



ELSEVIER

Contents lists available at SciVerse ScienceDirect

C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I

www.sciencedirect.com



Number Theory

On the prime divisors of the number of points on an elliptic curve

*Autour des diviseurs premiers du nombre des points sur une courbe elliptique*Chris Hall^a, Antonella Perucca^b^a University of Wyoming, United States^b University of Regensburg, Germany

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 9 November 2012

Accepted after revision 9 January 2013

Available online 29 January 2013

Presented by Jean-Pierre Serre

ABSTRACT

Let E be an elliptic curve defined over a number field K and let S be a density-one set of primes of K of good reduction for E . Faltings proved in 1983 that the K -isogeny class of E is characterized by the function $p \mapsto \#E(k_p)$, which maps a prime $p \in S$ to the order of the group of points of E over the corresponding field k_p . We show that, in this statement, the integer $\#E(k_p)$ can be replaced by its radical.

© 2013 Académie des sciences. Published by Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.

R É S U M É

Soit E une courbe elliptique définie sur un corps de nombres K , et soit S un ensemble de densité 1 de places de K en lesquelles E a bonne réduction. Faltings a montré en 1983 que la classe de K -isogénie de E est caractérisée par la fonction $p \mapsto \#E(k_p)$, qui envoie chaque place $p \in S$ sur l'ordre du groupe des points de E sur le corps résiduel correspondant. On montre qu'il suffit de considérer les nombres premiers divisant cet ordre.

© 2013 Académie des sciences. Published by Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.

Let E, E' be elliptic curves defined over a number field K . Let S be a density-one set of primes of K of good reduction for E and E' . For every $p \in S$ write k_p for the residue field. Faltings proved in 1983 that the curves E, E' are K -isogenous if and only if for every prime $p \in S$ they have the same number of points over the residue field: $\#E(k_p) = \#E'(k_p)$ (cf. [1, Cor. 2]). A weaker condition that one could ask for is that these two integers have the same radical, that is, $\ell \mid \#E(k_p)$ if and only if $\ell \mid \#E'(k_p)$, for every prime number ℓ . We show that this is indeed enough. More precisely:

Theorem. *Suppose E, E' are elliptic curves over a number field K , and let S be a density-one set of primes of K over which E, E' have good reduction. If $\Lambda \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ is an infinite set of primes, then the following are equivalent:*

1. E, E' are K -isogenous;
2. $\ell \mid \#E(k_p)$ if and only if $\ell \mid \#E'(k_p)$, for every $\ell \in \Lambda$ and for every $p \in S$.

The natural generalization of this result to higher dimensional Abelian varieties ('faithfully of type GSp', cf. [4]) has recently been proven by N. Ratazzi in [6], relying on the method that we used in a preceding version of this paper [3]. We thank F. Pellarin for helping us simplify Step 3 of the proof.

E-mail addresses: chall14@uwyo.edu (C. Hall), antonella.perucca@mathematik.uni-regensburg.de (A. Perucca).

1. Preliminaries

Let K be a number field, and after fixing a Galois closure \bar{K} of K let G_K be the absolute Galois group. Let ℓ be a prime number, and write μ_ℓ for the set of ℓ -th roots of unity in \bar{K} . Let E be an elliptic curve defined over K . We write $K_\ell := K(E[\ell])$ for the smallest extension of K over which the ℓ -th torsion points of $E(\bar{K})$ are defined. We call G_ℓ the Galois group of K_ℓ/K , which we consider embedded in $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_\ell)$ after choosing a basis for $E[\ell]$. Let $H_\ell \subseteq G_\ell$ be the Galois group of $K_\ell/K(\mu_\ell)$. Well-known properties of the Weil pairing imply that $H_\ell = G_\ell \cap \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_\ell)$. Finally, let $\mathcal{E} := \text{End}_{\bar{K}}(E) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$, which we identify to a subfield of \bar{K} in one of the two possible ways. We will use the following independence result:

Proposition 1. *If L/K is a finite extension then for all but finitely many primes ℓ we have $L \cap K_\ell \subseteq K\mathcal{E}$.*

Proof. It suffices to show $L\mathcal{E} \cap K_\ell\mathcal{E} \subseteq K\mathcal{E}$ hence we may suppose $\mathcal{E} \subseteq K$. Note, there are only finitely many possibilities for $L \cap K_\ell$ and we may neglect the subextensions occurring only for finitely many ℓ . Suppose that $F \subseteq L$ satisfies $F = L \cap K_\ell$ for infinitely many ℓ . We are left to show that $F = K$. By [7, Th. 3 and §4.5, Cor.] we know $K_{\ell_1} \cap K_{\ell_2} = K$ for every sufficiently large prime numbers $\ell_1 \neq \ell_2$. Then the only possibility is $F = K$. \square

Let S be a density-one set of primes of K of good reduction for E . If v_ℓ denotes the ℓ -adic valuation, we define ρ_ℓ to be the following map:

$$\rho_\ell : S \rightarrow \{0, 1\} \quad \mathfrak{p} \mapsto \min\{1, v_\ell(\#E(k_\mathfrak{p}))\}.$$

There is a Galois-theoretic way to analyze ρ_ℓ :

Lemma 2. *Suppose $\mathfrak{p} \in S$ is not over ℓ and does not ramify in K_ℓ and \mathfrak{q} is a prime of K_ℓ over \mathfrak{p} . If $\phi_\mathfrak{q} \in G_\ell$ is the Frobenius of \mathfrak{q} , then $\rho_\ell(\mathfrak{p}) = 1$ if and only if $\det(\phi_\mathfrak{q} - 1) = 0$.*

Proof. The embedding $E(k_\mathfrak{p}) \rightarrow E(k_\mathfrak{q})$ identifies $E(k_\mathfrak{p})[\ell]$ with $\ker(\phi_\mathfrak{q} - 1) \subseteq E[\ell]$, hence $\ell \mid \#E(k_\mathfrak{p})$ if and only if 1 is an eigenvalue of $\phi_\mathfrak{q}$. \square

Let E' be another elliptic curve over K and suppose that the primes in S are also of good reduction for E' . Define analogously $K'_\ell, G'_\ell, H'_\ell, \mathcal{E}'$ and ρ'_ℓ for E' . We use the notation $\Gamma_\ell \subseteq G_\ell \times G'_\ell$ for the Galois group of the compositum $K_\ell K'_\ell/K$.

Lemma 3. *If $\rho_\ell = \rho'_\ell$, then $\det(\gamma - 1), \det(\gamma' - 1)$ are both zero or both non-zero for every $(\gamma, \gamma') \in \Gamma_\ell$.*

Proof. By the Chebotarev Density Theorem there is some prime $\mathfrak{p} \in S$ not over ℓ , unramified in $K_\ell K'_\ell$ and whose Frobenius conjugacy class in Γ_ℓ contains (γ, γ') . Lemma 2 implies the values $\rho_\ell(\mathfrak{p}), \rho'_\ell(\mathfrak{p})$ respectively identify whether or not $\det(\gamma - 1), \det(\gamma' - 1)$ are non-zero, and thus the hypothesis $\rho_\ell(\mathfrak{p}) = \rho'_\ell(\mathfrak{p})$ implies the determinants are both zero or both non-zero. \square

2. Proof of the theorem

The implication $1 \Rightarrow 2$ is trivial, so we prove $2 \Rightarrow 1$. Our assumption is that $\rho_\ell = \rho'_\ell$ for every $\ell \in \Lambda$.

