

Algebraic Geometry

On the vector bundles over rationally connected varieties

Indranil Biswas^a, João Pedro P. dos Santos^b

^a School of Mathematics, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Homi Bhabha Road, Bombay 400005, India

^b Institut de mathématiques de Jussieu, 175, rue du Chevaleret, 75013 Paris, France

Received 28 June 2009; accepted after revision 2 September 2009

Available online 19 September 2009

Presented by Jean-Pierre Demailly

Abstract

Let X be a rationally connected smooth projective variety defined over \mathbb{C} and $E \rightarrow X$ a vector bundle such that for every morphism $\gamma : \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow X$, the pullback γ^*E is trivial. We prove that E is trivial. Using this we show that if γ^*E is isomorphic to $L(\gamma)^{\oplus r}$ for all γ of the above type, where $L(\gamma) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$ is some line bundle, then there is a line bundle ζ over X such that $E = \zeta^{\oplus r}$. **To cite this article:** I. Biswas, J.P.P. dos Santos, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I 347 (2009).

© 2009 Académie des sciences. Published by Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.

Résumé

Des fibrés vectoriels sur les variétés rationnellement connexes. Soit X une variété rationnellement connexe sur \mathbb{C} et soit $E \rightarrow X$ un fibré vectoriel tel que, pour tout morphisme $\gamma : \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow X$, le fibré γ^*E est trivial. Nous montrons que E est trivial. Nous en déduisons que si, pour tout γ comme avant, γ^*E est isomorphe à $L(\gamma)^{\oplus r}$, où $L(\gamma) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$ est un fibré en droites, alors il existe un fibré en droites ζ sur X et un isomorphisme $E \cong \zeta^{\oplus r}$. **Pour citer cet article :** I. Biswas, J.P.P. dos Santos, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I 347 (2009).

© 2009 Académie des sciences. Published by Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Let E be a holomorphic vector bundle over a connected complex projective manifold X . If for every pair of the form (C, γ) , where C is a compact connected Riemann surface, and $\gamma : C \rightarrow X$ is a holomorphic map, the pullback γ^*E is semistable, then it is known that E is semistable, and $c_i(\text{End}(E)) = 0$ for all $i \geq 1$ [3, pp. 3–4, Theorem 1.2]. Our aim here is to show that if X is rationally connected, then the above conclusion remains valid even if we insert in the condition that C is a rational curve. We recall that a complex projective variety X is said to be *rationally connected* if any two points of X can be joined by an irreducible rational curve on X ; see [9, Theorem 2.1] for equivalent conditions. We prove the following theorem:

Theorem 1.1. *Let E be a vector bundle of rank r over a rationally connected smooth projective variety X defined over \mathbb{C} such that for every morphism*

E-mail addresses: indranil@math.tifr.res.in (I. Biswas), dos-santos@math.jussieu.fr (J.P.P. dos Santos).

$$\gamma : \mathbb{C}P^1 \longrightarrow X,$$

the pullback γ^*E is isomorphic to $L(\gamma)^{\oplus r}$ for some line bundle $L(\gamma) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}P^1$. Then there is a line bundle ζ over X such that $E = \zeta^{\oplus r}$.

In [1] this was proved under the extra assumption that $\text{Pic}(X) = \mathbb{Z}$ (see [1, p. 211, Proposition 1.2]).

Theorem 1.1 is deduced from the following proposition (see Proposition 2.1):

Proposition 1.2. *Let X be as in Theorem 1.1. Let $E \longrightarrow X$ be a vector bundle such that for every morphism $\gamma : \mathbb{C}P^1 \longrightarrow X$, the pullback γ^*E is trivial. Then E itself is trivial.*

The condition in Theorem 1.1 that γ^*E is of the form $L(\gamma)^{\oplus r}$ can be replaced by an equivalent condition which says that γ^*E is semistable (see Corollary 2.3).

2. Criterion for triviality

Let X be a rationally connected smooth projective variety defined over \mathbb{C} . Let $E \longrightarrow X$ be a vector bundle.

Proposition 2.1. *Assume that for every morphism*

$$\gamma : \mathbb{C}P^1 \longrightarrow X$$

the vector bundle $\gamma^*E \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}P^1$ is trivial. Then E itself is trivial.

Proof. Let $x \in X$ be a closed point. There is a smooth family of rational curves on X

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Z & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & X \\ \downarrow f & & \\ T & & \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{l} \curvearrowright \sigma \\ \end{array} \tag{1}$$

where

- (1) T is open in $\text{Mor}(\mathbb{C}P^1, X; (0 : 1) \mapsto x)$ (hence T is quasiprojective),
- (2) $f \circ \sigma = \text{Id}_T$,
- (3) φ is dominant, and
- (4) $\varphi(\sigma(t)) = x$ for all $t \in T$.

(See [4, Section 3], [8, Theorem 3].)

Let

$$\beta := [\varphi(f^{-1}(t))] \in H_2(X, \mathbb{Z})$$

be the homology class, where $t \in T(\mathbb{C})$. Let $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,1}(X, \beta)$ be the moduli stack classifying families of stable maps from 1-pointed genus zero curves to X which represent the class β . (We are following the terminology of [5].) We know that $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,1}(X, \beta)$ is a proper Deligne–Mumford stack [2, p. 27, Theorem 3.14].

