



ANNALES

DE

L'INSTITUT FOURIER

Zhang-Ju LIU & Ping XU

Dirac structures and dynamical r -matrices

Tome 51, n° 3 (2001), p. 835-859.

http://aif.cedram.org/item?id=AIF_2001__51_3_835_0

© Association des Annales de l'institut Fourier, 2001, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux articles de la revue « Annales de l'institut Fourier » (<http://aif.cedram.org/>), implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://aif.cedram.org/legal/>). Toute reproduction en tout ou partie cet article sous quelque forme que ce soit pour tout usage autre que l'utilisation à fin strictement personnelle du copiste est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

cedram

*Article mis en ligne dans le cadre du
Centre de diffusion des revues académiques de mathématiques
<http://www.cedram.org/>*

DIRAC STRUCTURES AND DYNAMICAL r -MATRICES

by Z.-J. LIU(*) & P. XU(†)

1. Introduction.

Recently, there has been a great deal of interest in the so called *Classical Dynamical Yang-Baxter Equation* (here after *CDYBE*):

$$(1) \quad \text{Alt}(dr) + [r^{12}, r^{13}] + [r^{12}, r^{23}] + [r^{13}, r^{23}] = 0,$$

where $r(\lambda) : \mathfrak{h}^* \rightarrow \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g}$ is a meromorphic function, and \mathfrak{g} is a complex simple Lie algebra with Cartan subalgebra \mathfrak{h} . When r is a constant function, Equation (1) reduces to the usual classical Yang-Baxter equation, and therefore a classical r -matrix is a special solution. Assume that r is a solution, and that $r + r^{21} = \epsilon\Omega$, where $\Omega \in (S^2\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{g}}$ is the Casimir element corresponding to the Killing form, and ϵ is a constant usually called the coupling constant. Then the skew-symmetric part of r satisfies the following modified CDYBE:

$$(2) \quad \text{Alt}(dr) + \frac{1}{2}[r, r] = \frac{\epsilon^2}{4} [\Omega^{12}, \Omega^{23}] \in (\wedge^3\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{g}},$$

where $[\cdot, \cdot]$ on the left hand side is the Schouten bracket on $\wedge^*\mathfrak{g}$ and $\text{Alt}(dr) = \sum_i h_i \wedge \frac{\partial r}{\partial \lambda^i}$. Here $\{h_1, \dots, h_n\}$ is a basis in \mathfrak{h} , and $(\lambda^1, \dots, \lambda^n)$ its induced coordinate system on \mathfrak{h}^* .

(*) Research partially supported by NSF of China and the Research Project of “Non-linear Science”.

(†) Research partially supported by NSF grant DMS97-04391.

Keywords: Dynamical r -matrix – Dirac structure – Lie bialgebroid – Courant algebroid – Lagrangian subalgebra.

Math. classification: 53D17 – 17B62 – 58H05 – 70G45.

In this paper, by a *dynamical r -matrix*, we mean a meomorphic function $r : \mathfrak{h}^* \rightarrow \mathfrak{g} \wedge \mathfrak{g}$ satisfying:

1. $[h, r(\lambda)] = 0, \forall h \in \mathfrak{h}$, and
2. r satisfies the modified CDYBE (2).

The first assumption is often referred to as the zero weight condition [10]. Here we are mainly interested in dynamical r -matrices with nonzero coupling constant. In this case, by multiplying by a constant, we may always assume that $\epsilon = 2$. In the sequel, we will always make this assumption when referring to a dynamical r -matrix unless otherwise specified.

Classical dynamical r -matrices have appeared in various contexts in mathematical physics, for instance, in the Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov-Bernard equation [11], and in the study of integrable systems such as Caloger-Moser systems [2], [5], [6]. A classification of dynamical r -matrices for simple Lie algebras was obtained by Etingof and Varchenko in [10]. An example of such a dynamical r -matrix is

$$r(\lambda) = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta_+} \coth(\langle \alpha, \lambda \rangle) E_\alpha \wedge E_{-\alpha},$$

where Δ_+ is the set of positive roots of \mathfrak{g} with respect to \mathfrak{h} , the E_α and $E_{-\alpha}$'s are dual root vectors, and $\coth(x) = \frac{e^x + e^{-x}}{e^x - e^{-x}}$ is the hyperbolic cotangent function. Moreover, it is proved by Etingof and Varchenko [10] that dynamical r -matrices correspond to Poisson groupoids just as classical r -matrices integrate to Poisson groups in Drinfel'd theory [21], [24]. The corresponding Lie bialgebroids, as the infinitesimal invariants, were studied by Bangoura and Kosmann-Schwarzbach [3].

It is well known that there are many ways of producing a classical r -matrix. A natural method is via Lie bialgebras using Manin triples. For instance, for the Lie bialgebra of the standard r -matrix $r_0 = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta_+} E_\alpha \wedge E_{-\alpha}$, the corresponding Manin triple is $(\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}_1, \mathfrak{g}_2)$, where $\mathfrak{g}_1 \subset \mathfrak{g}$ is the diagonal while \mathfrak{g}_2 is the subalgebra $\{(h + X_+, -h + X_-) | h \in \mathfrak{h}, X_\pm \in n_\pm\}$. Here $n_\pm \subset \mathfrak{g}$ are maximal nilpotent subalgebras. It is thus natural to ask

PROBLEM 1. — *Does there exist such an analogue for dynamical r -matrices? In particular, what is the double of the Lie bialgebroid corresponding to a dynamical r -matrix?*

Recently, Lu has found an interesting connection between dynamical r -matrices and Poisson homogeneous spaces [20]. More precisely, Lu showed

that a dynamical r -matrix gives rise to a family of Poisson homogeneous G -spaces G/H parametrized by $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$, where G is the Poisson group defined by the standard classical r -matrix r_0 with the same coupling constant (i.e., constant solution of Equation (2)), and H is the Cartan subgroup of G . Clearly, the Poisson homogeneous spaces corresponding to different λ , must be related in some way to reflect the dynamical property of the dynamical r -matrix. This leads to our

PROBLEM 2. — *Given a family of Poisson homogeneous G -spaces G/H parametrized by $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$, what criteria will guarantee that it arises from a dynamical r -matrix?*

The infinitesimal object of the Poisson group G is the Lie bialgebra $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}^*, r_0)$ generated by the classical r -matrix r_0 . According to Drinfeld [9], Poisson homogeneous G -spaces are in one-one correspondence with Lagrangian subalgebras of the double Lie algebra \mathfrak{d} , which is isomorphic to the direct sum Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g}$. So an equivalent formulation of Problem 2 is

PROBLEM 3. — *Let $W(\lambda) \subset \mathfrak{d}$ be a family of Lagrangian subalgebras. When will this family of Lagrangian subalgebras be induced from a dynamical r -matrix?*

In fact Lu showed that these Poisson homogeneous G -spaces exhaust all the Poisson homogeneous G -spaces of the form G/H . This fact suggests that dynamical r -matrices and Lagrangian subalgebras of $\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g}$ should be somehow intrinsically related. On the other hand, a general classification of Lagrangian subalgebras of \mathfrak{d} has been obtained by Karolinsky [14], which seems, on the face of it, little to do with the work of Etingof and Varchenko [10]. Therefore it is natural to ask

PROBLEM 4. — *What is the precise relation between dynamical r -matrices and Lagrangian subalgebras of $\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g}$?*

The purpose of this paper is to understand the intrinsic connection between various objects such as dynamical r -matrices, Lagrangian subalgebras, and Lie bialgebroids (see [26]). In particular, our work is motivated by the above questions. The main idea is to use Dirac structure theory developed in [17], [18]. The starting point is a simple Courant algebroid (see Section 3): $TU \oplus T^*U \oplus U \times (\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g})$, which can be considered as an analogue of the direct sum Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g}$ in the Lie algebroid context,

where $U \subset \mathfrak{h}^*$ is an open subset. We analyze a class of Dirac structures of this Courant algebroid which are induced from dynamical r -matrices. We are then lead to a new classification method for dynamical r -matrices of simple Lie algebras. An advantage of our approach is that the Cayley transformation, which turns out to be important in classification theory [25], arises quite naturally. We hope that our method may shed new light on the classification scheme of more general dynamical r -matrices [2], and that of dynamical r -matrices for compact Lie algebras. This topic occupies Section 4. In Section 5, we show that Lagrangian subalgebras of $\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g}$ whose intersection with the diagonal is equal to \mathfrak{h} , are in one-one correspondence with dynamical r -matrices with zero gauge term. This relates the results of Karolinsky and Lu with that of Etingof and Varchenko in an explicit way. Moreover, we prove that given a point $\mu \in \mathfrak{h}^*$, any such Lagrangian subalgebra W_0 admits a unique extension to a family of Lagrangian subalgebras $W(\lambda)$ with $W(\mu) = W_0$, governed by a dynamical r -matrix. In a certain sense, this is similar to an initial value problem of a first order o.d.e. Section 2 contains some basic facts concerning Lie bialgebroids and Courant algebroids. And Section 3 is devoted to the discussion on the connection between dynamical r -matrices and Lie bialgebroids.

Acknowledgments. In addition to the funding sources mentioned in the first footnote, we would like to thank several institutions for their hospitality while work on this project was being done: IHES, and Peking University (Xu); Penn. State University (Liu). Thanks go also to Eugene Karolinsky, Yvette Kosmann-Schwarzbach and Jiang-hua Lu for their helpful comments. Especially, we are grateful to Lu for allowing us to have access to her manuscript [20] before its publication.

2. Preliminaries.

In this section, we recall some basic facts concerning Lie bialgebroids and Dirac structures.

A Lie bialgebroid is a pair of Lie algebroids (A, A^*) satisfying the following compatibility condition (see [22] and [15]):

$$(3) \quad d_*[X, Y] = [d_*X, Y] + [X, d_*Y], \quad \forall X, Y \in \Gamma(A),$$

where the differential d_* on $\Gamma(\wedge^* A)$ comes from the Lie algebroid structure on A^* .

