right) \wedge \omega_2 \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_k = 0.$$

Now since the ideal \mathcal{A}' generated by the coefficients of $\omega_2 \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_k$ contains the ideal \mathcal{A} , we have $\text{prof } \mathcal{A}' \geq \text{prof } \mathcal{A} > p$. Again by the induction hypothesis for $(p, k - 1)$, we find some $\theta_j \in \bigwedge_{j=2}^{p-1} M, j = 2, \dots, k$ with

$$\omega - \sum_{i=1}^k \zeta_i \wedge \omega_i = \sum_{j=2}^k \theta_j \wedge \omega_i.$$

This ends the proof of ii).

3. Remark.

We can formulate the theorem in § 2, for a more general class of modules M than the one of free modules, as follows.

Let M be a R -finite module with homological dimension $hd_R(M) \leq 1$, and $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_k$ be elements of M . Since $hd_R(M) \leq 1$, we have a free resolution :

$$0 \rightarrow L_1 \rightarrow L_2 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0.$$

Let $\tilde{\omega}_1, \dots, \tilde{\omega}_k$ be some liftings of $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_k$ in L_2 and $\tilde{e}_1, \dots, \tilde{e}_m$ be images in L_2 of a free basis e_1, \dots, e_m of L_1 . Let \mathcal{A} be the ideal of R generated by coefficients of $\tilde{\omega}_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \tilde{\omega}_k \wedge \tilde{e}_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \tilde{e}_m$.

Since \mathcal{A} can be considered as a Fitting ideal of the following resolution :

$$L_1 \oplus R^k \rightarrow L_2 \rightarrow M / \sum_{i=1}^k R\omega_i \rightarrow 0.$$

we obtain the following lemma.

LEMMA. — \mathcal{A} does only depend on M and $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_k$ and does depend neither on the choice of $\tilde{\omega}_1, \dots, \tilde{\omega}_k$ and e_1, \dots, e_m nor on the resolution of M , we have used.

Let us define again :

$$H^p = \left\{ \omega \in \bigwedge^p M : \omega \wedge \omega_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_k = 0 \right\} / \sum_{i=1}^k \omega_i \wedge \bigwedge^{p-1} M.$$

Then we obtain again: i) $\mathcal{A}^m H^p = 0$, $p = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ for some $m > 0$ and ii) $H^p = 0$ for $0 \leq p < \text{prof } \mathcal{A}$.

For the proof we have only to apply the theorem to L_2 and $\tilde{\omega}_1, \dots, \tilde{\omega}_k, \tilde{e}_1, \dots, \tilde{e}_m$.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [1] G. DE RHAM, Sur la division de formes et de courants par une forme linéaire, *Comment. Math. Helv.*, 28 (1954), 346-352.
- [2] K. SAITO, Calcul algébrique de la monodromie, Société Mathématique de France, *Astérisque*, 7 et 8 (1973), 195-212.

Manuscrit reçu le 28 juillet 1975

Proposé par B. Malgrange.

Kyoji SAITO,

Department of Mathematics

University of Tokyo

College of General Education

(Kyoyo-Gakubu)

Komaba, Meguro-cho, Tokyo (Japan)