



# ANNALES

DE

# L'INSTITUT FOURIER

Alexandru DIMCA & Morihiko SAITO

**Some consequences of perversity of vanishing cycles**

Tome 54, n° 6 (2004), p. 1769-1792.

[http://aif.cedram.org/item?id=AIF\\_2004\\_\\_54\\_6\\_1769\\_0](http://aif.cedram.org/item?id=AIF_2004__54_6_1769_0)

© Association des Annales de l'institut Fourier, 2004, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux articles de la revue « Annales de l'institut Fourier » (<http://aif.cedram.org/>), implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://aif.cedram.org/legal/>). Toute reproduction en tout ou partie cet article sous quelque forme que ce soit pour tout usage autre que l'utilisation à fin strictement personnelle du copiste est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

cedram

*Article mis en ligne dans le cadre du  
Centre de diffusion des revues académiques de mathématiques  
<http://www.cedram.org/>*

## SOME CONSEQUENCES OF PERVERSITY OF VANISHING CYCLES

by Alexandru DIMCA and Morihiko SAITO

---

### Introduction.

Let  $f$  be a nonconstant holomorphic function on a complex analytic space  $X$ . For each  $x \in Y := f^{-1}(0)$ , we have the vanishing cohomology  $\tilde{H}^j(F_x, \mathbb{Q})$  where  $F_x$  denotes the (typical) fiber of the Milnor fibration around  $x$ , and  $\tilde{H}$  means the reduced cohomology. It has been observed by many people that there are certain relations between the  $\tilde{H}^j(F_x, \mathbb{Q})$  for  $x \in Y$ . It is well-known that they form a constructible sheaf on  $Y$  (called the vanishing cohomology sheaf). P. Deligne [7] constructed a sheaf complex  $\varphi_f \mathbb{Q}_X$  on  $Y$  (called the vanishing cycle complex) such that its cohomology sheaves  $\mathcal{H}^j \varphi_f \mathbb{Q}_X$  are the vanishing cohomology sheaves.

Let  $L_x$  denote the intersection of  $Y$  with a sufficiently small sphere around  $x \in Y$  (in a smooth ambient space), which is called the *link* of  $\{x\}$  in  $Y$ . Let  $T_u, T_s$  be respectively the unipotent and semisimple part of the monodromy  $T$ , and put  $N = \log T_u$ . Let  $\tilde{H}^{n-1}(F_x, \mathbb{Q})_1$  and  $\tilde{H}^{n-1}(F_x, \mathbb{Q})_{\neq 1}$  denote the unipotent and non unipotent monodromy part, which are defined by  $\text{Ker}(T_s - 1)$  and  $\bigoplus_{\lambda \neq 1} \text{Ker}(T_s - \lambda)$  (after a scalar extension) respectively, and similarly for the cohomology with compact supports.

**THEOREM 0.1.** — *Assume that  $\mathbb{Q}_X[n+1]$  is a perverse sheaf (e.g.  $X$  is a locally complete intersection of dimension  $n+1$ ), and  $n \geq 1$ . Then there are canonical isomorphisms*

$$\tilde{H}^j(F_x, \mathbb{Q}) = \mathbb{H}^j(L_x, \varphi_f \mathbb{Q}_X|_{L_x}) \quad \text{for } j < n-1,$$

---

Keywords: Milnor filtration –Perverse sheaf –Vanishing cycles.

Math. classification: 14B05 – 14D05 – 14F17 – 32S20 – 32S25 – 32S40 – 32S55.

and a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \tilde{H}^{n-1}(F_x, \mathbb{Q}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{H}^{n-1}(L_x, \varphi_f \mathbb{Q}_X|_{L_x}) \longrightarrow K_x \rightarrow 0.$$

Here  $K_x$  is the kernel of a morphism  $\beta_\varphi$  which is the direct sum of

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_{\varphi,1}: H_c^n(F_x, \mathbb{Q})_1(-1) &\longrightarrow H^n(F_x, \mathbb{Q})_1, \\ \beta_{\varphi,\neq 1}: H_c^n(F_x, \mathbb{Q})_{\neq 1} &\longrightarrow H^n(F_x, \mathbb{Q})_{\neq 1}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $(-1)$  denotes the Tate twist, and  $\beta_{\varphi,\neq 1}$  coincides with the natural morphism (i.e. corresponds to the natural intersection form if  $X$  is a rational homology manifold). If  $X$  is a rational homology manifold at  $x$ , then  $N\beta_{\varphi,1}$  coincides with the natural morphism. These morphisms and the short exact sequence are compatible with mixed Hodge structure.

In the 1-dimensional singular locus case, a similar assertion was obtained in [18], [19], see also [1]. Theorem 0.1 means that  $\tilde{H}^j(F_x, \mathbb{Q})$  for  $j < n - 1$  (resp.  $j = n - 1$ ) is completely (resp. partially) determined by the restriction of  $\varphi_f \mathbb{Q}_X$  to the complement of  $x$ , and only  $\tilde{H}^n(F_x, \mathbb{Q})$  is essentially interesting if we know well about the restriction of  $\varphi_f \mathbb{Q}_X$  to the complement of  $x$ . The proof easily follows from the well-known fact that the vanishing cycle complex  $\varphi_f \mathbb{Q}_X$  is a (shifted) perverse sheaf. Actually, the first two assertions of Theorem 0.1 are essentially equivalent to the perversity of  $\varphi_f \mathbb{Q}_X$ , assuming the perversity of its restriction to the complement of  $x$ . The hypercohomology  $\mathbb{H}^j(L_x, \varphi_f \mathbb{Q}_X|_{L_x})$  can be calculated by using spectral sequences 2.2–2.3. The mixed Hodge structure on  $H^j(F_x, \mathbb{Q})$  can be calculated by using the weight spectral sequence 1.5, see also [14] for the unipotent monodromy case, and [20] for the isolated singularity case.

In Theorem 0.1 we can replace the vanishing cycle complex  $\varphi_f \mathbb{Q}_X$  with the nearby cycle complex  $\psi_f \mathbb{Q}_X$  in [7], and  $\beta_\varphi$  with  $\beta_\psi: H_c^n(F_x, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^n(F_x, \mathbb{Q})$ . In this case  $\beta_\psi$  is a natural morphism, and in the isolated singularity case (where  $X$  is smooth), we get a well-known relation between the cohomology of the Milnor fiber and the link. Note that the morphism  $\beta_\varphi$  in Theorem 0.1 for  $\varphi$  in the isolated singularity case is an isomorphism (i.e. the morphism corresponds to a nondegenerate pairing if  $X$  is a rational homology manifold), because  $\varphi_f \mathbb{Q}_X|_{L_x}$  vanishes, see also 1.3 below.

Let  $b_\lambda^j(F_x)$  denote the rank of  $H^j(F_x, \mathbb{C})_\lambda (= \text{Ker}(T_s - \lambda))$  for  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ . Using Theorem 0.1, we can explicitly calculate it for  $j \leq n - 2$  in the case of a divisor with simple normal crossings outside a point as follows (see 4.3 for the proof).

**THEOREM 0.2.** — *With the notation and the assumption of 0.1, assume  $X \setminus \{x\}$  is smooth,  $Y \setminus \{x\}$  is a divisor with normal crossings on  $X \setminus \{x\}$ , and the local irreducible components  $Y_i$  ( $i = 1, \dots, m$ ) of  $Y_{\text{red}}$  at  $x$  are principal divisors having at most isolated singularities at  $x$ . Let  $a_i$  be the multiplicity of  $Y$  at the generic point of  $Y_i$ , and  $d = \text{GCD}(a_1, \dots, a_m)$ . Assume  $j \leq n - 2 + \delta_{\lambda,1}$ , where  $\delta_{\lambda,1} = 1$  if  $\lambda = 1$ , and 0 otherwise. Then  $H^j(F_x, \mathbb{Q})$  is a pure Hodge structure of type  $(j, j)$ ; in particular, the monodromy is semisimple. Furthermore, if  $\lambda^d \neq 1$ , we have  $b_\lambda^j(F_x) = 0$ , and if  $\lambda^d = 1$ , then*

$$b_\lambda^j(F_x) = \binom{m-1}{j} \quad \text{for } j < n - 2 + \delta_{\lambda,1},$$

$$b_\lambda^j(F_x) \leq \binom{m-1}{j} \quad \text{for } j = n - 2 + \delta_{\lambda,1}.$$

Here the equality holds also for  $j = n - 2 + \delta_{\lambda,1}$ , if  $Y_I := \bigcap_{i \in I} Y_i$  is a rational homology manifold for any subset  $I$  of  $\{1, \dots, m\}$  with  $|I| \leq n - 1$ , where  $Y_\emptyset = X$ .

The case  $a_i = 1$  for any  $i$  was studied in [9], see also 4.4 below. In the case where an embedded resolution of  $(X, Y)$  can be obtained by one blow-up with a point center (e.g. an equisingular deformation of the affine cone of a divisor with simple normal crossings on a smooth projective variety), we have a more precise statement as follows (see 4.5 for the proof).

**THEOREM 0.3.** — *With the notation and assumptions of 0.1, let  $\pi: \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$  be the blow-up of  $X$  with center  $x$ , and assume that  $\tilde{X}$  and the exceptional divisor  $E := \pi^{-1}(x)$  are smooth and the total transform  $\tilde{Y} := \pi^{-1}(Y)$  is a divisor with normal crossings. Let  $Y'$  be the proper transform of  $Y$ , and put  $U = E \setminus Y'$ . Let  $e$  be the multiplicity of  $\tilde{Y}$  along  $U$ . Then the monodromy  $T$  on  $H^j(F_x, \mathbb{Q})$  is semisimple for any  $j$ , and  $H^j(F_x, \mathbb{Q})$  is of type  $(j, j)$  for  $j < n$ . Furthermore, if  $\lambda^e \neq 1$ , we have  $b_\lambda^j(F_x) = 0$  for any  $j$ , and if  $\lambda^e = 1$ , then*

$$\chi_\lambda(F_x) \left( := \sum_{0 \leq j \leq n} (-1)^j b_\lambda^j(F_x) \right) = \chi(U),$$

$$b_\lambda^j(F_x) = \begin{cases} \binom{m-1}{j} & \text{if } j < n \text{ and } \lambda^d = 1, \\ 0 & \text{if } j < n \text{ and } \lambda^d \neq 1, \end{cases}$$

$$b_\lambda^n(F_x) = \begin{cases} (-1)^n \chi(U) + \binom{m-2}{n-1} & \text{if } \lambda^d = 1, \\ (-1)^n \chi(U) & \text{if } \lambda^d \neq 1. \end{cases}$$

This gives a generalization of formulas in [5], [15] for a generic central arrangement with  $a_i = 1$ , see 4.6 below. If  $X$  is smooth (i.e. if  $(X, x) = (\mathbb{C}^{n+1}, 0)$ ), then the assumption of 0.3 is equivalent to that the union of the divisors defined by the lowest degree part of a defining equation  $f_j$  of  $Y_j$  is a reduced divisor with normal crossings on  $\mathbb{P}^n$ , and we have  $e = \sum_j a_j d_j$  where  $d_j$  is the degree of the lowest degree part of  $f_j$ ; in particular,  $d$  divides  $e$ . We can calculate  $\chi(U)$  explicitly in this case, see 4.6.

