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# GALOIS COVERS BETWEEN K3 SURFACES <br> by Gang XIAO 

The aim of this note is to classify the actions of finite groups $G$ on Kähler $K 3$ surfaces $X$, i.e., simply connected surfaces over $\mathbb{C}$ with trivial canonical sheaf. Such an action is called symplectic, if the (resolution of the) quotient $X / G$ is also a $K 3$ surface, or equivalently, if the induced action of $G$ on $H^{0}\left(\omega_{X}\right)$ is trivial. We will only consider symplectic actions.

This classification is done by Nikulin for the case when $G$ is abelian [ N ]. Then Mukai [M] gives a complete classification of finite groups $G$ admitting a sympletic action on a $K 3$ surface, showing that they are exactly subgroups of 11 maximal groups.

We will give a classification of combinatorial types of the actions, i.e., the numbers of fixed points of each type. Our method is similar to that in $[\mathrm{N}]$, that is, we consider the singularities of the quotient $X / G$, and the sublattice generated by the components of their resolutions. In $\S 1$, we generalize Nikulin's argument to non-abelian groups, to formulate a set of criteria on this sublattice. Then an easy computer sieve program using these criteria leads almost directly to the final list of good cases.

Note that we do not use the argument of Mukai (except his examples which are used to prove the existence), therefore providing an independent proof of Mukai's classification.

This combinatorial classification contains much information about the geometry of the symplectic actions. For example, one observes from the list (Table 2) that the moduli space of the action of each of the 11 maximal groups is of dimension 1 , due to $[\mathrm{N}]$ (see the proof of Lemma 5).

[^0]In particular, there are only a finite number of $K 3$ quartics in projective space who admit linearly the symplectic action of a maximal group. It will be interesting to construct the exhaustive list of these quartics.

## 1. Necessary conditions.

Let $X$ be a smooth minimal Kähler $K 3$ surface, with a finite group $G$ acting symplectically on it (i.e., the quotient is also a $K 3$ surface). It is well-known that the quotient $X / G$ has at worst rational double points, and the projection $f: X \longrightarrow X / G$ is unramified outside these singular points.

Let $Y$ be the minimal resolution of singularities of $X / G$. For each singular point $p_{i}(i=1, \ldots, k)$ on $X / G$, its inverse image on $Y$ is a negative definite configuration $\Sigma_{i}$ of (-2)-curves, of type $A_{n}, D_{n}, E_{6}, E_{7}$, or $E_{8}$.

Let $Y^{\prime}$ be the complement of the points $p_{i}$ in $Y, X^{\prime}=f^{-1}\left(Y^{\prime}\right)$, $f^{\prime}: X^{\prime} \longrightarrow Y^{\prime}$ the induced étale cover. Then $\pi_{1}\left(X^{\prime}\right)=\pi_{1}(X)=\{1\}$ as $X^{\prime}$ is the complement of a set of smooth points, hence

$$
G=\pi_{1}\left(Y^{\prime}\right)
$$

A similar situation holds locally : let $G_{i}$ be the stabiliser of a point in $f^{-1}\left(p_{i}\right), U_{i}$ a small topologic neighborhood of $p_{i}$. Then

$$
G_{i} \cong \pi_{1}\left(U_{i} \backslash p_{i}\right)
$$

It is well-known that $G_{i}$ is the cyclic group $C_{n+1}$ for $\Sigma_{i}=A_{n}$; binary dihedral group $Q_{4 n-8}$ for $\Sigma_{i}=D_{n}(n \geqslant 4)$; binary tetrahedral group $T_{24}$ for $\Sigma_{i}=E_{6}$; binary octahedral group $O_{48}$ for $\Sigma_{i}=E_{7}$; and binary icosahedral group $I_{120}$ for $\Sigma_{i}=E_{8}$. By [ N ], the order of an element of $G$ (hence of $G_{i}$ ) is at most 8 , therefore the possible types for $\Sigma_{i}$ are:

$$
A_{1}, \ldots, A_{7}, D_{4}, D_{5}, D_{6}, E_{6}, E_{7}
$$

Let also $c_{i}$ be the number of components of $\Sigma_{i}, e_{i}=\chi_{\text {top }}\left(\Sigma_{i}\right)=c_{i}+1$, and $N_{i}=\left|G_{i}\right|, N=|G|$.

Lemma 1. - 1. $N_{i} \mid N$ for every $i$.
2. $\sum_{i=1}^{k}\left(e_{i}-1 / N_{i}\right)=24(N-1) / N$.

Proof. - 1 is clear as $G_{i}$ is a subgroup of $G$, and 2 follows directly from the following computation of topological characters:

$$
\begin{gathered}
24-\sum_{i=1}^{k} N / N_{i}=\chi_{\mathrm{top}}(X)-\sum_{i=1}^{k} N / N_{i}=\chi_{\mathrm{top}}\left(X^{\prime}\right) \\
=N \chi_{\mathrm{top}}\left(Y^{\prime}\right)=N\left(24-\sum_{i=1}^{k} e_{i}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

On the other hand, the classes of the ( -2 )-curves generate a negative definite sublattice $L^{\prime}$ of rank $c=\sum_{i=1}^{k} c_{i}$ in $H^{2}(Y, \mathbb{Z})$ which is an even unimodular lattice of index $(19,3)$. In particular

$$
\begin{equation*}
c \leqslant 19 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $L$ be the smallest primitive sublattice of $H^{2}(Y, \mathbb{Z})$ containing $L^{\prime}, L^{*}$ (resp. $L^{\prime *}$ ) the dual lattice of $L$ (resp. of $L^{\prime}$ ). We have natural inclusions

$$
L^{\prime} \subseteq L \subseteq L^{*} \subseteq L^{\prime *}
$$

For a finite abelian group $H$, let $l(H)$ be the minimal number of generators. Then as $L$ is primitive in $H^{2}(Y, \mathbb{Z})$, we must have

$$
l\left(L^{*} / L\right) \leqslant \operatorname{rank}\left(H^{2}(Y, \mathbb{Z})-\operatorname{rank}(L)=22-c\right.
$$

