

# ANNALES DE L'INSTITUT FOURIER

TOSHIZUMI FUKUI

SATOSHI KOIKE

MASAHIRO SHIOTA

## **Modified Nash triviality of a family of zero-sets of real polynomial mappings**

*Annales de l'institut Fourier*, tome 48, n° 5 (1998), p. 1395-1440

[http://www.numdam.org/item?id=AIF\\_1998\\_\\_48\\_5\\_1395\\_0](http://www.numdam.org/item?id=AIF_1998__48_5_1395_0)

© Annales de l'institut Fourier, 1998, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Annales de l'institut Fourier » (<http://annalif.ujf-grenoble.fr/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme  
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques

<http://www.numdam.org/>

## MODIFIED NASH TRIVIALITY OF A FAMILY OF ZERO-SETS OF REAL POLYNOMIAL MAPPINGS

by T. FUKUI, S. KOIKE and M. SHIOTA

---

### 0. Introduction.

Studying classification or stability problems on real singularities, it becomes important to show triviality theorems. In this paper, we consider triviality of a family of zero-sets of real polynomial mappings. Let  $f_t : (\mathbf{R}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbf{R}^p, 0)$  ( $t \in J$ ) be a polynomial mapping. Then we define  $F : (\mathbf{R}^n \times J, \{0\} \times J) \rightarrow (\mathbf{R}^p, 0)$  by  $F(x; t) = f_t(x)$ . The notion of  $C^r$  triviality,  $r = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \infty, \omega$ , is natural for trivializing  $(\mathbf{R}^n \times J, F^{-1}(0))$ . We recall two typical results on  $C^r$  triviality.

*Example (0.1)* (H. Whitney [36]). — Let  $J = (1, \infty)$ , and let  $f_t : (\mathbf{R}^2, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbf{R}, 0)$  ( $t \in J$ ) be the homogeneous polynomial function defined by  $f_t(x, y) = xy(x - y)(x - ty)$ . Then  $f_t^{-1}(0)$  consists of 4 lines for any  $t \in J$ , and  $(\mathbf{R}^2 \times J, F^{-1}(0))$  is  $C^0$  trivial. But  $(\mathbf{R}^2, f_{t_1}^{-1}(0))$  and  $(\mathbf{R}^2, f_{t_2}^{-1}(0))$  are not locally  $C^1$  equivalent for  $t_1 \neq t_2$ . So of course,  $(\mathbf{R}^2 \times J, F^{-1}(0))$  is not locally  $C^1$  trivial along  $\{0\} \times J$ .

Let  $P_{[r]}(n, p)$  denote the set of real polynomial mappings:  $(\mathbf{R}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbf{R}^p, 0)$  of degree not exceeding  $r$ , and let  $\{(\mathbf{R}^n, w^{-1}(0)) : w \in P_{[r]}(n, p)\} / \sim_{C^0}$  denote the quotient set of  $\{(\mathbf{R}^n, w^{-1}(0)) : w \in P_{[r]}(n, p)\}$  by  $C^0$  equivalence.

**THEOREM (0.2)** (T. Fukuda [11], A.N. Varčenko [35]). — *The cardinal number of  $\{(\mathbf{R}^n, w^{-1}(0)) : w \in P_{[r]}(n, p)\} / \sim_{C^0}$  is finite.*

---

*Key words:* Modified Nash triviality – Resolution – Isotopy lemma – Toric modification.  
*Math. classification:* 14P20 – 57R45 – 58C27 – 14P10 – 14M25 – 58A07 – 58A35.

The Whitney example shows that  $C^1$  triviality is too strong even when we consider the local triviality of algebraic curves with isolated singularities. On the other hand, the Fukuda-Varčenko theorem tells of the existence of a finite stratification of  $P_{[r]}(n, p)$  such that over each stratum the family of zero-sets is  $C^0$  trivial. But  $C^0$  triviality preserves only topology. Then we have the following natural question:

QUESTION (0.3). — *Does a natural and strong triviality which clarifies the structure of  $C^0$  triviality hold under some generic condition?*

In this paper, we introduce the notion of *modified Nash triviality* (in the local sense) as such a triviality, and give some fundamental results to construct a local theory for it. This kind of direction was first tackled by T.-C. Kuo ([24], [25], [26]). He introduced the notion of *blow-analytic triviality* (or *modified analytic triviality*) for a family of real analytic functions. But it is not natural in the polynomial case. Furthermore analytic equivalence is definitely weaker than Nash equivalence even in the nonsingular algebraic case (see Theorem (1.4) in §1). This is the reason why we introduce the notion of modified Nash triviality for a family of zero-sets of real polynomial mappings. Actually, the second author has shown the following result from this viewpoint.

THEOREM (0.4) ([22]). — *Let  $J$  be an open interval, and let  $f_t : (\mathbf{R}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbf{R}^p, 0)$  be a weighted homogeneous polynomial mapping. If  $f_t^{-1}(0)$  has an isolated singularity at the origin for any  $t \in J$ , then  $(\mathbf{R}^n \times J, F^{-1}(0))$  admits a (finite) modified Nash trivialization in the global sense.*

Remark (0.5). — Applying this result to the Whitney example above, we see that  $(\mathbf{R}^2 \times J, F^{-1}(0))$  admits a  $\beta$ -modified Nash trivialization along  $\{0\} \times J$ , where  $\beta : M \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^2$  denotes the blow-up at the origin.

Two main parts of the proof of Theorem (0.4) consist of

- (i) constructing a Nash modification so that  $F^{-1}(0)$  is modified  $C^\infty$  trivial, and
- (ii) showing the Nash triviality theorem for a family of pairs of compact Nash manifolds and compact Nash submanifolds.

In general, the latter type of Nash triviality theorem plays a very important role in the proof of modified Nash triviality theorems. This kind of tool was first developed by the third author ([33], [34]), and M. Coste

and the third author have made progress in this direction ([4], [5]). In this paper, it becomes necessary to show the Nash triviality theorem for a family of pairs of compact Nash manifolds with boundary and compact Nash submanifolds with boundary (Theorem I), in order to prove local modified Nash triviality theorems. Once this is done, we apply this tool to the following cases:

(i) Each  $f_t$  satisfies some conditions on the Newton polyhedron.

(ii) The zero-set of the weighted initial form of each  $f_t$  admits an isolated singularity.

In fact, it is well-known that  $(\mathbf{R}^n \times J, F^{-1}(0))$  (or  $(\mathbf{C}^n \times J, F^{-1}(0))$ ) is (locally)  $C^0$  trivial under one of these conditions (e.g. M. Buchner-W. Kucharz [3], J. Damon [6], [7], T. Fukuda [12], H. King [20], A.G. Kouchirenko [23], M. Oka [29]). In particular, the first author has shown that  $(\mathbf{R}^n \times J, F^{-1}(0))$  admits a modified analytic trivialization under condition (i) ([13]). In the function case,  $F$  admits a modified analytic trivialization (the first author and E. Yoshinaga [15]). By using our tool, however, we can show that  $(\mathbf{R}^n \times J, F^{-1}(0))$  admits a modified Nash trivialization under these conditions (Theorems II, IV). We further give the classification theorem for modified Nash triviality (Theorem V) corresponding to the aforementioned Fukuda-Varčenko theorem.

We shall describe the main results in §2, and give their proofs in §§3–6. In §7, we present how our method applies to polynomial families which are explicitly given. The authors would like to thank the referee for reading the previous version of the paper carefully.

## 1. Preliminaries.

### 1.1. Some properties of Nash manifolds.

We first recall some important results about Nash manifolds. A *semialgebraic set* of  $\mathbf{R}^n$  is a finite union of the form

$$\{x \in \mathbf{R}^n : f_1(x) = \cdots = f_k(x) = 0, g_1(x) > 0, \dots, g_m(x) > 0\}$$

where  $f_1, \dots, f_k, g_1, \dots, g_m$  are polynomial functions on  $\mathbf{R}^n$ . Let  $r = 1, 2, \dots, \infty, \omega$ . A semialgebraic set of  $\mathbf{R}^n$  is called a  $C^r$  (affine) *Nash manifold* if it is a  $C^r$  submanifold of  $\mathbf{R}^n$ . Let  $M \subset \mathbf{R}^m$  and  $N \subset \mathbf{R}^n$

be  $C^r$  Nash manifolds. A  $C^s$  mapping  $f : M \rightarrow N$  ( $s \leq r$ ) is called a  $C^s$  Nash mapping if the graph of  $f$  is semialgebraic in  $\mathbf{R}^m \times \mathbf{R}^n$ .

THEOREM (1.1) (B. Malgrange [28]).

(1) A  $C^\infty$  Nash manifold is a  $C^\omega$  Nash manifold.

(2) A  $C^\infty$  Nash mapping between  $C^\omega$  Nash manifolds is a  $C^\omega$  Nash mapping.

After this, a Nash manifold and a Nash mapping mean a  $C^\omega$  Nash manifold and a  $C^\omega$  Nash mapping, respectively.

THEOREM (1.2) ([33]). — Let  $M_1 \supset N_1$ ,  $M_2 \supset N_2$  be compact Nash manifolds and compact Nash submanifolds. If the pairs  $(M_1, N_1)$  and  $(M_2, N_2)$  are  $C^\infty$  diffeomorphic, then they are Nash diffeomorphic.

Remark (1.3). — In Theorem (1.2), we can replace the assumption of “ $C^\infty$  diffeomorphic” by “ $C^1$  diffeomorphic” ([34]).

THEOREM (1.4) ([34]). — There exist two affine nonsingular algebraic varieties which are  $C^\omega$  diffeomorphic but not Nash diffeomorphic.

Therefore Nash diffeomorphism is essentially stronger than  $C^\omega$  diffeomorphism in the non-compact case. The next theorem allows one to reduce arguments in the Nash category to those in the algebraic one.

THEOREM (1.5) (Artin-Mazur Theorem [1], [34]). — Let  $M$  be a Nash manifold, and let  $f : M \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^p$  be a Nash mapping. Then there exist a union  $M'$  of connected components of some nonsingular algebraic variety and a Nash diffeomorphism  $\phi : M' \rightarrow M$  such that  $f \circ \phi$  is a polynomial mapping.

Remark (1.6) ([34]). — Let  $M$  be a Nash manifold. Then there exists a nonsingular algebraic variety  $M'$  which is Nash diffeomorphic to  $M$ .

Concerning the above fact, we have a

QUESTION (1.7). — Can we replace “a union  $M'$  of connected components of some nonsingular algebraic variety” by “a nonsingular algebraic variety  $M'$ ” in Theorem (1.5)?

**1.2. Modified Nash triviality.**

Secondly we define the notion of modified Nash triviality.

DEFINITION (1.8). — *Let  $M$  be a Nash manifold of dimension  $n$ , and let  $\pi : M \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$  be a proper onto Nash mapping. We call  $\pi$  a Nash modification if there is a semialgebraic set  $N$  in  $\mathbf{R}^n$  of dimension less than  $n$  such that  $\pi|(M - \pi^{-1}(N)) : M - \pi^{-1}(N) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n - N$  is a Nash isomorphism.*

Remark (1.9).

(1) We can define the notion of  $C^r$  modification in the  $C^r$  category similarly as above. Then we replace a semialgebraic set of dimension less than  $n$  by a thin set.

(2) We can generally define the notion of a Nash modification for a proper Nash mapping between Nash manifolds.

Let  $J$  be a Nash manifold,  $t_0 \in J$ , and let  $f_t : (\mathbf{R}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbf{R}^p, 0)$  ( $t \in J$ ) be a polynomial mapping (or a Nash mapping). We define  $F : (\mathbf{R}^n \times J, \{0\} \times J) \rightarrow (\mathbf{R}^p, 0)$  by  $F(x; t) = f_t(x)$ . Assume that  $F$  is a Nash mapping.

DEFINITION (1.10).

(1) *Let  $\pi : M \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$  be a Nash modification. We say that  $(\mathbf{R}^n \times J, F^{-1}(0))$  admits a  $\pi$ -modified Nash trivialization along  $J$ , if there is a  $t$ -level preserving Nash diffeomorphism*

$$\Phi : (W_1, \pi^{-1}(0) \times J) \rightarrow (W_2, \pi^{-1}(0) \times J)$$

*which induces a  $t$ -level preserving homeomorphism*

$$\phi : (U, \{0\} \times J) \rightarrow (V \times J, \{0\} \times J)$$

*such that  $\phi((U, F^{-1}(0) \cap U)) = (V, f_{t_0}^{-1}(0) \cap V) \times J$ , where  $W_1, W_2$  are some semialgebraic neighborhoods of  $\pi^{-1}(0) \times J$  in  $M \times J$ , and  $U$  is some neighborhood of  $\{0\} \times J$  in  $\mathbf{R}^n \times J$ , and  $V$  is some neighborhood of 0 in  $\mathbf{R}^n$ .*

(2) *Let  $\Pi : M \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n \times J$  be a Nash modification such that for each  $t \in J$ ,  $\pi_t = \Pi|M_t : M_t \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$  is also a Nash modification where  $M_t =$*

$\Pi^{-1}(\mathbf{R}^n \times \{t\})$  and  $\mathbf{R}_t^n = \mathbf{R}^n \times \{t\}$ . We say that  $(\mathbf{R}^n \times J, F^{-1}(0))$  admits a  $\Pi$ -modified Nash trivialization along  $J$ , if there is a Nash diffeomorphism

$$\Phi : (W_1, \Pi^{-1}(\{0\} \times J)) \rightarrow (W_2, \Pi^{-1}(\{0\} \times J))$$

which induces a  $t$ -level preserving homeomorphism

$$\phi : (U, \{0\} \times J) \rightarrow (V \times J, \{0\} \times J)$$

such that  $\phi((U, F^{-1}(0) \cap U)) = (V, f_{t_0}^{-1}(0) \cap V) \times J$ , where  $W_1, W_2$  are some semialgebraic neighborhoods of  $\Pi^{-1}(\{0\} \times J)$  in  $M$ , and  $U$  is some neighborhood of  $\{0\} \times J$  in  $\mathbf{R}^n \times J$ , and  $V$  is some neighborhood of  $0$  in  $\mathbf{R}^n$ .

### 1.3. Resolution.

Lastly in this section, we explain the notion of resolution for embedded varieties which we use in this paper. Throughout the paper, we denote by  $S(f)$  the set of singular points of  $f$ , and by  $D(f)$  the set of singular values of  $f$  for a smooth mapping  $f$ .

Let  $U$  be a real-analytic manifold, and let  $V$  be a real-analytic subvariety of  $U$ . We say that  $V$  has *normal crossings*, if for each  $x \in V$  there exists a local coordinate system  $(z_1, \dots, z_n)$  at  $x$  such that  $V$  is a union of some coordinate spaces near  $x$ .

Let  $U$  be a real-analytic manifold, and let  $V$  be a real-analytic subspace of  $U$ . Let  $\pi : M \rightarrow U$  be a proper real-analytic modification. We say that  $\pi$  gives a *resolution* of  $V$  in  $U$ , if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i)  $M$  is nonsingular.
- (ii) The critical set of  $\pi$  is a union of smooth divisors  $D_1, \dots, D_d$ , which have normal crossings.
- (iii)  $\pi^{-1}(V)$  is a union of real-analytic submanifolds  $V_1, \dots, V_k$  of  $M$ , which intersect transversely with each other and with  $D_{j_1} \cap \dots \cap D_{j_q}$ , for  $1 \leq j_1, \dots, j_q \leq d$ .
- (iv) There is a thin set  $T$  in  $V$  so that  $\pi[\pi^{-1}(V - T)] : \pi^{-1}(V - T) \rightarrow V - T$  is an isomorphism.

Let  $\mathcal{U}, I$  be real-analytic manifolds, and let  $p : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow I$  be a submersion. We set  $U_t = p^{-1}(t)$ , for  $t \in I$ . For a real-analytic subspace  $\mathcal{V}$  of  $\mathcal{U}$ , we set  $V_t = \mathcal{V} \cap U_t$ . For a proper real-analytic modification  $\Pi : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ , we set

$M_t = (p \circ \Pi)^{-1}(t)$ . We say that  $\Pi$  gives a *simultaneous resolution* of  $\mathcal{V}$  in  $\mathcal{U}$  over  $I$  (or  $V_t$  in  $U_t$  for  $t \in I$ ), if the following conditions are satisfied:

(i)  $\mathcal{M}$  is nonsingular.

(ii) The critical set of  $\Pi$  is a union of smooth divisors  $\mathcal{D}_1, \dots, \mathcal{D}_d$ , which have normal crossings, and  $p \circ \Pi|_{\mathcal{D}_{i_1} \cap \dots \cap \mathcal{D}_{i_q}}$  is a submersion, for each  $1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_q \leq d$ .

(iii)  $\Pi^{-1}(\mathcal{V})$  is a union of real-analytic submanifolds  $\mathcal{V}_1, \dots, \mathcal{V}_k$  of  $\mathcal{M}$ , which intersect transversely with each other and with  $\mathcal{D}_{j_1} \cap \dots \cap \mathcal{D}_{j_q}$ , for  $1 \leq j_1, \dots, j_q \leq d$ . Moreover  $p \circ \Pi|_{\mathcal{V}_{i_1} \cap \dots \cap \mathcal{V}_{i_s}}$  are submersions for each  $1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_s \leq k$ .

(iv) There exists a thin set  $\mathcal{T}$  in  $\mathcal{V}$  so that  $\mathcal{T} \cap \mathcal{V}_t$  is a thin set in  $\mathcal{V}_t$ , for each  $t \in I$ , and so that  $\Pi|_{\Pi^{-1}(\mathcal{V} - \mathcal{T})} : \Pi^{-1}(\mathcal{V} - \mathcal{T}) \rightarrow \mathcal{V} - \mathcal{T}$  is an isomorphism.

Then,  $\pi_t := \Pi|M_t : M_t \rightarrow U_t$  gives a resolution of  $V_t$  in  $U_t$ , for  $t \in I$ .

Let  $f_t : (\mathbf{R}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbf{R}^p, 0)$  ( $t \in I$ ) be a family of real analytic mappings. Let  $\pi : M \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$  be a proper real-analytic modification. We say that  $\pi$  *induces a simultaneous resolution* of  $(\mathbf{R}^n, f_t^{-1}(0))$  near 0, if  $\pi \times \text{id}$  gives a simultaneous resolution of  $\bigcup_{t \in I} f_t^{-1}(0)$ .

## 2. Statements of theorems.

In this section, we describe the main results of this paper.

### 2.1. Nash triviality theorem.

Let  $M \subset \mathbf{R}^{m'}$  be a Nash manifold possibly with boundary of dimension  $m$ , and let  $N_1, \dots, N_q$  be Nash submanifolds of  $M$  possibly with boundary, which together with  $N_0 = \partial M$  have normal crossings. Assume that  $\partial N_i \subset N_0$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, q$ . Then we have

**THEOREM I.** — *Let  $\varpi : M \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^k$ ,  $k > 0$ , be a proper onto Nash submersion such that for every  $0 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_s \leq q$ ,  $\varpi|_{N_{i_1} \cap \dots \cap N_{i_s}} : N_{i_1} \cap \dots \cap N_{i_s} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^k$  is a proper onto submersion. Then there exists a Nash diffeomorphism*

$$\varphi = (\varphi', \varpi) : (M; N_1, \dots, N_q) \rightarrow (M^*; N_1^*, \dots, N_q^*) \times \mathbf{R}^k$$



such that  $\varphi|M^* = \text{id}$ , where  $Z^*$  denotes  $Z \cap \varpi^{-1}(0)$  for a subset  $Z$  of  $M$ .