Let  $T$  denote the monodromy of  $\varphi_f \mathbb{Q}_X$  with the Jordan decomposition  $T = T_u T_s$ . For a complex number  $\lambda$ , set  $\varphi_{f,\lambda} \mathbb{C}_X = \text{Ker}(T_s - \lambda) \subset \varphi_f \mathbb{C}_X$  (in the abelian category of shifted perverse sheaves), and  $N = \log T_u$ . As an application of Theorem 0.1, we show

**THEOREM 0.4.** — *With the notation and the assumption of 0.1, let  $j$  be a positive integer  $< n$ . Assume the monodromy of  $\tilde{H}^j(F_x, \mathbb{C})_\lambda$  has a Jordan block of size  $k$ . Then the action of  $N^{k-1}$  on  $\varphi_{f,\lambda} \mathbb{C}_X|_{U \setminus \{x\}}$  is nonzero for any open neighborhood  $U$  of  $x$ . Furthermore, there exist points  $y_i$  ( $\neq x$ ) sufficiently near  $x$  for  $i \leq j$  such that the monodromy of  $\tilde{H}^i(F_{y_i}, \mathbb{C})_\lambda$  has a Jordan block of size  $k_i$  and  $\sum_{i \leq j} k_i \geq k$ , where we set  $k_i = 0$  if  $\tilde{H}^i(F_{y_i}, \mathbb{C})_\lambda = 0$  for  $y \neq x$ .*

This is a refinement of Corollary 6.1.7 in [8]. There is an example such that the monodromy at degree  $n-1$  is not semisimple at  $x$ , but is semisimple outside  $x$ , see Appendix. Note that the support of the image of  $N^k$  in  $\psi_f \mathbb{Q}$  (resp. in  $\varphi_{f,1} \mathbb{Q}$ ) as shifted perverse sheaves has dimension  $\leq n-k$  (resp.  $\leq n-k-1$ ), see e.g. [10]. In the case  $\dim \text{supp } \varphi_{f,\lambda} \mathbb{C}_X = r$ , we have  $\mathcal{H}^j \varphi_{f,\lambda} \mathbb{C}_X = 0$  for  $j < n-r$  (see 2.1.2 below), and the conclusion of Theorem 0.4 for  $j = n-r$  means that the monodromy of  $\tilde{H}^{n-r}(F_y, \mathbb{C})_\lambda$  has a Jordan block of size  $m$  for any point  $y$  of a connected component of  $L_x \cap \text{supp } \varphi_{f,\lambda} \mathbb{C}_X$  (considering the subsheaf of  $\mathcal{H}^{n-r} \varphi_{f,\lambda} \mathbb{C}_X$  defined by the image of  $N^{k-1}$  and using 3.5 below). In particular, we get

**COROLLARY 0.5.** — *If  $\dim \text{supp } \varphi_{f,\lambda} \mathbb{C}_X = r$  (e.g. if  $\dim \text{Sing } f = r$ ) and the monodromy of  $\tilde{H}^{n-r}(F_y, \mathbb{C})_\lambda$  for one point  $y$  of each connected component of  $L_x \cap \text{supp } \varphi_{f,\lambda} \mathbb{C}_X$  is semisimple, then so is that of  $\tilde{H}^{n-r}(F_x, \mathbb{C})_\lambda$ .*

For the lowest degree part we have a more precise description of  $\mathcal{H}^{n-r} \varphi_{f,\lambda} \mathbb{C}_X$ , see 3.5 below.

In Section 1 we review the theory of nearby and vanishing cycles. In Section 2 we calculate the cohomology of some sheaf complexes on the link of a point. In Section 3 we prove Theorems 0.1 and 0.4. In Section 4 we treat the case of simple normal crossings outside a point, and prove Theorems 0.2 and 0.3. In Appendix we give a nontrivial example for Theorem 0.4.

### 1. Vanishing cycles.

**1.1. Nearby and vanishing cycles.** — Let  $f$  be a nonconstant holomorphic function on a connected complex analytic space  $X$ . Assume  $\mathbb{Q}_X[n+1]$  is a perverse sheaf in the sense of [2] (in particular,  $\dim X = n+1$ ). This is satisfied if  $X$  is a locally complete intersection, see e.g. [8], Theorem 5.1.19. (Indeed, if  $X$  is defined locally by a regular sequence  $g_1, \dots, g_r$  on a smooth space  $Z$ , we can show the acyclicity (except for one degree) of the algebraic local cohomology of  $\mathcal{O}_Z$  along  $X$  by using the inductive limit of the Koszul complex of  $g_1^m, \dots, g_r^m$  for  $m \rightarrow \infty$ , see also 1.6 below.)

Let  $A$  be a field of characteristic 0 (e.g.  $A = \mathbb{Q}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$ ). We denote by  $\psi_f A_X, \varphi_f A_X$  the nearby and vanishing cycle complexes on  $Y := f^{-1}(0)$ , see [7]. It is well known that  $\psi_f A_X[n]$  and  $\varphi_f A_X[n]$  are perverse sheaves. (This follows, for example, from [12], [13], see also [3].) We have the action of the semisimple part  $T_s$  of the monodromy  $T$  on the shifted perverse sheaves. For  $\lambda \in A$ , let

$$\psi_{f,\lambda} A_X = \text{Ker}(T_s - \lambda) \subset \psi_f A_X \quad (\text{similarly for } \varphi_{f,\lambda} A_X).$$

By definition of vanishing cycles, we have  $\psi_{f,\lambda} A_X = \varphi_{f,\lambda} A_X$  for  $\lambda \neq 1$ .

If  $A$  is algebraically closed, we have the decompositions

$$\psi_f A_X = \bigoplus_{\lambda} \psi_{f,\lambda} A_X, \quad \varphi_f A_X = \bigoplus_{\lambda} \varphi_{f,\lambda} A_X,$$

In general, we have

$$\psi_f A_X = \psi_{f,1} A_X \oplus \psi_{f,\neq 1} A_X, \quad \varphi_f A_X = \varphi_{f,1} A_X \oplus \varphi_{f,\neq 1} A_X,$$

where  $\psi_{f,\neq 1}, \varphi_{f,\neq 1}$  denote the non unipotent monodromy part, and  $\psi_{f,\neq 1} = \varphi_{f,\neq 1}$ .

For  $x \in Y$ , we have isomorphisms

$$(1.1.1) \quad H^j(F_x, A)_\lambda = \mathcal{H}^j(\psi_{f,\lambda} A_X)_x, \quad \tilde{H}^j(F_x, A)_\lambda = \mathcal{H}^j(\varphi_{f,\lambda} A_X)_x.$$

Here  $F_x$  denotes the Milnor fiber as in the introduction, and  $H^j(F_x, A)_\lambda$  is the  $\lambda$ -eigenspace as above. By [16], [17], we have a canonical mixed Hodge structure on these groups (which coincides with the one in [20] for the isolated singularity case), see also [14].

**1.2. Cohomology with compact supports.** — It is known that there is a proper continuous map  $\rho: X_c \rightarrow Y$  such that  $\psi_f A = \mathbb{R}\rho_* A$ , where  $X_c = f^{-1}(c)$  for  $c \neq 0$  sufficiently small. This can be constructed by using a resolution of singularities. Let  $i: \{x\} \rightarrow Y$  denote the inclusion morphism. Then for a sufficiently small open ball  $B_x$  around  $x$ , we have a commutative diagram

$$(1.2.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} H_c^k(F_x, A) & = & H_c^k(B_x \cap Y, \psi_f A) & = & H^k i^! \psi_f A \\ \downarrow \beta_F & & \downarrow \beta_B & & \downarrow \beta_\psi \\ H^k(F_x, A) & = & H^k(B_x \cap Y, \psi_f A) & = & H^k i^* \psi_f A, \end{array}$$

where the horizontal morphisms are canonical isomorphisms, the first two vertical morphisms  $\beta_F, \beta_B$  are natural morphisms, and  $\beta_\psi$  is induced by the natural morphism  $i^! \rightarrow i^*$ . By (1.2.1),  $\beta_F$  will be identified with  $\beta_\psi$ .

**1.3. Unipotent monodromy part.** — We have morphisms of perverse sheaves (compatible with mixed Hodge modules [16])

$$\text{can} : \psi_{f,1} A \longrightarrow \varphi_{f,1} A, \quad \text{Var} : \varphi_{f,1} A(1) \longrightarrow \psi_{f,1} A,$$

whose compositions coincide with  $N$  on  $\psi_{f,1} A, \varphi_{f,1} A$ . If  $n \geq 1$  and  $X$  is a rational homology manifold at  $x$ , then they induce isomorphisms

$$(1.3.1) \quad \begin{cases} \text{can} : H^n i^* \psi_{f,1} A \xrightarrow{\sim} H^n i^* \varphi_{f,1} A, \\ \text{Var} : H^n i^! \varphi_{f,1} A(1) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^n i^! \psi_{f,1} A, \end{cases}$$

because the mapping cone of  $\text{Var}$  is  $\mathbb{R}\Gamma_Y A_X(1)[2]$  and  $\mathbb{R}\Gamma_{\{x\}} \mathbb{R}\Gamma_Y A_X = \mathbb{R}\Gamma_{\{x\}} A_X$ .