Let

$$\rho : T \longrightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,1}(X, \beta) \tag{2}$$

be the morphism associated to the family in (1).

By ‘‘Chow’s Lemma’’ [10, p. 154, Corollaire 16.6.1], there exists a projective \mathbb{C} -scheme Y together with a proper surjective morphism $\psi : Y \longrightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,1}(X, \beta)$. There exists a Cartesian diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T_1 & \xrightarrow{\rho_1} & Y \\ \downarrow \psi_1 & \square & \downarrow \psi \\ T & \xrightarrow{\rho} & \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,1}(X, \beta) \end{array}$$

where T_1 is a scheme and ψ_1 is proper and surjective. This last assertion is justified by the fact that the diagonal of a Deligne–Mumford stack is *schematic* ([10, p. 26, Lemme 4.2] and [10, p. 21, Corollaire 3.13]). As T is separated (it is open in $\text{Mor}(\mathbb{C}P^1, X)$), we can apply Nagata’s Theorem [11, p. 106, Theorem 3.2] to find a proper \mathbb{C} -scheme \bar{T}_1 and a schematically dense open immersion $i : T_1 \hookrightarrow \bar{T}_1$. Eliminating the “indeterminacy locus” (see e.g. [11, pp. 99–100]), we can find a blow-up

$$\xi : \bar{T} \longrightarrow \bar{T}_1$$

whose center is disjoint from T_1 and a morphism

$$\bar{\rho} : \bar{T} \longrightarrow Y$$

which extends $\rho_1 : T_1 \longrightarrow Y$. The composition $\psi \circ \bar{\rho} : \bar{T} \longrightarrow \bar{\mathcal{M}}_{0,1}(X, \beta)$ represents a family of 1-pointed genus zero stable maps

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \bar{Z} & \xrightarrow{\bar{\varphi}} & X \\
 \downarrow \bar{f} & & \\
 \bar{T} & &
 \end{array}
 \quad \begin{array}{l}
 \nearrow \bar{\sigma} \\
 \searrow \bar{\sigma}
 \end{array}
 \tag{3}$$

whose pullback via $i : T_1 \hookrightarrow \bar{T}$ is the pullback of the family in (1) via ψ_1 . Clearly $\bar{\varphi}$ is dominant (hence surjective) and $\bar{\varphi} \circ \bar{\sigma}$ is a constant morphism. Note that, without loss of generality, we can assume \bar{T} to be *reduced*.

We recall that the pullback of E by any map from $\mathbb{C}P^1$ is trivial. Consequently, for any point $t \in \bar{T}(\mathbb{C})$, the restriction of $\bar{E} := \bar{\varphi}^* E$ to the curve $\bar{f}^{-1}(t)$ — which is a tree of $\mathbb{C}P^1$ — is trivial. Therefore, \bar{E} descends to \bar{T} . More precisely, the direct image $\bar{f}_* \bar{E}$ is a vector bundle on \bar{T} , and the canonical arrow

$$\bar{f}^* \bar{f}_* \bar{E} \longrightarrow \bar{E} \tag{4}$$

is an isomorphism [12, §5]. The homomorphism in (4) is injective because any section of a trivial vector bundle, over a connected projective scheme, that vanishes at one point actually vanishes identically; the homomorphism is surjective also because $\bar{E}|_{\bar{f}^{-1}(t)}$ is trivial for all t . We also note that the image of (4) by $\bar{\sigma}^*$ defines an isomorphism between $\bar{\sigma}^* \bar{E}$ and $\bar{f}_* \bar{E}$. Therefore, using (4),

$$\bar{f}^* \bar{\sigma}^* \bar{E} = \bar{E}. \tag{5}$$

Now from the condition that $\bar{\varphi} \circ \bar{\sigma}$ is a constant map it follows immediately that $\bar{\sigma}^* \bar{\varphi}^* E = \bar{\sigma}^* \bar{E}$ is a trivial vector bundle. Consequently, using (5) we conclude that the vector bundle $\bar{\varphi}^* E$ is trivial.

Since $\bar{\varphi}$ is a surjective and proper morphism, and $\bar{\varphi}^* E$ is trivial, we conclude that the Chern class $c_i(E)$ is numerically equivalent to zero for all $i \geq 1$.

Next we will show that the vector bundle E is semistable.

Let $C \hookrightarrow X$ be a smooth irreducible (proper) curve on X , and let $C' \hookrightarrow \bar{Z}$ be an irreducible curve such that $\bar{\varphi}(C') = C$. (The curve C' can be constructed as the closure of a closed point of the generic fiber of $\bar{\varphi}^{-1}(C) \longrightarrow C$.) Since the pullback of $E|_C$ to C' is trivial, so is the pullback of $E|_C$ to the normalization of C' . Consequently, the vector bundle $E|_C$ is semistable of degree zero. This allows us to conclude that E is semistable with respect to any chosen polarization on X .