Furthermore, if previously given are Nash diffeomorphisms  $\varphi_{i_j} : N_{i_j} \rightarrow N_{i_j}^* \times \mathbf{R}^k$ ,  $0 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_a \leq q$ , such that  $\varpi \circ \varphi_{i_j}^{-1}$  is the natural projection, and  $\varphi_{i_s} = \varphi_{i_t}$  on  $N_{i_s} \cap N_{i_t}$ , then we can choose a Nash diffeomorphism  $\varphi$  which satisfies  $\varphi|N_{i_j} = \varphi_{i_j}$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, a$ .

*Remark (2.1).* — In Theorem I, we can replace  $\mathbf{R}^k$  by one of the followings:

- (i) an open cuboid  $\prod_{i=1}^k (a_i, b_i)$ ,
- (ii) a closed cuboid  $\prod_{i=1}^k [a_i, b_i]$ ,
- (iii) a Nash manifold which is Nash diffeomorphic to an open simplex.

Remark that the integration of a Nash vector field is not necessarily of Nash class. For instance, that of  $x \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x}$  is not of Nash class. Moreover, the diffeomorphism from  $\{x = 0\}$  to  $\{x = 1\}$  given by the flows of the vector field  $(x - y + 1) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + (x - y - 1) \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$  is not of Nash class. Therefore, we cannot use the integration method to show Nash triviality theorems. Because of this, Theorem I is a very effective tool to show Nash triviality theorems, and consequently modified Nash triviality theorems.

### 2.2. Theorem on modified Nash triviality.

Let  $f_t : (\mathbf{R}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbf{R}^p, 0)$  ( $t \in I$ ) be a polynomial family of polynomial maps. We assume that there is a modification  $\pi : M \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$  which induces a simultaneous resolution of  $(\mathbf{R}^n, f_t^{-1}(0))$  for  $t \in I$ . Let  $F : \mathbf{R}^n \times I \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^p$  be the map defined by  $F(x, t) = f_t(x)$ , and set  $\Pi := \pi \times \text{id} : (M \times I, \pi^{-1}(0) \times I) \rightarrow (\mathbf{R}^n \times I, \{0\} \times I)$ . Put  $\mathcal{V} = (F^{-1}(0), \{0\} \times I)$ . Then  $\Pi^{-1}(\mathcal{V}) \cup S(\Pi)$  is a union of Nash submanifold-germs, which have normal crossings. These Nash submanifolds define a stratification of  $\Pi^{-1}(\mathcal{V}) \cup S(\Pi)$  denoted by  $\mathcal{S}$ .

We say that  $f_t$  ( $t \in I$ ) satisfies *condition (C)*, if there exist a Nash diffeomorphism germ

$$\phi : (S(\Pi), \Pi^{-1}(\{0\} \times I)) \rightarrow (S(\pi), \pi^{-1}(0)) \times I$$

and a homeomorphism germ

$$\phi' : (D(\Pi), \{0\} \times I) \rightarrow (D(\pi), 0) \times I$$

such that  $\phi$  preserves the stratification  $\mathcal{S}|S(\Pi)$  and  $\phi' \circ \Pi = \Pi \circ \phi$ .

The notion of Nash diffeomorphism will be defined in the first paragraph of §3.

**THEOREM II.** — *Assuming that the modification  $\pi : M \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$  induces a simultaneous resolution of  $(\mathbf{R}^n, f_t^{-1}(0))$  for  $t \in I$ , and satisfies condition (C), then  $(\mathbf{R}^n \times I, F^{-1}(0))$  admits a  $\pi$ -modified Nash trivialization along  $I$ .*

Remark that Condition (C) is automatically satisfied if  $D(\pi)=\{0\}$ , because of Theorem I. But this condition (C) does not always follow in the general case. Let  $Z_X$  denote the connected component of the Zariski closure of  $X$  so that  $Z_X \supset X$ , for a stratum  $X$  of  $\mathcal{S}$ . Remark that  $Z_X$  is nonsingular for each stratum  $X \in \mathcal{S}$ .

**PROPOSITION (2.2).** — *The following three conditions imply Condition (C):*

(i) *For each  $P \in D(\Pi)$ , there exists a Nash coordinate system centered at  $P$  so that for any stratum  $X \in \mathcal{S}|S(\Pi)$  with  $\Pi(Z_X) \ni P$ ,  $\Pi(Z_X)$  is a coordinate space near  $P$  with respect to the coordinate system.*

(ii) *The restriction of  $\Pi$  to  $Z_X$  is a submersion of  $Z_X$  to  $\Pi(Z_X)$ , for each stratum  $X \in \mathcal{S}|S(\Pi)$ .*

(iii) *The restriction of the natural projection  $q : \mathbf{R}^n \times I \rightarrow I$  to  $\Pi(Z_X)$  is a submersion of  $\Pi(Z_X)$  to  $I$ , for each stratum  $X \in \mathcal{S}|S(\Pi)$ .*

*Here, we consider any sets as germs at  $\Pi^{-1}(\{0\} \times I)$  or  $\{0\} \times I$  in (i)–(iii).*

### 2.3. Modified Nash triviality via toric modifications.

We next consider which families admit a modified Nash trivialization by a projective toric modification in the case when the family of polynomials is explicitly given. To do this, we review several definitions and facts of the theory of toric varieties. See V.I. Danilov [8], [9], V.I. Danilov - A.G. Khovanskii[10], and M. Oka [31] for details.

Let  $\Delta$  be a convex polyhedron in  $\mathbf{R}^n$ , which means the intersection of finitely many affine half-spaces defined over  $\mathbf{Q}$ . For each face  $F$  of  $\Delta$ , we set

$$\sigma_F = \text{Cone}(\Delta; F) = \bigcup_{r \geq 0} r \cdot (\Delta - m)$$

where  $m$  is a point lying inside the face  $F$ . Let  $R_F$  be the  $\mathbf{R}$ -algebra generated by the semi-group  $\sigma_F \cap \mathbf{Z}^n$ . Let  $U_F$  denote the set of real points of  $\text{Spec}(R_F)$ , that is, the set of  $\mathbf{R}$ -algebra morphisms of  $R_F$  to  $\mathbf{R}$ . If  $F_1$  is a face of  $F_2$ , then  $U_{F_2}$  is identified with an open subset of  $U_{F_1}$ , using the canonical inclusion  $R_{F_1} \subset R_{F_2}$ , since  $R_{F_1}$  and  $R_{F_2}$  have a same quotient field. These identifications allow us to glue  $U_F$ 's together. Gluing them we obtain a real algebraic variety denoted by  $P_\Delta$ . Let  $F$  be a  $k$ -dimensional face of  $\Delta$  and  $m$  a point inside the face  $F$ . Setting  $\overline{F} = F - m$ , we can understand  $\overline{F}$  a convex polyhedron in some  $k$ -dimensional vector subspace of  $\mathbf{R}^n$ . By the construction on the polyhedron  $\overline{F}$  similar to the above, we have a  $k$ -dimensional toric variety denoted by  $P_F$ . This  $P_F$  is canonically embedded in  $P_\Delta$  and we have  $P_{F_1} \cap P_{F_2} = P_{F_1 \cap F_2}$  for two faces  $F_1, F_2$  of  $\Delta$ .

A polyhedron  $\Delta$  is *regular at a vertex*  $P$  if  $\sigma_P \cap \mathbf{Z}^n$  is generated by a basis of  $\mathbf{Z}^n$ . A polyhedron is *regular* if it is regular at all vertices. If  $\Delta$  is regular, then  $P_\Delta$  is a nonsingular real algebraic variety.

We say a polyhedron  $\Delta_1$  *majorizes* another polyhedron  $\Delta_2$ , if there exists a map  $\beta$  from the set of faces of  $\Delta_1$  to that of  $\Delta_2$  which satisfies the following two conditions:

- (i)  $\beta(F_1)$  is a face of  $\beta(F_2)$  if  $F_1$  is a face of  $F_2$  for each faces  $F_1, F_2$  of  $\Delta_1$ ,
- (ii)  $\text{Cone}(\Delta_2; \beta(F)) \subset \text{Cone}(\Delta_1; F)$  for each face  $F$  of  $\Delta_1$ .

The inclusion in (ii) induces a map of  $P_{\Delta_1}$  to  $P_{\Delta_2}$  which is also denoted by  $\beta$ . If  $\Delta$  is a convex polyhedron majorizing the positive orthant  $\mathbf{R}_{\geq}^n$ , then there exists a map  $P_\Delta \rightarrow P_{\mathbf{R}_{\geq}^n} = \mathbf{R}^n$  which is an algebraic modification. We often denote it by  $\pi_\Delta$  instead of  $\beta$ . Here  $\mathbf{R}_{\geq}$  denote the set of non-negative real numbers. This  $P_\Delta \rightarrow P_{\mathbf{R}_{\geq}^n} = \mathbf{R}^n$  is proper, if  $\beta^{-1}(0)$  is the set of compact faces of  $\Delta$ . If  $\Delta$  is regular, then  $S(\beta)$  is a union of submanifolds of  $P_\Delta$  which have normal crossings. We fix such  $\Delta$ , that is,  $\Delta$  is a regular polyhedron majorizing  $\mathbf{R}_{\geq}^n$ , which induces a proper algebraic modification  $\beta = \pi_\Delta : P_\Delta \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$ , called a *projective toric modification*.

Let  $f : (\mathbf{R}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbf{R}^p, 0)$  be a polynomial map. We say that  $f$  is  $\Delta$ -*regular*, if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i) The strict transform of  $(f^{-1}(0), 0)$  by the projective toric modification  $P_\Delta \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$  is nonsingular.
- (ii) The projective toric modification  $P_\Delta \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$  gives a resolution of  $(\mathbf{R}^n, f^{-1}(0), 0)$

This  $\Delta$ -regularity is a weaker condition than non-degeneracy, which was treated by several authors (e.g. A.G. Khovanskii [18], [19], M. Oka [31]). In fact, non-degeneracy is equivalent to transversality of the strict transform of  $f^{-1}(0)$  by  $\beta$  to the toric stratification of  $P_\Delta$  for a toric modification  $\beta : P_\Delta \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$  which majorizes the Newton polyhedron of  $f$ . This treatment of non-degeneracy was found in §2 in [18]. It is possible to derive topological triviality of a family of  $(\mathbf{R}^n, f_t^{-1}(0), 0)$  for simultaneously non-degenerate systems  $f_t : (\mathbf{R}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbf{R}^p, 0)$  with a constant Newton polyhedron  $\Gamma_+(f_t) = \Gamma_+(f_0)$ . But the constancy of Newton polyhedra is strong as a sufficient condition for topological triviality. For example, the Brianchon-Speder family (Example 7.5) is topologically trivial, but their Newton polyhedra are not constant. We will see that a weighted homogeneous polynomial in three variables which defines an isolated singularity at the origin is  $\Delta$ -regular, and a family of such polynomials with same weights admits a simultaneous resolution using some toric modification (Proposition (7.3)). Using our method, we can analyse many examples, not only weighted homogeneous ones but also polynomials with generic coefficients in the given Newton polyhedra. We discuss more about  $\Delta$ -regularity in §7.

Let  $f_t : (\mathbf{R}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbf{R}^p, 0)$  be a polynomial mapping for  $t \in I = \prod_{i=1}^k [a_i, b_i]$ , and define  $F : (\mathbf{R}^n \times I, \{0\} \times I) \rightarrow (\mathbf{R}^p, 0)$  by  $F(x; t) = f_t(x)$ . Assume that  $F$  is a polynomial mapping. Let  $\Delta$  be a regular polyhedron majorizing  $\mathbf{R}^n_{\geq}$ , and let  $\pi$  denote the proper modification  $\pi_\Delta : P_\Delta \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$ . Then, by Theorem II, we have

**THEOREM II'.** — *If  $f_t$  is  $\Delta$ -regular for  $t \in I$  and satisfies Condition (C), then  $(\mathbf{R}^n \times I, F^{-1}(0))$  admits a  $\pi$ -modified Nash trivialization along  $I$ .*

As a corollary of Theorem II', we have

**COROLLARY III.**

- (1) *Let  $f_t$  ( $t \in I$ ) be a polynomial family of non-degenerate systems of polynomial mappings. If  $f_t$  is convenient, i.e. each  $\Gamma_+(f_t)$  meets all coordinate axes, then  $(\mathbf{R}^n \times I, F^{-1}(0))$  admits a  $\pi$ -modified Nash trivialization.*
- (2) *Let  $f_t$  ( $t \in I$ ) be a polynomial family of non-degenerate systems*

of polynomial mappings. If  $(f_t)^a$  is independent of  $t$  for each vector  $a(\neq e^i)$  supporting a non-compact face of  $\Gamma_+(f_t)$ , then  $(\mathbf{R}^n \times I, F^{-1}(0))$  admits a  $\pi$ -modified Nash trivialization. (See §3 for notations not defined yet.)

(3) Let  $f_t$  ( $t \in I$ ) be a polynomial family of polynomial mappings, let  $\Delta$  be a regular polyhedron which is equal to  $\mathbf{R}^n_{\geq}$  outside some compact set, and let  $\pi$  denote the modification  $P_{\Delta} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$ . If each  $f_t$  is  $\Delta$ -regular for  $t \in I$ , then  $(\mathbf{R}^n \times I, F^{-1}(0))$  admits a  $\pi$ -modified Nash trivialization along  $I$ .

(4) Let  $f_t(x)$  ( $t \in I$ ) be a polynomial family of polynomial mappings,  $\pi$  the blow-up of  $\mathbf{R}^n$  centered at 0. We write  $f_t(x) = F(x; t) = H_d(x; t) + H_{d+1}(x; t) + \dots$ , where  $H_j(x; t)$  is a homogeneous polynomial mappings of degree  $j$  in  $x$ . If the zero locus of  $H_d(-; t)$  ( $t \in I$ ) has an isolated singularity, then  $(\mathbf{R}^n \times I, F^{-1}(0))$  admits a  $\pi$ -modified Nash trivialization along  $I$ .

**2.4. Modified Nash triviality theorem in the weighted case.**

Let  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$  be an  $n$ -tuple of positive integers, and let  $f_t : (\mathbf{R}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbf{R}^p, 0)$  be a polynomial mapping for  $t \in I = \prod_{i=1}^k [a_i, b_i]$ . Assume that  $F$  is a polynomial mapping. For each  $t \in I$ , we write  $f_t(x) = Q_t(x) + G_t(x)$ , where  $Q_{t,i}(x)$  is the weighted initial form of  $f_{t,i}$  with respect to  $\alpha$  ( $1 \leq i \leq p$ ). Then we define a mapping  $\pi : S^{n-1} \times \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$  by

$$\pi(x_1, \dots, x_n; u) = (u^{\alpha_1} x_1, \dots, u^{\alpha_n} x_n).$$

We set  $E = S^{n-1} \times \mathbf{R}$  and  $E_0 = \pi^{-1}(0) = S^{n-1} \times \{0\}$ . By definition,  $E$  is a Nash manifold and  $E_0$  is a Nash submanifold of  $E$ . The restriction mapping  $\pi|(E - E_0) : E - E_0 \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n - \{0\}$  is a 2 to 1 mapping. We call this proper Nash mapping  $\pi$  a *weighted double oriented blowing-up* of  $\mathbf{R}^n$  with center  $0 \in \mathbf{R}^n$ . This is a weighted version of double oriented blowing-up. (See Example (a) in page 221 in H. Hironaka [17], for its definition.) For this  $\pi$ , we define the notion of  $\pi$ -modified Nash triviality in a way similar to Definition (1.10.1). Concerning this weighted double oriented blowing-up, we have

**THEOREM IV.** — *If  $Q_t^{-1}(0) \cap S(Q_t) = \{0\}$  for any  $t \in I$ , then  $(\mathbf{R}^n \times I, F^{-1}(0))$  admits a  $\pi$ -modified Nash trivialization along  $I$ .*

*Remark (2.4).* — In [3], M. Buchner and W. Kucharz showed that  $(\mathbf{R}^n \times I, F^{-1}(0))$  admits a  $\pi$ -modified  $C^1$  trivialization along  $I$  under the same assumption as above. They treated a more general case than the polynomial case.

**2.5. Classification theorems on modified Nash triviality.**

We first prepare notation. Let  $F : (\mathbf{R}^n \times J, \{0\} \times J) \rightarrow (\mathbf{R}^p, 0)$  be a mapping, and let  $Q \subset J$ . Then we denote by  $F_Q$  the restriction mapping of  $F$  to  $\mathbf{R}^n \times Q$ .

We express  $f = (f_1, \dots, f_p) \in P_{[r]}(n, p)$  as follows:

$$f_i(x) = \sum a_\alpha^{(i)} x^\alpha, \quad (1 \leq i \leq p).$$

Then the coefficient space  $\{(\dots, a_\alpha^{(i)}, \dots)\}$  is naturally identified with an Euclidean space  $\mathbf{R}^N$ . For  $a = (\dots, a_\alpha^{(i)}, \dots) \in \mathbf{R}^N$ , we write

$$f_a(x) = \left( \sum a_\alpha^{(1)} x^\alpha, \dots, \sum a_\alpha^{(p)} x^\alpha \right) \in P_{[r]}(n, p).$$

After this, we shall not distinguish  $P_{[r]}(n, p)$  from  $\mathbf{R}^N$ . Let

$$F : (\mathbf{R}^n \times P_{[r]}(n, p), \{0\} \times P_{[r]}(n, p)) \rightarrow (\mathbf{R}^p, 0)$$

be the polynomial mapping defined by  $F(x; a) = f_a(x)$ . We put

$$\Sigma^* = \left\{ f \in P_{[r]}(n, p) : f^{-1}(0) \cap S(f) \supsetneq \{0\} \text{ as germs at } 0 \in \mathbf{R}^n \right\}.$$

Then we have a classification of elements of  $P_{[r]}(n, p)$  by modified Nash.

**THEOREM V.** — *There exists a partition of the space of polynomial mappings  $P_{[r]}(n, p) = \Sigma^* \cup Q_1 \cup \dots \cup Q_d$  such that for  $1 \leq i \leq d$ ,*

- (i)  $Q_i$  is a connected Nash manifold,

and

- (ii)  $(\mathbf{R}^n \times Q_i, F_{Q_i}^{-1}(0))$  admits a  $\Pi_i$ -modified Nash trivialization along  $Q_i$ , for some  $\Pi_i$ . Here,  $\Pi_i$  is a Nash modification which gives a simultaneous resolution of  $F_{Q_i}^{-1}(0)$  in  $\mathbf{R}^n \times Q_i$  over  $Q_i$  around  $\{0\} \times Q_i$ .

