

ANNALES DE LA FACULTÉ DES SCIENCES DE TOULOUSE Mathématiques

DANIEL BUMP, PERSI DIACONIS, ANGELA HICKS,
LAURENT MICLO AND HAROLD WIDOM

An Exercise(?) in Fourier Analysis on the Heisenberg Group

Tome XXVI, n° 2 (2017), p. 263-288.

http://afst.cedram.org/item?id=AFST_2017_6_26_2_263_0

© Université Paul Sabatier, Toulouse, 2017, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux articles de la revue « Annales de la faculté des sciences de Toulouse Mathématiques » (<http://afst.cedram.org/>), implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://afst.cedram.org/legal/>). Toute reproduction en tout ou partie de cet article sous quelque forme que ce soit pour tout usage autre que l'utilisation à fin strictement personnelle du copiste est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

cedram

Article mis en ligne dans le cadre du
Centre de diffusion des revues académiques de mathématiques
<http://www.cedram.org/>

An Exercise(?) in Fourier Analysis on the Heisenberg Group

DANIEL BUMP ⁽¹⁾, PERSI DIACONIS ⁽²⁾, ANGELA HICKS ⁽³⁾,
LAURENT MICLO ⁽⁴⁾ AND HAROLD WIDOM ⁽⁵⁾

ABSTRACT. — Let $H(n)$ be the group of 3×3 uni-uppertriangular matrices with entries in $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$, the integers mod n . We show that the simple random walk converges to the uniform distribution in order n^2 steps. The argument uses Fourier analysis and is surprisingly challenging. It introduces novel techniques for bounding the spectrum which are useful for a variety of walks on a variety of groups.

RÉSUMÉ. — Soit $H(n)$ le groupe des matrices supérieures 3×3 ne contenant que des 1 sur la diagonale et dont les entrées appartiennent à $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$, l'anneau des entiers modulo n . On montre que la marche aléatoire simple y converge vers la probabilité uniforme en un temps d'ordre n^2 . La preuve utilise l'analyse de Fourier et, curieusement, n'est pas immédiate. De nouvelles techniques sont introduites pour borner le spectre, qui sont utiles pour d'autres exemples de marches aléatoires sur des groupes.

(1) Department of Mathematics, Stanford University, 450 Serra Mall, Bldg. 380, Stanford, CA 94305-2125, USA — bump@math.stanford.edu

(2) *same address* — diaconis@math.stanford.edu

(3) *same address* — anh316@lehigh.edu

(4) Institut de Mathématiques de Toulouse, Université Paul Sabatier, 118, route de Narbonne, F-31062 Toulouse Cedex 9, France — miclo@math.univ-toulouse.fr

(5) UC Santa Cruz, Department of Mathematics, Santa Cruz, CA 95064, USA — widom@math.ucsc.edu

We have benefited from useful comments and technical help from Laurent Saloff-Coste, Marty Isaacs, Je-Way Zhou, Steven Kivelson, Steve Evans, Mehrdad Shahshahani, Thomas Strohmer, Yi Zhang, Akash Maharaj, and Arun Ram as well as our anonymous referees. The authors would like to thank Florin Boca for directing us to [6]. Also, the first, second, third, and fifth authors would like to acknowledge partial support from NSF grants DMS 1001079, DMS 08-04324, DMS 1303761, and DMS 1400248 (respectively). The fourth author would like to acknowledge partial support from ANR grant number ANR-12-BS01-0019.

1. Introduction

For a positive integer n , let $H(n)$ be the “Heisenberg group mod n ”, the group of 3×3 uni-uppertriangular matrices with entries in $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & x & z \\ 0 & 1 & y \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}.$$

Write such a matrix as (x, y, z) , so

$$(x, y, z)(x', y', z') = (x + x', y + y', z + z' + xy').$$

A minimal symmetric generating set is

$$S = \{(1, 0, 0), (-1, 0, 0), (0, 1, 0), (0, -1, 0)\} \quad (1.1)$$

A random walk proceeds, starting at the identity $(0, 0, 0)$, by repeatedly choosing an element from S with probability $\frac{1}{4}$ and multiplying. More formally, define

$$Q(g) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{4} & g \in S \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

For $g \in H(n)$,

$$Q * Q(g) = \sum_h Q(h)Q(gh^{-1}), \quad Q^{*k}(g) = \sum_h Q(h)Q^{*(k-1)}(gh^{-1}).$$

If n is odd, high convolution powers of Q converge to the uniform distribution $U(g) = \frac{1}{n^3}$, $Q^{*k}(g) \rightarrow U(g)$. Measure the speed of convergence by the total variation distance

$$\|Q^{*k} - U\| = \max_{A \subseteq H} |Q^{*k}(A) - U(A)| = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{g \in H} |Q^{*k}(g) - U(g)|.$$

One purpose of this paper is to give a new proof of the following theorem.

THEOREM 1.1. — *For Q defined by (1.2) on $H(n)$, there are universal positive constants A and C such that*

$$Ae^{-\frac{2\pi^2 k}{n^2}} \leq \|Q^{*k} - U\|_{TV} \leq Ce^{-\frac{2\pi^2 k}{n^2}}$$

for all odd n and all k .

We say “order n^2 steps are necessary and sufficient for convergence”. Theorem 1.1 is proved by Fourier analysis on $H(n)$. This natural approach is known to be challenging (see Section 2). As explained in Section 3, the

building blocks of the extra special p -groups (groups with center, commutator and derived group equal to $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$). See [27] and [44].

The literature on random walks on the Heisenberg group is reviewed in 2.1, the connection to fast Fourier transform is treated in 2.2 the solid state connection is reviewed in 2.3, and the connection to Lévy’s area is given in 2.3. Section 2.5 describes two companion papers. The first generalizes to other groups; the second gives precise asymptotics for the top and bottom eigenvalues of $M(\xi)$.

2.1. Random Walk on $H(n)$

The probabilistic study of a random walk on $H(n)$ was started by Zack ([45]), who gave an interpretation of the walk in terms of random number generation. Theorem 1.1 was first proved in joint work with Saloff-Coste (see [18, 19, 20]) using “geometric theory.” The first proof used Nash inequalities, the second proof uses moderate growth, showing that a similar proof holds for any sequence of finite nilpotent groups with bounded class and number of generators: (diameter)² steps are necessary and sufficient for convergence. (The diameter of $H(n)$ with generating set S is of order n .) The third proof of Theorem 1.1 lifts to a random walk on a free nilpotent group (here $H(\mathbb{Z})$). Then results of Herbish–Saloff-Coste give bounds on the decay rate of convolution powers for the lifted walk. These are transferred down to the finite quotient using Harnack inequalities. The beautiful work of Alexopoulos ([1]) should allow similar results for less symmetric walks on quotients of groups with polynomial growth. A new approach to these problems using Abelian harmonic analysis is in [15].

A fourth proof appears in [14]. This completes the generating set S to a union of conjugacy classes. Character theory is used to bound the conjugacy walk. Finally, comparison theory is used to go from the conjugacy walk to the walk based on S . Richard Stong ([41, 42, 43]) has results which specialize to three yet different proofs. His approach, using “twisted random walks” works for other classes of groups, such as the Burnside groups $B(n, 3)$. It deserves to be developed further.

A further set of approaches/results is worth mentioning. A simple random walk on $n \times n$ uni-uppertriangular matrices with coefficients mod p has also been studied in a series of papers. In this work, the emphasis is on large n and fixed p behavior but some of the results specialize well to $n = 3$. We refer to the definitive paper by Peres and Sly [36] which surveys earlier literature.

Curiously *none* of the papers cited above carries out the program attempted here: a direct use of Fourier analysis. All we can say is, having pushed it through, we now see why.