(e.g. [D]), in particular for every prime number $p$,

$$
l\left(\left(L^{*} / L\right)_{p}\right) \leqslant 22-c
$$

where we note by $H_{p}$ the subgroup of $H$ consisting of elements of order $p$.
On the other hand, we have $L / L^{\prime} \cong L^{\prime *} / L^{*}$, hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
22-c \geqslant l\left(\left(L^{*} / L\right)_{p}\right) \geqslant l\left(\left(L^{\prime *} / L^{\prime}\right)_{p}\right)-2 l\left(\left(L / L^{\prime}\right)_{p}\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

From a simple computation of the corresponding lattices, it is easy to see that the number $l\left(\left(L^{\prime *} / L^{\prime}\right)_{p}\right)$, for $p=2$ or 3 , is determined by the configuration of $(-2)$-curves in the following way:

A configuration of type $A_{n}(n$ odd $), D_{5}$ or $E_{7}$ adds 1 to $l\left(\left(L^{\prime *} / L^{\prime}\right)_{2}\right)$, that of type $D_{4}$ or $D_{6}$ adds 2 to $l\left(\left(L^{\prime *} / L^{\prime}\right)_{2}\right)$, and that of type $A_{2}, A_{5}$ or $E_{6}$ adds 1 to $l\left(\left(L^{\prime *} / L^{\prime}\right)_{3}\right)$.

And we can use the following lemma to bound the term $l\left(\left(L / L^{\prime}\right)_{p}\right)$.
Lemma 2. - $L / L^{\prime} \cong(G /[G, G])^{*}$.
Proof. - The inclusion of $Y^{\prime}$ into $Y$ induces a surjective map

$$
\alpha: H^{2}(Y, \mathbb{Z}) \cap H^{1,1}(Y)=\operatorname{Pic}(Y) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Pic}\left(Y^{\prime}\right)
$$

with $\operatorname{Ker}(\alpha)=L^{\prime}$. The image by $\alpha$ of $L$ is the torsion subgroup of $\operatorname{Pic}\left(Y^{\prime}\right)$, which is naturally dual to the abelianisation of $\pi_{1}\left(Y^{\prime}\right)$. But $\pi_{1}\left(Y^{\prime}\right)=G$, because $\pi_{1}\left(X^{\prime}\right)=\pi_{1}(X)$ is trivial.

Corollary. - If $l\left(\left(L^{*} / L^{\prime}\right)_{p}\right)+c>22$, there exists a $K 3$ surface $W$ with a generically Galois rational map $\psi: W \longrightarrow Y$ which is étale outside the $\Sigma_{i}$ 's, with a Galois group $C_{p}^{r}$ where

$$
r=\left[\left(l\left(\left(L^{\prime *} / L^{\prime}\right)_{p}\right)+c-21\right) / 2\right]
$$

Lemma 3. - Let $Q \cong C_{2}^{r}$ be a group acting symplectically on a $K 3$ surface $W$. Then $r \leqslant 4$, and the quotient $W / Q$ has exactly $16-2^{4-r}$ singular points of type $A_{1}$, forming $2^{r}-1$ blocks of $2^{4-r}$ points each. Two points $p_{1}, p_{2}$ belong to the same block if and only if they are dependent, i.e., the corresponding stabiliser subgroups in $Q$ are the same.

Similarly, if $Q \cong C_{3}^{r}$, then $r \leqslant 2$, and $W / Q$ has $9-3^{2-r}$ singular points of type $A_{2}$, composed of $3 r-2$ blocks of $2 \cdot 3^{2-r}$ dependent points each.

Proof. - This result can be found in [N], but we give a proof here, because it provides an illustration of our method.

Let $Q \cong C_{2}^{r}$. Then the only possible non-trivial stabiliser of a point on $W$ is $C_{2}$, in other words $W / Q$ has only singularities of type $A_{1}$. In terms of Lemma 1, we have $e_{i}-1 / N_{i}=3 / 2$, hence $k=16(N-1) / N$ for $N=|Q|$, so $r \leqslant 4$ and $k=16-2^{4-r}$. Applying the formula to the case $r=1$, we see that an automorphism of order 2 has 8 fixed points. Because $Q$ is abelian, it permutes these 8 points, giving rise to the number of blocks of dependent points.

The case of $C_{3}^{r}$ is similar.
To apply Lemma 3, consider a normal subgroup $H$ in $G$ such that $Q=G / H \cong C_{p}^{r}$, for $p=2$ or 3 and $r>0$. Let $W$ be the desingularization
of $X / H$, with the induced rational map $\psi: W \rightarrow Y$. Obviously the (-2)-configurations mentioned in Lemma 3 must be subconfigurations of the set $\left\{\Sigma_{i}\right\}$ for the map $\psi$. Moreover, if a configuration $\Sigma_{i}$ contains a nonempty subconfiguration for $\psi$, then $G_{i}$ must have a quotient isomorphic to $C_{p}^{r^{\prime}}$, for $0<r^{\prime} \leqslant r$.

Quotients of this kind for different types of $G_{i}$ are given in the following table:

| type | $G_{i}$ | quotient | subconfigurations for quotient | kernel |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| $A_{2 n-1}$ | $C_{2 n}$ | $C_{2}$ | $n$ dependent $A_{1}$ 's | $A_{n-1}$ |
| $D_{4}$ | $Q_{8}$ | $C_{2}$ | 2 dependent $A_{1}$ 's | $A_{3}$ |
| $D_{4}$ | $Q_{8}$ | $C_{2}^{2}$ | 3 independent $A_{1}$ 's | $A_{1}$ |
| $D_{5}$ | $Q_{12}$ | $C_{2}$ | 2 dependent $A_{1}$ 's | $A_{5}$ |
| $D_{6}$ | $Q_{16}$ | $C_{2}$ | 2 dependent $A_{1}$ 's | $A_{7}$ |
| $D_{6}$ | $Q_{16}$ | $C_{2}$ | 3 dependent $A_{1}$ 's | $D_{4}$ |
| $D_{6}$ | $Q_{16}$ | $C_{2}^{2}$ | $4 A_{1}$ 's in 3 blocks $(1,1,2)$ | $A_{3}$ |
| $E_{7}$ | $O_{48}$ | $C_{2}$ | 3 dependent $A_{1}$ 's | $E_{6}$ |
| $A_{3 n-1}$ | $C_{3 n}$ | $C_{3}$ | $n$ dependent $A_{2} ' s$ | $A_{n-1}$ |
| $E_{6}$ | $T_{24}$ | $C_{3}$ | 2 dependent $A_{2} ' s$ | $D_{4}$ |

Table 1

Notation. - We note by $\left[\Sigma_{1}, \ldots, \Sigma_{m}\right]$ a block of dependent $A_{1}$ 's contained in the configuration $\Sigma_{1}+\cdots+\Sigma_{m}$. The choice of the curves will be clear from the context.