By using the same argument as Theorem V, we have

**THEOREM VI.** — *Let  $J$  be a semialgebraic set in some Euclidean space, and let  $f_t : (\mathbf{R}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbf{R}^p, 0)$  ( $t \in J$ ) be a polynomial mapping. We*

define  $F : (\mathbf{R}^n \times J, \{0\} \times J) \rightarrow (\mathbf{R}^p, 0)$  by  $F(x; t) = f_t(x)$ . Assume that  $F$  is a polynomial mapping. If each  $f_t^{-1}(0)$  admits  $0 \in \mathbf{R}^n$  as an isolated singularity, then there exists a finite filtration of  $J$  by semialgebraic sets  $J^{(i)}$

$$J = J^{(0)} \supset J^{(1)} \supset \dots \supset J^{(m)} \supset J^{(m+1)} = \emptyset,$$

with the following properties:

(i)  $\dim J^{(i)} > \dim J^{(i+1)}$  and  $J^{(i)} - J^{(i+1)}$  are Nash manifolds.

(ii) For each connected component  $Q$  of  $J^{(i)} - J^{(i+1)}$ ,  $(\mathbf{R}^n \times Q, F_Q^{-1}(0))$  admits a  $\Pi$ -modified Nash trivialization along  $Q$ , for some  $\Pi$ . Here,  $\Pi$  is a Nash modification which gives a simultaneous resolution of  $F_Q^{-1}(0)$  in  $\mathbf{R}^n \times Q$  over  $Q$  around  $\{0\} \times Q$ .

*Remark (2.5).* — In the case of functions, T.-C.Kuo gave a filtration similar to Theorem VI such that for  $t, t'$  in a connected component of  $J^{(i)} - J^{(i+1)}$ ,  $f_t, f_{t'}$  are blow-analytically equivalent (see [26]). But he has not given the filtration for blow-analytic triviality.

As a corollary of Theorem VI and a Generalized Artin-Mazur Theorem (Theorem (6.6) in §6), we have

**COROLLARY VII.** — *The statement of Theorem VI remains true if we only assume that  $f_t$  and  $F$  are Nash mappings.*

### 3. Proof of Theorem I.

Before starting the proof of Theorem I, we prepare some terminology. Let  $M$  (resp.  $M'$ ) be a Nash manifold possibly with boundary, and let  $N_0, N_1, \dots, N_q$  (resp.  $N'_0, N'_1, \dots, N'_q$ ) be Nash submanifolds of  $M$  (resp.  $M'$ ) possibly with boundary. We say that a mapping  $f : \bigcup_{i=1}^q N_i \rightarrow \bigcup_{i=0}^q N'_i$  is a *Nash diffeomorphism* if the restriction  $f|_{N_i} : N_i \rightarrow N'_i$  is a Nash diffeomorphism for  $0 \leq i \leq q$ . A *Nash function* on  $N_{i_1} \cup \dots \cup N_{i_b}$  is a function whose restriction to each  $N_i$  is of Nash class. We also define a *Nash map* from  $N_{i_1} \cup \dots \cup N_{i_b}$  to a Nash set (i.e. the zero set of a Nash function) similarly. Note that each  $N_i$  is a Nash set in  $M$  (Corollary II.5.4 in [34]).

Let  $M$  be a Nash manifold possibly with boundary, and let  $N_0, N_1, \dots, N_q$  be Nash submanifolds of  $M$  possibly with boundary which have normal crossings. Then we have the following:

LEMMA (3.1). — We can extend a Nash function on  $\bigcup_{i=0}^q N_i$  to  $M$ .

*Proof.* — Let  $0 \leq i \leq q$ . Assume that the following statement holds:

STATEMENT (i). — Let  $f_i$  be a Nash function on  $\bigcup_{j=0}^i N_j$  which vanishes on  $\bigcup_{j=0}^{i-1} N_j$ . Then there exists a Nash function  $g_i$  on  $M$  such that  $g_i = f_i$  on  $\bigcup_{j=0}^i N_j$ .

Then we can derive Lemma (3.1) from this statement as follows. Let  $f$  be a Nash function on  $\bigcup_{j=0}^q N_j$ . By statement (0), we have a Nash function  $g_0$  on  $M$  such that  $g_0 = f$  on  $N_0$ . Next apply statement (1) to  $(f - g_0)|_{N_0 \cup N_1}$  which vanishes on  $N_0$ . Then there is a Nash function  $g_1$  on  $M$  such that  $g_0 + g_1 = f$  on  $N_0 \cup N_1$ . Repeating these arguments, we obtain a Nash extension of  $f$  to  $M$ .

It remains to show statement (i). By Proposition II.5.6 in [34], for each  $0 \leq j < i$ , there exist Nash functions  $\varphi_{j1}, \dots, \varphi_{jb_j}$  on  $M$  whose common zero set is  $N_j$  and whose gradients span the normal vector space of  $N_j$  in  $M$  at each point of  $N_j$ . Let  $(\varphi_{j1}, \dots, \varphi_{jb_j})$  denote the ideal of the ring of Nash functions on  $M$  generated by  $\varphi_{j1}, \dots, \varphi_{jb_j}$ . Set

$$F_{i-1} = \bigcap_{j=0}^{i-1} (\varphi_{j1}, \dots, \varphi_{jb_j}).$$

In general, a ring of Nash functions is Noetherian (e.g. [34]). Let  $\psi_1, \dots, \psi_d$  be the generators of  $F_{i-1}$ . Then, by the hypothesis that  $N_0, \dots, N_q$  have normal crossings, for each point  $x \in N_i$  we can describe the germ  $(f_i|_{N_i})_x$  as  $\sum_{j=1}^d \rho_j(\psi_j|_{N_i})_x$ , for Nash function germs  $\rho_j$  at  $x$  in  $N_i$ . Hence, by [34] I.6.5, we have globally

$$f_i|_{N_i} = \sum_{\text{finite}} \rho_j(\psi_j|_{N_i})$$

for some Nash functions  $\rho_j$  on  $N_i$ . By Corollary II.5.5 in [34], we can extend  $\rho_j$  to  $M$ . Let  $\tilde{\rho}_j$  denote the extension. Then  $g_i = \sum \tilde{\rho}_j \psi_j$  is an extension of  $f_i$ . □

Now let us start the proof of Theorem I. We first show the case where all  $N_i$ 's,  $0 \leq i \leq q$ , are of codimension 1 in  $M$  to make the idea of the proof



clear. In this case, we describe only the proof of the first part of Theorem I, because the second part follows immediately from our proof. Let  $M$  be a Nash manifold, and let  $N_0(= \partial M), N_1, \dots, N_q$  be Nash submanifolds of  $M$  which satisfy the hypotheses of Theorem I. Set

$$X_j = \{N_{i_1} \cap \dots \cap N_{i_b} \text{ of dimension } j : 0 \leq i_1, \dots, i_b \leq q\}, \quad j = 1, \dots, m-1.$$

Then, in the case where  $X_j \neq \emptyset$ , each element  $N$  of  $X_j$  is a Nash manifold possibly with boundary, and  $(N, \{N' \in X_{j-1} : N' \subset N\})$  has the same properties as  $(M; N_0, \dots, N_q)$ . If  $m = k$ , then  $N_0 = \dots = N_q = \emptyset$  and the theorem is clear. Set

$$Y_j = \bigcup \{N \in X_j\}, \quad j = k, \dots, m-1; \quad Y_m = M.$$

Let us consider the following statement for  $k \leq j \leq m$ : There exists a Nash diffeomorphism  $\varphi_j = (\varphi'_j, \varpi) : Y_j \rightarrow Y_j^* \times \mathbf{R}^k$  such that

$$\varphi'_j|_{Y_j^*} = \text{id}, \quad \varphi'_j(N) = N^*, \quad i = 0, \dots, q, \quad \text{for } N \in X_k \cup \dots \cup X_j.$$

Then the statement for  $j = k$  clearly holds, since  $\varpi|_{Y_k}$  is a finite covering over  $\mathbf{R}^k$ , and that for  $j = m$  coincides with our theorem. Therefore, in order to prove the theorem, it suffices to construct  $\varphi_j$  on each  $N \in X_j$ . Since  $\varphi_{j-1}$  is defined on  $N \cap Y_{j-1}$ , it is necessary to extend  $\varphi_{j-1}|_{N \cap Y_{j-1}}$  to  $N$ . Hence we can reduce the theorem to the following assertion:

ASSERTION (3.2). — *Let*

$$\varphi = (\varphi', \varpi) : \bigcup_{i=0}^q N_i \rightarrow \bigcup_{i=0}^q N_i^* \times \mathbf{R}^k$$

be a Nash diffeomorphism with  $\varphi'|_{N_i^*} = \text{id}, \varphi'(N_i) = N_i^*, i = 0, \dots, q$ . Then we can extend  $\varphi$  to a Nash diffeomorphism

$$\tilde{\varphi} = (\tilde{\varphi}', \varpi) : (M; N_1, \dots, N_q) \rightarrow (M^*; N_1^*, \dots, N_q^*) \times \mathbf{R}^k$$

such that  $\tilde{\varphi}'|_{M^*} = \text{id}$ .

We further reduce Assertion (3.2) to the following easier assertion:

ASSERTION (3.3). — *For  $\varphi$  in Assertion (3.2), there exist an open semialgebraic neighborhood  $U$  of  $\bigcup_{i=0}^q N_i$  in  $M$  and a  $C^r$  Nash imbedding*

$$\Phi = (\Phi', \varpi) : U \rightarrow U^* \times \mathbf{R}^k,$$

which is an extension of  $\varphi$ , such that  $\Phi'|_{U^*} = \text{id}$ , where  $r$  is a sufficiently large integer.

*Proof that Assertion (3.2) follows from Assertion (3.3).* — Assume that Assertion (3.3) holds. First we modify  $\Phi$  to be of Nash class. There exists a Nash manifold  $\widetilde{M}^*$  ( $\supset M^*$ ) in  $\mathbf{R}^{m'}$  without boundary of dimension  $m - k$ . Let  $v : V \rightarrow \widetilde{M}^*$  be a Nash tubular neighborhood in  $\mathbf{R}^{m'}$ , and let  $\widehat{\varphi}' : M \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{m'}$  be a Nash extension of  $\varphi'$  (cf. Lemma(3.1)). Then  $\Phi' - \widehat{\varphi}'$  is a  $C^r$  Nash map from  $M$  to  $\mathbf{R}^{m'}$ , which vanishes on  $\bigcup_{i=0}^q N_i$ . Moreover, by generalizing Lemma (3.1) a little, we can choose  $\widehat{\varphi}'$  so that  $\widehat{\varphi}' = \text{id}$  on  $M^*$ . It follows that  $\Phi' - \widehat{\varphi}'$  vanishes on  $M^*$ . Let  $F_q$  be the family of Nash functions on  $M$  given in the proof of Lemma (3.1). Let  $F_*$  be a finite family of Nash functions on  $M$  whose common zero set is  $M^*$  and whose gradients span the normal vector space of  $M^*$  in  $M$  at each point of  $M^*$  (e.g.  $\{\varpi_1, \dots, \varpi_n\}$  where  $\varpi = (\varpi_1, \dots, \varpi_n)$ ), and set

$$F = \{f_1 f_2 : f_1 \in F_q, f_2 \in F_*\}.$$

Let  $r$  be sufficiently large. Then we have a finite number of  $\eta_j \in F$  and  $C^1$  Nash maps  $\xi_j : M \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{m'}$  such that  $\Phi' - \widehat{\varphi}' = \sum \eta_j \xi_j$ . Let  $\xi_j^a : M \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{m'}$  be a strong Nash approximation of each  $\xi_j$  in the  $C^1$  topology (Theorem II.4.1 in [34]). See [34] for the definition of the  $C^1$  topology. Then  $\widehat{\varphi}' + \sum \eta_j \xi_j^a$  is a strong Nash approximation of  $\Phi'$  in the  $C^1$  topology, which equals  $\Phi'$  on  $M^* \cup \bigcup_{i=0}^q N_i$ . Hence  $v \circ (\widehat{\varphi}' + \sum \eta_j \xi_j^a)$  is a Nash map and keeps the properties of  $\Phi'$ . Thus we can assume that  $\Phi$  is of Nash class.

Next we modify  $U$  and  $\Phi$  so that  $U$  is a Nash manifold with boundary,  $\varpi|U$  and  $\varpi|\partial U$  are proper submersions onto  $\mathbf{R}^k$ , and  $\Phi$  is a  $C^r$  Nash diffeomorphism onto  $U^* \times \mathbf{R}^k$  (here  $U$  is no longer open in  $M$ , but closed).

Let  $\rho$  be a nonnegative Nash function on  $U^*$  with zero set  $\bigcup_{i=0}^q N_i^*$ , e.g. the restriction to  $U^*$  of the square sum of the elements of  $F_q$ . Note that  $M^*$  and  $\rho^{-1}(0)$  are compact, and for some neighborhood  $W$  of  $\rho^{-1}(0)$ ,  $\rho$  is  $C^1$  regular on  $W - \rho^{-1}(0)$  because the critical value set of  $\rho$  is finite. Hence shrinking  $U$  we can assume that  $\rho(U^*) = [0, 2)$ , and

$$\rho|(U^* - \rho^{-1}(0)) : U^* - \rho^{-1}(0) \rightarrow (0, 2)$$

is a proper Nash submersion. Then by the Triviality Theorem in [5], there is a Nash diffeomorphism

$$\psi = (\psi', \rho) : U^* - \rho^{-1}(0) \rightarrow \rho^{-1}(1) \times (0, 2).$$

Let  $0 < f \leq 1$  be a  $C^0$  semialgebraic function (i.e. with semialgebraic graph) on  $\mathbf{R}^k$  such that  $f(0) = 1$ , and

$$\rho^{-1}([0, f(y)]) \subset \Phi'(U \cap \varpi^{-1}(y)), \quad \text{for each } y \in \mathbf{R}^k.$$

There exists such  $f$ . In fact, we can construct it by using the semialgebraic function  $g : \mathbf{R}^k \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  defined by

$$g(y) = \begin{cases} \inf \rho(U^* - \Phi'(U \cap \varpi^{-1}(y))) & \text{if exists,} \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

This function is locally larger than a positive number. Now, by Theorem II.4.1 in [34], we can assume that  $f$  is of Nash class. Replace  $U$  with the set

$$\bigcup_{y \in \mathbf{R}^k} \left( \varpi^{-1}(y) \cap \Phi'^{-1}(\rho^{-1}([0, f(y)])) \right),$$

and denote it by the same notation  $U$ . Then  $U$  is a Nash manifold with boundary,  $\varpi|U$  and  $\varpi|\partial U$  are proper submersions onto  $\mathbf{R}^k$ ,  $\Phi : U \rightarrow U^* \times \mathbf{R}^k$  is a Nash imbedding, and we have

$$\Phi'(U \cap \varpi^{-1}(y)) = \rho^{-1}([0, f(y)]) \text{ for } y \in \mathbf{R}^k.$$

It remains to modify  $\Phi$  to be a  $C^r$  Nash diffeomorphism. Set

$$A = \{(s, t) \in (0, 1] \times [0, 1] : 0 \leq t \leq s\},$$

$$A' = \{(s, t) \in A : 0 \leq t \leq s/2\}.$$

Let  $\alpha : A \rightarrow (0, 1] \times [0, 1]$  be a  $C^r$  Nash diffeomorphism of the form  $\alpha(s, t) = (s, \alpha'(s, t))$  such that  $\alpha = \text{id}$  on  $A'$ . Replace  $\Phi'$  with the map

$$U \ni x \mapsto \begin{cases} \psi^{-1}(\psi' \circ \Phi'(x), \alpha'(f \circ \varpi(x), \rho \circ \Phi'(x))) & \text{for } x \in U - \bigcup_{i=0}^q N_i, \\ \Phi'(x) & \text{for } x \in \bigcup_{i=0}^q N_i. \end{cases}$$

Then  $\Phi$  becomes a  $C^r$  Nash diffeomorphism onto  $U^* \times \mathbf{R}^k$ .

Thirdly we extend  $\Phi$  to a  $C^r$  diffeomorphism from  $M$  to  $M^* \times \mathbf{R}^k$ , which is possible by Theorem 3 and Proposition 7 in [5]. Lastly we approximate the extension by a Nash diffeomorphism as in the above first step, which proves Assertion (3.2). □

Now we prepare the following assertion for the proof of Assertion (3.3).

ASSERTION (3.4). — *Let  $0 \leq i \leq q$ . For  $\varphi$  in Assertion (3.2), there exist an open semialgebraic neighborhood  $U_i$  of  $N_i$  in  $M$  and a Nash imbedding*

$$\Phi_i = (\Phi'_i, \varpi) : U_i \rightarrow U_i^* \times \mathbf{R}^k,$$

*which is an extension of  $\varphi|U_i \cap \bigcup_{j=0}^q N_j$ , such that  $\Phi'_i|U_i^* = \text{id}$ .*

*Proof that Assertion (3.3) follows from Assertion (3.4).* — Assume that Assertion (3.4) holds, that is,  $U_i$  and  $\Phi_i, i = 0, \dots, q$ , in Assertion (3.4) exist. By combining  $\Phi_0$  and  $\Phi_1$  and shrinking the neighborhoods  $U_0$  and  $U_1$  if necessary, we can define a  $C^r$  Nash imbedding

$$\Phi_{0,1} = (\Phi'_{0,1}, \varpi) : U_0 \cup U_1 \rightarrow (U_0 \cup U_1)^* \times \mathbf{R}^k$$

with the same properties as  $\Phi_i$  as follows. Let  $\beta$  be a  $C^r$  Nash function on  $M$  such that  $0 \leq \beta \leq 1$ ,  $\beta = 0$  on a neighborhood of  $M - U_0$  in  $M$ , and  $\beta = 1$  on a neighborhood of  $N_0$ . Replace  $U_0$  with  $\text{Int } \beta^{-1}(1)$ , and denote it by the same notation  $U_0$ . Define a  $C^r$  Nash map  $\Phi'_{0,1} : U_0 \cup U_1 \rightarrow M^*$  by

$$\Phi'_{0,1}(x) = \begin{cases} \Phi'_0(x) & \text{for } x \in U_0, \\ v(\beta(x)\Phi'_0(x) + (1 - \beta(x))\Phi'_1(x)) & \text{for } x \in U_1 \text{ with } \beta(x) > 0, \\ \Phi'_1(x) & \text{for } x \in U_1 \text{ with } \beta(x) = 0, \end{cases}$$

where  $v$  is the Nash tubular neighborhood in the above proof. Then for sufficiently small  $U_0$  and  $U_1$ , the map

$$\Phi_{0,1} = (\Phi'_{0,1}, \varpi) : U_0 \cup U_1 \rightarrow (U_0 \cup U_1)^* \times \mathbf{R}^k$$

is a  $C^r$  Nash imbedding, which is an extension of  $\varphi|(U_0 \cup U_1) \cap \bigcup_{j=0}^q N_j$  such that  $\Phi'_{0,1}|(U_0 \cup U_1)^* = \text{id}$ . Repeating these arguments, we obtain  $U$  and  $\Phi$  as required. □

*Proof of Assertion (3.4).* — We show the case  $i = 0$ . The other cases follow similarly. We shall construct a Nash tubular neighborhood  $w : W \rightarrow N_0$  in  $M$  and a nonnegative Nash function  $\gamma$  on  $W$  such that

- (i)  $\varphi'(W \cap \bigcup_{i=0}^q N_i) \subset W^*$ ,
- (ii)  $w \circ \varphi' = \varphi' \circ w$  on  $W \cap \bigcup_{i=0}^q N_i$ ,
- (iii)  $\varpi \circ w = \varpi$  on  $W$ ,
- (iv)  $w^{-1}(N_i) \subset N_i, i = 1, \dots, q$ ,
- (v)  $\gamma^{-1}(0) = N_0$ ,

(vi)  $\gamma$  is  $C^1$  regular outside  $N_0$  and, locally at each point of  $N_0$ , the square of a  $C^1$  regular function, and

- (vii)  $\gamma \circ \varphi' = \gamma$  on  $W \cap \bigcup_{i=0}^q N_i$ .