By the isomorphisms of (1.3.1), the morphism

$$(1.3.2) \quad \beta_{F,1} : H_c^n(F_x, A)_1 \longrightarrow H^n(F_x, A)_1,$$

which is the restriction of  $\beta_F$ , can be identified with the composition of  $N$  and

$$(1.3.3) \quad \beta_{\varphi,1} : H^n i^! \varphi_{f,1} A \longrightarrow H^n i^* \varphi_{f,1} A,$$

which is induced by the natural morphism  $i^! \rightarrow i^*$ . Indeed, using

can  $\circ$  Var =  $N$  together with the commutativity of the natural morphism  $i^! \rightarrow i^*$  with can, Var, we get a commutative diagram

$$(1.3.4) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} H^n i^! \psi_{f,1} & \xrightarrow{\beta_{\psi,1}} & H^n i^* \psi_{f,1} A \\ \uparrow \text{Var} & & \downarrow \text{can} \\ H^n i^! \varphi_{f,1} A(1) & \xrightarrow{N\beta_{\varphi,1}} & H^n i^* \varphi_{f,1} A, \end{array}$$

where the vertical morphisms are isomorphisms. Note that the morphism  $\beta_{\varphi,1}$  in (1.3.3) is an isomorphism in the isolated singularity case, because  $\text{supp } \varphi_f A = \{x\}$ .

In Theorem 0.1,  $\beta_{\varphi,1}$  in (1.3.3) is identified with a morphism  $H_c^n(F_x, A)_1(-1) \rightarrow H^n(F_x, A)_1$  by using the isomorphisms of (1.2.1) and (1.3.1). For the non unipotent monodromy part, we have  $\beta_{\psi, \neq 1} = \beta_{\varphi, \neq 1}$ , because  $\psi_{f, \neq 1} = \varphi_{f, \neq 1}$ .

**1.4. Normal crossing case.** — Assume that  $Y := f^{-1}(0)$  is a divisor with normal crossings on a complex manifold  $X$  whose irreducible components  $Y_1, \dots, Y_m$  are smooth. Let

$$\mathcal{F}_\lambda = \psi_{f,\lambda} \mathbb{C}_X[n].$$

Since  $\psi_{f,\lambda} \mathbb{C}_X \oplus \psi_{f,\lambda} \bar{\mathbb{C}}_X$  underlies a mixed Hodge Module,  $\mathcal{F}_\lambda$  has the weight filtration  $W$  which is the monodromy filtration shifted by  $n = \dim Y$ , i.e.

$$(1.4.1) \quad N^k : \text{Gr}_{n+k}^W \mathcal{F}_\lambda \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Gr}_{n-k}^W \mathcal{F}_\lambda.$$

Let  $\text{PGr}_{n+k}^W \mathcal{F}_\lambda$  denote the  $N$ -primitive part, which is defined by  $\text{Ker } N^{k+1} \subset \text{Gr}_{n+k}^W \mathcal{F}_\lambda$  for  $k \geq 0$ , and is zero otherwise. By (1.4.1) we have the primitive decomposition

$$(1.4.2) \quad \text{Gr}_j^W \mathcal{F}_\lambda = \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} N^k \text{PGr}_{j+2k}^W \mathcal{F}_\lambda(k).$$

Let  $a_j$  be the multiplicity of  $f$  along  $Y_j$ , and put  $J(\lambda) = \{j : \lambda^{a_j} = 1\}$ . Let  $d = \text{GCD}(a_1, \dots, a_m)$ . Then

$$(1.4.3) \quad J(\lambda) = \{1, \dots, m\} \quad \text{if and only if } \lambda^d = 1.$$

For  $I \subset J(\lambda)$ , let  $Y_I = \bigcap_{j \in I} Y_j$ ,  $U_I = Y_I \setminus \bigcup_{j \notin J(\lambda)} Y_j$ , with the inclusion morphism  $j_I : U_I \rightarrow Y_I$ . By [17], 3.3, we see that the primitive part  $\text{PGr}_{n+k}^W \mathcal{F}_\lambda$  is the direct sum of

$$(1.4.4) \quad (j_I)! \mathcal{F}_{\lambda,I}(-k)[n-k] = \mathbb{R}(j_I)_* \mathcal{F}_{\lambda,I}(-k)[n-k]$$



over  $I \subset J(\lambda)$  with  $|I| = k + 1$ , where  $\mathcal{F}_{\lambda,I}$  is a local system of rank 1 on  $U_I$ . Furthermore, the monodromy of  $\mathcal{F}_{\lambda,I}$  around  $Y_j (j \notin J(\lambda))$  is given by the multiplication by  $\lambda^{-a_j}$  so that (1.4.4) holds.

If each  $Y_j$  is a principal divisor defined by a reduced equation  $f_j$  and  $f = \prod_j f_j^{a_j}$ , then the  $\mathcal{F}_{\lambda,I}$  are the restrictions of  $\mathcal{F}_{\lambda,\emptyset}$  on  $U_\emptyset$  which is defined by  $\bigotimes_j f_j^* L_j$  where  $L_j$  is a local system on  $\mathbb{C}^*$  with monodromy  $\lambda^{-a_j}$  for  $j \notin J(\lambda)$ . This can be verified by reducing to the case where the  $a_i$  are independent of  $i$ , and using the compatibility of the nearby cycle functor with the direct image under a proper morphism. Indeed, setting  $c_j = \text{LCM}(a_1, \dots, a_m)/a_j$ , we have a ramified covering of  $X$  defined by

$$(1.4.5) \quad \{(x, t_1, \dots, t_m) \in X \times \mathbb{C}^m : f_j(x) = t_j^{c_j} \text{ for any } j\}.$$

For the vanishing cycle  $\varphi_{f,1}\mathbb{C}_X[n]$  with  $\lambda = 1$ , the weight filtration is the monodromy filtration shifted by  $n + 1$ . For the  $N$ -primitive part  $\text{PGr}_{n+1+k}^W \varphi_{f,1}\mathbb{C}_X[n]$ , we have

$$\text{PGr}_{n+1+k}^W \psi_{f,1}\mathbb{C}_X[n] = \text{PGr}_{n+1+k}^W \varphi_{f,1}\mathbb{C}_X[n] \quad \text{for } k \geq 0,$$

because  $\varphi_{f,1}\mathbb{C}_X[n]$  can be identified with  $\text{Im } N \subset \psi_{f,1}\mathbb{C}_X[n]$ .

**1.5. Weight spectral sequence.** — Let  $\pi : (X', Y') \rightarrow (X, Y)$  be an embedded resolution such that  $Y' := \pi^{-1}(Y)$  and  $E := \pi^{-1}(x)$  are divisors with simple normal crossings. Let  $E'$  be the closure of  $Y' \setminus E$ , and put  $U = E \setminus E'$  with the inclusion  $j' : U \rightarrow E$ . Let  $f' = f\pi$ . Then by [4], 4.2, the canonical morphism

$$(1.5.1) \quad \psi_{f',\lambda}\mathbb{C}_{X|E'} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}j'_*(\psi_{f',\lambda}\mathbb{C}_{X|U})$$

is a quasi-isomorphism. (This easily follows from [17], 3.3.) Since the nearby cycle functor commutes with the direct image under a proper morphism, we get canonical isomorphisms (compatible with  $T$ )

$$(1.5.2) \quad H^i(F_x, \mathbb{C})_\lambda = H^i(E, \psi_{f,\lambda}\mathbb{C}_{X|E}) = H^i(U, \psi_{f,\lambda}\mathbb{C}_{X|U}).$$

Let  $Y_1, \dots, Y_m$  denote the irreducible components of  $Y'$  (which are assumed to be smooth). We may assume that  $Y_1, \dots, Y_r$  are the irreducible components of  $E = \pi^{-1}(x)$ . Let  $Y_I, U_I, \mathcal{F}_{\lambda,I}$  be as in 1.4. For  $I \subset \{1, \dots, m\}$ , let  $s(I) = |I \cap \{1, \dots, r\}| - 1$ . Then we have the weight spectral sequence

$$(1.5.3) \quad E_1^{-k,j+k} = \bigoplus_{I,a} H^{j-|I|+1}(U_I, \mathcal{F}_{\lambda,I}(a + 1 - |I|)) \implies H^j(F_x, \mathbb{C})_\lambda,$$

where the summation is taken over  $I(\neq \emptyset) \subset J(\lambda)$ ,  $0 \leq a \leq s(I)$  such that  $|I| - 1 - 2a = k$ . Indeed,  $\mathbb{R}j'_*$  is a  $t$ -exact functor [2], and  $(j_I)_! \mathcal{F}_{\lambda, I}(a + 1 - |I|)[n + 1 - |I|]$  comes from the graded pieces of the weight filtration on

$$\mathbb{R}j'_*((j_{I'})_! \mathcal{F}_{\lambda, I'}(a + 1 - |I'|)[n + 1 - |I'|]_{|U})$$

for  $I' := I \cap \{1, \dots, r\}$ . Here we may assume essentially that  $\mathcal{F}_{\lambda, I'}$  is a constant sheaf (where the assertion is well-known [6]) because it is of normal crossing type, see [17], 3.1. The range of  $a$  comes from the symmetry of the weight filtration (1.4.1) which is related to  $\mathcal{F}_{\lambda, I'}$  because we consider it on  $U$ .

The spectral sequence (1.5.3) degenerates at  $E_2$ , because  $E_1^{-k, j+k}$  is pure of weight  $j + k$ .