## 2. The list.

Theorem 3. - The action of $G$ falls into one of the following 81 types, where $n_{i}$ is the number of cyclic subgroups of order $i, Q=G /[G, G]$, and we follow [M] for notations of groups.

| $\#$ | $N$ | $c$ | configuration | $n_{2}, \ldots, n_{8}$ | $[G, G]$ | $Q$ | $G$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2 | 8 | $8 A_{1}$ | $1,,,,$, | 0 | $G$ | $C_{2}$ |
| 2 | 3 | 12 | $6 A_{2}$ | $, 1,,,$, | 0 | $G$ | $C_{3}$ |
| 3 | 4 | 12 | $12 A_{1}$ | $3,,,,$, | 0 | $G$ | $C_{2}^{2}$ |
| 4 | 4 | 14 | $4 A_{3}+2 A_{1}$ | $1,, 1,,$, | 0 | $G$ | $C_{4}$ |
| 5 | 5 | 16 | $4 A_{4}$ | $,, 1,,$, | 0 | $G$ | $C_{5}$ |
| 6 | 6 | 14 | $3 A_{2}+8 A_{1}$ | $3,1,,,$, | $\# 2$ | $\# 1$ | $D_{6}$ |
| 7 | 6 | 16 | $2 A_{5}+2 A_{2}+2 A_{1}$ | $1,1,, 1,$, | 0 | $G$ | $C_{6}$ |
| 8 | 7 | 18 | $3 A_{6}$ | ,,,, 1, | 0 | $G$ | $C_{7}$ |
| 9 | 8 | 14 | $14 A_{1}$ | $7,,,,$, | 0 | $G$ | $C_{2}^{3}$ |
| 10 | 8 | 15 | $2 A_{3}+9 A_{1}$ | $5,, 1,,,$, | $\# 1$ | $\# 3$ | $D_{8}$ |
| 11 | 8 | 16 | $4 A_{3}+4 A_{1}$ | $3,, 2,,,$, | 0 | $G$ | $C_{2} \times C_{4}$ |
| 12 | 8 | 17 | $2 D_{4}+3 A_{3}$ | $1,, 3,,,$, | $\# 1$ | $\# 3$ | $Q_{8}$ |
| 13 | 8 | 17 | $4 D_{4}+A_{1}$ | $1,, 3,,,$, | $\# 1$ | $\# 3$ | $Q_{8}$ |
| 14 | 8 | 18 | $2 A_{7}+A_{3}+A_{1}$ | $1,, 1,,, 1$ | 0 | $G$ | $C_{8}$ |
| 15 | 9 | 16 | $8 A_{2}$ | $, 4,,,,$, | 0 | $G$ | $C_{3}^{2}$ |
| 16 | 10 | 16 | $2 A_{4}+8 A_{1}$ | $5,,,,,$, | $\# 5$ | $\# 1$ | $D_{10}$ |
| 17 | 12 | 16 | $6 A_{2}+4 A_{1}$ | $3,4,,,$, | $\# 3$ | $\# 2$ | $\mathfrak{A}_{4}$ |
| 18 | 12 | 16 | $A_{5}+A_{2}+9 A_{1}$ | $7,1,,,,$, | $\# 2$ | $\# 3$ | $D_{12}$ |
| 19 | 12 | 18 | $3 A_{5}+3 A_{1}$ | $3,1,,,,,$, | 0 | $G$ | $C_{2} \times C_{6}$ |
| 20 | 12 | 18 | $2 D_{5}+2 A_{3}+A_{2}$ | $1,1,3,,,,$, | $\# 2$ | $\# 4$ | $Q_{12}$ |
| 21 | 16 | 15 | $15 A_{1}$ | $15,,,,,$, | 0 | $G$ | $C_{2}^{4}$ |
| 22 | 16 | 16 | $2 A_{3}+10 A_{1}$ | $11,,,,,$, | $\# 1$ | $\# 9$ | $C_{2} \times D_{8}$ |
| 23 | 16 | 17 | $4 A_{3}+5 A_{1}$ | $7,, 4,,,$, | $\# 1$ | $\# 11$ | $\Gamma_{2} c_{1}$ |
| 24 | 16 | 17 | $2 D_{4}+A_{3}+6 A_{1}$ | $7,, 4,,,$, | $\# 1$ | $\# 9$ | $Q_{8} * C_{4}$ |
| 25 | 16 | 18 | $6 A_{3}$ | $3,, 6,,,$, | 0 | $G$ | $C_{4}^{2}$ |
| 26 | 16 | 18 | $D_{4}+A_{7}+A_{3}+4 A_{1}$ | $5,, 3,,, 1$ | $\# 4$ | $\# 3$ | $S D_{16}$ |
| 27 | 16 | 18 | $4 D_{4}+2 A_{1}$ | $3,, 6,,,$, | $\# 1$ | $\# 9$ | $C_{2} \times Q_{8}$ |


