# The normal form of the Navier-Stokes equations in suitable normed spaces 

Ciprian Foias ${ }^{\text {a,b }}$, Luan Hoang ${ }^{\mathrm{c}, *}$, Eric Olson ${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$, Mohammed Ziane ${ }^{\mathrm{e}}$<br>${ }^{\text {a }}$ Department of Mathematics, 3368 TAMU, Texas A\&M University, College Station, TX 77843-3368, USA<br>${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Department of Mathematics, Indiana University, Bloomington, IN 47405, USA<br>${ }^{\text {c }}$ Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Texas Tech University, Box 41042, Lubbock, TX 79409-1042, USA<br>${ }^{\text {d }}$ Department of Mathematics, University of Nevada, Reno, NV 89503, USA<br>${ }^{\text {e }}$ USC Department of Mathematics, Kaprielian Hall, Room 108, 3620 Vermont Avenue, Los Angeles, CA 90089-2532, USA

Received 13 June 2008; accepted 11 September 2008
Available online 7 October 2008


#### Abstract

We consider solutions to the incompressible Navier-Stokes equations on the periodic domain $\Omega=[0,2 \pi]^{3}$ with potential body forces. Let $\mathcal{R} \subseteq H^{1}(\Omega)^{3}$ denote the set of all initial data that lead to regular solutions. Our main result is to construct a suitable Banach space $S_{A}^{\star}$ such that the normalization map $W: \mathcal{R} \rightarrow S_{A}^{\star}$ is continuous, and such that the normal form of the Navier-Stokes equations is a well-posed system in all of $S_{A}^{\star}$. We also show that $S_{A}^{\star}$ may be seen as a subset of a larger Banach space $V^{\star}$ and that the extended Navier-Stokes equations, which are known to have global solutions, are well-posed in $V^{\star}$. Published by Elsevier Masson SAS.
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## 1. Introduction

The Navier-Stokes equations describe the dynamics of incompressible, viscous fluid flows. These equations continue to pose great challenges in mathematics. In particular, the problem of the long time existence of regular solutions is still open. One of the main difficulties in studying the three dimensional Navier-Stokes equations is the analysis of the role of the nonlinear terms in the equations. It is therefore appropriate to consider the simplest case when that role is minimal. One such case occurs when the solutions are periodic in the space variables and the body forces are potential.

The asymptotic behavior of the regular solution $u(t)=S(t) u^{0}$ of the Navier-Stokes equations in the periodic domain with potential forces where $u^{0}$ is the initial data was studied in a series of papers [5-7]. It was shown that the regular solution $u(t)$ possesses an asymptotic expansion, namely,
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Fig. 1. Commutative diagram.

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t) \sim \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} W_{n}\left(t, u^{0}\right) e^{-n t}, \quad \text { as } t \rightarrow \infty \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $W_{n}\left(t, u^{0}\right)$ is a polynomial in $t$ whose values are divergence-free trigonometric polynomials. For more details, see (2.10) and the explanations afterward.

Associated to such an asymptotic expansion are the normalization map $W\left(u^{0}\right)$ and the normal form for the NavierStokes equations, which is an infinite system of finite dimensional ordinary differential equations. The polynomials $W_{n}\left(t, u^{0}\right)$ in (1.1) may be explicitly computed from $W\left(u^{0}\right)$ using a recursive formula deduced from the nonlinear terms of the Navier-Stokes equations. The image of the normalization map and the solutions $S_{\text {normal }}(t) \bar{\xi}$ of the normal form, where $\bar{\xi}$ is the initial data, were originally studied in a Frechet space $S_{A}$. Briefly, $S_{A}=\bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} R_{n} H$ where $R_{n} H$ is the eigenspace of the Stokes operator corresponding to the eigenvalue $n$ or, if $n$ is not an eigenvalue, the trivial linear space $\{0\}$. Since the topology of component-wise convergence associated to the Frechet space $S_{A}$ is very weak, more precise analysis may be obtained by studying the normalization map and the normal form in a subspace $S_{A}^{*}$ of $S_{A}$ endowed with a stronger norm-induced topology.

In our previous paper [3], among other things, we constructed a suitable Banach space $S_{A}^{\star}$, a subspace of $S_{A}$, on which the normal form is a well-posed system near the origin. The norm $\|\bar{u}\|_{\star}$ of $\bar{u}=\left(u_{n}\right)_{n=1}^{\infty} \in S_{A}^{\star}$ is of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\bar{u}\|_{\star}=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \rho_{n}\left\|\nabla u_{n}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}, \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Omega=(0,2 \pi)^{3}$ is the domain of periodicity and $\left(\rho_{n}\right)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a sequence of positive weights. In that study, we also defined a system of the extended Navier-Stokes equations which is appropriate to the study of the asymptotic expansion of $S(t) u^{0}$ as well as the solution $S_{\text {normal }}(t) \bar{\xi}$ of the normal form. In addition, we proved that the semigroup $S_{\text {ext }}(t)$ generated by the extended Navier-Stokes equations leaves invariant a Banach space $V^{\star}$, which is defined similarly to $S_{A}^{\star}$. A missing piece of our study in [3] is an affirmative answer to the question whether $W\left(u^{0}\right)$ belongs to $S_{A}^{\star}$. Also the properties and relations of $W, S(t), S_{\text {normal }}(t)$ and $S_{\text {ext }}(t)$ in the above star spaces had only begun to be addressed and studied.

The current paper is a continuation of [3]. Our main result is to obtain a choice of weights $\rho_{n}$ such that the norm given by (1.2) yields a Banach space $S_{A}^{\star} \subset S_{A}$ which contains the image of the normalization map. Moreover, we establish the everywhere continuity properties of $S_{\text {normal }}(t), S_{\text {ext }}(t)$ and $W$ with respect to this norm. We summarize our results in the commutative diagram (Fig. 1), where all mappings are continuous. The precise definitions of the maps and spaces in the diagram are given in Section 2.

Our results imply that for each regular solution $u(t)$ the series

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \rho_{n} e^{-n t}\left\|W_{n}(t, u(0))\right\|<\infty
$$

However, the weights $\rho_{n}$ decrease very rapidly and the main question of whether the asymptotic expansion $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-n t} W_{n}(t, u(0))$ actually converges in $V$ to the solution $u(t)$ is still open.

This paper is organized as follows. Section 2 recalls the definitions and properties of the asymptotic expansions, the normalization map and the normal form. In Section 3, we study the extended Navier-Stokes equations and show the conditions on the weights $\rho_{n}$ given in [3] restated here as Definition 2.1 ensure that $S_{\mathrm{ext}}(t): V^{\star} \rightarrow V^{\star}$ is continuous. The estimates obtained in this section will be used later in the study of the normalization map and the
normal form. Section 4 contains our study of the normal form. In particular, we show that $S_{\text {normal }}(t): S_{A}^{\star} \rightarrow S_{A}^{\star}$ and $Q(0, \cdot): S_{A}^{\star} \rightarrow V^{\star}$ are also continuous with respect to any of the norms given by Definition 2.1. In Section 5 , we study the normalization map, construct a new norm and prove our main results. Namely, we construct norms satisfying Definition 2.1 of the type specified in Definition 5.2 for which the normalization map $W: \mathcal{R} \rightarrow S_{A}^{*}$ is continuous. Appendix A provides a number of lemmas on numeric series needed for our norm estimates as well as a useful global estimate for the difference of two regular solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations.

## 2. Preliminaries

### 2.1. Mathematical setting

The initial value problem for the incompressible Navier-Stokes equations in the three-dimensional space $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ with a potential body force is

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial t}+(\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u}-v \Delta \mathbf{u}=-\nabla p-\nabla \phi  \tag{2.1}\\
\operatorname{div} \mathbf{u}=0 \\
\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}, 0)=\mathbf{u}^{0}(\mathbf{x})
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $v>0$ is the kinematic viscosity, $\mathbf{u}=\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}, t)$ is the unknown velocity field, $p$ is the unknown pressure, $(-\nabla \phi)$ is the body force specified by a given function $\phi$ and $\mathbf{u}^{0}(\mathbf{x})$ is the known initial velocity field. We consider only solutions $\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}, t)$ such that for any $t \geqslant 0, \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x})=\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}, t)$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{u}\left(\mathbf{x}+L \mathbf{e}_{j}\right)=\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}) \quad \text { for all } \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{3}, j=1,2,3 \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega} \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}) d \mathbf{x}=0 \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $L>0$ is fixed and $\Omega=(-L / 2, L / 2)^{3}$. We call the functions satisfying (2.2) $L$-periodic functions. Throughout this paper we take $L=2 \pi$ and $v=1$. The general case is easily recovered by a change of scale.

Let $\mathcal{V}$ be the set of all $L$-periodic trigonometric polynomials on $\Omega$ with values in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ which are divergence-free as well as satisfy the condition (2.3). We define

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
H=\text { closure of } \mathcal{V} \text { in } L^{2}(\Omega)^{3}, \\
V=\text { closure of } \mathcal{V} \text { in } H^{1}(\Omega)^{3},
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $H^{l}(\Omega)$ with $l=0,1,2, \ldots$ denotes the Sobolev space of functions $\varphi \in L^{2}(\Omega)$ such that for every multi-index $\alpha$ with $|\alpha| \leqslant l$ the distributional derivative $D^{\alpha} \varphi \in L^{2}(\Omega)$.

For $\mathbf{a}=\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}\right)$ and $\mathbf{b}=\left(b_{1}, b_{2}, b_{3}\right)$ in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$, define $\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{b}=a_{1} b_{1}+a_{2} b_{2}+a_{3} b_{3}$ and $|\mathbf{a}|=\sqrt{\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{a}}$. Let $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ and $|\cdot|$ denote the scalar product and norm in $L^{2}(\Omega)^{3}$ given by

$$
\langle u, v\rangle=\int_{\Omega} \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x}) d \mathbf{x}, \quad|u|=\langle u, u\rangle^{1 / 2}, \quad u=\mathbf{u}(\cdot), \quad v=\mathbf{v}(\cdot) \in L^{2}(\Omega)^{3} .
$$

Note that we use $|\cdot|$ for the length of vectors in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ as well as the $L^{2}$-norm of vector fields in $L^{2}(\Omega)^{3}$. In each case the context clarifies the precise meaning of this notation.

Let $P_{L}$ denote the orthogonal projection in $L^{2}(\Omega)^{3}$ onto $H$. On $V$ we consider the inner product $\langle\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle\rangle$ and the norm $\|\cdot\|$ defined by

$$
\langle\langle u, v\rangle\rangle=\sum_{j, k=1}^{3} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial u_{j}(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_{k}} \frac{\partial v_{j}(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_{k}} d \mathbf{x} \quad \text { and } \quad\|u\|=\langle\langle u, u\rangle\rangle^{1 / 2},
$$

for $u=\mathbf{u}(\cdot)=\left(u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}\right)$ and $v=\mathbf{v}(\cdot)=\left(v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}\right)$ in $V$.

Define the Stokes operator $A$ with domain $\mathcal{D}_{A}=V \cap H^{2}(\Omega)^{3}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
A u=-\Delta u \quad \text { for all } u \in \mathcal{D}_{A} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The inner product of $u, v \in \mathcal{D}_{A}$ and the norm of $w \in \mathcal{D}_{A}$ are defined by $\langle A u, A v\rangle$ and $|A w|$, respectively. Note for $w \in \mathcal{D}_{A}$ that (2.3) implies the norm $|A w|$ is equivalent to the usual Sobolev norm of $H^{2}(\Omega)^{3}$. We also define the bilinear mapping associated with the nonlinear term in the Navier-Stokes equations by

$$
\begin{equation*}
B(u, v)=P_{L}(u \cdot \nabla v) \quad \text { for all } u, v \in \mathcal{D}_{A} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

A classical result tracing back to Leray's pioneering works on the Navier-Stokes equations in the 1930's (see, e.g., [11-13]) is that for any initial data $\mathbf{u}^{0}(\mathbf{x})$ in $H$ there exists a weak solution $\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}, t)$ defined for all $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{3}$ and $t>0$ which eventually becomes analytic in space and time and $\|\mathbf{u}(\cdot, t)\|_{H^{1}(\Omega)^{3}}$ converges exponentially to zero as $t \rightarrow \infty$ (see also [1,9,4]). Thus there is $t_{0} \geqslant 0$ such that the solution $u(t)=\mathbf{u}(\cdot, t)$ is continuous from $\left[t_{0}, \infty\right)$ into $V$ and satisfies the following functional form of the Navier-Stokes equations

$$
\frac{d u(t)}{d t}+A u(t)+B(u(t), u(t))=0, \quad t>t_{0}
$$

where the equation holds in $H$. We say that $u(t), t \geqslant t_{0}$, is a regular solution to the Navier-Stokes equations on $\left[t_{0}, \infty\right)$. We denote $\mathcal{R}$ the set of all initial value $u^{0} \in V$ such that there is a (unique) solution $u(t), t>0$, satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d u(t)}{d t}+A u(t)+B(u(t), u(t))=0, \quad t>0 \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the initial data

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(0)=u^{0} \in V \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the equation holds in $H$, and $u(t)$ is continuous from $[0, \infty)$ into $V$. In other words, $\mathcal{R}$ is the set of all initial data $u^{0} \in V$ such that the solution $u(t)$ of the Navier-Stokes equations (2.6) is regular (hence also unique) on $[0, \infty$ ).

We recall that the spectrum $\sigma(A)$ of the Stokes operator $A$ consists of the eigenvalues $\lambda_{1}<\lambda_{2}<\lambda_{3}<\cdots$ of the form $\lambda_{j}=|\mathbf{k}|^{2}$ for some $\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^{3} \backslash\{0\}$ where $j=1,2,3, \ldots$. Note that $\lambda_{1}=1=\left|\mathbf{e}_{1}\right|^{2}$ and hence the additive semigroup generated by $\sigma(A)$ coincides with the set $\mathbb{N}=\{1,2,3, \ldots\}$ of all natural numbers. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we denote by $R_{n}$ the orthogonal projection of $H$ onto the eigenspace of $A$ associated to $n$. Thus,

$$
R_{n} H=\{u \in H: A u=n u\}
$$

If $n$ is an eigenvalue of $A$, then $R_{n} H$ is generated by functions of the form

$$
\left(a_{\mathbf{k}}^{1}+i a_{\mathbf{k}}^{2}\right) e^{i(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x})}+\left(a_{\mathbf{k}}^{1}-i a_{\mathbf{k}}^{2}\right) e^{-i(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x})}, \quad \mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^{3},|\mathbf{k}|^{2}=n
$$

where

$$
a_{\mathbf{k}}^{1}, a_{\mathbf{k}}^{2} \in \mathbb{R}^{3} \quad \text { and } \quad a_{\mathbf{k}}^{1} \cdot \mathbf{k}=a_{\mathbf{k}}^{2} \cdot \mathbf{k}=0
$$

Otherwise $R_{n}=0$. For example, $R_{7}=0, R_{15}=0, R_{23}=0, \ldots$ Define

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{n}=R_{1}+R_{2}+\cdots+R_{n} \quad \text { and } \quad Q_{n}=I-P_{n} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 2.2. The asymptotic behavior of solutions

Let us recall some known results on the asymptotic expansions and the normal form of the regular solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations (see [5-7,10] for more details). First, for any $u^{0} \in \mathcal{R}$ there is an eigenvalue $n_{0}$ of $A$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\|u(t)\|^{2}}{|u(t)|^{2}}=n_{0} \quad \text { and } \quad \lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} u(t) e^{n_{0} t}=w_{n_{0}}\left(u^{0}\right) \in R_{n_{0}} H \backslash\{0\} \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, $u(t)$ has the asymptotic expansion

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t) \sim q_{1}(t) e^{-t}+q_{2}(t) e^{-2 t}+q_{3}(t) e^{-3 t}+\cdots \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $q_{j}(t)$, also denoted by $W_{j}\left(t, u^{0}\right)$, is a polynomial in $t$ of degree at most $j-1$ with values trigonometric polynomials in $H$. This means that for any $N \in \mathbb{N}$ the correction term $\tilde{u}_{N+1}(t)=u(t)-\sum_{j=1}^{N} q_{j}(t) e^{-j t}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\tilde{u}_{N+1}(t)\right|=\mathrm{O}\left(e^{-(N+\varepsilon) t}\right) \quad \text { as } t \rightarrow \infty \text { for some } \varepsilon=\varepsilon_{N}>0 . \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

In fact, $\tilde{u}_{N+1}(t)$ belongs to $C^{1}([0, \infty), V) \cap C^{\infty}\left((0, \infty), C^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)\right)$, and for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\tilde{u}_{N+1}(t)\right\|_{H^{m}(\Omega)}=\mathrm{O}\left(e^{-(N+\varepsilon) t}\right) \quad \text { as } t \rightarrow \infty \text { for some } \varepsilon=\varepsilon_{N, m}>0 \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define the normalization map $W$ by $W\left(u^{0}\right)=W_{1}\left(u^{0}\right) \oplus W_{2}\left(u^{0}\right) \oplus \cdots$, where $W_{j}\left(u^{0}\right)=R_{j} q_{j}(0)$ for $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $W$ is an one-to-one analytic mapping from $\mathcal{R}$ to the Frechet space $S_{A}=R_{1} H \oplus R_{2} H \oplus \cdots$ endowed with the component-wise topology.

The case (2.9) holds if and only if $W_{1}\left(u^{0}\right)=W_{2}\left(u^{0}\right)=\cdots=W_{n_{0}-1}\left(u^{0}\right)=0$ and $W_{n_{0}}\left(u^{0}\right) \neq 0$. In this case

$$
q_{1}=q_{2}=\cdots=q_{n_{0}-1}=0 \quad \text { and } \quad q_{n_{0}}=w_{n_{0}}\left(u^{0}\right)=W_{n_{0}}\left(u^{0}\right)
$$

If $u^{0} \in \mathcal{R}$ then the polynomials $q_{j}(t)$ are the unique polynomial solutions to the following equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{j}^{\prime}(t)+(A-j) q_{j}(t)+\beta_{j}(t)=0, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{j} q_{j}(0)=W_{j}\left(u^{0}\right), \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the terms $\beta_{j}(t)$ are defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta_{1}(t)=0 \quad \text { and } \quad \beta_{j}(t)=\sum_{k+l=j} B\left(q_{k}(t), q_{l}(t)\right) \quad \text { for } j>1 \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Given arbitrary $\bar{\xi}=\left(\xi_{n}\right)_{n=1}^{\infty} \in S_{A}$, the polynomial solutions $q_{j}(t, \bar{\xi})$ of (2.13) satisfying the initial condition $R_{j} q_{j}(0)=\xi_{j}$, are explicitly given by the recursive formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{j}(t, \bar{\xi})=\xi_{j}-\int_{0}^{t} R_{j} \beta_{j}(\tau) d \tau+\sum_{n \geqslant 0}(-1)^{n+1}\left[(A-j)\left(I-R_{j}\right)\right]^{-n-1} \frac{d^{n}}{d t^{n}}\left(I-R_{j}\right) \beta_{j} \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Here $\left[(A-j)\left(I-R_{j}\right)\right]^{-n-1}$ is defined by

$$
\left[(A-j)\left(I-R_{j}\right)\right]^{-n-1} u=\sum_{|\mathbf{k}|^{2} \neq j} \frac{a_{\mathbf{k}}}{\left(|\mathbf{k}|^{2}-j\right)^{n+1}} e^{i \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}}
$$

for $u=\sum_{|\mathbf{k}|^{2} \neq j} a_{\mathbf{k}} e^{i \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}} \in \mathcal{V}$. Above $I$ denotes the identity map on $H$.
Note that, with our notation, for $u^{0} \in \mathcal{R}$, we have $W_{j}\left(t, u^{0}\right)=q_{j}\left(t, W\left(u^{0}\right)\right)$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$.
Finally, the $S_{A}$-valued function $\bar{\xi}(t)=\left(\xi_{n}(t)\right)_{n=1}^{\infty}=\left(W_{n}(u(t))\right)_{n=1}^{\infty}=W(u(t))$ satisfies the following system of differential equations

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{d \xi_{1}(t)}{d t}+A \xi_{1}(t)=0  \tag{2.17}\\
\frac{d \xi_{n}(t)}{d t}+A \xi_{n}(t)+\sum_{k+j=n} R_{n} B\left(q_{k}(0, \bar{\xi}(t)), q_{j}(0, \bar{\xi}(t))\right)=0, \quad n>1
\end{array}\right.
$$

This system is the normal form of the Navier-Stokes equations (2.6) associated with the asymptotic expansion (2.10). It is easy to check that the solution of (2.17) with initial data $\bar{\xi}^{0}=\left(\xi_{n}^{0}\right)_{n=1}^{\infty} \in S_{A}$ is precisely $\left(R_{n} q_{n}\left(t, \bar{\xi}^{0}\right) e^{-n t}\right)_{n=1}^{\infty}$. Thus, formula (2.16) yields the normal form and its solutions.

### 2.3. Complexification of the Navier-Stokes equations

We introduce the Navier-Stokes equations with complex times and their analytic solutions (see $[1,8]$ ). Let $X$ be a real Hilbert space with scalar product $(\cdot, \cdot)_{X}$. Define the complexification of $X$ as

$$
X_{\mathbb{C}}=\{u+i v: u, v \in X\}
$$

with the addition and scalar product defined by

$$
\left(u_{1}+i u_{2}\right)+\left(v_{1}+i v_{2}\right)=\left(u_{1}+v_{1}\right)+i\left(u_{2}+v_{2}\right)
$$

and

$$
\left(\zeta_{1}+i \zeta_{2}\right)\left(u_{1}+i u_{2}\right)=\zeta_{1} u_{1}-\zeta_{2} u_{2}+i\left(\zeta_{2} u_{1}+\zeta_{1} u_{2}\right)
$$

for $u_{1}, u_{2}, v_{1}, v_{2} \in X$ and $\zeta_{1}, \zeta_{2} \in \mathbb{R}$. The complexified space $X_{\mathbb{C}}$ is a Hilbert space with respect to the inner product

$$
\left(u+i v, u^{\prime}+i v^{\prime}\right)_{X_{\mathbb{C}}}=\left(u, u^{\prime}\right)_{X}+\left(v, v^{\prime}\right)_{X}+i\left[\left(v, u^{\prime}\right)_{X}-\left(u, v^{\prime}\right)_{X}\right],
$$

where $u, v, u^{\prime}, v^{\prime} \in X$. When $X=H$ or $X=V$, we obtain the complexified spaces $H_{\mathbb{C}}$ and $V_{\mathbb{C}}$. We keep the same notation for their corresponding inner products and norms.

