

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com



Ann. I. H. Poincaré - AN 23 (2006) 85-96



www.elsevier.com/locate/anihpc

# On global smooth solutions to the 3D Vlasov-Nordström system

# Sur les solutions régulières du système de Vlasov–Nordström tridimensionnel

Christophe Pallard

D.M.A., École Normale Supérieure, 45, rue d'Ulm, 75230 Paris cedex 05, France Received 8 June 2004; received in revised form 21 July 2004; accepted 2 February 2005 Available online 20 April 2005

### Abstract

The Vlasov–Nordström system is a relativistic model describing the motion of a self-gravitating collisionless gas. A conditional existence result for global smooth solutions was obtained in [Comm. Partial Differential Equations 28 (2003) 1863–1885]. We give a new proof for this result.

© 2005 Elsevier SAS. All rights reserved.

#### Résumé

Le système de Vlasov–Nordström est un modèle relativiste décrivant l'évolution d'un ensemble de particules massives soumises au champ gravitationnel qu'elles génèrent collectivement. Un théorème d'existence conditionnelle a été démontré dans [Comm. Partial Differential Equations 28 (2003) 1863–1885]. Nous donnons ici une nouvelle preuve de ce résultat. © 2005 Elsevier SAS. All rights reserved.

MSC: 85A05; 82C22

# 1. Introduction

## 1.1. The Vlasov–Nordström system

This is a relativistic kinetic model describing the behaviour of a collisionless set of particles interacting through gravitational forces. It may be thought of as a relativistic generalization of the Vlasov–Poisson system, the latter being obtained as its Newtonian limit [5]. Using the framework of Nordström's theory [11], whereby gravitational

E-mail address: pallard@dma.ens.fr.

<sup>0294-1449/\$ –</sup> see front matter  $\, @$  2005 Elsevier SAS. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.anihpc.2005.02.001

effects are mediated by a scalar field, the Vlasov–Nordström system is a much simpler model than the Vlasov– Einstein system. Nevertheless, as it couples Vlasov equation with a hyperbolic equation, it remains less well understood than the standard Vlasov–Poisson system. For more background and references, we refer to [4], where a thorough derivation of the Vlasov–Nordström system can be found. See also [1,6–8,14]. We shall consider the following formulation. The unknowns are functions  $f \equiv f(t, x, \xi) \ge 0$  and  $\phi \equiv \phi(t, x)$  with  $(t, x, \xi) \in \mathbf{R}_+ \times \mathbf{R}^3 \times \mathbf{R}^3$ , satisfying Vlasov equation

$$Tf = \nabla_{\xi} \cdot \left[ \left( (T\phi)\xi + \frac{\nabla_x \phi}{\sqrt{1 + |\xi|^2}} \right) f \right] + fT\phi,$$
(1.1)

T being the streaming operator  $T = \partial_t + v(\xi) \cdot \nabla_x$  and v the relativistic velocity of a particle of momentum  $\xi$ :

$$v(\xi) = \frac{\xi}{\sqrt{1+|\xi|^2}}$$

The scalar field  $\phi$  is supposed to solve the wave equation

$$\Box_{t,x}\phi = -\mu,\tag{1.2}$$

with

$$\mu = \int \frac{f \,\mathrm{d}\xi}{\sqrt{1 + |\xi|^2}}.\tag{1.3}$$

The Cauchy problem for the Vlasov–Nordström system (VN) consists in Eqs. (1.1), (1.2) and (1.3) together with initial data

$$f_{|t=0} = f_I, \quad \phi_{|t=0} = \phi_I, \quad \partial_t \phi_{|t=0} = \phi'_I. \tag{1.4}$$

In these equations, all physical constants have been set equal to unity. The interpretation of a solution  $(f, \phi)$  is the following: the space-time is a Lorentzian manifold with a conformally flat metric given in coordinates (t, x) by

$$g_{\mu\nu} = e^{2\phi} \operatorname{diag}(-1, 1, 1, 1)$$

and the particle density on the mass shell in this metric is  $e^{-4\phi} f(t, x, e^{\phi}\xi)$ .

This system should be compared to another kinetic model arising in plasma physics, the relativistic Vlasov– Maxwell system (RVM), which describes the behaviour of a collisionless set of charged particles interacting through a self-generated electromagnetic field. In particular, it is known since Glassey and Strauss [10]—and reproved in [3,13]—that smooth solutions to (RVM) do not develop singularities as long as the momentum of particles remains bounded. The corresponding result for (VN) was shown in [6,7] by similar means. Defining the size of the momentum support as

$$R(t) = \sup\{|\xi|: \exists x \in \mathbf{R}^3 \ f(t, x, \xi) \neq 0\},\tag{1.5}$$

we have the following theorem, established in [6,7].

**Theorem 1.1.** Let  $\tau > 0$ . Let  $f \in C^1([0, \tau) \times \mathbf{R}^3 \times \mathbf{R}^3)$  and  $\phi \in C^2([0, \tau) \times \mathbf{R}^3)$  be a solution of (VN) with initial data  $f_I \in C^1_c(\mathbf{R}^3 \times \mathbf{R}^3)$ ,  $\phi_I \in C^3_c(\mathbf{R}^3)$  and  $\phi'_I \in C^2_c(\mathbf{R}^3)$ . Then for any  $t \in [0, \tau]$  we have

$$\sup_{s \in [0,t)} R(s) < +\infty \implies \|f\|_{W^{1,\infty}([0,t) \times \mathbf{R}^6)} + \|\phi\|_{W^{2,\infty}([0,t) \times \mathbf{R}^3)} < +\infty.$$
(1.6)

A corollary of this result is that if a smooth solution blows up in finite time then R becomes infinite. For if it were not the case, the estimates (1.6) would allow to extend the solution as described in [6], p. 1881. The proof of theorem 1.1 in [6] relies essentially on the same procedures than those found in [10]. In this paper, we give a new proof by handling the fields and their derivatives using a method similar to [3], where an alternative derivation of the Glassey–Strauss' theorem is performed.