2.1. Step 1: Reduction to the case $\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}' \subseteq K$

Consider the field $L := K\mathcal{E}\mathcal{E}'$. For a density-one set of primes \mathfrak{q} of L we have: \mathfrak{q} is of good reduction for E and E' ; the prime $\mathfrak{p} := \mathfrak{q} \cap K$ is in S ; the prime \mathfrak{q} has degree one hence $k_\mathfrak{q} = k_\mathfrak{p}$. We deduce that the assumptions of the theorem hold for L if they hold for K . The following general lemma completes this first step of the proof:

Lemma 4. *If two elliptic curves E, E' defined over K are $K\mathcal{E}\mathcal{E}'$ -isogenous, then they are K -isogenous.*

Proof. Let $L := K\mathcal{E}\mathcal{E}'$. Since E, E' are isogenous then $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}'$ and so $L = K\mathcal{E} = K\mathcal{E}'$. Let S_1 be the density-one subset of primes \mathfrak{p} of K which have degree one, which neither ramify in L nor lie over 2 or 3 and which are of good reduction for E and E' . Let $a_\mathfrak{p}$ (respectively $a'_\mathfrak{p}$) denote the trace of the Frobenius at \mathfrak{p} for E (respectively E').

If \mathfrak{q} is a prime of L lying over \mathfrak{p} , then $a_\mathfrak{q} = a'_\mathfrak{q}$ since E, E' are L -isogenous. If \mathfrak{p} splits in L , then we have $a_\mathfrak{p} = a_\mathfrak{q}$ and $a'_\mathfrak{p} = a'_\mathfrak{q}$ since $k_\mathfrak{q} = k_\mathfrak{p}$, thus $a_\mathfrak{p} = a'_\mathfrak{p}$. Otherwise, $\mathfrak{p} \in S_1$ is inert, thus [5, Ch. 10, §4, Th. 10] implies E, E' have supersingular reduction over \mathfrak{p} . Moreover, since $\#k_\mathfrak{p}$ is prime and thus not a square, proposition [8, Th. 4.1] implies $a_\mathfrak{p} = a'_\mathfrak{p} = 0$. Therefore $a_\mathfrak{p} = a'_\mathfrak{p}$ for every $\mathfrak{p} \in S_1$ as claimed. We conclude by [1, Cor. 2] that E and E' are K -isogenous. \square

2.2. Step 2: The curves E, E' are \bar{K} -isogenous

By [2, Th. A] (which is a refinement of [7, Lem. 9 and Th. 7]) it suffices to show that there are infinitely many prime numbers ℓ such that $K_\ell = K'_\ell$.

Lemma 5. For all but finitely many $\ell \in \Lambda$ we have $K_\ell = K'_\ell$.

Proof. Let $\ell \in \Lambda$, and without loss of generality suppose $K_\ell \not\subseteq K'_\ell$. This means that the kernel of the projection $\Gamma_\ell \rightarrow G'_\ell$ is non-trivial. This kernel projects to a non-trivial normal subgroup of G_ℓ , which is contained in H_ℓ because its elements fix $K(\mu_\ell) \subseteq K'_\ell$. Since $\mathcal{E} \subseteq K$ by the first step, for all but finitely many ℓ either $\mathcal{E} = \mathbb{Q}$ and $G_\ell = \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_\ell)$ or $\mathcal{E} \neq \mathbb{Q}$ and G_ℓ is a Cartan subgroup of $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_\ell)$, see [7, Th. 2 and §4.5, Cor.].

In the first case, $\gamma = -1$ lies in every non-trivial normal subgroup of $H_\ell = \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_\ell)$ (cf. [2, Lem. 2.2]). In the second case, every $\gamma \in H_\ell$ is semisimple and we know $\det(\gamma) = 1$, so if $\gamma \neq 1$ we have $\det(\gamma - 1) \neq 0$. Either way, we can find an element $(\gamma, 1) \in \Gamma_\ell$ satisfying $\det(\gamma - 1) \neq 0$. Lemma 3 then implies $\rho_\ell \neq \rho'_\ell$, contradicting $\ell \in \Lambda$. \square

