



Available online at [www.sciencedirect.com](http://www.sciencedirect.com)



C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I 339 (2004) 477–482



<http://france.elsevier.com/direct/CRASS1/>

Partial Differential Equations

# On the asymptotic behaviour of elliptic problems with periodic data

Michel Chipot, Yitian Xie

University of Zürich, Angewandte Mathematik, Winterthurerstrasse, 190, CH-8057 Zürich, Switzerland

Received 30 August 2004; accepted 7 September 2004

Available online 25 September 2004

Presented by Philippe G. Ciarlet

---

## Abstract

We study the asymptotic behaviour of the solution of elliptic problems with periodic data when the size of the domain on which the problem is set becomes unbounded. *To cite this article: M. Chipot, Y. Xie, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I 339 (2004).* © 2004 Académie des sciences. Published by Elsevier SAS. All rights reserved.

## Résumé

**Sur le comportement asymptotique de problèmes elliptiques à données périodiques.** On s'intéresse au comportement asymptotique de la solution de problèmes elliptiques à données périodiques lorsque la taille de l'ouvert sur lequel le problème est posé devient infinie. *Pour citer cet article: M. Chipot, Y. Xie, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I 339 (2004).*

© 2004 Académie des sciences. Published by Elsevier SAS. All rights reserved.

---

## Version française abrégée

Soit  $T$  un nombre positif. Pour  $n, k \geq 1$  entiers on pose

$$\Omega_n = (-nT, nT)^k, \quad Q = (0, T)^k.$$

On dit que  $f \in L^1_{\text{Loc}}(\mathbb{R}^k)$  est  $T$ -périodique dans toutes les directions si l'on a

$$f(x + Te_j) = f(x) \quad \text{p.p. } x \in \mathbb{R}^k, \quad \forall j = 1, \dots, k.$$

( $e_j$ ) désigne la base canonique de  $\mathbb{R}^k$ ). On considère alors  $a_{ij}, i, j = 1, \dots, k, a, f_i, i = 0, \dots, k$ , des fonctions  $T$ -périodiques dans toutes les directions. Soit  $V_n$  un sous espace de  $H^1(\Omega_n)$  tel que

$$V_n \text{ est fermé dans } H^1(\Omega_n), \quad H_0^1(\Omega_n) \subset V_n \subset H^1(\Omega_n).$$

---

*E-mail addresses:* [chipot@amath.unizh.ch](mailto:chipot@amath.unizh.ch) (M. Chipot), [yitian@math.unizh.ch](mailto:yitian@math.unizh.ch) (Y. Xie).

On désigne par  $u_n$  la solution faible de

$$\begin{cases} u_n \in V_n, \\ \int_{\Omega_n} a_{ij}(x) \partial_{x_i} u_n \partial_{x_j} v + a(x) u_n v \, dx = \int_{\Omega_n} f_0 v + f_i \partial_{x_i} v \, dx \quad \forall v \in V_n, \end{cases}$$

et par  $u_\infty$  la solution de

$$\begin{cases} u_\infty \in H_{\text{per}}^1(Q), \\ \int_Q a_{ij}(x) \partial_{x_i} u_\infty \partial_{x_j} v + a(x) u_\infty v \, dx = \int_Q f_0 v + f_i \partial_{x_i} v \, dx \quad \forall v \in H_{\text{per}}^1(Q), \end{cases}$$

où  $H_{\text{per}}^1(Q)$  est défini par

$$H_{\text{per}}^1(Q) = \{v \in H^1(Q) \mid v \text{ est } T\text{-périodique dans toutes les directions}\}.$$

Sous les hypothèses usuelles d'ellipticité on se propose de montrer que

- lorsque  $0 \leq a(x) \leq \Lambda$ ,  $a \not\equiv 0$ , pour tout  $n_0 > 0$  et tout  $r > 0$ , il existe une constante  $C$  indépendante de  $n$  telle que

$$\|u_n - u_\infty\|_{H^1(\Omega_{n_0})} \leq \frac{C}{n^r}.$$

(Par souci de simplicité nous donnerons ici la preuve de ce résultat dans le cas où  $0 < \lambda \leq a(x)$  renvoyant le lecteur à [2] pour le cas général.)

- Lorsque  $a \equiv 0$ ,  $\int_Q f_0 \, dx = 0$ ,  $V_n = H_0^1(\Omega_n)$ , et si  $u_\infty$  est solution de (7) de moyenne nulle, alors il existe une constante  $C$  telle que (à une sous suite près)

$$u_n \rightharpoonup u_\infty + C \quad \text{dans } L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^k) \text{ faible } *.$$

Autrement dit, dans presque tous les cas (sauf quand  $a \equiv 0$ ,  $\int_Q f_0 \neq 0$  où  $u_n$  est non borné), les données périodiques forcent la convergence sur tout compact vers une fonction périodique.

