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ABSTRACT
We define generalizations of classical invariants of wild ramification for coverings on a variety of arbitrary dimension over a local field. For an $\ell$-adic sheaf, we define its Swan class as a 0 -cycle class supported on the wild ramification locus. We prove a formula of Riemann-Roch type for the Swan conductor of cohomology together with its relative version, assuming that the local field is of mixed characteristic.
We also prove the integrality of the Swan class for curves over a local field as a generalization of the Hasse-Arf theorem. We derive a proof of a conjecture of Serre on the Artin character for a group action with an isolated fixed point on a regular local ring, assuming the dimension is 2 .
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## Introduction

0.1. The goal of this paper. - Let K be a complete discrete valuation field and $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{K}}$ be the valuation ring. We assume that the residue field F is perfect of characteristic $p>0$. In this article, we generalize the classical ramification theory of extensions of K briefly recalled in 0.2 to the ramification theory for varieties over K as is described in 0.3-0.5 below. We also prove a conductor formula of Riemann-Roch type stated in 0.6.

We fix a prime number $\ell$ different from $p$. Let U be a separated smooth scheme of finite type over K and $\mathcal{F}$ be a smooth $\ell$-adic sheaf on U . The alternating sum $\mathrm{Sw}_{\mathrm{K}} \mathrm{H}_{c}^{*}\left(\mathrm{U}_{\overline{\mathrm{K}}}, \mathcal{F}\right)$ of the Swan conductor is defined as an invariant measuring the wild ramification of the $\ell$-adic representation $\mathrm{H}_{c}^{*}\left(\mathrm{U}_{\overline{\mathrm{K}}}, \mathcal{F}\right)$ of the absolute Galois group $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{K}}$ of K. We define an element $\mathrm{Sw}_{\mathrm{U}} \mathcal{F}$ (see 0.3-0.5 in the introduction and Definition 7.2.4 in the text) called the Swan class as a certain 0 -cycle class supported on the closed fiber of a compactification of U over $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{K}}$ and prove a conductor formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Sw}_{\mathrm{K}} \mathrm{H}_{c}^{*}\left(\mathrm{U}_{\overline{\mathrm{K}}}, \mathcal{F}\right)=\operatorname{rank} \mathcal{F} \cdot \operatorname{Sw}_{\mathrm{K}} \mathrm{H}_{c}^{*}\left(\mathrm{U}_{\overline{\mathrm{K}}}, \mathbf{Q}_{f}\right)+\operatorname{deg} \mathrm{Sw}_{\mathrm{U}} \mathcal{F}, \tag{0.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

assuming that K is of characteristic 0 in Corollary 7.5.3. We also prove a relative version (see (0.6) below) of the conductor formula in Theorem 7.5.1.

The formula (0.1) is an arithmetic analogue of the higher dimensional generalization of the Grothendieck-Ogg-Shafarevich established in [27]. The term $\mathrm{Sw}_{\mathrm{K}} \mathrm{H}_{c}^{*}\left(\mathrm{U}_{\overline{\mathrm{K}}}, \mathbf{Q}_{\ell}\right)$ has been computed in the case where U is further assumed proper over K by the conductor formula of Bloch, proved under some mild assumption in [26]. In this paper, we will focus on a mixed characteristic case. Another approach in a geometric equal characteristic case is studied in [42].
0.2. Invariants in classical ramification theory. - We first recall the classical ramification theory. For a finite separable extension L of K , we have the following invariants of ramification in (i)-(iii) below, which are integers $\geq 0$. In (ii) and (iii), we assume that $\mathrm{L} / \mathrm{K}$ is a Galois extension with Galois group G.
(i) The different $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{L} / \mathrm{K}}$ and the logarithmic different $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{L} / \mathrm{K}}^{\log }=\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{L} / \mathrm{K}}-e_{\mathrm{L} / \mathrm{K}}+1$, where $e_{\mathrm{L} / \mathrm{K}}$ is the ramification index of the extension $\mathrm{L} / \mathrm{K}$.
(ii) The Lefschetz number $i(\sigma)$ and the logarithmic Lefschetz number $j(\sigma)$ for $\sigma \in \mathrm{G} \backslash\{1\}$ defined as

$$
\begin{aligned}
i(\sigma) & =\min \left\{\operatorname{ord}_{\mathrm{L}}(\sigma(a)-a) \mid a \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{L}}\right\} \\
j(\sigma) & =\min \left\{\operatorname{ord}_{\mathrm{L}}(\sigma(a) / a-1) \mid a \in \mathrm{~L}^{\times}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

(iii) The $\operatorname{Artin}$ conductor $\operatorname{Art}(\rho)$ and the $\operatorname{Swan}$ conductor $\operatorname{Sw}(\rho)$ for a finite dimensional representation $\rho$ of G over a field of characteristic 0 . They are defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Art}(\rho)=\frac{1}{e_{\mathrm{L} / \mathrm{K}}} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathrm{G}-\{1\}} i(\sigma)(\operatorname{dim}(\rho)-\operatorname{Tr}(\rho(\sigma))), \\
& \operatorname{Sw}(\rho)=\frac{1}{e_{\mathrm{L} / \mathrm{K}}} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathrm{G}-\{1\}} j(\sigma)(\operatorname{dim}(\rho)-\operatorname{Tr}(\rho(\sigma)))
\end{aligned}
$$

The Hasse-Arf theorem asserts the highly non-trivial fact that these conductors are in fact integers.

These invariants are linked by several important formulas (see [39] for example). For example, in the case $\mathrm{L} / \mathrm{K}$ is Galois with Galois group G , we have

$$
\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{L} / \mathrm{K}}=\sum_{\sigma \in \mathrm{G} \backslash\{1\}} i(\sigma), \quad \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{L} / \mathrm{K}}^{\log }=\sum_{\sigma \in \mathrm{G} \backslash\{1\}} j(\sigma) .
$$

The invariants $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{L} / \mathrm{K}}^{\log }, j(\sigma)$ and $\operatorname{Sw}(\rho)$ are the parts of $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{L} / \mathrm{K}}, i(\sigma)$ and $\operatorname{Art}(\rho)$, respectively, which handles the wild ramification. We will focus on the wild ramification and introduce generalizations of $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{L} / \mathrm{K}}^{\log }, j(\sigma)$, and $\operatorname{Sw}(\rho)$.
0.3. Generalization. - In our generalization of ramification theory in [27] (resp. in this paper), in place of $\mathrm{L} / \mathrm{K}$ in 0.2 , we consider a finite étale morphism

$$
f: \mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}
$$

of non-singular algebraic varieties over a perfect field $k$ of characteristic $p$ (resp. over K) and study the ramification of $f$ along the boundary of compactifications of V and U over $k$ (resp. $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{K}}$ ). We call the case over $k$ the geometric case (geo) and the case over K the arithmetic case (ari). For simplicity, in this introduction, we assume char $\mathrm{K}=0$ in the case (ari). Although the main theme of this paper is the arithmetic case, we describe also the geometric case in 0.3 and 0.4 to compare.