### 1.2. Kinetic formulation

The starting point in [3] is an adequate 'kinetic formulation' of the system, which was introduced in [2]. Let us show why this approach is relevant in the context of the Vlasov–Nordström system. Introduce a scalar potential  $u \equiv u(t, x, \xi)$  solving the wave equation

$$\Box_{t,x} u = f, \quad u_{|t=0} = 0, \quad \partial_t u_{|t=0} = 0.$$
(1.7)

Let  $\phi^0$  be the solution to

$$\Box_{t,x}\phi^0 = 0, \quad \phi^0_{|t=0} = \phi_I, \quad \partial_t \phi^0_{|t=0} = \phi'_I.$$
(1.8)

And define

$$\phi_u = \phi^0 - \int \frac{u \, \mathrm{d}\xi}{\sqrt{1 + |\xi|^2}},\tag{1.9}$$

$$K_u = (T\phi_u)\xi + \frac{\nabla_x \phi_u}{\sqrt{1 + |\xi|^2}}.$$
(1.10)

Then the Vlasov-Nordström system (VN) is equivalent to

$$\Box_{t,x} u = f, \tag{1.11}$$

$$Tf = \nabla_{\xi} \cdot (fK_u) + fT\phi_u, \tag{1.12}$$

with initial data

$$f_{|t=0} = f_0, \quad u_{|t=0} = 0, \quad \partial_t u_{|t=0} = 0.$$
 (1.13)

This representation of the scalar field  $\phi_u$  as a  $\xi$  average of u allows a treatment similar to [3]. That is, we derive suitable expressions of the derivatives of  $\phi_u$  by working on the fundamental solution of the wave operator. The benefits of this approach are a unified treatment for all derivatives as well as a natural explanation for a key point in both the present paper and [6], namely the vanishing average of some particular coefficients. We also mention that this method extends to the two-dimensional case studied in [14], see the remarks in [3] on this question. In the next section we recall the so-called division lemma, on which we shall rely heavily. Section 3 is devoted to establishing estimates on f,  $\phi_u$  and their derivatives leading to the proof of Theorem 1.1. We use standard notations. In inequalities, constants that depend on some parameters  $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_k$  are denoted by  $C(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_k)$  and may change from line to line.

### 2. A division lemma

Let  $Y \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbf{R}^4)$  be the forward fundamental solution of the wave operator:

$$Y(t,x) = \frac{\mathbf{1}_{t>0}}{4\pi t} \delta(|x| - t).$$
(2.1)

Notice that the distribution Y is homogeneous of degree -2 in  $\mathbb{R}^4$ . Let  $\mathcal{M}_m$  be the space of  $C^{\infty}$  homogeneous functions of degree m on  $\mathbb{R}^4 \setminus 0$ . Below, we use the notation

 $x_0 := t$ , and  $\partial_j := \partial_{x_j}, \quad j = 0, ..., 3.$  (2.2)

The following lemma can be found almost verbatim in [3].

**Lemma 2.1** (Division lemma). For each  $\xi \in \mathbf{R}^3$ ,

- there exists functions  $a_i^k \equiv a_i^k(t, x)$  where i = 0, ..., 3 and k = 0, 1, such that  $a_i^k \in \mathcal{M}_{-k}$  and  $\partial_i Y = T(a_i^0 Y) + a_i^1 Y, \quad i = 0, ..., 3;$
- there exists functions  $b_{ij}^k \equiv b_{ij}^k(t, x)$  with i, j = 0, ..., 3, k = 0, 1, 2, such that  $b_{ij}^k \in \mathcal{M}_{-k}$  and

$$\partial_{ij}^2 Y = T^2(b_{ij}^0 Y) + T(b_{ij}^1 Y) + b_{ij}^2 Y, \quad i, j = 0, \dots, 3;$$
(2.4)

(2.3)

• moreover, the functions  $b_{ij}^2$  satisfy the conditions

$$\int_{\mathbf{S}^2} b_{ij}^2(1, y) \, \mathrm{d}\sigma(y) = 0, \quad i, j = 0, \dots, 3,$$
(2.5)

where  $d\sigma(y)$  is the rotation invariant surface element on the unit sphere  $\mathbf{S}^2$  of  $\mathbf{R}^3$ . In both formulas (2.3) and (2.4),  $a_i^0 Y$ ,  $a_i^1 Y$ ,  $b_{ij}^0 Y$  and  $b_{ij}^1 Y$  designate, for each i, j = 0, ..., 3, the unique extensions as homogeneous distributions on  $\mathbf{R}^4$  of those same expressions—which are a priori only defined on  $\mathbf{R}^4 \setminus 0$ . Likewise,  $b_{ij}^2 Y$  designates, for i, j = 0, ..., 3 the unique extension as a homogeneous distribution of degree -4 on  $\mathbf{R}^4$  of that same expressions for which the relation (2.4) holds in the sense of distributions on  $\mathbf{R}^4$ .

# Remarks.

- 1. The proof of Lemma 2 is in [3]. It is based on the commutation properties of the wave operator with the Lorentz boosts.
- 2. We refer the reader to the reference for the expressions of coefficients  $a_i^k(t, x, \xi)$  and  $b_{ij}^k(t, x, \xi)$ . In the sequel, all we shall need are the following two properties:  $a_i^k, b_{ij}^k \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^4 \setminus 0 \times \mathbb{R}^3)$  and for any  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^3$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^3$  we have  $\partial_{\xi}^{\alpha} a_i^k(\cdot, \cdot, \xi) \in \mathcal{M}_{-k}$  and  $\partial_{\xi}^{\alpha} b_{ij}^k(\cdot, \cdot, \xi) \in \mathcal{M}_{-k}$ .
- 3. We recall here some facts about homogeneous distributions. Any homogeneous distribution of degree k > -3 on  $\mathbb{R}^4 \setminus 0$  has a unique extension on  $\mathbb{R}^4$  that is also homogeneous of degree k. A homogeneous distribution of degree -4 on  $\mathbb{R}^4 \setminus 0$  may not be extendable on  $\mathbb{R}^4$ . If such a homogeneous extension exists, then it is not unique: two extensions may differ by a multiple of  $\delta_{x=0}$ . For more details, see the appendix of [3] and references therein [9,12].

