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# ON THE ANGLES BETWEEN CERTAIN <br> ARITHMETICALLY DEFINED SUBSPACES OF C ${ }^{\boldsymbol{n}}$ 

by Robert BROOKS(*)

In this note, we consider the following problem: Let $\left\{v_{i}\right\}$ and $\left\{w_{j}\right\}$ be two sets of unitary bases for $\mathbf{C}^{n}$. The bases $\left\{v_{i}\right\}$ and $\left\{w_{j}\right\}$ are about as "independent as possible" if, for all $i$ and $j,\left|\left\langle v_{i}, w_{j}\right\rangle\right|$ is on the order of $\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$. For $\theta$ some fixed number, for instance $\frac{1}{5}$, we consider linear spaces $\mathrm{V}^{\theta}$ (resp. $\mathrm{W}^{\theta}$ ) spanned by $[\theta \cdot n]$ of the vectors in the set $\left\{v_{i}\right\}$ (resp. $\left\{w_{j}\right\}$, where [ ] denotes the greatest integer function. What can one say about the angle between $\mathrm{V}^{\theta}$ and $\mathrm{W}^{\theta}$, as $n$ tends to infinity?

In view of the paper [5], we may view such a question as relating to the prediction theory of such subspaces, although we do not see a direct connection between the methods of [5] and the present paper.

Let us consider the following special cases: In the first case, let $\left\{v_{i}\right\}$ be the standard basis for $\mathrm{C}^{n}$, and let $\left\{w_{j}\right\}$ be the "Fourier transform" of this basis

$$
w_{j}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\left(\zeta^{j}, \zeta^{2 j},, \ldots, \zeta^{n j}\right)
$$

(*) Partially supported by NSF grant DMS-83-15522; Alfred P. Sloan fellow.
Key-words: Angles - $\lambda_{1}$ - Eigenvalues - Kloosterman sum.
where $\zeta=e^{2 \pi i / n}$ is a primitive $n$-th root of 1 . Then clearly $\left|\left\langle v_{i}, w_{j}\right\rangle\right|=\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$ for all $i, j$.

For a number $\alpha$, let us denote by $[[\alpha]]$ the distance from $\alpha$ to the nearest integer

$$
[[\alpha]]=\inf _{n \in Z}|\alpha-n|
$$

Let $\mathrm{V}^{\theta}$ and $\mathrm{W}^{\theta}$ denote the spaces spanned by

$$
\left\{v_{i}:\left[\left[\frac{i}{n}\right]\right]<\theta\right\} \quad \text { and } \quad\left\{w_{j}:\left[\left[\frac{i}{n}\right]\right]<\theta\right\}
$$

respectively. For $\sigma_{n}$ a permutation of the integers $(\bmod n)$, let $\mathrm{W}_{\sigma_{n}}^{\theta}$ be the space spanned by $\left\{w_{j}:\left[\left[\frac{\sigma_{n}(j)}{n}\right]\right]<\theta\right\}$. Then we will show :

Theorem 1. - (a) For any $\theta$, the angle between $\mathrm{V}^{\theta}$ and $\mathrm{W}^{\theta}$ tends to 0 as $n$ tends to $\infty$.
(b) If the permutations. $\sigma_{n}$ are "sufficiently mixing", then the angle between $\mathrm{V}^{\theta}$ and $\mathrm{W}_{\sigma_{n}}^{\theta}$ stays bounded away from 0 as $n$ tends to $\infty$.

By "sufficiently mixing", we mean that, for all $i$, we do not have both $\left[\left[\frac{\sigma_{n}(i)}{n}\right]\right]<\theta$ and $\quad\left[\left[\frac{\sigma_{n}(i+1)}{n}\right]\right]<\theta$. Clearly, weaker hypotheses on the $\sigma_{n}$ would also allow us to conclude (b), but we will not explore this question here.