In the case (geo) (resp. (ari)), for a proper scheme Y over $k\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{K}}\right)$ which contains V as a dense open subscheme, we define in Definition 2.4.1 the wild ramification locus $\Sigma_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathrm{Y}$ of $f: \mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}$ on Y as a closed subset of Y . The wild ramification locus satisfies the following properties:

1. $\mathrm{V} \cap \Sigma_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathrm{Y}=\emptyset$.
2. If $\mathrm{Y}^{\prime}$ is a proper scheme over $k$ (resp. $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{K}}$ ) containing V as a dense open subscheme and if $\mathrm{Y}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}$ is a morphism inducing the identity on V , then $\Sigma_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathrm{Y}$ coincides with the image of $\Sigma_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathrm{Y}^{\prime}$.
3. In the case (ari) (recall that we assume char $\mathrm{K}=0$ ), $\Sigma_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathrm{Y}$ is contained in the special fiber $\mathrm{Y} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{K}}} \mathrm{F}$ of Y .

For a proper scheme X over $k$ (resp. $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{K}}$ ) which contains U as a dense open subscheme, we define the wild ramification locus $\Sigma_{\overline{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}}} \mathrm{X}$ of $f$ on X , which is a closed subset of X , to be the image $\bar{f}\left(\Sigma_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathrm{Y}\right)$ for a morphism $\bar{f}: \mathrm{Y} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}$ of compactifications extending $f: \mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}$. This also satisfies analogous properties corresponding to the above 1, 2, 3 .

For a commutative ring R, let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\partial_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathrm{~V}\right)_{\mathrm{R}}:=\lim _{\overleftarrow{\mathrm{Y}}}\left(\mathrm{~F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\Sigma_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathrm{Y}\right) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathrm{R}\right), \\
& \mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\partial_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathrm{U}\right)_{\mathrm{R}}:={\underset{\overleftarrow{\mathrm{x}}}{\overleftarrow{\mathrm{X}}}}^{\left.\lim _{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\Sigma_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathrm{X}\right) \otimes_{\mathbf{z}} \mathrm{R}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

where Y runs through proper integral schemes over $k$ (resp. $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{K}}$ ) containing V as a dense open subscheme and X runs through proper integral schemes over $k\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{K}}\right)$ containing U as a dense open subscheme. Here $\mathrm{G}(-)$ denotes the Grothendieck group of coherent sheaves, and $\mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}(-)$ denotes the part generated by the classes of coherent sheaves with finite supports.

Let $\mathrm{Z}(\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U})$ denote the free abelian group on the set of connected components of the complement $\mathrm{V} \times_{\mathrm{U}} \mathrm{V} \backslash \Delta_{\mathrm{V}}$ of the diagonal $\Delta_{\mathrm{V}} \subset \mathrm{V} \times_{\mathrm{U}} \mathrm{V}$. Note that since $f: \mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}$ is étale, $\Delta_{\mathrm{V}}$ is open and closed in $\mathrm{V} \times_{\mathrm{U}} \mathrm{V}$. The definition of generalizations of invariants of wild ramification is based on a homomorphism

## (0.2)

$$
\mathrm{Z}(\mathrm{~V} / \mathrm{U}) \rightarrow \mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\partial_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathrm{~V}\right)_{\mathbf{Q}}
$$

whose definition will be sketched in 0.4 below. The homomorphism ( 0.2 ) is called the localized intersection product with logarithmic diagonal and denoted by $\left(-, \Delta_{\mathrm{V}}\right)^{\log }$ (resp. $\left(\left(-, \Delta_{\mathrm{V}}\right)\right)^{\log }$ ) in the case (geo) (reps. (ari)). Though $\mathrm{V} \times_{\mathrm{U}} \mathrm{V} \backslash \Delta_{\mathrm{V}}$ does not intersect with the diagonal, the localized intersection with the log diagonal appears on the boundary of V in a compactification Y.
(i) We define

$$
\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}}^{\log } \in \mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\partial_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathrm{~V}\right)_{\mathbf{Q}}
$$

by

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}}^{\log }=\left(\left[\mathrm{V} \times_{\mathrm{U}} \mathrm{~V} \backslash \Delta_{\mathrm{V}}\right], \Delta_{\mathrm{V}}\right)^{\log } & \text { in the case (geo) }, \\
\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}}^{\log }=\left(\left(\left[\mathrm{V} \times_{\mathrm{U}} \mathrm{~V} \backslash \Delta_{\mathrm{V}}\right], \Delta_{\mathrm{V}}\right)\right)^{\log } & \text { in the case (ari). }
\end{array}
$$

(ii) In the case $\mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}$ is a Galois covering with Galois group G , then for $\sigma \in \mathrm{G} \backslash\{1\}$, we define

$$
j(\sigma) \in \mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\partial_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathrm{~V}\right)_{\mathbf{Q}}
$$

by

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
j(\sigma)=\left(\left[\Gamma_{\sigma}\right], \Delta_{\mathrm{V}}\right)^{\log } & \text { in the case (geo) }, \\
j(\sigma)=\left(\left(\left[\Gamma_{\sigma}\right], \Delta_{\mathrm{V}}\right)\right)^{\log } & \text { in the case (ari) },
\end{array}
$$

where $\Gamma_{\sigma}$ is the graph of $\sigma$.
(iii) For a finite dimensional representation $\rho$ of G over a field of characteristic 0 , we define the Swan class

$$
\operatorname{Sw}(\rho)=\frac{1}{\sharp(\mathrm{G})} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathrm{G}-\{1\}} f_{*}(j(\sigma))(\operatorname{dim}(\rho)-\operatorname{Tr}(\rho(\sigma))) \in \mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\partial_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathrm{U}\right)_{\mathbf{Q}\left(\zeta_{\rho} \rho\right)}
$$

where $f_{*}$ is the push forward $\mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\partial_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathrm{V}\right)_{\mathbf{Q}} \rightarrow \mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\partial_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathrm{U}\right)_{\mathbf{Q}}$ and $\mathbf{Q}\left(\zeta_{p^{\infty}}\right)=$ $\bigcup_{n} \mathbf{Q}\left(\zeta_{p^{n}}\right)$ with $\zeta_{p^{n}}$ a primitive $p^{n}$-th root of unity.

In (ii), we have $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}}^{\log }=\sum_{\sigma \in \mathrm{G} \backslash\{1\}} j(\sigma)$ simply because $\mathrm{V} \times_{\mathrm{U}} \mathrm{V} \backslash \Delta_{\mathrm{V}}=\coprod_{\sigma \in \mathrm{G} \backslash\{1\}} \Gamma_{\sigma}$. We expect that we can remove $\otimes \mathbf{Q}$ and $\otimes \mathbf{Q}\left(\zeta_{p}\right)$ in the definitions of the above invariants in (i)-(iii).