Given a Lie algebroid A over P with anchor a and a section $\Lambda \in \Gamma(\wedge^2 A)$, denote by $\Lambda^\#$ the bundle map $A^* \rightarrow A$ defined by $\Lambda^\#(\xi)(\eta) = \Lambda(\xi, \eta)$, $\forall \xi, \eta \in \Gamma(A^*)$. Introduce a bracket on $\Gamma(A^*)$ by

$$(4) \quad [\xi, \eta]_\Lambda = L_{\Lambda^\#\xi}\eta - L_{\Lambda^\#\eta}\xi - d[\Lambda(\xi, \eta)].$$

By a_* we denote the composition $a \circ \Lambda^\# : A^* \rightarrow TP$.

THEOREM 2.1. — A^* with the bracket and anchor a_* above becomes a Lie algebroid if and only if

$$(5) \quad L_X[\Lambda, \Lambda] = [X, [\Lambda, \Lambda]] = 0, \quad \forall X \in \Gamma(A).$$

Proof. — In [19], we proved this result with one more condition: $a \circ [\Lambda, \Lambda]^\# = 0$, which is equivalent to $[f, [\Lambda, \Lambda]] = 0, \forall f \in C^\infty(P)$. But in fact this last condition is a consequence of Equation (5). To see this, by replacing X with fX in Equation (5), one obtains $[fX, [\Lambda, \Lambda]] = 0$. It thus follows that $X \wedge [f, [\Lambda, \Lambda]] = 0, \forall X \in \Gamma(A)$, which implies that $[f, [\Lambda, \Lambda]] = 0$. □

In this case, the induced differential $d_* : \Gamma(A) \rightarrow \Gamma(\wedge^2 A)$ is simply given by $d_*X = [\Lambda, X], \forall X \in \Gamma(A)$. Thus the compatibility condition: Equation (3), is satisfied automatically. So (A, A^*) is a Lie bialgebroid, called a *coboundary Lie bialgebroid*. By abuse of notation, Λ is also called an r -matrix. When P reduces to a point, i.e., A is a Lie algebra, Equation (5) is equivalent to that $[\Lambda, \Lambda]$ is ad-invariant, i.e., Λ is a classical r -matrix in the ordinary sense. On the other hand, when A is the tangent bundle TP with the standard Lie algebroid structure, Equation (5) is equivalent to that $[\Lambda, \Lambda] = 0$, i.e., Λ is a Poisson tensor.

Given a Lie bialgebroid (A, A^*) over the base P , with anchors a and a_* respectively, let E denote their vector bundle direct sum: $E = A \oplus A^*$. On E , there exists a natural non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form

$$(6) \quad (X_1 + \xi_1, X_2 + \xi_2) = \frac{1}{2}(\langle \xi_1, X_2 \rangle + \langle \xi_2, X_1 \rangle).$$

In [17], we introduced a bracket on $\Gamma(E)$, called *Courant bracket*

$$(7) \quad [e_1, e_2] = \{[X_1, X_2] + L_{\xi_1}X_2 - L_{\xi_2}X_1 - \frac{1}{2}d_*(\langle \xi_1, X_2 \rangle - \langle \xi_2, X_1 \rangle)\} \\ + \{[\xi_1, \xi_2] + L_{X_1}\xi_2 - L_{X_2}\xi_1 + \frac{1}{2}d(\langle \xi_1, X_2 \rangle - \langle \xi_2, X_1 \rangle)\},$$

where $e_1 = X_1 + \xi_1$ and $e_2 = X_2 + \xi_2$. Let $\rho : E \rightarrow TP$ be the bundle map $\rho = a + a_*$. That is,

$$(8) \quad \rho(X + \xi) = a(X) + a_*(\xi), \quad \forall X \in \Gamma(A) \text{ and } \xi \in \Gamma(A^*).$$

For a Lie bialgebra $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}^*)$, the bracket (7) reduces to the well known Lie bracket on the double $\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g}^*$. On the other hand, if A is the tangent bundle Lie algebroid TM and $A^* = T^*M$ with zero bracket, then Equation (7) takes the form

$$(9) \quad [X_1 + \xi_1, X_2 + \xi_2] = [X_1, X_2] + \left\{ L_{X_1}\xi_2 - L_{X_2}\xi_1 + \frac{1}{2}d(\langle \xi_1, X_2 \rangle - \langle \xi_2, X_1 \rangle) \right\}.$$

This is the bracket first introduced by Courant [7]. In general, E together with this bracket and the bundle map ρ satisfies certain properties as outlined in the following:

THEOREM 2.2 [17]. — *Given a Lie bialgebroid (A, A^*) , let $E = A \oplus A^*$. Then E , together with the non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form (\cdot, \cdot) , the skew-symmetric bracket $[\cdot, \cdot]$ on $\Gamma(E)$ and the bundle map $\rho : E \rightarrow TP$ as introduced above, satisfies the following properties:*

1. For any $e_1, e_2, e_3 \in \Gamma(E)$, $[[e_1, e_2], e_3] + c.p. = \mathfrak{D}T(e_1, e_2, e_3)$;
2. for any $e_1, e_2 \in \Gamma(E)$, $\rho[e_1, e_2] = [\rho e_1, \rho e_2]$;
3. for any $e_1, e_2 \in \Gamma(E)$ and $f \in C^\infty(P)$, $[e_1, fe_2] = f[e_1, e_2] + (\rho(e_1)f)e_2 - (e_1, e_2)\mathfrak{D}f$;
4. $\rho \circ \mathfrak{D} = 0$, i.e., for any $f, g \in C^\infty(P)$, $(\mathfrak{D}f, \mathfrak{D}g) = 0$;
5. for any $e, h_1, h_2 \in \Gamma(E)$, $\rho(e)(h_1, h_2) = ([e, h_1] + \mathfrak{D}(e, h_1), h_2) + (h_1, [e, h_2] + \mathfrak{D}(e, h_2))$, where

$$(10) \quad T(e_1, e_2, e_3) = \frac{1}{3}([e_1, e_2], e_3) + c.p.,$$

and $\mathfrak{D} : C^\infty(P) \rightarrow \Gamma(E)$ is the map $\mathfrak{D} = d_* + d$.

E is called the double of the Lie bialgebroid (A, A^*) . In general, a vector bundle E equipped with the above structures is called a *Courant algebroid* [17].

In this paper, we are mainly interested in a special gauge Lie algebroid $A = TM \times \mathfrak{g}$, where \mathfrak{g} is a Lie algebra. Clearly A is a Lie algebroid over M with anchor being the projection $p : A \rightarrow TM$. As for the bracket, note that any section of A can always be written as the sum of a vector field and a \mathfrak{g} -valued function on M . The bracket of such two sections is given by

$$(11) \quad [X + \xi, Y + \eta] = [X, Y] + [\xi, \eta] + L_X\eta - L_Y\xi, \quad X, Y \in \chi(M), \quad \xi, \eta \in C^\infty(M, \mathfrak{g}),$$

where the bracket of two vector fields is the usual bracket and the bracket $[\xi, \eta]$ is the pointwise bracket.

Let $r \in \wedge^2 \mathfrak{g}$, which can be considered as a constant section of $\wedge^2 A$. Then

PROPOSITION 2.3. — (A, A^*, r) is a coboundary Lie bialgebroid if and only if $[r, r]$ is ad-invariant, i.e., if and only if $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}^*, r)$ is a coboundary Lie bialgebra.

In this case, the bracket for sections of $A^*(\cong T^*M \times \mathfrak{g}^*)$ is given by
 (12) $[\alpha + \xi, \beta + \eta] = [\xi, \eta], \quad \forall \alpha, \beta \in \Omega^1(M), \text{ and } \xi, \eta \in C^\infty(M, \mathfrak{g}^*),$
 where the right hand side bracket is pointwise bracket on \mathfrak{g}^* . The corresponding double is the vector bundle

$$E = A \oplus A^* \cong TM \oplus T^*M \oplus M \times (\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g}^*),$$

where the Courant bracket can be described quite simply. On the subbundle $TM \oplus T^*M$, the bracket is just Courant's original bracket: Equation (9), while for two elements of the double Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g}^*$ considered as constant sections of E , the bracket is pointwise bracket. One should however note that the subbundle $M \times (\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g}^*)$ is not closed under the Courant bracket (7), since the third property in Theorem 2.2 implies that

(13)
 $[fe_1, ge_2] = (fdg - gdf)(e_1, e_2) + fg[e_1, e_2], \quad \forall f, g \in C^\infty(M), \forall e_1, e_2 \in \mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g}^*,$
 where $fdg - gdf \in \Omega^1(M)$. On the other hand, for $X + \alpha \in \Gamma(TM \oplus T^*M)$, $f \in C^\infty(M)$ and $e \in \mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g}^*$, we have

(14) $[X + \alpha, fe] = L_X(fe) = (Xf)e.$

These formulas will be needed later on in Section 4.

Given a Courant algebroid E , a Dirac structure is a subbundle $L \subset E$ which is maximally isotropic with respect to the symmetric bilinear form (\cdot, \cdot) and is integrable in the sense that $\Gamma(L)$ is closed under the bracket $[\cdot, \cdot]$. There are two important classes of Dirac structures studied in [17]. One is the Dirac structures induced by Hamiltonian operators, and the other is the so called null Dirac structures. Let us briefly recall their definitions below.

Let $H \in \Gamma(\wedge^2 A)$ and denote $H^\# : A^* \rightarrow A$ the induced bundle map. Then the graph of $H^\#$,

$$\Gamma_H = \{H^\#\xi + \xi \mid \forall \xi \in A^*\},$$

defines a maximal isotropic subbundle of $A \oplus A^*$. Γ_H is a Dirac subbundle if and only if H satisfies the Maurer-Cartan type equation

(15) $d_*H + \frac{1}{2}[H, H] = 0.$

In this case H is called a *Hamiltonian operator*. Another interesting class of Dirac structures is the so called *null Dirac structures*, which can be characterized as follows. Let $D \subseteq A$ be a subbundle, and $D^\perp \subseteq A^*$ its conormal subbundle. Consider $L = D \oplus D^\perp \subset A \oplus A^*$. Then L is a Dirac structure if and only if D and D^\perp are Lie subalgebroids of A and A^* , respectively. In this case L is called a null Dirac structure.