There has also been work on a random walk on the Heisenberg group $H(\mathbb{R})$. This can be developed as a special case of a random walk on Lie groups. (See Breuillard [7] for a useful survey.) The paper [8] focuses on $H(\mathbb{R})$ and proves a local limit theorem using Fourier analysis. Of course, this is close in spirit to the present effort. Alexopoulos [1] had remarkable decay rates for general discrete groups of polynomial growth.

A natural problem (open to our knowledge) interpolating between the finite and infinite case would be to study the simple random walk on $H(\mathbb{Z})$ with generating set S of (1). Although Breuillard allows discrete measures on $H(\mathbb{R})$, he must rule out measures supported on subgroups. Indeed, as far as we know, the irreducible unitary representations of $H(\mathbb{Z})$ have not been classified (and seem to give rise to a “wild” problem).

If Q is the measure supported on S (considered on $H(\mathbb{Z})$), the associated random walk is transient. It is natural to study the decay rate of Q^{*k} . In [15] it is shown that

$$Q^{*k}(\text{id}) \sim \frac{c}{k^2} \text{ with } c = \frac{25}{16}. \quad (2.1)$$

Notice that (2.1) could be studied by considering Q from (1.2) on $H(n)$ for $n \gg k^2$ (when there is no possibility of the walk wrapping around.) Indeed $H(n\mathbb{Z})$ is a normal subgroup of $H(\mathbb{Z})$ and all of the irreducible unitary representations of $H(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$ described in 3.2 give rise to irreducible unitary representations of $H(\mathbb{Z})$ via

$$H(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H(\mathbb{Z})/H(n\mathbb{Z}) = H(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow GL(V)$$

where V is the vector space underlying the representation of $H(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$. Those quotients are all of the finite dimensional simple representations of $H(\mathbb{Z})$. (See [35].) The usual infinite dimensional irreducible unitary representations of $H(\mathbb{R})$ restricted to $H(\mathbb{Z})$ are also irreducible but alas there are others which do not seem to be known at this writing.

2.2. The Discrete Fourier Connection

For $f : \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, the discrete Fourier transform (DFT) takes $f \rightarrow \hat{f}$ with

$$\hat{f}(k) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{\xi=0}^{n-1} f(\xi) e^{\frac{2\pi i \xi k}{n}}.$$

This is a mainstay of scientific computing because of the fast algorithms (FFT). There is a close connection between the discrete Heisenberg groups $H(n)$ and these transforms. This is charmingly exposted in Auslander and Tolimieri ([3]). Let the matrix associated with the DFT be \mathcal{F}_n , with $(\mathcal{F}_n)_{j,k} = \frac{e^{-\frac{2\pi ijk}{n}}}{\sqrt{n}}$. Recall the matrix of the Fourier transform $M(1)$ at (1.3). It is straightforward to see that \mathcal{F}_n commutes with $M(1)$:

$$\mathcal{F}_n^{-1}M(1)\mathcal{F}_n = M(1).$$

This implies that these Hermitian matrices can be simultaneously diagonalized. As explained in [21] and [34], there is engineering interest in diagonalizing \mathcal{F}_n . We are interested in diagonalizing $M(1)$ and hoped information about \mathcal{F}_n would help.

Alas, $\mathcal{F}_n^4 = \text{id}$, so the eigenvalues are $\pm 1, \pm i$. It is known that the associated eigenspaces have dimension (within one of) $\frac{n}{4}$ ([21]). This does not give a useful reduction for our problem. Going the other way, Dickinson and Steiglitz [21] looked for matrices commuting with \mathcal{F}_n and suggested $M(1)$ (!). Mehta [34] found a basis for eigenfunctions of \mathcal{F}_n as “periodicized Hermite functions”. These are tantalizingly close to the approximate eigenfunctions for $M(1)$ that we find in [11]. We are sure there is more to understand on this front.

2.3. Harpers Operator

In trying to understand the band structure of the x-ray diffraction patterns of various solids (particularly metals), a variety of model problems involving Schrödinger operators with periodic potentials have been introduced and studied. Perhaps the most widely studied model is Harper’s model on $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ with Hamiltonian

$$H_{\theta,\phi}\xi(n) = \xi(n+1) + \xi(n-1) + 2\cos(2\pi(n\theta + \phi))\xi(n),$$

$n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\theta, \phi \in [0, 1]$. The spectrum of $H_{\theta,\phi}$ is pictured as “Hofstadter’s Butterfly” with its own Wikipedia page. With $2\cos$ replaced by $A\cos$, the operator is often called the almost Mathieu operator (with its own Wikipedia page). For θ irrational, the norm of $H_{\theta,\phi}$ is known not to depend on ϕ . Our operator $M(\xi)$ is a discretized version of $H_{\frac{\xi}{n},0}$ which is often used to get numerical approximations to the spectrum. It has also been used to prove things, see the beautiful account in Section 3 of Béguin–Valette–Zuk [5]. They give bounds on the largest and smallest eigenvalues of $M(\xi)$ which we are able to sharpen considerably in some cases. Study of the extreme eigenvalues of Harper’s operator is also carried out in [6].

Any periodic Schrödinger operator has band structure in its spectrum because of Bloch's Theorem [23]. Determining the fine structure of the spectrum of $H_{\theta, \psi}$ has generated a large literature well surveyed in [29]. One of the signature problems here was dubbed the "Ten Martini's problem" by Barry Simon. It has recently been completely resolved by work of Last [29], and Avila and Jitomirskaya [4].

After finishing our work on the present paper, the authors of [6] pointed us to their careful study of the eigenvalues of the almost Mathieu operator. By elementary, detailed, clever arguments they get sharp bounds on the top eigenvalues of the matrices in (1.3) and some generalizations. We review their work in Section 4. There is considerable interest in a variety of similar operators, e.g. in $M(\xi)$, replace $\cos\left(\frac{2\pi\theta j}{n}\right)$ with $\cos\left(\frac{2\pi\theta j}{n}\right) + \cos\left(\frac{2\pi\xi j}{n}\right)$. See Zhang et al. [46] and their references. We have tried to develop our bounds on the spectrum of $M(\xi)$ (in Section 4) so that it applies to these generalizations.

2.4. Lévy Area Heuristics

The random walk on $H(\mathbb{Z})$ with generating set $S = \{(1, 0, 0), (-1, 0, 0), (0, 1, 0), (0, -1, 0)\}$ leads to interesting probability theory which gives a useful heuristic picture of the behavior on $H(n)$ as well. This section gives the heuristics; mathematical details will appear in a later publication.

Let the successive steps of the walk be

$$(\epsilon_1, \delta_1, 0), (\epsilon_2, \delta_2, 0), \dots, (\epsilon_n, \delta_n, 0), \dots \in S.$$

By a simple direct computation, $(\epsilon_n, \delta_n, 0) \dots (\epsilon_1, \delta_1, 0) = (X_n, Y_n, Z_n)$ with

$$\begin{aligned} X_n &= \epsilon_1 + \dots + \epsilon_n, & Y_n &= \delta_1 + \dots + \delta_n, \\ Z_n &= \epsilon_2\delta_1 + \epsilon_3(\delta_1 + \delta_2) + \dots + \epsilon_n(\delta_1 + \dots + \delta_{n-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus the X_n and Y_n coordinates perform simple random walks on \mathbb{Z} ($P(\epsilon_i = 1) = P(\epsilon_i = -1) = \frac{1}{4}$) and ($P(\epsilon_i = 0) = \frac{1}{2}$). The Local Central Limit Theorem (see [30]) shows

$$P\{X_n = j\} \sim \frac{e^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{j}{\sigma\sqrt{n}}\right)^2}}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2n}} \quad \text{with } \sigma^2 = \frac{1}{2}.$$

The same result holds for Y_n and indeed (X_n, Y_n) have independent Gaussian approximations. In particular,

$$P\{(X_n, Y_n) = (0, 0)\} \sim \frac{1}{\pi n}.$$

Next consider Z_n . This is a martingale and a straightforward application of the martingale central limit theorem (see [24]) shows that

$$P \left\{ \frac{Z_n}{n} \leq \xi \right\} \rightarrow F(\xi)$$

with $F(\xi)$ the distribution function of

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 B_1(s) dB_2(s)$$

with B_i standard Brownian motion. We note that $\int_0^1 B_1(t) dB_2(t) - \int_0^1 B_2(t) dB_1(t)$ is Lévy's area integral, the distribution of the signed area between Brownian motion and its chord at time 1.