To formulate a conductor formula given below, we define $\operatorname{Sw}(\rho)$ also for a finite dimensional representation $\rho$ of G over a field of characteristic $\ell$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Sw}(\rho)=\frac{1}{\sharp(\mathrm{G})} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathrm{G}-\{1\}} f_{*}(j(\sigma))\left(\operatorname{dim}(\rho)-\operatorname{Tr}^{\mathrm{Br}}(\rho(\sigma))\right) \\
& \quad \in \mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\partial_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathrm{U}\right) \mathbf{Q}\left(\zeta_{\rho} \infty\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

using the Brauer trace. The definition makes sense because we have $j(\sigma)=0$ unless the order of $\sigma$ is not a power of $p$.

The relation with classical ramification theory is as follows.
In the case (ari), assume $\mathrm{U}=\operatorname{Spec} \mathrm{K}, \mathrm{V}=\operatorname{Spec} \mathrm{L}, \mathrm{Y}=\operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{L}}$. Then if $\mathrm{L} / \mathrm{K}$ is wildly ramified (resp. at most tamely ramified), $\Sigma_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathrm{Y}$ consists of the closed point of Y (resp. the empty set). If $\mathrm{L} / \mathrm{K}$ is wildly ramified, we have $\mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\Sigma_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathrm{Y}\right)=\mathbf{Z}$, and $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}}^{\log }$ and $j(\sigma)$ defined above recover the classical $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{L} / \mathrm{K}}^{\log }$ and $j(\sigma)$, respectively. In the case (geo), assume that $\mathrm{Y}, \mathrm{V}, \mathrm{U}$ are smooth curves over $k$, and let $\mathrm{K}_{0}$ (resp. $\mathrm{L}_{0}$ ) be the function field of U (resp. V). Then $\Sigma_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathrm{Y}$ consists of the places of $\mathrm{L}_{0}$ where the extension $\mathrm{L}_{0} / \mathrm{K}_{0}$ is wildly ramified and $\mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\Sigma_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathrm{Y}\right)$ is the direct sum of $\mathbf{Z}$ indexed by these places. For $v \in \Sigma_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathrm{Y}$, if $u$ denotes the place of $\mathrm{K}_{0}$ lying under $v$ and if K (resp. L) denotes the completion of $\mathrm{K}_{0}$
(resp. $\mathrm{L}_{0}$ ) at $u$ (resp. $v$ ), the $v$-components of $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}}^{\log }$ and $j(\sigma)$ defined above coincide with $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{L} / \mathrm{K}}^{\log }$ and $j(\sigma)$ in the classical ramification theory for $\mathrm{L} / \mathrm{K}$, respectively.

The revolutionary idea that the invariant of ramification should be defined as a 0 -cycle class on the ramification locus is due to S . Bloch [3].
0.4. The definition of the localized intersection product with logarithmic diagonal. - Let $\mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}$ be a finite étale morphism of smooth integral schemes over $k$ (resp. over K ) in the case (geo) (resp. (ari)). We put $n=\operatorname{dim} \mathrm{U}$ (reps. $n=\operatorname{dim} \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{K}}+1$ ) in the case (geo) (resp. (ari)). The embedding theorem of Nagata and the theory of alteration of de Jong give us a Cartesian diagram of integral schemes over $k$ (resp. $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{K}}$ ) in the case (geo) (resp. (ari))
(0.3)

where Y and Z are proper over $k$ (resp. $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{K}}$ ) and satisfy the following properties: The vertical arrows are open immersions with dense images, the arrow $\bar{g}: \mathrm{Z} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}$ is surjective and generically finite and Z is regular and contains W as the complement of a divisor with simple normal crossings.

In the case (geo) (resp. (ari)), we define the logarithmic self-product ( $\left.\mathrm{Z} \times{ }_{k} \mathrm{Z}\right)^{\sim}$ (resp. $\left.\left(\mathrm{Z} \times_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{K}}} \mathrm{Z}\right)^{\sim}\right)$ as a modification of the usual product $\mathrm{Z} \times_{k} \mathrm{Z}$ (resp. $\left.\mathrm{Z} \times \times_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{K}}} \mathrm{Z}\right)$. Let P denote $\left(\mathrm{Z} \times_{k} \mathrm{Z}\right)^{\sim}\left(\operatorname{resp} .\left(\mathrm{Z} \times_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{K}}} \mathrm{Z}\right)^{\sim}\right)$. The diagonal map $\mathrm{Z} \rightarrow \mathrm{Z} \times_{k} \mathrm{Z}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.\mathrm{Z} \rightarrow \mathrm{Z} \times_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{K}}} \mathrm{Z}\right)$ is canonically lifted to a closed immersion $\mathrm{Z} \rightarrow \mathrm{P}$ called the log diagonal map. The scheme P contains $\mathrm{W} \times_{k} \mathrm{~W}$ (resp. $\mathrm{W} \times_{\mathcal{O}_{K}} \mathrm{~W}$ ) as an open subscheme. Let A be the closure of $\mathrm{W} \times_{\mathrm{U}} \mathrm{W} \backslash \mathrm{W} \times_{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{W}$ in P and $\Sigma$ be the intersection of A with the logarithmic diagonal Z in P .

We define the intersection product with the logarithmic diagonal Z in P as a homomorphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{G}(\mathrm{~A}) \rightarrow \mathrm{G}(\Sigma) \tag{0.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

as follows. See Proposition 5.3.3 for detail. Regard $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Z}}$ as an $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{P}}$-module via the log diagonal. In the case (geo), the map (0.4) is defined as the usual intersection product with the class $\left[\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Z}}\right]$ for a smooth scheme P . Namely, it maps the class of a coherent $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{P}}$-module $\mathcal{F}$ supported on A to the alternating sum:

$$
[\mathcal{F}] \mapsto \sum_{i=0}^{\operatorname{dim} \mathrm{P}}(-1)^{i}\left[\mathcal{T}_{o r_{i}}^{\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{P}}}\left(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Z}}\right)\right]
$$

In the case (ari), it is defined as

$$
[\mathcal{F}] \mapsto\left[\mathcal{T} o r_{2 i}^{\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{P}}}\left(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Z}}\right)\right]-\left[\mathcal{T} o r_{2 i-1}^{\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{P}}}\left(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Z}}\right)\right]
$$

for sufficiently large integer $i$. In the case (ari), it is proved in [26] that the class $\left[\mathcal{T}\right.$ or $\left.{ }_{j}{ }^{\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{P}}}\left(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Z}}\right)\right] \in \mathrm{G}(\Sigma)$ depends only on the parity of $j$ for sufficiently large $j$.