| \# | $N$ | $c$ | configuration | $n_{2}, \ldots, n_{8}$ | [ $G, G$ ] | $Q$ | $G$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 28 | 16 | 19 | $2 A_{7}+A_{3}+2 A_{1}$ | $3,, 2, \ldots, 2$ | \#1 | \#11 | $\Gamma_{2} d$ |
| 29 | 16 | 19 | $2 D_{6}+D_{4}+A_{3}$ | $1,, 5, \ldots, 1$ | \#4 | \#3 | $Q_{16}$ |
| 30 | 18 | 16 | $4 A_{2}+8 A_{1}$ | 9,4,,,", | \#15 | \#1 | $\mathfrak{A}_{3,3}$ |
| 31 | 18 | 18 | $2 A_{5}+3 A_{2}+2 A_{1}$ | 3,4,,3, | \#2 | \#7 | $C_{3} \times D_{6}$ |
| 32 | 20 | 18 | $A_{4}+4 A_{3}+2 A_{1}$ | 5,,5,1,,, | \#5 | \#4 | $\operatorname{Hol}\left(C_{5}\right)$ |
| 33 | 21 | 18 | $A_{6}+6 A_{2}$ | ,7,,,1, | \#8 | \#2 | $C_{7} \rtimes C_{3}$ |
| 34 | 24 | 17 | $2 A_{3}+3 A_{2}+5 A_{1}$ | 9,4,3,,", | \#17 | \#1 | $\mathfrak{S}_{4}$ |
| 35 | 24 | 18 | $2 A_{5}+2 A_{2}+4 A_{1}$ | 7,4,,4, | \#3 | \#7 | $C_{2} \times \mathfrak{A}_{4}$ |
| 36 | 24 | 18 | $D_{5}+A_{5}+A_{3}+5 A_{1}$ | 9,1,3,3, | \#7 | \#3 | $C_{3} \rtimes D_{8}$ |
| 37 | 24 | 19 | $E_{6}+D_{4}+A_{5}+2 A_{2}$ | 1,4,3,,4, | \#13 | \#2 | $T_{24}$ |
| 38 | 24 | 19 | $2 E_{6}+A_{3}+2 A_{2}$ | 1,4,3,,4, | \#12 | \#2 | $T_{24}$ |
| 39 | 32 | 17 | $3 A_{3}+8 A_{1}$ | 19,,6,,", | \#3 | \#9 | $2^{4} C_{2}$ |
| 40 | 32 | 17 | $2 D_{4}+9 A_{1}$ | 19,,6,,", | \#1 | \#21 | $Q_{8} * Q_{8}$ |
| 41 | 32 | 18 | $5 A_{3}+3 A_{1}$ | 11, $10, \ldots$, | \#3 | \#11 | $\Gamma_{7} a_{1}$ |
| 42 | 32 | 18 | $2 D_{4}+2 A_{3}+4 A_{1}$ | 11, $10, \ldots$, | \#3 | \#9 | $\Gamma_{4} c_{2}$ |
| 43 | 32 | 19 | $2 A_{7}+5 A_{1}$ | 11,,2,,,,4 | \#3 | \#11 | $\Gamma_{7} a_{2}$ |
| 44 | 32 | 19 | $D_{4}+A_{7}+2 A_{3}+2 A_{1}$ | $7,, 8, \ldots, 2$ | \#4 | \#11 | $\Gamma_{3} e$ |
| 45 | 32 | 19 | $2 D 6+D_{4}+3 A_{1}$ | $7,, 8, \ldots, 2$ | \#4 | \# | $\Gamma_{6} a_{2}$ |
| 46 | 36 | 18 | $4 A_{3}+2 A_{2}+2 A_{1}$ | 9,4,9,,", | \#15 | \#4 | $3^{2} C_{4}$ |
| 47 | 36 | 18 | $A_{5}+6 A_{2}+A_{1}$ | 3,13,,,3, | \#3 | \#15 | $C_{3} \times \mathfrak{A}_{4}$ |
| 48 | 36 | 18 | $2 A_{5}+A_{2}+6 A_{1}$ | 15,4,,,6, | \#15 | \#3 | $\mathfrak{S}_{3,3}$ |
| 49 | 48 | 17 | $6 A_{2}+5 A_{1}$ | 15,16,,,", | \#21 | \#2 | $2^{4} C_{3}$ |
| 50 | 48 | 18 | $2 A_{3}+6 A_{2}$ | 3,16,6,,", | \#25 | \#2 | $4^{2} C_{3}$ |
| 51 | 48 | 18 | $A_{5}+2 A_{3}+A_{2}+5 A_{1}$ | 19,4,6, , 4 , | \#17 | \#3 | $C_{2} \times \mathfrak{S}_{4}$ |
| 52 | 48 | 19 | $3 A_{5}+4 A_{1}$ | 15,4,,12, | \#3 | \#19 | $2^{2}\left(C_{2} \times C_{6}\right)$ |
| 53 | 48 | 19 | $2 D_{5}+2 A_{3}+A_{2}+A_{1}$ | 7,4,12,4,, | \#17 | \#4 | $2^{2} Q_{12}$ |
| 54 | 48 | 19 | $E_{6}+A_{7}+A_{2}+4 A_{1}$ | 13,4,3,4,3 | \#38 | \#1 | $T_{48}$ |