Now let us consider the following different example: for a prime $p$, let $\chi$ denote an even multiplicative character $(\bmod p)$. Then set $\left\{v_{i}\right\},\left\{w_{j}\right\}$ to be the following bases for $\mathrm{C}^{p+1}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& v_{j}-\frac{1}{\sqrt{p}}\left(1, \zeta^{j}, \ldots, \zeta^{(n-1) j}, 0\right) j=0, \ldots, p-1 \\
& v_{p}=(0, \ldots, 0,1) \\
& w_{k}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{p}}\left(0, \chi(1) \zeta^{-k}, \chi(2) \zeta^{-\overline{2 k}}, \ldots, \chi(n-1) \zeta^{-(\overline{n-1}) k}, 1\right) \\
& \quad k=0, \ldots, p-1
\end{aligned}
$$

$w_{p}=(1,0, \ldots, 0)$
where $\bar{m}$ denotes the reciprocal of $m(\bmod p)$. Note that

$$
\left\langle v_{i}, w_{k}\right\rangle=\frac{1}{p} \sum_{x=1}^{p-1} \overline{\chi(k)} \zeta^{(j x+k \bar{x})}=\frac{1}{p} \mathrm{~S}_{x}(j, k, p)
$$

where $\mathrm{S}_{x}(j, k, p)$ is a Kloosterman sum. The fact that the bases $\left\{v_{k}\right\},\left\{w_{k}\right\}$ are about as "independent as possible" is a deep result of A. Weil [7] that $\left|\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{x}}(j, k, p)\right|<2 \sqrt{p}$.

Denoting by $\mathrm{V}^{\theta}$ and $\mathrm{W}_{x}^{\theta}$ the vectors spanned by

$$
\left\{v_{i}:[[i / p]]<\theta\right\} \quad \text { and } \quad\left\{w_{j}:[[j / p]]<\theta\right\}
$$

respectively, our second result is:
Theorem 2. - For $\theta$ sufficiently small, the angle between $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{x}}^{\theta}$ and $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{x}}^{\theta}$ stays bounded away from 0 as $p$ tends to $\infty$, uniformly with respect to $\chi$.

Our proof of Theorem 2 relies on the deep theorem of Selberg [6] that, when $\Gamma_{n}$ is a congruence subsgroup of $\operatorname{PSL}(2, \mathbf{Z})$, then the first eigenvalue $\lambda_{1}\left(\mathrm{H}^{2} / \Gamma_{n}\right)$ of the spectrum of the Laplacian satisfies $\lambda_{1}\left(\mathrm{H}^{2} / \Gamma_{n}\right) \geqslant \frac{3}{16}$.

Another important ingredient in Theorem 2 is our recent work [3] on the behavior of $\lambda_{1}$ in a tower of coverings. Indeed it is not difficult to find an extension of Theorem 2 which is actually equivalent, given [3], to Selberg's theorem, at least after replacing " $\frac{3}{16}$ " by "some positive constant".

The main number-theoretic input into Selberg's theorem is the Weil estimate. Theorem 1 shows that, by contrast, the conclusion of Theorem 2 cannot be achieved directly by appealing to the Weil estimate, and suggests an interpretation of Selberg's theorem in terms of the random distribution of Kloosterman sums.

The proof of Theorem 1 is completely elementary.
We would like to thank Peter Sarnak for useful discussions, and Alice Chang for showing us the paper [5] and for her suggestions.

## 1. A Lemma.

In this section, we give a simple lemma in linear algebra which is the key to proving Theorems 1 and 2.

Suppose $U$ and $T$ are unitary matrices acting on $\mathbf{C}^{\boldsymbol{n}}$. For a given value $\delta$, let $\mathrm{U}^{\delta}$ (resp. $\mathrm{T}^{\delta}$ ) be the subspace spanned by the eigenvectors of $U$ (resp. $T$ ) whose eigenvalues $\lambda$ satisfy $|\lambda-1|<\delta$. Let $U_{\perp}^{\delta}$ and $V_{\perp}^{\delta}$ denote the perpendicular subspaces.