A more general construction of Dirac structures is via the so called characteristic pairs [16]. Let $D \subseteq A$ be a subbundle and $H \in \Gamma(\wedge^2 A)$. Define

$$(16) \quad L = \{ X + H^\# \xi + \xi \mid \forall X \in D, \xi \in D^\perp \} = D \oplus \text{graph}(H^\#|_{D^\perp}),$$

where $D^\perp \subseteq A^*$ is the conormal subbundle of D . Clearly, L is a maximal isotropic subbundle of $A \oplus A^*$. The pair (D, H) is called a *characteristic pair* of L .

Conversely, any maximal isotropic subbundle $L \subset A \oplus A^*$ such that $L \cap A$ is of constant rank can always be described by such a characteristic pair. Note that two characteristic pairs (D_1, H_1) and (D_2, H_2) define the same subbundle L by Equation (16) if and only if

$$D_1 = D_2, \text{ and } \text{pr}(H_1) = \text{pr}(H_2), \text{ i.e., } H_1 - H_2 \equiv 0 \pmod{D},$$

where pr denotes the projection $A \rightarrow A/D$ and its induced map $\Gamma(\wedge^* A) \rightarrow \Gamma(\wedge^*(A/D))$. In the above equation as well as in the sequel, a section $\Omega \in \Gamma(\wedge^* A)$ is said equal to zero module D , denoted as $\Omega \equiv 0 \pmod{D}$, if its projection under pr vanishes in $\Gamma(\wedge^*(A/D))$. Even though L is related only to $\text{pr}(H) \in \Gamma(\wedge^2(A/D))$ instead of H itself, it is still more convenient to characterize the integrability conditions of L in terms of H , since sections of $\wedge^* A$ admit nice operations such as the exterior derivative and the Schouten bracket.

THEOREM 2.4 [16]. — *Let (A, A^*) be a Lie bialgebroid, $L \subset A \oplus A^*$ a maximal isotropic subbundle defined by a characteristic pair (D, H) as in Equation (16). Then L is a Dirac structure if and only if the following three conditions hold:*

1. $D \subseteq A$ is a Lie subalgebroid.
2. H satisfies the Maurer-Cartan type equation (mod D):

$$(17) \quad d_* H + \frac{1}{2}[H, H] \equiv 0, \pmod{D}.$$

3. $\Gamma(D^\perp)$ is closed under the bracket $[\cdot, \cdot] + [\cdot, \cdot]_H$, where $[\cdot, \cdot]_H$ is given by Equation (4). I.e.,

$$(18) \quad [\xi, \eta] + [\xi, \eta]_H \in \Gamma(D^\perp), \quad \forall \xi, \eta \in \Gamma(D^\perp).$$

Dirac structures are important in the construction of Lie bialgebroids and Poisson homogeneous spaces. For details, readers may consult the references [17] and [18].

Finally, note that we may also work over \mathbb{C} when M is a complex manifold. In this case, we just need to replace smooth functions by holomorphic functions, and smooth sections by holomorphic sections etc., and all the results above will also hold. In the sequel, we will mainly work with complex Lie algebroids. Even though one normally works with sheaf of local sections when dealing with complex Lie algebroids since there may not exist many global sections. However, in the case below, we can still avoid using sheaf since we are working on an open subset U of \mathbb{C}^n .

3. Twists of the standard r -matrix.

Dynamical r -matrices have appeared in various contexts [2], [10], [11], [20]. In this section, we will show how a dynamical r -matrix arises naturally as a twist of the standard classical r -matrix in the category of Lie bialgebroids.

Let \mathfrak{g} be a simple Lie algebra over \mathbb{C} with a fixed Cartan subalgebra \mathfrak{h} and a root space decomposition

$$(19) \quad \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha = \mathfrak{n}_+ \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}_-,$$

where $\mathfrak{n}_\pm = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta_\pm} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$. Let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denote the Killing form on \mathfrak{g} and $E_\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ such that $\langle E_\alpha, E_{-\alpha} \rangle = 1$. Then the standard classical r -matrix r_0 takes the form

$$(20) \quad r_0 = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta_+} E_\alpha \wedge E_{-\alpha}.$$

Let $h_\alpha = [E_\alpha, E_{-\alpha}] \in \mathfrak{h}$ for $\alpha \in \Delta_+$ and $h_i = h_{\alpha_i}$ for simple roots α_i , $i = 1, \dots, n$. Then $\{h_1, \dots, h_n\}$ forms a basis of \mathfrak{h} . Let $\{h_1^*, \dots, h_n^*\}$ be its dual basis, which in turn induces a coordinate system $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ of \mathfrak{h}^* , i.e., $\lambda = \sum \lambda_i h_i^*, \forall \lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$.

Now let $U \subset \mathfrak{h}^*$ be a connected open subset. Consider the gauge Lie algebroid

$$(21) \quad A = TU \times \mathfrak{g} \cong U \times (\mathfrak{h}^* \oplus \mathfrak{g}).$$

Set

$$(22) \quad \theta = \sum_{i=1}^n h_i \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_i}.$$

Clearly θ can be considered as a section of $\wedge^2 A$. Equip $A^* \cong T^*U \times \mathfrak{g}^*$ with the product Lie algebroid, where T^*U is the trivial Lie algebroid and \mathfrak{g}^* is the dual Lie algebra induced by r_0 . Then (A, A^*, r_0) is a coboundary Lie bialgebroid according to Proposition 2.3.

THEOREM 3.1. — *Let $\tau : U \rightarrow \wedge^2 \mathfrak{g}$ be a holomorphic function considered as a section of $\wedge^2 A$. Then $\theta + \tau$ is a Hamiltonian operator of the Lie bialgebroid (A, A^*, r_0) if and only if $r = r_0 + \tau$, the twist of r_0 by τ , is a dynamical r -matrix.*

Proof. — $\theta + \tau$ is a Hamiltonian operator if and only if it satisfies the Maurer-Cartan type equation (see Equation (15))

$$(23) \quad d_*(\theta + \tau) + \frac{1}{2}[\theta + \tau, \theta + \tau] = 0.$$

By definition, $d_*(\theta + \tau) = [r_0, \theta + \tau]$. Since r_0 is \mathfrak{h} -invariant and independent of λ , we have $[r_0, \theta] = 0$. It is also easy to see that $[\theta, \theta] = 0$, and $[\theta, \tau] = \sum (h_i \wedge \frac{\partial \tau}{\partial \lambda_i} + [h_i, \tau] \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_i})$. Thus Equation (23) implies that

$$(24) \quad \begin{aligned} - \sum [h_i, \tau] \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_i} &= \left(\sum h_i \wedge \frac{\partial \tau}{\partial \lambda_i} \right) + [r_0, \tau] + \frac{1}{2}[\tau, \tau] \\ &= \left(\sum h_i \wedge \frac{\partial (r_0 + \tau)}{\partial \lambda_i} \right) + \frac{1}{2}[r_0 + \tau, r_0 + \tau] - \frac{1}{2}[r_0, r_0] \\ &= \text{Alt}(dr) + \frac{1}{2}[r, r] - \frac{1}{2}[r_0, r_0]. \end{aligned}$$

Now the left hand side of Equation (24) belongs to $\Gamma(\mathfrak{g} \wedge \mathfrak{g} \wedge TU)$, whereas the right hand side is a section of the subbundle $\wedge^3(U \times \mathfrak{g})$. Thus both sides have to vanish identically. This implies that $[h_i, \tau] = 0, \forall i$, i.e., τ is \mathfrak{h} -invariant, and r satisfies the modified CDYBE (2) since $\frac{1}{2}[r_0, r_0] = [\Omega^{12}, \Omega^{23}]$. □

Now assume that $r = r_0 + \tau$ is a dynamical r -matrix. Therefore $\theta + \tau$ is a Hamiltonian operator so that its graph $\Gamma_{\theta + \tau}$ is a Dirac structure of the double of (A, A^*, r_0) . Clearly, $\Gamma_{\theta + \tau}$ is transversal to A , so $(A, \Gamma_{\theta + \tau})$ is a Lie bialgebroid according to Theorem 2.6 in [17]. In fact, it is simple to see that the Lie algebroid $\Gamma_{\theta + \tau}$ is isomorphic to A^* with a twisted bracket defined by the new r -matrix $\Lambda := \theta + \tau + r_0 = \theta + r$, so (A, A^*, Λ) is also a coboundary Lie bialgebroid. Thus, we have proved the following result of Bangoura and Kosmann-Schwarzbach [3]:

COROLLARY 3.2 [3]. — *Let $r(\lambda) : U \rightarrow \wedge^2 \mathfrak{g}$ be a holomorphic function. Then $\Lambda = \theta + r(\lambda) \in \Gamma(\wedge^2 A)$ defines a coboundary Lie bialgebroid if and only if $r(\lambda)$ is a dynamical r -matrix.*

It is not difficult to see that this Lie bialgebroid is the Lie bialgebroid corresponding to the dynamical Poisson groupoid constructed by Etingof and Varchenko [10]. The following conclusion follows immediately from the construction.

THEOREM 3.3. — *Let $r(\lambda)$ be a dynamical r -matrix, and $\Lambda = \theta + r(\lambda)$ the twisted r -matrix. Then, as a Courant algebroid, the double of the coboundary Lie bialgebroid (A, A^*, Λ) is isomorphic to the double of the untwisted Lie bialgebroid (A, A^*, r_0) .*

It is simple to see that a function $\tau : U \rightarrow \wedge^2 \mathfrak{g}$ is \mathfrak{h} -invariant if and only if it can be splitted into two parts: $\tau = \omega + \tau_0$, where

$$(25) \quad \omega = \sum_{ij} \omega^{ij}(\lambda) h_i \wedge h_j, \quad \text{and} \quad \tau_0 = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta_+} \tau_\alpha(\lambda) E_\alpha \wedge E_{-\alpha}.$$

PROPOSITION 3.4. — *Let τ be given as above. Then $\theta + \tau$ is a Hamiltonian operator if and only if*

1. τ_0 is a Hamiltonian operator; and
2. ω is a closed 2-form on U .