The Stokes operator may be extended to $\mathcal{D}_{A_{\mathbb{C}}}=\left(\mathcal{D}_{A}\right)_{\mathbb{C}}$ as

$$
A(u+i v)=A u+i A v, \quad u, v \in \mathcal{D}_{A} .
$$

Similarly, $B(\cdot, \cdot)$ can be extended to a bounded bilinear map from $V_{\mathbb{C}} \times \mathcal{D}_{A_{\mathbb{C}}}$ to $H_{\mathbb{C}}$ by

$$
B\left(u+i v, u^{\prime}+i v^{\prime}\right)=B\left(u, u^{\prime}\right)-B\left(v, v^{\prime}\right)+i\left[B\left(u, v^{\prime}\right)+B\left(v, u^{\prime}\right)\right]
$$

for $u, v \in V, u^{\prime}, v^{\prime} \in \mathcal{D}_{A}$. Note that unlike the real case we have

$$
\langle B(u, v), v\rangle \not \equiv 0, \quad \text { for } u, v \in \mathcal{D}_{A_{\mathbb{C}}} .
$$

The Navier-Stokes equations with complex times is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d u(\zeta)}{d \zeta}+B(u(\zeta), u(\zeta))+A u(\zeta)=0 \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the initial condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
u\left(\zeta_{0}\right)=u^{\star}, \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\zeta_{0} \in \mathbb{C}$ and $u^{\star} \in V_{\mathbb{C}}$ are given. Here $d / d \zeta$ denotes the complex derivative of $H_{\mathbb{C}}$-valued functions.

### 2.4. The extended Navier-Stokes equations

The $u_{n}(t)=W_{n}\left(t, u^{0}\right) e^{-n t}$ must satisfy the following system of equations

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d u_{n}(t)}{d t}+A u_{n}(t)+B_{n}(t)=0, \quad t>0,  \tag{2.20}\\
& u_{n}(0)=u_{n}^{0} \tag{2.21}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{1}(t)=0 \quad \text { and } \quad B_{n}(t)=\sum_{j+k=n} B\left(u_{j}(t), u_{k}(t)\right) \quad \text { for } n>1 \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

One can extend $u_{n}(t)$ for $t>0$ to $u_{n}(\zeta)$ for $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\operatorname{Re} \zeta>\operatorname{Re} \zeta_{0}$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d u_{n}(\zeta)}{d \zeta}+A u_{n}(\zeta)+B_{n}(\zeta)=0, \quad \zeta \in \mathbb{C}, \operatorname{Re} \zeta>\operatorname{Re} \zeta_{0},  \tag{2.23}\\
& u_{n}\left(\zeta_{0}\right)=u_{n}^{\star} \tag{2.24}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\zeta_{0} \in \mathbb{C}, u_{n}^{\star} \in V_{\mathbb{C}}$ and

$$
B_{1}(\zeta)=0 \quad \text { and } \quad B_{n}(\zeta)=\sum_{j+k=n} B\left(u_{j}(\zeta), u_{k}(\zeta)\right) \quad \text { for } n>1
$$

Above, $\operatorname{Re} \zeta$ denotes the real part of the complex number $\zeta$.

### 2.5. Prerequisites

The following spaces are introduced in the previous studies [3,5-7] of the normalization map and the normal form of the Navier-Stokes equations. Let $V_{\mathbb{C}}$ and $\left(R_{n} H\right)_{\mathbb{C}}$, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, be the complexifications of $V$ and $R_{n} H$, respectively as in Section 2.3. Define the complex linear Frechet space

$$
V_{\mathbb{C}}^{\infty}=\left\{\bar{u}=\left(u_{n}\right)_{n=1}^{\infty}: u_{n} \in V_{\mathbb{C}}\right\},
$$

its subspace

$$
\left(S_{A}\right)_{\mathbb{C}}=\left\{\bar{u}=\left(u_{n}\right)_{n=1}^{\infty}: u_{n} \in\left(R_{n} H\right)_{\mathbb{C}}\right\} \subset V_{\mathbb{C}}^{\infty}
$$

and recall that the real linear space $V^{\infty}$ is $V \oplus V \oplus V \oplus \cdots$.
Let $S\left(t, t_{0}\right): \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$ be the semigroup generated by the Navier-Stokes equations (2.6) with initial time $t_{0}$. We denote $S(t)=S(t, 0)$.

Let $S_{\text {ext }}\left(\zeta, \zeta_{0}\right): V_{\mathbb{C}}^{\infty} \rightarrow V_{\mathbb{C}}^{\infty}$ be the semigroup generated by the extended Navier-Stokes equations (2.23) with initial complexified time $\zeta_{0}$. Also denote $S_{\mathrm{ext}}(\zeta)=S_{\mathrm{ext}}(\zeta, 0)$.

Let $S_{\text {normal }}(t): S_{A} \rightarrow S_{A}$ be the semigroup generated by the normal form of Navier-Stokes equations (2.17).
Recall $W: u \in \mathcal{R} \mapsto W(u) \in S_{A}$. Define the following maps

$$
\begin{aligned}
& W(t, \cdot): u \in \mathcal{R} \mapsto\left(W_{n}(t, u) e^{-n t}\right)_{n=1}^{\infty} \in V^{\infty}, \\
& Q(t, \cdot): \bar{\xi} \in S_{A} \mapsto\left(q_{n}(t, \bar{\xi}) e^{-n t}\right)_{n=1}^{\infty} \in V^{\infty} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The studies of $W$ and $S_{\text {normal }}(t)$ in the above Frechet spaces can be made more precise by strengthening the topology. To this end we introduce the normed subspaces $V_{\mathbb{C}}^{\star}, V^{\star}$ and $S_{A}^{\star}$ as

$$
V_{\mathbb{C}}^{\star}=\left\{\bar{u} \in V_{\mathbb{C}}^{\infty}:\|\bar{u}\|_{\star}<\infty\right\}, \quad V^{\star}=V^{\infty} \cap V_{\mathbb{C}}^{\star}, \quad S_{A}^{\star}=S_{A} \cap V^{\star},
$$

where then norm $\|\bar{u}\|_{\star}$ has already been defined in (1.2) depending on a sequence of positive weights $\rho_{n}$.
Clearly $V_{\mathbb{C}}^{\star}, V^{\star}$ and $S_{A}^{\star}$ are Banach spaces.
Concerning the choice of $\rho_{n}$ in defining the weighted norm in (1.2), we recall as Definition 2.1 the particular sequence $\left(\rho_{n}\right)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ constructed in [3].

Let $C_{1}$ be the positive constant introduced in Appendix A and define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon_{0}=\frac{1}{24 C_{1}} . \tag{2.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $\varepsilon_{0}$ and $C_{1}$ are essentially the same constant. We write $\varepsilon_{0}$ when we are focusing on something being small and $C_{1}$ otherwise.

Definition 2.1. Let $\left(\alpha_{n}\right)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of numbers satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{1} \geqslant 0, \quad \alpha_{n}>0 \quad \text { for } n>1 \quad \text { and } \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{n} \leqslant 1 / 2 \tag{2.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Construct the sequence $\left(\rho_{n}\right)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ as follows: let $\rho_{1}=1$ and for $n>1$ define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{n}=\min \left\{\rho_{k} \rho_{j}: k+j=n \text { and } k, j \in \mathbb{N}\right\} . \tag{2.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then let

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<\rho_{n}=\sigma_{n} \min \left\{1, \frac{\alpha_{n}}{16 \varepsilon_{0} \max \left\{1, L_{3, n}, C_{1} n^{3 / 2}\right\}}\right\}, \quad n>1, \tag{2.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{3, n}=2 C_{1} e^{2 a_{0}}\left(C_{2} / 2\right)^{n-2} n^{2}(n-2)!\left[(n-2)^{3 / 4}+n^{3 / 2}\right] \tag{2.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the positive numbers $a_{0}$ and $C_{2}$ are defined in Lemma A.2.
We summarize some results from [3]. Let $\rho_{n}$ be as in Definition 2.1 and the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\star}$ be defined by (1.2). We have

Fact 2.2. $S_{\text {ext }}(t)$ leaves $V^{\star}$ invariant for all $t \geqslant 0$.
Fact 2.3. There is a neighborhood $\mathcal{O}$ of the origin in $S_{A}^{\star}$ such that $Q(0, \cdot): \mathcal{O} \rightarrow V^{\star}$ and $S_{\text {normal }}(t): \mathcal{O} \rightarrow S_{A}^{\star}$ for $t \geqslant 0$ are well-defined and Lipschitz continuous.

## 3. The extended Navier-Stokes equations

In the first part of this section $\left(\rho_{n}\right)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a sequence of positive numbers satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{n}=\kappa_{n} \min \left\{\rho_{k} \rho_{j}: k+j=n\right\}, \quad \kappa_{n} \in(0,1], n \geqslant 2 . \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that the particular choice of $\rho_{n}$ used as the weights given in Definition 2.1 satisfy condition (3.1). After deriving some basic estimates which will be used throughout this paper, we show how they quickly lead to the Lipschitz continuity of each $S_{\text {ext }}(t)$ near the origin in $V^{\star}$. We finish by showing for $\kappa_{n}$ satisfying the additional condition (3.39) that $S_{\text {ext }}(t)$ is continuous in the whole space $V^{\star}$, that is, the extended Navier-Stokes equations are well-posed in $V^{\star}$.

First, we have the following version of Proposition 3.1 in [3]. The proof of the estimates with weights $\rho_{n}$ is the same as in Lemma 3.3 below in which we only use the fact that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{n} \leqslant \min \left\{\rho_{k} \rho_{j}: k+j=n\right\}, \quad n \geqslant 2 \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proposition 3.1. Let $\zeta_{0} \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\bar{u}^{\star}=\left(u_{n}^{\star}\right)_{n=1}^{\infty} \in V_{\mathbb{C}}^{\infty}$. Let $\bar{u}(\zeta)=S_{\text {ext }}\left(\zeta, \zeta_{0}\right) \bar{u}^{\star}$. For $s \in(0, \infty), \theta \in(-\pi / 2, \pi / 2)$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \rho_{n}\left\|u_{n}\left(\zeta_{0}+s e^{i \theta}\right)\right\| \leqslant \gamma_{n} e^{-s \cos \theta},  \tag{3.3}\\
& \int_{0}^{s} \frac{\left|\rho_{n} A u_{n}\left(\zeta_{0}+\rho e^{i \theta}\right)\right|^{2}}{\left\|\rho_{n} u_{n}\left(\zeta_{0}+\rho e^{i \theta}\right)\right\|} d \rho \leqslant \frac{\gamma_{n}}{\cos \theta}, \tag{3.4}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{1}=\rho_{1}\left\|u_{1}^{\star}\right\|, \quad \gamma_{n}=\rho_{n}\left\|u_{n}^{\star}\right\|+\frac{C_{1}}{\cos \theta} \sum_{k+j=n} \gamma_{k} \gamma_{j} . \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

However, taking into account the factor $\kappa_{n}$ in (3.1), we derive the following refined version of Proposition 3.1.
Corollary 3.2. The conclusions (3.3)-(3.4) in Proposition 3.1 hold true for $\gamma_{n}$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{1}=\rho_{1}\left\|u_{1}^{\star}\right\|, \quad \gamma_{n}=\rho_{n}\left\|u_{n}^{\star}\right\|+\frac{C_{1} \kappa_{n}}{\cos \theta} \sum_{k+j=n} \gamma_{k} \gamma_{j} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{1}^{(1)}=\left\|u_{1}^{\star}\right\|, \quad \gamma_{n}^{(1)}=\left\|u_{n}^{\star}\right\|+\frac{C_{1}}{\cos \theta} \sum_{k+j=n} \gamma_{k}^{(1)} \gamma_{j}^{(1)} . \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proposition 3.1 applied with (3.7) in place of (3.5) and $\rho_{n}=1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ implies

$$
\left\|u_{n}\left(\zeta_{0}+s e^{i \theta}\right)\right\| \leqslant \gamma_{n}^{(1)} e^{-s \cos \theta} .
$$

Moreover $\rho_{1} \gamma_{1}^{(1)}=\gamma_{1}$ and

$$
\rho_{n} \gamma_{n}^{(1)} \leqslant \rho_{n}\left\|u_{n}^{\star}\right\|+\frac{C_{1} \kappa_{n}}{\cos \theta} \sum_{k+j=n} \rho_{k} \gamma_{k}^{(1)} \rho_{j} \gamma_{j}^{(1)}
$$

implies by induction that $\rho_{n} \gamma_{n}^{(1)} \leqslant \gamma_{n}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore

$$
\rho_{n}\left\|u_{n}\left(\zeta_{0}+s e^{i \theta}\right)\right\| \leqslant \rho_{n} \gamma_{n}^{(1)} e^{-s \cos \theta} \leqslant \gamma_{n} e^{-s \cos \theta} .
$$

Similar arguments obtain (3.4) with $\gamma_{n}$ defined by (3.6).

The difference of two solutions of the extended Navier-Stokes equations also satisfy estimates similar to those in Proposition 3.1 and Corollary 3.2.

Lemma 3.3. Let $\zeta_{0} \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\bar{u}^{\star}=\left(u_{n}^{\star}\right)_{n=1}^{\infty}, \bar{v}^{\star}=\left(v_{n}^{\star}\right)_{n=1}^{\infty} \in V_{\mathbb{C}}^{\infty}$. Let $\bar{u}(\zeta)=S_{\text {ext }}\left(\zeta, \zeta_{0}\right) \bar{u}^{\star}, \bar{v}(\zeta)=S_{\text {ext }}\left(\zeta, \zeta_{0}\right) \bar{v}^{\star}$. Let $\bar{w}^{\star}=\bar{u}^{\star}-\bar{v}^{\star}=\left(w_{n}^{\star}\right)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ and $\bar{w}=\bar{u}-\bar{v}=\left(w_{n}\right)_{n=1}^{\infty}$. For $s \in(0, \infty), \theta \in(-\pi / 2, \pi / 2)$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{n}\left\|w_{n}\left(\zeta_{0}+s e^{i \theta}\right)\right\| \leqslant \mu_{n} e^{-s \cos \theta} \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{s} \frac{\left|\rho_{n} A w_{n}\left(\zeta_{0}+\rho e^{i \theta}\right)\right|^{2}}{\left\|\rho_{n} w_{n}\left(\zeta_{0}+\rho e^{i \theta}\right)\right\|} d \rho \leqslant \frac{\mu_{n}}{\cos \theta}, \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{1}=\rho_{1}\left\|w_{1}^{\star}\right\|, \quad \mu_{n}=\rho_{n}\left\|w_{n}^{\star}\right\|+\frac{C_{1}}{\cos \theta} \sum_{k+j=n} \mu_{k}\left(\gamma_{j, u}+\gamma_{j, v}\right), \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\gamma_{n, u}, \gamma_{n, v}$ are defined as in Proposition 3.1, namely

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\gamma_{1, u}=\rho_{1}\left\|u_{1}^{\star}\right\|, & \gamma_{n, u}=\rho_{n}\left\|u_{n}^{\star}\right\|+\frac{C_{1}}{\cos \theta} \sum_{k+j=n} \gamma_{k, u} \gamma_{j, u}, \\
\gamma_{1, v}=\rho_{1}\left\|v_{1}^{\star}\right\|, & \gamma_{n, v}=\rho_{n}\left\|v_{n}^{\star}\right\|+\frac{C_{1}}{\cos \theta} \sum_{k+j=n} \gamma_{k, v} \gamma_{j, v} . \tag{3.12}
\end{array}
$$

Proof. We will prove (3.8) and (3.9) by induction. First, when $n=1$,

$$
\frac{d w_{1}}{d \zeta}+A w_{1}=0, \quad w_{1}\left(\zeta_{0}\right)=w_{1}^{\star}
$$

Hence $w_{1}\left(\zeta_{0}+\zeta\right)=e^{-\zeta A} w_{1}^{\star}$ for $\operatorname{Re} \zeta>0$. It follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|w_{1}\left(\zeta_{0}+\zeta\right)\right\| \leqslant e^{-\operatorname{Re} \zeta}\left\|w_{1}^{\star}\right\|, \quad \operatorname{Re} \zeta>0 . \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also, $d\left\|w_{1}\right\| / d s+\cos \theta\left|A w_{1}\right|^{2} /\left\|w_{1}\right\|=0$, thus (3.9) holds for $n=1$.
Now, let $N>1$ and assume for induction that (3.8) and (3.9) hold for $n=1,2, \ldots, N-1$. We have

$$
\frac{d w_{N}}{d \zeta}+A w_{N}+\sum_{k+j=N}\left(B\left(w_{k}, u_{j}\right)+B\left(v_{k}, w_{j}\right)\right)=0
$$

Hence, with $\zeta=\zeta_{0}+s e^{i \theta}$ we obtain

$$
\frac{d w_{N}}{d s}+e^{i \theta} A w_{N}+e^{i \theta} \sum_{k+j=N}\left(B\left(w_{k}, u_{j}\right)+B\left(v_{k}, w_{j}\right)\right)=0 .
$$

It follows that

$$
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d\left\|w_{N}\right\|^{2}}{d s}+\cos \theta\left|A w_{N}\right|^{2} \leqslant C_{1}\left\|w_{N}\right\| \sum_{k+j=N}\left[\left\|w_{k}\right\|^{1 / 2}\left|A w_{k}\right|^{1 / 2}\left|A u_{j}\right|+\left\|v_{k}\right\|^{1 / 2}\left|A v_{k}\right|^{1 / 2}\left|A w_{j}\right|\right] .
$$

For $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $s>0$ denote

$$
\hat{u}_{k}(s)=\rho_{n} u_{k}\left(\zeta_{0}+s e^{i \theta}\right), \quad \hat{v}_{k}(s)=\rho_{k} v_{k}\left(\zeta_{0}+s e^{i \theta}\right), \quad \hat{w}_{k}(s)=\rho_{k} w_{k}\left(\zeta_{0}+s e^{i \theta}\right) .
$$

Using the fact that $\rho_{N} \leqslant \rho_{k} \rho_{j}$ for $k+j=N$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d\left\|\hat{w}_{N}\right\|^{2}}{d s}+\cos \theta\left|A \hat{w}_{N}\right|^{2} \leqslant C_{1}\left\|\hat{w}_{N}\right\| \sum_{k+j=N}\left[\left\|\hat{w}_{k}\right\|^{1 / 2}\left|A \hat{w}_{k}\right|^{1 / 2}\left|A \hat{u}_{j}\right|+\left\|\hat{v}_{k}\right\|^{1 / 2}\left|A \hat{v}_{k}\right|^{1 / 2}\left|A \hat{w}_{j}\right|\right] . \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d\left\|\hat{w}_{N}\right\|}{d s}+\cos \theta \frac{\left|A \hat{w}_{N}\right|^{2}}{\left\|\hat{w}_{N}\right\|} \\
& \leqslant \\
& \quad C_{1} \sum_{k+j=N}\left[\frac{\left|A \hat{w}_{k}\right|^{1 / 2}}{\left\|\hat{w}_{k}\right\|^{1 / 4}}\left\|\hat{u}_{j}\right\|^{1 / 4}\right]\left[\frac{\left|A \hat{u}_{j}\right|}{\left\|\hat{u}_{j}\right\|^{1 / 2}}\left\|\hat{w}_{k}\right\|^{1 / 2}\right]\left[\left\|\hat{w}_{k}\right\|^{1 / 4}\left\|\hat{u}_{j}\right\|^{1 / 4}\right] \\
& \quad+C_{1} \sum_{k+j=N}\left[\frac{\left|A \hat{v}_{k}\right|^{1 / 2}}{\left\|\hat{v}_{k}\right\|^{1 / 4}}\left\|\hat{w}_{j}\right\|^{1 / 4}\right]\left[\frac{\left|A \hat{w}_{j}\right|}{\left.\left\|\hat{w}_{j}\right\|^{1 / 2}\left\|\hat{v}_{k}\right\|^{1 / 2}\right]\left[\left\|\hat{v}_{k}\right\|^{1 / 4}\left\|\hat{w}_{j}\right\|^{1 / 4}\right]}\right. \\
& \leqslant \\
& C_{1}\left(\sum_{k+j=N} \frac{\left|A \hat{w}_{k}\right|^{2}}{\left\|\hat{w}_{k}\right\|}\left\|\hat{u}_{j}\right\|\right)^{1 / 4}\left(\sum_{k+j=N} \frac{\left|A \hat{u}_{j}\right|^{2}}{\left\|\hat{u}_{j}\right\|}\left\|\hat{w}_{k}\right\|\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\sum_{k+j=N}\left\|\hat{w}_{k}\right\|\left\|\hat{u}_{j}\right\|\right)^{1 / 4} \\
& \quad+C_{1}\left(\sum_{k+j=N} \frac{\left|A \hat{v}_{k}\right|^{2}}{\left\|\hat{v}_{k}\right\|}\left\|\hat{w}_{j}\right\|\right)^{1 / 4}\left(\sum_{k+j=N} \frac{\left|A \hat{w}_{j}\right|^{2}}{\left\|\hat{w}_{j}\right\|}\left\|\hat{v}_{k}\right\|\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\sum_{k+j=N}\left\|\hat{v}_{k}\right\|\left\|\hat{w}_{j}\right\|\right)^{1 / 4} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using Proposition 3.1 along with the induction hypothesis

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d\left\|\hat{w}_{N}\right\|}{d s}+\cos \theta \frac{\left|A \hat{w}_{N}\right|^{2}}{\left\|\hat{w}_{N}\right\|} \\
& \leqslant C_{1} e^{-\frac{5}{4} s \cos \theta}\left(\sum_{k+j=N} \frac{\left|A \hat{w}_{k}\right|^{2}}{\left\|\hat{w}_{k}\right\|} \gamma_{j, u}\right)^{1 / 4}\left(\sum_{k+j=N} \frac{\left|A \hat{u}_{j}\right|^{2}}{\left\|\hat{u}_{j}\right\|} \mu_{k}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\sum_{k+j=N} \mu_{k} \gamma_{j, u}\right)^{1 / 4} \\
& \quad+C_{1} e^{-\frac{5}{4} s \cos \theta}\left(\sum_{k+j=N} \frac{\left|A \hat{v}_{k}\right|^{2}}{\left\|\hat{v}_{k}\right\|} \mu_{j}\right)^{1 / 4}\left(\sum_{k+j=N} \frac{\left|A \hat{w}_{j}\right|^{2}}{\left\|\hat{w}_{j}\right\|} \gamma_{k, v}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\sum_{k+j=N} \gamma_{k, v} \mu_{j}\right)^{1 / 4} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\hat{w}_{N}(s)\right\| \leqslant & e^{-s \cos \theta}\left\|w_{N}^{\star}\right\|+e^{-s \cos \theta} C_{1}\left(\sum_{k+j=N} \mu_{k} \gamma_{j, u}\right)^{1 / 4}\left[\int_{0}^{s} \sum_{k+j=N} \frac{\left|A \hat{w}_{k}(\rho)\right|^{2}}{\left\|\hat{w}_{k}(\rho)\right\|} \gamma_{j, u} d \rho\right]^{1 / 4} \\
& \times\left[\int_{0}^{s} \sum_{k+j=N} \frac{\left|A \hat{u}_{j}(\rho)\right|^{2}}{\left\|\hat{u}_{j}(\rho)\right\|} \mu_{k} d \rho\right]^{1 / 2}\left[\int_{0}^{s} e^{-\rho \cos \theta} d \rho\right]^{1 / 4} \\
& +e^{-s \cos \theta} C_{1}\left(\sum_{k+j=N} \mu_{j} \gamma_{k, u}\right)^{1 / 4}\left[\int_{0}^{s} \sum_{k+j=N} \frac{\left|A \hat{v}_{k}(\rho)\right|^{2}}{\left\|\hat{v}_{k}(\rho)\right\|} \mu_{j} d \rho\right]^{1 / 4} \\
& \times\left[\int_{0}^{s} \sum_{k+j=N} \frac{\left|A \hat{w}_{j}(\rho)\right|^{2}}{\left\|\hat{w}_{j}(\rho)\right\|} \gamma_{k, v} d \rho\right]^{1 / 2}\left[\int_{0}^{s} e^{-\rho \cos \theta} d \rho\right]^{1 / 4} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\hat{w}_{N}(s)\right\| & \leqslant e^{-s \cos \theta}\left\|\hat{w}_{N}^{\star}\right\|+e^{-s \cos \theta} \frac{C_{1}}{\cos \theta} \sum_{k+j=N} \mu_{k} \gamma_{j, u}+e^{-s \cos \theta} \frac{C_{1}}{\cos \theta} \sum_{k+j=N} \mu_{j} \gamma_{k, v} \\
& \leqslant e^{-s \cos \theta}\left\{\left\|\hat{w}_{N}^{\star}\right\|+\frac{C_{1}}{\cos \theta} \sum_{k+j=N} \mu_{k}\left(\gamma_{j, u}+\gamma_{j, v}\right)\right\}=e^{-s \cos \theta} \mu_{N} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Integrating (3.14), we obtain (3.9) for $n=N$ by similar estimates, thus completing the induction.
Similar to Corollary 3.2, we derive the following version of Lemma 3.3 in which the estimates depend on $\kappa_{n}$ explicitly.