Denote by $k(\mathrm{U}, \mathrm{T})$ the expression

$$
k(\mathrm{U}, \mathrm{~T})=\inf _{\|\mathrm{X}\|=1} \max (\|\mathrm{U}(\mathrm{X})-\mathrm{X}\|,\|\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{X})-\mathrm{X}\|)
$$

Let $\alpha(\delta)$ denote the cosine of the angle between $\mathrm{U}^{\delta}$ and $\mathrm{T}^{\delta}$ :

$$
\alpha(\delta)=\sup _{\mathrm{X} \in \mathrm{U} \delta, \mathrm{Y} \in \mathrm{v} \delta} \frac{|\langle\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y}\rangle|}{\|\mathrm{X}\|\|\mathrm{Y}\|} .
$$

The main result of this section is:
Lemma. $-\delta \sqrt{\frac{1-\alpha^{2}}{2}} \leqslant k(\mathrm{U}, \mathrm{T}) \leqslant \sqrt{\delta^{2} \alpha^{2}+4\left(1-\alpha^{2}\right)}$.

Proof. - To show the right-hand inequality, let X be a unitlength vector in $\mathrm{U}^{\delta}$ such that its orthogonal projection Y onto $\mathrm{T}^{\delta}$ is of maximum length $\alpha(\delta)$.

Since $X \in U^{\delta}$, we have $\|U(X)-X\| \leqslant \delta$. Writing

$$
\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Y}+\mathrm{Y}^{\perp}, \mathrm{Y}^{\perp} \in \mathrm{T}_{\perp}^{\delta}
$$

we see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|T(X)-X\|^{2}=\|T(Y)-Y\|^{2}+ & \| T\left(Y^{1}\right) \\
& -Y^{1} \|^{2} \leqslant \delta^{2} \cdot \alpha^{2}+4\left(1-\alpha^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

So $k(\mathrm{U}, \mathrm{T}) \leqslant \max \left(\delta, \sqrt{\delta^{2} \alpha^{2}+4\left(1-\alpha^{2}\right)}\right)$. When $\delta<2$, the second term on the right is $\geqslant \delta$. When $\delta \geqslant 2$, then $\alpha=1$ and again the second term is $\geqslant \delta$.

To get the left-hand inequality, let $X$ be a vector of length 1 minimizing $\sup (\|U(X)-X\|,\|T(X)-X\|)$. Write

$$
X=X_{U}+X_{T}+X_{\perp}
$$

where $X_{U} \in U^{\delta}, X_{T} \in T^{\delta}$, and $X_{\perp} \in U_{\perp}^{\delta} \cap T_{\perp}^{\delta}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \|U(X)-X\|^{2} \geqslant \delta^{2}\left[\left(1-\alpha^{2}\right)\left\|X_{T}\right\|^{2}+\left\|X_{\perp}\right\|^{2}\right] \\
& \|T(X)-X\|^{2} \geqslant \delta^{2}\left[\left(1-\alpha^{2}\right)\left\|X_{U}\right\|^{2}+\left\|X_{\perp}\right\|^{2}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

and so

$$
\delta^{2}\left(1-\alpha^{2}\right)\|\mathrm{X}\|^{2} \leqslant\|\mathrm{U}(\mathrm{X})-\mathrm{X}\|^{2}+\|\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{X})-\mathrm{X}\|^{2} \leqslant 2 k^{2}(\mathrm{U}, \mathrm{~T})
$$

and so $k(\mathrm{U}, \mathrm{T}) \geqslant \delta \sqrt{\frac{1-\alpha^{2}}{2}}$.
From the left-hand estimate, we see that for $\delta$ fixed, and hence for $\delta$ arbritrarily small, a lower bound for $1-\alpha^{2}$ gives a lower bound for $k(\mathrm{U}, \mathrm{T})$. From the right-hand side, we see that a lower bound for $k(\mathrm{U}, \mathrm{T})$ gives, for $\delta \ll k(\mathrm{U}, \mathrm{T})$, a lower bound for $1-\alpha^{2}$.