*Remark 1.6.* — If  $\mathbb{Q}_X[n + 1]$  is a perverse sheaf, then  $\mathbb{Q}_Y[n]$  is a perverse sheaf for any locally principal divisor  $Y$  on  $X$ . Indeed, we have locally a distinguished triangle

$$(1.6.1) \quad \mathbb{Q}_Y[n] \longrightarrow \psi_f \mathbb{Q}_X[n] \longrightarrow \varphi_f \mathbb{Q}_X[n] \xrightarrow{+1},$$

by the definition of  $\varphi_f$ , where  $f$  is a local equation of  $Y$ . This implies  ${}^p\mathcal{H}^j(\mathbb{Q}_Y[n]) = 0$  except for  $j = 0, 1$ , where  ${}^p\mathcal{H}^j$  denotes the perverse cohomology functor [2]. Furthermore, the vanishing of  ${}^p\mathcal{H}^j(\mathbb{Q}_Y[n])$  for  $j > 0$  is clear by the definition of semi-perversity. (In general, a sheaf complex  $\mathcal{F}$  is called semi-perverse if  $\dim \text{supp } \mathcal{H}^{-i} \mathcal{F} \leq i$  for any  $i$ , see *loc. cit.*)

**1.7. Wang sequence.** — Let  $f$  be a holomorphic function on an analytic space  $X$ . Let  $L_{X,x}$  be the link of  $x$  in  $X$ . Then we have the Wang sequence

$$H^j(L_{X,x} \setminus Y, \mathbb{Q}) \longrightarrow H^j(F_x, \mathbb{Q})_1 \xrightarrow{N} H^j(F_x, \mathbb{Q})_1(-1) \longrightarrow H^{j+1}(L_{X,x} \setminus Y, \mathbb{Q}).$$

In the category of mixed Hodge structures, this follows from

$$i'^* j'_* \mathbb{Q}_X = C(j'_! \mathbb{Q}_X \rightarrow j'_* \mathbb{Q}_X) = C(N : \psi_{f,1} \mathbb{Q}_X \rightarrow \psi_{f,1} \mathbb{Q}_X(-1))[-1],$$

where  $i' : Y \rightarrow X$ ,  $j' : X \setminus Y \rightarrow X$  are the inclusion morphisms, see e.g. [17], 2.23, for the second isomorphism. (Here  $\mathbb{Q}_X$  can be defined locally in the derived category of mixed Hodge Modules, using an embedding into a smooth space.)

## 2. Cohomology of link with coefficients.

**2.1. Localization sequence.** — Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a perverse sheaf on  $Y$  in the sense of [2]. In particular,

$$(2.1.1) \quad \dim \operatorname{supp} \mathcal{H}^{-k} \mathcal{F} \leq k,$$

$$(2.1.2) \quad \mathcal{H}^{-r} \mathcal{F} = 0 \quad \text{for } r > \dim \operatorname{supp} \mathcal{F}.$$

Let  $i: \{x\} \rightarrow Y$  and  $j: U := Y \setminus \{x\} \rightarrow Y$  denote the inclusions. Let  $L_x$  be the intersection of a sufficiently small sphere around  $x$  with  $Y$ . Then

$$(2.1.3) \quad \mathbb{H}^k(L_x, \mathcal{F}|_{L_x}) = H^k i^* j_* j^* \mathcal{F},$$

and we get a long exact sequence

$$\dots \rightarrow H^k_{\{x\}} \mathcal{F} \rightarrow H^k \mathcal{F}_x \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^k(L_x, \mathcal{F}|_{L_x}) \rightarrow H^{k+1}_{\{x\}} \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \dots$$

induced by the distinguished triangle

$$\mathbb{R}\Gamma_{\{x\}} \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_x \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\Gamma(L_x, \mathcal{F}|_{L_x}) \xrightarrow{+1}$$

which is identified with  $i^! \mathcal{F} \rightarrow i^* \mathcal{F} \rightarrow i^* j_* j^* \mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{+1}$  (because  $i_* i^! = \mathbb{R}\Gamma_{\{x\}}$ ).

Let  $\mathbb{D}$  denote the functor assigning the dual. Since  $\mathbb{D}i^! = i^* \mathbb{D}$ , and  $\mathbb{D}\mathcal{F}$  is a perverse sheaf, we get

$$(2.1.4) \quad H^k_{\{x\}} \mathcal{F} = 0 \quad \text{for } k < 0.$$

Indeed, (2.1.4) is equivalent to the (dual) semi perversity of  $\mathcal{F}$  (see [2]) assuming the perversity of the restriction of  $\mathcal{F}$  to the complement of  $x$ .

**2.2. Leray spectral sequence.** — Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a complex of sheaves with constructible cohomology on  $Y$ . There is a Leray-type spectral sequence

$$(2.2.1) \quad E_2^{p,q} = H^p(L_x, \mathcal{H}^q \mathcal{F}|_{L_x}) \implies \mathbb{H}^{p+q}(L_x, \mathcal{F}|_{L_x})$$

induced by the filtration  $\tau$  on  $\mathcal{F}$ , see [6]. By (2.1.3) this is compatible with mixed Hodge structure (using a  $t$ -structure in [17], 4.6) if  $\mathcal{F}$  underlies a complex of mixed Hodge modules. The calculation of (2.2.1) is not necessarily easy. One problem is that  $\mathcal{H}^q \mathcal{F}$  is a constructible sheaf and not a local system, and some times we have to use the spectral sequence associated to a stratification, which is a special case of (2.3.1) below, to calculate its cohomology. Actually this spectral sequence can be formulated for a complex as below, and we do not have to use spectral sequences twice if we can calculate the  $E_1$ -term of (2.3.1). But the calculation of  $d_r$  is still nontrivial.

**2.3. Spectral sequence associated to a stratification.** — Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be as above, and let  $\{Y_k\}$  be a stratification of  $Y$  compatible with  $\mathcal{F}$ , where the  $Y_k$  are locally closed analytic subspaces of  $Y$  with pure dimension  $k$  such that the restriction of  $\mathcal{H}^j \mathcal{F}$  to  $Y_k$  is a local system, and  $\bar{Y}_k \setminus Y_k$  is the disjoint union of  $Y_i$  ( $i < k$ ). Put  $U_k = Y \setminus \bar{Y}_{k-1}$ . Then, for each  $k$ , there is a subcomplex of  $\mathcal{F}$  whose restriction to  $U_k$  coincides with  $\mathcal{F}|_{U_k}$  and whose restriction to  $\bar{Y}_{k-1}$  vanishes (i.e. it is the direct image with proper supports by  $U_k \rightarrow Y$ ). Such complexes form a decreasing filtration of  $\mathcal{F}$  whose graded pieces are (the direct images with proper supports of) the restrictions of  $\mathcal{F}$  to the  $Y_k$ . So they induce the spectral sequence associated to the stratification

$$(2.3.1) \quad E_1^{p,q} = \mathbb{H}_c^{p+q}(L_x \cap Y_p, \mathcal{F}|_{L_x \cap Y_p}) \implies \mathbb{H}^{p+q}(L_x, \mathcal{F}|_{L_x}).$$

By (2.1.3) this is also compatible with mixed Hodge structure (using the quasi-filtration in [16], 5.2.17).

**2.4. Weight spectral sequence.** Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a perverse sheaf underlying a mixed Hodge Module, and  $W$  be the weight filtration. Then, as in [6],  $W$  induces a spectral sequence

$$(2.4.1) \quad E_1^{-k,j+k} = \mathbb{H}^j(L_x, \text{Gr}_k^W \mathcal{F}|_{L_x}) \implies \mathbb{H}^j(L_x, \mathcal{F}|_{L_x}),$$

which is called the (generalized) weight spectral sequence. (We can use Verdier’s theory of spectral objects, see [2] and also [16], 5.2.18.) By (2.1.3) this is compatible with mixed Hodge structure, but does not necessarily degenerate at  $E_2$ , because  $E_1^{-k,j+k}$  is not pure of weight  $j + k$  in general. It is not easy to calculate this spectral sequence explicitly except for some special cases, see e.g. 4.2 below.

If  $X \setminus \{x\}$  is smooth and  $Y \setminus \{x\}$  is a divisor with simple normal crossings, then the  $E_1$ -complex has a structure of double complex whose differentials are induced by the Čech restriction morphism and the co-Čech Gysin morphism, see e.g. [20]. Indeed, the differential  $d_1$  is induced by the extension class between the graded pieces of the perverse sheaves, and the assertion can be verified by using locally a ramified covering as in (1.4.5) and reducing to the case where the irreducible components of  $Y \setminus \{x\}$  have the constant multiplicity.

### 3. Proofs of Theorems 0.1 and 0.4.

**3.1. Proof of Theorem 0.1.** — Applying 2.1 to  $\mathcal{F} = \varphi_f \mathbb{Q}_X[n]$ , the assertion follows from 1.1–1.3 and 2.1.

**3.2. Proof of Theorem 0.4.** — The first assertion follows from 2.1 applied to  $\text{Im } N^{k-1} \subset \varphi_f \mathbb{C}_X$  (defined in the abelian category of shifted perverse sheaves). Indeed, factorizing  $N^{k-1} : \varphi_f \mathbb{C}_X \rightarrow \varphi_f \mathbb{C}_X(1-k)$  by  $\text{Im } N^{k-1}$ , we see that  $\text{Im } N^{k-1} \neq 0$  on a neighborhood of  $x$ . The remaining assertion is clear by (2.2.1). Indeed, if any Jordan block of the monodromy on  $\tilde{H}^i(F_y, \mathbb{C})_\lambda$  has size at most  $k_i$ , then  $N^{k_i} = 0$  on  $H^{j-i}(L_x, \mathcal{H}^i \varphi_{f,\lambda} \mathbb{C}_{X|L_x})$ , and  $N^k(\tilde{H}^j(F_x, \mathbb{C})_\lambda) = 0$  for  $k = \sum_{i \leq j} k_i$  by Theorem 0.1 together with (2.2.1), because  $N^{k_i}(\text{Gr}_G^{j-i} \tilde{H}^j(F_x, \mathbb{C})_\lambda) = 0$  where  $G$  is the filtration associated to the spectral sequence (2.2.1).

**3.3. One-dimensional singular locus case.** — If  $\Sigma_\lambda := \text{supp } \varphi_{f,\lambda} \mathbb{C}_X$  is 1-dimensional (e.g. if  $\text{Sing } f$  is 1-dimensional), let  $\Sigma_{\lambda,i}$  be the local irreducible components of  $\Sigma_\lambda$  at  $x$ , and take  $x_i \in \Sigma_{\lambda,i} \cap L_x$ . Then  $H^j \varphi_{f,\lambda} \mathbb{C}_X = 0$  for  $j < n-1$ , and

$$(3.3.1) \quad \mathbb{H}^{n-1}(L_x, \varphi_{f,\lambda} \mathbb{C}_{X|L_x}) = \bigoplus_i (\tilde{H}^{n-1}(F_{x_i}, \mathbb{C})_\lambda)^{\tau_i},$$

where  $\tau_i$  denotes the monodromy of the local system on  $\Sigma_{\lambda,i} \cap L_x$  (which is called the vertical monodromy in [18], [19]). However, for a given element of  $\bigoplus_i (\tilde{H}^{n-1}(F_{x_i}, \mathbb{C})_\lambda)^{\tau_i}$ , it is not easy to determine whether it comes from  $\tilde{H}^{n-1}(F_x, \mathbb{C})_\lambda$  or not. Note that  $K_x = \text{Ker } \beta_\varphi$  does not vanish in general. For example, if  $X$  is smooth and  $Y$  is a reduced divisor with normal crossings it is well-known (see e.g. [20]) that the Milnor fiber is homotopy equivalent to a real torus of dimension  $m-1$  where  $m$  is the multiplicity of  $Y$  at the point. In the case  $f = xyz$  and  $n = 2$ , we have  $\dim H^1(F_x, \mathbb{C})_1 = 2$  and  $\dim \mathbb{H}^1(L_x, \varphi_{f,1} \mathbb{C}_{X|L_x}) = 3$ , see also [18], [19].