Corollary 3.4. The statements in Lemma 3.3 hold true for

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{1}=\rho_{1}\left\|w_{1}^{\star}\right\|, \quad \mu_{n}=\rho_{n}\left\|w_{n}^{\star}\right\|+\frac{C_{1} \kappa_{n}}{\cos \theta} \sum_{k+j=n} \mu_{k}\left(\gamma_{j, u}+\gamma_{j, v}\right), \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\gamma_{n, u}, \gamma_{n, v}$ are defined as in Corollary 3.2, namely

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\gamma_{1, u}=\rho_{1}\left\|u_{1}^{\star}\right\|, & \gamma_{n, u}=\rho_{n}\left\|u_{n}^{\star}\right\|+\frac{C_{1} \kappa_{n}}{\cos \theta} \sum_{k+j=n} \gamma_{k, u} \gamma_{j, u}, \\
\gamma_{1, v}=\rho_{1}\left\|v_{1}^{\star}\right\|, & \gamma_{n, v}=\rho_{n}\left\|v_{n}^{\star}\right\|+\frac{C_{1} \kappa_{n}}{\cos \theta} \sum_{k+j=n} \gamma_{k, v} \gamma_{j, v} . \tag{3.17}
\end{array}
$$

Proof. The proof is almost identical to the proof of Corollary 3.2.
The estimates in Lemma 3.3 are adequate for establishing the Lipschitz continuity of the $S_{\text {ext }}(t) \bar{u}^{0}$, when $\bar{u}^{0}$ is close to the origin. We recall as Lemma 3.5 some basic estimates from Proposition 3.9 of [3].

Lemma 3.5. (See [3].) Given $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $u_{n}^{0} \in V, n=1, \ldots, N$. Let $u_{n}(\zeta), n=1, \ldots, N, \operatorname{Re} \zeta>0$, be the solutions to the extended Navier-Stokes equations (2.23) with $\zeta_{0}=0$ satisfying $u_{n}(0)=u_{n}^{0}$. Denote

$$
S_{n}(\zeta)=\sum_{j=1}^{n} \rho_{j}\left\|u_{j}(\zeta)\right\|, \quad S_{n}=S_{n}(0), n=1, \ldots, N, \operatorname{Re} \zeta>0
$$

Suppose $S_{N}<\varepsilon_{0}$. Let

$$
\begin{align*}
& \gamma_{1}=\rho_{1}\left\|u_{1}^{0}\right\|, \quad \gamma_{n}=\rho_{n}\left\|u_{n}^{0}\right\|+6 C_{1} \sum_{k+j=n} \gamma_{k} \gamma_{j}, \quad 1<n \leqslant N,  \tag{3.18}\\
& \tilde{\gamma}_{1}=\gamma_{1}, \quad \tilde{\gamma}_{n}=\gamma_{n}+3 C_{1} \sum_{k+j=n} \tilde{\gamma}_{k} \tilde{\gamma}_{j}, \quad 1<n \leqslant N . \tag{3.19}
\end{align*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \rho_{n}\left\|u_{n}(t)\right\| \leqslant \gamma_{n} e^{-t}, \quad t>0,1 \leqslant n \leqslant N,  \tag{3.20}\\
& \rho_{n}\left\|u_{n}(\zeta)\right\| \leqslant \tilde{\gamma}_{n} e^{-\operatorname{Re} \zeta}, \quad \zeta \in E, 1 \leqslant n \leqslant N, \tag{3.21}
\end{align*}
$$

where the domain $E$ is defined in (A.5), and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=1}^{n} \tilde{\gamma}_{j} \leqslant 2 \sum_{k=1}^{n} \gamma_{j} \leqslant 4 S_{n}, \quad 1 \leqslant n \leqslant N . \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular,

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{n}(t) \leqslant 2 S_{n} e^{-t}, \quad t \geqslant 0,1 \leqslant n \leqslant N, \tag{3.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{n}(\zeta) \leqslant 4 S_{n} e^{-\operatorname{Re} \zeta}, \quad \zeta \in E, 1 \leqslant n \leqslant N . \tag{3.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 3.6. Let $B_{V^{\star}}\left(\varepsilon_{0} / 2\right)$ be the open ball in $V^{\star}$ of radius $\varepsilon_{0} / 2$ centered at the origin. Then the map $S_{\text {ext }}(\zeta): B_{V^{\star}}\left(\varepsilon_{0} / 2\right) \rightarrow V^{\star}$ is Lipschitz continuous for all $\zeta \in E$. More precisely,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|S_{\mathrm{ext}}(t) \bar{u}^{0}-S_{\mathrm{ext}}(t) \bar{v}^{0}\right\|_{\star} \leqslant 2 e^{-t}\left\|\bar{u}^{0}-\bar{v}^{0}\right\|_{\star}, \quad t>0,  \tag{3.25}\\
& \left\|S_{\mathrm{ext}}(\zeta) \bar{u}^{0}-S_{\mathrm{ext}}(\zeta) \bar{v}^{0}\right\|_{\star} \leqslant 4 e^{-\operatorname{Re} \zeta}\left\|\bar{u}^{0}-\bar{v}^{0}\right\|_{\star}, \quad \zeta \in E . \tag{3.26}
\end{align*}
$$

for any $\bar{u}^{0}, \bar{v}^{0} \in V^{\star}$ such that $\left\|\bar{u}^{0}\right\|_{\star}<\varepsilon_{0} / 2$ and $\left\|\bar{v}^{0}\right\|_{\star}<\varepsilon_{0} / 2$.

Proof. Let $\bar{u}(\zeta)=S_{\text {ext }}(\zeta) \bar{u}^{0}, \bar{v}(\zeta)=S_{\text {ext }}(\zeta) \bar{v}^{0}$. Let $\bar{w}^{0}=\bar{u}^{0}-\bar{v}^{0}=\left(w_{n}^{0}\right)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ and $\bar{w}=\bar{u}-\bar{v}=\left(w_{n}\right)_{n=1}^{\infty}$. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{1}=\rho_{1}\left\|w_{1}^{0}\right\|, \quad \mu_{n}=\rho_{n}\left\|w_{n}^{0}\right\|+C_{1} \sum_{k+j=n} \mu_{k}\left(\gamma_{j, u}+\gamma_{j, v}\right), \quad n>1, \tag{3.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\mu}_{1}=\mu_{1}, \quad \tilde{\mu}_{n}=\mu_{n}+3 C_{1} \sum_{k+j=n} \tilde{\mu}_{k}\left(\tilde{\gamma}_{j, u}+\tilde{\gamma}_{j, v}\right), \quad n>1, \tag{3.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\gamma_{j, u}, \tilde{\gamma}_{j, u}$ (respectively $\gamma_{j, v}, \tilde{\gamma}_{j, v}$ ) are defined as in Lemma 3.5 for $\bar{u}^{0}$ (respectively $\bar{v}^{0}$ ).

## Claims.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \rho_{n}\left\|w_{n}(t)\right\| \leqslant \mu_{n} e^{-t}, \quad t>0, n \geqslant 1,  \tag{3.29}\\
& \rho_{n}\left\|w_{n}(\zeta)\right\| \leqslant \tilde{\mu}_{n} e^{-\operatorname{Re} \zeta}, \quad \zeta \in E, n \geqslant 1,  \tag{3.30}\\
& \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \tilde{\mu}_{n} \leqslant 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu_{n} \leqslant 4\left\|\bar{w}^{0}\right\|_{\star} . \tag{3.31}
\end{align*}
$$

After proving these claims, then inequality (3.25) (respectively inequality (3.26)) follows from (3.29) (respectively (3.30)) and (3.31).

Proof of the claims. Let $\bar{u}=\left(u_{n}\right)_{n=1}^{\infty}, \bar{u}^{0}=\left(u_{n}^{0}\right)_{n=1}^{\infty}, \bar{v}=\left(v_{n}\right)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ and $\bar{v}^{0}=\left(v_{n}^{0}\right)_{n=1}^{\infty}$. Let

$$
S_{n, u}=\sum_{j=1}^{n} \rho_{j}\left\|u_{j}^{0}\right\|, \quad S_{n, v}=\sum_{j=1}^{n} \rho_{j}\left\|v_{j}^{0}\right\|, \quad S_{n, w}=\sum_{j=1}^{n} \rho_{j}\left\|w_{j}^{0}\right\| .
$$

Since $S_{n, u}, S_{n, v}<\varepsilon_{0} / 2$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, Lemma 3.5 implies

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \gamma_{n, u} \leqslant \varepsilon_{0}, \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \gamma_{n, v} \leqslant \varepsilon_{0}, \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \tilde{\gamma}_{n, u} \leqslant 2 \varepsilon_{0} \quad \text { and } \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \tilde{\gamma}_{n, v} \leqslant 2 \varepsilon_{0} .
$$

We also have

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{n} \mu_{j} \leqslant S_{n, w}+C_{1}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \mu_{j}\right)^{n-1}\left(\gamma_{j=1}+\gamma_{j, v}\right) \leqslant S_{n, w}+C_{1}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} \mu_{j}\right)\left(2 \varepsilon_{0}\right)=S_{n, w}+\frac{1}{12} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \mu_{j}
$$

thus $\sum_{j=1}^{n} \mu_{j} \leqslant 2 S_{n, w}$. Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ gives the second inequality of (3.31). Now, summing up (3.28),

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{n} \tilde{\mu}_{j} \leqslant \sum_{j=1}^{n} \mu_{j}+3 C_{1}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \tilde{\mu}_{j}\right) \sum_{j=1}^{n-1}\left(\tilde{\gamma}_{j, u}+\tilde{\gamma}_{j, v}\right) \leqslant \sum_{j=1}^{n} \mu_{j}+3 C_{1}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} \tilde{\mu}_{j}\right)\left(4 \varepsilon_{0}\right)=\sum_{j=1}^{n} \mu_{j}+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \tilde{\mu}_{j} .
$$

Hence $\sum_{j=1}^{n} \tilde{\mu}_{j} \leqslant 2 \sum_{j=1}^{n} \mu_{j} \leqslant 4 S_{n, w}$. Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ yields the first inequality in (3.31).
Applying Lemma 3.3 with $\zeta_{0}=0, s=t$ and $\theta=0$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{n}\left\|w_{n}(t)\right\| \leqslant \mu_{n}^{0} e^{-t}, \quad t>0, n \geqslant 1, \tag{3.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mu_{1}^{0}=\rho_{1}\left\|w_{1}^{0}\right\|, & \mu_{n}^{0}=\rho_{n}\left\|w_{n}^{0}\right\|+C_{1} \sum_{k+j=n} \mu_{k}^{0}\left(\gamma_{j, u}^{0}+\gamma_{j, v}^{0}\right), \quad n>1, \\
\gamma_{1, u}^{0}=\rho_{1}\left\|u_{1}^{0}\right\|, & \gamma_{n, u}^{0}=\rho_{n}\left\|u_{n}^{0}\right\|+C_{1} \sum_{k+j=n} \gamma_{k, u}^{0} \gamma_{j, u}^{0}, \\
\gamma_{1, v}^{0}=\rho_{1}\left\|v_{1}^{0}\right\|, & \gamma_{n, v}^{0}=\rho_{n}\left\|v_{n}^{0}\right\|+C_{1} \sum_{k+j=n} \gamma_{k, v}^{0} \gamma_{j, v}^{0} . \tag{3.35}
\end{array}
$$

Note that the above $\gamma_{n, u}^{0}, \gamma_{n, v}^{0}$ given in Proposition 3.1 and used in Lemma 3.3 are not the same as $\gamma_{n, u}, \gamma_{n, v}$ given in Lemma 3.5 and used in the current theorem. However, based on their formulas, we have $\gamma_{n, u}^{0} \leqslant \gamma_{n, u}$ and $\gamma_{n, v}^{0} \leqslant \gamma_{n, v}$. Hence $\mu_{n}^{0} \leqslant \mu_{n}$, and therefore (3.29) follows from (3.32).

Let $\zeta=t_{0}+s e^{i \theta} \in E$, then $\cos \theta>1 /\left(3 e^{t_{0}}\right)$. Applying Lemma 3.3 with $\zeta_{0}=t_{0}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{n}\left\|w_{n}\left(t_{0}+s e^{i \theta}\right)\right\| \leqslant \tilde{\mu}_{n}\left(t_{0}\right) e^{-s \cos \theta} \tag{3.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tilde{\mu}_{1}\left(t_{0}\right)=\rho_{1}\left\|w_{1}\left(t_{0}\right)\right\|$;

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\mu}_{n}\left(t_{0}\right)=\rho_{n}\left\|w_{n}\left(t_{0}\right)\right\|+3 C_{1} e^{t_{0}} \sum_{k+j=n} \tilde{\mu}_{k}\left(t_{0}\right)\left(\tilde{\gamma}_{j, u}\left(t_{0}\right)+\tilde{\gamma}_{j, v}\left(t_{0}\right)\right), \quad n>1 \tag{3.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tilde{\gamma}_{1, u}\left(t_{0}\right)=\rho_{1}\left\|u_{1}\left(t_{0}\right)\right\| ;$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\gamma}_{n, u}\left(t_{0}\right)=\rho_{n}\left\|u_{n}\left(t_{0}\right)\right\|+3 C_{1} e^{t_{0}} \sum_{k+j=n} \tilde{\gamma}_{k, u}\left(t_{0}\right) \tilde{\gamma}_{j, u}\left(t_{0}\right), \quad n>1 \tag{3.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\tilde{\gamma}_{j, v}\left(t_{0}\right)$ are defined similarly using $v_{n}\left(t_{0}\right)$.
It is known that (cf. proof of Theorem 3.7 in [3])

$$
\tilde{\gamma}_{j, u}\left(t_{0}\right) \leqslant \tilde{\gamma}_{j, u} e^{-t_{0}} \quad \text { and } \quad \tilde{\gamma}_{j, v}\left(t_{0}\right) \leqslant \tilde{\gamma}_{j, v} e^{-t_{0}}
$$

Hence by (3.29) and by induction, one can show $\tilde{\mu}_{1}\left(t_{0}\right) \leqslant \mu_{1} e^{-t_{0}}=\tilde{\mu}_{1} e^{-t_{0}}$,

$$
\tilde{\mu}_{n}\left(t_{0}\right) \leqslant \mu_{n} e^{-t_{0}}+3 C_{1} e^{t_{0}} \sum_{k+j=n} \mu_{k} e^{-t_{0}}\left(\tilde{\gamma}_{j, u} e^{-t_{0}}+\tilde{\gamma}_{j, v} e^{-t_{0}}\right)=\tilde{\mu}_{n} e^{-t_{0}}, \quad n>1
$$

Therefore (3.30) follows from (3.36). The proof is complete.

Our next goal is to study the extended Navier-Stokes equations in the whole space $V^{\star}$ rather than only near the origin. For that purpose we require that the positive numbers $\kappa_{n}$ in (3.1) satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \kappa_{n}^{1 / n}=0 \tag{3.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that (3.39) holds true for the weights defining $V^{\star}$ given in Definition 2.1 because of the rapid growth of $L_{3, n}$.
First recall Theorem 4.3 in [3], the existence theorem in $V^{\star}$ for the extended Navier-Stokes equations. Note that the constant $M_{0}$ appearing here results from using Lemma A. 3 in place of Lemma 4.2 in [3].

Theorem 3.7. (See [3].) Let $\bar{u}^{0} \in V^{\star}$. Then $S_{\mathrm{ext}}(t) \bar{u}^{0} \in V^{\star}$ for all $t>0$. More precisely,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|S_{\mathrm{ext}}(t) \bar{u}^{0}\right\|_{\star} \leqslant M e^{-t}, \quad t>0 \tag{3.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& M=\left\|\bar{u}^{0}\right\|_{\star}+C_{1} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \kappa_{n}(n-1) M_{0}^{n}  \tag{3.41}\\
& M_{0}=\max \left\{1,2 C_{1} \kappa_{n}(n-1)\right\} \max \left\{1,2\left\|\bar{u}^{0}\right\|_{\star}\right\} \tag{3.42}
\end{align*}
$$

We establish the well-posedness of the extended Navier-Stokes equations now.
Theorem 3.8. $S_{\mathrm{ext}}(t)$ is continuous from $V^{\star}$ to $V^{\star}$, for $t \in[0, \infty)$. More precisely, for any $\bar{u}^{0} \in V^{\star}$ and $\varepsilon>0$, there is $\delta>0$ such that

$$
\left\|S_{\mathrm{ext}}(t) \bar{v}^{0}-S_{\mathrm{ext}}(t) \bar{u}^{0}\right\|_{\star}<\varepsilon e^{-t}
$$

for all $\bar{v}^{0} \in V^{\star}$ satisfying $\left\|\bar{v}^{0}-\bar{u}^{0}\right\|_{\star}<\delta$ and for all $t \geqslant 0$.

Proof. Given $\bar{u}^{0} \in V^{\star}$. Let $\bar{v}^{0} \in V^{\star}$ such that $\left\|\bar{u}^{0}-\bar{v}^{0}\right\|_{\star}<1$. Let $\bar{u}(t)=S_{\text {ext }}(t) \bar{u}^{0}, \bar{v}(t)=S_{\text {ext }}(t) \bar{v}^{0}$ and $\bar{w}=\bar{u}-\bar{v}=$ $\left(w_{n}\right)_{n=1}^{\infty}$. Let $\gamma_{n, u}, \gamma_{n, v}$ and $\mu_{n}$ be defined by

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\gamma_{1, u}=\rho_{1}\left\|u_{1}^{0}\right\|, & \gamma_{n, u}=\rho_{n}\left\|u_{n}^{0}\right\|+C_{1} \kappa_{n} \sum_{k+j=n} \gamma_{k, u} \gamma_{j, u}, & n>1, \\
\gamma_{1, v}=\rho_{1}\left\|v_{1}^{0}\right\|, & \gamma_{n, v}=\rho_{n}\left\|v_{n}^{0}\right\|+C_{1} \kappa_{n} \sum_{k+j=n} \gamma_{k, v} \gamma_{j, v}, & n>1, \tag{3.44}
\end{array}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{1}=\rho_{1}\left\|w_{1}^{0}\right\|, \quad \mu_{n}=\rho_{n}\left\|w_{n}^{0}\right\|+C_{1} \kappa_{n} \sum_{k+j=n} \mu_{k}\left(\gamma_{j, u}+\gamma_{j, v}\right) . \tag{3.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking $\zeta_{0}=0, s=t$ and $\theta=0$ in Corollaries 3.2 and 3.4 we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{n}\left\|u_{n}(t)\right\| \leqslant \gamma_{n, u} e^{-t}, \quad \rho_{n}\left\|v_{n}(t)\right\| \leqslant \gamma_{n, v} e^{-t}, \quad t \geqslant 0, \tag{3.46}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{n}\left\|w_{n}(t)\right\| \leqslant \mu_{n} e^{-t}, \quad t \geqslant 0 . \tag{3.47}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{1}=\rho_{1}\left(\left\|u_{1}^{0}\right\|+\left\|v_{1}^{0}\right\|\right), \quad h_{n}=\rho_{n}\left(\left\|u_{n}^{0}\right\|+\left\|v_{n}^{0}\right\|\right)+C_{1} \kappa_{n} \sum_{k+j=n} h_{k} h_{j}, \quad n>1 . \tag{3.48}
\end{equation*}
$$

Noting that $\mu_{1} \leqslant \gamma_{1, u}+\gamma_{1, v}=h_{1}$ and $\gamma_{k, u} \gamma_{j, u}+\gamma_{k, v} \gamma_{j, v} \leqslant\left(\gamma_{k, u}+\gamma_{k, v}\right)\left(\gamma_{j, u}+\gamma_{j, v}\right)$, one can prove by induction that

$$
\gamma_{n, u}+\gamma_{n, v} \leqslant h_{n} \quad \text { and then } \quad \mu_{n} \leqslant h_{n}, n \in \mathbb{N} .
$$

By Lemma A.3, we have

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} h_{n} \leqslant\left\|\bar{u}^{0}\right\|_{\star}+\left\|\bar{v}^{0}\right\|_{\star}+C_{1} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \kappa_{n}(n-1) M_{0}{ }^{n}
$$

where $M_{0}=K \max \left\{1,2\left(\left\|\bar{u}^{0}\right\|_{\star}+\left\|\bar{v}^{0}\right\|_{\star}\right)\right\}$ and $K=\max \left\{1,2 C_{1} \kappa_{n}(n-1): n>1\right\}$. Hence $\left\|\bar{v}_{0}\right\|_{\star} \leqslant\left\|\bar{u}_{0}\right\|_{\star}+1$ implies

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} h_{n} \leqslant M \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} 2\left\|\bar{u}^{0}\right\|_{\star}+1+C_{1} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \kappa_{n}(n-1)\left[2 K\left(2\left\|\bar{u}^{0}\right\|_{\star}+1\right)\right]^{n},
$$

which is finite and independent of $\bar{v}^{0}$. For $N>0$, considering $\sum_{n>N} \mu_{n}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n>N} \mu_{n} & \leqslant \sum_{n>N} \rho_{n}\left\|w_{n}^{0}\right\|+C_{1} \sum_{n>N} \kappa_{n} \sum_{k+j=n} \mu_{k}\left(\gamma_{j, u}+\gamma_{j, v}\right) \\
& \leqslant \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \rho_{n}\left\|w_{n}^{0}\right\|+C_{1} \sum_{n>N} \kappa_{n}\left(\sum_{k+j=n} h_{k} h_{j}\right) \\
& \leqslant\left\|w_{n}^{0}\right\|_{\star}+M^{2} C_{1} \sum_{n>N} \kappa_{n} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Given $\varepsilon>0$. Let $N=N\left(\left\|\bar{u}^{0}\right\|_{\star}\right)$ be sufficiently large such that

$$
C_{1} M^{2} \sum_{n>N} \kappa_{n}<\frac{\varepsilon}{2} .
$$

By virtue of (3.45), $\mu_{1} \leqslant\left\|\bar{w}^{0}\right\|_{\star}$ and $\mu_{n} \leqslant\left\|\bar{w}^{0}\right\|_{\star}+\tilde{M} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \mu_{j}, n>1$, where $\tilde{M}=C_{1} M\left(\sup _{n \geqslant 2} \kappa_{n}\right)>0$. Therefore $\mu_{n} \leqslant \theta_{n}\left\|\bar{w}^{0}\right\|_{\star}$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where $\theta_{n}$ are positive numbers defined recursively by

$$
\theta_{1}=1, \quad \theta_{n}=1+\tilde{M} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \theta_{j}, \quad n>1
$$