### 1. Introduction

Let  $T$  denote a positive number. For  $n, k$  integers  $n, k \geq 1$  we set

$$\Omega_n = (-nT, nT)^k, \quad Q = (0, T)^k. \tag{1}$$

We say that  $f \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^k)$  is  $T$ -periodic in all directions if it holds that

$$f(x + Te_j) = f(x) \quad \text{a.e. } x \in \mathbb{R}^k, \quad \forall j = 1, \dots, k. \tag{2}$$

( $e_j$ ) denotes the canonical basis of  $\mathbb{R}^k$ ). Consider then

$$a_{ij}, \quad i, j = 1, \dots, k, \quad a, \quad \text{functions in } L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^k), \quad T\text{-periodic in all directions,} \tag{3}$$

$$f_i, \quad i = 0, \dots, k, \quad \text{functions in } L^2(Q), \quad T\text{-periodic in all directions.} \tag{4}$$

(We suppose of course that all the functions we use are defined in all  $\mathbb{R}^k$  – extended eventually by periodicity.) Let us denote by  $V_n$  a subspace of  $H^1(\Omega_n)$  such that

$$V_n \text{ is closed in } H^1(\Omega_n), \quad H_0^1(\Omega_n) \subset V_n \subset H^1(\Omega_n). \tag{5}$$

(We refer the reader to [5,1] for the notation used in this Note.) We denote by  $u_n$  the weak solution to

$$\begin{cases} u_n \in V_n, \\ \int_{\Omega_n} a_{ij}(x) \partial x_i u_n \partial x_j v + a(x) u_n v \, dx = \int_{\Omega_n} f_0 v + f_i \partial x_i v \, dx \quad \forall v \in V_n, \end{cases} \tag{6}$$

and by  $u_\infty$  the solution to

$$\begin{cases} u_\infty \in H_{\text{per}}^1(Q), \\ \int_Q a_{ij}(x) \partial x_i u_\infty \partial x_j v + a(x) u_\infty v \, dx = \int_Q f_0 v + f_i \partial x_i v \, dx \quad \forall v \in H_{\text{per}}^1(Q), \end{cases} \tag{7}$$

where  $H_{\text{per}}^1(Q)$  is defined by

$$H_{\text{per}}^1(Q) = \{v \in H^1(Q) \mid v \text{ is } T\text{-periodic in all directions}\}. \tag{8}$$

Under the usual ellipticity conditions described below it is clear that when  $0 \leq a$ ,  $a \neq 0$  then both (6), (7) admit a unique solution. Then we would like to show that  $u_n$  converges towards  $u_\infty$ .

In the case where  $a \equiv 0$ , that we call the degenerate case, (6) possesses a unique solution when  $V_n = H_0^1(\Omega_n)$ . Moreover, if we impose to  $u_\infty$  to be of average of 0 then (7) admits also a unique solution. Then, roughly speaking, when  $f_0$  is of average 0, we will show that up to a constant  $u_n$  converges towards  $u_\infty$ .

## 2. The nondegenerate case

In this section we assume that for some positive constant  $\lambda, \Lambda$  it holds that

$$0 < \lambda \leq a(x) \leq \Lambda \quad \text{a.e. } x \in \mathbb{R}^k, \tag{9}$$

$$\lambda |\xi|^2 \leq a_{ij}(x) \xi_i \xi_j \quad \text{a.e. } x \in \mathbb{R}^k, \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^k. \tag{10}$$

((10) is the usual ellipticity condition – there is no loss of generality in assuming  $\lambda$  to be the same in (9) and (10)). Clearly, under the above assumptions there exists a unique solution to (6) and also to (7). Moreover we have:

**Theorem 2.1.** *For any  $n_0 > 0$  and any exponent  $r > 0$  there exists a constant  $C$  independent of  $n$  such that*

$$|u_n - u_\infty|_{H^1(\Omega_{n_0})} \leq \frac{C}{n^r}. \tag{11}$$

In the above estimate – as in the following – we set

$$|u|_{H^1(\Omega)} = \left[ \int_{\Omega} \{|\nabla u|^2 + u^2\} \, dx \right]^{1/2}. \tag{12}$$

In order to prove our theorem, we will need some lemmas. First

**Lemma 2.2** (Estimate of  $u_n$ ). *It holds that*

$$|u_n|_{H^1(\Omega_n)}^2 \leq \frac{2^k}{\lambda^2} |f|_{2,Q}^2 n^k \tag{13}$$

where we have set

$$|f|_{2,Q}^2 = \int_Q |f|^2 \, dx = \int_Q \sum_{i=0}^k f_i^2 \, dx. \tag{14}$$

**Proof of the Lemma.** We take  $v = u_n$  as test function in (6). Using (9), (10) and the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality it comes after some easy computations

$$\lambda |u_n|_{H^1(\Omega_n)}^2 \leq \int_{\Omega_n} a_{ij}(x) \partial x_i u_n \partial x_j u_n + a u_n^2 \, dx = \int_{\Omega_n} f_0 u_n + f_i \partial x_i u_n \, dx \leq \left( \int_{\Omega_n} |f|^2 \, dx \right)^{1/2} |u_n|_{H^1(\Omega_n)}$$

( $|f|^2 = \sum_{i=0}^k f_i^k$ ). (14) follows easily due to the periodicity of  $f = (f_0, \dots, f_k)$ .  $\square$

Next we will use the following result well known in homogenization theory (see [4] for an idea of the proof).

