

KRYSTYNA BUGAJSKA

**Structure of a leaf of some codimension one
riemannian foliation**

Annales de l'institut Fourier, tome 38, n° 1 (1988), p. 169-174

http://www.numdam.org/item?id=AIF_1988__38_1_169_0

© Annales de l'institut Fourier, 1988, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Annales de l'institut Fourier » (<http://annalif.ujf-grenoble.fr/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques

<http://www.numdam.org/>

STRUCTURE OF A LEAF OF SOME CODIMENSION ONE RIEMANNIAN FOLIATION

par Krystyna BUGAJSKA

1. Introduction.

Let M be a smooth, connected, open manifold of dimension n and let \mathcal{F} be a smooth codimension-one complete Riemannian (that is (M, \mathcal{F}) admits a bundle like metric g in the sense of [6]) foliation of M . Let $E \subset TM$ be the tangent bundle of \mathcal{F} and let $\mathcal{D} \subset TM$ be the distribution orthogonal to E i.e. $\mathcal{D} = E^\perp$ and $TM = E \oplus \mathcal{D}$. Let all leaves of \mathcal{F} be open, orientable manifolds and let M be also orientable. Then there exists a normal field of unit vectors $n(x)$ and all leaves of \mathcal{F} have trivial holonomy ([6] cor. 4 p. 130). For a vector $v \in T_x M$ and for a real number c let $g(x, v, c,)$ denote the geodesic arc issuing from x whose length is $|c|$ and whose initial vector is v or $-v$ according as $c > 0$ or < 0 . By (x, v, c) we will denote its terminal point. Let \mathcal{F} be a totally geodesic foliation. Now, since \mathcal{D} is integrable, every leaf of \mathcal{F} meets every leaf of the horizontal foliation \mathcal{H} determined by \mathcal{D} ([3], lemme (1.9) p. 230). Let $\mathcal{L}(x)$ and $\mathcal{H}(x)$ be the leaves through $x \in M$ of \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{H} respectively. Let $I(x)$ denote the set $\mathcal{L}(x) \cap \mathcal{H}(x)$.

DEFINITION 1. — *Let $x_0 \in \mathcal{L}(x)$ and let $N(x_0)$ denote the set of all positive numbers s such that at least one of two points*

Key-words : Riemannian foliation - Open leaf - Non-positive curvature.

$(x, \pm n(x), s)$ belongs to $\mathcal{L}(x)$. If $N(x_0)$ is non-empty we denote the greatest lower bound of $N(x_0)$ by $\rho(x_0)$. If $N(x_0)$ is empty we put $\rho(x_0) = \infty$. So $0 \leq \rho(x_0) \leq \infty$.

DEFINITION 2. — If $I(x) - x_0$ is non-empty then the greatest lower bound of $d_{\mathcal{L}}(x_0, x)$ for $x \in I(x_0) - x_0$ is called the range of x_0 and is denoted by $e_{\mathcal{L}}(x_0)$. Here $d_{\mathcal{L}}(x_0, x)$ denotes the length of a minimazing geodesic joining x_0 to x in the \mathcal{L} -submanifold.

If $0 < \rho(x) < \infty$ then lemma (4.3) of [4] asserts that at least one of two points $(x_0, \pm n(x), \rho)$ belongs to $\mathcal{L}(x_0)$. Also for each $x \in \mathcal{L}(x_0)$, $\rho(x) = \rho(x_0)$ (lemma (3.2) of [4]). Hence we denote $\rho(x_0)$ by $\rho(\mathcal{L}(x_0))$ and call it the distance of \mathcal{L} . As a matter of fact for any leaves $\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L}_1$ of \mathcal{F} , $\rho(\mathcal{L}) = \rho(\mathcal{L}_1)$ ([4] p. 136). Although $e_{\mathcal{L}}(x)$ has no such property we can show the following :

PROPOSITION 1. — Let $e_{\mathcal{L}}(x_0)$ be a finite non-equal to zero number. Then

- a) there exists an element $x \in I(x_0)$ such that $d_{\mathcal{L}}(x_0, x) = e_{\mathcal{L}}(x_0)$
- b) for every $x \in I(x_0)$, $e_{\mathcal{L}}(x) = e_{\mathcal{L}}(x_0)$ i.e. the ranges of \mathcal{H} -equivalent points of \mathcal{L} are the same.

PROPOSITION 2. — Let \mathcal{L} be a map $f : \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$ given by $f(x) = (x, n(x), m\rho)$. If for some $m \in \mathbf{Z}^+$ and for some $x_0 \in \mathcal{L}$, $d_{\mathcal{L}}(x_0, f(x_0)) = e_{\mathcal{L}}(x_0)$ then for every $x \in \mathcal{L}$ we have $d_{\mathcal{L}}(x, f(x)) = e_{\mathcal{L}}(x)$.