Proof. — The Maurer-Cartan equation for $\theta + \tau_0 + \omega$ takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= d_*(\theta + \tau_0 + \omega) + \frac{1}{2}[\theta + \tau_0 + \omega, \theta + \tau_0 + \omega] \\ &= d_*(\theta + \tau_0) + \frac{1}{2}[\theta + \tau_0, \theta + \tau_0] + [\theta, \omega]. \end{aligned}$$

Note that, on the right hand side of the equation, the only term in $\wedge^3 \mathfrak{h}$ is

$$[\theta, \omega] = \sum h_i \wedge \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial \lambda_i} = d\omega.$$

Thus the equation holds if and only if

$$d_*(\theta + \tau_0) + \frac{1}{2}[\theta + \tau_0, \theta + \tau_0] = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad d\omega = 0.$$

Thus the proposition is proved. □

According to Etingof and Varchenko, τ and τ_0 are called gauge equivalent, and ω is a gauge term. In fact, for most purposes, we may assume that $\omega = 0$.

Finally, note that for any fixed $\lambda \in U$, $\tau(\lambda) \in \wedge^2 \mathfrak{g}$ is generally not a Hamiltonian operator for the Lie bialgebra $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}^*, r_0)$. In fact, it is easy to see that $r = r_0 + \tau$ is a dynamical r -matrix if and only if

$$(26) \quad [r_0, \tau] + \frac{1}{2}[\tau, \tau] + \text{Alt}(d\tau) = 0.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} d_*\tau(\lambda) + \frac{1}{2}[\tau(\lambda), \tau(\lambda)] &= [r_0, \tau(\lambda)] + \frac{1}{2}[\tau(\lambda), \tau(\lambda)] \\ &= \left([r_0, \tau] + \frac{1}{2}[\tau, \tau] \right)(\lambda) \\ &= -\text{Alt}(d\tau)(\lambda). \end{aligned}$$

So $\tau(\lambda)$ is a Hamiltonian operator if and only if λ is a critical point of τ (we will see in Section 4 that this is equivalent to $\tau \equiv 0$ on U). Hence $-\text{Alt}(d\tau)(\lambda)$ measures the failure of the graph of $\tau(\lambda)^\# : \mathfrak{g}^* \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ being a Lagrangian subalgebra. In terms of Drinfel'd [8], $\tau(\lambda)$ is a family of twists, which defines a family of quasi-Lie bialgebras $(\mathfrak{g}, \delta(\lambda), \phi(\lambda))$. Here $\delta(\lambda) : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \wedge^2 \mathfrak{g}$ is given by $\delta(\lambda)(x) = [r_0 + \tau(\lambda), x]$, $\forall x \in \mathfrak{g}$, and $\phi(\lambda) = -\text{Alt}(d\tau)(\lambda) \in \wedge^3 \mathfrak{g}$. This family of quasi-Lie bialgebras is the classical limit of the quasi-Hopf algebras studied by Fronsdal [12], Arnaudon et al. [1] and Jimbo et al. [13] connected with quantum dynamical R -matrices (see also [27], [28]).

4. Construction of Dirac structures.

In the previous section, we have already established a simple connection between dynamical r -matrices and Dirac structures. The purpose of this section is to give an explicit construction of these Dirac structures.

As in Section 3, assume that \mathfrak{g} is a simple Lie algebra with Killing form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$, and $r_0 = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta_+} E_\alpha \wedge E_{-\alpha}$ is the standard r -matrix. By identifying \mathfrak{g}^* with \mathfrak{g} using the Killing form, the bracket on \mathfrak{g}^* is given by

$$[X, Y]_R = [RX, Y] + [X, RY], \quad \forall X, Y \in \mathfrak{g},$$

where $R = \pi_+ - \pi_-$, and $\pi_\pm : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{n}_\pm$ are the natural projections with respect to the Gauss decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{n}_+ \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}_-$ as in Equation (19). It is well-known that the double of the Lie bialgebra $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}^*)$ can be identified with the direct sum Lie algebra $\mathfrak{d} = \mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g}$, while the corresponding invariant non-degenerate bilinear form is

$$((X_1, Y_1), (X_2, Y_2)) = \frac{1}{2}(\langle Y_1, Y_2 \rangle - \langle X_1, X_2 \rangle), \quad \forall X_1, X_2, Y_1, Y_2 \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

Here \mathfrak{g} is identified with the diagonal, while \mathfrak{g}^* is identified with the subalgebra

$$\{(X_- + h, X_+ - h) \mid \forall X_{\pm} \in \mathfrak{n}_{\pm}, h \in \mathfrak{h}\}.$$

Thus the corresponding Courant algebroid, as the double of the Lie bialgebroid (A, A^*, r_0) , is a trivial vector bundle, which can be expressed as

$$E = A \oplus A^* \cong TU \oplus T^*U \oplus U \times \mathfrak{d} \cong U \times (\mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g}).$$

Consequently, a section of E can be considered as a vector-valued function on U with value in $\mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g}$, which is denoted by $(\xi(\lambda), \eta(\lambda); X(\lambda), Y(\lambda))$. Here $\xi(\lambda), \eta(\lambda)$ are \mathfrak{h} -valued functions on U , and $X(\lambda), Y(\lambda)$ are \mathfrak{g} -valued functions on U . The inner-product (\cdot, \cdot) on E is given by

$$(27) \quad \begin{aligned} ((\xi_1, \eta_1; X_1, Y_1), (\xi_2, \eta_2; X_2, Y_2)) &= \frac{1}{2} (\langle \xi_1, \eta_2 \rangle + \langle \eta_1, \xi_2 \rangle) \\ &+ \frac{1}{4} (\langle Y_1, Y_2 \rangle - \langle X_1, X_2 \rangle). \end{aligned}$$

Then as subbundles of E , A and A^* are given by

$$(28) \quad A \cong U \times \{(k, 0; X, X) \mid \forall k \in \mathfrak{h}, X \in \mathfrak{g}\}, \text{ and}$$

$$(29) \quad A^* \cong U \times \{(0, h; X_- + k, X_+ - k) \mid \forall h, k \in \mathfrak{h}, X_{\pm} \in \mathfrak{n}_{\pm}\}.$$

As for the bracket of $\Gamma(E)$, it admits a simple form for constant sections

$$(30) \quad [(\xi_1, \eta_1; X_1, Y_1), (\xi_2, \eta_2; X_2, Y_2)] = (0, 0; [X_1, X_2], [Y_1, Y_2]).$$

For general sections, the formula is much involved. The following are two special cases corresponding to Equations (13) and (14), which are needed in the future:

$$(31) \quad [(0, 0; 0, fX), (0, 0; 0, gY)] = \left(0, \frac{1}{4}(gdf - fdg)\langle X, Y \rangle; 0, fg[X, Y]\right),$$

and

$$(32) \quad \begin{aligned} [(h_i^*, h_j; 0, 0), (0, 0; fX, gY)] &= \left(0, 0; \frac{\partial f}{\partial \lambda_i} X, \frac{\partial g}{\partial \lambda_i} Y\right), \\ \forall f, g \in C^\infty(U), X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}. \end{aligned}$$

Next we need to describe the graph of $\theta^\# + \tau^\# : A^* \rightarrow A$. For simplicity we assume that τ is given by Equation (25) with $\omega = 0$. Set

$$d = \{(k, h; h + k, h - k) \mid \forall h, k \in \mathfrak{h}\} \subset \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g}.$$

And for each $\lambda \in U$, define

$$(33) \quad B(\lambda) = \{(0, 0; (r^\#(\lambda) - 1)X, (r^\#(\lambda) + 1)X) \mid \forall X \in \mathfrak{n}_{\pm}\},$$

where $r(\lambda) = r_0 + \tau(\lambda)$ as in Theorem 3.1.

LEMMA 4.1. — *As a subbundle of E , the graph of $\theta^\# + \tau^\# : A^* \rightarrow A$ is $L = \cup_{\lambda \in U} L(\lambda)$, where*

$$L(\lambda) = d \oplus B(\lambda).$$

Proof. — Using the identification as in Equation (28) and (29), we need to compute the image $(\theta^\# + \tau^\#)(0, h; X_- + k, X_+ - k)$ at each $\lambda \in U$. Now

$$\begin{aligned} \theta^\#(0, h; X_- + k, X_+ - k) &= (k, 0; h, h), \text{ and} \\ \tau^\#(0, h; X_- + k, X_+ - k) &= \frac{1}{2}(0, 0; \tau^\# X_+, \tau^\# X_+) - \frac{1}{2}(0, 0; \tau^\# X_-, \tau^\# X_-). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} &(\theta^\# + \tau^\#)(0, h; X_- + k, X_+ - k) + (0, h; X_- + k, X_+ - k) \\ &= \theta^\#(0, h; k, -k) + (0, h; k, -k) \\ &\quad + \tau^\#(0, 0; X_-, X_+) + (0, 0; X_-, X_+). \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that

$$\theta^\#(0, h; k, -k) + (0, h; k, -k) = (k, h; h + k, h - k) \in d.$$

And

$$\begin{aligned} &\tau^\#(0, 0; X_-, X_+) + (0, 0; X_-, X_+) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(0, 0; \tau^\# X_+, (\tau^\# + 2)X_+) - \frac{1}{2}(0, 0; (\tau^\# - 2)X_-, \tau^\# X_-) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(0, 0; (r^\# - 1)X_+, (r^\# + 1)X_+) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2}(0, 0; (r^\# - 1)X_-, (r^\# + 1)X_-) \in B(\lambda), \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the fact that $r^\#|_{\mathfrak{n}_\pm} = \tau^\# \pm 1$. This concludes the proof of the lemma. □