**Lemma 2.3.** *The solution  $u_\infty$  of (7) satisfies*

$$-\partial x_j (a_{ij}(x) \partial x_i u_\infty) + a(x) u_\infty = f_0 - \partial x_i f_i \quad \text{in } \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^k). \tag{15}$$

**Proof of Theorem 2.1.** Let  $\varrho$  be the piecewise continuous affine function such that

$$\varrho = 1 \quad \text{on } \left(-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right), \quad \varrho = 0 \text{ outside } (-1, 1), \quad \varrho \text{ is affine on } \left(-1, -\frac{1}{2}\right), \left(\frac{1}{2}, 1\right). \tag{16}$$

It is clear that it holds that

$$|\varrho'| \leq 2. \tag{17}$$

Moreover, for any  $n_1 \leq n$

$$(u_n - u_\infty) \prod_{i=1}^k \varrho^2\left(\frac{x_i}{n_1 T}\right) := (u_n - u_\infty) \Pi^2 \tag{18}$$

is a test function for (6). It follows that it holds – see Lemma 2.3

$$\int_{\Omega_{n_1}} a_{ij} \partial x_i (u_n - u_\infty) \partial x_j \{(u_n - u_\infty) \Pi^2\} + a (u_n - u_\infty)^2 \Pi^2 \, dx = 0. \tag{19}$$

This leads to

$$\int_{\Omega_{n_1}} a_{ij} \partial x_i (u_n - u_\infty) \partial x_j (u_n - u_\infty) \Pi^2 + a (u_n - u_\infty)^2 \Pi^2 \, dx = -2 \int_{\Omega_{n_1}} a_{ij} \partial x_i (u_n - u_\infty) \partial x_j \Pi (u_n - u_\infty) \Pi. \tag{20}$$

Since  $\partial x_j \Pi = \frac{1}{n_1 T} \varrho'\left(\frac{x_j}{n_1 T}\right) \prod_{i \neq j} \varrho\left(\frac{x_i}{n_1 T}\right)$  we derive easily

$$\lambda \int_{\Omega_{n_1}} \{|\nabla(u_n - u_\infty)|^2 + (u_n - u_\infty)^2\} \Pi^2 \, dx \leq \frac{C}{n_1} \int_{\Omega_{n_1}} |\nabla(u_n - u_\infty)| |u_n - u_\infty| \Pi \, dx$$

where  $C = C(T, a_{ij})$  is independent of  $n$ . Using Cauchy–Schwarz inequality we obtain

$$\lambda \int_{\Omega_{n_1}} \{|\nabla(u_n - u_\infty)|^2 + (u_n - u_\infty)^2\} \Pi^2 \, dx \leq \frac{C}{n_1} \left\{ \int_{\Omega_{n_1}} |\nabla(u_n - u_\infty)|^2 \Pi^2 \, dx \right\}^{1/2} \left\{ \int_{\Omega_{n_1}} (u_n - u_\infty)^2 \, dx \right\}^{1/2}.$$

Thus – for some constant  $C$  independent of  $n$  –

$$\int_{\Omega_{n_1}} \{|\nabla(u_n - u_\infty)|^2 + (u_n - u_\infty)^2\} \Pi^2 \, dx \leq \frac{C}{n_1^2} \int_{\Omega_{n_1}} |\nabla(u_n - u_\infty)|^2 + (u_n - u_\infty)^2 \, dx.$$

Due to the definition of  $\Pi$  this implies

$$|u_n - u_\infty|_{H^1(\Omega_{n_1/2})} \leq \frac{C}{n_1} |u_n - u_\infty|_{H^1(\Omega_{n_1})} \quad \forall n_1 \leq n.$$

Choosing  $n_1 = \frac{n}{2^{p-1}}$  and iterating the above formula leads to

$$|u_n - u_\infty|_{H^1(\Omega_{n/2^p})} \leq \frac{C}{n^p} |u_n - u_\infty|_{H^1(\Omega_n)} \leq \frac{C}{n^{p-k/2}}$$

(cf. Lemma 2.2). Choosing  $\frac{n}{2^p} \geq n_0, p - \frac{k}{2} > r$  the result follows.  $\square$

**Remark 1.** The method allows us to deal with more general periodic data (cf. [2]) also the parabolic analogue could be considered, as well as nonlinear versions – cf. [3,1]. We can extend the results to more general  $\Omega_n$  than (1). Note also that our convergence result does not depend on our boundary conditions on  $\partial\Omega_n$ . It is also valid when (9) is replaced by

$$0 \leq a(x) \leq A \quad \text{a.e. } x \in \mathbb{R}^k, \quad a \not\equiv 0, \tag{20}$$

(see [2] for details).

