



Dynamical systems

## Periodic points in the intersection of attracting immediate basins boundaries



*Points périodiques à l'intersection entre les frontières de bassins immédiats attractifs*

Bastien Rossetti

Laboratoire Émile-Picard, Université Paul-Sabatier, 31062 Toulouse, France

### ARTICLE INFO

#### Article history:

Received 2 April 2014

Accepted after revision 13 September 2016

Available online 15 December 2016

Presented by the Editorial Board

### ABSTRACT

We give conditions under which the intersection between two attracting immediate basins boundaries of a rational map contains at least one periodic point.

© 2016 Académie des sciences. Published by Elsevier Masson SAS. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

### R É S U M É

Nous donnons des conditions suffisantes pour que l'intersection entre les frontières de deux bassins immédiats attractifs d'une fraction rationnelle contienne au moins un point périodique.

© 2016 Académie des sciences. Published by Elsevier Masson SAS. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

For a rational map  $R : \hat{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \hat{\mathbb{C}}$ ,  $\mathcal{J}(R)$  denotes the Julia set of  $R$ ,  $\mathcal{P}(R)$  the set  $\{R^n(c) : R'(c) = 0 ; n \geq 1\}$  and  $\mathcal{P}_b(R)$  the set of  $x \in \mathcal{P}(R)$  that are not in the closure of a connected component of  $\hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \mathcal{J}(R)$ . A point  $z \in \hat{\mathbb{C}}$  is said to be *eventually periodic* if there exists a  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $R^n(z)$  is periodic. By *sink* we mean a connected component of an attracting immediate basin.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $f$  be a rational map with two distinct sinks  $B_1$  and  $B_2$  (not necessarily in the same cycle) such that  $\partial B_1 \cap \partial B_2 \neq \emptyset$ . Assume that  $B_1$  and  $B_2$  are simply connected, and  $\partial B_1$  and  $\partial B_2$  are locally connected.*

1. *If the intersection  $\partial B_1 \cap \partial B_2$  contains no critical point with infinite orbit and is disjoint from the  $\omega$ -limit set of every recurrent critical point, then  $\partial B_1 \cap \partial B_2$  contains a periodic point.*
2. *Assume furthermore that each component of  $\hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \mathcal{J}(f)$  that is eventually mapped to  $B_1$  or to  $B_2$  is simply connected. If  $\partial B_1 \cap \partial B_2$  contains no accumulation point of  $\mathcal{P}_b(f)$  nor  $\mathcal{P}(f) \cap (\partial B_1 \cup \partial B_2)$ , then the subset of eventually periodic points in  $\partial B_1 \cap \partial B_2$  is non-empty and dense in  $\partial B_1 \cap \partial B_2$ .*

E-mail address: [bastien.rossetti@math.univ-toulouse.fr](mailto:bastien.rossetti@math.univ-toulouse.fr).

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.crma.2016.09.004>

1631-073X/© 2016 Académie des sciences. Published by Elsevier Masson SAS. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

As a particular case of part 2 of [Theorem 1](#), if  $\#\mathcal{P}(f) < +\infty$  then the set of eventually periodic points in  $\partial B_1 \cap \partial B_2$  is non-empty and dense in  $\partial B_1 \cap \partial B_2$ . Nevertheless, the theorem does not require  $\mathcal{P}(f)$  to be finite.

Here is an example of a non-empty intersection between two sink boundaries with no periodic point in the intersection. Let us consider  $F_\theta(z) = \rho_\theta z^2(z - 3)/(1 - 3z)$ , where  $\theta \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$  and  $\rho_\theta \in S^1$  ( $S^1$  denotes the unit circle in  $\mathbb{C}$ ) is such that  $F_\theta : S^1 \rightarrow S^1$  has rotation number  $\theta$ . The map  $F_\theta : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  has been studied in [\[3\]](#). The map  $F_\theta$  has two attracting fixed points  $0$  and  $\infty$ . The intersection between the boundaries of the corresponding sinks is non-empty and included in  $S^1$ . This intersection contains no periodic point since  $F_{\theta|_{S^1}}$  is topologically conjugate to  $z \mapsto e^{2i\pi\theta}z$ . One notes that in this example the intersection contains the point  $1$ , which is a critical point with an infinite orbit.

