



Group Theory/Lie Algebras

# Exterior powers of the reflection representation in the cohomology of Springer fibres

*Les puissances extérieures de la représentation géométrique dans la cohomologie des fibres de Springer*

Anthony Henderson<sup>1</sup>

School of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Sydney, NSW 2006, Australia

## ARTICLE INFO

## Article history:

Received 3 February 2010

Accepted after revision 15 September 2010

Available online 25 September 2010

Presented by Gérard Laumon

## ABSTRACT

Let  $H^*(\mathcal{B}_e)$  be the cohomology of the Springer fibre for the nilpotent element  $e$  in a simple Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Let  $\Lambda^i V$  denote the  $i$ th exterior power of the reflection representation of  $W$ . We determine the degrees in which  $\Lambda^i V$  occurs in the graded representation  $H^*(\mathcal{B}_e)$ , under the assumption that  $e$  is regular in a Levi subalgebra and satisfies a certain extra condition which holds automatically if  $\mathfrak{g}$  is of type A, B, or C. This partially verifies a conjecture of Lehrer and Shoji.

© 2010 Académie des sciences. Published by Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.

## R É S U M É

Soit  $H^*(\mathcal{B}_e)$  la cohomologie de la fibre de Springer pour l'élément nilpotent  $e$  de l'algèbre de Lie simple  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Soit  $\Lambda^i V$  la  $i$ -ième puissance extérieure de la représentation géométrique de  $W$ . Nous trouvons les degrés des contributions de  $\Lambda^i V$  à la représentation graduée  $H^*(\mathcal{B}_e)$ , si  $e$  est régulier dans une sous-algèbre de Levi et satisfait à une autre condition qui est vraie si  $\mathfrak{g}$  est de type A, B, ou C. Ce résultat démontre partiellement une conjecture de Lehrer et Shoji.

© 2010 Académie des sciences. Published by Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a simple complex Lie algebra of rank  $\ell$ . Let  $W$  denote the Weyl group of  $\mathfrak{g}$ , and let  $V$  be the reflection representation of  $W$ . It is well known that the exterior powers  $\Lambda^i V$ , for  $i = 0, 1, \dots, \ell$ , are inequivalent irreducible representations of  $W$ , each of which is self-dual.

Let  $e$  be a nilpotent element of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . The Springer fibre  $\mathcal{B}_e$  is the variety of Borel subalgebras of  $\mathfrak{g}$  containing  $e$ . Let  $H^*(\mathcal{B}_e)$  denote the graded cohomology ring of  $\mathcal{B}_e$  with complex coefficients; the cohomology lives solely in even degrees, so  $H^*(\mathcal{B}_e)$  is commutative. We have the Springer representation of  $W$  on each  $H^{2j}(\mathcal{B}_e)$  (see [5], [2, Chapter 9]). Let  $s$  (depending on  $e$ ) denote the multiplicity of the irreducible representation  $V$  in the total representation  $H^*(\mathcal{B}_e)$ . Let  $m_1, m_2, \dots, m_s$  be the multiset of nonnegative integers, listed in increasing order, which are the halved degrees of the occurrences of  $V$  in the graded representation  $H^*(\mathcal{B}_e)$ . That is, we have by definition  $\sum_j \dim(H^{2j}(\mathcal{B}_e) \otimes V)^W q^j = q^{m_1} + q^{m_2} + \dots + q^{m_s}$ .

E-mail address: anthony.henderson@sydney.edu.au.

<sup>1</sup> The author's research is supported by ARC grant DP0985184.