#### 3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

#### 3.1. Estimates on f

We begin by showing that the needed estimates on f and its first derivatives will follow from estimates on  $\phi_u$ . This is done by working on the transport equation satisfied by f. Following [6], we thus rewrite (1.12) as

$$T(e^{-4\phi_{u}}f) = -4e^{-4\phi_{u}}fT\phi_{u} + e^{-4\phi_{u}}Tf$$
  
=  $-4e^{-4\phi_{u}}fT\phi_{u} + e^{-4\phi_{u}}(\nabla_{\xi} \cdot (fK_{u}) + fT\phi_{u})$   
=  $-3e^{-4\phi_{u}}fT\phi_{u} + K_{u} \cdot \nabla_{\xi}(e^{-4\phi_{u}}f) + e^{-4\phi_{u}}f\nabla_{\xi} \cdot K_{u}$ 

The expression of  $K_u$  gives

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_{\xi} \cdot K_u &= \nabla_{\xi} \cdot \left( T \phi_u \xi + \frac{\nabla_x \phi_u}{\sqrt{1 + |\xi|^2}} \right) \\ &= (\xi \cdot \nabla_{\xi}) (\upsilon \cdot \nabla_x \phi_u) + 3T \phi_u + (\nabla_x \phi_u) \cdot \nabla_{\xi} \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + |\xi|^2}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

A short computation shows that

$$(\xi \cdot \nabla_{\xi})(v \cdot \nabla_x \phi_u) = \frac{v \cdot \nabla_x \phi_u}{1 + |\xi|^2},$$

and

$$(\nabla_x \phi_u) \cdot \nabla_{\xi} \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+|\xi|^2}} \right) = -\frac{v \cdot \nabla_x \phi_u}{1+|\xi|^2}.$$

So that we find

$$T(e^{-4\phi_u}f) - \left(T\phi_u\xi + \frac{\nabla_x\phi_u}{\sqrt{1+|\xi|^2}}\right) \cdot \nabla_\xi(e^{-4\phi_u}f) = 0.$$
(3.1)

The characteristic curves of this equation remain the same as those derived from (1.12). These are curves  $t \mapsto (X(t), \Xi(t))$  satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} X'(t) &= v\big(\Xi(t)\big), \\ \Xi'(t) &= -(T\phi_u)\big(t, X(t), \Xi(t)\big)\Xi(t) - \frac{(\nabla_x \phi_u)(t, X(t), \Xi(t))}{\sqrt{1 + |\Xi(t)|^2}} \end{aligned}$$

with initial data  $X(0) = x_0$  and  $\Xi(0) = \xi_0$ . We infer from (3.1) that  $e^{-4\phi_u} f$  is constant along these curves and we get equality (2.7) of [6]:

$$f(t, X(t), \Xi(t)) = f_I(x_0, \xi_0) \exp(4\phi_u(t, X(t)) - 4\phi_I(x_0)).$$
(3.2)

As was observed in [7], *u* solves the wave equation (1.7) with a right-hand side  $f \ge 0$  and vanishing initial data, so that  $u \ge 0$ . From (1.9), it comes  $\phi_u \le \phi^0$  and we recover proposition 1 of [7]:

$$\left\|f(t,\cdot,\cdot)\right\|_{L^{\infty}} \leqslant C(f_I,\phi_I,\phi_I',\tau).$$
(3.3)

A look at (3.2) shows that since  $f_I$  is compactly supported, the momentum support of  $f(t, \cdot, \cdot)$  remains bounded for any  $t < \tau$ . From now on, we assume

$$\sup_{t \in [0,\tau)} R(t) = r^* < +\infty.$$
(3.4)

Differentiating equality (1.12) in x or  $\xi$ , we find

$$T(Df) - \nabla_{\xi} \cdot \left( (Df)K_u \right) = [T, D]f + \nabla_{\xi} \cdot (fDK_u) + D(fT\phi_u),$$

where *D* denotes  $\partial_{x_i}$  or  $\partial_{\xi_i}$ . Therefore with (3.3),

$$\|f(t,\cdot,\cdot)\|_{W^{1,\infty}} \leq C(f_{I},\phi_{I},\phi_{I}',\tau,r^{*}) \left(1 + \int_{0}^{t} \|f(s,\cdot,\cdot)\|_{W^{1,\infty}} (1 + \|\phi_{u}(s,\cdot)\|_{W^{2,\infty}} + \|\partial_{t}\phi_{u}(s,\cdot)\|_{W^{1,\infty}}) \,\mathrm{d}s\right).$$
(3.5)

The next three subsections are devoted to estimating  $\phi_u$ , its first and second derivatives. Note that we aim at using inequality (3.5) with Gronwall's lemma. This requires bounds that do not grow too fast with respect to the quantity  $||f(t, \cdot, \cdot)||_{W^{1,\infty}}$ .