In turn,

$$\int_0^1 B_1(s) dB_2(s)$$

can be shown to have the density

$$f(\xi) = \frac{1}{\pi^{\frac{3}{2}}} \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) 2^{\frac{3}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{4} + \frac{i\xi}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{4} - \frac{i\xi}{2}\right), \quad -\infty < \xi < \infty$$

See Harkness and Harkness [25] for background and details.

If $\frac{X_n}{\sqrt{n}}, \frac{Y_n}{\sqrt{n}}, \frac{Z_n}{\sqrt{n}}$ are asymptotically independent, this would suggest that

$$P\{(X_n, Y_n, Z_n) = (0, 0, 0)\} \sim \frac{c}{n^2}, \quad c = \frac{4\Gamma^2\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)}{\pi^2}.$$

Note that Breuillard [8] has a local limit theorem of a similar sort for a non-lattice walk on $H(\mathbb{R})$ with a less well identified constant. A further heuristic conclusion which this paper makes rigorous: the walk on $H(\mathbb{Z})$ can be mapped to the walk on $H(m)$ by considering the coordinates mod m . This familiar trick works, for example, for $X_n(\text{mod } m)$, showing that the simple random walk mod (m) requires order m^2 steps to get close to uniform. The same argument works for the joint distribution of (X_n, Y_n) . The proof for these cases requires something like the Berry–Esseen Theorem. See Diaconis [13] for details for $X_n(\text{mod } m)$ and [1] for details on $H(n)$. The limit theorem for $\frac{Z_n}{n}$ suggests that order m steps are necessary and sufficient. This suggest that order m^2 steps are necessary and sufficient for the central coordinate to get random. A weaker result along these lines is in Section 3.4. Sharp results are in [15].

This aspect of “features” of a Markov chain getting to their stationary distribution at different rates is important in practice. A simulation is often run with just a few features of interest and the relaxation time for the whole state space may be deceptive. See [2] for references to a handful of cases

where this can be carefully proved. Generalizing, if $U_n(m)$ is the group of $n \times n$ uni-uppertriangular matrices with coefficients mod m , let a simple random walk be determined by picking one of the $n - 1$ coordinates just above the diagonal and placing ± 1 there (with the rest zero.) We conjecture that coordinates in the first diagonal require order m^2 step; in the second diagonal, order m steps; in the third diagonal, order $m^{\frac{3}{2}}$ steps; with order $m^{2/k}$ steps for the k th diagonal. Here n is fixed, $1 \leq k \leq n - 1$ and m large are the cases of interest. This conjecture is proved in [15].

2.5. Future Developments

The present paper has two companion papers. The first [10] abstracts the present results to a larger class of walks and groups. The second gets much more precise bounds on the extreme eigenvalues of the matrices $M(\xi)$. Since essentially these matrices come up for the generalizations, present techniques and the refinements can be used to give satisfactory analyses of the generalizations.

Let G be a finite group with a normal abelian subgroup A and a subgroup B such that $G = BA$, $B \cap A = id$. Thus $G = B \rtimes A$ is a semi-direct product. Let a_1, \dots, a_s be a symmetric generating set for A ; b_1, \dots, b_t a symmetric generating set for B . Then $\{a_i, b_j\}$ generate G . Consider the probability measure (with $0 < \theta < 1$ fixed):

$$Q(g) = \begin{cases} \frac{\theta}{s} & \text{if } g \in \{a_1, \dots, a_s\} \\ \frac{1-\theta}{t} & \text{if } g \in \{b_1, \dots, b_t\} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The representation theory of semi-direct products is well known. See Serre Chapter 7 ([40]). The irreducible representations are determined by the representations of B and by inducing the characters of A up to G . Let $\chi \in \hat{A}$. If $\rho_\chi(b, a)$ is the induced representation (a $|B| \times |B|$ matrix),

$$\hat{Q}(\rho_\chi) = \theta P_B + (1 - \theta)D,$$

with P_B the transition matrix of the B -walk (based on a uniform choice of b_i) and D a diagonal matrix with b th element

$$D(b, b) = \frac{1}{s} \sum_{i=1}^s \chi(b^{-1} a_i b).$$

This is in sharp parallel with the present development and we have found that the techniques of the present Section 4 suffice for successful analyses. Examples from [10] include:

- The random walk on $H(n)$ generated by $(x(i), 0, 0)$ and $(0, y(j), 0)$ for (perhaps non symmetric) generating sets $1 \leq i \leq I$, $1 \leq j \leq J$ chosen with some (perhaps non-uniform) probabilities.
- The d -dimensional Heisenberg groups $G = (\underline{x}, \underline{y}, z)$, $\underline{x}, \underline{y} \in (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^d$, $z \in (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$.
- The affine groups: $G = (a, b)$, $\theta \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$, $a \in (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^*$.

These and further examples are treated in [10]. That paper also develops a useful supercharacter theory which allows the comparison approach [14, 17] to be used for this class of examples. The second companion paper gives sharp bounds on the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of matrices $M(\xi)$, for certain choices of ξ and dimension m . For ease of exposition, work with $M(1)$. It is shown that the k th largest eigenvalue of $M(1)$ is equal to $1 - \frac{\mu_k}{2n} + o(\frac{1}{n})$ where μ_k is the k th smallest eigenvalue of the harmonic oscillator

$$L = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{d^2}{dx^2} + 2\pi^2 x^2.$$

on $(-\infty, \infty)$ (so $\mu_k = (2k + 1)\pi$), $0 \leq k < \infty$ fixed. The corresponding eigenfunctions of L are well known to be Hermite functions; with $a = \pi$,

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_0(x) &= e^{-ax^2} \\ \phi_1(x) &= -4ax e^{-ax^2} \\ &\vdots \\ \phi_n(x) &= e^{ax^2} \frac{d^n}{dx^n} (e^{-2ax^2}) = e^{ax^2} \frac{d}{dx} e^{-ax^2} \phi_{n-1}(x) \end{aligned}$$

It is also shown that wrapped versions of these are approximate eigenfunctions of $M(1)$. Numerical comparisons, not reported here, show that these approximate eigenfunctions are very accurate for fixed k and large n .

The present technique gives cruder results which are all that we need and are available for all ξ .

3. Representation Theory

This section develops the tools needed for representation theory: Fourier inversion, the Plancherel Theorem, representations of $H(n)$, and a formula

for the distribution of central elements under convolution powers. Theorem 1.1 is proved when n is prime assuming the eigenvalue bounds in the following Section 4. For background, see [40] and [13].