Take $\delta=\varepsilon /\left[2\left(1+\sum_{n=1}^{N} \theta_{n}\right)\right]$. For $\left\|\bar{w}^{0}\right\|_{\star}=\left\|\bar{u}^{0}-\bar{v}^{0}\right\|_{\star}<\delta$, we have

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu_{n}=\sum_{n=1}^{N} \mu_{n}+\sum_{n>N} \mu_{n} \leqslant\left\|\bar{w}^{0}\right\|_{\star}\left(1+\sum_{n=1}^{N} \theta_{n}\right)+C_{1} M^{2} \sum_{n>N} \kappa_{n}<\varepsilon / 2+\varepsilon / 2=\varepsilon
$$

Therefore, inequality (3.47) implies $\|\bar{w}(t)\|_{\star} \leqslant e^{-t} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu_{n}<\varepsilon e^{-t}$ for all $t \geqslant 0$.
For the complexified extended Navier-Stokes equations the following estimates are important for our study of the normal form of the Navier-Stokes equations and the normalization map in subsequent sections. Combining the estimates in Proposition 3.6 and the proof of Theorem 3.7 in [3] we obtain

Proposition 3.9. Let $\left(u_{n}(\zeta)\right)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ for $\operatorname{Re} \zeta>0$ be the solution to the extended Navier-Stokes equations (2.23) with $\zeta_{0}=0$ and initial condition $u_{n}(0)=u_{n}^{0} \in V_{\mathbb{C}}$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \rho_{n}\left\|u_{n}(t)\right\| \leqslant \gamma_{n} e^{-t}, \quad t \geqslant 0,  \tag{3.49}\\
& \rho_{n}\left\|u_{n}(\zeta)\right\| \leqslant \tilde{\gamma}_{n} e^{-\operatorname{Re} \zeta}, \quad \zeta \in E, \tag{3.50}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& \gamma_{1}=\rho_{1}\left\|u_{1}^{0}\right\|, \quad \gamma_{n}=\rho_{n}\left\|u_{n}^{0}\right\|+C_{1} \kappa_{n} \sum_{k+j=n} \gamma_{k} \gamma_{j},  \tag{3.51}\\
& \tilde{\gamma}_{1}=\gamma_{1}, \quad \tilde{\gamma}_{n}=\gamma_{n}+3 C_{1} \kappa_{n} \sum_{k+j=n} \tilde{\gamma}_{k} \tilde{\gamma}_{j}, \tag{3.52}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. Inequality (3.49) was obtained in Corollary 3.2. Given $\zeta=t+s e^{i \theta} \in E$, let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\gamma}_{1}(t)=\rho_{1}\left\|u_{1}(t)\right\|, \quad \tilde{\gamma}_{n}(t)=\rho_{n}\left\|u_{n}(t)\right\|+\frac{C_{1} \kappa_{n}}{\cos \theta} \sum_{k+j=n} \tilde{\gamma}_{k}(t) \tilde{\gamma}_{j}(t) . \tag{3.53}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from Corollary 3.2 that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{n}\left\|u_{n}\left(t+s e^{i \theta}\right)\right\| \leqslant \tilde{\gamma}_{n}(t) e^{-s \cos \theta} \tag{3.54}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Claim.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\gamma}_{n}(t) \leqslant \tilde{\gamma}_{n} e^{-t} . \tag{3.55}
\end{equation*}
$$

Clearly $\tilde{\gamma}_{1}(t)=\rho_{1}\left\|u_{1}(t)\right\| \leqslant \gamma_{1} e^{-t}=\tilde{\gamma}_{1} e^{-t}$. For induction, assume $\tilde{\gamma}_{k}(t) \leqslant \tilde{\gamma}_{k} e^{-t}$ for all $k<n$. Since $\zeta=t+$ $s e^{i \theta} \in E$, we have $e^{-t} / \cos \theta<3$. Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\gamma}_{n}(t) \leqslant e^{-t}\left\{\gamma_{n}+\frac{C_{1} \kappa_{n} e^{-t}}{\cos \theta} \sum_{k+j=n} \tilde{\gamma}_{k} \tilde{\gamma}_{j}\right\} \leqslant \tilde{\gamma}_{n} e^{-t} . \tag{3.56}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (3.54) and (3.55) yields (3.50) for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
Similar arguments using Corollary 3.4 yield
Proposition 3.10. Let $\bar{u}^{0}, \bar{v}^{0} \in V_{\mathbb{C}}^{\infty}$ and $\bar{w}(\zeta)=\left(w_{n}\right)_{n=1}^{\infty}=S_{\mathrm{ext}}(\zeta) \bar{u}^{0}-S_{\mathrm{ext}}(\zeta) \bar{v}^{0}$. Then we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \rho_{n}\left\|w_{n}(t)\right\| \leqslant \mu_{n} e^{-t}, \quad t \geqslant 0,  \tag{3.57}\\
& \rho_{n}\left\|w_{n}(\zeta)\right\| \leqslant \tilde{\mu}_{n} e^{-\operatorname{Re} \zeta}, \quad \zeta \in E, \tag{3.58}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mu_{1}=\rho_{1}\left\|w_{1}^{0}\right\|, \quad \mu_{n}=\rho_{n}\left\|w_{n}^{0}\right\|+C_{1} \kappa_{n} \sum_{k+j=n} \mu_{k}\left(\gamma_{j, u}+\gamma_{j, v}\right),  \tag{3.59}\\
& \tilde{\mu}_{1}=\mu_{1}, \quad \tilde{\mu}_{n}=\mu_{n}+3 C_{1} \kappa_{n} \sum_{k+j=n} \tilde{\mu}_{k}\left(\tilde{\gamma}_{j, u}+\tilde{\gamma}_{j, v}\right), \tag{3.60}
\end{align*}
$$

and $\gamma_{j, u}$ and $\tilde{\gamma}_{j, u}$ (respectively $\gamma_{j, v}$ and $\left.\tilde{\gamma}_{j, v}\right)$ are defined as in Proposition 3.9 for $\bar{u}^{0}\left(\right.$ respectively $\left.\bar{v}^{0}\right)$.
The complex version of Theorem 3.8 then easily follows.
Theorem 3.11. For any $\zeta \in E$, $S_{\text {ext }}(\zeta)$ maps $V_{\mathbb{C}}^{\star}$ to $V_{\mathbb{C}}^{\star}$ and is continuous.
As a consequence of the study of the extended Navier-Stokes equations in this section, we show the explicit continuous dependence on the initial data of the regular solutions $S(\zeta) u^{0}$ with small norm $\left\|u^{0}\right\|$. Our explicit estimates will be used in proving the continuity of the normalization map in Section 4.

Corollary 3.12. Suppose $u^{0}, v^{0} \in V_{\mathbb{C}}$ satisfy $\left\|u^{0}\right\|<\varepsilon_{0} / 2$ and $\left\|v^{0}\right\|<\varepsilon_{0} / 2$. Let $w(\zeta)=S(\zeta) u^{0}-S(\zeta) v^{0}$ for $\zeta \in E$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \|w(t)\| \leqslant 2\left\|w^{0}\right\| e^{-t}, \quad t>0  \tag{3.61}\\
& \|w(\zeta)\| \leqslant 4\left\|w^{0}\right\| e^{-\operatorname{Re} \zeta}, \quad \zeta \in E . \tag{3.62}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. Let $\bar{u}^{0}=\left(u^{0}, 0,0, \ldots\right), \bar{v}^{0}=\left(v^{0}, 0,0, \ldots\right), \bar{w}^{0}=\bar{u}^{0}-\bar{v}^{0}$ and let $\rho_{n}=1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $\bar{u}(\zeta)=$ $\left(u_{n}(\zeta)\right)_{n=1}^{\infty}=S_{\text {ext }}(\zeta) \bar{u}^{0}$ and $\bar{v}(\zeta)=\left(v_{n}(\zeta)\right)_{n=1}^{\infty}=S_{\text {ext }}(\zeta) \bar{v}^{0}$. It is known from [3] that $S(\zeta) u^{0}=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} u_{n}(\zeta)$ and $S(\zeta) v^{0}=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} v_{n}(\zeta)$. Let $S_{n}^{0}=\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left\|w_{k}^{0}\right\|, S_{n}=\sum_{k=1}^{n} \mu_{k}$ and $\tilde{S}_{n}=\sum_{k=1}^{n} \tilde{\mu}_{k}$. By Proposition 3.10

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=1}^{n} \mu_{k} \leqslant \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left\|w_{k}^{0}\right\|+C_{1}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \mu_{k}\right)\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \gamma_{j, u}+\gamma_{j, v}\right) \tag{3.63}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Lemma 3.5, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=1}^{n} \gamma_{j, u^{0}} \leqslant 2\left\|u^{0}\right\|<\varepsilon_{0}, \quad \sum_{j=1}^{n} \tilde{\gamma}_{j, u^{0}} \leqslant 4\left\|u^{0}\right\|<2 \varepsilon_{0} \tag{3.64}
\end{equation*}
$$

and similarly,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=1}^{n} \gamma_{j, v^{0}}<\varepsilon_{0}, \quad \sum_{j=1}^{n} \tilde{\gamma}_{j, v^{0}}<2 \varepsilon_{0} \tag{3.65}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore

$$
S_{n} \leqslant S_{n}^{0}+2 \varepsilon_{0} C_{1} S_{n-1} \leqslant S_{n}^{0}+(1 / 2) S_{n-1}
$$

since $2 \varepsilon_{0} C_{1}=1 / 12$. By induction, we obtain $S_{n} \leqslant 2 S_{n}^{0}$. Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ we obtain (3.61).
Similarly, using the formula of $\tilde{\mu}_{n}$,

$$
\tilde{S}_{n} \leqslant S_{n}+12 \varepsilon_{0} C_{1} \tilde{S}_{n-1}=S_{n}+(1 / 2) \tilde{S}_{n-1}
$$

By induction, one can prove $\tilde{S}_{n} \leqslant 2 S_{n} \leqslant 4 S_{n}^{0}$. Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ gives (3.62).

## 4. Solutions to the normal form

In [3] we proved that there are positive numbers $\rho_{n}, n \in \mathbb{N}$, such that the solution $S_{\text {normal }}(t) \bar{\xi}$ of the normal form (2.17) is in $S_{A}^{\star}$ for all $t>0$ when $\|\bar{\xi}\|_{\star}$ is small. The numbers $\rho_{n}, n>1$, are of the form (3.1), namely,

$$
\rho_{1}=1, \quad \rho_{n}=\kappa_{n} \min \left\{\rho_{k} \rho_{j}: k+j=n\right\}, \quad n \geqslant 2,
$$

for some particular numbers $\kappa_{n} \in(0,1]$.

In this section, we find a condition on $\kappa_{n}$ under which $S_{\text {normal }}(t) \bar{\xi}$ belongs to $S_{A}^{\star}$ for all $t \geqslant 0$, whenever $\bar{\xi} \in S_{A}^{\star}$. This says that the semigroup $S_{\text {normal }}(t), t>0$, generated by the solutions of the normal form of the Navier-Stokes equations leaves invariant the whole space $S_{A}^{\star}$. Furthermore, we establish the continuity (but not necessarily Lipschitz continuity) of each $S_{\text {normal }}(t)$ as a map form $S_{A}^{\star}$ to $S_{A}^{\star}$, which means that the normal form is a well-posed system.

We take $\kappa_{n} \in(0,1]$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} n \kappa_{n}^{1 / n}=0 \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that condition (4.1) is more stringent than (3.39). Moreover, the weights explicitly defined in Definition 2.1 also satisfy this more stringent condition.

Theorem 4.1. Let $\bar{\xi}=\left(\xi_{n}\right)_{n=1}^{\infty} \in S_{A}^{\star}$. Then $S_{\text {normal }}(t) \bar{\xi} \in S_{A}^{\star}$ for all $t \geqslant 0$. Moreover,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|S_{\text {normal }}(t) \bar{\xi}\right\|_{\star} \leqslant M e^{-t}, \quad t>0 \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $M$ is a positive number depending on $\|\bar{\xi}\|_{\star}$ and the sequence $\left(\rho_{n}\right)_{n=1}^{\infty}$.
Proof. Let

$$
x_{1}=\eta_{1}=\gamma_{1}=\tilde{\gamma}_{1}=\rho_{1}\left\|\xi_{1}\right\|
$$

and $n>1$, we recursively define

$$
\begin{align*}
x_{n} & =\rho_{n}\left\|\xi_{n}\right\|,  \tag{4.3}\\
\eta_{n} & =x_{n}+\kappa_{n} D_{n} \sum_{k+j=n} \tilde{\gamma}_{k} \tilde{\gamma}_{j},  \tag{4.4}\\
\gamma_{n} & =\eta_{n}+C_{1} \kappa_{n} \sum_{k+j=n} \gamma_{k} \gamma_{j},  \tag{4.5}\\
\tilde{\gamma}_{n} & =\gamma_{n}+3 C_{1} \kappa_{n} \sum_{k+j=n} \tilde{\gamma}_{k} \tilde{\gamma}_{j},  \tag{4.6}\\
D_{n} & =C_{1} e^{2 a_{0}} n^{3 / 2} C_{2}^{n-2} 2^{-n} n!, \tag{4.7}
\end{align*}
$$

where the constants $a_{0}$ and $C_{2}$ are defined in Lemma A.2.

## Claims.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \rho_{n}\left\|q_{n}(0, \bar{\xi})\right\| \leqslant \eta_{n}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N},  \tag{4.8}\\
& \rho_{n}\left\|u_{n}(t)\right\|=\rho_{n}\|d\| q_{n}(t, \bar{\xi}) e^{-n t} \leqslant \gamma_{n} e^{-t}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, t>0,  \tag{4.9}\\
& \rho_{n}\left\|u_{n}(\zeta)\right\|=\rho_{n}\left\|q_{n}(t, \bar{\xi}) e^{-n \zeta}\right\| \leqslant \tilde{\gamma}_{n} e^{-\operatorname{Re} \zeta}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, \zeta \in E \tag{4.10}
\end{align*}
$$

Indeed, following the proof of Lemma 6.2 in [3], we obtain

$$
\rho_{n}\left\|q_{n}(0, \bar{\xi})\right\| \leqslant x_{n}+\kappa_{n}\left(D_{1, n}+D_{2, n}\right) \sum_{k+j=n} \tilde{\gamma}_{k} \tilde{\gamma}_{j},
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& D_{1, n}=C_{1}(n-2)^{3 / 4} \frac{n^{2}}{4} C_{2}^{n-2} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-2 \tau}\left(\tau+a_{0}\right)^{n-2} d \tau \\
& D_{2, n}=C_{1} n^{3 / 2} \frac{n^{2}}{4} C_{2}^{n-2} \int_{-\infty}^{0} e^{2 \tau}\left(|\tau|+a_{0}\right)^{n-2} d \tau .
\end{aligned}
$$

Elementary calculation shows $D_{1, n}+D_{2, n} \leqslant D_{n}$ and hence (4.8) follows. Then (4.9) and (4.10) follow by the virtue of Proposition 3.9 with $u_{n}^{0}=q_{n}(0, \bar{\xi})$.

Note that

$$
x_{n} \leqslant \eta_{n} \leqslant \gamma_{n} \leqslant \tilde{\gamma}_{n} .
$$

For each $n$, summing up (4.4)-(4.6) gives

$$
\tilde{\gamma}_{n} \leqslant x_{n}+\kappa_{n}\left(D_{n}+4 C_{1}\right) \sum_{k+j=n} \tilde{\gamma}_{k} \tilde{\gamma}_{j} .
$$

From (4.1),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\{\kappa_{n}\left(D_{n}+4 C_{1}\right)\right\}^{1 / n}=0 . \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Lemma A. 3 and (4.11), we have $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \tilde{\gamma}_{n}=M<\infty$. Then it follows from (4.9) that

$$
\left\|S_{\text {normal }}(t) \bar{\xi}\right\|_{\star} \leqslant \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left\|e^{-n t} q_{n}(t, \bar{\xi})\right\| \leqslant e^{-t} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \gamma_{n} \leqslant M e^{-t}
$$

for all $t>0$.
Theorem 4.2. The map $S_{\text {normal }}(t) \bar{\xi}$ is continuous in $\bar{\xi}$ for each $t \geqslant 0$.
Proof. Let $\bar{\xi}=\left(\xi_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $\bar{\chi}=\left(\chi_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be in $S_{A}^{\star}$. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{1}=v_{1}=\mu_{1}=\tilde{\mu}_{1}=\rho_{1}\left\|\xi_{1}-\chi_{1}\right\| . \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $n>1$, let

$$
\begin{align*}
& y_{n}=\rho_{n}\left\|\xi_{n}-\chi_{n}\right\|,  \tag{4.13}\\
& v_{n}=y_{n}+\kappa_{n} D_{n} \sum_{k+j=n} \tilde{\mu}_{k}\left(\tilde{\gamma}_{j, \xi}+\tilde{\gamma}_{j, \chi}\right),  \tag{4.14}\\
& \mu_{n}=v_{n}+C_{1} \kappa_{n} \sum_{k+j=n} \mu_{k}\left(\gamma_{j, \xi}+\gamma_{j, \chi}\right),  \tag{4.15}\\
& \tilde{\mu}_{n}=\mu_{n}+3 C_{1} \kappa_{n} \sum_{k+j=n} \tilde{\mu}_{k}\left(\tilde{\gamma}_{j, \xi}+\tilde{\gamma}_{j, x}\right), \tag{4.16}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\gamma_{j, \xi}$ and $\tilde{\gamma}_{j, \xi}$ (respectively $\gamma_{j, \chi}$ and $\tilde{\gamma}_{j, \chi}$ ) are defined by (4.5) and (4.6) for $\bar{\xi}$ (respectively $\bar{\chi}$ ). Let $u_{n}(\zeta)=$ $q_{n}(\zeta, \bar{\xi}) e^{-n \zeta}$ and $v_{n}(\zeta)=q_{n}(\zeta, \bar{\chi}) e^{-n \zeta}, n \in \mathbb{N}$. Following the proof of Lemma 7.3 in [3], we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{n}\left\|u_{n}(0)-v_{n}(0)\right\| \leqslant v_{n} \tag{4.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then by Proposition 3.10

$$
\begin{align*}
& \rho_{n}\left\|u_{n}(t)-v_{n}(t)\right\| \leqslant \mu_{n} e^{-t}, \quad t>0,  \tag{4.18}\\
& \rho_{n}\left\|u_{n}(\zeta)-v_{n}(\zeta)\right\| \leqslant \tilde{\mu}_{n} e^{-\operatorname{Re} \zeta}, \quad \zeta \in E . \tag{4.19}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $\gamma_{j, \xi} \leqslant \tilde{\gamma}_{j, \xi}, \gamma_{j, \chi} \leqslant \tilde{\gamma}_{j, \chi}$ and $y_{n} \leqslant \nu_{n} \leqslant \mu_{n} \leqslant \tilde{\mu}_{n}$, it follows from (4.13)-(4.16) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\mu}_{n} \leqslant y_{n}+\tilde{\kappa}_{n} \sum_{k+j=n} \tilde{\mu}_{k}\left(\tilde{\gamma}_{j, \xi}+\tilde{\gamma}_{j, \chi}\right) \tag{4.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\kappa}_{n}=\kappa_{n}\left(D_{n}+4 C_{1}\right) . \tag{4.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

The proof now proceeds as in Theorem 3.8.
We close this section by remarking that the proofs of Theorems 4.1 and 4.2 also show
Proposition 4.3. The map $Q(0, \cdot): S_{A}^{\star} \rightarrow V^{\star}$ is well-defined and continuous.

## 5. The normalization map

In [3], we did not know whether $W\left(u^{0}\right)$ belongs to some $S_{A}^{\star}$ with appropriate $\rho_{n}$ for even small $\left\|u^{0}\right\|$. In this section we show provided the weights $\rho_{n}$ satisfy the additional conditions in Definition 5.2 below for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ that $W\left(u^{0}\right) \in S_{A}^{\star}$. The continuity of $W$ as a map from $\mathcal{R}$ to such a space $S_{A}^{\star}$ is also established.

### 5.1. The range of the normalization map

Let $u^{0} \in \mathcal{R}$ and let $u(t)=S(t) u^{0}$ be the regular solution to the Navier-Stokes equations with the initial data $u^{0}$. Let $u_{n}(t)=W_{n}\left(t, u^{0}\right) e^{-n t}$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $t \geqslant 0$. Then the asymptotic expansion of $u(t)$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t) \sim \sum u_{n}(t)=\sum W_{n}\left(t, u^{0}\right) e^{-n t} \quad \text { as } t \rightarrow \infty \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $n \geqslant 2$, denote

$$
\tilde{u}_{n}(t)=u(t)-\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} u_{k}(t)=S(t) u^{0}-\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} W_{k}\left(t, u^{0}\right) e^{-k t} .
$$

Let $\beta_{n}(t)$ be defined as in (2.15) with $q_{n}(t)=q_{n}\left(t, W\left(u^{0}\right)\right)=W_{n}\left(t, u^{0}\right)$. Explicitly, $\beta_{1}(t)=0$ and for $n>1$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta_{n}(t)=\sum_{k+j=n} B\left(W_{k}\left(t, u^{0}\right), W_{j}\left(t, u^{0}\right)\right)=e^{n t} \sum_{k+j=n} B\left(u_{k}(t), u_{j}(t)\right) . \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $u_{n}^{0}=W_{n}\left(0, u^{0}\right)$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\bar{u}^{0}=\left(u_{n}^{0}\right)_{n=1}^{\infty}$. Since $W_{n}\left(t, u^{0}\right)=q_{n}\left(t, W\left(u^{0}\right)\right)$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{u}^{0}=Q\left(0, W\left(u^{0}\right)\right) \quad \text { and } \quad\left(u_{n}(t)\right)_{n=1}^{\infty}=S_{\mathrm{ext}}(t) \bar{u}^{0}, \quad t \geqslant 0 . \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, for complex times $\zeta \in E$ we write $u_{n}(\zeta)=W_{n}\left(\zeta, u^{0}\right) e^{-n \zeta}$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ so that $\left(u_{n}(\zeta)\right)_{n=1}^{\infty}=S_{\text {ext }}(\zeta) \bar{u}^{0}$. Recall that the set $E$ is defined in (A.5).