# 3.2. Bound on $\phi_u$

The easiest one. We have to estimate

$$\phi_u = \phi^0 - \int \frac{u \, \mathrm{d}\xi}{\sqrt{1 + |\xi|^2}}.$$
(3.6)

We recall the following elementary inequalities for the wave equation

$$\|\phi^{0}\|_{W^{k,\infty}([0,t]\times\mathbf{R}^{3})} \leqslant (1+t)\|\phi_{I}\|_{W^{k+1,\infty}} + t\|\phi_{I}'\|_{W^{k,\infty}}.$$
(3.7)

Thus the first term in (3.6) can be estimated by

$$\|\phi^0(t,\cdot)\|_{L^{\infty}} \leq (1+t)\|\phi_I\|_{W^{1,\infty}} + t\|\phi_I'\|_{L^{\infty}}.$$

Let  $\chi \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbf{R}^3)$  be a cut-off function such that  $\chi(\xi) = 1$  when  $|\xi| \leq r^*$  and vanishing when  $|\xi| > 2r^*$ . Define

$$m(\xi) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+|\xi|^2}}\chi(\xi).$$

From relation (1.7), we know that the momentum support of u and f are equal. Therefore the second term in (3.6) satisfy

$$\int \frac{u(t,x,\xi)\,\mathrm{d}\xi}{\sqrt{1+|\xi|^2}} = \int m(\xi)u(t,x,\xi)\,\mathrm{d}\xi.$$

The function u solves the wave equation (1.7), so that<sup>1</sup>

$$u = Y \star (f \mathbf{1}_{t>0}). \tag{3.8}$$

And since  $Y(t, \cdot)$  is a positive measure of total mass t, it comes

$$\left\|\int m(\xi)u(t,\cdot,\xi)\,\mathrm{d}\xi\right\|_{L^{\infty}} \leqslant \frac{4}{3}\pi r^{*3}\int_{0}^{t}(t-s)\left\|f(s,\cdot,\cdot)\right\|_{L^{\infty}}\,\mathrm{d}s$$

With (3.3), we find

$$\left\|\phi_{u}(t,\cdot)\right\|_{L^{\infty}} \leqslant C(f_{I},\phi_{I},\phi_{I}',\tau,r^{*}).$$

$$(3.9)$$

3.3. Bounds on first derivatives of  $\phi_u$ 

We intend here to estimate

$$I(t) = \sup_{i=0,\dots,3} \left\| \partial_i \phi_u(t,\cdot) \right\|_{L^{\infty}}.$$

Derivating (3.6), we find

$$\partial_i \phi_u(t, x) = \partial_i \phi^0(t, x) - \partial_i \int m(\xi) u(t, x, \xi) \,\mathrm{d}\xi,$$

for i = 0, ..., 3. The first term is estimated with (3.7). It comes

$$\left\|\partial_i\phi^0(t,\cdot)\right\|_{L^{\infty}} \leqslant C(\phi_I,\phi_I',t).$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> In the sequel,  $\star$  denotes convolution in the space and time variables, while  $\star_x$  denotes convolution in the space variable only.

Consider now the second term. In view of the remark following (3.5), straightforward estimates on  $\partial_i u = Y \star \partial_i (f \mathbf{1}_{t>0})$  would not lead to interesting bounds. Instead, we use (3.8) with Lemma 2.1 to get

$$\partial_i u = (a_i^1 Y) \star (f \mathbf{1}_{t>0}) + (a_i^0 Y) \star T(f \mathbf{1}_{t>0}).$$
(3.10)

Besides, we infer from equation (1.12)

$$T(f\mathbf{1}_{t>0}) = (Tf)\mathbf{1}_{t>0} + f_I\delta_{t=0} = \nabla_{\xi} \cdot (fK_u)\mathbf{1}_{t>0} + f(T\phi_u)\mathbf{1}_{t>0} + f_I\delta_{t=0}$$

It only remains to get rid of derivatives in the  $\xi$  variable by integrating by parts, leading eventually to the expression:

$$\partial_i \int m(\xi) u(t, x, \xi) \, \mathrm{d}\xi = \int m(\xi) \big( (a_i^1 Y) \star (f \mathbf{1}_{t>0}) \big) (t, x, \xi) \, \mathrm{d}\xi \\ + \int m(\xi) \big( \big( a_i^0 Y(t, \cdot) \big) \star_x f_I \big) (x, \xi) \, \mathrm{d}\xi \\ + \int \big( \big( -\nabla_{\xi} (m a_i^0) Y \big) \star (f \mathbf{1}_{t>0} K_u) \big) (t, x, \xi) \, \mathrm{d}\xi \\ + \int \big( (m a_i^0 Y) \star (f \mathbf{1}_{t>0} T \phi_u) \big) (t, x, \xi) \, \mathrm{d}\xi.$$

The interest of Lemma 2.1 is now obvious: we don't need to differentiate f in the previous decomposition. Repeatedly using the fact that  $Y(t, \cdot)$  is a positive measure of total mass t, we get

$$\begin{split} I(t) &\leq C(\phi_{I}, \phi_{I}', t) + \frac{4}{3}\pi r^{*3} \bigg( \|mta_{i}^{1}\|_{L^{\infty}} \int_{0}^{t} \|f(s, \cdot, \cdot)\|_{L^{\infty}} \,\mathrm{d}s + \|ma_{i}^{0}\|_{L^{\infty}} t \|f_{I}\|_{L^{\infty}} \\ &+ \|ma_{i}^{0}\|_{L^{\infty}_{t,x}(W^{1,\infty}_{\xi})} \int_{0}^{t} (t-s) \|fK_{u}(s, \cdot, \cdot)\|_{L^{\infty}} \,\mathrm{d}s + \|ma_{i}^{0}\|_{L^{\infty}} \int_{0}^{t} (t-s) \|fT\phi_{u}(s, \cdot, \cdot)\|_{L^{\infty}} \,\mathrm{d}s \bigg). \end{split}$$

It follows from expression (1.10) that

$$\|K_{u}(s,\cdot,\cdot)\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbf{R}^{3}\times B(0,r^{*}))} \leq C(r^{*})I(s).$$
(3.11)

With inequality (3.3) and expression (1.9), we find

$$I(t) \leq C(f_I, \phi_I, \phi'_I, \tau, r^*) \left( 1 + \int_0^t I(s) \, \mathrm{d}s \right).$$
(3.12)