Assume the existence of such  $w$  and  $\gamma$ , and shrink  $W$  so that  $\gamma < \varepsilon$  and

$$\gamma|(W^* - N_0^*) : W^* - N_0^* \rightarrow (0, \varepsilon)$$

is proper for some  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Then for each point  $x$  of  $W$  there exist two points  $x_j, j = 1, 2$ , of  $W^*$  such that  $\varphi' \circ w(x) = w(x_j)$  and  $\gamma(x) = \gamma(x_j)$ . Now we map each point  $x$  of  $W$  to one of the above points  $x_j$  of  $W^*$  so that the mapping is continuous and the identity on  $W^*$ . Then the mapping is unique and of Nash class. Denote it by  $\Phi'_0$ . This  $\Phi'_0$  fulfills the requirements in this assertion.

We first construct  $w$  on  $M^*$ . Let  $w^* : W^* \rightarrow N_0^*$  be a Nash tubular neighborhood in  $M^*$ . The problem is only that  $w^*$  has to satisfy condition (iv). To solve this, we define  $w^*$  on each  $\bigcup\{N \cap W^* : N \in X_j\}$  by induction on  $j$ , which is done by Lemma (3.1) as in the above arguments. Next, for small  $W$ , we extend  $w^*$  to  $W \cap \bigcup_{i=0}^q N_i$  by

$$w(x) = \varpi^{-1}(\varpi(x)) \cap \varphi'^{-1}(w^* \circ \varphi'(x)) \quad \text{for } x \in W \cap \bigcup_{i=0}^q N_i,$$

which is a Nash map, and satisfies conditions (ii), (iii) and (iv). Thirdly, by Lemma (3.1) we extend  $w$  to  $W$  so that  $w : W \rightarrow N_0$  is a Nash tubular neighborhood. Then we need to modify  $w$  so that condition (iii) is satisfied. (If we choose  $W$  small enough, then (i) is satisfied.) This is easy to see. Indeed the correspondence

$$W \times W \supset W^d \ni (x, y) \mapsto \chi(x, y) = \left( \begin{array}{l} \text{the image of } x \text{ under the} \\ \text{orthogonal projection onto} \\ N_0 \cap \varpi^{-1}(\varpi(y)) \text{ in } M \end{array} \right) \in N_0$$

is of Nash class (where  $W^d$  is a small semialgebraic neighborhood of the diagonal of  $W \times W$ ), and  $\chi(w(x), x)$  satisfies all the conditions.

Finally we construct  $\gamma$ . In the same way as above, we can construct a Nash function  $\gamma'$  on  $W$  such that

(v)'  $\gamma' = 0$  on  $N_0$ ,

(vii)  $\gamma' \circ \varphi' = \gamma'$  on  $W \cap \bigcup_{i=0}^q N_i$ , and

(vi)'  $\gamma'|W \cap M^*$  is  $C^1$  regular outside  $N_0^*$  and, locally at each point of  $N'_0$ , the square of a  $C^1$  regular function.

By (vii) and (vi)',  $\gamma'$  is  $C^1$  regular at any point of  $W \cap \bigcup_{i=1}^q N_i - N_0$  and, locally at each point of  $W \cap \left( \bigcup_{i=0}^q N_i \right) \cap N_0$ , the square of a  $C^1$  regular

function. Here we apply Lemma (3.1) when we extend  $\gamma'$  from  $W \cap \bigcup_{i=0}^q N_i$  to  $W$ . Hence, by the proof of Lemma (3.1), we can choose  $\gamma'$  so that its first partial derivatives vanish on  $N_0$ . Let  $\gamma''$  denote the square sum of the elements of  $F_q$  in the proof of Lemma (3.1), and shrink  $W$ . Then  $\gamma = \gamma' + \gamma''$  satisfies conditions (v), (vi) and (vii), which completes the proof.  $\square$

We next show the general case. By the same reason as in the above proof of the codimension 1 case it suffices to prove the later half of the theorem for  $i_0 = 0, \dots, i_q = q$ . We proceed by induction on  $q$ . Define a  $C^1$  Nash diffeomorphism

$$\varphi = (\varphi', \varpi) : \bigcup_i N_i \rightarrow \left( \bigcup_i N_i \right)^* \times \mathbf{R}^k$$

to be  $(\varphi'_i, \varpi)$  on each  $N_i$ . We can assume that  $\varphi' = \text{id}$  on  $(\bigcup_i N_i)^*$ . Let  $N_1$  be such that  $N_1 \not\subset \bigcup_{i \neq 1} N_i$ . By induction we have a  $C^1$  Nash diffeomorphism

$$\tilde{\varphi} = (\tilde{\varphi}', \varpi) : M \rightarrow M^* \times \mathbf{R}^k$$

which is an extension of  $\varphi|_{\bigcup_{i \neq 1} N_i}$ . Let  $p : U \rightarrow N_1$  be a small closed  $C^1$  Nash tubular neighborhood in  $M$  such that  $\partial U$  and  $N_0, N_2, \dots, N_q$  have normal crossings and  $\tilde{\varphi}'(p^{-1}(x)) = p^{-1}(\varphi'(x))$  for each  $x \in N_1 \cap \left( \bigcup_{i \neq 1} N_i \right)$ . Existence of such  $p$  follows from a  $C^1$  Nash partition of unity. Set  $N'_1 = N_1 \cap \left( \bigcup_{i \neq 1} N_i \right)$  and  $N''_1 = p^{-1}(N'_1)$ .

By Theorem 8 in [4] we have a  $C^1$  Nash diffeomorphism

$$\psi = (\psi', \varpi) : U \rightarrow U^* \times \mathbf{R}^k,$$

where  $U^* = U \cap \varpi^{-1}(0)$ , such that  $\varphi' \circ p = p \circ \psi'$  and  $\psi' = \text{id}$  on  $U^*$ . Recalling the proof of codimension 1 case, we need only to modify  $\psi'$  so that

$$\psi' = \tilde{\varphi}' \text{ on } N''_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \psi' = \varphi' \text{ on } N_1.$$

Define a  $C^1$  Nash map  $\xi : (N_1 \cup N''_1)^* \times \mathbf{R}^k \rightarrow U^*$  so that

$$\xi \circ \varphi = \psi' \text{ on } N_1, \quad \text{and} \quad \xi \circ \tilde{\varphi} = \psi' \text{ on } N''_1.$$

Note that for each  $(x, t) \in N''_1^* \times \mathbf{R}^k$ ,  $\xi|_{p^{-1}(x) \times t}$  is a  $C^1$  Nash diffeomorphism onto  $p^{-1}(x)$ , and for each  $(x, t) \in N_1^* \times \mathbf{R}^k$ ,  $p \circ \xi(x, t) = x$ . We will show the following assertion:

ASSERTION (3.5). —  $\xi$  is extensible to a  $C^1$  Nash map  $U^* \times \mathbf{R}^k \rightarrow U^*$  so that for each  $(x, t) \in N_1^* \times \mathbf{R}^k$ ,  $\xi|_{p^{-1}(x) \times t}$  is a  $C^1$  Nash diffeomorphism onto  $p^{-1}(x)$ .

If this assertion is true then for such an extension  $\xi$ ,  $(\xi, \text{id})^{-1} \circ \psi$  is a required modification of  $\psi$  and hence the theorem is proved. We reduce the problem to the case where  $\xi(x, t) = x$  for all  $(x, t) \in N_1^{''*} \times \mathbf{R}^k$ .

Let  $V$  be a small open semialgebraic neighborhood of  $N_1^{''*} \times \mathbf{R}^k$  in  $N_1^* \times \mathbf{R}^k$ . It is easy to extend  $\xi|_{N_1^{''*} \times \mathbf{R}^k}$  to a  $C^1$  Nash map

$$\xi_1 : \bigcup_{(x,t) \in V} p^{-1}(x) \times t \rightarrow U^*$$

so that for each  $(x, t) \in V$ ,  $\xi_1|_{p^{-1}(x) \times t}$  is a  $C^1$  Nash diffeomorphism onto  $p^{-1}(x)$ . Since we cannot always extend  $\xi_1$ , moreover, to  $U^* \times \mathbf{R}^k$ , we modify  $\xi_1$  as follows. Choose  $V$  so that for any  $\varepsilon > 1$  and  $(x, t) \in N_1^* \times \mathbf{R}^k$ , if  $(x, \varepsilon t) \in V$  then  $(x, t) \in V$ . Then we have a  $C^1$  Nash map  $\kappa : V \rightarrow V$  of form  $\kappa(x, t) = (x, \kappa'(x, t))$  such that

$$\kappa = \text{id} \text{ on } (V \cap N_1^* \times 0) \cup N_1^{''*} \times \mathbf{R}^k,$$

and

$\kappa(V - V') \subset N_1^* \times 0$  for a very small neighborhood  $V'$  of  $N_1^{''*} \times \mathbf{R}^k$  in  $V$ .

In place of  $\xi_1$  consider

$$\xi_2 = \xi_1(x, \kappa'(p(x), t)), \quad (x, t) \in \bigcup_{(y,t) \in V} p^{-1}(y) \times t,$$

which is also an extension of  $\xi|_{N_1^{''*} \times \mathbf{R}^k}$  such that for each  $(x, t) \in V$ ,  $\xi_2|_{p^{-1}(x) \times t}$  is a  $C^1$  Nash diffeomorphism onto  $p^{-1}(x)$ . Now we can extend  $\xi_2$  to  $U^* \times \mathbf{R}^k$  by setting  $\xi_2(x, t) = x$  outside  $\bigcup_{(y,t) \in V} p^{-1}(y) \times t$ . We denote

the extension by  $\tilde{\xi}_2$ . Clearly  $\tilde{\xi}_2|_{p^{-1}(x) \times t}$  is a  $C^1$  Nash diffeomorphism onto  $p^{-1}(x)$  for any  $(x, t) \in N_1^* \times \mathbf{R}^k$ .

Define a  $C^1$  Nash map  $\xi_3 : (N_1 \cup N_1^{''})^* \times \mathbf{R}^k \rightarrow (N_1 \cup N_1^{''})^*$  by

$$(\xi_3, \text{id}) = (\xi_2, \text{id})^{-1} \circ (\xi, \text{id}) : (N_1 \cup N_1^{''})^* \times \mathbf{R}^k \rightarrow (N_1 \cup N_1^{''})^* \times \mathbf{R}^k,$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} p \circ \xi_3(x, t) &= x \text{ for each } (x, t) \in N_1^* \times \mathbf{R}^k, \\ \xi_3(x, t) &= x \text{ for each } (x, t) \in N_1^{''*} \times \mathbf{R}^k. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, if we can extend  $\xi_3$  to a  $C^1$  Nash map

$$\tilde{\xi}_3 : U^* \times \mathbf{R}^k \rightarrow U^*$$

keeping the property that for each  $(x, t) \in N_1^* \times \mathbf{R}^k$ ,  $\tilde{\xi}_3|_{p^{-1}(x) \times t}$  is a  $C^1$  Nash diffeomorphism onto  $p^{-1}(x)$ , then  $\tilde{\xi}_2 \circ (\tilde{\xi}_3, \text{id})$  is an extension of  $\xi$  required in (3.5). Hence it suffices to show the extensibility of  $\xi_3$  to such a  $\tilde{\xi}_3$ , which is equivalent to show Assertion (3.5) under the assumption  $\xi(x, t) = x$  for all  $(x, t) \in N_1^{**} \times \mathbf{R}^k$ .

We can state what we have to prove as follows:

(3.6). — Let  $G_{n_1, n_2}$  be the Grassmannian of  $n_2$ -dimensional subspaces of  $\mathbf{R}^{n_1}$ , and let  $\pi : E \rightarrow G_{n_1, n_2}$  denote the universal vector bundle, where

$$E = \{(\lambda, t) \in G_{n_1, n_2} \times \mathbf{R}^{n_1} : t \in \lambda\}.$$

Set  $\widehat{E} = \{(\lambda, t) \in E : |t| \leq 1\}$ . Let  $q : N_1 \rightarrow \text{Int } \widehat{E}$  be a  $C^1$  Nash map. Let  $(\pi \circ q)_* \widehat{E}$  denote the pullback of  $\widehat{E}$  by  $\pi \circ q$ , and regard it as a subset of  $N_1 \times \widehat{E}$  by the equality

$$(\pi \circ q)_* \widehat{E} = \{(x, \pi \circ q(x), t) \in N_1 \times \widehat{E}\}.$$

Then there exists a  $C^1$  Nash diffeomorphism  $r : (\pi \circ q)_* \widehat{E} \rightarrow (\pi \circ q)_* \widehat{E}$  such that for each  $(x, \pi \circ q(x), t) \in (\pi \circ q)_* \widehat{E}$ ,

$$r(x, \pi \circ q(x), t) = (x, \pi \circ q(x), t') \quad \text{for some } t' \in \mathbf{R}^{n_1},$$

$$r(x, \pi \circ q(x), 0) = (x, q(x)),$$

and if  $q(x) = (\pi \circ q(x), 0)$  then  $r(x, \pi \circ q(x), t) = (x, \pi \circ q(x), t)$ .

Here we easily reduce to the case where  $N_1 = \text{Int } \widehat{E}$  and  $q = \text{id}$ . For simplicity of notation we consider, moreover,  $q$  only on  $\text{Int } \widehat{E} \cap \pi^{-1}(\lambda_0)$  for one  $\lambda_0 \in G_{n_1, n_2}$ . The general case is proved in the same way by more complicated notation. Then (3.6) is reduced to the following:

(3.7). — Set  $B = \{x \in \mathbf{R}^{n_1} : |x| < 1\}$ . There exists a  $C^1$  Nash map

$$\eta : \text{cl}(B) \times B \rightarrow \text{cl}(B)$$

such that for each  $y \in B$ ,  $\eta|_{\text{cl}(B) \times y}$  is a diffeomorphism onto  $\text{cl}(B)$ ,  $\eta(0, y) = y$ , and  $\eta|_{\text{cl}(B) \times 0} = \text{id}$ .

It is easy to find a  $C^1$  Nash map  $\eta_1 : \text{cl}(B) \times B \rightarrow \text{cl}(B)$  such that for each  $y \in B$ ,  $\eta_1|_{\text{cl}(B) \times y}$  is a diffeomorphism onto  $\text{cl}(B)$ , and  $\eta_1(y, y) = y/2$ . Here let us assume the following:

(3.8). — There exists a  $C^1$  Nash map

$$\eta_2 : \text{cl}(B) \times \text{cl}(B) \rightarrow \text{cl}(B)$$



such that for each  $y \in \text{cl}(B)$ ,  $\eta_2|_{\text{cl}(B) \times y}$  is a diffeomorphism onto  $\text{cl}(B)$ ,  $\eta_2(0, y) = y/2$ , and  $\eta_2 = \eta_1$  on  $\text{cl}(B) \times 0$ .

Then (3.7) follows and the theorem is proved. Indeed, if  $\eta_2$  in (3.8) exists,  $\eta$  defined by

$$(\eta, \text{id}) = (\eta_1, \text{id})^{-1} \circ (\eta_2, \text{id}) : \text{cl}(B) \times B \rightarrow \text{cl}(B) \times B$$

fulfills the requirements in (3.7). Therefore it remains to show (3.8). Clearly there exists a  $C^1$  map  $\text{cl}(B) \times \text{cl}(B) \rightarrow \text{cl}(B)$  with the properties in (3.8). Let  $\hat{\eta}_2$  be its  $C^1$  Nash approximation such that the properties except  $\hat{\eta}_2 = \eta_1$  on  $\text{cl}(B) \times 0$  hold, which is possible because  $\text{cl}(B)$  is compact. On the other hand, it is easy to construct a  $C^1$  Nash map

$$\tilde{\eta}_2 : \text{cl}(B) \times W \rightarrow \text{cl}(B)$$

for a small semialgebraic neighborhood  $W$  of 0 in  $\text{cl}(B)$  such that all the properties in (3.8) hold with  $\eta_2$  replaced by  $\tilde{\eta}_2$ . Combine  $\hat{\eta}_2$  and  $\tilde{\eta}_2$  by a  $C^1$  Nash partition of unity. Then we obtain the  $\eta_2$ . This completes the proof of Theorem I.

#### 4. Proofs of Theorem II, Proposition (2.2) and Corollary III.

Let  $f_t = (f_{t,1}, \dots, f_{t,p}) : (\mathbf{R}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbf{R}^p, 0)$  ( $t \in I$ ) be a polynomial family of polynomial map-germs. We set  $F(x, t) = f_t(x)$ , and  $F_i(x, t) = f_{t,i}(x)$ . Let  $q : M \times I \rightarrow I$  be the natural projection,  $P \in M$ ,  $y = (y_1, \dots, y_n)$  a local coordinate system of  $M$  at  $P$ , and  $t = (t_1, \dots, t_k)$  a local coordinate system of  $I$ . Let  $\pi : M \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$  be a proper modification so that  $\pi^{-1}(0)$  is a normal crossing divisor. We set  $\mathcal{V}$  the strict transform of  $F^{-1}(0)$  by  $\pi \times \text{id}$  and  $V_t = \mathcal{V} \cap q^{-1}(t)$ . Assume that we can locally express  $\mathcal{V}$  as zero locus of some functions  $g_i(y, t)$  ( $i = 1, \dots, p$ ).