To prove the theorem, we assume that  $B_1$  and  $B_2$  are fixed, for otherwise we work with an iterate of  $f$ . Since there will not be confusion, we will note  $\mathcal{J} = \mathcal{J}(f)$ ,  $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}(f)$  and  $\mathcal{P}_b = \mathcal{P}_b(f)$ .

**Proof of part 1.** We assume that  $\partial B_1 \cap \partial B_2$  does not contain a critical point with finite orbit nor a parabolic point, for otherwise  $\partial B_1 \cap \partial B_2$  would contain a periodic point.

A point  $x \in \partial B_i$  is said to be *multiple* if it belongs to the impression of at least two prime ends in  $B_i$ . Using the expansion of  $f$  on  $\partial B_i$ , it is easy to show that a multiple point of  $\partial B_i$  in  $\partial B_1 \cap \partial B_2$  is eventually periodic. Thus we assume that  $\partial B_1 \cap \partial B_2$  contains no multiple point of  $\partial B_1$  nor  $\partial B_2$ .

In this context we show, using [Theorem 3](#), that  $f|_{\partial B_1 \cap \partial B_2}$  is *distance-expanding* with respect to the spherical metric, that is there exist  $\lambda > 1$ ,  $\eta > 0$  and  $N \geq 0$  such that for any  $x, y \in \partial B_1 \cap \partial B_2$ , if  $d(x, y) \leq \eta$  then  $d(f^N(x), f^N(y)) \geq \lambda d(x, y)$ . Then we find a periodic point in  $\partial B_1 \cap \partial B_2$  using the [Theorem 4](#) dealing with periodic points for distance-expanding maps.

**Lemma 2.** *The restriction  $f|_{\partial B_1 \cap \partial B_2}$  is distance-expanding with respect to the spherical metric.*

**Proof.** By [Theorem 3](#) below, there exists an integer  $N \geq 0$  such that  $\min_{x \in \partial B_1 \cap \partial B_2} |(f^N)'(x)| > 1$ . By continuity of the map  $x \mapsto |(f^N)'(x)|$ , there exist  $\lambda > 1$  and a neighborhood  $U$  of  $\partial B_1 \cap \partial B_2$  such that  $\min_{x \in U} |(f^N)'(x)| \geq \lambda$ . By compactness of  $\partial B_1 \cap \partial B_2$ , there exists  $\eta > 0$  such that if  $d(x, y) \leq \eta$ , then the geodesic  $\Gamma$  between  $f^N(x)$  and  $f^N(y)$  lifts to a path  $\gamma$  from  $x$  to  $y$  with  $\gamma \subset U$ . Thus we get  $d(f^N(x), f^N(y)) = \text{length}(\Gamma) \geq \lambda \cdot \text{length}(\gamma) \geq \lambda d(x, y)$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3. ([2])** *Let  $g$  be a rational map of degree at least 2, and  $\Lambda \subset \mathcal{J}(g)$  be a compact forward invariant set containing no critical point nor parabolic point. If  $\Lambda$  is disjoint from the  $\omega$ -limit set of every recurrent critical point, then there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\min_{z \in \Lambda} |(g^n)'(z)| > 1$  for every  $n \geq N$ .*

**Theorem 4. ([5], chapter 4)** *Let  $(X, \rho)$  be a compact metric space. If  $T : X \rightarrow X$  is continuous, open and distance-expanding, then there exists  $\alpha > 0$  such that the following holds: if there exist  $x \in X$  and  $L \geq 1$  such that  $\rho(x, T^L(x)) \leq \alpha$ , then  $X$  contains a periodic point.*

**Lemma 5.** *The restriction  $f|_{\partial B_1 \cap \partial B_2}$  is open.*

**Proof.** Let  $O \subset \partial B_1 \cap \partial B_2$  and assume  $f(O)$  is not open.

There exists a sequence  $(y_n)_{n \geq 0} \subset (\partial B_1 \cap \partial B_2) \setminus f(O)$  converging to some  $y \in f(O)$ . Let  $x \in O$  be such that  $f(x) = y$ . Since  $\partial B_1 \cap \partial B_2$  contains no critical point, there exist a neighborhood  $U$  of  $x$  and a neighborhood  $V$  of  $y$  such that  $f : U \rightarrow V$  is a homeomorphism. Thus for  $n$  large enough  $y_n \in V$ , the point  $x_n = f^{-1}(y_n) \cap U$  is well defined and  $x_n \rightarrow x$ .