| \# | $N$ | $c$ | configuration | $n_{2}, \ldots, n_{8}$ | $[G, G]$ | $Q$ | $G$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 55 | 60 | 18 | $2 A_{4}+3 A_{2}+4 A_{1}$ | 15,10, ,6,", | $G$ | 0 | $\mathfrak{A}_{5}$ |
| 56 | 64 | 18 | $D_{4}+3 A_{3}+5 A_{1}$ | 27, $18, \ldots$, | \#9 | \#9 | $\Gamma_{25} a_{1}$ |
| 57 | 64 | 18 | $3 D_{4}+6 A_{1}$ | $27, \ldots 18, \ldots$, | \#3 | \#21 | $\Gamma_{13} a_{1}$ |
| 58 | 64 | 19 | $A_{7}+3 A_{3}+3 A_{1}$ | 19,,14,,,4 | \#9 | \#11 | $\Gamma_{22} a_{1}$ |
| 59 | 64 | 19 | $D_{4}+5 A_{3}$ | 11,,26,,", | \#11 | \#11 | $\Gamma_{23} a_{2}$ |
| 60 | 64 | 19 | $2 D_{6}+A_{3}+4 A_{1}$ | 19,,14,,,,4 | \#11 | \#9 | $\Gamma_{26} a_{2}$ |
| 61 | 72 | 18 | $D_{5}+A_{3}+3 A_{2}+4 A_{1}$ | 21,13,9, 3, | \#47 | \#1 | $\mathfrak{A}_{4,3}$ |
| 62 | 72 | 19 | $2 A_{5}+2 A_{3}+3 A_{1}$ | 21,4,9, 12, | \#30 | \#3 | $N_{72}$ |
| 63 | 72 | 19 | $2 D_{4}+3 A_{3}+A_{2}$ | 9,4,27,,", | \#30 | \#3 | $M_{9}$ |
| 64 | 80 | 19 | $4 A_{4}+3 A_{1}$ | $15, \ldots 16, \ldots$ | \#21 | \#5 | $2^{4} C_{5}$ |
| 65 | 96 | 18 | $3 A_{3}+3 A_{2}+3 A_{1}$ | 27,16,18,,", | \#49 | \#1 | $2^{4} D_{6}$ |
| 66 | 96 | 19 | $2 A_{5}+A_{3}+2 A_{2}+2 A_{1}$ | 19,16,6,,16, | \#21 | \#7 | $2^{4} C_{6}$ |
| 67 | 96 | 19 | $D_{4}+A_{7}+3 A_{2}+2 A_{1}$ | 15,16,12,,,6 | \#50 | \#1 | $4^{2} D_{6}$ |
| 68 | 96 | 19 | $D_{5}+A_{5}+2 A_{3}+3 A_{1}$ | 27,4,18, 12, | \#35 | \#3 | $2^{3} D_{12}$ |
| 69 | 96 | 19 | $2 E_{6}+2 A_{2}+3 A_{1}$ | 19,16,6,,16, | \#40 | \#2 | $\left(Q_{8} * Q_{8}\right) \rtimes C_{3}$ |
| 70 | 120 | 19 | $A_{5}+A_{4}+2 A_{3}+A_{2}+2 A_{1}$ | 25,10,15,6,10, | \#55 | \#1 | $\mathfrak{S}_{5}$ |
| 71 | 128 | 19 | $D_{6}+D_{4}+2 A_{3}+3 A_{1}$ | $35,, 38, \ldots, 4$ | \#22 | \#9 | $F_{128}$ |
| 72 | 144 | 19 | $2 A_{5}+4 A_{2}+A_{1}$ | 15,40,,,24, | \#21 | \#15 | $\mathfrak{A}_{4}^{2}$ |
| 73 | 160 | 19 | $2 A_{4}+3 A_{3}+2 A_{1}$ | 35,,30,16,," | \#64 | \#1 | $2^{4} D_{10}$ |
| 74 | 168 | 19 | $A_{6}+2 A_{3}+3 A_{2}+A_{1}$ | 21,28,21,,,8, | $G$ | 0 | $L_{2}(7)$ |
| 75 | 192 | 18 | $D_{4}+6 A_{2}+2 A_{1}$ | 27,64,18,,", | \#57 | \#2 | $4^{2} \mathfrak{A}_{4}$ |
| 76 | 192 | 19 | $D_{4}+A_{5}+2 A_{3}+A_{2}+2 A_{1}$ | 43,16,42,16,, | \#49 | \#3 | $H_{192}$ |
| 77 | 192 | 19 | $E_{6}+3 A_{3}+A_{2}+2 A_{1}$ | 43,16,42,,16,, | \#69 | \#1 | $T_{192}$ |
| 78 | 288 | 19 | $2 D_{5}+A_{3}+2 A_{2}+2 A_{1}$ | 51,40,54,,24, | \#72 | \#1 | $\mathfrak{A}_{4,4}$ |
| 79 | 360 | 19 | $2 A_{4}+2 A_{3}+2 A_{2}+A_{1}$ | 45,40,45,36,,", | $G$ | 0 | $\mathfrak{A}_{6}$ |
| 80 | 384 | 19 | $D_{6}+2 A_{3}+3 A_{2}+A_{1}$ | 51,64,78,,,12 | \#75 | \#1 | $F_{384}$ |
| 81 | 960 | 19 | $D_{4}+2 A_{4}+3 A_{2}+A_{1}$ | 75,160,90,96,,, | $G$ | 0 | $M_{20}$ |

Table 2

Proof. - We implement the following criteria into a mechanical check of all the combinations of (-2)-configurations $\left\{\Sigma_{i}\right\}_{i=1, \ldots, k}$ :

1. $c \leqslant 19$.
2. There is no configuration of type $A_{n}$ for $n>7, D_{n}$ for $n>6$, or $E_{8}$.
3. There exists an integer $N$ such that Lemma 1 is satisfied.
4. Elements of different orders add up to $N-1$. The number of elements of order $n$ can be recovered from the number of fixed points on $X$ with a stabiliser containing $C_{n}[\mathrm{~N}]$ :

| $n$ | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| fixed points of a $C_{n}$ | 8 | 6 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 2 |

Table 3
5. By Lemmas 2 and 3, if $r=\left[\left(l\left(\left(L^{\prime *} / L^{\prime}\right)_{2}\right)+c-21\right) / 2\right]>0,\left\{\Sigma_{i}\right\}$ contains a subconfiguration of $16-2^{4-r}$ disjoint $A_{1}$ 's in conformity with Table 1, partitioned into $2^{r}-1$ independent blocks of $2^{4-r}$ curves each.
6. Similarly, if $r=\left[\left(l\left(\left(L^{*} / L^{\prime}\right)_{3}\right)+c-21\right) / 2\right]>0,\left\{\Sigma_{i}\right\}$ contains a subconfiguration of $9-3^{2-r}$ disjoint $A_{2}$ 's in conformity with Table 1, partitioned into $3 r-2$ independent blocks of $2 \cdot 3^{2-r} A_{2}$ 's each.

The result of the check is the cases in Table 2 together with the following list:

| $\#$ | $N$ | $c$ | configuration | Non-existence |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| 101 | 8 | 16 | $2 D_{4}+A_{3}+5 A_{1}$ | Too many $C_{2}{ }^{\prime}$ s $(3)$ for $Q_{8}$ |
| 102 | 16 | 18 | $2 D_{4}+3 A_{3}+A_{1}$ | No quotient for $Q_{8}(\# 12$ or \#13) |
| 103 | 24 | 18 | $4 A_{3}+3 A_{2}$ | D) |
| 104 | 24 | 19 | $D_{5}+A_{5}+3 A_{3}$ | A) |
| 105 | 24 | 19 | $2 D_{5}+A_{5}+2 A_{2}$ | E) |
| 106 | 24 | 19 | $3 D_{5}+A_{3}+A_{1}$ | A) |
| 107 | 32 | 19 | $4 D_{4}+A_{3}$ | B) $Q=C_{2}, \Sigma=\# 102$ |
| 108 | 40 | 19 | $3 A_{4}+2 A_{3}+A_{1}$ | C) |
| 109 | 48 | 19 | $A_{5}+4 A_{3}+A_{2}$ | A) |
| 110 | 64 | 19 | $3 D_{4}+2 A_{3}+A_{1}$ | F) |
| 111 | 72 | 18 | $4 A_{3}+A_{2}+4 A_{1}$ | B) $Q=C_{2}$ |
| 112 | 72 | 18 | $A_{5}+5 A_{2}+3 A_{1}$ | B) $Q=C_{3}, \Sigma=3 A_{2}+10 A_{1}$ |
| 113 | 120 | 18 | $A_{4}+6 A_{2}+2 A_{1}$ | B) $Q=C_{3}, \Sigma=3 A_{4}+6 A_{1}$ |
| 114 | 144 | 19 | $A_{5}+4 A_{3}+2 A_{1}$ | B) $Q=C_{2}^{2}, \Sigma=2 A_{2}+12 A_{1}$ |
| 115 | 144 | 19 | $D_{5}+A_{5}+A_{3}+2 A_{2}+2 A_{1}$ | B) $Q=C_{2}, \Sigma=\# 112$ |
| 116 | 192 | 19 | $A_{7}+A_{5}+A_{2}+5 A_{1}$ | B) $Q=C_{2}, \Sigma=2 A_{7}+3 A_{2}$ |
| 117 | 240 | 19 | $3 A_{4}+3 A_{2}+A_{1}$ | C) |
| 118 | 288 | 19 | $A_{5}+3 A_{3}+2 A_{2}+A_{1}$ | B) $Q=C_{2}, \Sigma=2 A_{3}+5 A_{2}+2 A_{1}$ |
| 119 | 288 | 19 | $D_{5}+4 A_{3}+2 A_{1}$ | B) $Q=C_{2}^{2}, \Sigma=2 A_{5}+8 A_{1}$ |
| 120 | 288 | 19 | $D_{5}+A_{5}+4 A_{2}+A_{1}$ | B) $Q=C_{3}, \Sigma=3 D_{5}+4 A_{1}$ |
| 121 | 720 | 19 | $A_{4}+4 A_{3}+A_{2}+A_{1}$ | A) |
| 122 | 720 | 19 | $D_{5}+A_{4}+A_{3}+3 A_{2}+A_{1}$ | G) |

## Table 4

A) For $\# 104, \# 106, \# 109$ and $\# 121, L^{*} / L^{\prime}$ contains $C_{4}^{l}$ where $l>$ $22-c$ (note that a configuration $D_{5}$ adds 1 to $l$ ), hence by Lemma 2, $G$ has a quotient isomorphic to $C_{4}$. From the main list, one sees that a cover of group $C_{4}$ must correspond to a configuration of type $4 A_{3}+2 A_{1}$. Remarking that only the stabilisers of $A_{3}, A_{7}$ and $D_{5}$ allow $C_{4}$ quotient (with corresponding subconfiguration $A_{3}, 2 A_{3}$ and $A_{3}+A_{1}$ respectively), it is impossible to get a subconfiguration $4 A_{3}+2 A_{1}$ in these cases.
B) For \#107,\#111-\#116 and \#118-\#120, Lemma 2 imposes a quotient $Q$ of $G$, for which the kernel corresponds to a configuration $\Sigma$ which is not in the list. (The $12 A_{1}$ 's in $\# 111$ have two ways to decompose into 3 blocks: $2\left[2 A_{3}\right] \cup\left[4 A_{1}\right]$ or $2\left[A_{3}, 2 A_{1}\right] \cup\left[2 A_{3}\right]$. The first leads to a $2 A_{2}+12 A_{1}$, the second to $2 A_{3}+2 A_{2}+7 A_{1}$, both not in the list.)
C) \#108 and \#117 give bad number of 5-Sylow subgroups (6 and 36 respectively).
D) For \#103, the action of $G$ on the set of four 3-Sylow subgroups implies a homomorphism $\varphi: G \longrightarrow \mathfrak{S}_{4}$. Its image is clearly either $\mathfrak{A}_{4}$ or $\mathfrak{S}_{4}$. But the number of elements of each order in $G$ doesn't make it a $\mathfrak{S}_{4}$, while the configuration doesn't contain enough $A_{2}$ to have a quotient $\mathfrak{A}_{4}$ which can only be \#17.
E) For \#105, a group of order 24 always has a cyclic quotient $C_{2}$ or $C_{3}$. None is allowed by the configuration, due to Lemma 2 and Table 1.
F) \#110 : there is only one possible way to decompose the $14 A_{1}$ 's into 7 blocks, as shown below.


But this decomposition does not verify the following lemma, if we take $\beta_{i}$ and $\beta_{j}$ to be the 2 blocks linking the first two $D_{4}$.

Lemma 4. - Let $\psi: W \longrightarrow Y$ be a generically Galois rational map of group $H \cong C_{2}^{3}$, with $\left\{\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{7}\right\}$ the 7 blocks of dependent $A_{1}$ 's on $Y$. For each pair $(i, j), i \neq j$, there are exactly two double covers $\varphi: V \longrightarrow Y$ through which $\psi$ factorises, who are ramified over the $4(-2)$-curves in $\beta_{i}$ and $\beta_{j}$. For different pairs $(i, j)$, the sets of 2 double covers are different. There is also exactly one double cover $\varphi^{\prime}: V^{\prime} \longrightarrow Y$ not ramified over the $4(-2)$-curves.

Proof. - Each $\beta_{i}$ corresponds to an element $\gamma_{i} \in H$. A double cover $\varphi$ corresponds to a quotient $Q$ of index 2 of $H$, and $\varphi$ is ramified over $\beta_{i}$ iff the kernel for $Q$ dos not contain $\gamma_{i}$. The rest is immediate.

We remark also for the following that two different double covers of the quotient belonging to a group $C_{2}^{r}$ have $4(-2)$-curves in common.
G) \#122: Consider a subgroup of order $3,\left\langle\gamma_{1}\right\rangle$, in the stabiliser of a point in the inverse image of the $D_{5}$. Its normaliser $N$ in $G$ has order divisible by 9 (a 3-Sylow subgroup of $G$ must be \#15), whose action has a $D_{5}$, therefore must be $\# 61$ according to the list. As $\# 61$ contains only one $C_{3}$ in its $Q_{12}$ 's, $G$ has exactly 10 such subgroups of order 3 , which are easily seen to be mutually non-normalising.