**3.4. Remark.** — There are examples such that the monodromy of  $\tilde{H}^{n-1}(F_x, \mathbb{Q})$  is semisimple, but that of  $\tilde{H}^{n-1}(F_y, \mathbb{Q})$  for  $y$  sufficiently near  $x$  has a Jordan block of size  $n$  (this implies that the converse of Theorem 0.4 does not hold). For example, consider a germ of  $(n-1)$ -dimensional hypersurface  $(Y, x)$  with isolated singularity whose Milnor monodromy has a Jordan block of size  $n$ , take a projective compactification  $Z$  of  $Y$  in  $\mathbb{P}^n$  such that  $Z \setminus \{x\}$  is smooth (using finite determinacy of isolated singularity), and then take  $f : \mathbb{C}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  to be a defining equation of  $Z$ .

**3.5. Lowest degree term.** — Assume  $\Sigma_\lambda := \text{supp } \varphi_{f,\lambda} \mathbb{C}_X$  is  $r$ -dimensional (e.g.  $\text{Sing } f$  is  $r$ -dimensional). Let  $\Sigma_\lambda^1$  be an  $(r-1)$ -dimensional Zariski-locally closed smooth analytic subspace of  $\Sigma_\lambda$  such

that  $\Sigma_\lambda^0 := \Sigma_\lambda \setminus \bar{\Sigma}_\lambda^1$  is smooth (where  $\bar{\Sigma}_\lambda^1$  is the closure of  $\Sigma_\lambda^1$ ) and the restrictions of  $\mathcal{H}^j \varphi_{f,\lambda} \mathbb{C}_X$  to  $\Sigma_\lambda^0, \Sigma_\lambda^1$  are local systems for any  $j$ . Let  $\bar{\Sigma}_\lambda^2 = \bar{\Sigma}_\lambda^1 \setminus \Sigma_\lambda^1, U_\lambda = \Sigma_\lambda \setminus \bar{\Sigma}_\lambda^2$  with the inclusions  $j' : \Sigma_\lambda^0 \rightarrow U_\lambda, j'' : U_\lambda \rightarrow \Sigma_\lambda$ . Then

$$(3.5.1) \quad \mathcal{H}^{n-r} \varphi_{f,\lambda} \mathbb{C}_{X|U_\lambda} \subset j'_* j'^*(\mathcal{H}^{n-r} \varphi_{f,\lambda} \mathbb{C}_{X|U_\lambda}),$$

$$(3.5.2) \quad \mathcal{H}^{n-r} \varphi_{f,\lambda} \mathbb{C}_X = j''_*(\mathcal{H}^{n-r} \varphi_{f,\lambda} \mathbb{C}_{X|U_\lambda})$$

Indeed, restricting to a subspace transversal to  $\Sigma_\lambda^1$ , (3.5.1) follows from the 1-dimensional singular locus case, and furthermore, the cokernel of the inclusion in (3.5.1) is given by  $K_x$  in Theorem 0.1, see (3.3). Similarly (3.5.2) follows from Theorem 0.1 by induction on strata.

#### 4. Case of simple normal crossings outside a point

**4.1.** — With the notation of (1.1), assume that  $X \setminus \{x\}$  is smooth, and  $Y \setminus \{x\}$  is a divisor with *simple* normal crossings on  $X \setminus \{x\}$ . Here simple means that each irreducible component of  $Y \setminus \{x\}$  is smooth. Assume further that the local irreducible components of  $Y$  at  $x$  are principal divisors. Then, replacing  $X$  with a sufficiently small open neighborhood of  $x$  if necessary, there exist holomorphic functions  $f_i : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  and positive integers  $a_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, m$  such that  $f = f_1^{a_1} \cdots f_m^{a_m}$  and each  $Y_i := f_i^{-1}(0)$  has at most isolated singularity at  $x$ , see also [9]. Here we assume  $n \geq 2$ . Let

$$(4.1.1) \quad \mathcal{F}_\lambda = \psi_{f,\lambda} \mathbb{C}_X[n]|_{Y \setminus \{x\}}.$$

Since  $\psi_{f,\lambda} \mathbb{C}_X[n] \oplus \psi_{f,\lambda} \bar{\mathbb{C}}_X[n]$  underlies a mixed Hodge Module, we have the weight spectral sequence (2.4.1). Here  $W$  is the monodromy filtration shifted by  $n = \dim Y$ , and the  $N$ -primitive part  $\text{PGr}_{n+k}^W \mathcal{F}_\lambda|_{Y \setminus \{x\}}$  is calculated as in 1.4.

We assume that  $\mathbb{Q}_X[n+1]$  is a perverse sheaf. Since the intersection complex of  $X$  is given by  $\tau_{<0} \mathbb{R}j'_* \mathbb{Q}_{X \setminus \{x\}}[n+1]$  where  $j' : X \setminus \{x\} \rightarrow X$  denotes the inclusion, this condition is equivalent to

$$(4.1.2) \quad \tilde{H}^j(L_{X,x}, \mathbb{Q}) = 0 \quad \text{for } j < n,$$

where  $L_{X,x}$  is the link of  $\{x\}$  in  $X$ . This follows from the long exact sequence of perverse sheaves associated to the distinguished triangle

$$(4.1.3) \quad \mathbb{Q}_X[n+1] \longrightarrow \tau_{<0} \mathbb{R}j'_* \mathbb{Q}_{X \setminus \{x\}}[n+1] \longrightarrow (\tau_{<0} \mathbb{R}j'_* \mathbb{Q}_{X \setminus \{x\}} / \mathbb{Q}_X)[n+1] \xrightarrow{+1},$$

because  $\mathcal{H}^j(\mathbb{R}j'_* \mathbb{Q}_{X \setminus \{x\}})_x = H^j(L_{X,x}, \mathbb{Q})$ .

PROPOSITION 4.2. — *With the above notation and assumptions, let  $\mathcal{F}_{\lambda,I}, j_I$  and  $d$  be as in (1.4) with  $Y_j$  replaced by  $Y_j \setminus \{x\}$ . Then*

$$(4.2.1) \quad \mathbb{H}^i(L_x, (j_I)_! \mathcal{F}_{\lambda,I}[n-k]_{L_x}) = 0 \quad \text{for } k-n < i < -1,$$

where  $k = |I| - 1$ . For  $i = k - n < -1$ , we have

$$(4.2.2) \quad \mathbb{H}^{k-n}(L_x, (j_I)_! \mathcal{F}_{\lambda,I}[n-k]_{L_x}) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{C} & \text{if } \lambda^d = 1, \\ 0 & \text{if } \lambda^d \neq 1. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* — We prove the assertion by induction on  $|I|$ . If  $I = \emptyset$ , we have  $\mathcal{F}_{\lambda,\emptyset}$  on  $U_\emptyset$  as in 1.4. We may assume  $U_\emptyset \neq X$ , because the assertion is clear by (4.1.2) if  $U_\emptyset = X$ . Let  $B_x$  be a sufficiently small open ball around  $x$ . By the cone theorem,  $B_x \cap Y$  is homeomorphic to the topological cone of  $\partial B_x \cap Y$  in a compatible way with a given Whitney stratification of  $Y$ . (This is proved by using a continuous vector field compatible with the stratification as well-known.) So we have

$$\mathbb{H}^i(L_x, \mathbb{R}(j_\emptyset)_* \mathcal{F}_{\bar{\lambda},\emptyset}|_{L_x}) = \mathbb{H}^i(B_x \cap U_\emptyset, \mathcal{F}_{\bar{\lambda},\emptyset}).$$

By duality, (4.2.1) is equivalent to the vanishing of these groups for  $n+1 < i < 2n+1$ . (Note that the dual of the  $\lambda$ -eigenspace is the  $\lambda^{-1}$ -eigenspace.) So we get the assertion in this case, using the corresponding de Rham complex and the vanishing of the higher cohomology of coherent sheaves on a smooth Stein space  $B_x \cap U_\emptyset$  of dimension  $n+1$ .

If  $I \neq \emptyset$ , take  $j \in I$ , and let  $I' = I \setminus \{j\}$ . By the exact sequence

$$H^{i-1}(L_x, (j_{I'})_! \mathcal{F}_{\lambda,I'}) \longrightarrow H^{i-1}(L_x, (j_I)_! \mathcal{F}_{\lambda,I}) \longrightarrow H_c^i(L_x \setminus Y_j, (j_{I'})_! \mathcal{F}_{\lambda,I'}),$$

it is enough to show

$$H_c^i(L_x \setminus Y_j, (j_{I'})_! \mathcal{F}_{\lambda,I'}) = 0 \quad \text{for } i < n - k.$$

This is isomorphic to the dual of  $H^{2n-2k+1-i}(L_x \setminus Y_j, \mathbb{R}(j_{I'})_* \mathcal{F}_{\bar{\lambda},I'})$ , because  $\dim U_{I'} = n - k + 1$ . So it is enough to show

$$H^i(L_x \cap (Y_{I'} \setminus Y_j), \mathbb{R}(j_{I'})_* \mathcal{F}_{\bar{\lambda},I'}) = 0 \quad \text{for } i > n - k + 1.$$

For this, we may replace  $L_x \cap (Y_{I'} \setminus Y_j)$  by  $B_x \cap (U_{I'} \setminus Y_j)$  (using the cone theorem). Then we get the assertion by using the same argument as above, because  $B_x \cap (U_{I'} \setminus Y_j)$  is a smooth Stein space of dimension  $n - k + 1$ .