We show now that  $x_n \in (\partial B_1 \cap \partial B_2) \setminus O$  so that  $O$  is not open. It is clear that  $x_n \notin O$  since  $f(x_n) \notin f(O)$ . For any  $n$ , there exists a Fatou component  $B_i^n$  such that  $f(B_i^n) = B_i$  and  $x_n \in \partial B_1^n \cap \partial B_2^n$ . The following assertion finishes the proof of the lemma.

**Assertion 6.** *For  $n$  large enough  $B_i^n = B_i$ ,  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ .*

**Proof.** Otherwise, for some  $i_0 \in \{1, 2\}$  there exists a Fatou component  $B$  such that  $B \neq B_{i_0}$ ,  $f(B) = B_{i_0}$  and  $x \in \partial B$ . The boundary  $\partial B$  has finitely many connected components, thus each one of them is locally connected. Let  $\tilde{B}$  be either  $B$  or  $B_{i_0}$ . There exists a connected component  $U_{\tilde{B}}$  of  $U \cap \tilde{B}$  such that  $x \in \partial U_{\tilde{B}}$ . Since  $f(x)$  is simple in  $\partial B_{i_0}$ , there exists a unique connected component  $V_{B_{i_0}}$  of  $V \cap B_{i_0}$  such that  $f(x) \in \partial V_{B_{i_0}}$ . Hence  $f(U_{\tilde{B}}) = V_{B_{i_0}}$ . Since  $B \neq B_{i_0}$ , we have  $U_{B_{i_0}} \cap U_B = \emptyset$  and  $f(U_{B_{i_0}}) = f(U_B)$ , which contradicts the injectivity of  $f|_U$ .  $\square$

Now we apply [Theorem 4](#) to finish the proof of part 1. Let  $w$  be an accumulation point of the orbit of some  $z \in \partial B_1 \cap \partial B_2$ . There exist  $P > Q \geq 0$  such that  $f^P(z), f^Q(z) \in B(w; \alpha/2)$ , where  $\alpha$  is the constant in [Theorem 4](#). Hence  $d(f^Q(z), f^P(z)) = d(f^Q(z), f^{P-Q}(f^Q(z))) \leq \alpha$ , and we get a periodic point in  $\partial B_1 \cap \partial B_2$ .

The proof of part 2 uses ideas and techniques developed by K. Pilgrim in his thesis ([\[4\]](#), chapter 5). In case where  $f$  is hyperbolic and  $\#\mathcal{P} < +\infty$ , part 2 is a corollary of his work.

We assume that  $\sharp\mathcal{P} > 2$ , for otherwise  $f$  is conjugate to  $z \mapsto z^d$  for some  $d \in \mathbb{Z}$ , and the conclusion follows. Up to make a quasi-conformal deformation, we also assume that all the critical points in  $\bigcup_{j \geq 0} f^{-j}(B_1 \cup B_2)$  have a finite orbit (see [1], theorem VI 5.1; this is why we assume that each component of  $\hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \mathcal{J}$  that is eventually mapped to  $B_1$  or to  $B_2$  is simply connected).

Let  $d_k \geq 2$  be the degree of  $f|_{B_k}$  and let  $\phi_k : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow B_k$  be an isomorphism conjugating  $f$  with  $z^{d_k}$ . For  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , set  $R_k(t) := \phi_k(\{re^{2\pi i t} : 0 \leq r < 1\})$ . Since  $\partial B_k$  is locally connected,  $\phi_k$  extends continuously to  $\overline{\phi_k} : \overline{\mathbb{D}} \rightarrow \overline{B_k}$ .

Denote  $\chi$  the set of chords, that is the set of  $R_1(t) \cup R_2(t')$  such that  $R_1(t) \cap R_2(t') \neq \emptyset$ . If  $\alpha \in \chi$  is periodic, then the point  $\alpha \cap \mathcal{J} \in \partial B_1 \cap \partial B_2$  is periodic. For any chord  $\alpha$  and any set  $X \subset \hat{\mathbb{C}}$ ,  $[\alpha]_X$  will denote the isotopy class of  $\alpha$  rel  $X$ . For any distinct  $\alpha, \beta \in \chi$ , the complement  $\hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus (\alpha \cup \beta)$  has at least two connected components and at most three, with points of  $\mathcal{J}$  in each of them. For any  $m \geq 0$ ,  $[\alpha]_{f^{-m}(\mathcal{P})} = [\beta]_{f^{-m}(\mathcal{P})}$  if and only if one connected component of  $\hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus (\alpha \cup \beta)$  contains all but two points of  $f^{-m}(\mathcal{P})$  (these two points being the extremities of the chords).

