

Bulletin

de la SOCIÉTÉ MATHÉMATIQUE DE FRANCE

A NOTE ON INTERSECTIONS OF SIMPLICES

David A. Edwards & Ondřej F. K. Kalenda & Jiří Spurný

Tome 139

Fascicule 1

2011

SOCIÉTÉ MATHÉMATIQUE DE FRANCE

Publié avec le concours du Centre national de la recherche scientifique

pages 89-95

A NOTE ON INTERSECTIONS OF SIMPLICES

BY DAVID A. EDWARDS, ONDŘEJ F. K. KALENDA & JIŘÍ SPURNÝ

ABSTRACT. — We provide a corrected proof of [1, Théorème 9] stating that any metrizable infinite-dimensional simplex is affinely homeomorphic to the intersection of a decreasing sequence of Bauer simplices.

RÉSUMÉ (*Sur certaines intersections de simplexes*). — Nous exposons une démonstration rectifiée de [1, Théorème 9], montrant ainsi que tout simplexe de Choquet métrisable et de dimension infinie se représente comme intersection d'une suite décroissante de simplexes de Bauer.

Texte reçu le 7 avril 2009, accepté le 23 octobre 2009

DAVID A. EDWARDS, Mathematical Institute, 24-29 St Giles, Oxford OX1 3LB, U.K. •
E-mail : edwardsd@maths.ox.ac.uk

ONDŘEJ F. K. KALENDA, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, Charles University, Sokolovská 83, 186 75 Praha 8, Czech Republic •
E-mail : kalenda@karlin.mff.cuni.cz

JIŘÍ SPURNÝ, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, Charles University, Sokolovská 83, 186 75 Praha 8, Czech Republic • *E-mail* : spurny@karlin.mff.cuni.cz

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. — 46A55, 52A07.

Key words and phrases. — Simplex, Bauer simplex, intersection, representing matrix.

The second and the third author were supported in part by the grant GAAV IAA 100190901 and in part by the Research Project MSM 0021620839 from the Czech Ministry of Education.

1. Introduction

If X is a compact convex subset of a locally convex space over the real numbers, it is called a *Choquet simplex* (briefly *simplex*) if the dual $(A(X))^*$ to the space $A(X)$ of all affine continuous functions is a lattice. If, moreover, the set ext X of all extreme points of X is closed, X is termed a *Bauer simplex* (see [2] for more information on simplices).

The following theorem can be found as [1, Théorème 9]. By (ℓ^1, w^*) we mean ℓ^1 with the topology $\sigma(\ell^1, c_0)$.

THEOREM 1.1. — *Let X be a metrizable infinite-dimensional simplex. Then there exists a decreasing sequence $(T_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of Bauer simplices in (ℓ^1, w^*) such that $\bigcap_{n=1}^\infty T_n$ is affinely homeomorphic to X .*

Unfortunately, the proof presented in [1] is not entirely correct, since the inclusion

$$S_{n+1} \cup F_{n+1} \subset (\text{conv}(S_n \cup \{e^{n+1}\})) \cup F_{n+1}$$

on page 237 of [1] need not hold in general.

The aim of our note is to indicate how to mend the proof of this theorem.

By [3, Theorem 5.2] (see also [2, Theorem 3.22]), for every metrizable infinite-dimensional simplex X there exists an inverse sequence $(X_n, \varphi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of $(n-1)$ -dimensional simplices such that X is affinely homeomorphic to its inverse limit $\varprojlim X_n$. More precisely, every $\varphi_n : X_{n+1} \rightarrow X_n$ is an affine continuous surjection and X is affinely homeomorphic to

$$(1) \quad \{(x_n) \in \prod_{n=1}^\infty X_n : \varphi_n(x_{n+1}) = x_n, n \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

Inverse sequences $(X_n, \varphi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $(Y_n, \psi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ are called *equivalent* if there exist affine homeomorphisms $\omega_n : X_n \rightarrow Y_n$ such that $\omega_n \circ \varphi_n = \psi_n \circ \omega_{n+1}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Clearly, two equivalent inverse sequences have the same inverse limit up to an affine homeomorphism.