3.1. Basic Formulas

Let G be a finite group with \hat{G} the irreducible unitary representations. For Q a probability on G and $\rho \in \hat{G}$, define the Fourier Transform:

$$\hat{Q}(\rho) = \sum_g Q(g)\rho(g).$$

As usual, Fourier transforms take convolution into products: $\widehat{Q * Q}(\rho) = (\hat{Q}(\rho))^2$. For the uniform distribution $U(g) = \frac{1}{|G|}$, Schur's lemma implies that $\hat{U}(\rho) = 0$ for all nontrivial $\rho \in \hat{G}$. The Fourier Inversion Theorem shows how to reconstruct Q from $\hat{Q}(\rho)$:

$$Q(g) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{\rho \in \hat{G}} d_\rho \operatorname{tr}(\hat{Q}(\rho)\rho(g)^*).$$

The basic Upper Bound Lemma (see [14, p. 24]) gives a bound on the distance to stationary using the Fourier Transform:

$$4\|Q^{*k} - U\|_{TV}^2 \leq \sum_{\substack{\rho \in \hat{G} \\ \rho \neq 1}} d_\rho \|\hat{Q}^k(\rho)\|^2.$$

In these sums, d_ρ is the dimension of ρ , $\|M\|^2 = \operatorname{tr}(MM^*)$ and $\rho = 1$ is the trivial representation.

3.2. Representation Theory of $H(n)$

A neat elementary description of the representations of $H(n)$ appears in [22]. We find the following self contained derivation more useful for our application. For every divisor m of n , $1 \leq m \leq n$, there are $\left(\frac{n}{m}\right)^2 \phi(m)$ distinct irreducible representations of degree m , where $\phi(m)$ is the Euler phi function. Since

$$\sum_{m|n} \left(\frac{n}{m}\right)^2 \phi(m)m^2 = n^3,$$

this is a complete set.

For the construction, fix $m|n$. Let

$$V = \{f : \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\}.$$

Now fix $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}/(\frac{n}{m}\mathbb{Z})$ and $c \in \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ with $(c, m) = 1$. Let $q_m = e^{2\pi i/m}$, $q_n = e^{2\pi i/n}$. Define $\rho_{a,b,c}(x, y, z) : V \rightarrow V$ by

$$\rho_{a,b,c}(x, y, z)f(j) = q_n^{ax+by} q_m^{c(yj+z)} f(j+x).$$

In these formulas, regard $\mathbb{Z}/(\frac{n}{m}\mathbb{Z})$ and $\mathbb{Z}/(m\mathbb{Z})$ as subgroups of $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ by sending 1 to m (resp. n/m .) Using $(x, y, z)(x'y'z') = (x+x', y+y', z+z'+xy')$, it is easy to check that $\rho_{a,b,c}$ is a representation:

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{a,b,c}(x, y, z)\rho_{a,b,c}(x', y', z')f(j) &= \rho_{a,b,c}(x, y, z)q_n^{ax'+by'} q_m^{c(y'j+z')} f(j+x') \\ &= q_n^{a(x+x')+b(y+y')} q_m^{c(y'(j+x)+z'+yj+z)} f(j+x+x') \\ &= \rho_{a,b,c}(x+x', y+y', z+z'+xy')f(j). \end{aligned}$$

To compute the character, note that the trace of $\rho_{a,b,c}(x, y, z)$ is zero unless $m|x$. In this case, the trace is $q_n^{ax+by} q_m^{cz} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} q_m^{cyj}$. This sum is zero unless $m|y$ (when it is m). Thus:

$$\chi_{a,b,c}(x, y, z) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{unless } m|x \text{ and } m|y \\ q_n^{ax+by} q_m^{cz} m & \text{if } m|x, y. \end{cases}$$

Distinctness and irreducibility can be determined by computing:

$$\langle \chi_{a,b,c} | \chi_{a',b',c'} \rangle = \frac{m^2}{n^3} \sum_{j_1, j_2, z} q_n^{m(a-a')j_1+m(b-b')j_2} q_m^{(c-c')z} = \delta_{abc, a'b'c'}.$$

In the sum, j_1, j_2 run over $0, 1, \dots, n/m - 1$ and z runs over $0, 1, \dots, n - 1$. Since a, b can take any values in $0, 1, \dots, \frac{n}{m} - 1$ and c runs over numbers relatively prime to m , this makes $(\frac{n}{m})^2 \phi(m)$ distinct irreducible characters of dimension m . Summing over divisors of n accounts for all of the characters.

Example 3.1. — When $m = 1$, this gives the n^2 one dimensional characters $\chi_{a,b}(x, y, z) = q_n^{ax+by}$. Note that $q_1 = 1$ so that c doesn't occur.)

Example 3.2. — When $m = 2$, set

$$\delta(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \text{ is even} \\ 0 & \text{if } x \text{ is odd,} \end{cases}$$

with $\overline{\delta(x)} = 1 - \delta(x)$.

$$\rho_{a,b,1}(x, y, z) = q_n^{ax+by} q_m^{cz} \begin{bmatrix} \delta(x) & \overline{\delta(x)} \\ \overline{\delta(x)} q_m^y & \delta(x) q_m^y \end{bmatrix}$$

Note that $\text{tr}(\rho_{a,b,1}(x, y, z))$ agrees with $\chi_{a,b,1}$ above.

This term is of size $e^{-B\eta}$ if $k = \eta p^2$ with $B = 2\pi^2$. A standard analysis, carried out in detail in [14] shows that the other terms in (I) can be similarly bounded and that the sum of these terms is at most $Ce^{-4\pi^2\eta}$ for a universal constant C , independent of p and k . Consider the next sum (II). The matrix $M(\xi)$ is symmetric with real eigenvalues $1 > \beta_1(\xi) \geq \dots \geq \beta_p(\xi) > -1$. In Section 4 it is shown that for $0 < \xi < \frac{p}{\log(p)}$,

$$\beta^*(\xi) = \max(\beta_1(\xi), -\beta_p(\xi)) \leq 1 - \frac{\theta}{p^{4/3}}$$

for a universal constant θ . Also, for $\frac{p}{\log(p)} \leq \xi < \frac{p}{2}$, it is shown that

$$\beta^*(\xi) \leq 1 - \frac{3}{4} \left(\frac{\xi}{p} \right)^2.$$

Furthermore note that

$$\beta^*(\xi) = \beta^*(p - \xi).$$

Indeed, as mentioned in Section 2.5, much sharper bounds are found. These are not relevant for this proof. Using these bounds with $\bar{\theta} = \min\{\theta, \frac{3}{4}\}$,

$$II \leq p^3 \left(1 - \frac{\bar{\theta}}{p^{4/3}} \right)^{2k} + p^3 \left(1 - \frac{\bar{\theta}}{\log(p)} \right)^{2k}.$$

This is exponentially smaller than the bound for I , completing the proof of the upper bound.

A lower bound for the total variation follows from a lower bound for the total variation convergence of the $(1, 2)$ coordinate X_k to the uniform distribution on $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$. As explained in Section 2.3, X_k evolves as a simple random walk on $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ and a lower bound showing that $k = \theta p^2$ steps is not sufficient for a fixed θ is well known. See [13] for several different proofs. This completes the proof.

We will not carry out the details of the proof of Theorem 1.1 in the case of general n . Bounds for the various transforms $\hat{Q}(a, b, c)$ are derived in Section 4. No new ideas are needed.

3.4. A Formula for the Center

Let $n = p$ be an odd prime. The center of the Heisenberg group is $\{(0, 0, z) : z \in \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}\}$. Let (X_k, Y_k, Z_k) be the position of the walk after k steps. Then Z_k will be referred to as the central element. A formula for $P\{Z_k = z\}$ can be derived from the Fourier Inversion Theorem. As a corollary, we show that Z_k is close to uniformly distributed after order p steps.