We start with a recursive formula of $W_{n}\left(u^{0}\right)$.
Lemma 5.1. Let $u^{0} \in \mathcal{R}$ and $u(t)=S(t) u^{0}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{1}\left(u^{0}\right)=\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} e^{t} u(t)=\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} e^{t} R_{1} u(t) \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the limits are taken in the $V$ norm.
For $n \in \sigma(A)$ and $n \geqslant 2$ we have

$$
\begin{align*}
W_{n}\left(u^{0}\right)= & R_{n} \tilde{u}_{n}(0)-\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{n \tau} \sum_{\substack{k, j \leqslant n-1 \\
k+j \geqslant n+1}} R_{n} B\left(u_{k}, u_{j}\right) d \tau \\
& -\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{n \tau} R_{n}\left[B\left(u, \tilde{u}_{n}\right)+B\left(\tilde{u}_{n}, u\right)-B\left(\tilde{u}_{n}, \tilde{u}_{n}\right)\right] d \tau . \tag{5.5}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. Eq. (5.4) follows from the asymptotic expansion of the solution $u(t)$. In particular, from (2.12) we obtain $\left\|\tilde{u}_{2}(t)\right\|=O\left(e^{-(1+\epsilon) t}\right)$ and from (2.16) we obtain $W_{1}\left(u^{0}\right)=R_{1} W_{1}\left(u^{0}, 0\right)=W_{1}\left(u^{0}, t\right)$ for all $t \geqslant 0$. Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|W_{1}\left(u^{0}\right)-e^{t} R_{1} u(t)\right\| & =\left\|R_{1} W_{1}\left(0, u^{0}\right)-e^{t} R_{1} u(t)\right\| \\
& \leqslant\left\|W_{1}\left(0, u^{0}\right)-e^{t} u(t)\right\| \\
& \leqslant\left\|W_{1}\left(0, u^{0}\right)-W_{1}\left(t, u^{0}\right)\right\|+\left\|e^{t} \tilde{u}_{2}(t)\right\| \\
& \leqslant 0+O\left(e^{-\epsilon t}\right) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } t \rightarrow \infty .
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly $\left\|W_{1}\left(u^{0}\right)-e^{t} u(t)\right\| \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

Let $n \geqslant 2$ and $n \in \sigma(A)$. We know from (5.1) given $\epsilon \in(0,1)$ that $\tilde{u}_{n}(t)=W_{n}\left(t, u^{0}\right) e^{-n t}+\mathrm{O}\left(e^{-(n+\epsilon) t}\right)$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. By (2.16),

$$
W_{n}\left(u^{0}\right)=R_{n} W_{n}\left(t, u^{0}\right)+\int_{0}^{t} R_{n} \beta_{n}(\tau) d \tau=e^{n t} R_{n} \tilde{u}_{n}(t)+\mathrm{O}\left(e^{-\epsilon t}\right)+\int_{0}^{t} R_{n} \beta_{n}(\tau) d \tau
$$

Letting $t \rightarrow \infty$ gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{n}\left(u^{0}\right)=\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty}\left[e^{n t} R_{n} \tilde{u}_{n}(t)+\int_{0}^{t} R_{n} \beta_{n}(\tau) d \tau\right] . \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recall from (2.20) and (2.6) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d u}{d t}+A u+B(u, u)=0 \\
& \frac{d u_{m}}{d t}+A u_{m}+\sum_{k+j=m} B\left(u_{k}, u_{j}\right)=0, \quad m=1,2, \ldots, n-1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows that the remainder $\tilde{u}_{n}(t)=u(t)-\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} u_{k}(t)$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d \tilde{u}_{n}}{d t}+A \tilde{u}_{n}+B\left(u, \tilde{u}_{n}\right)+B\left(\tilde{u}_{n}, u\right)-B\left(\tilde{u}_{n}, \tilde{u}_{n}\right)+\sum_{\substack{k, j \leqslant n-1 \\ k+j \geqslant n}} B\left(u_{k}, u_{j}\right)=0 . \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $R_{n} A=n R_{n}$ on $\mathcal{D}_{A}$ implies

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d}{d t}\left(e^{n t} R_{n} \tilde{u}_{n}\right)+e^{n t} \sum_{k+j=n} R_{n} B\left(u_{k}, u_{j}\right)+e^{n t} \sum_{\substack{k, j \leqslant n-1 \\
k+j \geqslant n+1}} R_{n} B\left(u_{k}, u_{j}\right) \\
& \quad+e^{n t} R_{n}\left[B\left(u, \tilde{u}_{n}\right)+B\left(\tilde{u}_{n}, u\right)-B\left(\tilde{u}_{n}, \tilde{u}_{n}\right)\right]=0
\end{aligned}
$$

after applying the projection $R_{n}$ to (5.7) and multiplying it by $e^{n t}$. Integrating yields

$$
\begin{align*}
& e^{n t} R_{n} \tilde{u}_{n}(t)+\int_{0}^{t} R_{n} \beta_{n}(\tau) d \tau \\
& \quad=R_{n} \tilde{u}_{n}(0)-\int_{0}^{t} e^{n \tau} \sum_{\substack{k, j \leqslant n-1 \\
k+j \geqslant n+1}} R_{n} B\left(u_{k}, u_{j}\right) d \tau-\int_{0}^{t} e^{n \tau} R_{n}\left[B\left(u, \tilde{u}_{n}\right)+B\left(\tilde{u}_{n}, u\right)-B\left(\tilde{u}_{n}, \tilde{u}_{n}\right)\right] d \tau . \tag{5.8}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $u_{n}=W_{n}\left(t, u^{0}\right) e^{-n t}$ where $W_{n}\left(t, u_{0}\right)$ is a polynomial in $t$ then (A.2) implies

$$
e^{-n t} \sum_{\substack{k, j \leqslant n-1 \\ k+j \geqslant n+1}}\left\|R_{n} B\left(u_{k}, u_{j}\right)\right\| \leqslant \sum_{\substack{k, j \leqslant n-1 \\ k+j \geqslant n+1}} C_{1} e^{-n t} n^{3 / 4}\left\|u_{k}\right\|\left\|u_{j}\right\| \leqslant q(t) e^{-t}
$$

where $q(t)$ is a polynomial in $t$. Thus, the limit

$$
\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{n \tau} \sum_{\substack{k, j \leqslant n-1 \\ k+j \geqslant n+1}} R_{n} B\left(u_{k}, u_{j}\right) d \tau
$$

converges. The argument that the last integral in (5.8) converges as $t \rightarrow \infty$ follows from the estimates (5.36)-(5.38). In fact, explicit bounds for each of the terms appearing on the right-hand side of (5.8) will be given in the proof of Lemma 5.6. Now, letting $t \rightarrow \infty$ in (5.8) and using (5.6) we obtain (5.5).

To estimate the integrals on the right-hand side of (5.5), we not only need to have good estimates of the integrands for large $\tau$, but for small $\tau$ as well. Therefore the energy inequality for regular solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations will play a crucial role in our estimates.

We recall from [3] that if $\left\|u^{0}\right\|<\varepsilon_{0}$ then $u^{0} \in \mathcal{R}$ and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \|u(t)\| \leqslant 2\left\|u^{0}\right\| e^{-t}, \quad t>0,  \tag{5.9}\\
& \|u(\zeta)\| \leqslant 4\left\|u^{0}\right\| e^{-\operatorname{Re} \zeta}, \quad \zeta \in E . \tag{5.10}
\end{align*}
$$

For general $u^{0} \in \mathcal{R}$, the energy estimate is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|u\left(t^{\prime}\right)\right|^{2}+2 \int_{t}^{t^{\prime}}\|u(\tau)\|^{2} d \tau \leqslant|u(t)|^{2}, \quad t^{\prime}>t \geqslant 0 \tag{5.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Poincaré's and Gronwall's inequalities

$$
\begin{equation*}
|u(t)|^{2} \leqslant e^{-2 t}\left|u^{0}\right|^{2}, \quad t \geqslant 0, \tag{5.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \int_{t}^{t^{\prime}}\|u(\tau)\|^{2} d \tau \leqslant|u(t)|^{2} \leqslant e^{-2 t}\left|u^{0}\right|^{2}, \quad t^{\prime} \geqslant t \geqslant 0 . \tag{5.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular,

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \int_{0}^{\infty}\|u(\tau)\|^{2} d \tau \leqslant\left|u^{0}\right|^{2} \tag{5.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Denote $\log ^{+} \alpha=\log (\max \{1, \alpha\})$ and let

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{0}=t_{0}\left(u^{0}\right)=\log ^{+}\left(2\left|u^{0}\right| / \varepsilon_{0}\right)+1 . \tag{5.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Take $t=t_{0}-1, t^{\prime}=t_{0}$ in (5.13). It follows that there is a $t_{1} \in\left(t_{0}-1, t_{0}\right)$ such that $\left\|u\left(t_{1}\right)\right\|<\left|u\left(t_{0}-1\right)\right| \leqslant e^{-t_{0}+1}\left|u^{0}\right| \leqslant$ $\varepsilon_{0} / 2$. Then by (5.9),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u\left(t_{0}\right)\right\| \leqslant 2\left\|u\left(t_{1}\right)\right\|<\varepsilon_{0} . \tag{5.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence it follows from (5.9) and (5.10) that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|u\left(t_{0}+\tau\right)\right\| \leqslant 2 \varepsilon_{0} e^{-\tau}, \quad \tau>0 .  \tag{5.17}\\
& \left\|u\left(t_{0}+\zeta\right)\right\| \leqslant 4 \varepsilon_{0} e^{-\operatorname{Re} \zeta}, \quad \zeta \in E . \tag{5.18}
\end{align*}
$$

If $\left\|u^{0}\right\|<\varepsilon_{0}$, we simply take $t_{0}=0$. Note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{t_{0}} \leqslant g_{0}=g_{0}\left(u^{0}\right) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \max \left\{e, 2 e\left\|u^{0}\right\| / \varepsilon_{0}\right\} . \tag{5.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Definition 5.2. Let $\left(\kappa_{n}^{\prime}\right)_{n=2}^{\infty}$ be a fixed sequence of real numbers in the interval $(0,1]$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\kappa_{n}^{\prime}\right)^{1 / 2^{n}}=0 \tag{5.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

We define the sequence of positive weights $\left(\rho_{n}\right)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{1}=1, \quad \rho_{n}=\frac{\kappa_{n}^{\prime} \rho_{n-1}^{2}}{\max \left\{\tilde{L}_{n}, \tilde{L}_{n}^{\prime}\right\}}, \quad n>1, \tag{5.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left(\tilde{L}_{n}\right)_{n=2}^{\infty}$ and $\left(\tilde{L}_{n}^{\prime}\right)_{n=2}^{\infty}$ are defined by (5.56) and (5.90), respectively, and depend only on the constants $C_{1}, C_{2}$ and $a_{0}$.

Note that $\tilde{L}_{n} \geqslant 3$ and $\tilde{L}_{n}^{\prime} \geqslant 1$ for all $n \geqslant 2$. Therefore the sequence $\left(\rho_{n}\right)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is decreasing and $\rho_{n} \leqslant \kappa_{n}^{\prime}$ for $n>1$. Define

$$
\kappa_{n}=\frac{\rho_{n}}{\min \left\{\rho_{k} \rho_{j}: k+j=n\right\}}, \quad n \geqslant 2 .
$$

Then $\kappa_{n} \leqslant \rho_{n} \rho_{n-1}^{-2} \leqslant \kappa_{n}^{\prime} \tilde{L}_{n}^{-1} \leqslant \kappa_{n}^{\prime} \leqslant 1$. Thus (3.1) holds as in Sections 3 and 4. Moreover,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \kappa_{n}^{1 / 2^{n}}=0 \quad \text { and subsequently } \quad \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} n \kappa_{n}^{1 / n}=0 \tag{5.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore $\rho_{n}$ and $\kappa_{n}$ satisfy (3.1), (3.39) and (4.1) for any choices of sequences $\left(\tilde{L}_{n}\right)_{n=2}^{\infty}$ and $\left(\tilde{L}_{n}^{\prime}\right)_{n=2}^{\infty}$ such that $\tilde{L}_{n} \geqslant 3$ and $\tilde{L}_{n}^{\prime} \geqslant 1$, in particular, for the choices given by (5.56) and (5.90). Note also that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \kappa_{n} \quad \text { and } \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \rho_{n} \quad \text { are finite. } \tag{5.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover,

$$
D_{n}^{1 / 2^{n}} \leqslant\left(\text { const } \cdot n^{n}\right)^{1 / 2^{n}} \rightarrow 1 \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty
$$

implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \kappa_{n}^{\prime} D_{n}<\infty \tag{5.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Definition 5.3. We denote for each $u^{0} \in \mathcal{R}$,

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\eta_{n}=\rho_{n}\left\|W_{n}\left(0, u^{0}\right)\right\|, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, \\
\gamma_{1}=\eta_{1}, & \gamma_{n}=\eta_{n}+C_{1} \kappa_{n} \sum_{k+j=n} \gamma_{k} \gamma_{j}, \quad n>1, \\
\tilde{\gamma}_{1}=\gamma_{1}, \quad \tilde{\gamma}_{n}=\gamma_{n}+3 C_{1} \kappa_{n} \sum_{k+j=n} \tilde{\gamma}_{k} \tilde{\gamma}_{j}, \quad n>1 . \tag{5.27}
\end{array}
$$

It follows from Proposition 3.9 that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \rho_{n}\left\|u_{n}(t)\right\|=\rho_{n}\left\|W_{n}\left(t, u^{0}\right) e^{-n t}\right\| \leqslant \gamma_{n} e^{-t}, \quad t>0,  \tag{5.28}\\
& \rho_{n}\left\|u_{n}(\zeta)\right\|=\rho_{n}\left\|W_{n}\left(\zeta, u^{0}\right) e^{-n \zeta}\right\| \leqslant \tilde{\gamma}_{n} e^{-\operatorname{Re} \zeta}, \quad \zeta \in E . \tag{5.29}
\end{align*}
$$

Consequently, by Lemma A.2,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{n}\left\|u_{n}(t)\right\| \leqslant n \tilde{\gamma}_{n} e^{-n t}\left[C_{2}\left(t+a_{0}\right)\right]^{n-1}, \quad t>0 . \tag{5.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Definition 5.4. For $u^{0} \in \mathcal{R}$ and $n>1$, denote

$$
\begin{align*}
& M_{n}\left(u^{0}\right)=\left\|u^{0}\right\|+\frac{1}{\rho_{n-1}} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \eta_{k},  \tag{5.31}\\
& M_{n}^{\prime}\left(u^{0}\right)=\left\{\left|u^{0}\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{\rho_{n-1}^{2}}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \gamma_{k}\right)^{2}\right\}^{1 / 2},  \tag{5.32}\\
& \tilde{M}_{n}\left(u^{0}\right)=4 \varepsilon_{0}+\frac{e^{-t_{0}}}{\rho_{n-1}} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \tilde{\gamma}_{k} . \tag{5.33}
\end{align*}
$$

We need the following recursive inequalities for estimating the right-hand side of (5.5).

Lemma 5.5. Let $u^{0} \in \mathcal{R}$ and $N>1$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|\tilde{u}_{N}(0)\right\| \leqslant M_{N}\left(u^{0}\right),  \tag{5.34}\\
& \left\|\tilde{u}_{N}\left(t_{0}+\tau\right)\right\| \leqslant \tilde{M}_{N}\left(u^{0}\right) e^{-N \tau}\left|1+\frac{\tau}{2}\right|^{2 N}, \quad \tau>0,  \tag{5.35}\\
& \int_{0}^{t_{0}}\left\|\tilde{u}_{N}(t)\right\|^{2} d t \leqslant\left|u^{0}\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{\rho_{N-1}^{2}}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \gamma_{k}\right)^{2}=M_{N}^{\prime 2}\left(u^{0}\right),  \tag{5.36}\\
& \int_{t_{0}}^{\infty} e^{N t}\|u(t)\|\left\|\tilde{u}_{N}(t)\right\| d t \leqslant 2 e^{N t_{0}} L_{N}\left\|u\left(t_{0}\right)\right\| \tilde{M}_{N}\left(u^{0}\right),  \tag{5.37}\\
& \int_{t_{0}}^{\infty} e^{N t}\left\|\tilde{u}_{N}(t)\right\|^{2} d t \leqslant e^{N t_{0}} L_{N}^{\prime} \tilde{M}_{N}^{2}\left(u^{0}\right), \tag{5.38}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{n}=\frac{e^{2}(2 n)!}{2^{2 n}}, \quad L_{n}^{\prime}=\frac{e^{2 n}(4 n)!}{2^{4 n} n^{4 n+1}}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N} . \tag{5.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. First, note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\tilde{u}_{N}(t)\right\| \leqslant\|u(t)\|+\sum_{k=1}^{N-1}\left\|u_{k}(t)\right\| \leqslant\|u(t)\|+\frac{1}{\rho_{N-1}} \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \rho_{k}\left\|u_{k}(t)\right\|, \tag{5.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

since $\rho_{n}$ is decreasing. Taking $t=0$ in (5.40) yields (5.34).
Second, since $\zeta \in E$ implies $t_{0}+\zeta \in E$, then by (5.18) and (5.29) for $n=1,2, \ldots, N-1$, we have

$$
\left\|\tilde{u}_{N}\left(t_{0}+\zeta\right)\right\| \leqslant\left\|u\left(t_{0}+\zeta\right)\right\|+\sum_{k=1}^{N-1}\left\|u_{k}\left(t_{0}+\zeta\right)\right\| \leqslant e^{-\operatorname{Re} \zeta}\left(4 \varepsilon_{0}+\frac{e^{-t_{0}}}{\rho_{N-1}} \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \tilde{\gamma}_{k}\right)
$$

for $\zeta \in E$. Given any $\epsilon>0$ then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\tilde{u}_{N}\left(t_{0}+\tau\right)\right\| & \leqslant\left\|\tilde{u}_{N+1}\left(t_{0}+\tau\right)\right\|+\left\|u_{N}\left(t_{0}+\tau\right)\right\| \\
& \leqslant \mathrm{O}\left(e^{-N \tau}\right)+\rho_{n}^{-1} N \tilde{\gamma}_{N} e^{-N\left(t_{0}+\tau\right)}\left[C_{2}\left(t_{0}+\tau+a_{0}\right)\right]^{N-1} \\
& =\mathrm{O}\left(e^{-(N-\epsilon) \tau}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

as $\tau \rightarrow \infty$. Now Lemma A. 1 implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\tilde{u}_{N}\left(t_{0}+\tau\right)\right\| \leqslant\left(4 \varepsilon_{0}+\frac{e^{-t_{0}}}{\rho_{N-1}} \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \tilde{\gamma}_{k}\right) e^{-(N-\epsilon) \tau}\left|1+\frac{\tau}{2}\right|^{2(N-\epsilon)} \tag{5.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

and taking $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ yields (5.35).
Third, squaring (5.40) and integrating yields

$$
\int_{0}^{t_{0}}\left\|\tilde{u}_{N}(t)\right\|^{2} d t \leqslant \int_{0}^{t_{0}}\left\{2\|u(t)\|^{2}+2\left[\frac{e^{-t}}{\rho_{N-1}} \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \gamma_{k}\right]^{2}\right\} d t
$$

Then (5.36) follows from the energy inequality (5.14).

Fourth, from (5.9) and (5.35) we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{t_{0}}^{\infty} e^{N t}\|u(t)\|\left\|\tilde{u}_{N}(t)\right\| d t & =e^{N t_{0}} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{N \tau}\left\|u\left(t_{0}+\tau\right)\right\|\left\|\tilde{u}_{N}\left(t_{0}+\tau\right)\right\| d \tau \\
& \leqslant e^{N t_{0}} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{N \tau}\left(2\left\|u\left(t_{0}\right)\right\| e^{-\tau}\right)\left(\tilde{M}_{N}\left(u^{0}\right) e^{-N \tau}\left|1+\frac{\tau}{2}\right|^{2 N}\right) d \tau \\
& \leqslant 2 e^{N t_{0}} L_{N}\left\|u\left(t_{0}\right)\right\| \tilde{M}_{N}\left(u^{0}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

since

$$
\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\tau}\left|1+\frac{\tau}{2}\right|^{2 n} d \tau \leqslant \int_{0}^{\infty}\left(\tau^{\prime} / 2\right)^{2 n} e^{-\tau^{\prime}+2} d \tau^{\prime}=\frac{e^{2}}{2^{2 n}} \Gamma(2 n+1)=L_{n}
$$

Fifth,

$$
\int_{t_{0}}^{\infty} e^{N t}\left\|\tilde{u}_{N}(t)\right\|^{2} d t \leqslant e^{N t_{0}} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{N \tau}\left\{\tilde{M}_{N}\left(u^{0}\right) e^{-N \tau}\left|1+\frac{\tau}{2}\right|^{2 N}\right\}^{2} d \tau=e^{N t_{0}} L_{N}^{\prime} \tilde{M}_{N}^{2}\left(u^{0}\right)
$$

since

$$
\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-n \tau}\left|1+\frac{\tau}{2}\right|^{4 n} d \tau \leqslant \frac{e^{2 n}}{2^{4 n}} \frac{\Gamma(4 n+1)}{n^{4 n+1}}=L_{n}^{\prime}
$$

The proof is complete.
Our main recursive step is
Lemma 5.6. Let $u^{0} \in \mathcal{R}$. For $N>1$ let $M_{N}=M_{N}\left(u^{0}\right), M_{N}^{\prime}=M_{n}^{\prime}\left(u^{0}\right)$ and $\tilde{M}_{N}=\tilde{M}_{N}\left(u^{0}\right)$. We then have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|W_{N}\left(u^{0}\right)\right\| \leqslant M_{N}+\frac{L(0, N)}{\rho_{N-1}^{2}}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \tilde{\gamma}_{k}\right)^{2}+e^{N t_{0}} L(0, N)\left\{1+\left|u^{0}\right|^{2}+M_{N}^{\prime}{ }^{2}+\tilde{M}_{N}^{2}\right\}, \tag{5.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $L(0, n)$ defined by (5.43) below for $n>1$ are positive constants independent of $u^{0}$ and $\rho_{n}$.
Proof. If $N \notin \sigma(A)$, then $W_{N}\left(u^{0}\right)=0$ and (5.42) holds. We now consider the case $N \in \sigma(A)$. By (5.5),

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|W_{N}\left(u^{0}\right)\right\| \leqslant & \left\|\tilde{u}_{N}(0)\right\|+\underbrace{\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{N \tau} \sum_{\substack{k, j \leqslant N-1 \\
k+j \geqslant N+1}}\left\|R_{N} B\left(u_{k}, u_{j}\right)\right\| d \tau}_{J_{1}} \\
& +\underbrace{\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{N \tau}\left(\left\|R_{N} B\left(u, \tilde{u}_{N}\right)\right\|+\left\|R_{N} B\left(\tilde{u}_{N}, u\right)\right\|\right) d \tau}_{J_{2}}+\underbrace{\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{N \tau}\left\|R_{N} B\left(\tilde{u}_{N}, \tilde{u}_{N}\right)\right\| d \tau}_{J_{3}} \\
& =\left\|\tilde{u}_{N}(0)\right\|+J_{1}+J_{2}+J_{3} .
\end{aligned}
$$

According to Lemma 5.5 , we first have $\left\|\tilde{u}_{N}(0)\right\| \leqslant M_{N}\left(u^{0}\right)$.