## 2. Proof of Theorem 1.

Let $v_{i}=(0,0, \ldots, 1,0, \ldots, 0)$ be the standard basis for $\mathbf{C}^{n}$ and let

$$
w_{j}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\left(\zeta^{j}, \zeta^{2 j}, \ldots, \zeta^{n j}\right)
$$

Let $V$ be the unitary transformation whose eigenvectors are the $v_{i}^{\prime} \mathrm{s}$, with $\mathrm{V}\left(v_{i}\right)=\zeta^{i} v_{i}$. Of course, the matrix for V is simply the diagonal matrix

$$
\mathrm{V}=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
\zeta^{1} & & 0 \\
& \zeta^{2} & \\
0 & & \zeta^{n}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Similarly, let $W$ be the unitary transformation whose eigenvectors are the $w_{j}^{\prime} s$, with $\mathrm{W}\left(w_{j}\right)=\zeta^{j} \cdot w_{j}$. We compute:

$$
\text { LEMMA. - } \mathrm{W}=\left(\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & 1 & 0 & . & . & . & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & . & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & . & . & . & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

Proof. $-\mathrm{W}=\mathrm{EVE}^{-1}$, where $\mathrm{E}=\left(e_{i j}\right)$ is given by

$$
e_{i j}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \zeta^{i j} .
$$

The lemma now follows by routine calculation.
To prove Theorem 1 (a) it suffices, from the lemma of $\S 1$, to show that $k(\mathrm{~V}, \mathrm{~W})$ tends to 0 as $n$ tends to infinity.

But $V$-I has the matrix expression

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
\zeta-1 & & & 0 \\
& \zeta^{2}-1 \cdot & \\
& & \cdot & \\
0 & & \zeta^{n}-1
\end{array}\right)
$$

so that any element in $\mathrm{V}^{\boldsymbol{\theta}}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|(\mathrm{V}-\mathrm{I})(v)\| \leqslant 2\left|\sin \left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right)\right|\|v\| . \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now consider the vector $v_{n}$ whose $j t h$ coordinate is 1 for $[[j / n]]<\theta$, and is 0 otherwise. Then we have that $v_{n} \in \mathrm{~V}^{\theta}$, so that, by (*) we have

$$
\left\|(\mathrm{V}-\mathrm{I})\left(v_{n}\right)\right\| \leqslant 2\left|\sin \left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right)\right|\left\|v_{n}\right\|
$$

On the other hand, from the lemma, we compute easily that

$$
\left\|(\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{I})\left(v_{n}\right)\right\|=\sqrt{2}
$$

Since $\left\|v_{n}\right\|=\sqrt{2[n \cdot \theta]+1}$, where [ ] denotes the greatest integer function, we have that

$$
k(\mathrm{~V}, \mathrm{~W}) \leqslant \sup \left(2\left|\sin \left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right)\right|, \frac{1}{\sqrt{[n \cdot \theta]+\frac{1}{2}}}\right)
$$

It is then evident that as $n \longrightarrow \infty$, we may choose $\theta \longrightarrow 0$ such that the right-hand side $\longrightarrow 0$, establishing Theorem 1 (a).

To establish $1(b)$, we first notice from the computation of the lemma that whenever $\sigma_{n}$ is sufficiently mixing,

$$
\left\|\left(\mathrm{W} \sigma_{n}-\mathrm{I}\right) v\right\|=(\sqrt{2})\|v\|
$$

for $v \in \mathrm{~V}^{\theta}$. Fixing $\theta$, for $v \in \mathrm{~V}^{\theta}$, let us write

$$
\begin{gathered}
v=w+w^{\perp}, w \in \mathrm{~W}_{\sigma_{n}}^{\theta}, w^{\perp} \in\left(\mathrm{W}_{\sigma_{n}}^{\theta}\right)^{\perp} \\
2\|v\|^{2}=\left\|\mathrm{W}_{\sigma_{n}}(v)-v\right\|^{2}=\left\|\mathrm{W}_{\sigma_{n}}(w)-w\right\|^{2}+\left\|\mathrm{W}_{\sigma_{n}}\left(w^{\perp}\right)-w^{\perp}\right\|^{2} \\
\leqslant 4 \sin ^{2}(\pi \theta) \cdot\|w\|^{2}+4\left\|w^{\perp}\right\|^{2}=4 \sin ^{2}(\pi \theta) \cdot\|w\|^{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
+4\left(\|v\|^{2}-\|w\|^{2}\right)
$$

from which we see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
4\left(1-\sin ^{2}(\pi \theta)\right)\|w\|^{2} \leqslant 2\|v\|^{2} \quad \text { so that } \quad \frac{\|w\|}{\|v\|} & \leqslant \frac{1}{(\sqrt{2})} \cos (\pi \theta) \\
\alpha & \leqslant\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right) \cos (\pi \theta)
\end{aligned}
$$

Choosing $\theta$ smaller that $\frac{1}{4}$ then establishes Theorem $1(b)$.