LEMMA (4.1). — *If  $V_t$  is nonsingular for each  $t \in I$  and transverse to each irreducible component of  $\pi^{-1}(0)$ , then  $\pi$  induces a simultaneous resolution of  $(\mathbf{R}^n, f_t^{-1}(0), 0)$  for  $t \in I$ .*

*Proof.* — Since  $V_t$  is a nonsingular for each  $t \in I$ , the matrix  $\left( \frac{\partial g_i}{\partial y_j} \right)_{1 \leq i \leq p; 1 \leq j \leq n}$  has the maximal rank  $p$ , and thus  $q|_{\mathcal{V}}$  is a submersion. Since  $\pi$  gives a resolution of  $(\mathbf{R}^n, f_t^{-1}(0), 0)$ , the critical locus of  $\pi$  is a

union of divisors  $D_1, \dots, D_d$  which have normal crossings. Then, we may choose a local coordinate system at  $P$  so that  $D_i$  is a coordinate hyperplane if  $D_i \ni P$ . Let  $D$  be the intersection of some of  $D_i$ 's. Then, without loss of generality, we may assume that  $D$  is the zero locus of  $y_j$ 's for  $j = \ell+1, \dots, n$ . Since  $V_t$  is transverse to  $D$ , the matrix  $\left(\frac{\partial g_i}{\partial y_j}\right)_{1 \leq i \leq p; 1 \leq j \leq \ell}$  has the maximal rank  $p$ . For simplicity, we assume that  $\text{rank} \left(\frac{\partial g_i}{\partial y_j}\right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq p} = p$ , and  $D = \mathbf{R}^\ell = \mathbf{R}^p \times \mathbf{R}^{\ell-p}$ . By the implicit function theorem,  $\mathcal{V} \cap (D \times I)$  is expressed around  $(P, t)$  as the graph of some smooth mapping  $h : \mathbf{R}^{\ell-p} \times I \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^p$ . Thus  $q|\mathcal{V} \cap D \times I$  is a submersion at  $(P, t)$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

The following lemma assures the existence of Nash neighborhoods for resolved varieties.

LEMMA (4.2). — *Let  $f_t = (f_{t,1}, \dots, f_{t,p}) : (\mathbf{R}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbf{R}^p, 0)$  ( $t \in I$ ) be a polynomial family of polynomial map-germs, and let  $\pi : M \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$  be a proper Nash modification which supplies a simultaneous resolution of the family of germs  $V_t := f_t^{-1}(0)$  at 0. Set  $\Pi = \pi \times \text{id}$ , and  $\mathcal{M} = M \times I$ . Then there is an open Nash neighborhood of  $\Pi^{-1}(\{0\} \times I)$  in  $\mathcal{M}$ , whose closure is a Nash manifold with boundary. Moreover the boundary intersects the strict transform of  $\mathcal{V}$  transversely.*

*Proof.* — Let  $\rho : \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  be a nonnegative Nash function with  $\rho^{-1}(0) = 0$ . We use the notation in the definition of simultaneous resolution. Set  $V_{j,t} = \mathcal{V}_j \cap M_t$ . Define the number  $\varepsilon_t$  be the supremum of the set of numbers  $\varepsilon$  which satisfies the following condition:  $\delta$  is not critical value of  $\rho \circ \pi|_{\bigcap_{j \in J} V_{j,t}}$  and  $\rho \circ \pi|_{E_F \cap \bigcap_{j \in J} V_{j,t}}$  for each  $J \subset \{1, \dots, k\}$ ,  $E_F \in \mathcal{E}$ , and for  $0 < \delta < \varepsilon$ . Locally,  $\varepsilon_t$  is larger than a positive constant. Thus, there is a positive constant  $\varepsilon$  such that  $\varepsilon < \varepsilon_t$  for any  $t \in I$ . Then  $\Pi^{-1}(\{\rho(x) < \varepsilon\})$  is the desired neighborhood.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem II.* — By supposition,  $\pi : M \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$  induces a simultaneous resolution of  $(f_t^{-1}(0), 0)$ , for  $t \in I$ . By Theorem I and Lemma (4.2) we have a Nash diffeomorphism germ

$$\Phi : (M \times I, \Pi^{-1}(\{0\} \times I)) \rightarrow (M, \pi^{-1}(0)) \times I$$

satisfying  $p \circ \Phi = p$ ,  $\Phi|_S(\pi) = \phi$ , and trivializing the strict transforms of  $(f_t^{-1}(0), 0)$ . By Condition (C) and properness of  $\pi$ ,  $\Phi$  induces a homeomorphism germ

$$\Phi' : (\mathbf{R}^n \times I, \{0\} \times I) \rightarrow (\mathbf{R}^n, 0) \times I.$$

This completes the proof. □

By the definition of  $\Delta$ -regularity, we obtain the following, which shows Theorem II'.

PROPOSITION (4.3). — *Let  $I$  be a closed cuboid  $\prod_{i=1}^k [a_i, b_i]$  in  $\mathbf{R}^k$ , and let  $f_t = (f_{t,1}, \dots, f_{t,p}) : (\mathbf{R}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbf{R}^p, 0)$  ( $t \in I$ ) be a polynomial family of polynomial mappings. Let  $\Delta$  be a regular polyhedron majorizing  $\mathbf{R}_{\geq}^n$ . If  $f_t$  is  $\Delta$ -regular for each  $t \in I$ , then  $\pi : P_{\Delta} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$  induces a simultaneous resolution of the family of germs of  $f_t^{-1}(0)$  at 0.*

*Proof of Corollary III.*

(1), (3): Since  $D(\pi) = 0$ , Condition (C) is trivial.

(2): Let  $D$  be a component of  $\pi^{-1}(0)$  with  $\pi(D) \supsetneq \{0\}$ . By supposition,  $F|_D \times I$  does not depend on  $t$ , and Condition (C) is clear.

Therefore (1)–(3) are immediate consequences of Theorem II.

(4): Set  $\Delta = \{\nu \in \mathbf{R}_{\geq}^n : \sum_i \nu_i \geq 1\}$ . Since  $P_{\Delta} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$  is the blow-up at 0, this is an immediate consequence of (3). □

*Proof of Proposition (2.2).* — Let  $t_0 \in I$  and let  $\rho : \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  be a non-negative Nash function with  $\rho^{-1}(0) = \{0\}$ . By abuse of notation, we denote by  $A$   $A \cap \rho^{-1}([0, \varepsilon) \times I)$  (resp.  $A \cap ((q \circ \Pi)^{-1}([0, \varepsilon) \times I))$ ) for a subset  $A$  in  $\mathbf{R}^n \times I$  (resp.  $P_{\Delta} \times I$ ). For any  $X \in \mathcal{S}$ , there is a positive number  $\varepsilon_0(X)$  so that  $(\rho^{-1}([0, \varepsilon) \times I) \cap q^{-1}(t_0) \cap \Pi(Z_X))$  is Nash diffeomorphic to an open simplex, because of (i), (iii), and Theorem I. Let  $\varepsilon_0$  be the minimum of  $\varepsilon_0(X)$  for  $X \in \mathcal{S}$ . Let  $A_i$  denote the union of strata in  $\mathcal{S}$  whose dimension is less than or equal to  $i$ . Set

$$Z_X^* = (q \circ \Pi)^{-1}(t_0) \cap Z_X, \quad \Pi(Z_X)^* = q^{-1}(t_0) \cap \Pi(Z_X),$$

$$A_i^* = (q \circ \Pi)^{-1}(t_0) \cap A_i,$$

and so on. We construct Nash diffeomorphism germs

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_i &: (A_i, \Pi^{-1}(\{0\} \times I)) \rightarrow (A_i^*, \pi^{-1}(0)) \times I \text{ and} \\ \phi'_i &: (\Pi(A_i), \{0\} \times I) \rightarrow (\Pi(A_i^*), 0) \times I \end{aligned}$$

with  $\phi'_i \circ \Pi = \Pi \circ \phi_i$ , by induction on  $i$ . The first step of the induction is trivial. Assume that such  $\phi_i$  and  $\phi'_i$  exist. Let  $X$  be a stratum of  $\mathcal{S}|S(\Pi)$  with  $\dim X = i + 1$ . By Theorem I, there exists a Nash diffeomorphism

$\phi'_X : \Pi(Z_X) \rightarrow \Pi(Z_X)^* \times I$ , which extends  $\phi'_i|\Pi(Z_X) \cap A_i$ , and satisfies  $q \circ \phi'_X = q$ . Applying Theorem I again, there exists a Nash diffeomorphism  $\phi_X : Z_X \rightarrow Z_X^* \times I$  which extends  $\phi_i|Z_X \cap A_i$  and satisfies  $\Pi \circ \phi_X = \phi'_X \circ \Pi$ . Repeating this, we obtain the desired  $\phi_{i+1}$  and  $\phi'_{i+1}$ .  $\square$

### 5. Proof of Theorem IV.

Suppose that  $Q_t^{-1}(0) \cap S(Q_t) = \{0\}$  for any  $t \in I$ . Then it follows from the proof of the Buchner-Kucharz theorem (Remark (2.4)) that  $(\mathbf{R}^n \times I, F^{-1}(0))$  admits a  $\pi$ -modified  $C^1$  trivialization along  $I$ . Namely, there is a  $t$ -level preserving  $C^1$  diffeomorphism  $\Phi : (W_1, E_0 \times I) \rightarrow (W_2, E_0 \times I)$  which induces a  $t$ -level preserving homeomorphism  $\phi : (U, \{0\} \times I) \rightarrow (V \times I, \{0\} \times I)$  such that

$$\phi : ((U, F^{-1}(0) \cap U)) = (V, f_{t_0}^{-1}(0) \cap V) \times I, \quad t_0 \in I,$$

where  $W_1, W_2$  are some neighborhoods of  $E_0 \times I$  in  $E \times I$ ,  $U$  is some neighborhood of  $\{0\} \times I$  in  $\mathbf{R}^n \times I$ , and  $V$  is some neighborhood of  $0$  in  $\mathbf{R}^n$ . For  $t \in I$ , we define a mapping  $\Phi_t : (W_{1,t}, E_0) \rightarrow (W_{2,t}, E_0)$  by  $\Phi_t((x; u)) = \Phi((x; u), t)$ , where  $W_{j,t} = \Pi^{-1}(\mathbf{R}^n \times \{t\}) \cap W_j, j = 1, 2$ . Here we remark that for any  $t \in I$ ,

(5.1)

$$\pi \circ \Phi_t((x_1, \dots, x_n; u)) = \pi \circ \Phi_t(((−1)^{\alpha_1} x_1, \dots, (−1)^{\alpha_n} x_n; −u)), \quad u \neq 0.$$

Now we can make the Buchner-Kucharz result slightly clear as follows:

LEMMA (5.2). — *There exist  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$  and a  $t$ -level preserving  $C^1$  diffeomorphism*

$$\Psi : (S^{n-1} \times [−\varepsilon_0, \varepsilon_0] \times I, E_0 \times I) \rightarrow (S^{n-1} \times [−\varepsilon_0, \varepsilon_0] \times I, E_0 \times I)$$

*such that  $\Psi$  induces a  $\pi$ -modified  $C^1$  triviality of  $(\mathbf{R}^n \times I, F^{-1}(0))$  along  $I$ .*

*Proof.* — For each  $t \in I$ , let  $T_t$  (resp.  $K_t$ ) denote the strict transform of  $f_t^{-1}(0)$  (resp.  $Q_t^{-1}(0)$ ) in  $S^{n-1} \times \mathbf{R}$ . Then  $T_t$  and  $K_t$  are Nash submanifolds of  $S^{n-1} \times \mathbf{R}$ . For  $\varepsilon \neq 0$ , put

$$\Gamma_\varepsilon = \left\{ (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbf{R}^n : \left(\frac{x_1}{\varepsilon^{\alpha_1}}\right)^2 + \dots + \left(\frac{x_n}{\varepsilon^{\alpha_n}}\right)^2 = 1 \right\}.$$

Then there is  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$  such that  $f_t^{-1}(0)$  is transverse to  $\Gamma_\varepsilon$  for  $t \in I$  and  $0 < |\varepsilon| \leq \varepsilon_0$ . Therefore  $T_t$  is transverse to  $S^{n-1} \times \{\varepsilon\}$  for  $t \in I$  and

$0 < |\varepsilon| \leq \varepsilon_0$ . On the other hand, each  $K_t$  is perpendicular to the exceptional variety  $S^{n-1} \times \{0\}$ . It follows from Remark (2.4) that each  $T_t$  is transverse to  $S^{n-1} \times \{0\}$ . Therefore  $T_t$  is transverse to  $S^{n-1} \times \{\varepsilon\}$  for  $t \in I$  and  $|\varepsilon| \leq \varepsilon_0$ . Let  $T$  denote the strict transform of  $F^{-1}(0)$  in  $S^{n-1} \times \mathbf{R} \times I$ . Then  $T$  is a Nash submanifold of  $S^{n-1} \times \mathbf{R} \times I$ , and

$$(5.3) \quad T \text{ is transverse to } S^{n-1} \times \{\varepsilon\} \times I \text{ for } |\varepsilon| \leq \varepsilon_0.$$

Let  $v$  be a  $C^1$  vector field whose flow gives a  $t$ -level preserving  $C^1$  diffeomorphism inducing a  $\pi$ -modified  $C^1$  triviality of  $(\mathbf{R}^n \times I, F^{-1}(0))$  along  $I$ . We can assume that  $S^{n-1} \times [-\varepsilon_0, \varepsilon_0] \times I \subset U$ . Then, by transverse condition (5.3) and a partition of unity, we can modify  $v$  so that  $v$  is tangent to  $S^{n-1} \times \{\varepsilon\} \times I$  ( $|\varepsilon| \leq \varepsilon_0$ ) and  $T$ . By construction we can require that the flow of this vector field gives a  $t$ -level preserving  $C^1$  diffeomorphism

$$\Psi : (S^{n-1} \times [-\varepsilon_0, \varepsilon_0] \times I, E_0 \times I) \rightarrow (S^{n-1} \times [-\varepsilon_0, \varepsilon_0] \times I, E_0 \times I)$$

such that  $\Psi(T \cap S^{n-1} \times [-\varepsilon_0, \varepsilon_0] \times I) = (T_{t_0} \cap S^{n-1} \times [-\varepsilon_0, \varepsilon_0]) \times I$  and each  $\Psi_t$  satisfies condition (5.1). This  $\Psi$  induces a  $\pi$ -modified  $C^1$  triviality of  $(\mathbf{R}^n \times I, F^{-1}(0))$  along  $I$ . □

Theorem IV follows from this lemma and the proof of Theorem I. □

*Remark (5.4).* — In Lemma (5.2), we have explicitly constructed a uniform Nash neighborhood and a  $t$ -level preserving  $C^1$  diffeomorphism on it inducing a  $\pi$ -modified  $C^1$  triviality, in order to make the structure of  $\pi$ -modified Nash triviality comprehensible. But the proof can be shortened for the reader who is interested only in the existence of a uniform Nash neighborhood satisfying the hypotheses of Theorem I.

### 6. Proofs of Theorem V and Corollary VII.

We first recall two important properties on semialgebraic sets.

**THEOREM (6.1)** (Tarski-Seidenberg Theorem [32]). — *Let  $A$  be a semialgebraic set in  $\mathbf{R}^k$ , and let  $f : \mathbf{R}^k \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^m$  be a polynomial mapping. Then  $f(A)$  is semialgebraic in  $\mathbf{R}^m$ .*

**THEOREM (6.2)** (Semialgebraic Triangulation Theorem [27]). — *Given a finite system of bounded semialgebraic sets  $\{X_\alpha\}$  in  $\mathbf{R}^n$ , there exist a simplicial decomposition  $\mathbf{R}^n = \bigcup_a \sigma_a$  and a semialgebraic automorphism  $\tau$  of  $\mathbf{R}^n$  such that*

- (i) each  $X_\alpha$  is a finite union of some of the  $\tau(\sigma_\alpha)$ ,
- (ii)  $\tau(\sigma_\alpha)$  is a Nash manifold in  $\mathbf{R}^n$  and  $\tau$  induces a Nash diffeomorphism  $\sigma_\alpha \rightarrow \tau(\sigma_\alpha)$ , for every  $\alpha$ .

*Remark (6.3).* — In Theorem (6.2), the boundedness is not essential. In fact, there is a Nash imbedding of  $\mathbf{R}^n$  into  $\mathbf{R}^{n+1}$  via  $\mathbf{R}^n \subset S^n$ . Then every semialgebraic set in  $\mathbf{R}^n$  can be considered as a bounded semialgebraic set in  $\mathbf{R}^{n+1}$ .

We next show the following:

LEMMA (6.4). — *The set  $\Sigma^*$  is semialgebraic in  $P_{[r]}(n, p)$ .*

*Proof.* — We define a polynomial function  $G : P_{[r]}(n, p) \times \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  by

$$G(w, x) = \begin{cases} \sum_{i=1}^p w_i(x)^2 + \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_p \leq n} \left| \frac{\partial(w_1, \dots, w_p)}{\partial(x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_p})} \right|^2 & \text{if } n > p, \\ \sum_{i=1}^p w_i(x)^2 + \sum_{1 \leq j_1 < \dots < j_n \leq p} \left| \frac{\partial(w_{j_1}, \dots, w_{j_n})}{\partial(x_1, \dots, x_n)} \right|^2 & \text{if } n \leq p. \end{cases}$$

We denote by  $\mathbf{R}_+$  the set of positive real numbers. Let  $\Pi_1 : P_{[r]}(n, p) \times \mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R}_+ \rightarrow P_{[r]}(n, p) \times \mathbf{R}_+$ , and  $\Pi_2 : P_{[r]}(n, p) \times \mathbf{R}_+ \rightarrow P_{[r]}(n, p)$  be the natural projections. Here we set

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \{(w, x, \alpha) \in P_{[r]}(n, p) \times \mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R}_+ : G(w, x) > 0\} \\ B &= \{(w, x, \alpha) \in P_{[r]}(n, p) \times \mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R}_+ : 0 < |x| < \alpha\} \\ C &= \{(w, \alpha) \in P_{[r]}(n, p) \times \mathbf{R}_+ : (w, x, \alpha) \in B \Rightarrow (w, x, \alpha) \in A\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then  $A$  and  $B$  are semialgebraic in  $P_{[r]}(n, p) \times \mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R}_+$ , and  $C = P_{[r]}(n, p) \times \mathbf{R}_+ - \Pi_1(B - A)$ . Therefore it follows from Theorem (6.1) that  $C$  is semialgebraic in  $P_{[r]}(n, p) \times \mathbf{R}_+$ . Furthermore we easily see that  $P_{[r]}(n, p) - \Sigma^* = \Pi_2(C)$ . Therefore it follows from Theorem (6.1) that  $P_{[r]}(n, p) - \Sigma^*$  is semialgebraic in  $P_{[r]}(n, p)$ , and so is  $\Sigma^*$ . □

*Proof of Theorem V.* — Set

$$\Sigma^{**} = \{w \in P_{[r]}(n, p) : 0 \in \mathbf{R}^n \text{ is not in the singular locus of the zero locus of } w\}.$$

Then  $\Sigma^{**} = \{w \in P_{[r]}(n, p) : G(w, 0) > 0\}$ , where  $G$  is the polynomial function defined in the proof of Lemma (6.4). Therefore  $\Sigma^{**}$  is semialgebraic

in  $P_{[r]}(n, p)$ . It follows from Theorem (6.2) that there is a finite partition  $\Sigma^{**} = Q_1 \cup \dots \cup Q_s$  such that each  $Q_i$  is a connected Nash manifold which is Nash diffeomorphic to an open simplex of some dimension. Now we shall show that  $(\mathbf{R}^n \times Q_i, F_{Q_i}^{-1}(0))$  is Nash trivial along  $Q_i$  for each  $i$ . We first consider the case  $n > p$ . For  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbf{R}^n$ , put  $\|x\| = \sqrt{x_1^2 + \dots + x_n^2}$ . Set  $S_\varepsilon = \{x \in \mathbf{R}^n : \|x\|^2 = \varepsilon\}$  for  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Then there is a positive  $C^0$  semialgebraic function  $g_i : Q_i \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  such that

$$F_{Q_i}^{-1}(0) \cap \{(x, a) \in \mathbf{R}^n \times Q_i : \|x\|^2 < g_i(a)\} \text{ is a } C^\omega \text{ manifold}$$

and  $f_a^{-1}(0)$  is transverse to  $S_\varepsilon$  for  $0 < \varepsilon < g_i(a)$  and  $a \in Q_i$ . By Theorem II.4.1 in [34], we can approximate a positive  $C^0$  semialgebraic function  $g_i/2$  by a positive Nash function  $h_i$  so that  $f_a^{-1}(0)$  is transverse to  $S_\varepsilon$  for  $0 < \varepsilon \leq h_i(a)$  and  $a \in Q_i$ . Here we set

$$M_i = \{(x, a) \in \mathbf{R}^n \times Q_i : \|x\|^2 \leq h_i(a)\}.$$

Then  $M_i$  is a Nash manifold with boundary  $\partial M_i$  which is transverse to  $F_{Q_i}^{-1}(0)$ . Applying Theorem I, we can easily see the Nash triviality of  $(\mathbf{R}^n \times Q_i, F_{Q_i}^{-1}(0))$  along  $Q_i$  for each  $i$ . In the case  $n \leq p$ ,  $F_{Q_i}^{-1}(0) = \{0\} \times Q_i$  in the interior of the above kind of Nash manifold with boundary. Therefore Nash triviality holds in this case, too.