2.3. Step 3: Every \bar{K} -isogeny between E, E' is defined over K

We have two elliptic curves E, E' over a number field K that are \bar{K} -isogenous and such that $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}' \subseteq K$. Every \bar{K} -isogeny between such curves is defined over a finite extension of K with degree at most 6, as the following lemma shows. Let $\mu \subset \mathcal{E}^\times$ be the subgroup of roots of unity and $\mathbb{Z}_\mathcal{E} \subset \mathcal{E}$ be the ring of integers. Let $f : E \rightarrow E'$ be a \bar{K} -isogeny of degree $d \geq 1$, and let $\hat{f} : E' \rightarrow E$ be the \bar{K} -isogeny satisfying $\hat{f} \circ f = d$. We write ${}^\sigma f$ for the transform of f by $\sigma \in G$ and we define δ to be the following map:

$$\delta : G_K \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^\times \quad \sigma \mapsto \frac{1}{d}(\hat{f} \circ {}^\sigma f).$$

Lemma 6. The map δ is a group homomorphism with image contained in μ .

Proof. For every $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in G_K$, since $\sigma_1 f \circ \sigma_1 \hat{f} = d$ and recalling that the action of G_K on $\mathcal{E} \subseteq K$ is trivial, we have

$$\delta(\sigma_1 \sigma_2) = \frac{1}{d}(\hat{f} \circ \sigma_1 \sigma_2 f) = \frac{1}{d^2}(\hat{f} \circ \sigma_1 f \circ \sigma_1 \hat{f} \circ \sigma_1 \sigma_2 f) = \delta(\sigma_1) \cdot \sigma_1 \delta(\sigma_2) = \delta(\sigma_1) \cdot \delta(\sigma_2)$$

hence δ is a homomorphism. Since f is defined over a finite extension of K , the image of δ is a finite subgroup of \mathcal{E}^\times so it is contained in μ . \square

Suppose that the curves E, E' also satisfy the assumptions of our theorem. We take $\sigma \in G_K$ and show that ${}^\sigma f = f$, or equivalently $\delta(\sigma) = 1$. To do so, we work with one $\ell \in \Lambda$, to be chosen sufficiently large. We take ℓ not dividing d , and such that for every $\zeta \in \mu \setminus \{1\}$ we have $\zeta - 1 \notin \ell \mathbb{Z}_\mathcal{E}$.

Let $L \subseteq \bar{K}$ be the smallest Galois extension of K where f is defined. By Proposition 1 and Lemma 5, up to excluding finitely many ℓ we may suppose that $L \cap K_\ell K'_\ell = K$. Then we may restrict to the case where $\sigma \in G_K$ induces the identity map on K_ℓ and K'_ℓ . This means that $E[\ell]$ is contained in the kernel of ${}^\sigma f - f$, so we have

$$(\delta(\sigma) - 1) = \hat{f} \circ ({}^\sigma f - f) \in \ell \mathbb{Z}_\mathcal{E}.$$

Since ℓ and d are coprime, we deduce that $\delta(\sigma) - 1$ is in $\ell \mathbb{Z}_\mathcal{E}$, which implies $\delta(\sigma) = 1$.

References

[1] G. Faltings, Finiteness theorems for Abelian varieties over number fields, in: G. Cornell, J.H. Silverman (Eds.), Arithmetic Geometry, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1986, pp. 9–27.
 [2] G. Frey, M. Jarden, Horizontal isogeny theorems, Forum Math. 14 (6) (2002) 931–952.
 [3] C. Hall, A. Perucca, Radical characterizations of elliptic curves, preprint, arXiv:1109.2440, 2011.
 [4] M. Hindry, N. Ratazzi, Points de torsion sur les variétés abéliennes de type GSp, J. Inst. Math. Jussieu 11 (1) (2012) 27–65.
 [5] S. Lang, Elliptic Functions, second edition, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 112, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1987.
 [6] N. Ratazzi, Isogénies horizontales et classes d’isogénies de variétés abéliennes, preprint, arXiv:1211.4387, 2012.
 [7] J.-P. Serre, Propriétés galoisiennes des points d’ordre fini des courbes elliptiques, Invent. Math. 15 (4) (1972) 259–331.
 [8] W.C. Waterhouse, Abelian varieties over finite fields, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. 4 (2) (1969) 521–560.