Estimate of $\boldsymbol{J}_{\mathbf{1}}$. By using inequality (A.2), the fact $\rho_{N-1}^{2} \leqslant \min \left\{\rho_{k} \rho_{j}: k, j \leqslant N-1\right\}$, and the estimates (5.30) of $\left\|u_{k}(t)\right\|$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_{1} & \leqslant \rho_{N-1}^{-2} C_{1} N^{3 / 4} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{N \tau} \sum_{\substack{k, j \leqslant N-1 \\
k+j \geqslant N+1}} \tilde{\gamma}_{k} \tilde{\gamma}_{j} k j\left[C_{2}\left(\tau+a_{0}\right)\right]^{k+j-2} e^{-(k+j) \tau} d \tau \\
& \leqslant \rho_{N-1}^{-2} C_{1} N^{3 / 4} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{N \tau}(N-1)^{2} \sum_{\substack{k, j \leqslant N-1 \\
k+j \geqslant N+1}} \tilde{\gamma}_{k} \tilde{\gamma}_{j}\left[C_{2}\left(\tau+a_{0}\right)\right]^{2(N-1)-2} e^{-(k+j) \tau} d \tau \\
& \leqslant \rho_{N-1}^{-2} C_{1} N^{3 / 4}(N-1)^{2}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \tilde{\gamma}_{k}\right)^{2} C_{2}^{2 N-4} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\tau}\left(\tau+a_{0}\right)^{2 N-4} d \tau .
\end{aligned}
$$

For $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$
C_{1} n^{3 / 4}(n-1)^{2} C_{2}^{2 n-4} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\tau}\left(\tau+a_{0}\right)^{2 n-4} d \tau \leqslant C_{1} C_{2}^{2 n-4} n^{3 / 4}(n-1)^{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\tau^{\prime}+a_{0}} \tau^{\prime 2 n-4} d \tau^{\prime}=L(1, n)
$$

where $L(1, n)=e^{a_{0}} C_{1} C_{2}^{2 n-4} n^{3 / 4}(n-1)^{2} \Gamma(2 n-3)$. Hence

$$
J_{1} \leqslant \rho_{N-1}^{-2} L(1, N)\left(\sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \tilde{\gamma}_{k}\right)^{2} .
$$

Estimate of $\boldsymbol{J}_{\mathbf{2}}$. By (A.2),

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_{2} & \leqslant \int_{0}^{\infty} 2 C_{1} N^{3 / 4} e^{N t}\|u(t)\|\left\|\tilde{u}_{N}(t)\right\| d t \\
& =\left(\int_{0}^{t_{0}}+\int_{t_{0}}^{\infty}\right) 2 C_{1} N^{3 / 4} e^{N t}\|u(t)\|\left\|\tilde{u}_{N}(t)\right\| d t=J_{2,1}+J_{2,2}
\end{aligned}
$$

For $J_{2,1}$ we use (5.36) and (5.14)

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_{2,1} & \leqslant 2 C_{1} N^{3 / 4} e^{N t_{0}}\left(\int_{0}^{t_{0}}\|u(t)\|^{2} d t\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\int_{0}^{t_{0}}\left\|\tilde{u}_{N}(t)\right\|^{2} d t\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& \leqslant \sqrt{2} C_{1} N^{3 / 4} e^{N t_{0}}\left|u^{0}\right| M_{N}^{\prime} \\
& \leqslant L(2, N) e^{N t_{0}}\left|u^{0}\right| M_{N}^{\prime} \quad \text { where } L(2, n)=\sqrt{2} C_{1} n^{3 / 4}, n \in \mathbb{N} .
\end{aligned}
$$

For $J_{2,2}$ we use (5.37) and (5.16)

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_{2,2} & \leqslant 4 C_{1} N^{3 / 4} e^{N t_{0}} L_{N} \tilde{M}_{N}\left(u^{0}\right)\left\|u\left(t_{0}\right)\right\| \\
& \leqslant 4 C_{1} \varepsilon_{0} N^{3 / 4} e^{N t_{0}} \tilde{M}_{N} L_{N} \\
& =L(3, N) e^{N t_{0}} \tilde{M}_{N} \quad \text { where } L(3, n)=4 C_{1} \varepsilon_{0} n^{3 / 4} L_{n}, n \in \mathbb{N} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Estimate of $\boldsymbol{J}_{3}$. By (A.2)

$$
J_{3} \leqslant C_{1} N^{3 / 4}\left(\int_{0}^{t_{0}}+\int_{t_{0}}^{\infty}\right) e^{N t}\left\|\tilde{u}_{N}(t)\right\|^{2} d t=J_{3,1}+J_{3,2}
$$

For $J_{3,1}$, we use (5.36)

$$
J_{3,1} \leqslant C_{1} N^{3 / 4} e^{N t_{0}} M_{N}^{\prime 2}=L(4, N) e^{N t_{0}} M_{N}^{\prime 2} \quad \text { where } L(4, n)=C_{1} n^{3 / 4}, n \in \mathbb{N}
$$

For $J_{3,2}$, we use (5.38)

$$
J_{3,2} \leqslant C_{1} N^{3 / 4} e^{N t_{0}} \tilde{M}_{N}^{2} L_{N}^{\prime}=L(5, N) e^{N t_{0}} \tilde{M}_{N}^{2} \quad \text { where } L(5, n)=C_{1} n^{3 / 4} L_{n}^{\prime}, n \in \mathbb{N}
$$

Combining the above estimates we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|W_{N}\left(u^{0}\right)\right\| \leqslant & M_{N}+\frac{L(1, N)}{\rho_{N-1}^{2}}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \tilde{\gamma}_{k}\right)^{2} \\
& +e^{N t_{0}}\left\{L(2, N)\left|u^{0}\right| M_{N}^{\prime}+L(3, N) \tilde{M}_{N}+L(4, N) M_{N}^{\prime 2}+L(5, N) \tilde{M}_{N}^{2}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Inequality (5.42) easily follows with

$$
\begin{equation*}
L(0, n)=\max \left\{L(1, n), \frac{1}{2} L(2, n)+L(4, n), \frac{1}{2} L(3, n)+L(5, n)\right\} \tag{5.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $n>1$.
Definition 5.7. Given $u^{0} \in \mathcal{R}$. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{1}=\eta_{1}^{*}=\gamma_{1}^{*}=\tilde{\gamma}_{1}^{*}=\rho_{1}\left\|u^{0}\right\| . \tag{5.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $n>1$, let

$$
\begin{align*}
& x_{n}=\rho_{n}\left\|u^{0}\right\|+\kappa_{n}^{\prime}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \tilde{\gamma}_{k}^{*}\right)+\kappa_{n}^{\prime}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \tilde{\gamma}_{k}^{*}\right)^{2}+\kappa_{n}^{\prime} g_{0}^{n}\left\{1+\left\|u^{0}\right\|^{2}+\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \tilde{\gamma}_{k}^{*}\right)^{2}\right\}  \tag{5.45}\\
& \eta_{n}^{*}=x_{n}+\kappa_{n}^{\prime} D_{n} \sum_{k+j=n} \tilde{\gamma}_{k}^{*} \tilde{\gamma}_{j}^{*},  \tag{5.46}\\
& \gamma_{n}^{*}=\eta_{n}^{*}+C_{1} \kappa_{n}^{\prime} \sum_{k+j=n} \gamma_{k}^{*} \gamma_{j}^{*},  \tag{5.47}\\
& \tilde{\gamma}_{n}^{*}=\gamma_{n}^{*}+3 C_{1} \kappa_{n}^{\prime} \sum_{k+j=n} \tilde{\gamma}_{k}^{*} \tilde{\gamma}_{j}^{*}, \tag{5.48}
\end{align*}
$$

where $D_{n}$ are defined as in (4.7) and $g_{0}$ is given in (5.19).
Our next goal is to prove that $\rho_{n}\left\|\xi_{n}\right\| \leqslant x_{n}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. In fact, we have
Lemma 5.8. Let $u^{0} \in \mathcal{R}$ and let $x_{n}, \eta_{n}^{*}, \gamma_{n}^{*}, \tilde{\gamma}_{n}^{*}$ be defined as in Definition 5.7. For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \rho_{n}\left\|W_{n}\left(u^{0}\right)\right\| \leqslant x_{n},  \tag{5.49}\\
& \rho_{n}\left\|u_{n}(0)\right\|=\rho_{n}\left\|W_{n}\left(0, u^{0}\right)\right\| \leqslant \eta_{n}^{*},  \tag{5.50}\\
& \rho_{n}\left\|u_{n}(t)\right\|=\rho_{n}\left\|W_{n}\left(t, u^{0}\right) e^{-n t}\right\| \leqslant \gamma_{n}^{*} e^{-t}, \quad t>0,  \tag{5.51}\\
& \rho_{n}\left\|u_{n}(\zeta)\right\|=\rho_{n}\left\|W_{n}\left(\zeta, u^{0}\right) e^{-n \zeta}\right\| \leqslant \tilde{\gamma}_{n}^{*} e^{-\operatorname{Re} \zeta}, \quad \zeta \in E . \tag{5.52}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. Let $\xi_{n}=W_{n}\left(u^{0}\right), n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $W\left(u^{0}\right)=\bar{\xi}=\left(\xi_{n}\right)_{n=1}^{\infty}$. Using the notation in Definition 5.3 and inequalities (5.28)-(5.29), it suffices to prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{n}\left\|\xi_{n}\right\| \leqslant x_{n}, \quad \eta_{n} \leqslant \eta_{n}^{*}, \quad \gamma_{n} \leqslant \gamma_{n}^{*}, \quad \tilde{\gamma}_{n} \leqslant \tilde{\gamma}_{n}^{*}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N} . \tag{5.53}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $n=1$, we have by (2.16) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{1}(0)=W_{1}\left(0, u^{0}\right)=q_{1}(0, \bar{\xi})=\xi_{1} . \tag{5.54}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore (2.23) implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{1}(t)=\xi_{1} e^{-t} \quad \text { and } \quad u_{1}(\zeta)=\xi_{1} e^{-\zeta} \tag{5.55}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Lemma 5.1, $\left\|W_{1}\left(u^{0}\right)\right\|=\left|W_{1}\left(u^{0}\right)\right|=\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} e^{t}|u(t)| \leqslant\left|u^{0}\right| \leqslant\left\|u^{0}\right\|$. Therefore (5.53) holds for $n=1$.
For induction, let $N>1$ and assume (5.53) holds for $n=1, \ldots, N-1$. Let $T_{n}=\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} \tilde{\gamma}_{k}\right) / \rho_{n}, n>1$. Then, from Definitions 5.3 and 5.4 we immediately have

$$
M_{n} \leqslant\left\|u^{0}\right\|+T_{n-1}, \quad M_{n}^{\prime 2} \leqslant\left\|u^{0}\right\|^{2}+T_{n-1}^{2}, \quad \tilde{M}_{n} \leqslant 4 \varepsilon_{0}+T_{n-1}, \quad \tilde{M}_{n}^{2} \leqslant 32 \varepsilon_{0}^{2}+2 T_{n-1}^{2} .
$$

It follows from Lemma 5.6 that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\xi_{N}\right\| & \leqslant\left\{\left\|u^{0}\right\|+T_{N-1}\right\}+\left\{L(0, N) T_{N-1}^{2}\right\}+e^{N t_{0}} L(0, N)\left\{1+\left\|u^{0}\right\|^{2}+\left(\left\|u^{0}\right\|^{2}+T_{N-1}^{2}\right)+\left(32 \varepsilon_{0}^{2}+2 T_{N-1}^{2}\right)\right\} \\
& \leqslant\left\|u^{0}\right\|+T_{N-1}+\tilde{L}_{N} T_{N-1}^{2}+\tilde{L}_{N} g_{0}^{N}\left\{1+\left\|u^{0}\right\|^{2}+T_{N-1}^{2}\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{L}_{n}=\max \{1, L(0, n)\} \max \left\{1+32 \varepsilon_{0}^{2}, 3\right\}, \quad n>1, \tag{5.56}
\end{equation*}
$$

are positive constants greater than or equal 3 , independent of $u^{0}$ and depending only on $C_{1}, C_{2}$ and $a_{0}$. Multiplying by $\rho_{N}$, we derive

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho_{N}\left\|\xi_{N}\right\| & \leqslant \rho_{N}\left\|u^{0}\right\|+\kappa_{N}^{\prime}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \tilde{\gamma}_{k}\right)+\kappa_{N}^{\prime}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \tilde{\gamma}_{k}\right)^{2}+\kappa_{N}^{\prime} g_{0}^{N}\left\{1+\left\|u^{0}\right\|^{2}+\left(\sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \tilde{\gamma}_{k}\right)^{2}\right\} \\
& \leqslant \rho_{N}\left\|u^{0}\right\|+\kappa_{N}^{\prime}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \tilde{\gamma}_{k}^{*}\right)+\kappa_{N}^{\prime}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \tilde{\gamma}_{k}^{*}\right)^{2}+\kappa_{N}^{\prime} g_{0}^{N}\left\{1+\left\|u^{0}\right\|^{2}+\left(\sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \tilde{\gamma}_{k}^{*}\right)^{2}\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

by the induction hypothesis. Thus the first inequality of (5.53) holds for $n=N$. Applying the arguments used to obtain (4.8) in the proof of Theorem 4.1 with equations (5.45)-(5.48) in place of (4.3)-(4.6) we obtain

$$
\eta_{n}=\rho_{n}\left\|W_{n}\left(0, u_{0}\right)\right\|=\rho_{n}\left\|q_{n}(0, \bar{\xi})\right\| \leqslant \eta_{n}^{*},
$$

the second inequality of (5.53) for $n=N$. Now the last two inequalities of (5.53) follow easily. The induction is hence complete.
Theorem 5.9. For any $u^{0} \in \mathcal{R}, W\left(u^{0}\right) \in S_{A}^{\star}$. In other words, the range of the normalization map is contained in the Banach space $S_{A}^{\star}$.

Proof. It is clear from Definition 5.7 that $x_{n} \leqslant \eta_{n}^{*} \leqslant \gamma_{n}^{*} \leqslant \tilde{\gamma}_{n}^{*}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. For each $n$, summing up (5.45)-(5.48) and noting that $g_{0} \geqslant 1, \kappa_{n}^{\prime} \leqslant 1$, we obtain:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\gamma}_{n}^{*} & \leqslant \rho_{n}\left\|u^{0}\right\|+\kappa_{n}^{\prime}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \tilde{\gamma}_{k}^{*}\right)+\kappa_{n}^{\prime}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \tilde{\gamma}_{k}^{*}\right)^{2}+\kappa_{n}^{\prime} g_{0}^{n}\left\{1+\left\|u^{0}\right\|^{2}+\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \tilde{\gamma}_{k}^{*}\right)^{2}\right\}+\kappa_{n}^{\prime}\left(D_{n}+4 C_{1}\right)\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \tilde{\gamma}_{k}^{*}\right)^{2} \\
& \leqslant \rho_{n}\left\|u^{0}\right\|+\tilde{\kappa}_{n}^{*} g_{0}^{n}\left\{1+\left\|u^{0}\right\|^{2}+\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \tilde{\gamma}_{k}^{*}\right)^{2}\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\tilde{\kappa}_{n}^{*}=\kappa_{n}^{\prime}\left(D_{n}+4 C_{1}+3\right)$ is independent of $u^{0}$. Let $a_{n}=\rho_{n}\left\|u^{0}\right\|$ and $X=\sqrt{1+\left\|u^{0}\right\|^{2}}$. We then have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\gamma}_{n}^{*} \leqslant a_{n}+\tilde{\kappa}_{n}^{*} g_{0}^{n}\left\{X^{2}+\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \tilde{\gamma}_{k}^{*}\right)^{2}\right\} . \tag{5.57}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n}$ is finite by (5.24) and (5.20) implies $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\tilde{\kappa}_{n}^{*}\right)^{1 / 2^{n}}=0$. Applying Lemma A. 5 we obtain that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \tilde{\gamma}_{n}^{*}$ is finite. Thus (5.49) in Lemma 5.8 implies

$$
\left\|W\left(u^{0}\right)\right\|_{\star}=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \rho_{n}\left\|W_{n}\left(u^{0}\right)\right\| \leqslant \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_{n} \leqslant \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \tilde{\gamma}_{n}^{*}<\infty .
$$

Therefore $W\left(u^{0}\right) \in S_{A}^{\star}$.

Regarding the commutative diagram in the Introduction, we also obtain
Corollary 5.10. For any $u^{0} \in \mathcal{R}$ then $\left(W_{n}\left(0, u^{0}\right)\right)_{n=1}^{\infty} \in V^{\star}$, i.e., $W(0, \mathcal{R}) \subset V^{\star}$.
Proof. This follows from the proof of Theorem 5.9 since

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \rho_{n}\left\|W_{n}\left(0, u^{0}\right)\right\|=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \eta_{n} \leqslant \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \tilde{\gamma}_{n}^{*}<\infty .
$$

Remark 5.11. Note that the results up to now, in particular, those of Theorem 5.9 and Corollary 5.10, are valid for any choice of $\tilde{L}_{n}^{\prime}$ in Definition 5.2 such that $\tilde{L}_{n}^{\prime}$ is independent of $u_{0}$.

In our study of the continuity of the normalization map below, it will be necessary to specify a bound $K\left(u^{0}\right)$ on $\sum \tilde{\gamma}_{n}^{*}$ in terms of $\left\|u^{0}\right\|$ only. For this purpose, we introduce the following function $K_{1}(r, s)$ based on (5.57), Lemmas A. 4 and A. 5 .

Definition 5.12. Given $r \geqslant 0$ and $s \geqslant 1$. Let $k_{n}^{\prime}=\kappa_{n}^{\prime}\left(D_{n}+4 C_{1}+3\right) s^{n}$. Let $a_{1}^{\prime}=\rho_{1} r$ and $a_{n}^{\prime}=\rho_{n} r+\kappa_{n}^{\prime}\left(D_{n}+4 C_{1}+\right.$ 3) $+k_{n}^{\prime}\left(1+r^{2}\right)$ for $n>1$. Define

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{1}(r, s)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n}^{\prime}+\alpha^{2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} k_{n}^{\prime} M^{2\left(2^{n}-1\right)}, \tag{5.58}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha=\sup \left\{a_{n}^{\prime}: n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ and $M=3 \sup \left\{1, \alpha, k_{n}^{\prime} \alpha: n>1\right\}$.
Note that $K_{1}(r, s)$ is finite and is increasing in each variable $r$ and $s$. In addition, if we let

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{1}=a_{1}^{\prime} \quad \text { and } \quad d_{n}=a_{n}^{\prime}+k_{n}^{\prime}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} d_{k}\right)^{2} \quad \text { for } n>1 \tag{5.59}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d_{n} \leqslant K_{1}(r, s)$ by virtue of Lemma A.4.
Lemma 5.13. Given $u^{0} \in \mathcal{R}$. Let $t_{0} \geqslant 0$ and $g_{0} \geqslant 1$ satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u\left(t_{0}\right)\right\|<\varepsilon_{0} \quad \text { and } \quad e^{t_{0}} \leqslant g_{0} . \tag{5.60}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then all the results in Section 5.1 hold true for these particular values of $t_{0}$ and $g_{0}$. Furthermore, using the notation set in Definitions 5.3, 5.7 and 5.4, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \tilde{\gamma}_{n}^{*} \leqslant K=K_{1}\left(\left\|u^{0}\right\|, g_{0}\right) . \tag{5.61}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consequently,

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{n}\left(u^{0}\right), M_{n}^{\prime}\left(u^{0}\right) \leqslant\left\|u^{0}\right\|+\frac{K}{\rho_{n-1}}, \quad \tilde{M}_{n}\left(u^{0}\right) \leqslant 4 \varepsilon_{0}+\frac{K}{\rho_{n-1}} . \tag{5.62}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Due to (5.57), Lemma A. 5 and Definition 5.12, $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \tilde{\gamma}_{n}^{*} \leqslant \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d_{n} \leqslant K$, where the $d_{n}$ are defined by (5.59) for $r=\left\|u^{0}\right\|$ and $s=g_{0}$. Hence inequality (5.61) holds true. The other inequalities in (5.62) follow easily.

Remark 5.14. A bound $K\left(u^{0}\right)$ for $\sum \tilde{\gamma}_{n}^{*}$ can be obtained from Lemma 5.13 by taking $K\left(u^{0}\right)=K_{1}\left(\left\|u^{0}\right\|, g_{0}\left(u^{0}\right)\right)$ where $g_{0}\left(u^{0}\right)$ is given by (5.19).

### 5.2. The continuity of the normalization map

We now study the continuity of the normalization map $W: \mathcal{R} \rightarrow S_{A}^{\star}$. Let $u^{0} \in \mathcal{R}$ be fixed. We show that $W$ is continuous at $u^{0}$. For the rest of this section we assume, unless otherwise stated, that

$$
\begin{equation*}
v^{0} \in \mathcal{R} \quad \text { and } \quad\left\|v^{0}-u^{0}\right\|<1 \tag{5.63}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
u(t)=S(t) u^{0}, & u_{j}(t)=W_{j}\left(t, u^{0}\right) e^{-j t}, & \tilde{u}_{n}(t)=u(t)-\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} u_{j}(t), \\
v(t)=S(t) v^{0}, & v_{j}(t)=W_{j}\left(t, v^{0}\right) e^{-j t}, & \tilde{v}_{n}(t)=v(t)-\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} v_{j}(t) .
\end{array}
$$

We have for any $\epsilon>0$ that

$$
\left\|\tilde{u}_{n}(t)\right\|=\mathrm{O}\left(e^{-(n-\epsilon) t}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad\left\|\tilde{v}_{n}(t)\right\|=\mathrm{O}\left(e^{-(n-\epsilon) t}\right), \quad t \rightarrow \infty .
$$

Let

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{n}^{0}=u_{n}(0), \quad v_{n}^{0}=v_{n}(0), \quad \bar{u}^{0}=\left(u_{n}^{0}\right)_{n=1}^{\infty}, \quad \bar{v}^{0}=\left(v_{n}^{0}\right)_{n=1}^{\infty},  \tag{5.64}\\
& w=u-v, \quad w_{n}=u_{n}-v_{n}, \quad \tilde{w}_{n}=\tilde{u}_{n}-\tilde{v}_{n},  \tag{5.65}\\
& w^{0}=u^{0}-v^{0}, \quad w_{n}^{0}=w_{n}(0)=u_{n}^{0}-v_{n}^{0} . \tag{5.66}
\end{align*}
$$

Note that $\bar{u}^{0}=Q\left(0, W\left(u^{0}\right)\right), \bar{v}^{0}=Q\left(0, W\left(v^{0}\right)\right)$ and $\left(u_{n}(t)\right)_{n=1}^{\infty}=S_{\text {ext }}(t) \bar{u}^{0},\left(v_{n}(t)\right)_{n=1}^{\infty}=S_{\text {ext }}(t) \bar{v}^{0}$. Corollary 5.10 implies that $\bar{u}^{0}, \bar{v}^{0} \in V^{\star}$.

To estimate $\left\|W\left(u^{0}\right)-W\left(v^{0}\right)\right\|_{\star}$ we begin with a recursive formula for the difference $W_{n}\left(u^{0}\right)-W_{n}\left(v^{0}\right)$ similar to (5.5).