We next consider the space  $P_{[r]}(n, p) - \Sigma^* - \Sigma^{**}$  denoted by  $\Gamma$ , that is

$$\Gamma = \left\{ w \in P_{[r]}(n, p) : \begin{array}{l} \text{the singular locus of the zero locus} \\ \text{of } w \text{ is } \{0\} \text{ as germs at } 0 \in \mathbf{R}^n \end{array} \right\}.$$

By Lemma (6.4), the space  $\Gamma$  is semialgebraic in  $P_{[r]}(n, p)$ . Put  $b = \dim \Gamma$ . For a subset  $A$  of  $\mathbf{R}^n \times \Gamma$ ,  $\text{cl}(A)$  denotes the closure of  $A$  in  $\mathbf{R}^n \times \Gamma$ . Let  $\beta : \mathbf{R}^n \times P_{[r]}(n, p) \rightarrow P_{[r]}(n, p)$  be the natural projection.

In the case  $n \leq p$ , we consider the space

$$\beta|\mathbf{R}^n \times \Gamma (\text{cl}(\{(x, a) \in \mathbf{R}^n \times \Gamma : F_\Gamma(x; a) = 0\}) - \{0\} \times \Gamma) \cap \{0\} \times \Gamma,$$

denoted by  $\Lambda$ . For any  $a \in \Gamma$ ,  $f_a^{-1}(0) = \{0\}$  as germs at  $0 \in \mathbf{R}^n$ . Therefore it follows from Theorem (6.1) that  $\Lambda$  is a semialgebraic set in  $P_{[r]}(n, p)$  of dimension less than  $b$ , and  $\Gamma - \Lambda$  is a semialgebraic set in  $P_{[r]}(n, p)$  of dimension  $b$ . By Theorem (6.2), there is a finite partition

$$\Gamma - \Lambda = R_1 \cup \dots \cup R_q$$

such that each  $R_i$  is a connected Nash manifold which is Nash diffeomorphic to an open simplex of some dimension. For each  $i$ , there is a Nash manifold  $M_i$  in  $\mathbf{R}^n \times R_i$  such that  $F_{R_i}^{-1}(0) = \{0\} \times R_i$  in the interior of  $M_i$ , as above. Therefore  $(\mathbf{R}^n \times R_i, F_{R_i}^{-1}(0))$  is Nash trivial along  $R_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq q$ . Then

we put  $Q_{s+i} = R_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq q$ . Next we apply these arguments for the semialgebraic set  $\Gamma$  of dimension  $b$  to the semialgebraic set  $\Lambda$  of dimension less than  $b$ . Repeating this procedure, we can get a finite partition of  $P_{[r]}(n, p)$  which satisfies conditions (i),(ii) in Theorem V.

It remains to show the case  $n > p$ . Let  $\rho : \mathbf{R}^n \times P_{[r]}(n, p) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  be the polynomial function defined by  $\rho(x; a) = x_1^2 + \dots + x_n^2$ . We define a polynomial function  $\Psi : \mathbf{R}^n \times P_{[r]}(n, p) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  by

$$\Psi(x; a) = \sum_{i=1}^p F_i(x; a)^2 + \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_{p+1} \leq n} \left| \frac{\partial(F_1, \dots, F_p, \rho)}{\partial(x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_{p+1}})}(x; a) \right|^2.$$

We consider the space

$$\beta|\mathbf{R}^n \times \Gamma \left( \text{cl} \left( \{ (x, a) \in \mathbf{R}^n \times \Gamma : \Psi_\Gamma(x; a) = 0 \} - \{0\} \times \Gamma \right) \cap \{0\} \times \Gamma \right),$$

denoted by  $\Omega$ . For  $a \in \Gamma$ , we define  $\psi_a : \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  by  $\psi_a(x) = \Psi(x; a)$ . Since  $f_a^{-1}(0)$  is an algebraic set with an isolated singularity for  $a \in \Gamma$ ,  $\psi_a^{-1}(0) = \{0\}$  as germs at  $0 \in \mathbf{R}^n$ . Therefore it follows from Theorem (6.1) that  $\Omega$  is a semialgebraic set in  $P_{[r]}(n, p)$  of dimension less than  $b$ , and  $\Gamma - \Omega$  is a semialgebraic set in  $P_{[r]}(n, p)$  of dimension  $b$ . By Theorem (6.2), there is a finite partition

$$\Gamma - \Omega = B_1 \cup \dots \cup B_c$$

such that each  $B_i$  is a connected Nash manifold which is Nash diffeomorphic to an open simplex of some dimension. For simplicity, assume that

$$\begin{cases} \dim B_i = b & \text{if } 1 \leq i \leq d \\ \dim B_i < b & \text{if } d + 1 \leq i \leq c. \end{cases}$$

For  $1 \leq i \leq d$ , there is a positive  $C^0$  semialgebraic function  $g_i : B_i \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  such that  $f_a^{-1}(0)$  is transverse to  $S_\varepsilon$  for  $0 < \varepsilon < g_i(a)$  and  $a \in B_i$ . As above, we can approximate a positive  $C^0$  semialgebraic function  $g_i/2$  by a positive Nash function  $h_i$  so that  $f_a^{-1}(0)$  is transverse to  $S_\varepsilon$  for  $0 < \varepsilon \leq h_i(a)$  and  $a \in B_i$ . Here we set

$$\begin{aligned} U_i &= \{(x, a) \in \mathbf{R}^n \times B_i : \rho(x; a) < g_i(a)\}, \\ M_i &= \{(x, a) \in \mathbf{R}^n \times B_i : \rho(x; a) \leq h_i(a)\} \end{aligned}$$

for  $1 \leq i \leq d$ . We can assume that  $M_i \subset U_i$ . For  $1 \leq i \leq d$ , let  $X_i \subset \mathbf{R}^n \times B_i$  be an algebraic set defined by  $X_i = F_{B_i}^{-1}(0)$ . Then each  $M_i$  is a Nash manifold with boundary  $\partial M_i$  which is transverse to  $X_i$ . We consider  $X_i$  to be defined in  $U_i$ . Therefore  $X_i$  is a family of algebraic sets whose singular locus is in  $\{0\} \times B_i$ . Then, by Hironaka's Main Theorem I in [16], there exists a blow-up  $\Pi_i : M_i \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n \times B_i$  with center  $\Xi_i$  in  $\{0\} \times B_i$  such that



the strict transform  $X'_i$  of  $X_i$  by  $\Pi_i$  is nonsingular at  $X'_i \cap \Pi_i^{-1}(\Xi_i)$  and  $\Pi_i^{-1}(\Xi_i)$  has only normal crossings. Moreover, by applying Main Theorem II in [16] to  $X'_i \cap \Pi_i^{-1}(\Xi_i)$  and  $\Pi_i^{-1}(\Xi_i)$ , we can require that  $\Pi_i^{-1}(X_i)$  has only normal crossings. Let

$$\Pi_i(\Xi_i) = E_{i1} \cup \cdots \cup E_{i\alpha(i)}$$

where  $E_{ij}$  is nonsingular, and let  $X'_i = E_{i\alpha(i)+1}$ . We set  $W_i = \Pi_i^{-1}(M_i)$  for  $1 \leq i \leq d$ . Then  $W_i$  is a Nash manifold with boundary  $\partial W_i$  such that

$$\text{Int}(W_i) \supset E_{i1} \cup \cdots \cup E_{i\alpha(i)}$$

and  $\partial W_i$  is transverse to  $E_{i\alpha(i)+1}$ . We denote by  $C_i$  the union of critical value sets of

$$\beta \circ \Pi_i|_{E_{ij_1} \cap \cdots \cap E_{ij_n}}, \quad 1 \leq j_1 < \cdots < j_n \leq \alpha(i) + 1.$$

By Sard's Theorem and Theorem (6.1),  $C_i$  is a semialgebraic set of dimension less than  $b$ . Applying Theorem (6.2) again to  $B_i$ , there is a finite partition

$$B_i = T_{i1} \cup \cdots \cup T_{i\gamma(i)}$$

such that each  $T_{ik}$  is a connected Nash manifold which is Nash diffeomorphic to an open simplex of some dimension, and the  $T_{ik}$ 's are compatible with

$$\beta \circ \Pi_i(E_{ij_1} \cap \cdots \cap E_{ij_u}), \quad 1 \leq j_1 < \cdots < j_u \leq \alpha(i) + 1$$

and  $C_i$ . As above, assume that

$$\begin{cases} \dim T_{ik} = b & \text{if } 1 \leq k \leq \lambda(i) \\ \dim T_{ik} < b & \text{if } \lambda(i) + 1 \leq k \leq \gamma(i). \end{cases}$$

Remark that  $T_{ik} \cap C_i = \emptyset$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, \lambda(i)$ . For  $1 \leq k \leq \lambda(i)$ , set

$$\begin{aligned} M_{ik} &= (\beta \circ \Pi_i)^{-1}(T_{ik}) \cap W_i, \\ \Pi_{ik} &= \Pi_i|_{M_{ik}} : M_{ik} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n \times T_{ik}, \text{ and} \\ \beta_{ik} &= \beta \circ \Pi_i|_{M_{ik}} : M_{ik} \rightarrow T_{ik}. \end{aligned}$$

We further set

$$E_{ijk} = E_{ij} \cap (\beta \circ \Pi_i)^{-1}(T_{ik}), \quad j = 1, \dots, \alpha(i) + 1.$$

Then  $M_{ik}$  is a Nash manifold with boundary  $\partial M_{ik}$  and  $\beta_{ik}$  is a proper onto Nash submersion. Let  $E_{i0k} = \partial M_{ik}$ . Then  $E_{ijk}$ ,  $j = 0, 1, \dots, \alpha(i) + 1$ , are Nash submanifolds of  $M_{ik}$  possibly with boundary which have normal crossings and

$$\beta_{ik}|_{E_{ij_1k} \cap \cdots \cap E_{ij_uk}} : E_{ij_1k} \cap \cdots \cap E_{ij_uk} \rightarrow T_{ik}, \quad 0 \leq j_1 < \cdots < j_u \leq \alpha(i) + 1,$$

are proper onto submersions. Here we remark that  $\Pi_{ik} : M_{ik} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n \times T_{ik}$  is a blow-up with center  $\{0\} \times T_{ik}$  (or  $\emptyset$ ). Therefore it follows from Theorem I that  $(\mathbf{R}^n \times T_{ik}, F_{T_{ik}}^{-1}(0))$  admits a  $\Pi_{ik}$ -modified Nash trivialization along  $T_{ik}$  (in the empty case,  $(\mathbf{R}^n \times T_{ik}, F_{T_{ik}}^{-1}(0))$  is Nash trivial along  $T_{ik}$ ) for  $1 \leq k \leq \lambda(i)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, d$ . Then we set

$$Q_{s+\lambda(1)+\dots+\lambda(i)+k} = T_{ik} \text{ for } 1 \leq k \leq \lambda(i+1) \text{ and } 0 \leq i \leq d-1.$$

Next we apply these arguments for the semialgebraic set  $\Gamma$  of dimension  $b$  to the following semialgebraic set of dimension less than  $b$ :

$$\Omega \cup \left( \bigcup_{i=d+1}^c B_i \right) \cup \left( \bigcup_{i=1}^d \bigcup_{k=\lambda(i)+1}^{\gamma(i)} T_{ik} \right).$$

Repeating this procedure, we can get a finite partition of  $P_{[r]}(n, p)$  which satisfies conditions (i),(ii) in Theorem V in this case, too. □

*Remark (6.5).* — Subdividing the above partition of  $P_{[r]}(n, p) - \Sigma^*$  if necessary, we can construct a partition in Theorem V whose elements satisfy the frontier condition.

*Proof of Corollary VII.* — We can show the following theorem in a similar way to the Artin-Mazur Theorem (Theorem (1.5)).

**THEOREM (6.6)** (Generalized Artin-Mazur Theorem). — *Let  $M$  be the product of two Nash manifolds  $M_1$  and  $J$ . Let  $f : M \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^p$  be a Nash mapping, and let  $\varpi : M \rightarrow J$  be the natural projection. Then there exist a union  $M'$  of connected components of some nonsingular algebraic variety in  $\mathbf{R}^k \times \mathbf{R}^m$ , a union  $J'$  of connected components of some nonsingular algebraic variety in  $\mathbf{R}^k$ , and Nash diffeomorphisms  $\phi : M' \rightarrow M$ ,  $\psi : J \rightarrow J'$  such that  $f \circ \phi$  is a polynomial mapping and  $\psi \circ \varpi \circ \phi$  is the onto projection  $\beta|M' : M' \rightarrow J'$ , where  $\beta : \mathbf{R}^k \times \mathbf{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^k$  denotes the natural projection.*

*Remark (6.7).* — In Theorem (6.6), it is difficult to choose  $M'$  as the product of  $J'$  and some Nash manifold.

Corollary VII is an obvious consequence of Theorem VI and this generalized Artin-Mazur Theorem. □

### 7. $\Delta$ -regularity and examples.

#### 7.1. $\Delta$ -regularity.

The first purpose of this section is to give an explicit description of  $\Delta$ -regularity. Let  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$  be the coordinate system of  $\mathbf{R}^n$ . Let  $f = (f_1, \dots, f_p) : \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^p$  be a polynomial map defined by  $f_j(x) = \sum c_\nu^j x^\nu$  where  $\nu = (\nu_1, \dots, \nu_n)$ ,  $c_\nu^j \in \mathbf{R}$ ,  $x^\nu = x_1^{\nu_1} \cdots x_n^{\nu_n}$ . We set  $\Gamma_+(f) = (\Gamma_+(f_1), \dots, \Gamma_+(f_p))$  where  $\Gamma_+(f_j)$  is the convex hull of the union of the sets  $\nu + \mathbf{R}_{\geq}^n$  for  $\nu$  with  $c_\nu^j \neq 0$  and call it the *Newton polyhedron* of  $f$ . For an integral vector  $a = {}^t(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ ,  $\ell^j(a)$  denotes the minimum of  $\langle a, \nu \rangle$  with  $c_\nu^j \neq 0$ , where  $\langle a, \nu \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \nu_i$ . Set

$$f^a(x) = (f_1^a(x), \dots, f_p^a(x)), \quad \text{where } f_j^a(x) = \sum_{\langle a, \nu \rangle = \ell^j(a)} c_\nu^j x^\nu,$$

and let  $\frac{\partial f^a}{\partial x}(x)$  denote the jacobian matrix  $\left( \frac{\partial f_j^a}{\partial x_i} \right)_{1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq p}$ . We denote by  $e^i$  the  $i$ -th unit column vector  ${}^t(0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$ , ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ). Then we set

$$I_0 = \left\{ i : \ell^j(e^i) > 0 \text{ for some } j \right\}, \quad \text{and } S_0 = \left\{ x \in \mathbf{R}^n : \prod_{i \in I_0} x_i = 0 \right\}.$$

An integral vector  $a = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$  is said to be *primitive* if  $\gcd(a_1, \dots, a_n) = 1$ . A face  $F$  of  $\Delta$  is said to be *supported by*  $a$  if  $F$  is defined by

$$F = \left\{ \nu \in \Delta : \langle a, \nu \rangle = \min\{\langle a, \mu \rangle : \mu \in \Delta\} \right\}.$$

Let  $P$  be a vertex of  $\Delta$ . It is easy to see that  $\Delta$  is regular at  $P$  if and only if primitive vectors supporting  $(n - 1)$ -dimensional faces of  $\Delta$  containing  $P$  form a basis of  $\mathbf{Z}^n$ . Let  $\Delta$  be a regular polyhedron majorizing  $\mathbf{R}_{\geq}^n$ ,  $\Delta^{(1)}$  the set of primitive vectors which support faces of  $\Delta$  of codimension 1, and  $\Delta_+^{(1)}$  the union of  $\Delta^{(1)} - \{e^1, \dots, e^n\}$  and  $\{e^i : i \in I_0\}$ . Set

$$V(\Delta) = \left\{ a \in \mathbf{Z}^n : \begin{array}{l} a \text{ can be written in the form } a = a^1 + \cdots + a^k \\ \text{for some } a^j \in \Delta_+^{(1)}, (1 \leq j \leq k), \text{ and supports} \\ \text{a face of } \Delta \text{ of codimension } k. \end{array} \right\}.$$

Let  $F$  be a face of  $\Delta$ . For a vertex  $P$  of  $F$ , we set  $I(P) = \{i : \langle e^i, P \rangle > 0\}$ . Then we define

$$S(F) = \bigcap_P \left\{ x \in \mathbf{R}^n : \prod_{i \in I(P)} x_i = 0 \right\}$$

where the intersection is taken over all vertices  $P$  of  $F$ . Let  $\Delta$  be a regular polyhedron majorizing  $\mathbf{R}_{\geq}^n$ . Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $\min\{\langle e^i, \nu \rangle : \nu \in \Delta\} = 0$ , for each  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .

PROPOSITION (7.1). — *If a polynomial map-germ  $f = (f_1, \dots, f_p) : (\mathbf{R}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbf{R}^p, 0)$  satisfies*

$$\left\{ x : f^a(x) = 0, \text{rank } \frac{\partial f^a}{\partial x}(x) < p \right\} \subset S(F_a) \cup S_0, \text{ for every } a \in V(\Delta),$$

*then  $f$  is  $\Delta$ -regular. Here  $F_a$  is the face of  $\Delta$  supported by  $a$ .*

For the proof of Proposition (7.1), we prepare several notations. Let  $P$  be a vertex of  $\Delta$  and  $\mathbf{R}_y^n$  the coordinate patch of  $P_\Delta$  corresponding to the cone  $\text{Cone}(\Delta; P)$ . We denote by  $y = (y_1, \dots, y_n)$  the canonical coordinate system of  $\mathbf{R}_y^n$ . Let  $\bar{a}^j = {}^t(\bar{a}_1^j, \dots, \bar{a}_n^j)$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, n$  be a basis of  $\text{Cone}(\Delta; P) \cap \mathbf{Z}^n$ , and let  $(a_i^j)$  be the inverse matrix of  $(\bar{a}_i^j)$ . Then the intersection of faces  $F_{a^j}$  of  $\Delta$  supported by  $a^j = {}^t(a_1^j, \dots, a_n^j)$ 's ( $j = 1, \dots, n$ ) is the vertex  $P$ . And the map  $\pi|_{\mathbf{R}_y^n}$  is expressed by

$$x_i = y_1^{a_i^1} y_2^{a_i^2} \cdots y_n^{a_i^n}, \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, n.$$

In particular, the critical locus of  $\pi$  is a normal crossing divisor. This divisor generates the canonical stratification of the critical set of  $\pi$ , indexed by some faces of  $\Delta$ . In fact, these strata are indexed by  $F_a$  with  $a \in E(\Delta)$ . Here  $F_a$  is the face of  $\Delta$  supported by  $a$ , and

$$E(\Delta) = \left\{ a \in \mathbf{Z}^n : \begin{array}{l} a \text{ can be written in the form } a = b^1 + \cdots + b^k \\ \text{for some } b^j \in \Delta^{(1)} - \{e^1, \dots, e^n\} \text{ (} j = 1, \dots, k \text{),} \\ \text{and } \text{codim } F_a = k. \end{array} \right\}.$$

We consider the stratification  $\mathcal{E}$  of the critical set of  $\pi$  generated by this stratification and  $S_0$ , also indexed by some faces of  $\Delta$ . Strata in  $\mathcal{E}$  are indexed by  $F_a$  with  $a \in V(\Delta)$ .

Let  $F$  be a face of  $\Delta$  with  $P \in F$  so that  $F = F_a$  for some  $a \in V(\Delta)$ , and denote by  $E_F$  the corresponding stratum of  $\mathcal{E}$ . By suitably renumbering, we may assume that for all  $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  there exists  $i \notin I_0$

with  $a^j = e^i$ , if and only if,  $j = i \in \{1, \dots, t\}$ . Here  $t$  is some non-negative integer less than  $n$ . Then the map  $\pi$  is expressed by

$$x_i = \begin{cases} y_i y_{t+1}^{a_i^{t+1}} \cdots y_n^{a_i^n}, & \text{for } i = 1, \dots, t, \\ y_{t+1}^{a_i^{t+1}} \cdots y_n^{a_i^n}, & \text{for } i = t + 1, \dots, n. \end{cases}$$

In particular, the stratification  $\mathcal{E}|\mathbf{R}_y^n$  is the stratification generated by the divisors  $\{y_i = 0\}$  for  $i = t + 1, \dots, n$ . Let  $J$  be a subset of  $\{t + 1, \dots, n\}$  such that  $\text{cl}(E_F) \cap \mathbf{R}_y^n = \{y_j = 0 : j \in J\}$ . Here  $\text{cl}(E_F)$  denotes the closure of  $E_F$  in  $P_\Delta$ . We consider a subset  $\tilde{E}_F$  in  $\mathbf{R}_y^n$  which is defined by the following condition:

$$\tilde{y} = (\tilde{y}_1, \dots, \tilde{y}_n) \in \tilde{E}_F \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} \tilde{y}_j = 1 & \text{for } j \in J, \\ \tilde{y}_j \neq 0 & \text{for } j \notin J \text{ with } t < j \leq n. \end{cases}$$

For  $k = 1, \dots, p$ , we define  $\tilde{f}_k(y)$ ,  $\tilde{f}_k^a(y)$  by

$$f_k \circ \pi(y) = y_1^{\ell^k(a^1)} \cdots y_n^{\ell^k(a^n)} \tilde{f}_k(y),$$

and

$$f_k^a \circ \pi(y) = y_1^{\ell^k(a^1)} \cdots y_n^{\ell^k(a^n)} \tilde{f}_k^a(y),$$

respectively. Remember that the vector  $a$  supports the face  $F$  of  $\Delta$ . By definition, the polynomial  $\tilde{f}_k^a(y)$  does not depend on  $y_j$  for  $j \in J$ . We set  $\tilde{f}(y) = (\tilde{f}_1(y), \dots, \tilde{f}_p(y))$ , and  $f^a(y) = (\tilde{f}_1^a(y), \dots, \tilde{f}_p^a(y))$ .

LEMMA (7.2). — *The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) *There exists  $y \in E_F$  so that  $\tilde{f}(y) = 0$  and  $\text{rank} \left( \frac{\partial \tilde{f}}{\partial y_j}(y) : j \notin J \right) < p$ .*
- (ii) *There exists  $y \in E_F$  so that  $\tilde{f}^a(y) = 0$  and  $\text{rank} \left( \frac{\partial \tilde{f}^a}{\partial y_j}(y) : j \notin J \right) < p$ .*
- (iii) *There exists  $\tilde{y} \in \tilde{E}_F$  so that  $\tilde{f}^a(\tilde{y}) = 0$  and  $\text{rank} \left( \frac{\partial \tilde{f}^a}{\partial y_j}(\tilde{y}) : j \notin J \right) < p$ .*
- (iv) *There exists  $\tilde{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$  so that  $x_i \neq 0$  for  $i = t + 1, \dots, n$ ,  $f^a(\tilde{x}) = 0$  and  $\text{rank} \left( \frac{\partial f^a}{\partial x}(\tilde{x}) \right) < p$ .*

*Proof.*

“(i)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (ii)” : This is an obvious consequence of the fact  $\tilde{f}|_{E_F} = \tilde{f}^a|_{E_F}$ .

“(ii)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (iii)” : Since  $\tilde{f}^a(y)$  does not depend on  $y_j$ ,  $j \in J$ , conditions (ii) and (iii) are equivalent.

“(iii)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (iv)”: We first see that  $\tilde{f}_k^a(\tilde{x}) = \prod_j \tilde{y}_j^{\ell^k(a^j)} \cdot \tilde{f}_k^a(\tilde{y})$  for  $k = 1, \dots, p$ . Next we remark the following identity proved by using the fact  $x_i = y_1^{\alpha_i^1} \cdots y_n^{\alpha_i^n}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ :

$$\frac{\partial f^a}{\partial x} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & x_n \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_1^1 & \dots & a_n^1 \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ a_1^n & \dots & a_n^n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial f_1^a}{\partial y_1} & \dots & \frac{\partial f_1^a}{\partial y_n} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial f_p^a}{\partial y_1} & \dots & \frac{\partial f_p^a}{\partial y_n} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} y_1 & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & y_n \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since  $a^i = e^i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, t$ , each component of the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_1 & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & x_n \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_1^1 & \dots & a_n^1 \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ a_1^n & \dots & a_n^n \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} y_1 & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & y_n \end{pmatrix}^{-1}$$

is regular on  $\tilde{E}_F$ , and “ $x_i = 0$  if and only if  $y_i = 0$ ” for  $i = 1, \dots, t$  on  $\tilde{E}_F$ . Because  $f_k^a(x)$  is a weighted homogeneous polynomial of weight  $(a_1^j, \dots, a_n^j)$  for  $j \in J$ , we obtain  $\frac{\partial f^a}{\partial y_j}(\tilde{y}) = 0$ ,  $j \in J$ , if  $\tilde{y} \in \tilde{E}_F \cap (f^a \circ \pi)^{-1}(0)$ . These imply “(iii)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (iv)”. □

*Proof of Proposition (7.1).* — We continue the notation. Note that  $I(P) \cup I_0 = \{t + 1, \dots, n\}$ . By assumption, Condition (iv) in Lemma (7.2) does not hold. Thus, by Lemma (7.2), the negation of Condition (i) in Lemma (7.2) holds, which implies that  $\pi$  gives a resolution of  $f^{-1}(0)$  near  $E_F$ . This completes the proof. □

### 7.2. Weighted homogeneous polynomials.

Here we consider weighted homogeneous polynomials in 3 variables.

**PROPOSITION (7.3).** — *Let  $f(x_1, x_2, x_3)$  be a weighted homogeneous polynomial of type  $(a_1, a_2, a_3; d)$ , that is, a linear combination of monomials  $x_1^{\nu_1} x_2^{\nu_2} x_3^{\nu_3}$  with  $a_1 \nu_1 + a_2 \nu_2 + a_3 \nu_3 = d$ . Here, we assume that  $a_1, a_2, a_3, d$  are positive integers with  $\gcd(a_1, a_2, a_3) = 1$ . If  $f$  has an isolated singularity at the origin, then there is a regular polyhedron  $\Delta$  so that  $D(\pi) = 0$  and that  $f$  is  $\Delta$ -regular.*

*Proof.* — We set  $\Gamma = \Gamma_+(f)$ . For an integral vector  $\alpha$ , we denote by  $\ell(\alpha)$  the minimum of  $\langle \alpha, \nu \rangle$  with  $\nu \in \Gamma$ , and by  $\gamma(\alpha)$  the face of  $\Gamma$  supported

by  $\alpha$ . Let  $g(x_1, x_2, x_3)$  be the polynomial so that  $f = x_1^{\ell_1} x_2^{\ell_2} x_3^{\ell_3} g$ ,  $\ell_i \geq 0$ , and that  $g(x_1, x_2, x_3)$  is not a multiple of  $x_i$  ( $i = 1, 2, 3$ ). Since  $f$  defines an isolated singularity at the origin, each  $\ell_i = 0$  or 1 and at most one  $\ell_i$  is 1. Set

$$\Delta_0 = \{(\nu_1, \nu_2, \nu_3) \in \mathbf{R}_{\geq}^3 : a_1\nu_1 + a_2\nu_2 + a_3\nu_3 \geq d\},$$

and  $\pi_0$  the map  $P_{\Delta_0} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^3$ . Let  $Z_i$  be the intersection of  $\pi_0^{-1}(0)$  and the strict transform of  $\{x_i = 0\}$  via  $\pi_0$ . Let  $\Sigma$  denote the singular locus of  $P_{\Delta_0}$  and let  $i, j, k$  be integers with  $\{i, j, k\} = \{1, 2, 3\}$ . Then we have that “ $Z_k \subset \Sigma$  if and only if  $\gcd(a_i, a_j) > 1$ ,” and that “ $Z_j \cap Z_k \subset \Sigma$  if and only if  $a_i > 1$ .” Since  $f$  defines an isolated singularity at 0, we have the followings:

(o)  $\left\{ \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} = 0 \right\} = \{0\}$ .

(i) If  $\ell_k = 1$ , then  $\left\{ \frac{\partial f^{e_k}}{\partial x} = 0 \right\} = \{x_i = x_j = 0\}$ .

If  $\ell_k = 0$ , then there are integers  $\nu'_i, \nu'_j$  such that  $a_i\nu'_i + a_j\nu'_j = d$ . Moreover, if there are integers  $\nu_i, \nu_j$  with  $a_i\nu_i + a_j\nu_j + a_k = d$ ,  $a_k$  is a multiple of  $\gcd(a_i, a_j)$ . Since  $\gcd(a_1, a_2, a_3) = 1$ , we have  $Z_k \not\subset \Sigma$ . Thus we obtain the following:

(ii) If  $Z_k \subset \Sigma$  and  $\ell_k = 0$ , then there are no integers  $\nu_i, \nu_j$  with  $a_i\nu_i + a_j\nu_j + a_k = d$ . Thus  $f$  contains no linear terms in  $x_k$ , and  $\frac{\partial(f^{e_k})}{\partial x} = \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}\right)^{e_k}$ . Therefore  $\left\{ \frac{\partial(f^{e_k})}{\partial x} = 0 \right\} \subset \{x_i x_j = 0\}$ .

Let  $K$  be the set of numbers  $k$  so that some power of  $x_k$  appears in  $f$ . If  $k \notin K$ , there is a number  $j$  such that the term  $x_j x_k^b$  attains a vertex of  $\Gamma$  for some integer  $b$ , because  $f$  defines an isolated singularity. Let  $K_1$  be the set of numbers  $k$  such that  $k \notin K$  and that there exists exactly one  $j$  satisfying the condition above. We denote this unique  $j$  by  $j_k$ . Let  $K_2$  be the set of numbers  $k$  such that  $k \notin K$  and that there exist exactly two  $j$ 's satisfying the condition above.

For  $k \in K_1$ , we set  $\Delta_0(j_k) = \Delta_0 \cap \{\nu_{j_k} = 0\}$ . Let  $\Delta_1(j_k)$  denote a polyhedron in  $\{\nu_{j_k} = 0\}$  such that  $\Delta_1(j_k) \cap \{\nu_k \geq 1\} = \Delta_0(j_k)$  and  $\Delta_1(j_k)$  is regular at each point in  $\{\nu_k \leq 1, \nu_{j_k} = 0\}$ , and  $\Delta_1(j_k)$  meets  $x_k$ -axis. We set  $\Delta_1$  the convex hull of  $\Gamma \cup \bigcup_{k \in K_1} \Delta_1(j_k)$ , and set  $\pi_1$  the obvious map  $P_{\Delta_1} \rightarrow P_{\Delta_0}$ .

(iii) If  $k \in K_1$  and  $\ell_{j_k} = 0$ , then  $P_{\Delta_1}$  is regular near the strict transform of  $\{x_{j_k} = 0\}$  in the exceptional set of  $\pi_1$ .

*Proof of (iii).* — Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $(k, i, j_k) = (1, 2, 3)$ . We first assume that  $1 \in K$ . Let  $(a'_1, a'_2)$  be a primitive vector supporting an edge  $E$  of  $\Delta_1(3)$ . Then there is a positive rational number  $a'_3$  so that  $a' = (a'_1, a'_2, a'_3)$  supports the face generated by  $(b, 0, 1)$  and the edge  $E$ . Since  $a'_1 b + a'_3$  is an integer,  $a'$  is a primitive integral vector. Thus, the smoothness of  $P_{\Delta_1(3)}$  implies the smoothness of  $P_{\Delta_1}$  near  $P_{\Delta_1(3)}$ . The proof for the case  $1 \notin K$  is similar, and we omit the details. This completes the proof of (iii).

For  $k \in K_2$ , we set  $a^k = (a + ce_j + ce_i)/a_k$ , where  $c$  is the minimal positive integer such that  $a_j + c$  is a multiple of  $a_k$ . It is easy to see that this  $a^k$  is an integral vector. Set

$$\Delta_2 = \Delta_1 \cap \{\nu \in \Delta : \langle a^k, \nu \rangle \geq \ell(a^k), k \in K_2\}.$$

We also set  $F_k = \gamma(a) \cap \gamma(a^k)$  for  $k \in K_2$ . Then  $P_{\Delta_1}$  may be singular along  $P_{F_k}$  for  $k \in K_2$ . We consider a partial resolution  $\pi_2 : P_{\Delta_3} \rightarrow P_{\Delta_2}$  satisfying the following conditions:

(1) each 2-face of  $\Delta_3$  is supported by a vector that supports a 2-dimensional face of  $\Delta_2$  or a linear combination of  $a$  and  $a^k$  with positive coefficients for  $k \in K_2$ .

(2)  $P_{\Delta_2}$  is regular at each point of  $\pi_2^{-1}(P_{F_k})$  except codimension 3, i.e. some finite points, for  $k \in K_2$ .

(iv) If  $k \in K_2$ , then  $P_{\Delta_3}$  is regular at each point in  $\pi_2^{-1}(P_{F_k})$ .

*Proof of (iv).* — Let  $P$  be a vertex of  $\Delta_3$  such that  $P$  is in the inverse image of  $F_k$  by  $\Delta_3 \rightarrow \Delta_2$ . Let  $c^1$  and  $c^2$  be the primitive vectors supporting the 2-dimensional faces containing  $P$  and assume that both vectors are linear combinations of  $a$  and  $a^k$  with non-negative coefficients. Then the plane spanned by  $c^1$  and  $c^2$  is that spanned by  $a^k$  and  $e_i + e_j$ . Since  $\det(e_i, e_i + e_j, a^k) = \pm 1$ , the “height” of  $e_i$  to this plane is 1. Since  $c^1, c^2$  form a part of basis of  $\mathbf{Z}^3$ , we have  $\det(c^1, c^2, e^i) = \pm 1$ . This completes the proof of (iv).

Let  $\Delta$  be a regular polyhedron majorizing  $\Delta_3$  so that  $P_\Delta \rightarrow P_{\Delta_3}$  is an isomorphism except over the singular locus of  $P_{\Delta_3}$ . It is possible to obtain the classification table of the Newton polyhedra of weighted homogeneous  $f$  with isolated singularity (see III. §6 in [31], for example). By elementary computation in each case, (o)-(iv) shows that  $f$  is  $\Delta$ -regular. □

The parameterized version of Proposition (7.3) is also true.



PROPOSITION (7.4). — *Let  $I$  be a closed cuboid. Let  $f_t(x_1, x_2, x_3)$  ( $t \in I$ ) be a family of weighted homogeneous polynomials of type  $(a_1, a_2, a_3; d)$ . Here, we assume that  $a_1, a_2, a_3, d$  are positive integers with  $\gcd(a_1, a_2, a_3) = 1$ . If  $f_t$  has an isolated singularity at the origin for each  $t \in I$ , then there is a regular polyhedron  $\Delta$  so that  $D(\pi_\Delta) = 0$  and that  $f_t$  is  $\Delta$ -regular. Thus,  $(\mathbf{R}^3 \times I, F^{-1}(0))$  admits a  $\pi_\Delta$ -modified Nash trivialization.*

*Proof.* — If we set  $\Gamma = \Gamma_+(f_t)$  for general  $t$ , the exactly same arguments as in the proof of Proposition (7.3), after obvious changing of notation, shows that  $f_t$  is  $\Delta$ -regular for an appropriate  $\Delta$ . We remark that  $D(\pi_\Delta) = 0$ . By Theorem II, we obtain the last sentence. □

### 7.3. Examples.

We work some examples here.