**4.3. Proof of Theorem 0.2.** — If  $\lambda^d \neq 1$ , the assertion follows from 4.2. So we may assume  $\lambda^d = 1$ , i.e.  $J(\lambda) = \{1, \dots, m\}$ , see (1.4.3). We define  $K_\lambda$  to be a complex whose  $j$ -th component is

$$\bigoplus_{|I|=j} H^0(L_{X,x} \cap Y_I, \mathbb{C}),$$

where  $Y_\emptyset = X$ , and the differential is given by the Čech restriction morphism. Let  $\sigma$  be the filtration as in [6], II, 1.4.7, and define

$$(4.3.1) \quad \tilde{K}_\lambda = \bigoplus_{i \geq 1} (\sigma_{\geq i} K_\lambda)(1 - i)[n + 1].$$

Let  $E_{1,\lambda}$  denote the  $E_1$ -complex of the weight spectral sequence (2.4.1) applied to (4.1.1). For  $-n \leq j \leq -2$ , we see that

$$(4.3.2) \quad E_1^{-k,j+k} = K_\lambda^{j+n+1} \left( \frac{-j-k}{2} \right),$$

if  $j+k$  is even and  $|k| + j + n > 0$ , and it is zero otherwise, using 4.2 and the primitive decomposition (1.4.2). So we get

$$(4.3.3) \quad \sigma_{\leq -2} \tilde{K}_\lambda = \sigma_{\leq -2} E_{1,\lambda},$$

and  $\sigma_{\leq -1} \tilde{K}_\lambda$  is a quotient complex of  $\sigma_{\leq -1} E_{1,\lambda}$ . We have the isomorphism for degree  $\leq -1$  if the last assumption of 0.2 is satisfied, i.e. if for  $|I| < n$  we have  $H^j(Y_I \cap L_x, \mathbb{C}) = 0$  except for  $j = 0$  or  $2n + 1 - 2|I|$ .

Let  $K(\mathbb{C}; v_1, \dots, v_m)$  be the Koszul complex for  $v_i = \text{id}: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  ( $1 \leq i \leq m$ ). Then

$$(4.3.4) \quad \sigma_{\leq n-1} K(\mathbb{C}; v_1, \dots, v_m) = \sigma_{\leq n-1} K_\lambda,$$

and  $\sigma_{\leq n} K(\mathbb{C}; v_1, \dots, v_m)$  is a direct factor of  $\sigma_{\leq n} K_\lambda$ , because  $Y_I$  may be reducible if  $|I| = n$ . So we may replace  $K_\lambda$  with the Koszul complex as long as we calculate the cohomology of degree  $\leq n - 1$ . Since this Koszul complex is acyclic and the rank of its  $j$ -th component is  $\binom{m}{j}$ , the rank of the nonzero cohomology group of  $\sigma_{\geq j} K_\lambda$  (i.e. the image of the differential  $d^{j-1}$ ) is  $\binom{m-1}{j-1}$  for  $j \leq n - 1$  by the binomial relation. So the assertion for  $\lambda \neq 1$  follows from Theorem 0.1, where the shift of the index  $j$  comes from the fact that the complex  $K_\lambda$  is indexed by  $|I|$  instead of  $k = |I| - 1$ .



For  $\lambda = 1$ , we use a (generalized) weight spectral sequence similar to 2.4:

$$E_1^{-k, j+k} = \bigoplus_{|I|=k} H^{j-k}(L_{X,x} \cap Y_I, \mathbb{Q})(-k) \implies H^j(L_{X,x} \setminus Y, \mathbb{Q}).$$

This is induced by the weight filtration  $W$  on  $(\mathbb{R}j'_* \mathbb{Q}_{X \setminus Y})|_{Y \setminus \{x\}}$  (see [6]) such that

$$\text{Gr}_k^W(\mathbb{R}j'_* \mathbb{Q}_{X \setminus Y})|_{Y \setminus \{x\}} = \bigoplus_{|I|=k} \mathbb{Q}_{Y_I \setminus \{x\}}(-k)[-k],$$

where  $j' : X \setminus Y \rightarrow X$  is as in (1.7). So  $H^j(L_{X,x} \setminus Y, \mathbb{Q})$  is of type  $(j, j)$  for  $j \leq n - 1$  because  $H^j(L_{X,x} \cap Y_I, \mathbb{Q}) = 0$  for  $j \leq n - 1 - |I|$  by (4.1.2) and (1.6).

Since  $H^j(F_x, \mathbb{Q})_1$  has weights  $\leq 2j$  and  $N$  is a morphism of type  $(-1, -1)$ , this assertion implies that  $N = 0$  on  $H^j(F_x, \mathbb{Q})_1$  for  $j \leq n - 1$  using the Wang sequence 1.7 and considering  $\text{Ker } N$ . The assertion on the rank then follows using the Wang sequence and the binomial relation. This completes the proof of Theorem 0.2.

**4.4. Remark.** — In [9], the case  $a_i = 1$  for any  $i$  was treated. The arguments there (e.g. Th. 3.1) imply also the assertion on the rank in 0.2 in this case (see also [5], [15] for the case of a generic central arrangement), and Th. 5.1 corresponds to the vanishing results in (0.2). In Cor. 4.1, it is proved that the monodromy is trivial for  $j \leq n - 1$  in this case.

**4.5. Proof of Theorem 0.3.** — Let  $\mathcal{F}_\lambda = \psi_{f,\lambda} \mathbb{C}_{X|U}$ , and let  $j_U : U \rightarrow E$  denote the inclusion morphism. By (1.5.2) we have canonical isomorphisms (compatible with  $T$ )

$$(4.5.1) \quad H^i(F_x, \mathbb{C})_\lambda = H^i(E, \psi_{f,\lambda} \mathbb{C}_{X|E}) = H^i(U, \mathcal{F}_\lambda).$$

By (1.4),  $\mathcal{F}_\lambda$  is a local system of rank 1 if  $\lambda^e = 1$ , and  $\mathcal{F}_\lambda = 0$  otherwise. So the action of the monodromy  $T$  on  $\mathcal{F}_\lambda$  and  $H^i(F_x, \mathbb{C})_\lambda$  is the multiplication by  $\lambda$  (i.e. semisimple). The monodromy of  $\mathcal{F}_\lambda$  around  $Y_j$  is given by the multiplication by  $\lambda^{-a_j}$ . By (4.5.1) we get

$$(4.5.2) \quad \chi_\lambda(F_x) = \chi(U) \quad \text{if } \lambda^e = 1, \text{ and } 0 \text{ otherwise.}$$

Since we assume that the  $Y_j$  are principal, we have

$$(4.5.3) \quad H^j(F_x, \mathbb{C})_\lambda = 0 \quad \text{for } j \neq n, \text{ if } \lambda^{a_i} \neq 1 \text{ for some } i,$$

using the weak Lefschetz theorem, because  $E \setminus Y'_i$  is affine where  $Y'_i$  is the

proper transform of  $Y_i$ . Indeed the last assertion can be reduced to the case  $X$  smooth, replacing  $X$  with an ambient smooth space, because  $Y_i$  is principal. So we get

$$(4.5.4) \quad b_\lambda^n(F_x) = (-1)^n \chi(U) \quad \text{if } \lambda^e = 1 \text{ and } \lambda^d \neq 1.$$

If  $\lambda^d = 1$ , then it is known that  $\mathcal{F}_\lambda$  is a constant sheaf on  $U$ . (Indeed,  $\bigoplus_\lambda \mathcal{F}_\lambda$  is the direct image of a constant sheaf on a finite covering of  $U$  which is ramified over  $E \cap Y'$ , see [20], etc.) Let  $D_j := E \cap Y'_i$ , and  $D^{(k)}$  be the disjoint union of  $D_I := \bigcap_{j \in I} D_j$  for  $|I| = k$  where  $D_\emptyset = E$ . Then the cohomology of  $U$  is calculated by using the weight spectral sequence [6]

$$(4.5.5) \quad E_1^{-k, j+k} = H^{j-k}(D^{(k)}, \mathbb{Q}(-k)) \implies H^j(U, \mathbb{Q}).$$

By assumption the constant sheaf  $\mathbb{Q}_X[n + 1]$  is a perverse sheaf, and hence so are  $\mathbb{Q}_{Y_I}[n + 1 - |I|]$  for any  $I$ , where  $Y_I = \bigcap_{j \in I} Y_j$ , see (1.6). On the other hand, it is known that, if there is a blow-up  $\pi : X' \rightarrow X$  with a point center such that  $X'$  and the exceptional divisor  $E$  are smooth, then the primitive cohomology of  $E$  is isomorphic to the stalk of the intersection cohomology  $\text{IC}_X \mathbb{Q}$  of  $X$  at  $x$ . (Indeed, by the decomposition theorem [2],  $\mathbb{R}\pi_* \mathbb{Q}_{X'} = \text{IC}_X \mathbb{Q}[-n - 1] \oplus M^\bullet$  with  $\text{supp } M^\bullet = \{x\}$ , and  $M$  is symmetric with center  $n + 1$ , i.e.  $\dim M^{n+1-j} = \dim M^{n+1+j}$  by the relative hard Lefschetz theorem for  $\pi$ . On the other hand,  $H^\bullet(E, \mathbb{Q}) = (\text{IC}_X \mathbb{Q}[-n - 1])_x \oplus M^\bullet$ , and it is symmetric with center  $n$  by the classical hard Lefschetz theorem. Then the assertion follows from the Lefschetz decomposition because  $H^j(E, \mathbb{Q}) = M^j$  for  $j > n$ .) So the  $j$ -th primitive cohomology of the exceptional divisor vanishes for  $0 < j < \dim X - 1$ , using an exact sequence as in (4.1.3). Similar assertions hold also for any  $Y_I$ .

For  $0 \leq j < n$ , the above arguments imply that

$$(4.5.6) \quad E_1^{-k, j+k} = \bigoplus_{|I|=k} \mathbb{Q}(-\frac{1}{2}(j+k)),$$

if  $j + k$  is even and  $0 \leq k \leq j$ , and it is zero otherwise. For  $j = n$ ,  $E_1^{-k, n+k}$  contains  $\bigoplus_{|I|=k} \mathbb{Q}(-\frac{1}{2}(n+k))$  if  $j + k$  is even and  $0 \leq k \leq n$ . Furthermore, the differential is given by the co-Čech Gysin morphism. Thus the cohomology of the  $E_1$ -complex of (4.5.5) for  $j < n$  is calculated by that of

$$\bigoplus_{j \geq 0} \sigma_{\geq m-j} K(\mathbb{C}; v_1, \dots, v_m)[m - 2j](-j),$$

where the Koszul complex  $K(\mathbb{C}; v_1, \dots, v_m)$  is as in 4.3. So the assertion on the Hodge type and the rank in 0.2 holds for  $j \leq n - 1$  in this case.