Applying Gronwall's lemma to inequality (3.12), it comes

$$\sup_{t \in [0,\tau)} I(t) \leq C(f_I, \phi_I, \phi'_I, \tau, r^*).$$
(3.13)

# 3.4. Bounds on second derivatives of $\phi_u$

We define

$$J(t) = \sup_{i,j=0,\dots,3} \left\| \partial_{ij} \phi_u(t,\cdot) \right\|_{L^{\infty}}.$$

Differentiating (3.6) twice,

$$\partial_{ij}\phi_u(t,x) = \partial_{ij}\phi^0(t,x) + \partial_{ij}\int m(\xi)u(t,x,\xi)\,\mathrm{d}\xi,$$

for any i, j = 0, ..., 3. From (3.7), it comes

$$\left\|\partial_{ij}\phi^{0}(t,\cdot)\right\|_{L^{\infty}} \leqslant C(\phi_{I},\phi_{I}',t).$$
(3.14)

Using (3.8) and Lemma 2.1,

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{ij} \int m(\xi) u(t, x, \xi) \, \mathrm{d}\xi &= \int m(\xi) \big( (b_{ij}^2 Y) \star (f \mathbf{1}_{t>0}) \big) (t, x, \xi) \, \mathrm{d}\xi + \int m(\xi) \big( (b_{ij}^1 Y) \star T(f \mathbf{1}_{t>0}) \big) (t, x, \xi) \, \mathrm{d}\xi \\ &+ \int m(\xi) \big( (b_{ij}^0 Y) \star T^2(f \mathbf{1}_{t>0}) \big) (t, x, \xi) \, \mathrm{d}\xi = S_0 + S_1 + S_2. \end{aligned}$$

*Estimates for S*<sub>0</sub>. The key point here is the fact that the average of the coefficients  $b_{ij}^2$  vanishes, which allows us to obtain sharp estimates for *S*<sub>0</sub>. As will be seen below, the contribution of this term to J(t) is crucial. First, let us determine a homogeneous extension of  $b_{ij}^2 Y$  on  $\mathbf{R}^4$ . Let  $\phi \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbf{R}^4 \setminus 0)$  be a test function and consider

$$\langle b_{ij}^2 Y, \phi \rangle = \int_0^\infty \int_{|y|=1} b_{ij}^2 (1, y, \xi) \phi(t, ty) \frac{\mathrm{d}S_y}{4\pi t} \,\mathrm{d}t,$$

where we used the homogeneity of  $b_{ij}^2(\cdot, \cdot, \xi) \in \mathcal{M}_{-2}$  for any  $\xi$ . Since  $b_{ij}^2$  satisfy (2.5), the following equality holds for any  $\theta \ge 0$ :

$$\langle b_{ij}^2 Y, \phi \rangle = \int_{0}^{\theta} \int_{|y|=1} b_{ij}^2 (1, y, \xi) \big( \phi(t, ty) - \phi(t, 0) \big) \frac{\mathrm{d}S_y}{4\pi t} \,\mathrm{d}t + \int_{\theta}^{\infty} \int_{|y|=1} b_{ij}^2 (1, y, \xi) \phi(t, ty) \frac{\mathrm{d}S_y}{4\pi t} \,\mathrm{d}t.$$
(3.15)

But the right-hand side of (3.15) still makes sense for test functions on  $\mathbf{R}^4$ . Denote by p.v. $(b_{ij}^2 Y)$  the distribution defined by this expression.<sup>2</sup> This is a homogeneous distribution of degree -4 on  $\mathbf{R}^4$  that extends  $b_{ij}^2 Y$ . It follows from the third remark in Section 2 the relation

 $b_{ij}^2 Y - \text{p.v.}(b_{ij}^2 Y) = c(\xi)\delta_{(t,x)=(0,0)},$ 

where  $c_{ij} \in C^{\infty}(\mathbf{R}^3)$ ; indeed, the left-hand side of this equality is smooth as a function of  $\xi$  – see the second remark below the lemma. Thus, for  $\theta_t$  to be chosen later,

$$S_{0} - \int m(\xi)c_{ij}(\xi)f(t, x, \xi) d\xi = \int m(\xi) (p.v.(b_{ij}^{2}Y) \star (f\mathbf{1}_{t>0}))(t, x, \xi) d\xi$$
  
=  $\int m(\xi) \int_{0}^{\theta_{t}} \int_{|y|=1} b_{ij}^{2}(1, y, \xi) (f(t-s, x-sy, \xi) - f(t-s, x, \xi)) \frac{dS_{y}}{4\pi s} ds d\xi$   
+  $\int m(\xi) \int_{\theta_{t}}^{t} \int_{|y|=1} b_{ij}^{2}(1, y, \xi) f(t-s, x-sy, \xi) \frac{dS_{y}}{4\pi s} ds d\xi.$ 

For the first term in the right-hand side, we write

$$\left| \int_{0}^{\theta_{t}} \int_{|y|=1} b_{ij}^{2}(1, y, \xi) (f(t-s, x-sy, \xi) - f(t-s, x, \xi)) \frac{\mathrm{d}S_{y}}{4\pi s} \,\mathrm{d}s \right|$$
  
  $\leq \theta_{t} \left\| b_{ij}^{2}(1, \cdot, \xi) \right\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbf{S}^{2})} \| \nabla_{x} f \|_{L^{\infty}([0,t) \times \mathbf{R}^{6})}.$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> p.v. stands for principal value.