In the special case  $e = 0$ , it is well known (see [5, §5]) that  $H^*(\mathcal{B})$  is isomorphic to the coinvariant algebra  $C^*(W)$  of  $W$ , that  $s = \ell$ , and that  $m_1, \dots, m_\ell$  are the exponents of  $W$ . More generally, if  $e$  is a regular nilpotent in a Levi subalgebra of semisimple rank  $r$ , then it was proved by Lehrer and Shoji in [3, Theorem 2.4] (see also [9]) that  $s = \ell - r$  and that  $m_1, \dots, m_s$  are the coexponents of the corresponding parabolic hyperplane arrangement, in the sense of Orlik and Solomon. See [8] for some other related interpretations of these coexponents. For  $\mathfrak{g}$  of classical type and general  $e$ , the numbers  $m_1, m_2, \dots, m_s$  were calculated by Spaltenstein in [9, Propositions 1.6–1.9].

Lehrer and Shoji conjectured that, at least in the parabolic case which they considered, the occurrences of each exterior power  $\Lambda^i V$  in  $H^*(\mathcal{B}_e)$  were also controlled in a natural way by  $m_1, m_2, \dots, m_s$ .

**Conjecture 1.1.** (See [3, Conjecture 8.3].) *Suppose that  $e$  is a regular nilpotent in a Levi subalgebra. Then for any  $i = 0, 1, \dots, \ell$ , we have  $\sum_j \dim(H^{2j}(\mathcal{B}_e) \otimes \Lambda^i V) q^j = e_i(q^{m_1}, q^{m_2}, \dots, q^{m_s})$  (the  $i$ th elementary symmetric polynomial in  $q^{m_1}, q^{m_2}, \dots, q^{m_s}$ , which is defined to be zero if  $i > s$ ).*

The  $e = 0$  case of this conjecture had already been proved by Solomon in [6]; indeed, he proved the stronger statement that the algebra  $(C^*(W) \otimes \Lambda^* V)^W$  is a free exterior algebra on  $(C^*(W) \otimes V)^W$ .

The main result of this Note is the following generalization of Solomon’s result, which implies various cases of Conjecture 1.1:

**Theorem 1.2.** *Suppose that  $e$  is regular in a Levi subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}$ , and define  $s$  and  $m_1, \dots, m_s$  as above. Also suppose that there is a parabolic subgroup  $W_K$  of  $W$  such that the following two conditions hold:*

- (1) *There exist invariant polynomials  $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_s \in (S^* V)^W$ , homogeneous of degrees  $m_1 + 1, m_2 + 1, \dots, m_s + 1$ , whose restrictions to the reflection representation  $V_K$  of  $W_K$  form a set of fundamental invariants for  $W_K$ .*
- (2) *The nilpotent orbit of  $e$  intersects the nilradical of the parabolic subalgebra  $\mathfrak{p}_K$  associated to  $W_K$ .*

(See Section 2 for the definitions of  $V_K$  and  $\mathfrak{p}_K$ .) *Then the algebra  $(H^*(\mathcal{B}_e) \otimes \Lambda^* V)^W$  is a free exterior algebra on  $(H^*(\mathcal{B}_e) \otimes V)^W$ . More precisely, the natural homomorphism  $\psi : \Lambda^*((H^*(\mathcal{B}_e) \otimes V)^W) \rightarrow (H^*(\mathcal{B}_e) \otimes \Lambda^* V)^W$  is an isomorphism.*

Here the domain and codomain of  $\psi$  are  $(\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N})$ -graded algebras over  $\mathbb{C}$ , where the  $(i, j)$ -components are  $\Lambda^i((H^{2j}(\mathcal{B}_e) \otimes V)^W)$  and  $(H^{2j}(\mathcal{B}_e) \otimes \Lambda^i V)^W$  respectively, and in both cases the algebra multiplication is graded-commutative with respect to the  $\mathbb{N}$ -grading labelled by  $i$ ; the homomorphism  $\psi$  is induced by the inclusion of the subspace  $(H^*(\mathcal{B}_e) \otimes V)^W$  in  $(H^*(\mathcal{B}_e) \otimes \Lambda^* V)^W$ . Since the graded degrees of this subspace are  $(1, m_1), (1, m_2), \dots, (1, m_s)$ , the statement that  $\psi$  is an isomorphism implies Conjecture 1.1.