COROLLARY 1. — There exists a vector field v on \mathcal{L} such that $f(x) = \exp_x e_{\mathcal{L}}(x)v(x)$. So, to any point $x \in \mathcal{L}$ we can relate a piece of the geodesic $g(x, v(x), e_{\mathcal{L}}(x))$.

Since the elements of a holonomy along a horizontal curve are local isometries of the induced Riemannian metrics of the leaves of \mathcal{F} ([1] p. 383) the map f determines the partition of \mathcal{L} onto mutually isometric subspaces.

COROLLARY 2. — \mathcal{L} is of fibred type over a complete Riemannian manifold N with boundary. A fiber contains a countable number of elements and projection is a local isometry. If \mathcal{C}_x is a maximal, open subset of \mathcal{L} containing x and such that $\mathcal{C}_x \cap f(\mathcal{C}_x) = \emptyset$ then $N \cong \mathcal{C}_x \cup (\bar{\mathcal{C}}_x \cap f(\bar{\mathcal{C}}_x))$.

Let us assume that the vector field v which determined by f is a parallel one. Then we have

COROLLARY 3. — Leaf \mathcal{L} is diffeomorphic to $\mathcal{L}' \times \mathbf{R}$ and has non-positive curvature.

I would like to thank the referee for indicating me my error.

2. Proofs.

It is easy to see that for each $x' \in \mathcal{H}(x_0) \cap \mathcal{L}(x_0)$, $d_{\mathcal{H}}(x_0, x') = m\rho$ for some $m \in \mathbf{Z}$. Now let us suppose that a point $x \in I(x_0)$ such that $e_{\mathcal{L}}(x_0) = d_{\mathcal{L}}(x_0, x)$ does not exist. However we can find a sequence of points $\{y_\lambda; \lambda = 1, 2, \dots\}$ belonging to $I(x_0)$ such that $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} d_{\mathcal{L}}(x_0, y_\lambda) = e_{\mathcal{L}}(x_0)$. Since \mathcal{L} is a complete Riemannian manifold, an accumulation point y of $\{y_\lambda\}$ belongs to \mathcal{L} . Let $[y_\lambda, y]$ denote the geodesic arc in \mathcal{L} . Let us displace parallelly $g(y_\lambda, n(y_\lambda), s_{\lambda, \lambda+1})$ along $[y_\lambda, y]$. Here $s_{\lambda, \lambda+1}$ denotes a parameter on the $\mathcal{H}(x_0)$ geodesic such that $(y_\lambda, n(y_\lambda), s_{\lambda, \lambda+1}) = y_{\lambda+1}$; $s_{\lambda, \lambda+1} = m(\lambda)\rho$. We obtain the geodesic arcs $g(y, n(y), m(\lambda)\rho)$ with y'_λ as their terminal points. So we see that y is an accumulation point of $y'_\lambda \in I(y)$ relative to \mathcal{L} . However if $e_{\mathcal{L}}(x_0) > 0$ then $e_{\mathcal{L}}(x) > 0$ for each $x \in \mathcal{L}$ ([4], lemma (4.1)). So we come to a contradiction which proves (a) of proposition 1.

For (b) let $y_0 \in I(x_0)$ have the property that $d_{\mathcal{L}}(x_0, y_0) = e_{\mathcal{L}}(x_0)$. Let $y_0 = (x_0, n(x_0), m\rho)$. Since \mathcal{L} is complete there exists a minimal \mathcal{L} -geodesic $g(x_0, n_0, e_{\mathcal{L}}(x_0))$ which joins x_0 and y_0 . Let us express $\mathcal{H}(x_0)$ by $z(s)$, $-\infty < s < \infty$, where $z(0) = x_0$ and s denotes the arlength. Let us displace U_0 parallelly along the curve $z(x)$. Then corresponding to each s we get a vector $n(s)$ at $z(s)$