## 3. Proof of Theorem 2.

We begin this section with a quick review of the result of [3]. For $\mathbf{M}$ a compact manifold, and $\mathbf{M}^{(t)}$ a family of finite covering spaces of $M$, we seek conditions of a combinatorial nature on $\pi_{1}(\mathrm{M}), \pi_{1}\left(\mathrm{M}^{(i)}\right)$ which govern the asymptotic behavior of $\lambda_{1}\left(\mathrm{M}^{(i)}\right)$ as $i$ tends to infinity.

To state the main result of [3], let us assume that the $\mathrm{M}^{(\boldsymbol{(})}$,s are normal coverings of M , so that the group $\pi^{l}=\pi_{1}(\mathrm{M}) / \pi_{1}\left(\mathrm{M}^{(i)}\right)$ are defined. Let us also fix generators $g_{1}, \ldots, g_{k}$ for $\pi(M)-$ note that $g_{1}, \ldots, g_{k}$ also generate all the $\pi^{i} s$.

Let $\mathrm{H}_{i}$ denote orthogonal complement to the constant function in $\mathrm{L}^{2}\left(\pi^{i}\right)$, which carries an obvious unitary structure preserved by the action of $\pi^{i}$.

If $H$ is any space on which $\pi$, acts unitarily, denote by $k(H)$
the "Kazhdan distance" from H to the trivial representation defined by

$$
k(\mathrm{H})=\operatorname{inf.}_{\|\mathrm{X}\|=1} \sup _{i=1, \ldots, k}\left\|g_{i}(\mathrm{X})-\mathrm{X}\right\|
$$

Then we have :

Theorem ([3]). - The following two conditions are equivalent:
a) There exists $c>0$ such that $\lambda_{1}\left(\mathrm{M}^{(i)}\right)>c$ for all $i$
b) There exists $k>0$ such that $k\left(\mathrm{H}_{i}\right)>k$ for all $i$.

We may now extend this result in the following way: we observe that each non-trivial representation of $\pi^{i}$ occurs as an orthogonal direct summand in $\mathrm{H}_{i}$, and furthermore that

$$
k\left(\stackrel{n}{i=1} \mathrm{H}_{i}\right)=\inf k\left(\mathrm{H}_{i}\right)
$$

Hence we may rephrase the Theorem as follows:

Corollary. - The following two conditions are equivalent:
a) There exist $c>0$ such that $\lambda_{1}\left(\mathrm{M}^{(i)}\right)>c$ for all $i$.
b) There exist $k>0$ such that for all $i$ and for every nontrivial irreducible unitary representation H of $\pi^{i}, k(\mathrm{H})>k$.

We now observe that, using the technique of [1] and [2], we may weaken the hypothesis that $M$ be compact. To explain this briefly, let us assume that $M$ has finite volume, and let $F$ be a fundamental domain for $\mathbf{M}$ in $\tilde{M}$.

Recall from [1] that $M$ satisfies an "isoperimetric condition at infinity" if there is a compact subset K of F such that $h(\mathrm{~F}-\mathrm{K})>0$ where $h$ denote the Cheeger isoperimetric constant, with Dirichlet conditions on $\partial \mathrm{K}$ and Neumann conditions on $\partial \mathrm{F}-\partial \mathrm{K}$.

When $M$ is a Riemann surface with finite area and a complete metric of constant negative curvature, then it is easily seen that $M$ satisfies an isoperimetric condition at infinity.

The technique of [1] and [2] then applies directly to show how to adapt the arguments of the compact case to the case when M satisfies an isoperimetric condition at infinity.