Since E is semistable, and both $c_1(E)$ and $c_2(E)$ are numerically equivalent to zero, a theorem of Simpson says that E admits a flat connection (see [13, p. 40, Corollary 3.10]). On the other hand, X is simply connected because it is rationally connected ([4, p. 545, Theorem 3.5], [7, p. 362, Proposition 2.3]). Therefore, any flat vector bundle on X is trivial. In particular, the vector bundle E is trivial. \square

As before, let E be a vector bundle over the rationally connected variety X . Let r be the rank of E .

Theorem 2.2. *Assume that for every morphism*

$$\gamma : \mathbb{C}P^1 \longrightarrow X,$$

there is a line bundle $L(\gamma) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}P^1$ such that $\gamma^ E = L(\gamma)^{\oplus r}$. Then there is a line bundle $\zeta \longrightarrow X$ such that $E = \zeta^{\oplus r}$.*

Proof. The above condition on γ^*E and Proposition 2.1 ensure that the vector bundle $\text{End}(E)$ is trivial. This implies that, for any $x_0 \in X(\mathbb{C})$, the evaluation map

$$H^0(X, \text{End}(E)) \longrightarrow \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(E(x_0)) \quad (6)$$

is an isomorphism; let $A : E \longrightarrow E$ be an isomorphism such that all the eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r$ of $A(x_0)$ are distinct. As the eigenvalues of $A(x)$ are independent of $x \in X$, it follows that E is isomorphic to the direct sum of the line subbundles

$$\mathcal{L}_i := \text{kernel}(\lambda_i - A) \subseteq E, \quad 1 \leq i \leq r.$$

Since the evaluation map in (6) is an isomorphism, we have

$$\dim H^0(X, \mathcal{L}_i \otimes \mathcal{L}_j^*) \leq 1$$

for all $i, j \in [1, r]$. Note that if $H^0(X, \mathcal{L}_i \otimes \mathcal{L}_j^*) = 0$ for some i, j , then

$$\dim H^0(X, \text{End}(E)) < r^2,$$

which contradicts the fact that $\text{End}(E)$ is trivial. For $s_{ij} \in H^0(X, \mathcal{L}_i \otimes \mathcal{L}_j^*) \setminus \{0\}$, $i, j \in [1, r]$, the composition $s_{ij} \circ s_{ji}$ is an automorphism of \mathcal{L}_i , hence each s_{ij} is an isomorphism. This completes the proof of the theorem. \square

A theorem due to Grothendieck says that any vector bundle over $\mathbb{C}P^1$ decomposes into a direct sum of line bundles [6, p. 126, Théorème 2.1]. Therefore, Theorem 2.2 has the following corollary:

Corollary 2.3. *If for every morphism $\gamma : \mathbb{C}P^1 \longrightarrow X$, the vector bundle γ^*E is semistable, then there is a line bundle $\zeta \longrightarrow X$ such that $E = \zeta^{\oplus r}$.*

Acknowledgements

We thank N. Fakhruddin for useful comments. We thank Carolina Araujo for pointing out [1].

References

- [1] M. Andreatta, J.A. Wiśniewski, On manifolds whose tangent bundle contains an ample subbundle, *Invent. Math.* 146 (2001) 209–217.
- [2] K. Behrend, Yu. Manin, Stacks of stable maps and Gromov–Witten invariants, *Duke Math. J.* 85 (1996) 1–60.
- [3] I. Biswas, U. Bruzzo, On semistable principal bundles over a complex projective manifold, *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN* 12 (2008), Art. ID rnn035.
- [4] F. Campana, On twistor spaces of the class \mathcal{C} , *J. Diff. Geom.* 33 (1991) 541–549.
- [5] W. Fulton, R. Pandharipande, Notes on stable maps and quantum cohomology, <http://arxiv.org/abs/alg-geom/9608011>.
- [6] A. Grothendieck, Sur la classification des fibrés holomorphes sur la sphère de Riemann, *Amer. J. Math.* 79 (1957) 121–138.
- [7] J. Kollár, Fundamental groups of rationally connected varieties, *Michigan Math. J.* 48 (2000) 359–368.
- [8] J. Kollár, Rationally connected varieties and fundamental groups, in: *Higher Dimensional Varieties and Rational Points*, Budapest, 2001, in: *Bolyai Soc. Math. Stud.*, vol. 12, Springer, Berlin, 2003, pp. 69–92, <http://arxiv.org/abs/math/0203174>.
- [9] J. Kollár, Y. Miyaoka, S. Mori, Rationally connected varieties, *J. Algebraic Geom.* 1 (1992) 429–448.
- [10] G. Laumon, L. Moret-Bailly, *Champs algébriques*, *Ergeb. Math. Grenzgeb.*, vol. 39, Springer, 2000.
- [11] W. Lütkebohmert, On compactification of schemes, *Manuscr. Math.* 80 (1993) 95–111.
- [12] D. Mumford, *Abelian Varieties*, *Tata Institute of Fundamental Research Studies in Mathematics*, vol. 5, Oxford University Press, London, 1970.
- [13] C.T. Simpson, Higgs bundles and local systems, *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci.* 75 (1992) 5–95.