Combined with (4.5.4), this implies

$$(4.5.7) \quad b_\lambda^n(F_x) = (-1)^n \chi(U) + \binom{m-2}{n-1} \text{ if } \lambda^e = 1 \text{ and } \lambda^d = 1,$$

because  $\binom{m-2}{n-1} = \sum_{0 \leq k \leq n-1} (-1)^k \binom{m-1}{n-1-k}$ , see [15], Lemma 2.5. This completes the proof of Theorem 0.3.  $\square$

**4.6. Remark.** — With the assumption of 4.5, assume further  $X$  smooth. Then it is known that  $\chi(U)$  is explicitly calculated by using  $d_j$ . Indeed, we have by (4.5.5)

$$\chi(U) = \bigoplus_{|I| \leq n} (-1)^{|I|} \chi(D_I).$$

Furthermore, by the theory of Chern classes (see e.g. [11]), the topological Euler characteristic  $\chi(D_I)$  is the coefficient of  $T^n$  in

$$(1 + T)^{n+1} \prod_{j \in I} (d_j T / (1 + d_j T)) \in \mathbb{Q}[[T]] / (T^{n+1}),$$

because the  $k$ -th Chern class of the tangent bundle of  $D_I$  gives the topological Euler characteristic for  $k = \dim D_I (= n - |I|)$ , and the restriction of a cycle on  $\mathbb{P}^n$  to  $D_I$  is essentially same as the intersection with  $D_I$ . Here the truncated formal power series ring is identified with the cohomology ring of  $\mathbb{P}^n$  so that  $(1 + T)^{n+1}$  is the total Chern class of the tangent bundle of  $\mathbb{P}^n$ , and  $1 + d_j T$  is that of the normal bundle of  $D_j$ .

Since  $1 - d_j T / (1 + d_j T) = (1 + d_j T)^{-1}$ , we see that  $\chi(U)$  is the coefficient of  $T^n$  in

$$(1 + T)^{n+1} \prod_{1 \leq j \leq m} (1 + d_j T)^{-1} \in \mathbb{Q}[[T]] / (T^{n+1}).$$

For  $m = 1$  and  $a_1 = 1$ , this is compatible with a well-known formula for the Milnor number of a homogeneous hypersurface isolated singularity (using Theorem 0.3), i.e.

$$1 - d_1 \chi(U) = (1 - d_1)^{n+1}.$$

In the case of a generic central arrangement (i.e.  $d_j = 1$ ), the above assertion implies

$$(4.6.1) \quad \chi(U) = (-1)^n \binom{m-2}{n}.$$

This is compatible with the formula in [5], [15] using Theorem 0.3.

In general, we can verify that the coefficient of  $T^k$  in

$$\prod_{1 \leq j \leq m} (1 + d_j T)^{-1} \in \mathbb{Q}[[T]]/(T^{m+1})$$

is a polynomial in  $d_1, \dots, d_m$ , which is equal to

$$(-1)^k \sum_{1 \leq i \leq m} \left( d_i^{k+m-1} / \prod_{p \neq i} (d_i - d_p) \right)$$

in the fraction field  $\mathbb{Q}(d_1, \dots, d_m)$ . This follows by induction on  $m$ , using

$$\sum_{0 \leq j \leq k} d_{m-1}^j d_m^{k-j} = (d_{m-1}^{k+1} - d_m^{k+1}) / (d_{m-1} - d_m).$$

Furthermore, the above polynomial vanishes for  $1 - m \leq k < 0$ , because it is a polynomial, and has negative degree. So we see that  $\chi(U)$  for  $m > 1$  is a polynomial in  $d_1, \dots, d_m$ , which is equal to

$$(4.6.2) \quad \sum_{1 \leq i \leq m} \left( -d_i^{m-2} (1 - d_i)^{n+1} / \prod_{p \neq i} (d_i - d_p) \right)$$

in the fraction field. This gives an explicit formula if the  $d_i$  are different from each other. In general we have to take a limit (or make some calculation in the fraction field).

### Appendix.

We give an example such that the monodromy at degree  $n - 1$  is not semisimple at the origin, but is semisimple at the other points. This shows that Theorem (0.4) is optimal, and that the extension class between the graded-pieces of the filtration associated to the Leray spectral sequence 2.2 for the nearby cycles is nontrivial as  $\mathbb{C}[N]$ -modules.

**A.1. Embedded resolution of singularities.** — We first explain how to get an embedded resolution of a function of type  $f = f_d + f_{d+1}$  on the affine cone  $X$  of a smooth projective variety  $E$  with a very ample line bundle  $L$  defining the embeddings  $E \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{r-1}$  and  $X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^r$  where  $r = \dim \Gamma(E, L)$ . Here  $f_j$  is an element of the  $j$ -th symmetric power of  $\Gamma(E, L)$ , which is identified with a polynomial of degree  $j$  in  $r$  variables, and defines a function on the affine cone  $X$ . We assume that  $f_d^{-1}(0) \setminus f_{d+1}^{-1}(0)$

defines a divisor with simple normal crossings on  $E \setminus f_{d+1}^{-1}(0)$  (where  $f_j$  is viewed as a section of  $L^{\otimes j}$ ).

Let  $X^\vee$  be the total space of the dual of the line bundle  $L$  with the projection  $\rho: X^\vee \rightarrow E$ . It is the blow-up of the affine cone  $X$  at the origin, and the exceptional divisor is identified with  $E$ . Let

$$D_0 = f_d^{-1}(0), \quad D_\infty = f_{d+1}^{-1}(0)$$

as (not necessarily reduced) divisors on  $E$ . Let  $Y^\vee$  be the proper transform of  $f^{-1}(0)$  in  $X^\vee$ . Let  $D_{0,\infty}$  be the greatest common divisor of  $D_0$  and  $D_\infty$ , and put

$$D_0^{\text{red}} = D_0 - D_{0,\infty}, \quad D_\infty^{\text{red}} = D_\infty - D_{0,\infty}.$$

Then we have a canonical decomposition

$$Y^\vee = Y_{\text{hor}} + Y_{\text{ver}},$$

where  $Y_{\text{ver}} = \rho^* D_{0,\infty}$  and  $Y_{\text{hor}}$  corresponds to a rational section  $\sigma$  of the line bundle such that

$$\text{div } \sigma = D_0^{\text{red}} - D_\infty^{\text{red}}.$$

Assume there is an embedded resolution  $\pi: E' \rightarrow E$  of  $D_0$  such that  $D_0 \cup D_\infty$  is a divisor with normal crossings on a neighborhood of  $D_0$ . (This is satisfied in the case  $n = 2$ .) Let  $\pi: X' \rightarrow X^\vee$  be the base change of  $\pi: E' \rightarrow E$  by  $\rho$ . We can similarly define  $D'_{0,\infty}, D_0'^{\text{red}}, D_\infty'^{\text{red}}, Y'_{\text{hor}}, Y'_{\text{ver}}$  for  $D'_0 = \pi^* D_0, D'_\infty = \pi^* D_\infty, Y' = \pi^* Y^\vee$  so that

$$\text{div } \pi^* \sigma = D_0'^{\text{red}} - D_\infty'^{\text{red}}.$$

Blowing up further if necessary, we may assume

$$(A.1.1) \quad D_0'^{\text{red}} \cap D_\infty'^{\text{red}} = \emptyset.$$

Then we get an embedded resolution of  $f^{-1}(0)$  by iterating blow-ups of  $X'$  along the irreducible components of  $D_0'^{\text{red}}$ . Indeed,  $Y'_{\text{hor}}$  may be locally defined by  $s = \prod_i x_i^{m_i}$  with  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  local coordinates of  $E'$  and  $s$  a local coordinate of the line bundle so that the blow-up along  $\{x_i = s = 0\}$  corresponds to the substitution of  $s$  by  $s x_i$  where  $m_i$  decreases by 1.

For simplicity, assume  $n = 2$ ,  $D_0$  is a reduced divisor with simple normal crossings, and intersects  $D_\infty$  at smooth points of  $D_0$ . Since the embedded resolution can be obtained by iterating blowing-ups with point centers, we can verify that  $D_0'^{\text{red}}$  may be assumed to be isomorphic to  $D_0$ ,

and does not intersect  $D'_\infty{}^{\text{red}}$  (calculating the multiplicities of the exceptional divisors). If furthermore  $D_0$  is smooth, then the exceptional divisor of the blow-up along  $D'_0{}^{\text{red}}$  is a trivial  $\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundle over  $D'_0{}^{\text{red}}$ , because the proper transform of  $Y^\vee$  gives a trivialization.

For example, if  $D_0$  (resp.  $D_\infty$ ) is defined locally by  $y = 0$  (resp.  $x = 0$ ) with multiplicity 1 (resp.  $m$ ), then the resolution is obtained by iterating  $m$  times blow-ups along a point of the proper transform of  $D_0$ . Let  $C_j$  denote the proper transform of the exceptional divisor of the  $j$ -th blow-up for  $1 \leq j \leq m$ . Then

$$\pi^* D_0 = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq m} jC_j + D'_0{}^{\text{red}}, \quad \pi^* D_\infty = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq m} mC_j + D''_\infty,$$

where  $D''_\infty$  is the proper transform of  $D_\infty$  (with multiplicity  $m$ ), and

$$D'_{0,\infty} = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq m} jC_j, \quad D'_\infty{}^{\text{red}} = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq m-1} (m-j)C_j + D''_\infty.$$

**A.2. Conditions for non semisimplicity.** — With the notation and the assumptions of 1.5, assume  $n = 2$ . We consider the conditions for the non semisimplicity of the monodromy on  $H^1(F_x, \mathbb{Q})_\lambda$ . Define

$$J(\lambda; a, b) = \{I \subset J(\lambda) : |I| - 1 = a, s(I) \geq b\},$$

$$J_0(\lambda; a, b) = \{I \in J(\lambda; a, b) : Y_I \cap Y_j = \emptyset \text{ for } j \notin J(\lambda)\}.$$

Let  $u$  be an element of  $E_1^{-1,2}$  in (1.5.3). It may be viewed as an element of

$$\bigoplus_{I \in J_0(\lambda; 1, 0)} H^0(Y_I, \mathbb{Q}),$$

because  $H^0(U_I, \mathcal{F}_{\lambda, I})$  vanishes for  $I \in J(\lambda; 1, 0) \setminus J_0(\lambda; 1, 0)$ . Here the Tate twist  $(-1)$  is trivialized by choosing  $\sqrt{-1}$ .