The action of $G$ on this set $S$ of such subgroups gives $\psi: G \longrightarrow \mathfrak{S}_{10}$. Let $\gamma_{2}$ be an element of order 5. $\gamma_{2}$ acts freely on $S$, decomposing it into two orbits $S_{1}, S_{2}$. Let $\gamma_{3}$ be an element of order 4 in the normaliser of $\left\langle\gamma_{2}\right\rangle$ which must be $\# 32$, and consider the actions of $\gamma_{3}$ and $\gamma_{3}^{2}$ on $S$. We have $\gamma_{3}^{2} \gamma_{2} \gamma_{3}^{2}=\gamma_{2}^{-1}$ and $S_{1}, S_{2}$ are stable under the action of $\gamma_{3}^{2}$, therefore each $S_{i}$ contains exactly one fixed point of $\gamma_{3}^{2}$. Now if $\gamma_{3}$ interchanges $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$, its action on $S$ is odd, so $\psi^{-1}\left(\mathfrak{A}_{10}\right)$ is a subgroup of index 2 in $G$, but we must have $[G, G]=G$ according to Lemma 2; otherwise $\gamma_{3}$ has a fixed point in $S_{1}$, so if $\left\langle\gamma_{1}\right\rangle$ is the corresponding subgroup, then $\gamma_{3}^{2}$ commutes with $\gamma_{1}$. Considering the four orbits of the action of $\gamma_{1}$ on $S$, one concludes that $\gamma_{3}^{2}$ should have at least 4 fixed points in $S$, contradiction.

Now we come to the existence of the cases shown in Table 2.
Lemma 5. - Let $G$ be a group acting symplectically on a $K 3$ surface, $G^{\prime}$ a subgroup of $G$. Let $\Sigma, \Sigma^{\prime}$ be their corresponding configurations, with $c, c^{\prime}$ the numbers of configurations. Then $c \geqslant c^{\prime}$.

Proof. - Let $\mathcal{M}_{\Sigma}$ be the moduli space of marked $K 3$ surfaces having a (-2)-configuration of type $\Sigma$. By [ N$]$, Proposition $2.9, \mathcal{M}_{\Sigma}$ is an analytic space of dimension $20-c$. As $G$ is the $\pi_{1}$ of the complement of $\Sigma$ and $\pi_{1}$ is a topological invariant, a union of connected components of $\mathcal{M}_{\Sigma}$ forms the moduli space of triplets $(X, G, \Sigma)$, where $X$ is a marked $K 3$ surface on which $G$ acts giving rise to a (-2)-configuration $\Sigma$ on the quotient. Now $\left(X, G^{\prime}, \Sigma^{\prime}\right)$ being a sub-action of $(X, G, \Sigma)$, we must have $\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{M}_{\Sigma^{\prime}} \geqslant \operatorname{dim} \mathcal{M}_{\Sigma}$.

Lemma 6. - For each of the cases in Table 2, the minimal primitive sublattice $L$ is uniquely determined by the configuration and the existence of the group $G$ of order $N$. In particular, $[G, G]$ and $Q$ are uniquely determined as shown in the table.

Proof. - For most of the cases, the criterion of $\S 1$ gives a unique $Q$. In case when $Q$ contains a part $C_{2}^{2}, C_{2}^{3}$ or $C_{2}^{4}$, Lemma 4 and the requirement that every subgroup of $G$ of index 2 must correspond to a configuration in the table give only one possibility of the decomposition of the $A_{1}$ 's for the quotient, then a unique possibility for $L$. Also when $N$ is small, the numbers of elements of each order given by the configuration determines $G$, then $Q$, and then $L$. So only the following cases need explanations.
\#17 : $G$ must be solvable, and the only cyclic quotient it can have is $C_{3}$.
$\# 19$ : There is a subgroup of index 2 , which must be \#7. Then the subgroups of \#7 gives quotients of $G$ isomorphic to $C_{6}$ and $C_{2}^{2}$. Therefore $[G, G]=\{1\}$. Similar argument works for $\# 31,32,35,43,46,52,53$.
$\# 20: G$ contains (therefore equals) $Q_{12}$ due to the existence of $D_{5}$. This explains the existence of a quotient $C_{4}$.
$\# 23: G$ has a subgroup $H$ of type $\# 11$, hence $H \cong C_{2} \times C_{4}$. The action of $G / H \cong C_{2}$ on $H$ has a fixed subgroup $K$, which is either a $C_{4}$, or a $C_{2}$ not in a $C_{4}$. The first case is impossible as there is no configuration for $G / K$; in the second case, all the three $C_{2}$ of $H$ are normal in $G$, one of them giving a quotient of type $\# 11$.
\#24: $Q \cong C_{2}^{3}$. Considering subgroups with quotient $C_{2}^{2}$, one sees that the only possible decomposition of $14 A_{1}$ is $3\left[2 D_{4}\right] \cup 3\left[2 A_{1}\right] \cup\left[A_{3}\right]$. Similarly, the decomposition for $\# 27$ is $6\left[2 D_{4}\right] \cup\left[2 A_{1}\right]$.
\#28 : The $C_{2}$ in a $C_{8}$ is easily seen to be normal in $G$, and the quotient is \#11. On the other hand, we use $[\mathrm{N}]$ to see that $G$ is not abelian, therefore $Q=\# 11$.
\#29 : $G$ equals the stabiliser of a point of type $D_{6}$.
$\# 39: Q \cong C_{2}^{3}$. $G$ has no subgroup of type \#25 due to Lemma 5 . This gives a unique possibility for $L$.
$\# 40: Q \cong C_{2}^{4}$. By Lemma 5, there is no double cover of $Y$ involving [ $8 A_{1}$ ]. Then it is easy to see that there is a unique way to get 15 double covers of $Y$ such that each pair of them has 4 common $A_{1}$ 's: let $\left\{C_{1}, C_{2}, C_{3}\right\}$, $\left\{C_{4}, C_{5}, C_{6}\right\}$ be the independent $A_{1}$ 's in the two $D_{4}$. Each double cover is ramified over either 2 or 4 curves $C_{i}$. For each $i$, there is a subset $S_{i}$ consisting of 3 of the 9 isolated $A_{1}$ 's, such that:
a) if a double cover is ramified over exactely 2 curves $C_{i}, C_{j}$, then it is ramified over curves in $S_{i}, S_{j}$;
b) $S_{i} \cap S_{j}=\varnothing$ if $C_{i}$ and $C_{j}$ belongs to a same $D_{4}$, and $\left|S_{i} \cap S_{j}\right|=1$ otherwise;
c) if a double cover is ramified over 4 curves $C_{i}, C_{j}, C_{k}, C_{l}$, then it is also ramified over the four $A_{1}$ 's which belong to exactly one of the sets $S_{i}, S_{j}, S_{k}, S_{l}$.
\#42: Lemma 4 gives an exact sequence