PROPOSITION 3.5. — *For a simple random walk on $H(p)$ with p an odd prime, all $k \geq 0$, and $z \in \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$,*

$$P\{Z_k = z\} = \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p^2} \sum_{\xi=1}^{p-1} e^{\frac{-2\pi i \xi z}{p}} \sum_{j=1}^p (\hat{Q}(\rho_\xi)^k)_{1,j}.$$

Proof. — From the Fourier Inversion Theorem, for any (x, y, z)

$$P(X_k = x, Y_k = y, Z_k = z) = \frac{1}{p^3} \sum_{\rho \in \hat{G}} d_\rho \operatorname{Tr} \left((\hat{Q}(\rho))^k \rho((x, y, z)^{-1}) \right).$$

Sum over x and y and bring this sum inside the trace. The terms for the 1-dimensional representations are easily seen to vanish except of course for the trivial representation which contributes $p^2/p^3 = 1/p$. For the p dimensional representations, writing ρ_ξ for $\rho_{0,0,\xi}$, $\rho_\xi((x, y, z)^{-1}) = \rho_\xi(-x, -y, -z + xy)$. In the basis of Section 2.3

$$\rho_\xi(-x, -y, -z + xy)f(j) = e^{\frac{2\pi i \xi}{p}(-yj - z + xy)} \delta(j, x) f(j - x).$$

Fixing x and summing over y , $\sum_y e^{\frac{-2\pi i \xi}{p}(j-x)y} = p\delta(j, x)$. Then,

$$\sum_y \rho_\xi(-x, -y, -z + xy)f(j) = pe^{\frac{-2\pi i \xi z}{p}} f(0).$$

Summing over x gives

$$\sum_{x,y} \rho_\xi(-x, -y, -z + xy) = pe^{-\frac{2\pi i \xi z}{p}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Multiplying by $\hat{Q}(\rho_\xi)^k$ and taking the trace gives the result. \square

COROLLARY 3.6. — *Let Z_k be the central element after k steps of a simple random walk on the Heisenberg Group $H(p)$. There exists a universal $\theta > 0$ such that for all primes p and all $k \geq 1$ and all z*

$$\left| P(Z_k = z) - \frac{1}{p} \right| \leq \frac{e^{-\theta k/p}}{(1 - e^{-\theta k/p})}.$$

As a consequence, if $P_k = P(Z_k = z)$ and $U(z) = \frac{1}{p}$,

$$\|P_k - U\|_{TV} \leq \frac{pe^{-\theta k/p}}{1 - e^{-\theta k/p}}.$$

Proof. — From Proposition 3.5, using the symmetry of the walk,

$$P\{Z_k = z\} = \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p^2} \sum_{\xi=1}^{p-1} \cos\left(\frac{2\pi \xi z}{p}\right) \sum_{j=1}^p \hat{Q}(\rho_\xi)_{1,j}^k. \quad (3.1)$$

The matrix $\hat{Q}(\rho_\xi)^k$ is symmetric and can be written as

$$\hat{Q}(\rho_\xi)^k = OD^kO^T$$

with O an orthogonal and D a diagonal matrix containing the eigenvalues $\beta_l(\xi)$. The (i, j) entry of $\hat{Q}(\rho_\xi)^k$ is thus (with $r_{i,l}$ the (i, l) th element of O and $c_{lj} = r_{jl}$.)

$$\sum_{l=1}^p \beta_l^k(\xi) r_{il} c_{lj} \leq \left(\left[\sum_l \beta_l^{2k}(\xi) r_{il}^2 \right] \left[\sum_l c_{lj}^2 \right] \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq |\beta_*(\xi)|^k.$$

In [11] we show that the maximum eigenvalue $\beta_*(\xi)$ satisfies $\beta_*(\xi) = \beta_*(-a)$ for $1 \leq \xi \leq \frac{p-1}{2}$ and that there is a universal $\theta > 0$ such that

$$|\beta_*(\xi)| \leq 1 - \frac{\theta\xi}{p}.$$

Using $|\cos(x)| \leq 1$, and $1 - x \leq e^{-x}$ and the given bound for $\hat{Q}(\rho_\xi)^k$ gives

$$\left| P(Z_k = z) - \frac{1}{p} \right| \leq \frac{e^{-\frac{\theta k}{p}}}{\left(1 - e^{-\frac{\theta k}{p}}\right)}.$$

Summing this gives the total variation bound. □

Remark 3.7. — Corollary 3.6 shows that the total variation distance of the central component to uniform is small after order $p \log(p)$ steps. It is not hard to see that order p steps are required. In [15] a local central limit theorem on $H(\mathbb{Z})$ is used to prove that order p steps suffice. The argument there works for larger upper triangular groups and shows that for simple random walks, elements in the k th diagonal take order $p^{\frac{2}{k}}$ steps to get random for any fixed k and large p .

4. Eigenvalue Bounds

This section gives upper and lower bounds for the eigenvalues of the matrices $M(\xi)$ of (1.3). Recall that these are $n \times n$, with $\frac{1}{4}$ in the upper right and lower left corners and $\frac{1}{2} \cos\left(\frac{2\pi\xi j}{n}\right)$ for $0 \leq j \leq n-1$ on the main diagonal. These matrices have some symmetry properties, noted in Section 4.1, which simplifies the job. Section 4.2 gives upper bounds for the largest eigenvalue of the form $1 - \theta \left(\frac{\xi}{n}\right)^{\frac{4}{3}}$ for θ an absolute positive constant and $\xi \ll n$. The argument makes novel use of bounds for Dirichlet eigenvalues using geometric path techniques. It is useful for more general diagonal entries and less structured matrices. A different variant bounds the largest eigenvalues for larger ξ . Section 4.3 gives lower bounds for the smallest eigenvalues.

These use quite different ideas: Comparisons with matrices constructed to have “nice” eigenvalues.

The following series of figures shows numerical examples. Figure 4.1 shows the top eigenvalues $\beta_1(\xi)$ of $M(\xi)$ when $n = 150$. As ξ varies from $1, 2, \dots$ for small ξ , the top eigenvalues are close to 1 and fall off as ξ increases but they are not monotone. The evident symmetry $\beta_1(c) = \beta_1(n - c)$ is proved in Section 4.1. Figure 4.2 shows the smallest eigenvalues of $M(\xi)$. While these appear as a mirror image of Figure 4.1, a closer look at the numbers shows this is only an approximation and the same proof method does not work. Figure 4.3 shows all of the eigenvalues of $M(1)$ for $n = 150$.




Figure 4.1. The top eigenvalues of $M(\xi)$

Quite sharp bounds for the largest and smallest eigenvalues of the matrices $M(\xi)$ appear in [6]. Specializing their results to our situation, they show that $\beta^*(\xi) \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ for $\frac{2\xi}{n} \in [\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}]$. For $\frac{2\xi}{n} \in [0, \frac{1}{4}]$, they show that

$$\beta^*(\xi)^2 \leq \frac{1}{16} \left(8 + 8 \left(\cos \left(\frac{2\pi\xi}{n} \right) - \sin \left(\frac{2\pi\xi}{n} \right) \right) \cos \left(\frac{2\pi\xi}{n} \right) \right).$$

Taylor expansions show that this second set of bounds gives $\beta^*(\xi) \leq 1 - \frac{c\xi}{n} + O\left(\frac{1}{n^2}\right)$. For an explicit constant c , comparison with the sharp asymptotics in [11] show that their bounds are highly accurate. The ideas used in this section, however, are quite robust to the detailed entries of the matrices. (Indeed, the bounds are quite rough, but more than enough for our purposes.)




Figure 4.2. The lowest eigenvalues of $M(\xi)$




Figure 4.3. All the eigenvalues of $M(1)$

4.1. Symmetries and Inclusions

While many of the properties proved in this section are quite robust, the following more special properties are needed. For positive integers n , ξ and any real α , let $D_{n,\xi,\alpha}$ denote the $n \times n$ diagonal matrix with diagonal entries:

$$D_{n,\xi,\alpha}^{(j)} = \cos\left(\frac{2\pi\xi}{n}(\alpha + j)\right) \quad 0 \leq j \leq n-1.$$

Let $M_{n,\xi,\alpha} = \frac{1}{2}(D_{n,\xi,\alpha} + \mathcal{P})$ where \mathcal{P} is the transition matrix of a simple random walk on $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ ($\frac{1}{2}$ on the diagonals just above and below the main diagonal and $\frac{1}{2}$ in the upper right and lower left corners.) Thus $M_{n,\xi,0} = M(\xi)$. Let $S(n, \xi, \alpha)$ be the spectrum of $M_{n,\xi,\alpha}$.