Set  $GO(\mathcal{P}) := \bigcup_{z \in \mathcal{P}} \bigcup_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} f^m(z)$ . From the hypothesis of part 2, the set  $GO(\mathcal{P}) \cap (\partial B_1 \cap \partial B_2)$  is finite. Thus if  $\alpha \in \chi$  is such that  $\alpha \cap \mathcal{J} \in GO(\mathcal{P})$ , then the point  $\alpha \cap \mathcal{J}$  is eventually periodic. We denote  $\chi(S^2, GO(\mathcal{P}))$  the set  $\{\alpha \in \chi : \alpha \cap \mathcal{J} \notin GO(\mathcal{P})\}$ . For any  $n \geq 0$  we denote  $\chi(S^2, f^{-n}(\mathcal{P}))$  the set  $\{\alpha \in \chi : \alpha \cap \mathcal{J} \notin f^{-n}(\mathcal{P})\}$ .

The proof of part 2 is as follows. We equip  $\chi$  with the Hausdorff distance  $d_H$ , so that it is a compact metric space. Pick  $\alpha \in \chi(S^2, GO(\mathcal{P}))$ . If the sequence  $([f^j(\alpha)]_{f^{-1}(\mathcal{P})})_{j \geq 0}$  is eventually cyclical then  $\alpha$  is eventually periodic (Lemma 10). Otherwise, noting that  $([f^j(\alpha)]_{\mathcal{P}})_{j \geq 0}$  contains twice the same element (Lemma 11), we build a sequence  $(\beta_n)_{n \geq 0} \subset \chi(S^2, GO(\mathcal{P}))$  by a series of adjustments (Lemma 7) such that  $\beta_n$  converges (Lemma 8) to a chord  $\beta$  with the following property: either  $\beta \cap \mathcal{J} \in GO(\mathcal{P})$ , or  $([f^j(\beta)]_{f^{-1}(\mathcal{P})})_{j \geq 0}$  is eventually cyclical. This proves the existence of a periodic point in  $\partial B_1 \cap \partial B_2$ . The density part will follow from the fact that we can build  $\beta$  as close as we want to  $\alpha$ .

Let  $\alpha \in \chi(S^2, f^{-m}(\mathcal{P}))$  (resp.  $\chi(S^2, GO(\mathcal{P}))$ ). A lift of  $\alpha$  is the closure of a connected component of  $f^{-1}(\alpha)$ . If a lift of  $\alpha$  is a chord, then it belongs to  $\chi(S^2, f^{-(m+1)}(\mathcal{P}))$  (resp.  $\chi(S^2, GO(\mathcal{P}))$ ).

**Lemma 7.** Let  $N \geq 1$ ,  $\alpha \in \chi(S^2, GO(\mathcal{P}))$  and  $\beta_N \in \chi(S^2, \mathcal{P})$  be such that  $\beta_N \in [f^N(\alpha)]_{\mathcal{P}}$ . There exists a unique chord  $\beta_0$  isotopic to  $\alpha$  rel  $f^{-1}(\mathcal{P})$ , such that  $f^N(\beta_0) = \beta_N$  and  $[f^i(\beta_0)]_{f^{-1}(\mathcal{P})} = [f^i(\alpha)]_{f^{-1}(\mathcal{P})}$  for every  $0 \leq i \leq N - 1$ . Furthermore,  $\beta_0 \in [\alpha]_{f^{-N}(\mathcal{P})}$ .