For the second term, we have

$$\left| \int_{\theta_t}^t \int_{|y|=1} b_{ij}^2(1, y, \xi) f(t-s, x-sy, \xi) \frac{\mathrm{d}S_y}{4\pi s} \, \mathrm{d}s \right| \leq \ln\left(\frac{t}{\theta_t}\right) \|b_{ij}^2(1, \cdot, \xi)\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbf{S}^2)} \|f\|_{L^{\infty}([0,t]\times\mathbf{R}^6)}$$

Thus if we choose

$$\theta_t = \inf\left(\frac{1}{\|\nabla_x f\|_{L^{\infty}([0,t]\times\mathbf{R}^6)}}, t\right)$$

we get

$$|S_{0}| \leq Cr^{*3} ||m||_{L^{\infty}} \Big[ ||c_{ij}||_{L^{\infty}(B(0,r^{*3}))} ||f||_{L^{\infty}([0,t]\times\mathbb{R}^{6})} + ||b_{ij}^{2}||_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{S}^{2}\times\mathbb{R}^{3})} \times \Big(1 + ||f||_{L^{\infty}([0,t]\times\mathbb{R}^{6})} \ln\Big(1 + t ||\nabla_{x}f||_{L^{\infty}([0,t]\times\mathbb{R}^{6})}\Big)\Big)\Big].$$

In view of (3.3), this gives

$$|S_0| \leq C(f_I, \phi_I, \phi_I', \tau, r^*) \left( 1 + \ln\left(1 + t \|\nabla_x f\|_{L^{\infty}([0,t] \times \mathbf{R}^6)} \right) \right).$$
(3.16)

*Estimates for*  $S_1$ . This term is very similar to the one arising from the second part of the right-hand side of (3.10). We find

$$S_{1} = \int m(\xi) \left( \left( b_{ij}^{1} Y(t, \cdot) \right) \star_{x} f_{I} \right)(x, \xi) \, \mathrm{d}\xi + \int \left( \left( -\nabla_{\xi} (mb_{ij}^{1})Y \right) \star (f\mathbf{1}_{t>0}K_{u}) \right)(t, x, \xi) \, \mathrm{d}\xi + \int \left( (mb_{ij}^{1}Y) \star (f\mathbf{1}_{t>0}T\phi_{u}) \right)(t, x, \xi) \, \mathrm{d}\xi.$$

The only difference with the estimates following (3.10) is the fact that  $b_{ij}^1 \in \mathcal{M}_{-1}$  whereas  $a_i^0 \in \mathcal{M}_0$ . Consequently,

$$|S_{1}| \leq \frac{4}{3}\pi r^{*3} \left( \|mtb_{ij}^{1}\|_{L^{\infty}} \|f_{I}\|_{L^{\infty}} + \|mtb_{ij}^{1}\|_{L^{\infty}_{t,x}(W^{1,\infty}_{\xi})} \int_{0}^{t} \|fK_{u}(s,\cdot,\cdot)\|_{L^{\infty}} ds + \|mtb_{ij}^{1}\|_{L^{\infty}} \int_{0}^{t} \|fT\phi_{u}(s,\cdot,\cdot)\|_{L^{\infty}} ds \right).$$

With (3.3), (3.11) and (3.13), we infer that  $S_1$  is bounded by a constant:

$$|S_1| \leqslant C(f_I, \phi_I, \phi_I', \tau, r^*). \tag{3.17}$$

*Estimates for S*<sub>2</sub>. This last term requires lengthy computations but the strategy remains the same as above: our goal is to avoid differentiating f by using Eq. (1.12). Let us start with

$$T^{2}(f\mathbf{1}_{t>0}) = T(\delta_{t=0}f_{I}) + T(\mathbf{1}_{t>0}(\nabla_{\xi} \cdot (fK_{u}) + fT\phi_{u}))$$
  
=  $\delta'_{t=0}f_{I} + \delta_{t=0}(v \cdot \nabla_{x}f_{I} + \nabla_{\xi} \cdot (f_{I}K_{u}^{I}) + f_{I}\phi'_{I} + f_{I}v \cdot \nabla_{x}\phi_{I})$   
+  $\mathbf{1}_{t>0}T(\nabla_{\xi} \cdot (fK_{u})) + \mathbf{1}_{t>0}T(fT\phi_{u}).$ 

Working on the last two terms, we find:

$$T\left(\nabla_{\xi} \cdot (fK_{u})\right) = \nabla_{\xi} \cdot \left(fTK_{u} + (\nabla_{\xi} \cdot (fK_{u}) + fT\phi_{u})K_{u}\right) + [T, \nabla_{\xi} \cdot](fK_{u})$$
$$= \nabla_{\xi} \cdot \left(fTK_{u} + f(T\phi_{u})K_{u}\right) + \nabla_{\xi}^{\otimes 2} : fK_{u}^{\otimes 2} - (\nabla_{\xi}v)^{T} : \nabla_{x}(fK_{u}).$$

Note that the last term, which arises from the commutator, will require further computations. Besides,

$$T(fT\phi_u) = (Tf)T\phi_u + fT^2\phi_u$$
  
=  $\nabla_{\xi} \cdot (fK_u)T\phi_u + f(T\phi_u)^2 + fT^2\phi_u$   
=  $\nabla_{\xi} \cdot (f(T\phi_u)K_u) - (fK_u) \cdot \nabla_{\xi}(T\phi_u) + f(T\phi_u)^2 + fT^2\phi_u$   
=  $\nabla_{\xi} \cdot (f(T\phi_u)K_u) - ((fK_u) \cdot \nabla_{\xi}v) \cdot \nabla_x\phi_u + f(T\phi_u)^2 + fT^2\phi_u.$ 

This leads to the following decomposition:

$$T^{2}(f\mathbf{1}_{t>0}) = \delta_{t=0}' f_{I} + \delta_{t=0} \left( v \cdot \nabla_{x} f_{I} + \nabla_{\xi} \cdot (f_{I} K_{u}^{I}) + f_{I} \phi_{I}' + f_{I} v \cdot \nabla_{x} \phi_{I} \right)$$
  
+  $\mathbf{1}_{t>0} \nabla_{\xi} \cdot \left( fT K_{u} + 2f(T\phi_{u}) K_{u} \right) + \mathbf{1}_{t>0} \nabla_{\xi}^{\otimes 2} : fK_{u}^{\otimes 2}$   
-  $(\nabla_{\xi} v)^{T} : \nabla_{x} (f\mathbf{1}_{t>0} K_{u}) - f\mathbf{1}_{t>0} (K_{u} \cdot \nabla_{\xi} v) \cdot \nabla_{x} \phi_{u} + f\mathbf{1}_{t>0} \left( T^{2} \phi_{u} + (T\phi_{u})^{2} \right).$ 