The first condition on  $u$  is that it is annihilated by the differential  $d_1$  of the spectral sequence, i.e. its images in

$$\bigoplus_{I \in J_0(\lambda; 2, 1)} H^0(Y_I, \mathbb{Q}), \quad \bigoplus_{I \in J(\lambda; 0, 0)} H^2(U_I, \mathcal{F}_{\lambda, I})(1)$$

vanish. This condition is necessary to assure that it defines an element of  $\text{Gr}_2^W H^1(F_x, \mathbb{Q})_\lambda$ .

The second condition is that its image in  $\bigoplus_{I \in J_0(\lambda; 1, 1)} H^0(Y_I, \mathbb{Q})$  does not belong to the image of  $\bigoplus_{I \in J_0(\lambda; 0, 0)} H^0(Y_I, \mathbb{Q})$ . This condition is necessary to assure that its image by  $N$  does not vanish in  $\text{Gr}_0^W H^1(F_x, \mathbb{Q})_\lambda$ .

**A.3. Example.** — Let

$$X = \{xw - yz = 0\} \subset \mathbb{C}^4, \quad f = (y^2 - x^4)(x^2 - y^4),$$

where  $n = 2$ . Then  $E = \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$  with coordinates  $(u_0, u_1; v_0, v_1)$  such that

$$x = u_0v_0, \quad y = u_0v_1, \quad z = u_1v_0, \quad w = u_1v_1.$$

We apply the arguments in (A.1) to

$$g = x \pm y^2, \quad h = y \pm x^2,$$

where  $g_1 = x, g_2 = y^2$ , etc., and  $L$  is induced by  $\mathcal{O}(1)$  on  $\mathbb{P}^3$ . Let  $(u, v)$  be the affine coordinates on  $\{u_1v_1 \neq 0\} \subset E$  such that  $u = u_0/u_1, v = v_0/v_1$ . Let  $s$  be the coordinate of the line bundle over  $\{w \neq 0\}$ , which is induced by  $w$ . Then  $Y^\vee$  near  $(0, 1; 0, 1)$  is locally defined by

$$(A.3.1) \quad u^4(v - su)(v + su) = 0,$$

because  $g = w(x/w) \pm w^2(y/w)^2$  (and similarly for  $h$ ), where  $w$  is actually  $s$ . We have a similar assertion on a neighborhood of  $(0, 1; 1, 0)$ . So  $Y^\vee$  has four reduced components (defined by  $v \pm su = 0$ , etc.) and one multiple component (defined by  $u^4 = 0$ ).

Let  $Z_1, Z_2$  be the divisors defined by  $v_0$  and  $v_1$  respectively. Then  $D_0^{\text{red}}$  in A.1 for  $g$  (resp.  $h$ ) is  $Z_1$  (resp.  $Z_2$ ), and  $D_0^{\text{red}} \cap D_\infty^{\text{red}}$  consists of  $(0, 1; 0, 1)$  (resp.  $(0, 1; 1, 0)$ ). Let  $\pi : E' \rightarrow E$  be the blow-up along these two points with exceptional divisors  $C_1, C_2$ . This gives a resolution satisfying (A.1.1) by the last argument of A.1 where  $m = 1$ . Let  $Z'_1, Z'_2$  be the proper transforms of  $Z_1, Z_2$  so that

$$(A.3.2) \quad \pi^*Z_1 = Z'_1 + C_1, \quad \pi^*Z_2 = Z'_2 + C_2.$$

Let  $\pi : X' \rightarrow X^\vee$  be the base change of  $\pi : E' \rightarrow E$  by  $\rho$ . Let  $X'' \rightarrow X'$  be the blow-up along  $Z'_1$  and  $Z'_2$  with exceptional divisors  $E_1, E_2$ . This gives an embedded resolution of  $f^{-1}(0)$ . We see that  $E_1$  is a trivial  $\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundle over  $Z'_1$ , and the intersection of  $E_1$  with the proper transform of  $f^{-1}(0)$  consists of two connected components (corresponding to  $v - su = 0$

and  $v + su = 0$ ) and these are both isomorphic to  $Z'_1$  by the projection (and similarly for  $E_2, Z'_2$ ). Let  $E_0$  be the proper transform of the zero section  $E'$  by  $X'' \rightarrow X'$ . For  $i = 1, 2$ , the proper transform of  $\rho^{-1}(C_i)$  will be denoted by  $E_{i+2}$ . Let  $C'_i$  be the proper transform of  $C_i$ , which is equal to  $E_0 \cap E_{i+2}$ . We will identify  $Z'_i$  with  $E_0 \cap E_i$  for  $i = 1, 2$ . Note that the inverse image of the origin is  $\bigcup_{0 \leq i \leq 2} E_i$ .

Using this resolution together with the conditions in A.2, we can show that the action of  $N$  on  $H^1(F_0, \mathbb{Q})_\lambda$  is not semisimple where  $\lambda = -1$ . We see that the multiplicities of the irreducible components are even except for the proper transforms of the four reduced components of  $Y^\vee$ . We have to find an appropriate element  $u$  as in A.2. We define  $u$  by

$$1 \in H^0(Z'_1, \mathbb{Q}), \quad 1 \in H^0(C'_1, \mathbb{Q}), \quad -1 \in H^0(Z'_2, \mathbb{Q}), \quad -1 \in H^0(C'_2, \mathbb{Q}).$$

Here we use the natural order of the exceptional divisors  $E_i$  for  $0 \leq i \leq 4$  to define these elements, because Čech and co-Čech complexes are involved. We can verify that the two conditions in A.2 are satisfied by using (A.3.2), etc. Note that, if  $Y_I$  is  $E_i$  with  $i = 1$  or  $2$ , then

$$H^j(U_I, \mathcal{F}_{\lambda, I}) = 0 \quad \text{for any } j,$$

because  $U_I$  is the product of  $Z'_i$  with  $\mathbb{P}^1$  minus two points, and the monodromy of  $\mathcal{F}_{\lambda, I}$  around the two points are  $-1$  (here we use the Leray spectral sequence for the projection to  $Z'_i$ ). We can also verify that the Milnor monodromy is semisimple outside the origin, using (A.3.1) and 1.5.

**A.4. Remark.** — For the moment, we do not know any example as above with  $X$  smooth.

## BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [1] D. BARLET, Interaction de strates consécutives pour les cycles évanescents, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4), 24 (1991), 401–505.
- [2] A. BEILINSON, J. BERNSTEIN, P. DELIGNE, Faisceaux pervers, Astérisque 100, Soc. Math. France, Paris (1982).
- [3] J.L. BRYLINSKI, Transformations canoniques, dualité projective, théorie de Lefschetz, transformations de Fourier et sommes trigonométriques, in Géométrie et analyse microlocales, Astérisque 140-141 (1986), 3–134.
- [4] N. BUDUR, M. SAITO, Multiplier ideals,  $V$ -filtration, and spectrum, preprint, math.AG/0305118.



- [5] D. COHEN, A. SUCIU, On Milnor fibrations of arrangements, *J. London Math. Soc.* 51 (1995), 105–119.
- [6] P. DELIGNE, Théorie de Hodge I, *Actes Congrès Intern. Math.* 1 (1970), 425–430; II, *Publ. Math. IHÉS*, 40 (1971), 5–57; III, *ibid.*, 44 (1974), 5–77.
- [7] P. DELIGNE, Le formalisme des cycles évanescents, in *SGA7 XIII and XIV*, *Lect. Notes in Math.* 340, Springer, Berlin, 1973.
- [8] A. DIMCA, *Sheaves in Topology*, Universitext, Springer, Berlin, 2004.
- [9] A. DIMCA, A. LIBGOBER, Local topology of reducible divisors, preprint, *math.AG/0303215*.
- [10] A. DIMCA, M. SAITO, Monodromy at infinity and the weights of cohomology, *Compos. Math.*, 138 (2003), 55–71.
- [11] W. FULTON, *Intersection theory*, Springer, Berlin, 1984.
- [12] M. KASHIWARA, Vanishing cycle sheaves and holonomic systems of differential equations, in *Algebraic geometry (Tokyo/Kyoto, 1982)*, 134–142, Springer, Berlin, 1983.
- [13] B. MALGRANGE, Polynôme de Bernstein-Sato et cohomologie évanescence, in *Analysis and topology on singular spaces, II–III (Luminy, 1981)*, *Astérisque* 101–102 (1983), 243–267.
- [14] V. NAVARRO AZNAR, Sur la théorie de Hodge-Deligne, *Inv. Math.*, 90 (1987), 11–76.
- [15] P. ORLIK, R. RANDELL, The Milnor fiber of a generic arrangement, *Ark. Mat.* 31 (1993), 71–81.
- [16] M. SAITO, Modules de Hodge polarisables, *Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ.*, 24 (1988), 849–995.
- [17] M. SAITO, Mixed Hodge Modules, *Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ.*, 26 (1990), 221–333.
- [18] D. SIERSMA, Variation mappings on singularities with a 1-dimensional critical locus, *Topology* 30 (1991), 445–469.
- [19] D. SIERSMA, The vanishing topology of non isolated singularities, in *New Developments in Singularity Theory (D. Siersma et al., eds.)*, 447–472, Kluwer Acad. Publishers, 2001.
- [20] J.H.M. STEENBRINK, Mixed Hodge structure on the vanishing cohomology, in *Real and Complex Singularities (Proc. Nordic Summer School, Oslo, 1976)* *Alphen a/d Rijn, Sijthoff & Noordhoff*, 1977.

Manuscrit reçu le 27 octobre 2003,  
accepté le 25 mai 2004.

Alexandru DIMCA,  
Université de Nice-Sophia-Antipolis  
Laboratoire J.A. Dieudonné  
UMR du CNRS 6621  
Parc Valrose  
06108 Nice Cedex 02 (France).  
dimca@math.unice.fr

Morihiko SAITO  
RIMS Kyoto University  
Kyoto 606-8502 (Japan).  
msaito@kurims.kyoto-u.ac.jp