For any $\lambda \in U$, consider the decomposition

$$(34) \quad \mathfrak{n}_\pm = \mathfrak{k}_\pm(\lambda) \oplus \mathfrak{n}_\pm^0(\lambda),$$

where

$$(35) \quad \mathfrak{k}_\pm(\lambda) = \ker \tau^\#(\lambda) \cap \mathfrak{n}_\pm = \text{span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{E_{\pm\alpha} \mid \tau_\alpha(\lambda) = 0, \alpha \in \Delta_+\},$$

and

$$(36) \quad \mathfrak{n}_\pm^0(\lambda) = \text{span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{E_{\pm\alpha} \mid \tau_\alpha(\lambda) \neq 0, \alpha \in \Delta_+\}.$$

Then we can rewrite $B(\lambda)$ as follows:

$$(37) \quad B(\lambda) = \text{span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{(0, 0; X, \varphi(\lambda)X), (0, 0; Y_-, Y_+) \mid \forall X \in \mathfrak{n}_{\pm}^{\circ}(\lambda), Y_{\pm} \in \mathfrak{k}_{\pm}(\lambda)\},$$

where

$$\varphi(\lambda) = \frac{r^{\#}(\lambda) + 1}{r^{\#}(\lambda) - 1} : \mathfrak{n}_{\pm}^{\circ}(\lambda) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{n}_{\pm}^{\circ}(\lambda)$$

is the Cayley transformation of the linear operator $r^{\#}(\lambda)|_{\mathfrak{n}_{\pm}^{\circ}(\lambda)}$. Consequently, L can be written as

$$(38) \quad L(\lambda) = \text{span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{(k, 0; k, -k), (0, h; h, h), (0, 0; X, \varphi(\lambda)X), (0, 0; Y_-, Y_+) \mid \forall h, k \in \mathfrak{h}, X \in \mathfrak{n}_{\pm}^{\circ}(\lambda), Y_{\pm} \in \mathfrak{k}_{\pm}(\lambda)\}.$$

LEMMA 4.2. — Assume that $L \subset E$ is a Dirac structure, then

1. both $\mathfrak{k}_{\pm}(\lambda)$ and $\mathfrak{n}_{\pm}^{\circ}(\lambda)$ are independent of $\lambda \in U$ (for simplicity, in the sequel we denote them by \mathfrak{k}_{\pm} and $\mathfrak{n}_{\pm}^{\circ}$ respectively);

2. $\mathfrak{n}_{\pm}^{\circ}$ are subalgebras of \mathfrak{n}_{\pm} ;

3. \mathfrak{k}_{\pm} are ideals of \mathfrak{n}_{\pm} .

Proof. — According to Theorem 3.1, $r_0 + \tau$ is a dynamical r -matrix. By Equation (26), we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= [r_0, \tau] + \frac{1}{2}[\tau, \tau] + \text{Alt}(d\tau) \\ &= \sum_i \frac{\partial \tau}{\partial \lambda_i} \wedge h_i + \sum_{\alpha, \beta \in \Delta_+} \left[\left(\frac{1}{2}\tau_{\alpha} + 1 \right) E_{\alpha} \wedge E_{-\alpha}, \tau_{\beta} E_{\beta} \wedge E_{-\beta} \right] \\ &= \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta_+} \sum_i \frac{\partial \tau_{\alpha}}{\partial \lambda_i} E_{\alpha} \wedge E_{-\alpha} \wedge h_i \\ &\quad + \sum_{\alpha, \beta \in \Delta_+} \left(\frac{1}{2}\tau_{\alpha} + 1 \right) \tau_{\beta} [E_{\alpha} \wedge E_{-\alpha}, E_{\beta} \wedge E_{-\beta}]. \end{aligned}$$

Since $[E_{\alpha}, E_{-\alpha}] = h_{\alpha} = \sum \langle \alpha, h_i^* \rangle h_i$ for any $\alpha \in \Delta_+$, the coefficient of the term $E_{\alpha} \wedge E_{-\alpha} \wedge h_i$ in the above equation is $\frac{\partial \tau_{\alpha}}{\partial \lambda_i} - \langle \alpha, h_i^* \rangle (\tau_{\alpha} + 2)\tau_{\alpha}$. This implies that τ_{α} satisfies the following system of first-order differential equations:

$$\frac{\partial \tau_{\alpha}}{\partial \lambda_i} - \langle \alpha, h_i^* \rangle (\tau_{\alpha} + 2)\tau_{\alpha} = 0, \quad \forall \alpha \in \Delta_+, (i = 1, \dots, n).$$

Thus if $\tau_{\alpha}(\lambda_0) = 0$ for some $\lambda_0 \in U$, then $\tau_{\alpha} \equiv 0$ on U . This is equivalent to that $\mathfrak{k}_{\pm}(\lambda) = \ker \tau(\lambda) \cap \mathfrak{n}_{\pm}$ are independent of $\lambda \in U$, which implies the first statement.

For the second statement, note that since $r(\lambda)$ is \mathfrak{h} -invariant, $\varphi(\lambda)$ commutes with $\text{ad}_{\mathfrak{h}}$. Thus $\varphi E_{\alpha} = \varphi_{\alpha} E_{\alpha}$ for some function $\varphi_{\alpha} : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, $\forall \alpha \in \mathfrak{n}_{+}^{\circ}$. For any $\alpha, \beta \in \mathfrak{n}_{+}^{\circ}$, since $(0, 0; E_{\alpha}, \varphi_{\alpha} E_{\alpha}), (0, 0; E_{\beta}, \varphi_{\beta} E_{\beta}) \in \Gamma(L)$, their commutator belongs to $\Gamma(L)$ as well.

On the other hand, it is clear that

$$\begin{aligned} & [(0, 0; E_{\alpha}, \varphi_{\alpha} E_{\alpha}), (0, 0; E_{\beta}, \varphi_{\beta} E_{\beta})] \\ &= (0, 0; [E_{\alpha}, E_{\beta}], \varphi_{\alpha} \varphi_{\beta} [E_{\alpha}, E_{\beta}]) \\ & \quad + \left(0, \frac{1}{4}(\varphi_{\beta} d\varphi_{\alpha} - \varphi_{\alpha} d\varphi_{\beta}) \langle E_{\alpha}, E_{\beta} \rangle; 0, 0 \right) \\ &= N_{\alpha, \beta}(0, 0; E_{\alpha+\beta}, \varphi_{\alpha} \varphi_{\beta} E_{\alpha+\beta}). \end{aligned}$$

Here, in the last equality, we used the fact that $\langle E_{\alpha}, E_{\beta} \rangle = 0$ whenever $\alpha \neq -\beta$. According to Equation (37), we conclude that $E_{\alpha+\beta} \in \mathfrak{n}_{+}^{\circ}$ whenever $N_{\alpha, \beta} \neq 0$ (i.e., $\alpha + \beta \in \Delta_{+}$). This means that \mathfrak{n}_{+}° is a Lie subalgebra of \mathfrak{n}_{+} and

$$(39) \quad \varphi_{\alpha} \varphi_{\beta} = \varphi_{\alpha+\beta}, \quad \forall E_{\alpha}, E_{\beta} \in \mathfrak{n}_{+}^{\circ} \text{ such that } \alpha + \beta \in \Delta_{+}.$$

Similarly we can prove that \mathfrak{n}_{-}° is a Lie subalgebra of \mathfrak{n}_{-} .

For the third statement, let $X_{+}, Y_{+} \in \mathfrak{k}_{+}$, and $E_{\alpha} \in \mathfrak{n}_{+}^{\circ}$. As constant sections of $\Gamma(L)$,

$$[(0, 0; 0, X_{+}), (0, 0; 0, Y_{+})] = (0, 0; 0, [X_{+}, Y_{+}]) \in \Gamma(L),$$

which implies that $[X_{+}, Y_{+}] \in \mathfrak{k}_{+}$. Moreover,

$$[(0, 0; E_{\alpha}, \varphi_{\alpha} E_{\alpha}), (0, 0; 0, Y_{+})] = (0, 0; 0, \varphi_{\alpha} [E_{\alpha}, Y_{+}]) \in \Gamma(L).$$

This implies that $[E_{\alpha}, Y_{+}] \in \mathfrak{k}_{+}$. Thus \mathfrak{k}_{+} is an ideal of \mathfrak{n}_{+} since $\mathfrak{n}_{+} = \mathfrak{k}_{+} \oplus \mathfrak{n}_{+}^{\circ}$. Similarly, \mathfrak{k}_{-} is an ideal of \mathfrak{n}_{-} . □

Below we will see that any decomposition $\mathfrak{n}_{\pm} = \mathfrak{k}_{\pm} \oplus \mathfrak{n}_{\pm}^{\circ}$ satisfying Properties (2)-(3) in Lemma 4.2 corresponds to a subset S of simple roots. More precisely, given a decomposition $\mathfrak{n}_{\pm} = \mathfrak{k}_{\pm} \oplus \mathfrak{n}_{\pm}^{\circ}$, let S be the subset of those simple roots α_i such that $E_{\alpha_i} \in \mathfrak{n}_{+}^{\circ}$. Define a subset of positive roots as follows:

$$(40) \quad [S] = \left\{ \alpha \in \Delta_{+} \mid \alpha = \sum_{\alpha_i \in S} n_i \alpha_i, n_i \geq 0 \right\}.$$

Since any positive (negative) root can be expressed as positive (negative) linear combination of simple roots, we have

PROPOSITION 4.3. — *Assume that $\mathfrak{n}_{\pm} = \mathfrak{k}_{\pm} \oplus \mathfrak{n}_{\pm}^{\circ}$ is a decomposition satisfying Properties (2)-(3) in Lemma 4.2. Then,*

$$(41) \quad \mathfrak{n}_{\pm}^{\circ} = \text{span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{E_{\pm\alpha}, \alpha \in [S]\},$$

i.e., $\{E_{\pm\alpha_i} | \alpha_i \in S\}$ is a set of Lie algebraic generators of $\mathfrak{n}_{\pm}^{\circ}$. Consequently,
 (42)
$$\mathfrak{k}_{\pm} = \text{span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{E_{\pm\alpha}, \alpha \in \Delta_+ \setminus [S]\}.$$

Conversely, given any subset S of simple roots, the corresponding \mathfrak{k}_{\pm} and $\mathfrak{n}_{\pm}^{\circ}$ defined by Equations (41) and (42) above satisfy Properties (2)-(3) in Lemma 4.2.