**Proof.** Since  $f^{N-1}(\alpha)$  is a lift of  $f^N(\alpha)$ , there exists a unique lift  $\beta_{N-1}$  of  $\beta_N$  such that  $\beta_{N-1} \in [f^{N-1}(\alpha)]_{f^{-1}(\mathcal{P})}$ . In particular,  $\beta_{N-1} \in [f^{N-1}(\alpha)]_{\mathcal{P}}$ . For each  $1 \leq i \leq N$ , we construct inductively a unique  $\beta_{N-i} \in [f^{N-i}(\alpha)]_{f^{-1}(\mathcal{P})}$  such that  $f^i(\beta_{N-i}) = \beta_N$  and  $f^k(\beta_{N-i}) \in [f^k(f^{N-i}(\alpha))]_{f^{-1}(\mathcal{P})}$  for any  $0 \leq k \leq i - 1$ . Note that  $\beta_{N-i} \in [f^{N-i}(\alpha)]_{f^{-i}(\mathcal{P})}$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 8.** For any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that:  $\forall \alpha, \beta \in \chi(S^2, f^{-N}(\mathcal{P}))$ , if  $[\alpha]_{f^{-N}(\mathcal{P})} = [\beta]_{f^{-N}(\mathcal{P})}$  then  $d_H(\alpha, \beta) \leq \varepsilon$ . As a consequence, if  $[\alpha]_{f^{-n}(\mathcal{P})} = [\beta]_{f^{-n}(\mathcal{P})}$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  then  $\alpha = \beta$ .

It follows from the following assertion:

**Assertion 9.** For every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $\eta > 0$  such that : for any  $\alpha, \beta \in \chi(S^2, GO(\mathcal{P}))$ , if  $d_H(\alpha, \beta) > \varepsilon$  then in at least two connected components of  $\hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus (\alpha \cup \beta)$  lie an open ball centered at a point of  $\mathcal{J}$  and with radius  $\eta$ .

**Proof.** By contradiction, assume that there exists  $\varepsilon > 0$ , a sequence  $(\eta_n)_{n \geq 0} \subset \mathbb{R}_+^*$  tending to 0, and a sequence  $((\alpha_n, \beta_n))_{n \geq 0} \subset \chi(S^2, GO(\mathcal{P}))^2$  such that, for any  $n \geq 0$ :  $d_H(\alpha_n, \beta_n) \geq \varepsilon$  and there does not exist two connected components of  $\hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus (\alpha_n \cup \beta_n)$  in which lies an open ball centered at a point of  $\mathcal{J}$  and with radius  $\eta_n$ . By compactness of  $\chi(S^2, GO(\mathcal{P}))^2$ , we choose an accumulation point  $(\alpha, \beta)$  of  $((\alpha_n, \beta_n))_{n \geq 0}$  and up to extraction  $(\alpha_n, \beta_n) \rightarrow (\alpha, \beta)$ . We have  $d_H(\alpha, \beta) \geq \varepsilon$ . If  $\hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus (\alpha \cup \beta)$  has three connected components, then we note  $U_1$  one of the two connected components that are Jordan domains and we note  $U_2$  the connected component that is not a Jordan domain. If  $\hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus (\alpha \cup \beta)$  has two connected components, then we note them  $U_1$  and  $U_2$ . In any case, there exist  $\eta > 0$  and  $z_i \in \mathcal{J} \cap U_i$  such that  $B(z_i; \eta) \subset U_i$ ,  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ . For  $n$  large enough,  $B(z_1; \eta/2)$  and  $B(z_2; \eta/2)$  are included in two distinct connected components of  $\hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus (\alpha_n \cup \beta_n)$ . This is a contradiction as soon as  $\eta_n < \eta/2$ .  $\square$

**Proof of Lemma 8.** Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $\eta$  as in the assertion. Since  $\sharp\mathcal{P} > 2$ , there exists  $N \geq 0$  such that each one of the two balls of the assertion contains a point of  $f^{-N}(\mathcal{P})$ . Hence there is a point of  $f^{-N}(\mathcal{P})$  in at least two connected components of  $\hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus (\alpha \cup \beta)$ , thus  $[\alpha]_{f^{-N}(\mathcal{P})} \neq [\beta]_{f^{-N}(\mathcal{P})}$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 10.** For any  $\alpha \in \chi(S^2, GO(\mathcal{P}))$ , if the sequence  $([f^n(\alpha)]_{f^{-1}(\mathcal{P})})_{n=0}^\infty$  is cyclical, then  $\alpha$  is periodic.