We are now ready to integrate in the  $\xi$  variable. The corresponding derivatives are removed by integrating by parts. Thus  $S_2$  can be written as a sum  $S'_{20} + S_{20} + S_{21} + S_{22} + S_{23} + S_{24} + S_{25}$  with

$$\begin{split} S'_{20} &= \int m(\xi)(b_{ij}^{0}Y) \star \left(\delta_{t=0}'f_{I}\right) d\xi, \\ S_{20} &= \int m(\xi)(b_{ij}^{0}Y) \star \left(\delta_{t=0}\left(v \cdot \nabla_{x}f_{I} + \nabla_{\xi} \cdot (f_{I}K_{u}^{I}) + f_{I}\phi_{I}' + f_{I}v \cdot \nabla_{x}\phi_{I}\right)\right) d\xi, \\ S_{21} &= \int \left(-\nabla_{\xi}(mb_{ij}^{0})Y\right) \star \left(f\mathbf{1}_{t>0}\left(TK_{u} + 2(T\phi_{u})K_{u}\right)\right)(t, x, \xi) d\xi, \\ S_{22} &= \int \left(\nabla_{\xi}^{\otimes 2}(mb_{ij}^{0}Y) \star (f\mathbf{1}_{t>0}K_{u}^{\otimes 2})\right)(t, x, \xi) d\xi, \\ S_{23} &= \int m(\xi)\left(\left(\nabla_{\xi}v \cdot \nabla_{x}(b_{ij}^{0}Y)\right) \star (f\mathbf{1}_{t>0}K_{u})\right)(t, x, \xi) d\xi, \\ S_{24} &= \int m(\xi)\left((b_{ij}^{0}Y) \star \left(f\mathbf{1}_{t>0}(K_{u} \cdot \nabla_{\xi}v) \cdot \nabla_{x}\phi_{u}\right)\right)(t, x, \xi) d\xi, \\ S_{25} &= \int m(\xi)\left((b_{ij}^{0}Y) \star \left(f\mathbf{1}_{t>0}(T^{2}\phi_{u} + (T\phi_{u})^{2}\right)\right)(t, x, \xi) d\xi. \end{split}$$

The first two terms only involve initial data. They are estimated by

$$\begin{aligned} |S'_{20} + S_{20}| &\leq \frac{4}{3}\pi r^{*3} \|mb^0_{ij}\|_{L^{\infty}_{x}(W^{1,\infty}_{t,\xi})} (1+t)^2 \|f_I\|_{W^{1,\infty}} \\ &\times (1 + \|K^I_u\|_{L^{\infty}(R^3 \times B(0,r^*))} + \|\phi_I\|_{W^{1,\infty}} + \|\phi'_I\|_{L^{\infty}}). \end{aligned}$$

The third, fourth, sixth and last terms are estimated in a familiar way:

$$\begin{aligned} |S_{21}| &\leq \frac{4}{3}\pi r^{*3} \|mb_{ij}^{0}\|_{L^{\infty}_{t,x}(W^{1,\infty}_{\xi})} \int_{0}^{t} (t-s) \|f(TK_{u}+2(T\phi_{u})K_{u})(s,\cdot,\cdot)\|_{L^{\infty}} \,\mathrm{d}s, \\ |S_{22}| &\leq \frac{4}{3}\pi r^{*3} \|mb_{ij}^{0}\|_{L^{\infty}_{t,x}(W^{2,\infty}_{\xi})} \int_{0}^{t} (t-s) \|fK_{u}^{\otimes 2}(s,\cdot,\cdot)\|_{L^{\infty}} \,\mathrm{d}s, \\ |S_{24}| &\leq \frac{4}{3}\pi r^{*3} \|mb_{ij}^{0}\|_{L^{\infty}} \int_{0}^{t} (t-s) \|f(K_{u}\cdot\nabla_{\xi}v)\cdot\nabla_{x}\phi_{u}(s,\cdot,\cdot)\|_{L^{\infty}} \,\mathrm{d}s, \end{aligned}$$

$$|S_{25}| \leq \frac{4}{3}\pi r^{*3} \|mb_{ij}^{0}\|_{L^{\infty}} \int_{0}^{t} (t-s) \|f(T^{2}\phi_{u}+(T\phi_{u})^{2})(s,\cdot,\cdot)\|_{L^{\infty}} ds.$$

Expression (1.10) shows that

$$\left\|TK_{u}(s,\cdot,\cdot)\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbf{R}^{3}\times B(0,r^{*})))} \leq C(r^{*})J(s).$$

Using estimates (3.3) and (3.13), it comes then

$$|S_{21} + S_{22} + S_{24} + S_{25}| \leq C(f_I, \phi_I, \phi_I', \tau, r^*) \left(1 + \int_0^t J(s) \, \mathrm{d}s\right).$$

As said above, the remaining term  $S_{23}$  requires an additional step. We brought the derivatives to the left side of the convolution in order to use Lemma 2.1 one more time. We have

$$\partial_k (b_{ij}^0 Y) = T(b_{ij}^0 a_k^0 Y) + (b_{ij}^0 a_k^1 - a_k^0 T(b_{ij}^0) + \partial_k b_{ij}^0) Y$$

which yields

$$\nabla_{\xi} v \cdot \nabla_x (b_{ij}^0 Y) = T(c_{ij}^0 Y) + c_{ij}^1 Y,$$

where we set

$$c_{ij}^0 = b_{ij}^0 \nabla_{\xi} v \cdot a^0,$$
  

$$c_{ij}^1 = b_{ij}^0 \nabla_{\xi} v \cdot a^1 - (\nabla_{\xi} v \cdot a^0) T b_{ij}^0 + \nabla_{\xi} v \cdot \nabla_x b_{ij}^0$$