A description of a simplex by an inverse sequence yields a method of representing X by an infinite matrix A that is constructed inductively as follows.

In the first step, let $X_1 = \{u_1^1\}$.

Assume now that $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\{u_1^n, \dots, u_n^n\}$ is the enumeration of vertices of X_n chosen in the n -th step.

We choose vertices $\{u_1^{n+1}, \dots, u_n^{n+1}\}$ of X_{n+1} such that $\varphi_n(u_i^{n+1}) = u_i^n$, $i = 1, \dots, n$. If $u_{n+1}^{n+1} \in X_{n+1}$ is the remaining vertex, let $a_{1,n}, \dots, a_{n,n}$ be positive numbers with $\sum_{i=1}^n a_{i,n} = 1$ such that

$$\varphi_n(u_{n+1}^{n+1}) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_{i,n} u_i^n.$$

Then

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a_{1,1} & a_{1,2} & a_{1,3} & \dots \\ 0 & a_{2,2} & a_{2,3} & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & a_{3,3} & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}$$

is the *representing matrix* of X .

It is not difficult to see that A is uniquely determined by the inverse sequence $(X_n, \varphi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$.

Conversely, any such matrix describes a unique inverse sequence of simplices and thus codes a unique metrizable simplex.

We refer the reader to [2], [3], [4] and [5] for detailed information on representing matrices.

We need the following observation based upon [4, Theorem 4.7].

PROPOSITION 1.2. — *Let A be a representing matrix for a simplex X . Then there exists a matrix $B = \{b_{i,n}\}_{n=1,2,\dots}^{1 \leq i \leq n}$ representing X such that $b_{i,n} > 0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $n = 1, 2, \dots$*

Proof. — It follows from [4, Theorem 4.7] that two matrices A and B represent the same simplex if $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^n |a_{i,n} - b_{i,n}| < \infty$. Thus it is enough to slightly perturb the coefficients of A to get the required matrix B . □

2. Proof of Theorem 1.1

We recall some notation from [1]. Let e^n , $n \in \mathbb{N}$, denote the standard basis vectors in ℓ^1 and let $e^0 = 0$.

For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $E_n = \text{conv}\{e^0, \dots, e^{n-1}\}$ and let $P_n : \ell^1 \rightarrow \ell^1$ be the natural projection on the space spanned by vectors e^0, \dots, e^{n-1} , precisely

$$P_n : (x_1, x_2, \dots) \mapsto (x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, 0, 0, \dots), \quad (x_1, x_2, \dots) \in \ell^1.$$

In particular, P_1 maps ℓ^1 onto e^0 .

We state an easy observation needed in the proof of Proposition 2.2.

LEMMA 2.1. — *Let X be a finite-dimensional simplex in a vector space E containing 0 and x be a vector not contained in the linear span of X .*

Then for any y in the relative interior of X there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $y + \varepsilon x \in \text{conv}(X \cup \{x\})$.

Proof. — If y is in the relative interior of X and $0 \in X$, there exists $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ such that $(1 - \varepsilon)^{-1}y \in X$. Then

$$y + \varepsilon x = (1 - \varepsilon) \frac{y}{1 - \varepsilon} + \varepsilon x \in \text{conv}(X \cup \{x\}),$$

which finishes the proof. □

Now we start with the proof of Theorem 1.1. Given a metrizable simplex X , Proposition 1.2 provides an inverse sequence $(X_n, \varphi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that X is its inverse limit and the associated representing matrix A has all entries $a_{i,n} > 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $1 \leq i \leq n$.

PROPOSITION 2.2. — *Let X be a metrizable infinite-dimensional simplex with a representing matrix A such that $a_{i,n} > 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $1 \leq i \leq n$.*

Let $(X_n, \varphi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be the inverse sequence associated with A .