Lemma 5.15. Let $u^{0}, v^{0} \in \mathcal{R}$ and $n \in \sigma(A)$ with $n>1$. Then we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& W_{n}\left(u^{0}\right)-W_{n}\left(v^{0}\right) \\
& =R_{n} \tilde{w}_{n}(0)-\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{n \tau} \sum_{\substack{k, j \leqslant n-1 \\
k+j \geqslant n+1}} R_{n}\left[B\left(w_{k}, u_{j}\right)+B\left(v_{k}, w_{j}\right)\right] d \tau-\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{n \tau} R_{n}\left[B\left(w, \tilde{u}_{n}\right)+B\left(\tilde{u}_{n}, w\right)\right] d \tau \\
& \quad-\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{n \tau} R_{n}\left[B\left(v, \tilde{w}_{n}\right)+B\left(\tilde{w}_{n}, v\right)\right] d \tau+\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{n \tau} R_{n}\left[B\left(\tilde{w}_{n}, \tilde{u}_{n}\right)+B\left(\tilde{v}_{n}, \tilde{w}_{n}\right)\right] d \tau . \tag{5.67}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. We have from (5.6),

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{n}\left(u^{0}\right)-W_{n}\left(v^{0}\right)=\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty}\left\{e^{n t} R_{n} \tilde{w}_{n}(t)+\int_{0}^{t} R_{n}\left[\beta_{n, u^{0}}(\tau)-\beta_{n, v^{0}}(\tau)\right] d \tau\right\}, \tag{5.68}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\beta_{n, u^{0}}(\tau)$ and $\beta_{n, v^{0}}(\tau)$ are defined by (5.2) for $u^{0}$ and $v^{0}$ respectively.
Recall from (5.7) that for $n \geqslant 2$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d \tilde{u}_{n}}{d t}+A \tilde{u}_{n}+\sum_{\substack{k, j \leqslant n-1 \\
k+j \geqslant n}} B\left(u_{k}, u_{j}\right)+B\left(u, \tilde{u}_{n}\right)+B\left(\tilde{u}_{n}, u\right)-B\left(\tilde{u}_{n}, \tilde{u}_{n}\right)=0, \\
& \frac{d \tilde{v}_{n}}{d t}+A \tilde{v}_{n}+\sum_{\substack{k, j \leqslant n-1 \\
k+j \geqslant n}} B\left(v_{k}, v_{j}\right)+B\left(v, \tilde{v}_{n}\right)+B\left(\tilde{v}_{n}, v\right)-B\left(\tilde{v}_{n}, \tilde{v}_{n}\right)=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $\tilde{w}_{n}$ satisfies the equation

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d \tilde{w}_{n}}{d t}+A \tilde{w}_{n}+\sum_{\substack{k, j \leqslant n-1 \\
k+j \geqslant n}}\left[B\left(u_{k}, u_{j}\right)-B\left(v_{k}, v_{j}\right)\right] \\
& \quad+B\left(w, \tilde{u}_{n}\right)+B\left(v, \tilde{w}_{n}\right)+B\left(\tilde{u}_{n}, w\right)+B\left(\tilde{w}_{n}, v\right)-\left[B\left(\tilde{w}_{n}, \tilde{u}_{n}\right)+B\left(\tilde{v}_{n}, \tilde{w}_{n}\right)\right]=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
& e^{n t} R_{n} \tilde{w}_{n}(t)+\int_{0}^{t} R_{n}\left[\beta_{n, u^{0}}(\tau)-\beta_{n, v^{0}}(\tau)\right] d \tau \\
& =R_{n} \tilde{w}_{n}(0)-\int_{0}^{t} e^{n \tau} \sum_{\substack{k, j \leqslant n-1 \\
k+j \geqslant n+1}} R_{n}\left[B\left(w_{k}, u_{j}\right)+B\left(v_{k}, w_{j}\right)\right] d \tau-\int_{0}^{t} e^{n \tau} R_{n}\left[B\left(v, \tilde{w}_{n}\right)+B\left(\tilde{w}_{n}, v\right)\right] d \tau \\
& \quad-\int_{0}^{t} e^{n \tau} R_{n}\left[B\left(w, \tilde{u}_{n}\right)+B\left(\tilde{u}_{n}, w\right)\right] d \tau+\int_{0}^{t} e^{n \tau} R_{n}\left[B\left(\tilde{w}_{n}, \tilde{u}_{n}\right)+B\left(\tilde{v}_{n}, \tilde{w}_{n}\right)\right] d \tau .
\end{aligned}
$$

Letting $t \rightarrow \infty$ and using (5.68) give (5.67).
In estimating the integrands on the right-hand side of (5.67), we use the estimates obtained in Section 5.1 applied to both $u^{0}$ and $v^{0}$. However, $t_{0}\left(v^{0}\right)$ and $g_{0}\left(v^{0}\right)$ given by formulas (5.15) and (5.19) respectively, may vary for different $v^{0}$. For our convenience, we fix for the rest of this section

$$
\begin{align*}
& t_{0}=\log ^{+}\left(8\left(\left\|u^{0}\right\|+1\right) / \varepsilon_{0}\right)+1>0,  \tag{5.69}\\
& g_{0}=\max \left\{e, 8 e\left(\left\|u^{0}\right\|+1\right) / \varepsilon_{0}\right\} . \tag{5.70}
\end{align*}
$$

Similar to (5.16), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u\left(t_{0}\right)\right\|,\left\|v\left(t_{0}\right)\right\|<\varepsilon_{0} / 2 . \tag{5.71}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $e^{t_{0}} \leqslant g_{0}$ and by (5.71), the condition (5.60) in Lemma 5.13 is satisfied for both $u^{0}$ and $v^{0}$. Therefore any results in Section 5.1 applied to $u^{0}$ or $v^{0}$ are understood with $t_{0}$ and $g_{0}$ taking the values in (5.69) and (5.70) respectively.

By (5.71) and Corollary 3.12,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|w\left(t_{0}+\tau\right)\right\| \leqslant 2\left\|w\left(t_{0}\right)\right\| e^{-\tau}, \quad \tau>0,  \tag{5.72}\\
& \left\|w\left(t_{0}+\zeta\right)\right\| \leqslant 4\left\|w\left(t_{0}\right)\right\| e^{-\operatorname{Re} \zeta}, \quad \zeta \in E . \tag{5.73}
\end{align*}
$$

Definition 5.16. Define $\gamma_{j, u}, \tilde{\gamma}_{j, u}$ and $\gamma_{j, v}, \tilde{\gamma}_{j, v}$ as in Definition 5.3 for $u^{0}$ and $v^{0}$ respectively. Let

$$
\begin{align*}
& v_{n}=\rho_{n}\left\|w_{n}^{0}\right\|=\rho_{n}\left\|W_{n}\left(0, u^{0}\right)-W_{n}\left(0, v^{0}\right)\right\|, \quad n \in \mathbb{N},  \tag{5.74}\\
& \mu_{1}=v_{1}, \quad \mu_{n}=v_{n}+C_{1} \kappa_{n} \sum_{k+j=n} \mu_{k}\left(\gamma_{j, u}+\gamma_{j, v}\right), \quad n>1,  \tag{5.75}\\
& \tilde{\mu}_{1}=\mu_{1}, \quad \tilde{\mu}_{n}=\mu_{n}+3 C_{1} \kappa_{n} \sum_{k+j=n} \tilde{\mu}_{k}\left(\tilde{\gamma}_{j, u}+\tilde{\gamma}_{j, v}\right), \quad n>1 . \tag{5.76}
\end{align*}
$$

Applying Proposition 3.10 with $\bar{u}^{0}$ and $\bar{v}^{0}$ given by (5.64), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \rho_{n}\left\|w_{n}(t)\right\| \leqslant \mu_{n} e^{-t}, \quad t>0,  \tag{5.77}\\
& \rho_{n}\left\|w_{n}(\zeta)\right\| \leqslant \tilde{\mu}_{n} e^{-\operatorname{Re} \zeta}, \quad \zeta \in E . \tag{5.78}
\end{align*}
$$

Consequently, by Lemma A.2,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{n}\left\|w_{n}(t)\right\| \leqslant n \tilde{\mu}_{n} e^{-n t}\left[C_{2}\left(t+a_{0}\right)\right]^{n-1}, \quad t>0 . \tag{5.79}
\end{equation*}
$$

The following are some estimates similar to those in Lemma 5.5.
Lemma 5.17. Let $n>1$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|\tilde{w}_{n}(0)\right\| \leqslant K_{n}  \tag{5.80}\\
& \left\|\tilde{w}_{n}\left(t_{0}+\tau\right)\right\| \leqslant \tilde{K}_{n} e^{-n \tau}\left(1+\frac{\tau}{2}\right)^{2 n}, \quad \tau>0  \tag{5.81}\\
& \int_{0}^{t_{0}}\left\|\tilde{w}_{n}(t)\right\|^{2} d t \leqslant K_{n}^{\prime 2} \tag{5.82}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& K_{n}=\|w(0)\|+\frac{1}{\rho_{n-1}} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} v_{k},  \tag{5.83}\\
& \tilde{K}_{n}=4\left\|w\left(t_{0}\right)\right\|+\frac{e^{-t_{0}}}{\rho_{n-1}} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \tilde{\mu}_{k},  \tag{5.84}\\
& K_{n}^{\prime}=\left\{2 N_{2}|w(0)|^{2}+\frac{1}{\rho_{n-1}^{2}}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \mu_{k}\right)^{2}\right\}^{1 / 2}, \tag{5.85}
\end{align*}
$$

where the positive number $N_{2}=N_{2}\left(u^{0}\right)$ is defined in Lemma A.8.
Proof. The derivations of inequalities (5.80) and (5.81) are almost exactly like (5.34) and (5.35). Inequality (5.82) follows from Lemma A. 8 using similar techniques.

The analogue of Lemma 5.6 is
Lemma 5.18. Given $u^{0} \in \mathcal{R}$. Let $v^{0} \in \mathcal{R}$ such that $\left\|u^{0}-v^{0}\right\|<1$. Then there is $M=M\left(u^{0}\right)>1$ such that for each $n>1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{n}\left\|W_{n}\left(u^{0}\right)-W_{n}\left(v^{0}\right)\right\| \leqslant \rho_{n}\left\|w^{0}\right\|+\kappa_{n}^{\prime} M^{n}\left\{\left|w^{0}\right|+\left\|w\left(t_{0}\right)\right\|+3 \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \tilde{\mu}_{k}\right\} . \tag{5.86}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We derive from (5.67)

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left\|W_{n}\left(u^{0}\right)-W_{n}\left(v^{0}\right)\right\| \leqslant\left\|R_{n} \tilde{w}_{n}(0)\right\| \\
&+\underbrace{\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{n t} \sum_{\substack{k, j \leqslant n-1 \\
k+j \geqslant n+1}}\left\|R_{n} B\left(w_{k}, u_{j}\right)+R_{n} B\left(v_{k}, w_{j}\right)\right\| d t}_{J_{1}} \\
&+\underbrace{\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{n t}\left\|R_{n} B\left(w, \tilde{u}_{n}\right)+R_{n} B\left(\tilde{u}_{n}, w\right)\right\| d t}_{J_{2}}+\underbrace{\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{n t}\left\|R_{n} B\left(v, \tilde{w}_{n}\right)+R_{n} B\left(\tilde{w}_{n}, v\right)\right\| d t}_{J_{3}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +\underbrace{\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{n t}\left\|R_{n} B\left(\tilde{w}_{n}, \tilde{u}_{n}\right)+R_{n} B\left(\tilde{v}_{n}, \tilde{w}_{n}\right)\right\| d t}_{J_{4}} \\
= & \left\|R_{n} \tilde{w}_{n}(0)\right\|+J_{1}+J_{2}+J_{3}+J_{4} .
\end{aligned}
$$

First, $\left\|R_{n} \tilde{w}(0)\right\| \leqslant K_{n}$, by (5.80).
Estimate of $\boldsymbol{J}_{\mathbf{1}}$. Using inequalities (A.2), (5.30) and (5.79), we obtain

$$
J_{1} \leqslant \frac{L(6, n)}{\rho_{n-1}^{2}} \sum_{k, j<n, k+j>n} \tilde{\mu}_{k}\left(\tilde{\gamma}_{j, u}+\tilde{\gamma}_{j, v}\right) \leqslant \frac{L(6, n)}{\rho_{n-1}^{2}}\left(K\left(u^{0}\right)+K\left(v^{0}\right)\right) \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \tilde{\mu}_{k}
$$

where $L(6, n)=L(1, n)$.
Estimate of $\boldsymbol{J}_{\mathbf{2}}$. Using (A.2), we have

$$
J_{2} \leqslant\left(\int_{0}^{t_{0}}+\int_{t_{0}}^{\infty}\right) 2 C_{1} n^{3 / 4} e^{n t}\|w(t)\|\left\|\tilde{u}_{n}(t)\right\| d t=J_{2,1}+J_{2,2}
$$

For $J_{2,1}$, use (A.21) and (5.36)

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_{2,1} & \leqslant 2 C_{1} n^{3 / 4} e^{n t_{0}}\left\{\int_{0}^{t_{0}}\|w(t)\|^{2} d t\right\}^{1 / 2}\left\{\int_{0}^{t_{0}}\left\|\tilde{u}_{n}(t)\right\|^{2} d t\right\}^{1 / 2} \\
& \leqslant 2 C_{1} n^{3 / 4} e^{n t_{0}} \sqrt{N_{2}}|w(0)| M_{n}^{\prime}\left(u^{0}\right)=L(7, n) e^{n t_{0}} \sqrt{N_{2}}|w(0)| M_{n}^{\prime}\left(u^{0}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $N_{2}=N_{2}\left(u^{0}\right)$ is defined in Lemma A.8. For $J_{2,2}$, use (5.72) and (5.35)

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_{2,2} & \leqslant 2 C_{1} n^{3 / 4} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{n t_{0}} e^{n \tau}\left(2\left\|w\left(t_{0}\right)\right\| e^{-\tau}\right)\left\{\tilde{M}_{n}\left(u^{0}\right) e^{-n \tau}\left(1+\frac{\tau}{2}\right)^{2 n}\right\} d \tau \\
& \leqslant 4 C_{1} n^{3 / 4} e^{n t_{0}}\left\|w\left(t_{0}\right)\right\|\left\|\tilde{M}_{n}\left(u^{0}\right) L_{n}=L(8, n) e^{n t_{0}}\right\| w\left(t_{0}\right) \| \tilde{M}_{n}\left(u^{0}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Estimate of $\boldsymbol{J}_{\mathbf{3}}$. By inequality (A.2),

$$
J_{3} \leqslant 2 C_{1} n^{3 / 4}\left(\int_{0}^{t_{0}}+\int_{t_{0}}^{\infty}\right) e^{n t}\|v(t)\|\left\|\tilde{w}_{n}(t)\right\| d t=J_{3,1}+J_{3,2}
$$

For $J_{3,1}$, use (5.14) and (5.82)

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_{3,1} & \leqslant 2 C_{1} n^{3 / 4} e^{n t_{0}}\left\{\int_{0}^{t_{0}}\|v(t)\|^{2} d t\right\}^{1 / 2}\left\{\int_{0}^{t_{0}}\left\|\tilde{w}_{n}(t)\right\|^{2} d t\right\}^{1 / 2} \\
& \leqslant \sqrt{2} C_{1} n^{3 / 4} e^{n t_{0}}\left|v^{0}\right| K_{n}^{\prime}=L(9, n) e^{n t_{0}}\left|v^{0}\right| K_{n}^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

For $J_{3,2}$, use (5.9) and (5.81)

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_{3,2} & \leqslant 2 C_{1} n^{3 / 4} e^{n t_{0}} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{n \tau}\left\{2\left\|v\left(t_{0}\right)\right\| e^{-\tau}\right\}\left\{\tilde{K}_{n} e^{-n \tau}\left(1+\frac{\tau}{2}\right)^{2 n}\right\} d \tau \\
& \leqslant 2 C_{1} n^{3 / 4} e^{n t_{0}}\left\|v\left(t_{0}\right)\right\| L_{n} \tilde{K}_{n}=L(10, n) e^{n t_{0}}\left\|v\left(t_{0}\right)\right\| \tilde{K}_{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

Estimate of $\boldsymbol{J}_{\mathbf{4}}$. By inequality (A.2),

$$
J_{4} \leqslant C_{1} n^{3 / 4}\left(\int_{0}^{t_{0}}+\int_{t_{0}}^{\infty}\right) e^{n t}\left(\left\|\tilde{u}_{n}(t)\right\|+\left\|\tilde{v}_{n}(t)\right\|\right)\left\|\tilde{w}_{n}(t)\right\| d t=J_{4,1}+J_{4,2} .
$$

For $J_{4,1}$, use (5.36) and (5.82)

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_{4,1} & \leqslant C_{1} n^{3 / 4} e^{n t_{0}}\left\{\int_{0}^{t_{0}} 2\left\|\tilde{u}_{n}(t)\right\|^{2}+2\left\|\tilde{v}_{n}(t)\right\|^{2} d t\right\}^{1 / 2}\left\{\int_{0}^{t_{0}}\left\|\tilde{w}_{n}(t)\right\|^{2} d t\right\}^{1 / 2} \\
& \leqslant \sqrt{2} C_{1} n^{3 / 4} e^{n t_{0}}\left(M_{n}^{\prime}\left(u^{0}\right)+M_{n}^{\prime}\left(v^{0}\right)\right) K_{n}^{\prime} \\
& =L(11, n) e^{n t_{0}}\left(M_{n}^{\prime}\left(u^{0}\right)+M_{n}^{\prime}\left(v^{0}\right)\right) K_{n}^{\prime} .
\end{aligned}
$$

For $J_{4,2}$, use (5.35) and (5.81),

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_{4,2} & \leqslant C_{1} n^{3 / 4} e^{n t_{0}} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-n \tau}\left(\tilde{M}_{n}\left(u^{0}\right)+\tilde{M}_{n}\left(v^{0}\right)\right) \tilde{K}_{n}\left|1+\frac{\tau}{2}\right|^{4 n} d \tau \\
& =L(12, n) e^{n t_{0}}\left(\tilde{M}_{n}\left(u^{0}\right)+\tilde{M}_{n}\left(v^{0}\right)\right) \tilde{K}_{n} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Combining the inequalities above, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|W_{n}\left(u^{0}\right)-W_{n}\left(v^{0}\right)\right\| \leqslant & K_{n}+\frac{L(6, n)}{\rho_{n-1}^{2}}\left(K\left(u^{0}\right)+K\left(v^{0}\right)\right) \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \tilde{\mu}_{k} \\
& +L(13, n) e^{n t_{0}}\left\{\sqrt{N_{2}}\left|w^{0}\right| M_{n}^{\prime}\left(u^{0}\right)+\left\|w\left(t_{0}\right)\right\| \tilde{M}_{n}\left(u^{0}\right)+\left|v^{0}\right| K_{n}^{\prime}\right. \\
& \left.+\left\|v\left(t_{0}\right)\right\| \tilde{K}_{n}+K_{n}^{\prime}\left(M_{n}^{\prime}\left(u^{0}\right)+M_{n}^{\prime}\left(v^{0}\right)\right)+\tilde{K}_{n}\left(\tilde{M}_{n}\left(u^{0}\right)+\tilde{M}_{n}\left(v^{0}\right)\right)\right\} . \tag{5.87}
\end{align*}
$$

Note that all constants which depend on $v^{0}$ depend on it through the norms $\left|v^{0}\right|$ or $\left\|v^{0}\right\|$. Since $\left\|u^{0}-v^{0}\right\|<1$, these constants may all be estimated in terms of $\left|u^{0}\right|+1$ and $\left\|u^{0}\right\|+1$. More specifically, let $M_{1}=K_{1}\left(\left\|u^{0}\right\|+1, g_{0}\right)$, then Lemma 5.13 yields $K\left(u^{0}\right), K\left(v^{0}\right) \leqslant M_{1}$ and

$$
\begin{align*}
& M_{n}\left(u^{0}\right), M_{n}^{\prime}\left(u^{0}\right), M_{n}\left(v^{0}\right), M_{n}^{\prime}\left(v^{0}\right) \leqslant\left\|u^{0}\right\|+1+\frac{M_{1}}{\rho_{n-1}} \leqslant \frac{M_{2}}{\rho_{n-1}},  \tag{5.88}\\
& \tilde{M}_{n}\left(u^{0}\right), \tilde{M}_{n}\left(v^{0}\right) \leqslant 4 \varepsilon_{0}+\frac{M_{1}}{\rho_{n-1}} \leqslant \frac{M_{2}}{\rho_{n-1}}, \tag{5.89}
\end{align*}
$$

where $M_{2}=M_{1}+\left\|u^{0}\right\|+1+4 \varepsilon_{0}$. Therefore, there are positive numbers $M_{0}=g_{0}\left(u^{0}\right), M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ depending only on $u^{0}$ so that inequality (5.87) gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|W_{n}\left(u^{0}\right)-W_{n}\left(v^{0}\right)\right\| \leqslant & K_{n}+\frac{L(6, n)\left(2 M_{1}\right)}{\rho_{n-1}^{2}} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \tilde{\mu}_{k} \\
& +L(13, n) M_{0}^{n}\left\{\sqrt{N_{2}}\left|w^{0}\right| \frac{M_{2}}{\rho_{n-1}}+\left\|w\left(t_{0}\right)\right\| \frac{M_{2}}{\rho_{n-1}}+\frac{\varepsilon_{0} \tilde{K}_{n}}{2}+K_{n}^{\prime} \frac{3 M_{2}}{\rho_{n-1}}+\tilde{K}_{n} \frac{2 M_{2}}{\rho_{n-1}}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence there is $M=M\left(u^{0}\right)>1$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|W_{n}\left(u^{0}\right)-W_{n}\left(v^{0}\right)\right\| \leqslant & \left\|w^{0}\right\|+\frac{L(6, n) M}{\rho_{n-1}^{2}} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \tilde{\mu}_{k} \\
& +L(13, n) M^{n}\left\{\frac{\left|w^{0}\right|+\left\|w\left(t_{0}\right)\right\|}{\rho_{n-1}}+\frac{1}{\rho_{n-1}^{2}}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \nu_{k}+\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \mu_{k}+\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \tilde{\mu}_{k}\right)\right\} \\
\leqslant & \left\|w^{0}\right\|+\frac{\tilde{L}_{n}^{\prime} M^{n}}{\rho_{n-1}^{2}}\left\{\left|w^{0}\right|+\left\|w\left(t_{0}\right)\right\|+3 \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \tilde{\mu}_{k}\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{L}_{n}^{\prime}=\max \{1, L(6, n)+L(13, n)\} \tag{5.90}
\end{equation*}
$$

does not depend on $u^{0}, v^{0}$ or $\rho_{n}$. After multiplying by $\rho_{n}$ and using (5.21) we obtain inequality (5.86).
Remark 5.19. Unlike inequality (5.42) in Lemma 5.6, estimate (5.86) in Lemma 5.18 involves the term $\left\|w\left(t_{0}\right)\right\|$ where $t_{0}$ may well be nonzero. Hence $\left\|w\left(t_{0}\right)\right\|$, in general, does not depend on $\left\|w^{0}\right\|$ explicitly and neither does $\left\|W\left(u^{0}\right)-W\left(v^{0}\right)\right\|_{\star}$. However, for the continuity of $W$, we only need

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|w\left(t_{0}\right)\right\| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } v^{0} \rightarrow u^{0} \text { in } V . \tag{5.91}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $t_{0}$ is fixed for all $v^{0}$ satisfying (5.63) and the regular solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations in our context depend continuously on the initial data (cf. [14]). Therefore (5.91) holds true.