Simple calculations<sup>2</sup> verify the following results:

**Proposition 1.3.** *If  $\mathfrak{g}$  is of type A, then the assumptions of Theorem 1.2 hold for any  $e$ .*

**Proposition 1.4.** *If  $\mathfrak{g}$  is of type B or C, then the assumptions of Theorem 1.2 hold for any  $e$  which is regular in a Levi subalgebra.*

Hence Conjecture 1.1 is proved in types A–C. By contrast, suppose that  $\mathfrak{g}$  is of type  $D_4$  and  $e$  has Jordan type  $(3^2 1^2)$  in the natural representation on  $\mathbb{C}^8$ . Then  $e$  is regular in a Levi subalgebra of type  $A_2$ , but we have  $m_2 = 2$  and there are no  $W$ -invariant polynomials of degree 3, so condition (1) of Theorem 1.2 cannot be satisfied.

**2. Proof of Theorem 1.2**

Continue the notation of the introduction. Let  $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{b}$  be a Cartan subalgebra and Borel subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}$ , and let  $\Pi \subset \Phi^+ \subset \Phi$  be the corresponding set of simple roots, positive roots, and roots. We identify  $W$  with the subgroup of  $GL(\mathfrak{h})$  generated by the simple reflections  $s_\alpha$  for  $\alpha \in \Pi$ ; the reflection representation  $V$  of  $W$  is merely  $\mathfrak{h}$  itself.

Let  $J \subseteq \Pi$  be a subset of size  $r$ , and set  $s = \ell - r$ . We have a Levi subalgebra  $\mathfrak{l}_J$  and parabolic subalgebra  $\mathfrak{p}_J$  containing  $\mathfrak{h}$  and  $\mathfrak{b}$  respectively, a parabolic subsystem  $\Phi_J$  of  $\Phi$ , and a parabolic subgroup  $W_J$  of  $W$ . Define  $V^J = \bigcap_{\alpha \in J} \ker(\alpha) = V^{W_J}$ . We write  $V_J$  for the unique  $W_J$ -invariant complement to  $V^J$  in  $V$ , which is the reflection representation of  $W_J$ . Note that  $\dim V^J = s$  and  $\dim V_J = r$ . Let  $\mathcal{A}^J$  and  $\mathcal{A}_J$  be the hyperplane arrangements in  $V^J$  and  $V_J$  respectively induced by the root hyperplanes in  $V$ .

We assume for the remainder of the section that  $e$  is parabolic of type  $J$ , meaning that the orbit of  $e$  contains the regular nilpotent elements of  $\mathfrak{l}_J$ . As mentioned in the introduction, Lehrer and Shoji proved in this case that  $\sum_j \dim(H^{2j}(\mathcal{B}_e) \otimes V)^W q^j = q^{m_1} + q^{m_2} + \dots + q^{m_s}$ , where  $m_1, \dots, m_s$  are the coexponents of the arrangement  $\mathcal{A}^J$ . (See [3, Theorem 2.4]; the missing case in type D is covered by the results of Spaltenstein [9].)

An important special feature of the parabolic case is Lusztig’s Induction Theorem for Springer representations.

<sup>2</sup> The details may be found in the preprint version of this Note, arXiv:1001.3164.

**Theorem 2.1.** (See [4].) *The representation of  $W$  on  $H^*(\mathcal{B}_e)$ , neglecting the grading, is isomorphic to the induction  $\text{Ind}_{W_J}^W(\mathbb{C})$  of the trivial representation of  $W_J$ .*

**Corollary 2.2.** *We have  $\dim(H^*(\mathcal{B}_e) \otimes \Lambda^*V)^W = 2^s$ , so the domain and codomain of  $\psi$  have the same dimension.*

**Proof.** By Frobenius Reciprocity, we know that  $\dim(\text{Ind}_{W_J}^W(\mathbb{C}) \otimes \Lambda^*V)^W = \dim(\Lambda^*V)^{W_J}$ . From the fact that  $(\Lambda^*V)^{s\alpha} = \Lambda^*(\ker(\alpha))$  for all  $\alpha \in J$  one deduces  $(\Lambda^*V)^{W_J} = \Lambda^*(V^J)$ , and the corollary follows.  $\square$

So to prove Theorem 1.2, it suffices to show that the homomorphism  $\psi$  is injective. Since the domain is an exterior algebra, this will follow if we can show that  $\psi(\Lambda^s((H^*(\mathcal{B}_e) \otimes V)^W)) \neq 0$ .