Then there exist $(n - 1)$ -dimensional simplices $S_n \subset \ell^1$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, such that

- (i) $S_n \subset E_n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$,
- (ii) S_n is a face of S_m , $n < m$,
- (iii) $P_n S_m = S_n$, $n < m$,
- (iv) $S_{n+1} \subset \text{conv}(S_n \cup \{e^n\})$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$,
- (v) *the inverse sequences $(X_n, \varphi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $(S_n, P_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ are equivalent.*

Proof. — We construct inductively simplices S_n together with mappings $\omega_n : X_n \rightarrow S_n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, observing that the resulting inverse sequence is equivalent to the original one.

We start the construction by setting $S_1 = E_1 = \{e^0\}$ and $S_2 = E_2 = \text{conv}\{e^0, e^1\}$. Let $\omega_1 : X_1 \rightarrow S_1$ and $\omega_2 : X_2 \rightarrow S_2$ be the obvious affine homeomorphisms.

We assume that the construction has been completed up to the n -th stage. If $\omega_n : X_n \rightarrow S_n$ is the affine homeomorphism guaranteed by the inductive assumption and $\{u_1^n, \dots, u_n^n\}$ are the vertices of X_n , then $\{\omega_n(u_1^n), \dots, \omega_n(u_n^n)\}$ are the vertices of S_n .

Let $\{u_1^{n+1}, \dots, u_n^{n+1}\}$ be the vertices of X_{n+1} that are mapped by φ_n onto the vertices $\{u_1^n, \dots, u_n^n\}$ of X_n and let u_{n+1}^{n+1} be the remaining vertex mapped onto the point $\sum_{i=1}^n a_{i,n} u_i^n$.

Since all numbers $a_{1,n}, \dots, a_{n,n}$ are strictly positive, the point

$$\omega_n(\varphi_n(u_{n+1}^{n+1})) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_{i,n} \omega_n(u_i^n)$$

is contained in the relative interior of S_n . By Lemma 2.1, there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that

(2)
$$\omega_n(\varphi_n(u_{n+1}^{n+1})) + \varepsilon e^n \in \text{conv}(S_n \cup \{e^n\}).$$

By defining

$$(3) \quad S_{n+1} = \text{conv}(S_n \cup \{\omega_n(\varphi_n(u_{n+1}^{n+1})) + \varepsilon e^n\})$$

we get an n -simplex with vertices

$$\{\omega_n(u_1^n), \dots, \omega_n(u_n^n), \omega_n(\varphi_n(u_{n+1}^{n+1})) + \varepsilon e^n\}.$$

We define $\omega_{n+1} : X_{n+1} \rightarrow S_{n+1}$ by conditions

$$\omega_{n+1}(u_i^{n+1}) = \omega_n(\varphi_n(u_i^{n+1})), \quad i = 1, \dots, n,$$

$$\omega_{n+1}(u_{n+1}^{n+1}) = \omega_n(\varphi_n(u_{n+1}^{n+1})) + \varepsilon e^n.$$

By (2) and (3) and the inductive assumption,

$$S_{n+1} \subset \text{conv}(S_n \cup \{e^n\}) \subset E_{n+1}.$$

Further, S_n is a face of S_{n+1} , $P_n S_{n+1} = S_n$ and $\omega_n \circ \varphi_n = P_n \circ \omega_{n+1}$.

Thus conditions (i)–(iv) are satisfied and the mappings ω_n , $n \in \mathbb{N}$, show that the sequences (X_n, φ_n) and (S_n, P_n) are equivalent.

This finishes the proof. □

The rest of the proof Theorem 1.1 can proceed as in [1]. To clarify what is going on, we give two more propositions. The proof of Theorem 1.1 follows immediately from them.

PROPOSITION 2.3. — *Let S_n , $n \in \mathbb{N}$, be weak* compact convex subsets of ℓ^1 satisfying conditions (i), (ii') and (iii), where (i) and (iii) are conditions from Proposition 2.2 and*

(ii') $S_n \subset S_m$ for $n \leq m$.