tangent to the leaf $\mathcal{L}(z(s))$ with $g(z(s), n(s), e_{\mathcal{L}}(x_0)) \subset \mathcal{L}(z(s))$. Let $y_0 = z(s_0)$. Taking a finite system of coordinate neighborhoods of $z(s)$ for $0 \leq s \leq s_0$, we see that the point $(z(s_0), n(s_0), e_{\mathcal{L}}(x_0)) \in \mathcal{L}$ also belongs to $\mathcal{H}(x_0)$. Let us denote this point by y_1 . We have $d_{\mathcal{L}}(x_0, y_0) = d_{\mathcal{L}}(y_0, y_1)$. Let us suppose that $d_{\mathcal{L}}(y_0, y_1) \neq e_{\mathcal{L}}(y_0)$. By definition $e_{\mathcal{L}}(y_0) < d_{\mathcal{L}}(y_0, y_1)$. By (a) there exists $y_2 \in I(x_0)$ such that $d_{\mathcal{L}}(y_0, y_2) = e_{\mathcal{L}}(y_0)$. Let us displace parallelly a minimal geodesic $[y_0, y_2]$ along $z(s)$. For $z(0) = x_0$ we obtain some point $x \in I(x_0)$ which satisfies $d_{\mathcal{L}}(x_0, x) < d_{\mathcal{L}}(y_0, y_1) = e_{\mathcal{L}}(x_0)$. So we come to a contradiction, hence $e_{\mathcal{L}}(x_0) = e_{\mathcal{L}}(y_0)$. However this implies that $e_{\mathcal{L}}(x) = e_{\mathcal{L}}(x_0)$ for each $x \in I(x_0)$ and completes the proof of (b).

For the horizontal curve $z(s)$ there exists a family of diffeomorphisms $\phi_s : U_0 \rightarrow U_s$; $s \in (-\infty, \infty)$, such that

1 - U_s is a neighborhood of $z(s)$ in the leaf $\mathcal{L}(z(s))$ for all $s \in (-\infty, \infty)$

2 - $\phi_s(z(0)) = z(s)$ for all $s \in (-\infty, \infty)$

3 - for $x \in U_0$, the curve $s \rightarrow \phi_s(x)$ is horizontal

4 - ϕ_0 is the identity map of U_0 ,

i.e. $z(s)$ uniquely determines germs of local diffeomorphisms from one leaf to another. According to [5] we call this family of diffeomorphisms an element of holonomy along $z(s)$. However in our case of totally geodesic foliation \mathcal{F} these local diffeomorphisms are local isometries. Moreover we can extend them to a -neighborhoods $U_{\mathcal{L}}(z(s), a)$, where $a < \frac{1}{2}e_{\mathcal{L}}(y)$ for all $y \in U_{\mathcal{L}}(z(s), a)$; $s \in (-\infty, \infty)$.

Let us consider a map $d : U_{\mathcal{L}}(x_0, a) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by $d(x) = d_{\mathcal{L}}(x, f(x))$. Since d is continuous we have $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists \delta$ s.t. $|(d(x) - d(y))| < \varepsilon$ if $d_{\mathcal{L}}(x, y) < \delta$; $x, y \in U_{\mathcal{L}}(x_0, a)$. Let $\delta < \frac{1}{2}a$ i.e. the ball $U_{\mathcal{L}}(x_0, 2\delta) \subset U_{\mathcal{L}}(x_0, a)$. Let $d(x_0) = e_{\mathcal{L}}(x_0)$. Suppose that for some $x \in U_{\mathcal{L}}(x_0, \delta)$, $d(x) \neq e_{\mathcal{L}}(x)$. Then we have $d(x) = e_{\mathcal{L}}(x) + b$ with $b > 0$. By (a) of proposition 1 there exists $x' \in I(x)$ such that $d_{\mathcal{L}}(x, x') = e_{\mathcal{L}}(x)$, $x' = (x, n(x), m'\rho)$ with $m' \neq m$. Let $f' : \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$ be given as $f'(x) = (x, n(x), m'\rho)$ and let d' be analogous to d map with f' instead of f . We have $d'(x_0) = d(x_0) + \tau, \tau > 0$. (If $\tau = 0$,

the property $U_{\mathcal{L}}(x_0, 2\delta) \subset U_{\mathcal{L}}(x_0, a)$ allows us to interchange the role of the maps f and f' as well as x_0 and x . For this it is enough to consider the case with $\tau > 0$). Now, for each $x \in U_{\mathcal{L}}(x_0, \delta)$ we have $d(x_0) = d(x) \pm \mathcal{H}$; $d'(x_0) = d'(x) \pm \mathcal{H}'$ with $\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}' < \varepsilon$. So $d'(x) = d(x_0) + \tau \mp \mathcal{H}'$. For $\varepsilon < \frac{1}{2}\tau$ we come to a contradiction since $d'(x) \stackrel{df}{=} e_{\mathcal{L}}(x) > d(x)$. Hence for all $x \in U_{\mathcal{L}}(x_0, \delta)$, $d(x) = e_{\mathcal{L}}(x)$. Now, let y be an element of \mathcal{L} and $[x_0, y]$ a minimal geodesic joining x_0 and y . We can take a finite sequence of points $y_i, i = 0, 1 \dots N$ on $[x_0, y]$; $y_0 = x_0, y_N = y$ and $U_{\mathcal{L}}(y_i, \delta_i) \cap [x_0, y] \cap U_{\mathcal{L}}(y_{i+1}, \delta_{i+1}) \neq \emptyset$ for all $i \in (0 \dots N)$. We repeat the above consideration for each $U_{\mathcal{L}}(y_i, \delta_i)$. This completes the proof of proposition 2.