**Proof.** Assume that there exists  $Q \geq 1$  such that  $[f^{n+Q}(\alpha)]_{f^{-1}(\mathcal{P})} = [f^n(\alpha)]_{f^{-1}(\mathcal{P})}$  for any  $n \geq 0$ . In particular,  $f^{n+Q}(\alpha) \in [f^n(\alpha)]_{\mathcal{P}}$ . By Lemma 7, there exists a unique chord  $\beta_n \in [\alpha]_{f^{-1}(\mathcal{P})}$  such that  $f^n(\beta_n) = f^{n+Q}(\alpha)$  and for all  $0 \leq i \leq n$ ,

$[f^i(\beta_n)]_{f^{-1}(\mathcal{P})} = [f^i(\alpha)]_{f^{-1}(\mathcal{P})}$ . This chord is  $f^Q(\alpha)$ . Thanks to Lemma 7, we also have  $f^Q(\alpha) \in [\alpha]_{f^{-n}(\mathcal{P})}$ . Since this is true for any  $n \geq 0$ , we conclude by Lemma 8 that  $f^Q(\alpha) = \alpha$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 11.** For any  $\alpha \in \chi(S^2, GO(\mathcal{P}))$ , there exist  $M, N \in \mathbb{N}$  distinct such that  $[f^M(\alpha)]_{\mathcal{P}} = [f^N(\alpha)]_{\mathcal{P}}$ .

**Proof.** Assume that for any  $m, n \geq 0$  distinct, we have  $[f^m(\alpha)]_{\mathcal{P}} \neq [f^n(\alpha)]_{\mathcal{P}}$ . Let us show that the set  $\mathcal{P}_b$  or the set  $\mathcal{P} \cap (\partial B_1 \cup \partial B_2)$  accumulate on  $\partial B_1 \cap \partial B_2$ , which contradicts the hypothesis of part 2 of Theorem 1.

Since  $(\chi, d_H)$  is compact, up to extraction the sequence  $(f^n(\alpha))_{n \geq 0}$  accumulates on a chord  $\beta$ . Since for any  $k, k'$  distinct at least two connected components of  $\hat{C} - (f^k(\alpha) \cup f^{k'}(\alpha))$  contain a point of  $\mathcal{P}$ , one can construct a non-stationary sequence  $(z_n)_{n \geq 0} \subset \mathcal{P}$ , which accumulates on a point  $z \in \beta$ .

Assume that  $(z_n)_{n \geq 0} \cap (\mathcal{P} \setminus \mathcal{P}_b)$  is infinite and up to extraction that  $(z_n)_{n \geq 0} \subset \mathcal{P} \setminus \mathcal{P}_b$ . There exist finitely many distinct connected components  $V_1, \dots, V_N$  of  $\hat{C} \setminus \mathcal{J}$ , which are distinct from  $B_1$  and  $B_2$  and such that  $(z_n)_{n \geq 0} \subset \overline{B_1} \cup \overline{B_2} \cup \overline{V_1} \cup \dots \cup \overline{V_N}$ . Each  $V_j$  is included in  $\hat{C} \setminus \chi$ , but by construction there is an infinite subset of  $(z_n)_{n \geq 0}$  whose elements are pairwise separated by chords, thus there is an infinite subset of  $(z_n)_{n \geq 0}$  included in  $\overline{B_1} \cup \overline{B_2}$ . Since we assume that the extremities of the chords are the only points of  $\mathcal{P}$  in  $B_1 \cup B_2$ , we conclude that there is an infinite subset of  $(z_n)_{n \geq 0}$  included in  $\mathcal{P} \cap (\partial B_1 \cup \partial B_2)$ .

Hence, up to extraction, we have  $(z_n)_{n \geq 0} \subset \mathcal{P}_b$  or  $(z_n)_{n \geq 0} \subset \mathcal{P} \cap (\partial B_1 \cup \partial B_2)$ . In particular,  $(z_n)_{n \geq 0} \subset \mathcal{J}$ , and  $z = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} z_n = \beta \cap \mathcal{J} \in \partial B_1 \cap \partial B_2$ .  $\square$

**Proof of part 2.** Let  $\alpha$  be a chord. We have three cases.

Case 1:  $\alpha \cap \mathcal{J} \in GO(\mathcal{P})$ . Thus  $\alpha \cap \mathcal{J}$  is eventually periodic, as explained before.

Case 2:  $\alpha \in \chi(S^2, GO(\mathcal{P}))$  and  $([f^n(\alpha)]_{f^{-1}(\mathcal{P})})_{n=0}^\infty$  is eventually cyclical. Then  $\alpha$  is eventually periodic by Lemma 10, and the point  $\alpha \cap \mathcal{J}$  is eventually periodic.

Case 3:  $\alpha \in \chi(S^2, GO(\mathcal{P}))$  and  $([f^n(\alpha)]_{f^{-1}(\mathcal{P})})_{n=0}^\infty$  is not eventually cyclical. Let us build from  $\alpha$  a chord  $\beta$  fitting case 1 or 2.