PROPOSITION 4.1.

- (a) $S(n, \xi, 0) = S(n, n - \xi, 0)$
- (b) For all positive integer k , $S(n, \xi, \alpha) \subseteq S(kn, k\xi, \alpha)$
- (c) If n is even, $S(n, \xi, \alpha) = -S\left(n, \xi, \alpha + \frac{n}{2\xi}\right)$
- (d) If n is odd, $S(n, \xi, \alpha) \subseteq -S\left(2n, 2\xi, \alpha + \frac{n}{2\xi}\right)$

Proof. — For (a),

$$\cos\left(\frac{2\pi(n-\xi)}{n}j\right) = \cos\left(2\pi j - \frac{2\pi\xi}{n}j\right) = \cos\left(\frac{2\pi\xi}{n}j\right).$$

Thus $M(n, \xi, 0) = M(n, n - \xi, 0)$. For (b), if ϕ is an eigenvector of $M_{n,\xi,\alpha}$ then juxtaposing it k times gives an eigenvector of $M_{kn,k\xi,\alpha}$ with the same eigenvalue because of periodicity. For (c), suppose ψ is an eigenvector of $M_{n,\xi,\alpha}$ with associated eigenvalue θ . Thus:

$$\frac{1}{4}(\psi(j+1) + \psi(j-1)) + 1/2 \cos\left(\frac{2\pi\xi}{n}(\alpha + j)\right) \psi(j) = \theta\psi(j)$$

Set $\phi(j) = (-1)^j\psi(j)$. Then

$$\frac{1}{4}(\phi(j+1) + \phi(j-1)) - \frac{1}{2} \cos\left(\frac{2\pi\xi}{n}(\alpha + j)\right) \phi(j) = -\theta\psi(j)$$

but $-\cos\left(\frac{2\pi\xi}{n}(\alpha + j)\right) = \cos\left(\frac{2\pi\xi}{n}\left(\alpha + j + \frac{n}{2\xi}\right)\right)$.

For (d), properties (b) and (c) imply that

$$S(n, \xi, \alpha) \subseteq S(2n, 2\xi, \alpha) = -S\left(2n, 2\xi, \alpha + \frac{n}{2\xi}\right). \quad \square$$

Remark 4.2. — The operator with 1s above and below the diagonal (and in the corners) and $V \cos\left(\frac{2\pi\xi}{n}(j + \alpha)\right)$ with $V > 0$ a constant is a discrete version of the “almost Mathieu operator” of solid state physics. See [46]. The discrete operator corresponds to $4M(n, \xi, \alpha)$ when $V = 2$. This is well known to be the critical case in the theory. The ξ 's of physical interest scale as $O(n)$, which corresponds to the case when \mathbb{Z}_n is replaced by \mathbb{Z} . When $V > 2$ the eigenfunctions are localized. For $V < 2$, they are spread out. The spectrum when $V = 2$ is called “Hofstadter’s Butterfly.” Its spectral properties have recently been observed in graphene [37]. All our $\frac{\xi}{n}$ ratios are rational. It is

a fascinating question if the limit as $\frac{\xi}{n}$ tends to an irrational has limiting spectrum matching the spectrum of Harper's operator on ℓ^2 . See [46] for further discussion.

4.2. Dirichlet Path Arguments

For general n , $M(\xi) = \hat{Q}(0, 0, \xi)$ is an $n \times n$ matrix with $\frac{1}{2} \cos\left(\frac{2\pi\xi j}{n}\right)$ on the main diagonal, $\frac{1}{4}$ on the super and subdiagonals and in the upper right and lower left corners. Then $\frac{1}{2}I + M(\xi)$ has non-negative entries with row sums in $[\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}]$. Finally, $L_\xi = \frac{1}{3}I + \frac{2}{3}M(\xi)$ is a substochastic matrix with $\frac{1}{6}$ on the super and subdiagonals (and the corners) and $\frac{1}{3} \left(1 + \cos\left(\frac{2\pi\xi j}{n}\right)\right)$ on the main diagonal for $0 \leq j \leq n-1$. The row sums are between $\frac{1}{3}$ and 1. Form an $(n+1) \times (n+1)$ stochastic matrix K_ξ by adding an absorbing state ∞ , with transitions from j to ∞ , $K_\xi(j, \infty) = \frac{1}{3} \left(1 - \cos\left(\frac{2\pi\xi j}{n}\right)\right)$. Set $K(\infty, \infty) = 1$. Bounds on the eigenvalues of L_ξ (and so $M(\xi)$) give bounds on the Dirichlet eigenvalues of K_ξ . Use the notation of [16, Section 4.2] with $S = \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$, $\bar{S} = S \cup \{\infty\}$. The Markov chain K_ξ restricted to S is connected. Let $U(j) = 1/n$, $0 \leq j \leq n-1$ with

$$\ell^2(U) = \{f : \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\}$$

having inner product $\langle f|g \rangle_U = \sum_{j \in S} f(j)g(j)U(j)$. As required, for all $x, y \in S$, $U(x)K_\xi(x, y) = U(y)K_\xi(y, x)$. When needed, functions f on S are extended to \bar{S} as $f(\infty) = 0$. (Also set $U(\infty) = 0$.) Define a Dirichlet form \mathcal{E} on $\ell^2(U)$ by

$$\mathcal{E}(f|f) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{x, y \in \bar{S}} (f(x) - f(y))^2 U(x) K_\xi(x, y).$$

Let $\beta = \beta(\xi)$ be the largest eigenvalue of L_ξ . From [16, Lemma 19], if there is a constant $A > 0$ such that $\|f\|_U^2 \leq A\|\mathcal{E}(f)\|$, for all $f \in \ell^2(U)$, then $\beta \leq 1 - \frac{1}{A}$. This shows that the largest eigenvalue of $M(\xi)$ is at most $1 - \frac{3}{2A}$.

Geometric arguments require a choice of paths γ_x starting at $x \in S$ to ∞ , with steps which are possible with respect to K_ξ . Thus $\gamma_x = (x_0 = x, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d = \infty)$ with $K_\xi(x_i, x_{i+1}) > 0$ for $0 \leq i \leq d-1$. Let $d = |\gamma_x|$ denote the length of this path. From [16, Proposition 2c],

$$\beta \leq 1 - \frac{1}{A} \text{ with } A = \max_{x \in S, y \in \bar{S}} \frac{2}{K_\xi(x, y)} \sum_{z \in S, (x, y) \in \gamma_z} |\gamma_z|. \quad (4.1)$$

The bounds are better if A is “small.” From (4.1) this happens if both the paths are chosen so that no edge occurs too often and the (x, y) edges

that appear don't have small value of $K_\xi(x, y)$. With these preliminaries we next prove:

PROPOSITION 4.3. — *There exists a positive constant θ such that for all n and $1 \leq \xi \leq \frac{n}{\log n}$, the largest eigenvalue $\beta(\xi)$ of $M(\xi)$ satisfies*

$$\beta(\xi) \leq 1 - \theta \left(\frac{\xi}{n} \right)^{4/3}.$$




Figure 4.4. Splitting points into groups according to the cycles of cosine. Note the values marked x_l^* and x_u^* .