Now we are ready to prove the main theorem of this section.

THEOREM 4.4. — *Let S be a subset of simple roots whose corresponding \mathfrak{k}_{\pm} and $\mathfrak{n}_{\pm}^{\circ}$ are defined as in Proposition 4.3, and L a subbundle of E defined by Equation (38), where $\varphi(\lambda), \forall \lambda \in U$, is a linear operator on $\mathfrak{n}_{\pm}^{\circ}$. Then L is a Dirac structure if and only if there exists some $\lambda_0 \in \mathfrak{h}$ such that $\varphi(\lambda) = \text{Ad}_{e^{2(\lambda+\lambda_0)}}$.*

Proof. — We shall divide the proof into four steps.

Step 1. It follows from Equations (30), (31) and (32) that for any $h \in \mathfrak{h}$ and $X \in \mathfrak{n}_{\pm}^{\circ}$,

$$[(0, h; h, h), (0, 0; X, \varphi X)] = (0, 0; [h, X], [h, \varphi X]).$$

The right hand side is still in L if and only if

$$[h, \varphi X] = \varphi[h, X],$$

which is equivalent to that φ commutes with $\text{ad}_{\mathfrak{h}}$. Therefore, $\varphi E_{\alpha} = \varphi_{\alpha} E_{\alpha}$, $\forall \alpha \in \pm[S]$ (i.e., $E_{\alpha} \in \mathfrak{n}_{\pm}^{\circ}$), where φ_{α} is a complex valued function on U .

Step 2. Suppose that φ commutes with $\text{ad}_{\mathfrak{h}}$. Then for any $i = 1, \dots, n$ and $E_{\alpha} \in \mathfrak{n}_{\pm}^{\circ}$, both $(h_i^*, 0; h_i^*, -h_i^*)$ and $(0, 0; E_{\alpha}, \varphi_{\alpha} E_{\alpha})$ are sections of L . By Equations (30), (31) and (32)

$$\begin{aligned} & [(h_i^*, 0; h_i^*, -h_i^*), (0, 0; E_{\alpha}, \varphi_{\alpha} E_{\alpha})] \\ &= \left(0, 0; 0, \frac{\partial \varphi_{\alpha}}{\partial \lambda_i} E_{\alpha}\right) + (0, 0; [h_i^*, E_{\alpha}], -\varphi_{\alpha} [h_i^*, E_{\alpha}]) \\ &= \left(0, 0; \langle \alpha, h_i^* \rangle E_{\alpha}, \left(\frac{\partial \varphi_{\alpha}}{\partial \lambda_i} - \langle \alpha, h_i^* \rangle \varphi_{\alpha}\right) E_{\alpha}\right). \end{aligned}$$

It is still in $\Gamma(L)$ if and only if

$$\frac{\partial \varphi_{\alpha}}{\partial \lambda_i} = 2\langle \alpha, h_i^* \rangle \varphi_{\alpha} \iff \varphi_{\alpha}(\lambda) = C_{\alpha} e^{2\langle \alpha, \lambda \rangle},$$

where C_{α} are certain constants and $\lambda = \sum \lambda_i h_i^*$.

Step 3. Suppose that $\varphi_{\alpha}(\lambda) = C_{\alpha} e^{2\langle \alpha, \lambda \rangle}$. Next we show that C_{α} satisfies the following relations:

$$(43) \quad C_{-\alpha} = C_{\alpha}^{-1}, \quad C_{\alpha+\beta} = C_{\alpha} C_{\beta}, \quad \forall \alpha, \beta \in \pm[S], \quad \text{whenever } \alpha + \beta \in \Delta.$$

When $\beta \neq -\alpha$ the conclusion follows from Equation (39), where only a special case: both α and β being positive roots, is discussed. However, the general situation can also be easily verified using the fact that $\langle E_\alpha, E_\beta \rangle = 0$. Now assume that $\beta = -\alpha$. Then, by Equation (31), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & [(0, 0; E_\alpha, \varphi_\alpha E_\alpha), (0, 0; E_{-\alpha}, \varphi_{-\alpha} E_{-\alpha})] \\ &= [(0, 0; E_\alpha, e^{2\langle \alpha, \lambda \rangle} C_\alpha E_\alpha), (0, 0; E_{-\alpha}, e^{-2\langle \alpha, \lambda \rangle} C_{-\alpha} E_{-\alpha})] \\ &= \left(0, \frac{1}{4} (e^{-2\langle \alpha, \lambda \rangle} d e^{2\langle \alpha, \lambda \rangle} - e^{2\langle \alpha, \lambda \rangle} d e^{-2\langle \alpha, \lambda \rangle}) \langle E_\alpha, E_{-\alpha} \rangle; \right. \\ &\quad \left. [E_\alpha, E_{-\alpha}], C_\alpha C_{-\alpha} [E_\alpha, E_{-\alpha}] \right) \\ &= \left(0, \sum_i \frac{\partial \langle \alpha, \lambda \rangle}{\partial \lambda_i} d \lambda_i; [E_\alpha, E_{-\alpha}], C_\alpha C_{-\alpha} [E_\alpha, E_{-\alpha}] \right) \\ &= \left(0, \sum \langle \alpha, h_i^* \rangle h_i; h_\alpha, C_\alpha C_{-\alpha} h_\alpha \right) \\ &= (0, h_\alpha; h_\alpha, C_\alpha C_{-\alpha} h_\alpha), \end{aligned}$$

where we used the following identities:

$$(44) \quad d \lambda_i = h_i, \quad \lambda = \sum \lambda_i h_i^*, \quad h_\alpha = \sum \langle \alpha, h_i^* \rangle h_i \quad \text{and} \quad \langle E_\alpha, E_{-\alpha} \rangle = 1.$$

Obviously the commutator is still in $\Gamma(L)$ if and only if $C_\alpha C_{-\alpha} = 1$. Thus, Equation (43) is proved.

Finally, it is not difficult to see that Equation (43) implies that there exists some $\lambda_0 \in \mathfrak{h}$ such that $C_\alpha = e^{2\langle \alpha, \lambda_0 \rangle}$. In fact, we can take $\lambda_0 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha_i \in S} (\ln C_{\alpha_i}) h_i^*$. Consequently, we have

$$(45) \quad \varphi_\alpha(\lambda) = e^{2\langle \alpha, \lambda + \lambda_0 \rangle} \iff \varphi(\lambda) = \text{Ad}_{e^{2\langle \alpha, \lambda + \lambda_0 \rangle}}.$$

Conversely, if $\varphi(\lambda) = \text{Ad}_{e^{2\langle \alpha, \lambda + \lambda_0 \rangle}}$, L is maximal isotropic since φ preserves the Killing form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. Moreover, $\Gamma(L)$ is closed, so L is indeed a Dirac structure. This concludes the proof. □

COROLLARY 4.5. — *A meromorphic function $r : U \rightarrow \wedge^2 \mathfrak{g}$ is a dynamical r -matrix if and only if r is of the form*

$$(46) \quad r(\lambda) = \omega + \sum_{\alpha \in [S]} \coth \langle \alpha, \lambda + \lambda_0 \rangle E_\alpha \wedge E_{-\alpha} + \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta_+ \setminus [S]} E_\alpha \wedge E_{-\alpha},$$

where ω is a closed 2-form on U , and $[S]$ is defined by Equation (40) for a subset S of the simple roots.

Proof. — Let $\tau = r - r_0$. Then τ is of the form

$$(47) \quad \tau = \omega + \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta_+} \tau_\alpha E_\alpha \wedge E_{-\alpha},$$

where ω is a closed two-form on U .

According to Theorem 3.1, r is a dynamical r -matrix if and only if $\Gamma_{\theta+\tau} \subset A \oplus A^*$ is a Dirac structure of the Lie bialgebroid (A, A^*, r_0) . Without loss of generality, assume that $\omega = 0$. According to Theorem 4.4, the latter amounts to that there exists a subset of simple roots S with corresponding $\mathfrak{n}_{\pm}^{\circ}$ and \mathfrak{k}_{\pm} such that the Cayley transformation of $r^{\#}|_{\mathfrak{n}_{\pm}^{\circ}} : \varphi(\lambda) = \frac{r^{\#}(\lambda)+1}{r^{\#}(\lambda)-1}$ has expression (45), for some fixed $\lambda_0 \in \mathfrak{h}$. This immediately implies that

$$r(\lambda)|_{\mathfrak{n}_{\pm}^{\circ}} = \sum_{\alpha \in [S]} \coth\langle \alpha, \lambda + \lambda_0 \rangle E_{\alpha} \wedge E_{-\alpha}, \text{ and } r(\lambda)|_{\mathfrak{k}_{\pm}} = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta_+ \setminus [S]} E_{\alpha} \wedge E_{-\alpha}.$$

The conclusion thus follows. □

5. Lagrangian subalgebras and dynamical r -matrices.

In [14], Karolinsky classified all Lagrangian subalgebras W_0 of the double of the Lie bialgebra $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}^*, r_0)$ (by abuse of notation, in the sequel, we will simply say Lagrangian subalgebras of the Lie bialgebra $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}^*, r_0)$) in terms of the triples $(\mathfrak{u}^-, \mathfrak{u}^+, \varphi)$, where \mathfrak{u}^{\pm} are two parabolic subalgebras of \mathfrak{g} , and φ is an automorphism between their corresponding Levi subalgebras. The following theorem shows that such a classification can be reduced to a simpler form in the special case that $W_0 \cap \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h}$.