Using the  $W$ -equivariant isomorphism of  $V$  with its dual, we will identify the symmetric algebra  $S^*V$  with the ring of polynomial functions on  $V$ . It is well known that the invariant subring  $(S^*V)^W$  is freely generated by  $\ell$  homogeneous polynomials, called fundamental invariants for  $W$ . The coinvariant algebra  $C^*(W)$  of  $W$  is the quotient  $S^*V/I$ , where  $I$  is the ideal generated by these fundamental invariants.

Now there is a canonical (degree-doubling)  $W$ -equivariant algebra homomorphism  $S^*V \rightarrow H^*(\mathcal{B})$  which identifies  $H^*(\mathcal{B})$  with  $C^*(W)$  (see [5, §5]). Composing this with the natural homomorphism  $H^*(\mathcal{B}) \rightarrow H^*(\mathcal{B}_e)$ , which is  $W$ -equivariant by [9, Lemma 1.4] (this fact in characteristic  $p$  was [1, Theorem 1.1]), we obtain a  $W$ -equivariant homomorphism  $\varphi : S^*V \rightarrow H^*(\mathcal{B}_e)$ . Note that the image of  $\varphi$  is contained in the subspace  $H^*(\mathcal{B}_e)^{A(e)}$  of invariants for the component group of the centralizer of  $e$  in the adjoint group of  $\mathfrak{g}$ ; in particular,  $\varphi$  is not surjective in general. However, it may happen that the induced map  $(S^*V \otimes V)^W \rightarrow (H^*(\mathcal{B}_e) \otimes V)^W$  is surjective even if  $\varphi$  is not. We will see that this occurs under the assumptions of Theorem 1.2, which means that a calculation with polynomials on  $V$  suffices to prove what we want.

Henceforth we let  $K \subseteq \Pi$  be a subset satisfying conditions (1) and (2) of Theorem 1.2. Note that condition (1) entails  $|K| = s$ . Choose a basis  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_\ell$  of  $V$  such that  $v_1, \dots, v_s$  is a basis of  $V_K$ . Since the exterior derivative  $S^*V \rightarrow S^*V \otimes V : f \mapsto \sum \frac{\partial f}{\partial v_j} \otimes v_j$  is  $W$ -equivariant, we have the following  $s$  elements of  $(H^*(\mathcal{B}_e) \otimes V)^W$ :

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \varphi\left(\frac{\partial f_1}{\partial v_j}\right) \otimes v_j, \sum_{j=1}^n \varphi\left(\frac{\partial f_2}{\partial v_j}\right) \otimes v_j, \dots, \sum_{j=1}^n \varphi\left(\frac{\partial f_s}{\partial v_j}\right) \otimes v_j.$$

We can prove both that these form a basis of  $(H^*(\mathcal{B}_e) \otimes V)^W$ , and that  $\psi(\Lambda^s((H^*(\mathcal{B}_e) \otimes V)^W)) \neq 0$  as required, by proving the single fact that  $\varphi(\Delta) \neq 0$ , where  $\Delta$  is the determinant of the  $s \times s$  matrix  $(\frac{\partial f_a}{\partial v_b})$ , with  $a$  and  $b$  ranging from 1 to  $s$ . Since  $v_1, \dots, v_s$  span the reflection representation of  $W_K$ , we have  $w\Delta = \varepsilon(w)\Delta$  for all  $w \in W_K$ . This forces  $\Delta$  to be divisible by the polynomial  $\pi_K = \prod_{\beta \in \Phi_K^+} \beta$ . Condition (1) tells us that on restricting to  $V_K$ ,  $\Delta$  becomes the Jacobian matrix of the fundamental invariants of  $W_K$ , which is well known to be a nonzero scalar multiple of the restriction to  $V_K$  of  $\pi_K$  (see [10]). So  $\Delta$  is a nonzero scalar multiple of  $\pi_K$ , and it suffices to prove that  $\varphi(\pi_K) \neq 0$ .