PROPOSITION 4.4. — *For $\frac{n}{\log(n)} \leq \xi \leq \frac{n}{2}$,*

$$\beta(\xi) \leq 1 - \frac{3}{4} \left(\frac{\xi}{n} \right)^2.$$

Proof of Proposition 4.3. — For $1 \leq \xi \leq \frac{n}{\log n}$, let $x^* = \left\lfloor \left(\frac{n}{\xi} \right)^{\frac{2}{3}} \right\rfloor$. Break the ξ cycles of $\cos\left(\frac{2\pi\xi j}{n}\right)$ at $\lfloor \frac{n}{\xi} \rfloor, 2\lfloor \frac{n}{\xi} \rfloor, \dots$ into ξ groups, eg. when $\xi = 3$ breaking ties to the right. See Figure 4.4. Each group has $\frac{n}{\xi} + O(1)$ points. For each group, define a point x_l^* by counting x^* points from the left and x_u^* by counting x^* from the right of the groups. Each group is treated identically and we here focus on the first group. From the development above, for any

j between x_l^* and $2x_l^*$,

$$K_\xi(j, \infty) = \frac{1}{3} \left(1 - \cos \left(\frac{2\pi\xi j}{n} \right) \right) \geq \frac{1}{3} \left(\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{2\pi\xi x_l^*}{n} \right)^2 + O \left(\frac{2\pi\xi x_l^*}{n} \right)^4 \right)$$

Thus $\frac{2}{K_\xi(j, \infty)} \leq \theta \left(\left(\frac{n}{\xi x_l^*} \right)^2 \right) (1 + o(1))$ for an explicit constant θ , independent of ξ, j, n . For points r between 1 and $x_l^* - 1$, connect r to infinity by horizontal paths $r, r + 1, \dots, (r + x_l^* + 1)$ going directly from there to ∞ . See Figure 4.5.




Figure 4.5. The chosen path from 1 to ∞ is given with solid lines, while the chosen path from 2 to ∞ is pictured with dashed lines.

Do the symmetric thing with the rightmost $x^* - 1$ points at the right of group 1, (moving leftward). Connect points from x_l^* to x_u^* directly to ∞ with paths of length 1. Treat each of the other groups in a parallel fashion.

Bounds for A in (4.1) follow from bounds from the edges in Group 1. For the edge $(r - 1, r)$, order x^* paths use this edge and each such path has length x^* . Since $\frac{2}{K_\xi(r-1, r)} \leq \frac{2}{K_\xi(x_l^* - 1, x_l^*)} = 12$, the contribution from this edge is at most of order $(x^*)^2$. Next consider edges (j, ∞) with j between x_l^* and $2x_l^*$ or between $x_u^* - x^*$ and x_u^* . At most two paths use such an edge, one of length x^* (and the other of length one.) The contribution here is of order $\left(\frac{n}{\xi x^*} \right)^2 x^*$. The exponent $\frac{2}{3}$ in our choices of x^* was chosen to make $(x^*)^2$ and $\left(\frac{n}{\xi} \right)^2 \frac{1}{x^*}$ of the same order. The only other edges used are (j, ∞) with j from $2x_l^*$ to $2x_u^*$. These are used once for paths of length 1 and $\frac{1}{K(j, \infty)}$ is at most of order $\left(\frac{n}{\xi} \right)^{2/3}$. Combining bounds completes the proof of Proposition 4.3. \square

Proof of Proposition 4.4. — Proceeding as above, break $0 \leq j \leq n - 1$ into groups of $\frac{n}{\xi}$ points (within 1). Again, the groups are treated identically and we focus on the first group. Let $x_l^* = \frac{1}{4} \left\lfloor \frac{n}{\xi} \right\rfloor$ and connect points of $0 \leq j < x_l^*$ to points $x_l^* + j$ and then to ∞ . Since $\cos \left(\frac{2\pi}{n} \xi (x_l^* + j) \right) \leq 0$, $\frac{2}{K_\xi(x_l^* + j, \infty)} \leq 6$. For x_l^* to x_u^* , connect points directly to ∞ . For j at the right of group 1, connect backward to $x_u^* - j$ and then to ∞ in symmetric fashion. Now, $\frac{2}{K(j, \infty)} \leq 6$. For all $x_l^* \leq j \leq x_u^*$ that occur in this choice of paths, these

edges (from j to ∞) have at most 3 paths through them, the longest path of length of order $\frac{n}{4\xi}$. This gives $5\frac{n}{\xi}$ as an upper bound for those edges. For edges $(j, j+1)$, $\frac{2}{K(j, j+1)} = 12$. The maximizing such edge is $(x_i^* - 1, x_i^*)$. This has x_i^* paths using it of length x_i^* contributing at most $12(x_i^*)^2 = \frac{3}{4} \left(\frac{n}{\xi}\right)^2$. Combining bounds completes the proof of Proposition 4.4. \square

4.3. Lower bounds on Negative Eigenvalues

We derive crude but useful lower bounds on the negative eigenvalues of $M(\xi)$ by using the upper bounds from Section 4.2 together with the inclusion from Proposition 4.1. Suppose that n is odd (the case of interest for proving Theorem 1.1). From Proposition 4.1 (d), the following spectral inclusion holds:

$$S(n, \xi, 0) \subseteq -S\left(2n, 2\xi, \frac{n}{2\xi}\right).$$

Thus bounds on the smallest eigenvalues of $M(\xi)$ follow from bounds on the largest eigenvalues of $2n \times 2n$ matrices with diagonal $\cos\left(\frac{2\pi\xi j}{n} + \pi\right)$, $0 \leq j \leq 2n - 1$. First consider the case of $\xi = 1$, the diagonal elements appear as in Figure 4.6.




Figure 4.6. Diagonal elements for $\xi = 1$

A cyclic shift of the diagonal doesn't change the spectrum so we may consider the $2n \times 2n$ matrix with diagonal entries $\cos\left(\frac{\pi(2j+1)}{n}\right)$, $0 \leq j < 2n - 1$, as in Figure 4.7.




Figure 4.7. A shift of the diagonal elements for $\xi = 1$

Now, the same paths and bounds used in Section 4.2 can be used to get upper bounds. We will not repeat the details but merely state the conclusions.

PROPOSITION 4.5. — *There is a positive constant θ such that for all odd n and $1 \leq \xi \leq \frac{n}{\log(n)}$, the smallest eigenvalue $\beta_*(\xi)$ of $M(\xi)$ satisfies*

$$\beta_*(\xi) \geq -1 + O\left(\frac{\xi}{n}\right)^{4/3}.$$

PROPOSITION 4.6. — *For $\frac{n}{\log(n)} \leq \xi < \frac{n}{2}$, $\beta_*(\xi) \geq -1 + \frac{3}{4}\left(\frac{\xi}{n}\right)^2(1+o(1))$*

Remark 4.7. — The case of even n arises naturally as well. This may be treated in parallel fashion using Proposition 4.1 (c) to reduce things to bound the largest eigenvalues of slightly shifted matrices as above.

Bibliography

- [1] G. K. ALEXOPOULOS, “Random walks on discrete groups of polynomial volume growth”, *Ann. Probab.* **30** (2002), no. 2, p. 723-801.