### 3. The degenerate case

In this section we assume that

$$a \equiv 0. \tag{21}$$

Moreover we consider only the case of homogeneous boundary conditions that is to say the case

$$V_n = H_0^1(\Omega_n). \tag{22}$$

Then it is easy to see that, in order to prevent  $u_n$  solution of (6) to be unbounded, one has to assume

$$\int_Q f_0(x) \, dx = 0. \tag{23}$$

Under this assumption the second hand side of (7) defines a continuous linear form on

$$\bar{H}_{\text{per}}^1(Q) = \left\{ v \in H_{\text{per}}^1(Q) \mid \int_Q v \, dx = 0 \right\} \tag{24}$$

and by the Lax–Milgram theorem there exists a unique  $u_\infty$  solution to

$$\begin{cases} u_\infty \in \bar{H}_{\text{per}}^1(Q), \\ \int_Q a_{ij}(x) \partial_{x_i} u_\infty \partial_{x_j} v \, dx = \int_Q f_0 v + f_i \partial_{x_i} v \, dx \quad \forall v \in \bar{H}_{\text{per}}^1(Q). \end{cases} \tag{25}$$

Moreover we have:

**Theorem 3.1.** *Under the above assumptions, if in addition*

$$u_\infty \in L^\infty(Q), \tag{26}$$

*there exists a subsequence of  $u_n$  that we still label by  $n$  such that*

$$u_n \rightharpoonup u_\infty + C \quad \text{in } L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^k) \text{ weak } * \tag{27}$$

*( $u_n$  is supposed to be extended by 0 outside  $\Omega_n$ ,  $C$  denotes some constant).*

**Proof.** By (15), (6) we remark that  $u_n - u_\infty$  satisfies

$$\begin{cases} -\partial_{x_j} \{a_{ij}(x) \partial_{x_i} (u_n - u_\infty)\} = 0 & \text{in } \Omega_n, \\ u_n - u_\infty = -u_\infty & \text{on } \partial\Omega_n. \end{cases}$$

By the maximum principle,  $u_n - u_\infty$  is uniformly bounded in  $\mathbb{R}^k$  and there is  $v_\infty \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^k)$  such that – up to a subsequence:

$$u_n - u_\infty \rightharpoonup v_\infty \quad \text{in } L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^k) \text{ weak } *.$$

The above convergence takes also place in  $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^k)$  and thus it holds that

$$-\partial_{x_j} (a_{ij}(x) \partial_{x_i} v_\infty) = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^k).$$

By the Liouville theorem (see [6,7] for references) it follows that

$$v_\infty = Cst$$

which completes the proof.  $\square$

**Remark 2.** In the case of dimension 1 or in the case where  $a_{ij}$  are constants, one can remove the assumption (23) and show that the whole sequence  $u_n$  satisfies

$$u_n \rightarrow u_\infty + C \quad \text{in } \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^k)$$

where  $C$  can be determined, see [2].

In the case of dimension 1 and for  $\epsilon_n, \delta_n \in (0, 1)$ , if

$$\Omega_n = (-(n + \epsilon_n)T, (n + \delta_n)T)$$

it can happen – when  $\epsilon_n, \delta_n$  have no limits – that the sequence  $u_n$  has no limit.

## Acknowledgements

The work of both authors has been supported by the Swiss National Fond under the contract # 20-103300/1. We are very grateful to this institution.

## References

- [1] M. Chipot, *ℓ Goes to Plus Infinity*, Birkhäuser, 2002.
- [2] M. Chipot, Y. Xie, in preparation.
- [3] M. Chipot, Y. Xie, in preparation.
- [4] D. Cioranescu, P. Donato, *An Introduction to Homogenization*, Oxford Lecture Series, vol. 17, Oxford University Press, 1999.
- [5] R. Dautray, J.L. Lions, *Mathematical Analysis and Numerical Methods for Science and Technology*, Springer-Verlag, 1988.
- [6] D. Gilbarg, N.S. Trudinger, *Elliptic Partial Differential Equations of Second Order*, Springer-Verlag, 1983.
- [7] M. Meier, Liouville Theorems for nonlinear elliptic equations and systems, *Manuscripta Math.* 29 (1979) 207–228.