PROPOSITION 5.1. — *There is a one-one correspondence between Lagrangian subalgebras $W_0 \subset \mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g}$ with $W_0 \cap \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h}$ and pairs (S, λ_0) , where S is a subset of simple roots and $\lambda_0 \in \mathfrak{h}^*$.*

Proof. — Given a pair (S, λ_0) , define $\mathfrak{n}_{\pm}^{\circ}$ and \mathfrak{k}_{\pm} as in Proposition 4.3 by

$$(48) \quad \mathfrak{n}_{\pm}^{\circ} = \text{span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{E_{\pm\alpha}, \alpha \in [S]\},$$

$$(49) \quad \mathfrak{k}_{\pm} = \text{span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{E_{\pm\alpha}, \alpha \in \Delta_+ \setminus [S]\},$$

where

$$(50) \quad [S] = \left\{ \alpha \in \Delta_+ \mid \alpha = \sum_{\alpha_i \in S} n_i \alpha_i, n_i \geq 0 \right\}.$$

Let $W_0 \subset \mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g}$ be the subspace

$$(51) \quad W_0 = \text{span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{(h, h), (X, \text{Ad}_{e^{\lambda_0}} X), (Y_-, Y_+) \mid \forall h \in \mathfrak{h}, X \in \mathfrak{n}_{\pm}^{\circ}, Y_{\pm} \in \mathfrak{k}_{\pm}\}.$$

One can check directly that W_0 is a Lagrangian subalgebra and $W_0 \cap \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h}$. Here, as before, the double is identified with $\mathfrak{d} = \mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g}$, whereas \mathfrak{g} is identified with the diagonal of \mathfrak{d} .

Conversely, as we know in Section 2, any Lagrangian subalgebra of the double of a Lie bialgebra arises from a characteristic pair. More precisely, given a Lagrangian subalgebra $W_0 \subset \mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g}$ such that $W_0 \cap \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h}$, there exists some $J \in \mathfrak{g} \wedge \mathfrak{g}$ such that

$$(52) \quad W_0 = \{X + J^\# \xi + \xi \mid X \in \mathfrak{h}, \xi \in \mathfrak{h}^\perp\} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \text{graph}(J^\#|_{\mathfrak{h}^\perp}),$$

i.e., (\mathfrak{h}, J) is a characteristic pair of W_0 . Note however that J is not unique. What we need here is to choose an \mathfrak{h} -invariant J . For this purpose, we notice that $\forall X \in \mathfrak{h}$ and $\xi \in \mathfrak{h}^\perp$,

$$\begin{aligned} [X, J^\# \xi + \xi] &= [X, J^\# \xi] + [X, \xi] \\ &= [X, J^\# \xi] + \text{ad}_X^* \xi - \text{ad}_\xi^* X \\ &= \{[X, J^\# \xi] - J^\#(\text{ad}_X^* \xi)\} + \{J^\#(\text{ad}_X^* \xi) + \text{ad}_X^* \xi\}. \end{aligned}$$

Here we used the fact that $\text{ad}_\xi^* X = 0$, which can be easily verified. It is easy to see that $\text{ad}_X^* \xi \in \mathfrak{h}^\perp$, so $J^\#(\text{ad}_X^* \xi) + \text{ad}_X^* \xi \in W_0$. Thus, $[X, J^\# \xi + \xi] \in W_0$ if and only if

$$[X, J^\# \xi] - J^\#(\text{ad}_X^* \xi) = (\text{ad}_X \circ J^\# - J^\# \circ \text{ad}_X^*) \xi = [X, J]^\# \xi \in \mathfrak{h}.$$

Equivalently,

$$(53) \quad [X, J] \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{h}}, \quad \forall X \in \mathfrak{h},$$

i.e., J is $\text{ad}_\mathfrak{h}$ -invariant (mod \mathfrak{h}). Notice that, as an element of $\mathfrak{g} \wedge \mathfrak{g}$, J can always be written as

$$J = \sum_{\alpha, \beta \in \Delta} J_{\alpha, \beta} E_\alpha \wedge E_\beta + J_1$$

where $J_1 \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{h}}$. In fact, one may always take $J_1 = 0$, which will not affect the Lagrangian subalgebra W_0 . Moreover, it follows from the equation

$$[h, E_\alpha \wedge E_\beta] = [h, E_\alpha] \wedge E_\beta + E_\alpha \wedge [h, E_\beta] = \langle \alpha + \beta, h \rangle E_\alpha \wedge E_\beta, \quad \forall h \in \mathfrak{h},$$

that $J_{\alpha, \beta} = 0$ whenever $\alpha + \beta \neq 0$. Denoting $J_{\alpha, -\alpha}$ simply by J_α , we can write

$$(54) \quad J = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta_+} J_\alpha E_\alpha \wedge E_{-\alpha},$$

which is in fact $\text{ad}_\mathfrak{h}$ -invariant. Thus, under the standard identification $\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g}^* \cong \mathfrak{d} (= \mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g})$, W_0 is of the form (comparing with Equation (37) in the last section)

$$(55) \quad W_0 = \{(h, h), (X, \varphi X), (Y_-, Y_+) \mid h \in \mathfrak{h}, X \in \mathfrak{n}_\pm^0, Y_\pm \in \mathfrak{k}_\pm\},$$

where $\mathfrak{k}_\pm = \text{span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{E_{\pm\alpha} \mid J_\alpha = 0, \alpha \in \Delta_+\}$, $\mathfrak{n}_\pm^\circ = \text{span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{E_{\pm\alpha} \mid J_\alpha \neq 0, \alpha \in \Delta_+\}$ in analogue to Equations (35) and (36), and φ is the Cayley transformation of $(J^\# + r_0^\#)|_{\mathfrak{n}_\pm^\circ} : \varphi(\lambda) = \frac{(J^\# + r_0^\#) + 1}{(J^\# + r_0^\#) - 1}$. Using a similar argument as in the proof of Lemma 4.2, we can show that \mathfrak{n}_\pm° are indeed subalgebras of \mathfrak{n}_\pm and \mathfrak{k}_\pm are ideals of \mathfrak{n}_\pm . Consequently, they correspond to a subset S of the set of simple roots according to Proposition 4.3.

Finally, using the fact that the commutator of the elements $(E_\alpha, \varphi_\alpha E_\alpha)$ is still in W_0 , one derives the following relations:

$$\varphi_{-\alpha} = \varphi_\alpha^{-1}, \quad \varphi_{\alpha+\beta} = \varphi_\alpha \varphi_\beta, \quad \forall \alpha, \beta \in \pm[S] \text{ such that } \alpha + \beta \in \Delta,$$

which implies that $\varphi = \text{Ad}_{e^{\lambda_0}}$ for some $\lambda_0 \in \mathfrak{h}$. This concludes the proof. \square

In the sequel, by $l(S, \lambda_0)$ we denote the Lagrangian subalgebra W_0 corresponding to the pair (S, λ_0) . Combining the above proposition and Corollary 4.5 we are lead to:

THEOREM 5.2. — *There is a one-one correspondence among the following objects:*

1. *dynamical r -matrices with zero gauge term,*
2. *pairs (S, λ_0) , where S is a subset of the simple roots and $\lambda_0 \in \mathfrak{h}^*$, and*
3. *Lagrangian subalgebras $W_0 \subset \mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g}$ such that $W_0 \cap \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h}$.*

This theorem establishes a correspondence between Lagrangian subalgebras of $\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g}$ and dynamical r -matrices in a rather indirect manner, namely through the pair (S, λ_0) . Next we will illuminate a direct connection geometrically.

Consider a subbundle $U \times \mathfrak{h}$ of A , where $U \subset TU$ is identified with the zero section and $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{g}$. Given a function $\tau : U \rightarrow \wedge^2 \mathfrak{g}$, being considered as a section in $\Gamma(\wedge^2 A)$, the characteristic pair $(U \times \mathfrak{h}, \tau)$ defines a maximal isotropic subbundle W of $A \oplus A^*$:

$$(56) \quad W = \{X + \tau^\# \xi + \xi \mid X \in U \times \mathfrak{h}, \xi \in (U \times \mathfrak{h})^\perp\},$$

as given by Equation (16). Then we have

PROPOSITION 5.3. — *If $r(\lambda) = \tau(\lambda) + r_0$ is a dynamical r -matrix, the subbundle W corresponding to the characteristic pair $(U \times \mathfrak{h}, \tau)$ is a Dirac structure of the Lie bialgebroid (A, A^*, r_0) .*

Proof. — It suffices to check the three conditions in Theorem 2.4. First, it is obvious that $U \times \mathfrak{h} \subset A$ is a Lie subalgebroid. Second, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_*\tau + \frac{1}{2}[\tau, \tau] &= [r_0, \tau] + \frac{1}{2}[\tau, \tau] \\ &= - \sum h_i \wedge \frac{\partial \tau}{\partial \lambda_i} \equiv 0 \pmod{U \times \mathfrak{h}}, \end{aligned}$$

according to Equation (26).