The maps $(0.4)$ for various diagrams ( 0.3 ) induce ( 0.2 ) as follows. Let F . denote the topological filtration on the Grothendieck group $G(-)$. We regard the free abelian group $\mathrm{Z}(\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U})$ as the graded quotient $\mathrm{Gr}_{n}^{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{G}\left(\mathrm{V} \times_{\mathrm{U}} \mathrm{V} \backslash \Delta_{\mathrm{V}}\right)$ by the canonical surjection defined by taking the length at the generic point of each connected component of $\mathrm{V} \times_{\mathrm{U}} \mathrm{V} \backslash \Delta_{\mathrm{V}}$. We prove in Proposition 4.3.5 that the homomorphisms $\mathrm{Gr}_{{ }^{\mathrm{F}}} \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{A}) \rightarrow$ $\mathrm{Gr}_{\cdot-n}^{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{G}(\Sigma)$ induced by (0.4) factor through the canonical surjection $\mathrm{Gr}_{\cdot}^{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{A}) \rightarrow$ $\mathrm{Gr}^{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{G}\left(\mathrm{W} \times_{\mathrm{U}} \mathrm{W} \backslash \mathrm{W} \times_{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{W}\right)$ defined by the restriction if the following condition is satisfied:
(X) There exists a Cartesian diagram

over $k$ (resp. over $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{K}}$ ) where X is a proper scheme over $k$ (resp. over $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{K}}$ ) containing U as the complement of a Cartier divisor.

Consequently, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Z}(\mathrm{~V} / \mathrm{U})=\mathrm{Gr}_{n}^{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{G}\left(\mathrm{~V} \times_{\mathrm{U}} \mathrm{~V} \backslash \Delta_{\mathrm{V}}\right) & \rightarrow \mathrm{Gr}_{n}^{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{G}\left(\mathrm{~W} \times_{\mathrm{U}} \mathrm{~W} \backslash \mathrm{~W} \times_{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{~W}\right) \\
& \rightarrow \mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}(\Sigma)
\end{aligned}
$$

where the first arrow is the pull-back by $g \times g$ and the second arrow is induced by (0.4).
If further the condition
$(\mathrm{Y}) \bar{g}(\Sigma) \subset \Sigma_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathrm{Y}$
is satisfied, the composition

$$
\mathrm{Z}(\mathrm{~V} / \mathrm{U}) \rightarrow \mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}(\Sigma) \xrightarrow{\bar{g}_{*}} \mathrm{~F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\Sigma_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathrm{Y}\right) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{Q}
$$

with the push-forward map $\bar{g}_{*}$ divided by the generic degree $[\mathrm{Z}: \mathrm{Y}]$ of Z over Y is defined. We prove in Theorem 5.3 .7 that such Z satisfying the conditions $(\mathrm{X})$ and $(\mathrm{Y})$ does exist and that the composition $\mathrm{Z}(\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}) \rightarrow \mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\Sigma_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathrm{Y}\right) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{Q}$ is independent of Z and forms an inverse system to define the required map (0.2).
0.5. The Swan class of a constructible sheaf. - In order to formulate a conductor formula of Riemann-Roch type in 0.6 below, we extend the definition of the Swan class to constructible sheaves. In the rest of introduction, we consider the arithmetic case (we assume char $\mathrm{K}=0$ ).

For a separated scheme U of finite type over K and for a commutative ring R , let

$$
\mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\partial_{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{U}\right)_{\mathrm{R}}:={\underset{\mathrm{X}}{ }}_{\lim _{\overleftarrow{0}}}^{\left.\left(\mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\mathrm{X} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{K}}} \mathrm{~F}\right) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathrm{R}\right), ~\right)}
$$

where X runs through proper schemes over $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{K}}$ which contain U as a dense open subscheme.

Let $f: \mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}$ be a finite étale morphism and let $\overline{\mathrm{Z}}(\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U})$ denote the free abelian group on the set of connected components of $\mathrm{V} \times_{\mathrm{U}} \mathrm{V}$. It is the direct sum of $\mathrm{Z}(\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U})$ with the free abelian group of rank 1 generated by the class of $\Delta_{\mathrm{V}}$. The composition $\left(\left(-, \Delta_{\mathrm{V}}\right)\right)^{\log }: \mathrm{Z}(\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}) \rightarrow \mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\partial_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathrm{V}\right)_{\mathbf{Q}} \rightarrow \mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\partial_{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{V}\right)_{\mathbf{Q}}$ is naturally extended to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\left(-, \Delta_{\mathrm{V}}\right)\right)^{\log : \overline{\mathrm{Z}}(\mathrm{~V} / \mathrm{U}) \rightarrow \mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\partial_{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{~V}\right)_{\mathbf{Q}} . . . .} \tag{0.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

To define the map (0.5), we proceed similarly as in 0.4 . Namely, we consider a diagram (0.3) and, letting $\mathrm{A}^{\prime} \subset \mathrm{P}$ denote the closure of $\mathrm{W} \times_{\mathrm{U}} \mathrm{W}$, we define a homomorphism

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{G}\left(\mathrm{~A}^{\prime}\right) & \rightarrow \mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{F}}\right) \\
{[\mathcal{F}] } & \mapsto\left[\mathcal{T} \mathcal{T}_{2 i}^{\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{P}}}\left(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Z}}\right)\right]-\left[\mathcal{T} \operatorname{or}_{2 i-1}^{\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{P}}}\left(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Z}}\right)\right] \quad(i \gg 0)
\end{aligned}
$$

similarly as (0.4). Then this induces (0.5) in the same way as (0.4) induces (0.2). In particular, $j(\sigma) \in \mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\partial_{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{V}\right)_{\mathbf{Q}}$ is defined even for $\sigma=1$ as $\left(\left(\Delta_{\mathrm{V}}, \Delta_{\mathrm{V}}\right)\right)^{\log }$.

We extend the definition of the Swan class of smooth sheaves sketched in 0.2 and 0.3 to constructible sheaves.

Proposition 1 (Proposition 7.4.2, Corollary 7.4.5). - Assume char $\mathrm{K}=0$. Then, there is a unique way to define

$$
\mathrm{Sw}_{\mathrm{U}} \mathcal{F}, \overline{\mathrm{Sw}}_{\mathrm{U}} \mathcal{F} \in \mathrm{~F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\partial_{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{U}\right)_{\mathbf{Q}\left(\zeta_{p} \infty\right)}
$$

for any separated scheme U of finite type over K and for any constructible $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}$-sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ on U , satisfying the following conditions (1)-(3).
(1) Assume U is a non-singular variety and $\mathcal{F}$ is locally constant. Let $f: \mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}$ be a finite étale Galois covering of U with Galois group G on which the pull-back $f^{*} \mathcal{F}$ is a constant sheaf. Then $\operatorname{Sw}_{\mathrm{U}} \mathcal{F}$ is the image of $\operatorname{Sw}(\rho)$ in 0.3 for the $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}$-representation $\rho$ of G corresponding to $\mathcal{F}$. We also have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&{\overline{\mathrm{Sw}_{\mathrm{U}}} \mathcal{F}}=\mathrm{Sw}_{\mathrm{U}} \mathcal{F}-\operatorname{rank} \mathcal{F} \cdot\left(\left(\Delta_{\mathrm{U}}, \Delta_{\mathrm{U}}\right)\right)^{\log } \\
&=-\frac{1}{\sharp(\mathrm{G})} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathrm{G}} f_{*}(\sigma) \cdot \operatorname{Tr}^{\mathrm{Br}}(\rho(\sigma)) .
\end{aligned}
$$