$$
1 \longrightarrow K \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow Q^{\prime}=\langle\gamma\rangle \cong C_{2} \longrightarrow 1,
$$

where $K$ is of type $\# 25$, hence $\cong C_{4}^{2}$. From the configurations, it is easy to see that there is a generating set $\{a, b\}$ of $K$, such that the action of $Q^{\prime}$ on $K$ is $\gamma(a)=-a, \gamma(a+2 b)=-a+2 b$. This implies that the elements of order 2 in $K$ are fixed under this action, in other words $[G, G] \cong C_{2}^{2}$ is in the center of $G$. In particular there is an element $\alpha \in[G, G]$ whose eight fixed points are above an $A_{3}$ of the configuration. Now $G /\langle\alpha\rangle$ is of type $\# 24$, whose decomposition fixes the decomposition for $\# 42$ to be $3\left[2 D_{4}\right] \cup 2\left[A_{3}\right] \cup 2\left[2 A_{1}\right]$.
\#44: $G$ has a quotient isomorphic to $C_{2}^{2}$ by Lemma 2 , corresponding to an overlattice $L^{\prime \prime}$ of $L^{\prime}$. But $L^{\prime \prime *} / L^{\prime \prime}$ has at least 4 generators (3 corresponding to elements of order $>2$ in $L^{* *} / L^{\prime}$, and one belonging to $D_{4}$, which is not touched by $\left.L^{\prime \prime} / L^{\prime}\right)$, therefore $L^{\prime \prime}$ is not primitive, and $L \neq L^{\prime \prime}$. Thus $Q$ can only be $\# 11$.
\#45 : By Lemma 4, for each $D_{6}$ there is a double cover of $Y$ with no ramification over it. The subgroup corresponds to a configuration containing $2 D_{6}$, hence must be $\# 29$. This gives a unique possibility of decomposition:

$\# 57$ : $G$ contains a central $C_{2}$. The quotient $H$ is of type \#40, and as the two cases have the same abelianisation, it is easy to see that the set of three $A_{1}$ corresponding to the extra $D_{4}$ of $\# 57$ must intersect each of the set $S_{j}$ in the proof of \#40 by 1 . This determines uniquely the overlattice $L$ for \#57.
\#60 : There are 3 possible decompositions: $2\left[D_{6}\right] \cup 2\left[2 D_{6}\right] \cup\left[A_{3}\right] \cup$ $2\left[2 A_{1}\right], 2\left[D_{6}\right] \cup\left[2 D_{6}\right] \cup 2\left[D_{6}, A_{1}\right] \cup\left[A_{3}\right] \cup\left[2 A_{1}\right], 2\left[D_{6}\right] \cup 4\left[D_{6}, A_{1}\right] \cup\left[A_{3}\right]$. The first (only one double cover containing $2\left[2 D_{6}\right]$ ) and the last (no double cover not containing the two $\left[D_{6}, A_{1}\right]$ belonging to the same $D_{6}$ ) are excluded by Lemma 4.
\#66 : The subgroup of index 2 contains $6 A_{2}$ hence must be $\# 49$. Therefore $[G, G]$ corresponds to $15 A_{1}$.
\#71 : In view of Lemma 4, the decomposition is

$$
\left[D_{6}\right] \cup\left[D_{6}, D_{4}\right] \cup\left[D_{6}, A_{1}\right] \cup 2\left[D_{4}, A_{1}\right] \cup 2\left[A_{3}\right]
$$

From this lemma, one sees that except for two cases ( $\# 12,13$ for $Q_{8}$, $\# 37,38$ for $T_{24}$ ), different cases in Table 2 correspond to different groups, due to differences either in number of elements of different order, or in $[G, G]$ and $Q$. And each such characterization of group corresponds to a subgroup of the 11 maximal groups whose existence is shown by examples in $[M]$ (refer also to $[M]$ for the descriptions of these subgroups). We have therefore only to show the existence for the two duplicate cases.

Among them, \#12,13 are commutator subgroups of $\# 38,37$ respectively, and \#38 is the commutator subgoup of $T_{48}$ (\#54).

For \#37, consider the stabiliser $H$ of a point in the inverse image of a $E_{6}$, in $\# 77\left(T_{192}\right)$. We show that $H$ cannot be of type $\# 38$, hence it is \#37.

Assume the contrary. Then $H$ is of index 2 in its normaliser $N$ in $G=T_{192}$, because the configuration of $H$ has two $E_{6}$, while that of $G$ has only one. The only possibility for $N$ given by the list is $\# 54$, which is impossible because \#54 has elements of order 8 , but \#77 hasn't.

Corollary. - Let $X$ be a $K 3$ surface with a faithful action of a finite group $G$. Then $|G| \leqslant 5760$.

Proof. - Let

$$
1 \longrightarrow K \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow Q \longrightarrow 1
$$

be the decomposition of $G$ into a symplectic subgroup $K$ and nonsymplectic quotient $Q \cong \mathbb{Z}_{n}$.

Let $Y$ be minimal resolution of the intemediate quotient $X / K$, on which we have a purely non-symplectic action of $Q . Y$ is a minimal $K 3$
surface. It is well-known that the Euler number $\varphi(n)$ of $n$ must divide the rank of the transcendent lattice of $Y$, which is $22-\rho(Y)$. When $K$ is nontrivial, the ( -2 )-configurations resulting from the resolution of singularities of $X / K$ generates a negative-definite sublattice of $N S(Y)$ of rank $c$, hence $\rho(Y) \geqslant c+1$, or $\varphi(n) \leqslant 21-c$. Now one has only to check the inequality for each case of the list in the theorem.
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