By condition (2), we may suppose that  $e$  lies in the nilradical of  $\mathfrak{p}_K$ . Then any Borel subalgebra contained in  $\mathfrak{p}_K$  must contain  $e$ , so we have an inclusion  $\mathcal{B}^K \hookrightarrow \mathcal{B}_e$ , where  $\mathcal{B}^K$  denotes the variety of Borel subalgebras contained in  $\mathfrak{p}_K$ , which can be identified with the flag variety of  $\mathfrak{l}_K$ . Hence it suffices to prove that  $\pi_K$  is not in the kernel of the composition  $S^*V \rightarrow H^*(\mathcal{B}) \rightarrow H^*(\mathcal{B}^K)$ . But this composition is the canonical homomorphism identifying  $C^*(W_K)$  with  $H^*(\mathcal{B}^K)$ , which maps  $\pi_K$  to a generator of the top-degree cohomology of  $\mathcal{B}^K$  (compare [1, Proposition 1.4], which uses exactly this argument in the case when  $e$  lies in the Richardson orbit of  $\mathfrak{p}_K$ ). This completes the proof of Theorem 1.2.

**Acknowledgements**

The main result of this Note, Theorem 1.2, dates from 1997, when I was a student at the University of Sydney, supervised by Gus Lehrer. As the reader will observe, it is indebted to Lehrer’s ideas, and I thank him for his help and encouragement. I did not publish this result at the time, since it did not prove the motivating Conjecture 1.1 in general. Recently Eric Sommers [7] has completed the proof of Conjecture 1.1 by a different method, and also removed the assumption that  $e$  is regular in a Levi subalgebra. I thank him for his interest in my old result, and for the suggestion that it be published to supply part of the general proof.

**References**

[1] R. Hotta, T.A. Springer, A specialization theorem for certain Weyl group representations and an application to the Green polynomials of unitary groups, *Invent. Math.* 41 (1977) 113–127.  
 [2] J.E. Humphreys, *Conjugacy Classes in Semisimple Algebraic Groups*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 43, American Mathematical Society, Providence, Rhode Island, 1995.  
 [3] G.I. Lehrer, T. Shoji, On flag varieties, hyperplane complements and Springer representations of Weyl groups, *J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. A* 49 (3) (1990) 449–485.  
 [4] G. Lusztig, An induction theorem for Springer’s representations, in: *Representation Theory of Algebraic Groups and Quantum Groups*, in: *Adv. Stud. Pure Math.*, vol. 40, Math. Soc. Japan, Kinokuniya, 2004, pp. 253–259.

- [5] T. Shoji, Geometry of orbits and Springer correspondence, in: Orbits unipotentes et représentations, I, in: Astérisque, vol. 168, Soc. Math. de France, Paris, 1988, pp. 61–140.
- [6] L. Solomon, Invariants of finite reflection groups, Nagoya Math. J. 22 (1963) 57–64.
- [7] E. Sommers, Exterior powers of the reflection representation in Springer theory, arXiv:1008.1180.
- [8] E. Sommers, P. Trapa, The adjoint representation in rings of functions, Represent. Theory 1 (1997) 182–189.
- [9] N. Spaltenstein, On the reflection representation in Springer's theory, Comment. Math. Helv. 66 (4) (1991) 618–636.
- [10] R. Steinberg, Invariants of finite reflection groups, Canad. J. Math. 12 (1960) 616–618.