(2) For an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow 0$ of constructible $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}$-sheaves on U , we have

$$
\mathrm{Sw}_{\mathrm{U}} \mathcal{F}=\mathrm{Sw}_{\mathrm{U}} \mathcal{F}^{\prime}+\mathrm{Sw}_{\mathrm{U}} \mathcal{F}^{\prime \prime}, \quad \overline{\mathrm{Sw}}_{\mathrm{U}} \mathcal{F}=\overline{\mathrm{Sw}}_{\mathrm{U}} \mathcal{F}^{\prime}+\overline{\mathrm{Sw}}_{\mathrm{U}} \mathcal{F}^{\prime \prime}
$$

(3) If $i: \mathrm{U}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}$ is an immersion of schemes over K , we have

$$
{\overline{\mathrm{Sw}_{\mathrm{U}}}}^{\left.(i!\mathcal{F})=i{\overline{\mathrm{Sw}_{\mathrm{U}}}} \mathcal{F},{ }^{2}\right)}
$$

for a constructible $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}$-sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ on $\mathrm{U}^{\prime}$. Here $i_{!}$on the right hand side is the canonical homomorphism $\mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\partial_{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{U}^{\prime}\right)_{\mathbf{Q}\left(\xi_{p} \infty\right)} \rightarrow \mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\partial_{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{U}\right)_{\mathbf{Q}\left(\zeta_{p} \infty\right)}$.

Here in (1), $\left(\left(\Delta_{\mathrm{U}}, \Delta_{\mathrm{U}}\right)\right)^{\log } \in \mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\partial_{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{U}\right)_{\mathbf{Q}}$ is defined by (0.5) for $\mathrm{U}=\mathrm{V}$. The key ingredient of the proof of Proposition 1 is an excision formula Theorem 6.2.2.

For U as in Proposition 1 and for a constructible $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{\mathcal{C}}$-sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ on U , we define $\mathrm{Sw}_{\mathrm{U}} \mathcal{F}, \overline{\mathrm{Sw}}_{\mathrm{U}} \mathcal{F} \in \mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\partial_{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{U}\right)_{\mathbf{Q}\left(\xi_{p} \infty\right)}$ as those of the $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}$-sheaf which is obtained from $\mathcal{F}$ by taking modulo $\ell$. In the case U is regular and $\mathcal{F}$ is smooth and trivialized by a finite étale Galois covering $\mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}$ with Galois group $\mathrm{G}, \operatorname{Sw}_{\mathrm{U}} \mathcal{F}$ is the image of $\operatorname{Sw}(\rho)$ in 0.3 where $\rho$ is the representation of G over $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}$ corresponding to $\mathcal{F}$.
0.6. The conductor formula. - We prove the following conductor formula of Riemann-Roch type.

Theorem 2 (Theorem 7.5.1). - Assume char $\mathrm{K}=0$. Let $f: \mathrm{U} \rightarrow \mathrm{V}$ be a morphism of separated schemes of finite type over K and let $\mathcal{F}$ be a constructible $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}$-sheaf (resp. $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{\ell}$-sheaf) on U . Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
{\overline{\mathrm{Sw}_{\mathrm{V}}}} \mathrm{R} f!\mathcal{F}=f!{\overline{\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{w}}}} \mathcal{F} \tag{0.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f_{!}$on the right hand side is the canonical homomorphism $\mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\partial_{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{U}\right){\mathbf{Q}\left(\zeta_{p} \infty\right)} \rightarrow \mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\partial_{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{V}\right)_{\mathbf{Q}\left(\zeta_{p} \infty\right)}$.
In the case where $\mathcal{F}$ is smooth and $\mathrm{V}=\operatorname{Spec} \mathrm{K}$, the equality (0.6) specializes to the conductor formula (0.1) for the alternating sum of the Swan conductor (Corollary 7.5.3). It also gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Sw}_{\mathrm{K}} \mathrm{H}_{c}^{*}\left(\mathrm{U}_{\overline{\mathrm{K}}}, \mathbf{Q}_{\ell}\right)=-\operatorname{deg}\left(\left(\Delta_{\mathrm{U}}, \Delta_{\mathrm{U}}\right)\right)^{\log } \tag{0.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is a generalization of the conductor formula of Bloch [3] proved under some mild assumption in [26]. A special case of $\operatorname{dim} \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{K}}=1$ and $\mathrm{V}=\mathrm{Spec} \mathrm{K}$ has been studied in [1]. A crucial ingredient in the proof of the equality (0.6) is a logarithmic variant Theorem 1.4.7 of the Lefschetz trace formula for open varieties.
0.7. Integrality. - As a generalization of the classical theorem of Hasse-Arf, we expect that the Swan class $\mathrm{Sw}_{\mathrm{U}} \mathcal{F}$ should have no denominator, Conjecture 7.2.8. By a standard argument using Brauer induction, it is reduced to the rank one case. Theorem 8.3.7 comparing the Swan class $\mathrm{Sw}_{\mathrm{U}} \mathcal{F}$ for a smooth sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ of rank 1 with a cycle class $c_{\mathcal{F}}$, defined earlier by one of the authors, implies the following integrality.

Theorem $\mathbf{3}$ (Corollary 8.3.8.1). - Assume char $\mathrm{K}=0$. Let U be a scheme of finite type over K of dimension $\leq 1$ and let $\mathcal{F}$ be a constructible $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}$-sheaf (resp. $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{\mathcal{L}}$-sheaf) on U . Then $\mathrm{Sw}_{\mathrm{U}} \mathcal{F}$ belongs to the image of

$$
\mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\partial_{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{U}\right)_{\mathbf{z}} \rightarrow \mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\partial_{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{U}\right)_{\mathbf{Q}\left(\zeta_{p} \infty\right)} .
$$

From this integrality, we derive the two dimensional case of a conjecture of Serre [41], as is announced in [23]. In [41], Serre conjectures (see Conjecture 7.2.9) that the theory of Artin characters in the ramification theory of a discrete valuation ring can be generalized to any regular local ring A with a finite group of automorphisms under a condition of isolated fixed point. An equal characteristic case has been proved earlier in [28] and some special case has been proved in [2].