Third, $\forall \xi, \eta \in \Gamma((U \times \mathfrak{h})^\perp)$ and $h \in \mathfrak{h}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle L_{\tau^\# \xi} \eta, h \rangle &= \langle \eta, [h, \tau^\# \xi] \rangle \\ &= \langle \eta, \tau^\#(L_h \xi) \rangle \\ &= \langle [h, \tau^\# \eta], \xi \rangle - L_h \langle \tau^\# \eta, \xi \rangle \\ &= \langle L_{\tau^\# \eta} \xi, h \rangle + \langle d \langle \tau^\# \xi, \eta \rangle, h \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

where in the second equality we used the fact that τ is \mathfrak{h} -invariant. It thus follows that

$$\langle [\xi, \eta]_\tau, h \rangle = \langle L_{\tau^\# \xi} \eta - L_{\tau^\# \eta} \xi - d \langle \tau^\# \xi, \eta \rangle, h \rangle = 0, \quad \forall h \in \mathfrak{h}.$$

That is, $\Gamma(U \times \mathfrak{h})^\perp$ is closed under $[\cdot, \cdot]_\tau$. On the other hand, it is well known that \mathfrak{h}^\perp is an ideal of the dual Lie algebra \mathfrak{g}^* , since $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ is a Cartan subalgebra. This means that $(U \times \mathfrak{h})^\perp$ is a Lie subalgebroid of A^* . Thus, $\Gamma(U \times \mathfrak{h})^\perp$ is closed under the bracket $[\xi, \eta] + [\xi, \eta]_\tau$. Consequently, the conclusion follows. \square

It is well known that a Lie bialgebra integrates to a Poisson group. Similarly, the global object corresponding to a Lie bialgebroid is a Poisson groupoid [22], [23]. For the Lie bialgebroid (A, A^*, r_0) , its Poisson groupoid is rather simple to describe. As a groupoid, it is simply the product of the pair groupoid $U \times U$ with the Lie group G , where G is a Lie group with Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} . The Poisson structure is the product of the zero Poisson structure on $U \times U$ with the Poisson group structure on G defined by the r -matrix r_0 . According to Theorem 8.6 in [18], the Dirac structure W corresponds to a Poisson homogeneous space Q of this Poisson groupoid. As a manifold,

$$Q = (U \times U \times G)/(U \times H) \cong U \times G/H,$$

where $H \subset G$ is a Cartan subgroup with Lie algebra \mathfrak{h} . It is not difficult to see that for every fixed $\lambda \in U$, $\{\lambda\} \times G/H$ is a Poisson submanifold, whereas the Poisson tensor is

$$\pi_Q(\lambda) = p_*(r_0^L - r_0^R + \tau^L(\lambda)) = p_*(r^L(\lambda) - r_0^R).$$

Here $p : G \rightarrow G/H$ is the projection, $r^L(\lambda)$ refers to the bivector field on G obtained by the left translation of $r(\lambda) \in \wedge^2 \mathfrak{g}$, and r_0^R refers to the

bivector field on G obtained by the right translation of $r_0 \in \wedge^2 \mathfrak{g}$. It is simple to see that $(G/H, \pi_Q(\lambda))$ is a Poisson homogeneous G -space. Thus in this way we obtain a family of Poisson homogeneous G -spaces parametrized by $\lambda \in U$. It is not surprising that this is the family of Poisson homogeneous spaces studied by Lu [20].

The corresponding family of Lagrangian subalgebras (or Dirac structures) of the Lie bialgebra $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}^*, r_0)$ is just the fibers of W :

$$(57) \quad W(\lambda) = \{X + \tau^\#(\lambda)\xi + \xi \mid X \in \mathfrak{h}, \xi \in \mathfrak{h}^\perp\}.$$

In other words, $W(\lambda)$ corresponds to the characteristic pair $(\mathfrak{h}, \tau(\lambda))$. In fact, it is easy to see that

$$(58) \quad W(\lambda) = l(S, \lambda + \lambda_0),$$

where (S, λ_0) is the pair corresponding to the dynamical r -matrix $r(\lambda)$ as in Theorem 5.2. We now summarize the above discussion in the following two corollaries.

COROLLARY 5.4. — *The following two statements are equivalent:*

1. *The subbundle W defined by the characteristic pair $(U \times \mathfrak{h}, \tau)$ is a Dirac structure of the Lie bialgebroid (A, A^*, r_0) .*
2. *For any fixed $\lambda \in U$, $W(\lambda)$ defined by the characteristic pair $(\mathfrak{h}, \tau(\lambda))$ is a Dirac structure for the Lie bialgebra $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}^*, r_0)$.*

COROLLARY 5.5 [20]. — *A dynamical r -matrix $r(\lambda)$ defines a family of Dirac structures $W(\lambda)$ of the Lie bialgebra $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}^*, r_0)$, which in turn corresponds to a family of Poisson homogeneous G -spaces $(G/H, \pi_Q(\lambda))$.*

Such a family of Lagrangian subalgebras is said to be governed by a dynamical r -matrix. From Corollary 5.4, we see that the inverse of Proposition 5.3 is not necessary true, because W being a Dirac structure is only a fiberwise property without involving any dynamical relation. In fact, given a family of Lagrangian subalgebras $W(\lambda), \forall \lambda \in U$, we may write $W(\lambda) = l(S_\lambda, \psi(\lambda))$ for $\psi(\lambda) \in \mathfrak{h}^*$. From Equation (58), it follows that $W(\lambda)$ is governed by a dynamical r -matrix if and only if S_λ is independent of λ and $\psi : \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}$ is a linear translation: $\psi(\lambda) = \lambda + \lambda_0$ for some $\lambda_0 \in \mathfrak{h}$. Consequently, we have

COROLLARY 5.6. — *Let $\mu \in U$ be any fixed point, W_0 a Lagrangian subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g}$ such that $W_0 \cap \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h}$. Then W_0 extends uniquely to*

a family of Lagrangian subalgebras $W(\lambda)$ such that $W(\mu) = W_0$, which is governed by a dynamical r -matrix.

Proof. — Assume that $W_0 = l(S, \lambda_0)$. Consider the pair $(S, \lambda_0 - \mu)$. This corresponds to a dynamical r -matrix $r(\lambda)$ according to Theorem 5.2. Let $W(\lambda)$ be its corresponding family of Lagrangian subalgebras. Then $W(\lambda) = l(S, \lambda - \mu + \lambda_0)$. Thus $W(\mu) = l(S, \lambda_0) = W_0$. Moreover, it is clear that such an extension is unique. \square

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [1] D. ARNAUDON, E. BUFFENOIR, E. RAGOUCY, and Ph. ROCHE, Universal solutions of quantum dynamical Yang-Baxter equation, *Lett. Math. Phys.*, 44 (1998), 201–214.
- [2] J. AVAN, Classical dynamical r -matrices for Calogero-Moser systems and their generalizations, q-alg/9706024.
- [3] M. BANGOURA, and Y. KOSMANN-SCHWARZBACH, Equation de Yang-Baxter dynamique classique et algèbres de Lie, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Série I*, 327 (1998), 541–546.
- [4] A. BELAVIN, and V. DRINFELD, Triangle equations and simple Lie algebras, *Math. Phys. Review*, 4 (1984), 93–165.
- [5] E. BILLEY, J. AVAN, and O. BABELON, The r -matrix structure of the Euler-Calogero-Moser model, *Phys. Lett. A*, 186 (1994), 114–118.
- [6] E. BILLEY, J. AVAN, and O. BABELON, Exact Yangian symmetry in the classical Euler-Calogero-Moser model, *Phys. Lett. A*, 188 (1994), 263–271.
- [7] T.-J. COURANT, Dirac manifolds, *Trans. A.M.S.*, 319 (1990), 631–661.
- [8] V.-G. DRINFEL'D, Quasi-Hopf algebras, *Leningrad Math. J.*, 2 (1991), 829–860.
- [9] V.-G. DRINFEL'D, On Poisson homogeneous spaces of Poisson-Lie groups, *Theor. Math. Phys.*, 95 (1993), 524–525.
- [10] P. ETINGOF, and A. VARCHENKO, Geometry and classification of solutions of the classical dynamical Yang-Baxter equation, *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 192 (1998), 77–120.
- [11] G. FELDER, Conformal field theory and integrable systems associated to elliptic curves, *Proc. Int. Congr. Math. Zürich, Birkhäuser, Basel*, (1994), 1247–1255.
- [12] C. FRONSDAL, Quasi-Hopf deformation of quantum groups, *Lett. Math. Phys.*, 40 (1997), 117–134.
- [13] M. JIMBO, H. KONNO, ODAKE, and J. SHIRAIISHI, Quasi-Hopf twistors for elliptic quantum groups, *Transform. Groups*, 4 (1999), 303–327.
- [14] E. KAROLINSKY, Poisson homogeneous spaces of Poisson-Lie groups, Ph. D. thesis, The institute of low temperature, Kharkov, 1997.
- [15] Y. KOSMANN-SCHWARZBACH, Exact Gerstenhaber algebras and Lie bialgebroids, *Acta Appl. Math.*, 41 (1995), 153–165.

- [16] Z.-J. LIU, Some remarks on Dirac structures and Poisson reductions, Banach Center Publ., 51 (2000), 165–173.
- [17] Z.-J. LIU, A. WEINSTEIN and P. XU, Manin triples for Lie bialgebroids, J. Diff. Geom., 45 (1997), 547–574.
- [18] Z.-J. LIU, A. WEINSTEIN and P. XU, Dirac structures and Poisson homogeneous spaces, Comm. Math. Phys., 192 (1998), 121–144.
- [19] Z.-J. LIU and P. XU, Exact Lie bialgebroids and Poisson groupoids, Geom. Funct. Anal., 6 (1996), 138–145.
- [20] J.-H. LU, Classical dynamical r -matrices and homogeneous Poisson structures on G/H and K/T , Comm. Math. Phys., 212 (2000), 337–370.
- [21] J.-H. LU, A. WEINSTEIN, Poisson Lie groups, dressing transformations, and Bruhat decompositions, J. Diff. Geom., 31 (1990), 501–526.
- [22] K. MACKENZIE, and P. XU, Lie bialgebroids and Poisson groupoids, Duke Math. J., 18 (1994), 415–452.
- [23] K. MACKENZIE, and P. XU, Integration of Lie bialgebroids, Topology, 39 (2000), 445–467.
- [24] M.-A. SEMENOV-TIAN-SHANSKY, Dressing transformations and Poisson Lie group actions, Publ. RIMS, Kyoto University, 21 (1985), 1237–1260.
- [25] O. SCHIFFMANN, On classification of dynamical r -matrices, Math. Res. Lett., 5 (1998), 13–30.
- [26] A. WEINSTEIN, Poisson geometry, Diff. Geom. Appl., 9 (1998), 213–238.
- [27] P. XU, Quantum groupoids associated to universal dynamical R -matrices, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Série I, 328 (1999), 327–332.
- [28] P. XU, Quantum groupoids, Comm. Math. Phys., 216 (2001), 539–581.

Manuscrit reçu le 9 mai 2000,
accepté le 6 novembre 2000.

Zhang-Ju LIU,
Peking University
Department of Mathematics
Beijing, 100871 (China).
liuzj@pku.edu.cn
&
Ping XU,
Pennsylvania State University
Department of Mathematics
University Park, PA 16802 (USA).
ping@math.psu.edu