Let $\tilde{C}_x = \bar{C}_x - C_x$. Then any element $x' \in C_x$ cannot be \mathcal{H} -equivalent to any element $y \in \tilde{C}_x$. For this let $z_i \in C_x$ be a sequence of elements such that $\lim_{\mathcal{L}} z_i = y$. Let us suppose that $y' \in C_x$ is \mathcal{H} -equivalent to y . Then there exists a sequence of elements $z'_i \notin e_x$, \mathcal{H} -equivalent to z_i , for each i , with $\lim_{\mathcal{L}} z'_i = y'$. This is a contradiction since C_x is open in \mathcal{L} . Similarly we can see that for each $y \in \tilde{C}_x$ there exists an \mathcal{H} -equivalent point $y' \in \tilde{C}_x$. By proposition 2 we can define $W_x = f(\tilde{C}_x) \cap \tilde{C}_x$ which is the border of N .

We can define the action of \mathbf{Z} on \mathcal{L} by isometries : $m(x) = f^m(x)$, $m \in \mathbf{Z}$. This action is free and properly discontinuous. It implies that the quotient space $\frac{\mathcal{L}}{\mathbf{Z}}$ has a structure of differentiable manifold and the projection $\mathcal{L} \rightarrow \frac{\mathcal{L}}{\mathbf{Z}}$ is differentiable. When \mathcal{L} is simply connected then the isometry group of $\frac{\mathcal{L}}{\mathbf{Z}}$ is isomorphic to $\frac{N(\mathbf{Z})}{\mathbf{Z}}$ [5] where $N(\mathbf{Z})$ is the normaliser of Z in the group of isometries of \mathcal{L} .

If we assume that the vector field v is a parallel one then it has to be a complete Killing vector field. Welsh [7] has proven that if a Riemannian manifold admits a complete parallel vector field then either \mathcal{L} is diffeomorphic to the product of an Euclidean space with some other manifold \mathcal{L}' or else there is a circle action on \mathcal{L} whose orbits are not real homologous to zero. In our case the one-

parameter subgroup of isometries generated by v cannot induce an S^1 action (in this case its orbits are closed geodesics) so the latter possibility is excluded. (It is in agreement to Yau result [8] that the identity component of the isometry group of an open Riemannian manifold X is compact if X is not diffeomorphic to the product of an Euclidean space with some other manifold.) On the other hand we have Gromoll and Meyer result [2] that the isometry group of a complete open manifold with positive curvature is compact and that a Killing vector field cannot have non-closed geodesic orbits. In this way the corollary 3 is proven.

BIBLIOGRAPHIE

- [1] R.A. BLUMENTHAL, J.J. HEBDA. — Complementary distributions which preserve the leaf geometry and applications to totally geodesic foliation, *Quart. J. Math. Oxford*, (2) 35 (1984), 383-392.
- [2] D. GROMOLL, W. MEYER. — On complete open manifolds of positive curvature, *Ann. Math.*, 90 (1969), 75-90.
- [3] D.I. JONSON, L.B. WHITT. — Totally geodesic foliations, *J. Diff. Geom.*, 15 (1980), 225-235.
- [4] S. KASHIWABARA. — The structure of a Riemannian manifold admitting a parallel field of one dimensional tangent vector subspaces, *Tohoku Math. J.*, 11 (1959), 119-132.
- [5] S. KOBAYASHI, K. NOMIZU. — Foundations of differential geometry, vol. I, Interscience, New York, 1967.
- [6] B.L. REINHART. — Foliated manifolds with bundle like metrics, *Annals of Math.*, 69 (1959), 119-132.
- [7] D.J. WELSH. — On the existence of complete parallel vector fields, *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.*, 97 (1986), 311-314.
- [8] S.T. YAU. — Remarks on the group of isometries of a Riemannian manifold, *Topology*, 16 (1977), 239-247.

Manuscrit reçu le 12 mai 1987
révisé le 29 juin 1987.

Krystyna BUGAJSKA,
Institut für theoretische Physik
der technischen Universität Clausthal
3392 CLAUSTHAL-ZELLERFELD (RFA).