Theorem 4 (Corollary 8.3.8.2). - The conjecture of Serre [41] is true in the case $\operatorname{dim}(A)=2$.
0.8. Organization of this paper. - We sketch the content of each section. The first three sections are preliminaries. In Section 1, after preparing general terminologies on semi-stable schemes, log products, etc., we prove a logarithmic Lefschetz trace formula, which is a crucial step in the proof of the formula (0.6). The trace formula is a sort of mixture of those proved in [26] and in [27]. In Section 2, we study the tame ramification of an étale morphism along the boundary, using log products. The purpose of studying tame ramification first is to define the wild ramification locus and to focus on it. We give criterions for tameness in terms of valuation rings, using the quasi-compactness of the limit of proper modifications. In Section 3, first we compute certain tor-sheaves, which is a crucial step in the proof of the excision formula. We also give some complement on the localized Chern classes and the excess intersection formula studied in [26] as a preliminary for the computation of the logarithmic different.

In Sections 4, 5 and 6, we define the invariants of wild ramification and establish their properties. First, in Section 4, we study the local structures of log products of schemes over $\mathrm{S}=\operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{K}}$. In Section 5, we define the invariants and study its basic properties. Section 6 is technically the heart of the article. We prove the excision formula for the invariants. We also give a formula in some semi-stable case, which is a crucial step in the proof of the formula (0.6).

In Section 7, we define the Swan class and prove the formula (0.6). In Section 8, we compute the Swan class in the case of rank 1 and deduce the integrality of the Swan class and complete the proof of the conjecture of Serre in the case of dimension 2.

The logical structure of the proof of the formula (0.6) is summarized as follows. We deduce a formula Proposition 6.3.2 in some semi-stable case from the log Lefschetz trace formula Theorem 1.4.7. We prove a formula Propositions 7.3.4, 7.3.5 for stable curves using Proposition 6.3.2 and a compatibility with cospecialization map Proposition 1.6.2. We complete the proof of the formula (0.6) in Theorem 7.5.1 by deducing it from a special case Corollary 7.3.6, by devissage.

## 1. Log Lefschetz trace formula

We prove a logarithmic Lefschetz trace formula Theorem 1.4.7 for schemes over a discrete valuation ring and give a complement in Section 1.6. They play a crucial role in the proof of the conductor formula in Section 7.3. As preliminaries, we fix terminologies on semi-stable schemes, log blow-ups, $\log$ products and on $\log$ stalks in Sections 1.1, 1.2, 1.3, 1.5 respectively.
1.1. Semi-stable schemes and stable curves. - We fix some terminology on semi-stable schemes.

Definition 1.1.1. -Let $f: \mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{S}$ be a morphism of schemes and $r \geq 0$ be an integer.

1. We say that X is weakly strictly semi-stable of relative dimension r over S if the following condition is satisfied:
(1.1.1.1) For every point $x \in \mathbf{X}$, there exist an open neighborhood $x \in \mathrm{U} \subset \mathrm{X}$, an affine open neighborhood $s=f(x) \in \operatorname{Spec} \mathbf{R} \subset \mathrm{S}$, an integer $1 \leq q \leq r+1$, an element $a \in \mathrm{R}$ and an étale morphism
$\mathrm{U} \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec} \mathrm{R}\left[\mathrm{T}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{~T}_{r+1}\right] /\left(\mathrm{T}_{1} \cdots \mathrm{~T}_{q}-a\right)$
over S .
If $\mathrm{S}=\operatorname{Spec} \mathrm{R}$ for a discrete valuation ring R , we say a weakly strictly semi-stable scheme over S is strictly semi-stable if $a \in \mathrm{R}$ in (1.1.1.1) is a uniformizer.
2. We say that X is weakly semi-stable of relative dimension $r$ over S if, étale locally on X and on S , it is weakly strictly semi-stable of relative dimension $r$ over S . Namely, if the following condition is satisfied:
(1.1.1.2) For every geometric point $\bar{x} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}$, there exist étale neighborhoods $\bar{x} \rightarrow \mathrm{U} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}$ and $\bar{s}=f(\bar{x}) \rightarrow \mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{S}$ and a morphism $\mathrm{U} \rightarrow \mathrm{V}$ compatible with $\mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{S}$ and with $\bar{x} \rightarrow \bar{s}$ such that U is weakly strictly semi-stable of relative dimension r over V .
If $\mathrm{S}=\operatorname{Spec} \mathrm{R}$ for a discrete valuation ring R , a scheme X over S is said to be semi-stable if, étale locally on X , it is strictly semi-stable over S .

If X is weakly semi-stable over S , the scheme X is flat over S and is smooth over S on a dense open subscheme of each fiber.

We show that, locally on X, the subscheme of S defined by $a$ is well-defined and the subschemes of X defined by $\mathrm{T}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{~T}_{q}$ are well-defined up to permutation.

Lemma 1.1.2. - Let $\mathrm{S}=\mathrm{Spec} \mathrm{R}$ be an affine scheme and $f: \mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{S}$ be a scheme over S . Assume that X is étale over $\mathrm{R}\left[\mathrm{T}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{~T}_{r+1}\right] /\left(\mathrm{T}_{1} \cdots \mathrm{~T}_{q}-a\right)$ for an element $a \in \mathrm{R}$ and $q \geq 1$. Let $x$ be a point of X where the morphism $f: \mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{S}$ is not smooth and $s=f(x)$.

1. The annihilator of the $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{S}, s}$-module $\Omega_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{s}, x}^{r+1}$ is generated by $a$.
2. Assume that there exist $q$ irreducible components of the fiber $\mathrm{X}_{s}$ containing $x$. Then, the intersection $\operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}, x} \cap\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \operatorname{Spec} \mathrm{R} /(a)\right)^{\mathrm{sm}}$ with the smooth locus has $q$ connected components. Their schematic closures in $\operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}, x}$ are defined by $\mathrm{T}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{~T}_{q}$.

Proof. - 1. An explicit computation in the case where $\mathrm{X}=\operatorname{Spec} \mathrm{R}\left[\mathrm{T}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{~T}_{r+1}\right] /$ ( $\mathrm{T}_{1} \cdots \mathrm{~T}_{q}-a$ ) shows that the $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{S}, s}$-module $\Omega_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}, x}^{r+1}$ is generated by one element and the annihilator is generated by $\mathrm{T}_{1} \cdots \mathrm{~T}_{i-1} \mathrm{~T}_{i+1} \cdots \mathrm{~T}_{q}$ for $i=1, \ldots, q$. The assertion follows from this easily.
2. The irreducible components of the fiber $\mathrm{X}_{s}$ containing $x$ are defined by $\mathrm{T}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{~T}_{q}$. The connected components of the intersection $\operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}, \boldsymbol{x}} \cap\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \operatorname{Spec} \mathrm{R} /\right.$ $(a))^{\mathrm{sm}}$ are also defined by $\mathrm{T}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{~T}_{q}$. Thus the assertion follows.