By Lemma 11 there exist  $N \geq 0$  and  $Q \geq 1$  such that  $[f^{N+Q}(\alpha)]_{\mathcal{P}} = [f^N(\alpha)]_{\mathcal{P}}$ . Set  $\beta_0 := f^N(\alpha)$ . By Lemma 7, there exists a chord  $\beta_1 \in [\beta_0]_{f^{-Q}(\mathcal{P})}$  such that  $[f^i(\beta_1)]_{f^{-1}(\mathcal{P})} = [f^i(\beta_0)]_{f^{-1}(\mathcal{P})}$  for any  $0 \leq i \leq Q - 1$ , and  $f^Q(\beta_1) = \beta_0$ . Thus  $[f^{Q+i}(\beta_1)]_{f^{-1}(\mathcal{P})} = [f^i(\beta_1)]_{f^{-1}(\mathcal{P})}$  for any  $0 \leq i \leq Q - 1$ , and  $\beta_1 \in [f^{2Q}(\beta_1)]_{\mathcal{P}}$ . We build inductively a sequence of chords  $(\beta_q)_{q=0}^\infty$  such that:

- (i)  $\beta_{q+n} \in [\beta_q]_{f^{-2^q n}(\mathcal{P})}$  for any  $n \geq 0$ , and
- (ii)  $[f^{jQ+i}(\beta_q)]_{f^{-1}(\mathcal{P})} = [f^i(\beta_q)]_{f^{-1}(\mathcal{P})}$  for any  $0 \leq j \leq 2^q - 1$  and  $0 \leq i \leq Q - 1$ .

**Assertion 12.** The sequence  $(\beta_q)_{q=0}^\infty$  converges to a chord  $\beta$  whose point  $\beta \cap \mathcal{J}$  is eventually periodic.

**Proof.** The convergence follows from (i) and Lemma 8. The limit  $\beta$  is a chord since  $\chi$  is compact. If  $\beta \notin \chi(S^2, GO(\mathcal{P}))$  then  $\beta$  fits case 1. If  $\beta \in \chi(S^2, GO(\mathcal{P}))$ , then we get for the limit  $[f^{jQ+i}(\beta)]_{f^{-1}(\mathcal{P})} = [f^i(\beta)]_{f^{-1}(\mathcal{P})}$  for every  $j \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $0 \leq i \leq Q - 1$ . Hence  $([f^i(\beta)]_{f^{-1}(\mathcal{P})})_{i=0}^\infty$  is cyclical, and  $\beta$  fits case 2.  $\square$

Thus there exists an eventually periodic point in  $\partial B_1 \cap \partial B_2$ .

To finish the proof, let us explain now the density. The chord  $\beta$  is in  $\chi(S^2, f^{-Q}(\mathcal{P}))$ . Applying Lemma 7 to  $\beta \in [f^N(\alpha)]_{f^{-1}(\mathcal{P})}$ , we obtain a chord  $\gamma \in [\alpha]_{f^{-(N+Q)}(\mathcal{P})}$  such that  $\gamma \cap \mathcal{J}$  is eventually periodic. Using Lemma 11, we can have  $N$  as large as we want. Thus we can build a sequence  $(\gamma_n)_{n \geq 0} \subset \chi$  converging to  $\alpha$ , such that every  $\gamma_n \cap \mathcal{J}$  is eventually periodic. Since  $\partial B_1$  and  $\partial B_2$  are locally connected, the sequence  $(\gamma_n \cap \mathcal{J})_{n \geq 0}$  converge to  $\alpha \cap \mathcal{J}$ .  $\square$

## References

- [1] L. Carleson, T.W. Gamelin, Complex Dynamics, second edition, Springer, 1995.
- [2] R. Mañé, On a theorem of Fatou, Bol. Soc. Bras. Mat. 24 (1993) 1–11.
- [3] C.L. Petersen, Local connectivity of some Julia sets containing a circle with an irrational rotation, Acta Math. 177 (1996) 163–224.
- [4] K.M. Pilgrim, Cylinders for iterated rational maps, PhD thesis, University of California at Berkeley, CA, USA, 1994.
- [5] F. Przytycki, M. Urbański, Conformal Fractals: Ergodic Theory Methods, The London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, vol. 371, 2010.