Then the inverse limit of the inverse sequence $(S_n, P_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is affinely homeomorphic to the closure of $\bigcup_{n=1}^\infty S_n$ in the weak topology.*

Proof. — Let Y denote the weak*-closure of $\bigcup_{n=1}^\infty S_n$, and let X be the inverse limit $\varprojlim S_n$ represented in the form given by the formula (1). An affine homeomorphism $\varphi : Y \rightarrow X$ can be defined by the equation

$$\varphi(y) = (P_n(y))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}, \quad y \in Y.$$

To see that φ is well defined, note that by (ii') and (iii) we have $P_n(y) \in S_n$ whenever $y \in \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty S_n$, and hence, by the weak*-continuity of $P_n : \ell^1 \rightarrow \ell^1$, that $P_n(y) \in S_n$ for all $y \in Y$. Moreover, φ is clearly affine, continuous and one-to-one. To see that φ is onto, choose any $x = (x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in X$. Let $y \in \mathbb{R}^\mathbb{N}$ have as n -th coordinate y_n the n -th coordinate of the vector x_{n+1} . Then $(y_1, \dots, y_n, 0 \dots) \in S_n$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, therefore $y \in \ell_1$ by (i), and so $y \in Y$. Moreover, clearly $\varphi(y) = x$. This completes the proof. □

PROPOSITION 2.4. — *Let $(S_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of simplices in ℓ^1 satisfying conditions (i)–(iv) of Proposition 2.2.*

Set

$$F_n = \overline{\text{conv}}\{e^0, e^n, e^{n+1}, \dots\}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N},$$

where the bar denotes norm-closure, and

$$T_n = \text{conv}(S_n \cup F_n), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then $(T_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a decreasing sequence of Bauer simplices in (ℓ^1, w^*) whose intersection is the weak*-closure of $\bigcup_{n=1}^\infty S_n$.

Proof. — It is clear that both F_n and S_n are Bauer simplices in (ℓ^1, w^*) . Thus T_n is a Bauer simplex in (ℓ^1, w^*) as well. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} S_{n+1} \cup F_{n+1} &\subset (\text{conv}(S_n \cup \{e^n\})) \cup F_{n+1} \\ &\subset \text{conv}(S_n \cup F_n), \end{aligned}$$

and hence $T_{n+1} \subset T_n$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

It remains to prove the final equality.

Set $T = \bigcap_{n=1}^\infty T_n$ and denote by Y the weak*-closure of $\bigcup_{n=1}^\infty S_n$. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ be arbitrary. Then for each $m \geq n$ we have $S_n \subset S_m \subset T_m$. Thus $S_n \subset T$. It follows that $Y \subset T$.

To see the converse inclusion, take any $x \in T$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have $x \in T_n$, $0 \in S_n$, and hence $P_n(x) \in S_n$. But the sequence $(P_n(x))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is weak* convergent to x , so $x \in Y$. □

Finally, Theorem 1.1 follows immediately by combining Propositions 1.2, 2.2, 2.3 and 2.4.

REMARK 2.5. — We note that it is not essential that we work in the space (ℓ^1, w^*) . The norm structure of this space is used only in the definition of F_n , and can be replaced there by weak*-closure. So, it would be possible (and, perhaps, more natural) to work in the locally convex space $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$ equipped with the pointwise topology. Anyway, we decided to keep the setting from [1].

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [1] D. A. EDWARDS – “Systèmes projectifs d’ensembles convexes compacts”, *Bull. Soc. Math. France* **103** (1975), p. 225–240.
- [2] V. P. FONF, J. LINDENSTRAUSS & R. R. PHELPS – “Infinite dimensional convexity”, in *Handbook of the geometry of Banach spaces, Vol. I*, North-Holland, 2001, p. 599–670.

- [3] A. J. LAZAR & J. LINDENSTRAUSS – “Banach spaces whose duals are L_1 spaces and their representing matrices”, *Acta Math.* **126** (1971), p. 165–193.
- [4] J. LINDENSTRAUSS, G. H. OLSEN & Y. STERNFELD – “The Poulsen simplex”, *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)* **28** (1978), p. 91–114.
- [5] Y. STERNFELD – “Characterization of Bauer simplices and some other classes of Choquet simplices by their representing matrices”, in *Notes in Banach spaces*, Univ. Texas Press, 1980, p. 306–358.