In Definition 1.1.1.2, we may take $\mathrm{V}=\mathrm{S}$ in the condition (1.1.1.2) by Lemma 1.1.2.1.

Corollary 1.1.3. - Let X be a weakly semi-stable scheme over a scheme S . Then, X is weakly strictly semi-stable over S if and only if, for every point s of S , each irreducible component of the fiber $\mathrm{X}_{s}=\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{S}} s$ is smooth over $s$.

Proof. - If X is weakly strictly semi-stable, each irreducible component of the fiber $\mathrm{X}_{s}=\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{S}} s$ is clearly smooth over $s$ for every point $s$ of S . Let $x \in \mathrm{X}$ be a point above $s \in \mathrm{~S}$. If $\mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{S}$ is smooth at $x$, it is weakly strictly semi-stable at $x$. Assume $f: \mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{S}$ is not smooth at $x$. Let $\bar{x}$ be a geometric point above $x$. Then the irreducible components of the strict henselization $\operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}_{s}, \bar{x}}$ of the fiber are defined by $\mathrm{T}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{~T}_{q}$ in the notation of Lemma 1.1.2.1. The pull-back of an irreducible component of the fiber $\mathrm{X}_{s}$ is the union of some of them. Hence, each irreducible component of the fiber $\mathrm{X}_{s}=\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{S}} s$ is smooth at $x$ if and only if the ideals $\left(\mathrm{T}_{1}\right), \ldots,\left(\mathrm{T}_{q}\right)$ are defined in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}_{s}, x}$.

We may modify a weakly semi-stable curve to a weakly strictly semi-stable curve, under an assumption. This construction will be used in the proof of Lemma 5.3.2 in the case (5.3.2.1a).

Lemma 1.1.4. - Let X be a weakly semi-stable curve over a normal scheme S and let $\mathrm{E} \subset \mathrm{X}$ denote the closed subset consisting of the points where X is not smooth over S . Assume that X is smooth on a dense open subscheme of S and that the following condition is satisfied:
(1.1.4.1) For every point $x \in \mathrm{E}$ and $s=f(x)$, the element $a \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{S}, s}$ in Lemma 1.1.2 is a square up to a unit.

Then, there exists a quasi-coherent ideal $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}}$ such that $\mathcal{I}=\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{x}}$ outside E and that the blow-up $\mathrm{X}^{\prime}$ of X at $\mathcal{I}$ is weakly strictly semi-stable over S .

Proof. - Let $x$ be a point of E . Then, étale locally on a neighborhood of $x, \mathrm{X}$ is étale over the scheme defined by $\mathrm{T}_{1} \mathrm{~T}_{2}-a$ and the ideal $(a)$ is well-defined by Lemma 1.1.2.1. We put $a=b^{2}$. By the assumption that S is normal, the ideal $(b)$ is also well-defined. Since the ideal $\left(\mathrm{T}_{1}, \mathrm{~T}_{2}\right)$ is the annihilator of $\Omega_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}}^{1}$ at $x$, the ideal $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}}$ étale locally defined by $\left(\mathrm{T}_{1}, \mathrm{~T}_{2}, b\right)$ is well-defined on X . Then, the blow-up $\mathrm{X}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}$ by the ideal $\mathcal{I}$ satisfies the condition by Corollary 1.1.3.

Definition 1.1.5. -Let $f: \mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{S}$ be a weakly semi-stable scheme over S .

1. Let $\mathrm{D}=\mathrm{D}_{1}+\cdots+\mathrm{D}_{n}$ be the sum of Cartier divisors of X . Then, we say that D has simple normal crossings relatively to S if the following condition is satisfied:
(1.1.5.1) For every point $x \in \mathrm{X}$, there exist an open neighborhood $x \in \mathrm{U} \subset \mathrm{X}$, a weakly semistable scheme Y over S and a smooth morphism $\mathrm{U} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{Y}}^{m}$ to the affine space with coordinate $\mathrm{T}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{~T}_{m}$ such that, for each $i=1, \ldots, n$, the restriction $\mathrm{D}_{i} \times_{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{U}$ is either empty or defined by $\mathrm{T}_{j i}$ for some $1 \leq j_{i} \leq m$. Further, for $1 \leq i<i^{\prime} \leq n$ such that $\mathrm{D}_{i} \times{ }_{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{U}$ and $\mathrm{D}_{i^{\prime}} \times{ }_{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{U}$ are non-empty, we have $j_{i} \neq j_{i^{\prime}}$.
2. Let D be a Cartier divisor of X . Then, we say that D has normal crossings relatively to S if, étale locally on X , it has simple normal crossings relatively to S .

If a Cartier divisor of X has normal crossings relatively to S , it is flat over S . If $\mathrm{D}=\mathrm{D}_{1}+\cdots+\mathrm{D}_{n}$ is a divisor with simple normal crossings relatively to S , for a subset $\mathrm{I} \subset\{1, \ldots, n\}$, the intersection $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{I}}=\bigcap_{i \in \mathrm{I}} \mathrm{D}_{i}$ is weakly strictly semi-stable over S . If X is smooth over S, the terminology on simple normal crossing divisors is the same as the usual one defined in [37, 2.1].

We recall the following fact on the tameness of the direct image for a proper semistable scheme.

Lemma 1.1.6. - Let S be a regular noetherian scheme and $\mathrm{D} \subset \mathrm{S}$ be a divisor with normal crossings. Let $f: \mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{S}$ be a proper weakly semi-stable scheme such that the base change $\mathrm{X} \times{ }_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{W} \rightarrow$ $\mathrm{W}=\mathrm{S} \backslash \mathrm{D}$ is smooth and $\mathrm{E} \subset \mathrm{X}$ be a divisor with normal crossings relatively to S . We put $\mathrm{U}=\mathrm{X} \backslash \mathrm{E}$ and $f_{\mathrm{U}}: \mathrm{U} \rightarrow \mathrm{S}$ be the restriction off.

Then, for an integer $n \geq 1$ invertible on S , the higher direct image $\mathbf{R}^{q} f_{\mathrm{U}} \mathbf{Z} / n \mathbf{Z}$ is locally constant on $\mathrm{W}=\mathrm{S} \backslash \mathrm{D}$ and is tamely ramified along D for every $q \geq 0$.

Proof. - By the assumption that S is regular and D has normal crossings, it is reduced to the case where $\mathrm{S}=\operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{K}}$ for a discrete valuation ring and D consists of the closed point $s$, by Abhyankhar's lemma [37, Proposition 5.2]. Let $j: \mathrm{U} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}$ denote the open immersion. Then, it suffices to show that the action of the inertia group $\mathrm{I}=$ $\operatorname{Gal}\left(\mathrm{K}^{\text {sep }} / \mathrm{K}^{\mathrm{ur}}\right)$ on the sheaf $\mathrm{R}^{q} \psi j!\mathbf{Z} / n \mathbf{Z}$ of nearby cycles is tamely ramified. If $\mathrm{E}=\emptyset$, it is proved in [35].