Lemma 5.20. Let $\gamma_{j, u}^{*}, \tilde{\gamma}_{j, u}^{*}$ (respectively $\gamma_{j, v}^{*}, \tilde{\gamma}_{j, v}^{*}$ ) be defined by (5.44)-(5.48) for $u^{0}$ (respectively $v^{0}$ ). Note again that $g_{0}$ is given by (5.70) instead of (5.15). Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{1}=v_{1}^{*}=\mu_{1}^{*}=\tilde{\mu}_{1}^{*}=\rho_{1}\left\|w^{0}\right\|+N_{3} \rho_{1}\left|w^{0}\right|^{1 / 2} \tag{5.92}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $N_{3}=N_{3}\left(u^{0}\right)$ is defined in Lemma A.8. For $n>1$ let

$$
\begin{align*}
& y_{n}=\rho_{n}\left\|w^{0}\right\|+\kappa_{n}^{\prime} M^{n}\left\{\left|w^{0}\right|+\left\|w\left(t_{0}\right)\right\|+3 \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \tilde{\mu}_{k}^{*}\right\}  \tag{5.93}\\
& v_{n}^{*}=y_{n}+\kappa_{n}^{\prime} D_{n} \sum_{k+j=n} \tilde{\mu}_{k}^{*}\left(\tilde{\gamma}_{j, u}^{*}+\tilde{\gamma}_{j, v}^{*}\right),  \tag{5.94}\\
& \mu_{n}^{*}=v_{n}^{*}+C_{1} \kappa_{n}^{\prime} \sum_{k+j=n} \mu_{k}^{*}\left(\gamma_{j, u}^{*}+\gamma_{j, v}^{*}\right),  \tag{5.95}\\
& \tilde{\mu}_{n}^{*}=\mu_{n}^{*}+3 C_{1} \kappa_{n}^{\prime} \sum_{k+j=n} \tilde{\mu}_{k}^{*}\left(\tilde{\gamma}_{j, u}^{*}+\tilde{\gamma}_{j, v}^{*}\right), \tag{5.96}
\end{align*}
$$

where $M=M\left(u^{0}\right)>0$ be given in Lemma 5.18. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{n}\left\|W_{n}\left(u^{0}\right)-W_{n}\left(v^{0}\right)\right\| \leqslant y_{n}, \quad v_{n} \leqslant v_{n}^{*}, \quad \mu_{n} \leqslant \mu_{n}^{*}, \quad \tilde{\mu}_{n} \leqslant \tilde{\mu}_{n}^{*}, n \in \mathbb{N} . \tag{5.97}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. By induction. Case $n=1$, similar to (5.54) and (5.55) in Lemma 5.8 we have $w_{1}(\zeta)=\left(W_{1}\left(u^{0}\right)-W_{1}\left(v^{0}\right)\right) e^{-\zeta}$ for $\operatorname{Re} \zeta>0$. Thus it suffices to prove

$$
\left\|W_{1}\left(u^{0}\right)-W_{1}\left(v^{0}\right)\right\|=\left|W_{1}\left(u^{0}\right)-W_{1}\left(v^{0}\right)\right| \leqslant\left\|w^{0}\right\|+N_{3}\left|w^{0}\right|^{1 / 2} .
$$

This indeed follows from $\left|W_{1}\left(u^{0}\right)-W_{1}\left(v^{0}\right)\right|=\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} e^{t}\left|R_{1} w(t)\right|$, by (5.4), and inequality (A.22). For the induction step with $n>1$, the key estimate is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{n}\left\|W_{n}\left(u^{0}\right)-W_{n}\left(v^{0}\right)\right\| \leqslant y_{n} . \tag{5.98}
\end{equation*}
$$

This follows from Lemma 5.18 and the induction hypothesis $\tilde{\mu}_{k} \leqslant \tilde{\mu}_{k}^{*}$, for $k<n$. By (4.17) in Theorem 4.2, we have

$$
\nu_{n} \leqslant \rho_{n}\left\|W_{n}\left(u^{0}\right)-W_{n}\left(v^{0}\right)\right\|+\kappa_{n} D_{n} \sum_{k+j=n} \tilde{\mu}_{k}\left(\tilde{\gamma}_{j, u}+\tilde{\gamma}_{j, v}\right)
$$

which is less than or equal to $v_{n}^{*}$ by (5.98), the induction hypothesis, the relations $\kappa_{n} \leqslant \kappa_{n}^{\prime}$ and $\tilde{\gamma}_{n, u} \leqslant \tilde{\gamma}_{n, u}^{*}, \tilde{\gamma}_{n, v} \leqslant \tilde{\gamma}_{n, v}^{*}$ where the latter two are analogues of (5.53). The last two inequalities of (5.97) follow easily.

Theorem 5.21. The normalization map $W: \mathcal{R} \rightarrow S_{A}^{\star}$ is continuous.

Proof. Given $u^{0} \in \mathcal{R}$, let $v^{0} \in \mathcal{R}$ satisfying $\left\|u^{0}-v^{0}\right\|<1$. We set the notation as in Lemma 5.20. Then by Lemma 5.13 the sums $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \tilde{\gamma}_{n, u}^{*}$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \tilde{\gamma}_{n, v}^{*}$ are bounded by a positive constant depending only on $\left\|u^{0}\right\|$, namely, $K_{1}\left(\left\|u^{0}\right\|+1, g_{0}\right)$ (see Definition 5.12). Summing up (5.93)-(5.96), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\mu}_{n}^{*} \leqslant \rho_{n}\left\|w^{0}\right\|+\tilde{\kappa}_{n}^{*} \tilde{M}^{n}\left\{\left|w^{0}\right|+\left\|w\left(t_{0}\right)\right\|+\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \tilde{\mu}_{k}^{*}\right\}, \tag{5.99}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tilde{M}=\tilde{M}\left(u^{0}\right)>1$ and $\tilde{\kappa}_{n}^{*}=\kappa_{n}^{\prime}\left(3+D_{n}+4 C_{1}\right)$. Note that $\left|w^{0}\right|,\left\|w^{0}\right\| \leqslant 2\left\|u^{0}\right\|+1$ and $\left\|w\left(t_{0}\right)\right\| \leqslant \varepsilon_{0}$. By (5.20) $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\tilde{\kappa}_{n}^{*}\right)^{1 / 2^{n}}=0$; hence, Lemma A. 7 implies $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \tilde{\mu}_{n}^{*}<M^{*}=M^{*}\left(u^{0}\right)<\infty$.

Now, by using Remark 5.19, an induction argument shows that $\tilde{\mu}_{n}^{*} \rightarrow 0$ as $\left\|u^{0}-v^{0}\right\| \rightarrow 0$ for each $n$. The same arguments as in Theorem 3.8 show that for any $\varepsilon>0$, there is $\delta>0$ such that if $\left\|u^{0}-v^{0}\right\|<\delta$ then $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \tilde{\mu}_{n}^{*}<\varepsilon$. Consequently $\left\|W\left(u^{0}\right)-W\left(v^{0}\right)\right\|_{\star}<\varepsilon$. Therefore the normalization map $W$ is continuous at $u^{0}$.

Since $W(0, \cdot)=Q(0, \cdot) \circ W$, Theorem 5.21 and Proposition 4.3 imply
Proposition 5.22. The map $W(0, \cdot): \mathcal{R} \rightarrow S_{A}^{\star}$ is continuous.
Remark 5.23. Combining the estimates obtained in this section with the techniques used in Theorem 7.4 of [3] one can impose stricter conditions on the $\rho_{n}$ than given in Definition 5.2 and also show that the normalization map is Lipschitz continuous near the origin of $V$. We leave the subject of this and finer properties of the normalization map for our future research.
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## Appendix A

First, we recall some inequalities involving the nonlinear terms in the Navier-Stokes equations (see e.g. [3] for their proofs). There is an absolute constant $C_{1}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|P_{n} B(u, v)\right| \leqslant C_{1} n^{1 / 4}\|u\|\|v\|, \quad u, v \in V_{\mathbb{C}},  \tag{A.1}\\
& \left\|P_{n} B(u, v)\right\| \leqslant C_{1} n^{3 / 4}\|u\|\|v\|, \quad u, v \in V_{\mathbb{C}},  \tag{A.2}\\
& |B(u, v)| \leqslant C_{1}\|u\|^{1 / 2}|A u|^{1 / 2}\|v\|, \quad u \in\left(\mathcal{D}_{A}\right)_{\mathbb{C}}, v \in V,  \tag{A.3}\\
& \|B(u, v)\| \leqslant C_{1}\|u\|^{1 / 2}|A u|^{1 / 2}|A v|, \quad u, v \in\left(\mathcal{D}_{A}\right)_{\mathbb{C}} . \tag{A.4}
\end{align*}
$$

The following region in the complex plane is used in [2,3] to describe the domains of analyticity of the solutions of the complexified extended Navier-Stokes equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
E=\left\{t+s e^{i \theta}: \cos \theta>1 /\left(3 e^{t}\right), t>0, s \geqslant 0,|\theta|<\pi / 2\right\} . \tag{A.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The next two lemmas are some Phragmen-Linderlöf type estimates obtained in [3]. The first is Corollary B. 3 of [3].
Lemma A.1. Suppose $u(\zeta)$ is analytic in $E$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
|u(\zeta)| \leqslant M, \quad \zeta \in E, \quad \text { and } \quad|u(t)| \leqslant C e^{-n t}, \quad t>0, \tag{A.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some positive numbers $M, C$ and $n$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
|u(t)| \leqslant M e^{-n t}\left|1+\frac{t}{2}\right|^{2 n}, \quad t>0 . \tag{A.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining Corollary B. 6 and Lemma 5.1 of [3] we have

Lemma A.2. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Suppose $q(\zeta), \zeta \in \mathbb{C}$, is a polynomial of degree less than or equal to $(n-1)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|e^{-n \zeta} q(\zeta)\right| \leqslant M e^{-\operatorname{Re} \zeta}, \quad \zeta \in E \tag{A.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $M$ is a positive number. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
|q(\zeta)| \leqslant M n C_{2}^{n-1}\left(|\zeta|+a_{0}\right)^{n-1}, \quad \zeta \in \mathbb{C} \tag{A.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{2}$ and $a_{0}$ are fixed positive constants.
Estimates on $a_{0}$ and $C_{2}$ given in Lemma 5.1 and Lemma B. 4 of [3] indicate that

$$
a_{0}=\tau+\frac{1}{2} g(\tau)^{2} \quad \text { and } \quad C_{2} \geqslant \frac{\left(4+2 \tau+g(\tau)^{2}+g(\tau) \sqrt{4+g(\tau)^{2}}\right)^{2}}{8 g(\tau) \sqrt{4+g(\tau)^{2}}},
$$

where $g(\tau)=\sqrt{3} e^{\tau / 2}$ and $\tau>2+2 \sqrt{2}$. Thus, we may take $a_{0}=192$ and $C_{2}=196$.
Lemma 4.2 of [3] is used repeatedly in this paper. We recall this result with a simplified proof which can be easily adapted for the subsequent lemmas.

Lemma A.3. (See [3].) Let $a_{n} \geqslant 0, n \in \mathbb{N}$, and let $\left(k_{n}\right)_{n=2}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of positive numbers satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} k_{n}^{1 / n}=0 \tag{A.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $d_{1}=a_{1}$ and $d_{n}=a_{n}+k_{n} \sum_{k+j=n} d_{k} d_{j}, n>1$. If $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n}$ is finite, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d_{n} \leqslant \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n}+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} k_{n}(n-1) M_{0}^{n}<\infty \tag{A.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $M_{0}=\max \left\{1,2 \kappa_{n}(n-1): n \geqslant 2\right\} \max \left\{1,2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n}\right\}$.
Proof. We claim that

$$
\begin{align*}
& d_{n} \leqslant M^{n} / K, \quad n \in \mathbb{N},  \tag{A.12}\\
& \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d_{n} \leqslant \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n}+\frac{1}{K^{2}} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} k_{n}(n-1) M^{n}<\infty, \tag{A.13}
\end{align*}
$$

where $K$ and $M$ are positive numbers satisfying

$$
K \geqslant 2 \max \left\{(n-1) k_{n}: n \geqslant 2\right\}, \quad M \geqslant \max \left\{\left(2 K a_{n}\right)^{1 / n}: n \geqslant 1\right\} .
$$

We prove (A.12) by induction. Clearly, the inequality holds when $n=1$. Let $n>1$ and assume that (A.12) holds for $k=1,2, \ldots, n-1$. Then

$$
d_{n} \leqslant a_{n}+k_{n} \sum_{k+j=n} \frac{M^{k}}{K} \frac{M^{j}}{K}=\frac{M^{n}}{K}\left\{\frac{K a_{n}}{M^{n}}+\frac{k_{n}(n-1)}{K}\right\} \leqslant \frac{M^{n}}{K} .
$$

Therefore (A.12) holds for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then (A.13) follows immediately. To prove (A.11), take $K=\max \left\{1,2 \kappa_{n}(n-1)\right.$ : $n \geqslant 2\}$ and $M=K \max \left\{1,2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n}\right\}$. Note that $K \geqslant 1$ and $M \geqslant 1$, hence $M^{n} \geqslant M \geqslant 2 K a_{n}$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus (A.11) follows from (A.13) and (A.10).

The following lemmas generalize Lemma A. 3 to other numeric series.
Lemma A.4. Let $\left(a_{n}\right)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ and $\left(k_{n}\right)_{n=2}^{\infty}$ be two sequences of positive numbers. Let $d_{1}=a_{1}$ and $d_{n}=a_{n}+k_{n}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} d_{k}\right)^{2}$, for $n>1$. Suppose

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} k_{n}^{1 / 2^{n}}=0 \tag{A.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n}$ is finite, so is $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d_{n}$. More precisely,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d_{n} \leqslant \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n}+\alpha^{2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} k_{n} M^{2\left(2^{n}-1\right)}<\infty \tag{A.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha=\sup \left\{a_{n}: n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ and $M=3 \sup \left\{1, \alpha, k_{n} \alpha: n>1\right\}$.
Proof. Let $S_{n}=\sum_{k=1}^{n} d_{k}$. Note that $S_{1}=a_{1}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{n}=a_{n}+S_{n-1}+k_{n} S_{n-1}^{2}, \quad n>1 \tag{A.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

We prove by induction that

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{n} \leqslant \alpha M^{2^{n}-1}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N} \tag{A.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

One can see that (A.17) holds when $n=1$. Let $N>1$ and assume (A.17) holds for all $n<N$. Using the induction hypothesis and the fact that $2^{N-1} \leqslant 2^{N}-1, \alpha_{N} \leqslant \alpha, M \geqslant 3$ and $k_{N} \alpha / M \leqslant 1 / 3$, we then have

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{N} & \leqslant a_{N}+\alpha M^{2^{N-1}-1}+k_{N} \alpha^{2} M^{2^{N}-2} \\
& =\alpha M^{2^{N}-1}\left(\frac{a_{N}}{\alpha M^{2^{N}-1}}+\frac{1}{M}+\frac{k_{N} \alpha}{M}\right) \\
& \leqslant \alpha M^{2^{N}-1}\left(\frac{1}{3}+\frac{1}{3}+\frac{1}{3}\right)=\alpha M^{2^{N}-1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus (A.17) is true. Using (A.17), we obtain

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d_{n} \leqslant \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n}+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} k_{n} \alpha^{2} M^{2^{n+1}-2}
$$

The last sum is finite due to (A.14), hence (A.15) follows.
For the numeric sequences appearing in the study of the range of the normalization map, we have
Lemma A.5. Let $X, Y>0,\left(a_{n}\right)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ and $\left(k_{n}\right)_{n=2}^{\infty}$ be two sequences of positive numbers. Let $d_{1}=a_{1}$ and

$$
d_{n}=a_{n}+k_{n} Y^{n}\left\{X^{2}+\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} d_{k}\right)^{2}\right\}
$$

for $n>1$. Suppose $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} k_{n}^{1 / 2^{n}}=0$. If $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n}$ is finite, so is $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d_{n}$.
Proof. Assume $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n}<\infty$. Take $a_{1}^{\prime}=a_{1}$ and $a_{n}^{\prime}=a_{n}+k_{n} Y^{n} X^{2}, n>1$. Also take $k_{n}^{\prime}=k_{n} Y^{n}, n>1$. Note that we still have $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n}^{\prime}$ is finite and $\left(k_{n}^{\prime}\right)^{1 / 2^{n}} \rightarrow 0$. By the preceding lemma, $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d_{n}<\infty$.

The following are similar results to Lemmas A. 4 and A. 5 applied to different types of sequences arising from the study the continuity of the normalization map.

Lemma A.6. Let $\left(a_{n}\right)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ and $\left(k_{n}\right)_{n=2}^{\infty}$ be two sequences of positive numbers. Let $d_{1}=a_{1}$ and $d_{n}=a_{n}+k_{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} d_{k}$ for $n>1$. Suppose $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} k_{n}^{1 / n}=0$. If $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n}$ is finite, so is $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d_{n}$. More precisely,

$$
\begin{align*}
& d_{n} \leqslant M^{n}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N},  \tag{A.18}\\
& \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d_{n} \leqslant \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n}+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} k_{n}(n-1) M^{n-1}<\infty, \tag{A.19}
\end{align*}
$$

where $M \geqslant \max \left\{1,2 k_{n}(n-1): n>1\right\}$ and $M \geqslant \max \left\{\left(2 a_{n}\right)^{1 / n}: n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$.

Proof. We see that (A.18) holds for $n=1$. Let $N>1$ and assume (A.18) holds for $n \leqslant N-1$. We have

$$
d_{N} \leqslant a_{N}+k_{n}(n-1) M^{n-1} \leqslant \frac{M^{n}}{2}+\frac{k_{n}(n-1)}{M} M^{N} \leqslant M^{N} .
$$

Hence (A.18) is true and (A.19) follows obviously.
Lemma A.7. Let $X>0,\left(a_{n}\right)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ and $\left(k_{n}\right)_{n=2}^{\infty}$ be two sequences of positive numbers. Let $d_{1}=a_{1}$ and

$$
d_{n}=a_{n}+k_{n}\left(X+\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} d_{k}\right)
$$

for $n>1$. Suppose $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} k_{n}^{1 / n}=0$. If $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n}$ is finite, so is $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d_{n}$.
Proof. Let $a_{1}^{\prime}=a_{1}$ and $a_{n}^{\prime}=a_{n}+k_{n} X, n>1$. We have $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n}^{\prime}<\infty$. Then apply Lemma A. 6 .
Finally, for the sake of the completeness of this paper, we recall with a proof some commonly known facts about the regular solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations.

Lemma A.8. Given $u^{0} \in \mathcal{R}$. Let $v^{0} \in \mathcal{R}$ such that $\left\|u^{0}-v^{0}\right\|<1$. Let $w(t)=S(t) u^{0}-S(t) v^{0}$. Then there are positive numbers $N_{1}=N_{1}\left(u^{0}\right), N_{2}=N_{2}\left(u^{0}\right)$ and $N_{3}=N_{3}\left(u^{0}\right)$ independent of $v^{0}$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& |w(t)| \leqslant N_{1}|w(0)| e^{-t / 2}, \quad t>0  \tag{A.20}\\
& \int_{0}^{\infty}\|w(\tau)\|^{2} d \tau \leqslant N_{2}|w(0)|^{2}  \tag{A.21}\\
& \left|R_{1} w(t)\right| \leqslant e^{-t}\left(\left|R_{1} w(0)\right|+N_{3}|w(0)|^{1 / 2}\right), \quad t>0 . \tag{A.22}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. The positive constant $C$ in this proof is generic and is independent of $u^{0}$ and $v^{0}$. First we know that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\infty}|A u(\tau)|^{2} d \tau \leqslant C\left\|u^{0}\right\|^{2}\left(1+\max _{t \geqslant 0}\|u(t)\|^{4}\right)=N_{0}\left(u^{0}\right)<\infty \tag{A.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

The equation for $w$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d w}{d t}+A w+B(w, u)+B(v, w)=0 \tag{A.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (A.24) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t}|w|^{2}+2\|w\|^{2} \leqslant C|w|^{3 / 2}\|w\|^{1 / 2}|A u| \leqslant C\|w\||w||A u| . \tag{A.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t}|w|^{2}+\|w\|^{2} \leqslant C|w|^{2}|A u|^{2} \tag{A.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Poincare's inequality and then Gronwall's inequality

$$
|w(t)|^{2} \leqslant|w(0)|^{2} e^{-t+C \int_{0}^{t}|A u(\tau)|^{2} d \tau} \leqslant|w(0)|^{2} e^{-t} e^{C N_{0}}=N_{1}^{2}|w(0)|^{2} e^{-t} .
$$

Thus, we obtain inequality (A.20).
Integrating (A.26) and using estimate (A.20), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{t}\|w(\tau)\|^{2} d \tau & \leqslant|w(0)|^{2}+C \int_{0}^{t} N_{1}^{2}|w(0)|^{2}|A u(\tau)|^{2} d \tau \\
& \leqslant|w(0)|^{2}\left(1+C N_{1}^{2} N_{0}\right)=N_{2}|w(0)|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Letting $t \rightarrow \infty$ yields inequality (A.21).

From (A.24), we derive $\frac{d}{d t} R_{1} w+R_{1} w+R_{1} B(w, u)+R_{1} B(u, w)=0$, which yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t}\left|R_{1} w\right|^{2}+\left|R_{1} w\right|^{2} \leqslant\left|\left\langle B(w, u)+B(u, w), R_{1} w\right\rangle\right| . \tag{A.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $R_{1} H$ is finite dimensional, all the norms in $R_{1} H$ are equivalent. Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\left\langle B(u, w), R_{1} w\right\rangle+\left\langle B(w, u), R_{1} w\right\rangle\right| & \leqslant\left|\left\langle B\left(u, R_{1} w\right), w\right\rangle\right|+\mid\left\langle B\left(w, R_{1} w\right), u\right| \mid \\
& \leqslant C|w||u|\left\|\nabla\left(R_{1} w\right)\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \leqslant C|w||u|\left\|\nabla\left(R_{1} w\right)\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \\
& \leqslant C\left|R_{1} w\right||w||u| .
\end{aligned}
$$

By (5.12), $e^{t}|u(t)| \leqslant\left|u^{0}\right|$ and $e^{t}\left|R_{1} w(t)\right| \leqslant\left|u^{0}\right|+\left|v^{0}\right|$. We now have from (A.27)

$$
\begin{aligned}
e^{2 t}\left|R_{1} w(t)\right|^{2}-\left|R_{1} w(0)\right|^{2} & \leqslant C \int_{0}^{t} e^{2 \tau}\left|R_{1} w(\tau)\right||u(\tau)||w(\tau)| d \tau \\
& \leqslant C \int_{0}^{t}\left(\left|u^{0}\right|+\left|v^{0}\right|\right)\left|u^{0}\right|\left\{N_{1}\left|w^{0}\right| e^{-\tau / 2}\right\} d \tau \\
& \leqslant C N_{1}\left|u^{0}\right|\left(2\left|u^{0}\right|+1\right)\left|w^{0}\right|=N_{3}^{2}\left|w^{0}\right| .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $e^{2 t}\left|R_{1} w(t)\right|^{2} \leqslant\left|R_{1} w(0)\right|^{2}+N_{3}^{2}|w(0)|$, we obtain (A.22).
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