Example (7.5). — Let  $f_t(x_1, x_2, x_3) = x_3^5 + tx_2^6x_3 + x_1x_2^7 + x_1^{15}$  (J. Briançon–J.-P. Speder [2]). This is a weighted homogeneous polynomial of type  $(1, 2, 3; 15)$ , and defines an isolated singularity at the origin, if  $t \neq t_0 = -15^{1/7}(\frac{7}{2})^{4/5}/3$ . Let  $I$  be a closed interval not containing  $t_0$ . Let  $\Delta$  be a polyhedron whose vertices are

$$(15, 0, 0), (1, 7, 0), (0, 8, 0), (0, 6, 1), (3, 0, 4), (1, 1, 4), (0, 3, 3), (1, 0, 5), (0, 0, 6),$$

and that coincides with the positive orthant  $\mathbf{R}_{\geq}^3$  outside some compact set, i.e.

$$\Delta = \left\{ (\nu_1, \nu_2, \nu_3) \in \mathbf{R}_{\geq}^3 : \begin{array}{l} \nu_1 + 2\nu_2 + 3\nu_3 \geq 15, \quad \nu_1 + \nu_2 + \nu_3 \geq 6, \\ \nu_1 + 2\nu_2 + 2\nu_3 \geq 11, \quad \nu_1 + \nu_2 + 2\nu_3 \geq 8 \end{array} \right\}.$$

It is not difficult to see that  $\Delta$  is regular. We show that  $\pi = \pi_\Delta : P_\Delta \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^3$  gives a simultaneous resolution for  $(\mathbf{R}^3, f_t^{-1}(0))$  ( $t \in I$ ). In fact, setting  $y = (y_1, y_2, y_3)$ , for example, the coordinate system of a coordinate patch of  $P_\Delta$  defined by

$$\begin{cases} x_1 = y_1y_2y_3 \\ x_2 = y_2y_3^2 \\ x_3 = y_2^2y_3^3, \end{cases}$$

we have

$$f_t \circ \pi(y) = y_2^8y_3^{15}(y_2^2 + t + y_1 + y_1^{15}y_2^7),$$

and the strict transform is nonsingular and transverse to each irreducible component of  $\pi^{-1}(0)$  in this coordinate patch. Similar computations in the other coordinate patches show that  $\pi = \pi_\Delta : P_\Delta \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^3$  gives a simultaneous resolution for  $(\mathbf{R}^3, f_t^{-1}(0))$  ( $t \in I$ ). (This fact is also followed from Proposition (7.4), and the idea of proof of (7.4) can be explained in this way.) We here remark that  $D(\pi) = 0$ . Thus,  $(\mathbf{R}^3 \times I, F^{-1}(0))$  admits a  $\pi$ -modified Nash trivialization, because of Theorem II. In this case,  $F$  admits a modified analytic trivialization as a family of functions (see T. Fukui [14] for details). However, the induced topological triviality does not preserve the tangency of analytic arcs contained in  $f_t^{-1}(0)$  (see S. Koike [21]).

We should remark that, in [30], M. Oka already observed that the Briangon-Speder family admits a weak simultaneous resolution, which is a notion of a family of varieties, using appropriate toric modification.

*Example (7.6).* — Let  $f_t(x_1, x_2, x_3) = x_3^3 + tx_2^\alpha x_3 + x_1x_2^\beta + x_1^{3\alpha}$  ([2]), where  $\alpha$  is an odd integer with  $\alpha \geq 3$ , and  $2\beta + 1 = 3\alpha$ . This is a weighted homogeneous polynomial of type  $(1, 2, \alpha; 3\alpha)$ , and defines an isolated singularity at the origin, if  $t \neq t_0 = (-1/3\alpha)^{1/3\beta}(-3\beta^2/\alpha^2)^{1/3}$ . Let  $I$  be a closed interval not containing  $t_0$ . Then there exists a regular polyhedron  $\Delta$  so that  $\pi = \pi_\Delta : P_\Delta \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^3$  gives a simultaneous resolution for  $(\mathbf{R}^3, f_t^{-1}(0))$  ( $t \in I$ ), and so that  $D(\pi) = 0$ . Thus, by Theorem II,  $(\mathbf{R}^3 \times I, F^{-1}(0))$  admits a  $\pi$ -modified Nash trivialization.

Let  $I = I_\epsilon$  denote an interval  $[-\epsilon, \epsilon]$  for a sufficiently small positive number  $\epsilon$ .

*Example (7.7).* — Let  $f(x_1, x_2, x_3) = x_1^{12} + (x_2^3 + x_3^2)^2 + x_1x_2x_3^3$ . This is a weighted homogeneous polynomial of type  $(1, 2, 3; 12)$ , and defines an isolated singularity at the origin. An elementary calculation shows that  $f$  is not non-degenerate. By Proposition (7.4), there is a regular polyhedron  $\Delta$  so that  $f$  is  $\Delta$ -regular and so that  $D(\pi_\Delta) = 0$ . If we define  $F : (\mathbf{R}^3 \times I, \{0\} \times I) \rightarrow (\mathbf{R}, 0)$  by  $F(x; t) = f(x) + tx_2^3x_3^2$ , then, by Proposition (7.4),  $\pi_\Delta$  gives a simultaneous resolution for  $(\mathbf{R}^3, f_t^{-1}(0))$  ( $t \in I$ ) where  $f_t(x) = F(x; t)$ . Therefore, because of Theorem II,  $(\mathbf{R}^3 \times I, F^{-1}(0))$  admits a  $\pi_\Delta$ -modified Nash trivialization.

*Example (7.8).* — Let  $f(x_1, x_2, x_3) = x_1^\alpha + x_1^6(x_2^3 + x_3^2) + (x_2^3 + x_3^2)^2 + x_1x_2x_3^3$ , where  $\alpha$  is an integer with  $\alpha > 12$ . This is not a weighted homogeneous polynomial, and defines an isolated singularity near the origin. An elementary calculation shows that  $f$  is not non-degenerate. Using a discussion similar to the proof of Proposition (7.3), we can construct a

regular polyhedron  $\Delta$  so that  $f$  is  $\Delta$ -regular and so that  $D(\pi_\Delta) = 0$ . If we define  $F$  by  $F(x; t) = f(x) + tx_2^3x_3^2$ , then, by Proposition (7.4),  $\pi_\Delta$  gives a simultaneous resolution for  $(\mathbf{R}^3, f_t^{-1}(0))$  ( $t \in I$ ) where  $f_t(x) = F(x; t)$ . Therefore, because of Theorem II,  $(\mathbf{R}^3 \times I, F^{-1}(0))$  admits a  $\pi_\Delta$ -modified Nash trivialization.

*Example (7.9).* — Let  $f(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) = x_1^{12} + x_2^8 + (x_3^3 + x_4^2)^2 + x_1x_3x_4^3$ . This is a weighted homogeneous polynomial of type  $(2, 3, 4, 6; 24)$  and defines an isolated singularity at the origin. By elementary computation, we can show that there is a regular polyhedron  $\Delta$  so that  $f$  is  $\Delta$ -regular and so that  $D(\pi_\Delta) = 0$ . If we define  $F : (\mathbf{R}^4 \times I, \{0\} \times I) \rightarrow (\mathbf{R}, 0)$  by  $F(x; t) = f(x) + tx_3^3x_4^2$ , then, by the same way as the proof of Proposition (7.4), we are able to show that  $\pi_\Delta$  gives a simultaneous resolution for  $(\mathbf{R}^4, f_t^{-1}(0))$  ( $t \in I$ ) where  $f_t(x) = F(x; t)$ . Therefore, because of Theorem II,  $(\mathbf{R}^4 \times I, F^{-1}(0))$  admits a  $\pi_\Delta$ -modified Nash trivialization.

This example seems to suggest that the analogy to Proposition (7.3) holds in the case  $n \geq 4$ . Recently L. Paunescu and the first author have given a positive answer for this in [37].

*Example (7.10).* — Let  $f_c : \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^p$  be the polynomial map defined by  $f_{c,j}(x) = x_1 \cdots x_n + \sum c_{j,i}x_i^{k_i}$ , where  $c = (c_{j,i})$ , and  $k_i$ 's are positive integers. Let  $c(t) = (c_{j,i}(t))$  be polynomial functions defined over a closed cuboid  $I$  so that  $f_{c(t)}$  is non-degenerate. If we set  $F(x; t) = f_{c(t)}(x)$ , then  $(\mathbf{R}^n \times I, F^{-1}(0))$  admits a modified Nash trivialization. This is proved by the same way as above and we omit the details.

### Appendix.

We cannot expect a similar theory for homeomorphisms coming from regular isomorphisms after some blow-up, because of the following:

**PROPOSITION (A.1).** — *Let  $f_t$  ( $t \in I$ ) be the family defined in Example (0.1),  $I$  an arbitrary open subinterval of  $J$ , and  $\Pi : M \times I \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^2 \times I$  a finite succession of blow-ups whose centres are mapped submersively to  $I$  by the natural induced maps. Then, no regular automorphism of  $(M \times I, \Pi^{-1}(0))$  induces a  $t$ -level preserving homeomorphism of  $(\mathbf{R}^2 \times I, F^{-1}(0))$ .*

*Proof.* — Suppose that there is such a regular automorphism  $\Phi$  of  $(M \times I, \Pi^{-1}(0))$ . Let  $\pi_t$  be the restriction of  $\Pi$  to  $M \times \{t\}$  for  $t \in I$ . Let

$P^1(\mathbf{R})$  denote the real projective line. We first remark that  $\pi_t^{-1}(0)$  is a union of  $P^1(\mathbf{R})$ 's. Choose  $t_1, t_2 \in I$ , with  $t_1 \neq t_2$ . Since  $\Phi$  induces a regular automorphism of  $\Pi^{-1}(0)$ ,  $\Phi$  must induce regular automorphisms between corresponding components ( $= P^1(\mathbf{R})$ ) of  $\pi_{t_1}^{-1}(0)$  to  $\pi_{t_2}^{-1}(0)$ . So, Proposition (A.1) is an obvious consequence of the following fact.  $\square$

PROPOSITION (A.2). — *A regular automorphism of  $P^1(\mathbf{R})$  is linear.*

*Proof.* — Let  $\phi$  be a regular automorphism of  $P^1(\mathbf{R})$ . Then  $\phi$  is expressed by

$$[x : y] \mapsto \phi([x : y]) = \left[ \frac{A_1(x, y)}{B_1(x, y)} : \frac{A_2(x, y)}{B_2(x, y)} \right]$$

by some real homogeneous polynomials  $A_1, A_2, B_1, B_2$ . Here we assume that  $B_1, B_2$  have no zeros in  $P^1(\mathbf{R})$ . Since  $\phi([x : y]) = [A_1 B_2 : A_2 B_1]$ , we may assume that  $\phi([x : y]) = [P(x, y) : Q(x, y)]$  by some real homogeneous polynomials  $P$  and  $Q$ . Dividing the greatest common divisor of  $P$  and  $Q$ , we may assume that  $P$  and  $Q$  have no common factor. Without loss of generality, we may also assume that

$$(A1) \quad \phi([1 : 0]) = [1 : 0], \quad \text{and} \quad \phi([0 : 1]) = [0 : 1],$$

so we can write  $P(x, y) = xP_1(x, y)$  and  $Q(x, y) = yQ_1(x, y)$  where  $P_1$  and  $Q_1$  are real homogeneous polynomials. Assuming that  $\phi$  is not linear, we have that  $P_1$  and  $Q_1$  are not constant.

Since  $\phi^{-1}$  is also a regular automorphism, we may also write

$$\phi^{-1}([X : Y]) = [\bar{P}(X, Y) : \bar{Q}(X, Y)]$$

using some real homogeneous polynomials  $\bar{P}$  and  $\bar{Q}$ . By (A1), there are polynomials  $\bar{P}_1$  and  $\bar{Q}_1$  such that

$$\bar{P}(X, Y) = X\bar{P}_1(X, Y), \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{Q}(X, Y) = Y\bar{Q}_1(X, Y).$$

Since we may assume  $\bar{P}$  and  $\bar{Q}$  have no common factor, we may assume that

$$(A2) \quad \bar{P}_1(1, 0) \neq 0, \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{Q}_1(0, 1) \neq 0.$$

By elementary computation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} [x : y] &= \phi^{-1} \circ \phi([x : y]) \\ &= [\bar{P}(xP(x, y), yQ(x, y)) : \bar{Q}(xP(x, y), yQ(x, y))] \\ &= [xP_1(x, y)\bar{P}_1(xP_1(x, y), yQ_1(x, y)) : \\ &\quad yQ_1(x, y)\bar{Q}_1(xP_1(x, y), yQ_1(x, y))]. \end{aligned}$$

So we obtain

$$P_1(x, y)\overline{P}_1(xP_1(x, y), yQ_1(x, y)) = Q_1(x, y)\overline{Q}_1(xP_1(x, y), yQ_1(x, y)).$$

Since  $P_1$  and  $Q_1$  have no common factor,  $P_1$  must divide  $\overline{Q}_1(xP_1, yQ_1)$ . If we write  $\overline{Q}_1(X, Y) = \sum b_i X^i Y^{d-i}$ , then we have  $b_0 = 0$ , but this contradicts the fact  $b_0 \neq 0$  that is coming from (A2).  $\square$

The following problem seems to be open.

PROBLEM. — *Is a regular automorphism of the real projective space  $P^n(\mathbf{R})$  ( $n \geq 2$ ) linear? (Are there regular automorphisms of  $P^n(\mathbf{R})$  which are not linear?)*

## BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [1] M. ARTIN and B. MAZUR, On periodic points, *Ann. of Math.*, 81 (1965), 82–99.
- [2] J. BRIANÇON and J.-P. SPEDER, La trivialité topologique n'implique pas les conditions de Whitney, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris*, 280 (1975), 365–367.
- [3] M. BUCHNER and W. KUCHARZ, Topological triviality of a family of zero-sets, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 102 (1988), 699–705.
- [4] M. COSTE and M. SHIOTA, Nash triviality in families of Nash manifolds, *Invent. Math.*, 108 (1992), 349–368.
- [5] M. COSTE and M. SHIOTA, Thom's first isotopy lemma: a semialgebraic version with uniform bounds, *Real analytic and algebraic geometry* (eds. F. Broglia, M. Galbiati and A. Tognoli), Walter de Gruyter (1995), 83–101.
- [6] J. DAMON, Topological invariants of  $\mu$ -constant deformations of complete intersection singularities, *Quart. J. Math.*, 40 (1989), 139–159.
- [7] J. DAMON, Topological triviality and versality for subgroup of  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{K}$ : II. Sufficient conditions and applications, *Nonlinearity*, 5 (1992), 373–412.
- [8] V. I. DANILOV, The geometry of toric varieties, *Russ. Math. Surveys*, 33 (1978), 97–154.
- [9] V.I. DANILOV, Newton polyhedra and vanishing cohomology, *Funct. Anal. Appl.*, 13 (1979), 103–115.
- [10] V.I. DANILOV and A.G. KHOVANSKII, Newton polyhedra and an algorithm for computing Hodge-Deligne numbers, *Math. USSR-Izv.*, 29 (1987), 279–298.
- [11] T. FUKUDA, Types topologiques des polynômes, *Publ. Math. IHES*, 46 (1976), 87–106.
- [12] T. FUKUDA, Topological triviality of real analytic singularities, preprint.
- [13] T. FUKUI, The modified analytic trivialization of a family of real analytic mappings, *Contemporary Math.*, 90 (1989), 73–89.

- [14] T. FUKUI, Modified analytic trivialization via weighted blowing up, *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, 44 (1992), 455–459.
- [15] T. FUKUI and E. YOSHINAGA, The modified analytic trivialization of family of real analytic functions, *Invent. Math.*, 82 (1985), 467–477.
- [16] H. HIRONAKA, Resolution of singularities of an algebraic variety over a field of characteristic zero I, II, *Ann. of Math.*, 79 (1964), 109–326.
- [17] H. HIRONAKA, Stratification and flatness, *Real and complex singularities* (ed. Holm), Nordic summer school/NAVF Symposium in Mathematics Oslo, August 5-25, 1976, Sijthoff & Noordhoff (1977), 199–265.
- [18] A. G. KHOVANSKII, Newton polyhedra and toroidal varieties, *Funct. Anal. Appl.*, 11 (1977), 289–295.
- [19] A.G. KHOVANSKII, Newton polyhedra and the genus of complete intersections, *Funct. Anal. Appl.*, 12 (1978), 38–46.
- [20] H. KING, Topological type in families of germs, *Invent. Math.*, 62 (1980), 1–13.
- [21] S. KOIKE, On strong  $C^0$ -equivalence of real analytic functions, *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, 45 (1993), 313–320.
- [22] S. KOIKE, Modified Nash triviality theorem for a family of zero-sets of weighted homogeneous polynomial mappings, *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, 49 (1997), 617–631.
- [23] A.G. KOUCHNIRENKO, Polyèdres de Newton et nombres de Milnor, *Invent. Math.*, 32 (1976), 1–31.
- [24] T.C. KUO, Une classification des singularités réelles, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris*, 288 (1979), 809–812.
- [25] T.C. KUO, The modified analytic trivialization of singularities, *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, 32 (1980), 605–614.
- [26] T.C. KUO, On classification of real singularities, *Invent. Math.*, 82 (1985), 257–262.
- [27] S. ŁOJASIEWICZ, Triangulation of semi-analytic sets, *Ann. Scu. Norm. di Pisa*, 18 (1964), 449–474.
- [28] B. MALGRANGE, *Ideals of differentiable functions*, Oxford Univ. Press, 1966.
- [29] M. OKA, On the bifurcation of the multiplicity and topology of the Newton boundary, *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, 31 (1979), 435–450.
- [30] M. OKA, On the weak simultaneous resolution of a negligible truncation of the Newton boundary, *Contemporary Mathematics*, 90 (1989), 199–210.
- [31] M. OKA, *Non-degenerate complete intersection singularities*, *Actualités Mathématiques*, Hermann, 1997.
- [32] A. SEIDENBERG, A new decision method for elementary algebra, *Ann. of Math.*, 60 (1954), 365–374.
- [33] M. SHIOTA, Classification of Nash manifolds, *Ann. Inst. Fourier*, 33-3 (1983), 209–232.
- [34] M. SHIOTA, *Nash manifolds*, *Lect. Notes in Math.* 1269, Springer, 1987.
- [35] A.N. VARČENKO, Theorems on the topological equisingularity of families of algebraic varieties and families of polynomial mappings, *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat.*, 36 (1972), 957–1019.
- [36] H. WHITNEY, *Local properties of analytic varieties*, *Differential and Combinatorial Topology* (ed. S.S.Cairns), A Symposium in Honor of M. Morse, Princeton University Press (1965), 205–244.

- [37] T. FUKUI and L. PAUNESCU, Modified analytic trivialization for weighted homogeneous function-germs, preprint.

Manuscrit reçu le 9 avril 1997,  
accepté le 25 mai 1998.

T. FUKUI,  
Saitama University  
Department of Mathematics  
255 Shimo-Okubo  
Urawa 338-8570 (Japan).  
tfukui@rimath.saitama-u.ac.jp

S. KOIKE,  
Hyogo University of Teacher Education  
Department of Mathematics  
Yashiro  
Hyogo 673-1415 (Japan).  
koike@sci.hyogo-u.ac.jp

M. SHIOTA,  
Nagoya University  
Department of Mathematics  
Furo-cho  
Chikusa-ku  
Nagoya 464-8602 (Japan).  
d42806a@nucc.cc.nagoya-u.ac.jp