- [2] S. ASSAF, P. DIACONIS & K. SOUNDARARAJAN, “A rule of thumb for riffle shuffling”, *Ann. Appl. Probab.* **21** (2011), no. 3, p. 843-875.
- [3] L. AUSLANDER & R. TOLIMIERI, “Is computing with the finite Fourier transform pure or applied mathematics?”, *Bull. Am. Math. Soc.* **1** (1979), p. 847-897.
- [4] A. AVILA & S. JITOMIRSKAYA, “The Ten Martini Problem”, *Ann. Math.* **170** (2009), no. 1, p. 303-342.
- [5] C. BÉGUIN, A. VALETTE & A. ZUK, “On the spectrum of a random walk on the discrete Heisenberg group and the norm of Harper’s operator”, *J. Geom. Phys.* **21** (1997), no. 4, p. 337-356.
- [6] F. P. BOCA & A. ZAHARESCU, “Norm estimates of almost Mathieu operators”, *J. Funct. Anal.* **220** (2005), no. 1, p. 76-96.
- [7] E. BREUILLARD, “Random Walks on Lie Groups”, PhD Thesis, Yale University (USA), 2004, Chapter 2.
- [8] ———, “Local limit theorems and equidistribution of random walks on the Heisenberg group”, *Geom. Funct. Anal.* **15** (2005), no. 1, p. 35-82.
- [9] D. BUMP, *Automorphic forms and representations*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 55, Cambridge University Press, 1997, xiv+574 pages.
- [10] D. BUMP, P. DIACONIS, A. HICKS, L. MICLO & H. WIDOM, “Characters and super characters for step two nilpotent groups with applications to random walks”, to appear.
- [11] ———, “Useful bounds on the extreme eigenvalues and vectors of matrices for Harper’s operators”, to appear in *Operator Theory: Advances and Applications*, 2016.
- [12] P. CARTIER, “Quantum mechanical commutation relations and theta functions”, in *Algebraic Groups and Discontinuous Subgroups*, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., vol. 9, American Mathematical Society, 1966 (Boulder, Colo., 1965), p. 361-383.
- [13] P. DIACONIS, *Group representations in probability and statistics*, IMS Lecture Notes-Monograph Series, vol. 11, Institute of Mathematical Statistics, 1988, vi+198 pages.
- [14] ———, “Threads through group theory”, in *Character theory of finite groups. Conference in honor of I. Martin Isaacs, València, Spain, June 3–5, 2009*, Contemporary Mathematics, vol. 524, American Mathematical Society, 2010, p. 33-47.
- [15] P. DIACONIS & B. HOUGH, “Random walk on unipotent matrix groups”, to appear.
- [16] P. DIACONIS & L. MICLO, “On Quantitative Convergence to Quasi-Stationarity”, *Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse, Math.* **24** (2015), no. 4, p. 973-1016.
- [17] P. DIACONIS & L. SALOFF-COSTE, “Comparison theorems for reversible Markov chains”, *Ann. Appl. Probab.* **3** (1993), no. 3, p. 696-730.
- [18] ———, “Moderate growth and random walk on finite groups”, *Geom. Funct. Anal.* **4** (1994), no. 1, p. 1-36.
- [19] ———, “An application of Harnack inequalities to random walk on nilpotent quotients”, *J. Fourier Anal. Appl.* **Special Issue** (1995), p. 189-207, Proceedings of the Conference in Honor of Jean-Pierre Kahane (Orsay, 1993).
- [20] ———, “Nash inequalities for finite Markov chains”, *J. Theor. Probab.* **9** (1996), no. 2, p. 459-510.
- [21] B. W. DICKINSON & K. STEIGLITZ, “Eigenvectors and functions of the discrete Fourier transform”, *IEEE Trans. Acoust. Speech Signal Process.* **30** (1982), p. 25-31.
- [22] J. GRASSBERGER & G. HÖRMANN, “A note on representations of the finite Heisenberg group and sums of greatest common divisors”, *Discrete Math. Theor. Comput. Sci.* **4** (2001), no. 2, p. 91-100, electronic only.
- [23] D. J. GRIFFITHS, *Introduction to Quantum Mechanics*, 2nd ed., Pearson Prentice Hall, 2004, xi+468 pages.
- [24] P. G. HALL & C. C. HEYDE, *Martingale limit theory and its application*, Probability and Mathematical Statistics, Academic Press, 19870, xii+308 pages.

- [25] W. L. HARKNESS & M. L. HARKNESS, “Generalized hyperbolic secant distributions”, *J. Am. Stat. Assoc.* **63** (1968), p. 329-337.
- [26] R. HOWE, “On the role of the Heisenberg group in harmonic analysis”, *Bull. Am. Math. Soc.* **3** (1980), p. 821-843.
- [27] B. HUPPERT, *Endliche Gruppen. I*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, vol. 184, Springer, 1967, xii+793 pages.
- [28] J.-I. IGUSA, *Theta functions*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, vol. 194, Springer, 1972, x+232 pages.
- [29] Y. LAST, “Spectral theory of Sturm-Liouville operators on infinite intervals: a review of recent developments”, in *Sturm-Liouville theory. Past and present. Selected survey articles based on lectures presented at a colloquium and workshop in Geneva, Italy, September 15–19, 2003 to commemorate the 200th anniversary of the birth of Charles François Sturm*, Birkhäuser, 2005, p. 99-120.
- [30] G. F. LAWLER & V. LIMIC, *Random walk : a modern introduction*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 123, Cambridge University Press, 2010, xii+364 pages.
- [31] J. R. LEE & A. NAOR, “ L_p metrics on the Heisenberg group and the Goemans-Linial conjecture”, submitted, an extended abstract appeared in FOCS 2006.
- [32] D. A. LEVIN, Y. PERES & E. L. WILMER, *Markov chains and mixing times*, American Mathematical Society, 2009, With a chapter by James G. Propp and David B. Wilson, xvii+371 pages.
- [33] G. LION & M. VERGNE, *The Weil representation, Maslov index and theta series*, Progress in Mathematics, vol. 6, Birkhäuser, 1980, viii+337 pages.
- [34] M. L. MEHTA, “Eigenvalues and eigenvectors of the finite Fourier transform”, *J. Math. Phys.* **28** (1987), p. 781-785.
- [35] C. NUNLEY & A. MAGID, “Simple representations of the integral Heisenberg group”, in *Classical groups and related topics, Proc. Conf., Beijing/China 1987*, Contemp. Math., vol. 82, American Mathematical Society, 1989, p. 89-96.
- [36] Y. PERES & A. SLY, “Mixing of the upper triangular matrix walk”, *Probab. Theory Relat. Fields* **156** (2013), no. 3-4, p. 581-591.
- [37] L. A. PONOMARENKO et al., “Cloning of Dirac fermions in graphene superlattices”, *Nature* **497** (2013), p. 594-597.
- [38] L. SALOFF-COSTE, “Probability on groups: random walks and invariant diffusions”, *Notices Am. Math. Soc.* **48** (2001), no. 9, p. 968-977.
- [39] ———, “Random walks on finite groups”, in *Probability on discrete structures*, Encycl. Math. Sci., vol. 110, Springer, 2004, p. 263-346.
- [40] J.-P. SERRE, *Linear representations of finite groups*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 42, Springer, 1977, Translated from the French by Leonard L. Scott, x+170 pages.
- [41] R. STONG, “Random walks on the two extra special groups”, 1994, Department of Mathematics, Rice University (USA).
- [42] ———, “Eigenvalues of random walks on groups”, *Ann. Probab.* **23** (1995), no. 4, p. 1961-1981.
- [43] ———, “Eigenvalues of the natural random walk on the Burnside group $B(3, n)$ ”, *Ann. Probab.* **23** (1995), no. 4, p. 1950-1960.
- [44] M. SUZUKI, *Group theory. II*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, vol. 248, Springer, 1986, Translated from the Japanese, x+621 pages.
- [45] M. ZACK, “Measuring randomness and evaluating random number generators using the finite Heisenberg group”, in *Limit theorems in probability and statistics (Pécs, 1989)*, Colloq. Math. Soc. János Bolyai, vol. 57, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1990, p. 537-544.
- [46] Y. ZHANG, A. V. MAHARAJ & S. A. KIVELSON, “Are there quantum oscillations in an incommensurate charge density wave?”, <https://arxiv.org/abs/1410.5108v1>, 2014.