Therefore  $S_{23}$  can be written as

$$S_{23} = \int m(\xi) \big( (c_{ij}^0 Y) \star T(f \mathbf{1}_{t>0} K_u) \big) (t, x, \xi) \, \mathrm{d}\xi + \int m(\xi) \big( (c_{ij}^1 Y) \star (f \mathbf{1}_{t>0} K_u) \big) (t, x, \xi) \, \mathrm{d}\xi.$$

Using another time the transport equation,

$$T(f\mathbf{1}_{t>0}K_{u}) = f_{I}K_{u}^{I}\delta_{t=0} + \mathbf{1}_{t>0}fTK_{u} + \mathbf{1}_{t>0}\nabla_{\xi} \cdot (fK^{\otimes 2}) - \mathbf{1}_{t>0}f(K_{u} \cdot \nabla_{\xi})K_{u} + f(T\phi_{u})K_{u},$$

it is now routine work to see that

$$|S_{23}| \leq C(f_I, \phi_I, \phi_I', \tau, r^*) \left(1 + \int_0^I J(s) \, \mathrm{d}s\right)$$

Using (3.13) and gathering the inequalities above, we infer that

$$|S_2| \leq C(f_I, \phi_I, \phi_I', \tau, r^*) \left( 1 + \int_0^I J(s) \, \mathrm{d}s \right).$$
(3.18)

Collecting estimates (3.14), (3.16), (3.17) and (3.18),

$$J(t) \leq C(f_I, \phi_I, \phi'_I, \tau, r^*) \left( 1 + \ln(1 + t \| \nabla_x f \|_{L^{\infty}([0, t] \times \mathbf{R}^6)}) + \int_0^t J(s) \, \mathrm{d}s \right)$$

for any  $0 < t < \tau$ . Applying Gronwall's lemma, we get for  $0 < t < \tau$ ,

$$J(t) \leq C(f_I, \phi_I, \phi_I', \tau, r^*) \ln(1 + t \|\nabla_x f\|_{L^{\infty}([0, t] \times \mathbf{R}^6)}).$$
(3.19)

Note that the behaviour of this bound is governed by the contribution from the most singular term, namely  $S_0$ .

#### 3.5. Proof of Theorem 1.1

With (3.9) and (3.13), (3.19) yields

$$\|\phi_{u}\|_{W^{2,\infty}([0,t]\times\mathbf{R}^{3})} \leq C(f_{I},\phi_{I},\phi_{I}',\tau,r^{*}) \big(1 + \ln\big(1 + \|f\|_{W^{1,\infty}([0,t]\times\mathbf{R}^{6})}\big)\big).$$
(3.20)

Using this in (3.5) gives

$$\|f(t,\cdot,\cdot)\|_{W^{1,\infty}} \leqslant C(f_I,\phi_I,\phi_I',\tau,r^*) \left(1 + \int_0^t \|f(s,\cdot,\cdot)\|_{W^{1,\infty}} (1 + \ln(1 + \|f\|_{W^{1,\infty}([0,s]\times\mathbf{R}^6)})) \,\mathrm{d}s\right).$$

The growth rate in this estimate is decisive and allows the use of a logarithmic Gronwall's lemma, showing that

 $\|f\|_{W^{1,\infty}([0,\tau)\times\mathbf{R}^6)} \leqslant C(f_I,\phi_I,\phi_I',\tau,r^*).$ 

We eventually infer from (3.20) the expected estimate

 $\|\phi_u\|_{W^{2,\infty}([0,\tau)\times\mathbf{R}^6)} \leqslant C(f_I,\phi_I,\phi_I',\tau,r^*).$ 

This ends the proof of Theorem 1.1.

#### References

- H. Andréasson, S. Calogero, G. Rein, Global classical solutions to the spherically symmetric Nordström–Vlasov system, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc., in press.
- [2] F. Bouchut, F. Golse, C. Pallard, Nonresonant smoothing for coupled wave + transport equations and the Vlasov-Maxwell system, Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana, in press.
- [3] F. Bouchut, F. Golse, C. Pallard, Classical solutions and the Glassey–Strauss theorem for the 3D Vlasov–Maxwell System, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. 170 (2003) 1–15.
- [4] S. Calogero, Spherically symmetric steady states of galactic dynamics in scalar gravity, Class. Quantum Grav. 20 (2003) 1729–1741.
- [5] S. Calogero, H. Lee, The non-relativistic limit of the Nordström-Vlasov system, Comm. Math. Sci. 2 (2004) 19-34.
- [6] S. Calogero, G. Rein, On classical solutions of the Nordström–Vlasov system, Comm. Partial Differential Equations 28 (2003) 1863–1885.
- [7] S. Calogero, G. Rein, Global weak solutions to the Nordström-Vlasov system, J. Differential Equations, in press.
- [8] S. Friedrich, Global small solutions of the Vlasov-Nordström system, math-ph/0407023.
- [9] I. Gelfand, G. Shilov, Generalized Functions. Vol. I, Academic Press, New York, 1964.
- [10] R. Glassey, W. Strauss, Singularity formation in a collisionless plasma could occur only at high velocities, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. 92 (1986) 59–90.
- [11] S. Hawking, G. Ellis, The Large Scale Structure of Space–Time, Cambridge Monographs Math. Phys., Cambridge University Press, 1973.
- [12] L. Hörmander, The Analysis of Linear Partial Differential Operators. I. Distribution Theory and Fourier Analysis, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1983.
- [13] S. Klainerman, G. Staffilani, A new approach to study the Vlasov-Maxwell system, Commun. Pure Appl. Anal. 1 (2002) 103-125.
- [14] H. Lee, Global existence of solutions to the Nordström–Vlasov system in two space dimensions, math-ph/0312014.