We now apply these considerations to the manifolds

$$
\mathrm{M}^{(n)}=\mathbf{H}^{2} / \Gamma_{n}, \text { where } \Gamma_{n} \subset \operatorname{PSL}(2, \mathbf{Z})
$$

is the congruence subgroup

$$
\Gamma_{n}=\left\{\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
c & d
\end{array}\right):\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
c & d
\end{array}\right) \equiv \pm\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)(\bmod n)\right\}
$$

According to the theorem of Selberg [6] mentioned above,

$$
\lambda_{1}\left(H^{2} / \Gamma_{n}\right)>\frac{3}{16} .
$$

Let us fix generators

$$
\mathrm{V}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
1 & 1
\end{array}\right) \quad \mathrm{w}=\left(\begin{array}{rr}
1 & -1 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

for $\operatorname{PSL}(2, \mathbf{Z})$, and observe that $\mathbf{H}^{2} / \Gamma_{n}$ is a finite area Riemann surface covering $\mathrm{H}^{2} / \mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathrm{Z})$, with covering group

$$
\pi^{n}=\operatorname{PSL}(2, \mathbf{Z} / n) .
$$

It follows from the corollary that there is a constant $k>0$ such that, for $H$ any non-trivial irreducible representation of $\operatorname{PSL}(2, \mathbf{Z} / n)$, we have $k(\mathrm{H})>k$.

We now let $n$ be a prime $p$, and fix a Dirichlet character $\chi$ $(\bmod p)$. We will assume that $\chi(-1)=1$. We now consider the following representation $H_{x}$, which is the representation associated to $X$ in the continuous series of representations of PSL $(2, Z / n)$ : The representation of $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{x}}$ is the set of all functions $f$ on

$$
\mathbf{Z} / p \times \mathbf{Z} / p-\{0\}
$$

which transform according to the rule

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(t x, t y)=\chi(t) f(x, y), t \in(\mathbf{Z} / p)^{*} \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

and where PSL $(2, \mathbf{Z} / p)$ acts on $f$ by the rule

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
c & d
\end{array}\right) f(x, y)=f(a x+c y, b x+d y) .
$$

We may take as a basis for $H_{x}$ the functions

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{a}(x, 1) & =1 \quad \text { if } \quad x=\mathrm{a} \\
& =0 \text { otherwise }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
f_{a}(1,0)=0
$$

for $a=0, \ldots, p-1$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{\infty}(x, 1)=0 \quad \text { for } \quad x=0, \ldots, p-1 \\
& f_{\infty}(1,0)=1
\end{aligned}
$$

using (*) to extend the $f_{a}^{\prime} s$ to all values of $x, y$.
Then an orthonormal basis of eigenvectors of V is given by

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
v_{b}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{p}}\left(\sum_{x=0}^{p-1} \zeta^{b x} \cdot f_{x}\right) & \mathrm{V}\left(v^{b}\right)=\zeta^{b} v_{b} \\
v_{\infty}=f_{0} & \mathrm{~V}\left(v_{\infty}\right)=v_{\infty}
\end{array}
$$

and an orthonormal basis of eigenvectors of W is given by

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
w_{b}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{p}}\left(\sum_{x=0}^{p-1} \zeta^{-b x} \chi(x) f_{\bar{x}}\right) & \mathrm{W}\left(w_{b}\right)=\zeta^{b} w_{b} \\
w_{\infty}=f_{0} & \mathrm{~W}\left(w_{\infty}\right)=w_{\infty}
\end{array}
$$

where $\bar{x}$ is the multiplicative inverse of $x(\bmod p)$, and $\overline{0}=\infty$.
When $\chi$ is the trivial character, the vector

$$
\sqrt{\frac{p}{p+1}} v_{0}+\frac{1}{\sqrt{p+1}} v_{\infty}=\sqrt{\frac{p}{p+1}} w_{0}+\frac{1}{\sqrt{p+1}} w_{\infty}
$$

splits off as a trivial representation, but for all other characters $\chi, \mathrm{H}_{\chi}$ is irreducible [4].

Theorem 2 is now an immediate consequence of the corollary above, the lemma of $\S 1$, and Selberg's theorem.
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