We show the general case. Since the assertion is étale local on X, we may assume X is weakly strictly semi-stable over S . Let $j: \mathrm{U} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}$ denote the open immersion and for
a finite set I of indices of irreducible components $\mathrm{E}_{i}$ of E , let $i_{\mathrm{I}}: \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{I}} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}$ be the closed immersion of the intersections. Then, $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{I}}$ are semi-stable over S and we have an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow j!\mathbf{Z} / n \mathbf{Z} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z} / n \mathbf{Z} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\sharp \mathrm{I}=1} i_{I *} \mathbf{Z} / n \mathbf{Z} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\sharp \mathrm{I}=2} i_{\mathrm{I}_{¥}} \pi_{*} \mathbf{Z} / n \mathbf{Z} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow$. Using this, the assertion is reduced to the case $\mathrm{E}=\emptyset$.

We recall the definition of a stable curve [8]. Let $f: \mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{S}$ be a proper weakly semi-stable scheme of relative dimension 1 over a scheme S and $\left(s_{i}\right)_{i=1, \ldots, d}$ be a finite family of sections $s_{i}: \mathrm{S} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}$. Let $\omega_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}}=\mathrm{R}^{-1} f^{!} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}}$ be the relative dualizing sheaf. Then, we say a pair $\left(f: \mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{S},\left(s_{i}\right)_{i=1, \ldots, d}\right)$ is a $d$ pointed stable curve if the following condition is satisfied.

- The divisor $\mathbf{D}=\sum_{i=1}^{d} s_{i}(\mathrm{~S})$ has simple normal crossings relatively to S , the canonical map $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{S}} \rightarrow f_{*} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{x}}$ is an isomorphism and the invertible $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{x}}$-module $\omega_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}}(\mathrm{D})$ is relatively ample.

If $\left(\mathbf{X},\left(s_{i}\right)\right)$ is a pointed stable curve over S , the sections $s_{i}(\mathrm{~S})$ do not meet each other and are contained in the locus where $f$ is smooth. Further the $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{S}}$-module $f_{*} \omega_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}}$ is locally free. The rank of $f_{*} \omega_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}}$ is called the genus of X . If $\left(\mathbf{X},\left(s_{i}\right)\right)$ is a $d$ pointed stable curve of genus $g$, we have $2 g-2+d>0$.

We recall some facts on the moduli of pointed stable curves, used in the proof of the conductor formula for a relative curve in Proposition 7.3.4 and Corollary 7.3.6. Let $\overline{\mathrm{S}}=\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g, d}$ be the moduli stack of $d$ pointed stable curves of genus $g$. It is a proper smooth Deligne-Mumford stack over $\mathbf{Z}$ [29] and the coarse moduli scheme $\overline{\mathbf{M}}_{g, d}$ is a projective scheme [30]. Let $f: \mathrm{X} \rightarrow \overline{\mathrm{S}}$ be the universal family and $s_{1}, \ldots, s_{d}: \overline{\mathrm{S}} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}$ be the universal sections. Let $\mathrm{S}=\mathcal{M}_{g, d} \subset \overline{\mathrm{~S}}$ be the open substack where X is smooth. It is the complement of a divisor with normal crossings [29].

Let $n \geq 1$ be an integer. The $n$-torsion part $\mathrm{Jac}_{\mathrm{X}\left[\frac{1}{n}\right] / \mathrm{S}\left[\frac{1}{n}\right]}[n]=\mathrm{R}^{1} f_{\mathrm{S}\left[\frac{1}{n}\right] *} \mu_{n}$ of the Jacobian is a locally constant sheaf of $\mathbf{Z} / n \mathbf{Z}$-modules of rank $2 g$ on $\mathrm{S}\left[\frac{1}{n}\right]$. Let $\mathcal{M}_{g, d, n}$ over $\mathcal{M}_{g, d}\left[\frac{1}{n}\right]=\mathrm{S}\left[\frac{1}{n}\right]$ be the moduli of an isomorphism $(\mathbf{Z} / n \mathbf{Z})^{2 g} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{1} f_{\mathrm{S}\left[\frac{1}{n}\right] *} \mu_{n}$. If $n \geq 3$, then $\mathcal{M}_{g, d, n}$ is represented by a scheme $\mathrm{S}_{n}=\mathrm{M}_{g, d, n}$ smooth over $\mathbf{Z}\left[\frac{1}{n}\right]$. Further, the normalization $\overline{\mathrm{S}}_{n}=\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g, d, n}$ of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g, d}\left[\frac{1}{n}\right]$ in $\mathrm{S}_{n}=\mathrm{M}_{g, d, n}$ is a projective scheme over $\mathbf{Z}\left[\frac{1}{n}\right]$ [7]. See also [6, 2.24].
1.2. Semi-stable schemes and $\log$ blow-up. - We briefly recall the log blow-up and apply it to give some constructions related to semi-stable schemes. For terminologies on $\log$ blow-up, we refer to [26, Section 4.2]. Let P be a finitely generated commutative integral saturated torsion free monoid, called a torsion free fs-monoid for short. In other words, the associated group $\mathrm{P}^{\mathrm{gp}}$ is a finitely generated free abelian group and there exists a finitely many elements $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{m}$ of the dual group $\mathrm{P}^{\mathrm{gp} *}=\operatorname{Hom}\left(\mathrm{P}^{\mathrm{gp}}, \mathbf{Z}\right)$ such that P is identified with the submonoid $\left\{x \in \mathrm{P}\right.$ gp $\mid f_{i}(x) \geq 0$ for $\left.i=1, \ldots, m\right\} \subset \mathrm{P}^{\text {gp }}$, see [34, Proposition 1.1]. We identify the dual monoid $\mathrm{P}^{*}=\operatorname{Hom}_{\text {monoid }}(\mathrm{P}, \mathbf{N})$ with the submonoid $\left\{f \in \mathrm{P}^{\mathrm{gp} *} \mid f(x) \geq 0\right.$ for $\left.x \in \mathrm{P}\right\}$. If $\mathrm{P}^{\times}=\left\{x \in \mathrm{P} \mid x^{-1} \in \mathrm{P}\right\}$ is trivial, the abelian group $\mathrm{P}^{\text {gp* }}$
is generated by the submonoid $\mathrm{P}^{*}$. Further in this case, $\mathrm{P}^{*}$ is the intersection of $\mathrm{P}^{\mathrm{gp} *}$ in $\mathrm{P}^{\mathrm{gp} *} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{Q}$ with $\left\{a_{1} f_{1}+\cdots+a_{m} f_{m} \mid a_{i} \in \mathbf{Q}, a_{i} \geq 0\right\}$ (loc. cit.).

Let X be a $\log$ scheme and $f: \mathrm{P} \rightarrow \Gamma\left(\mathrm{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{x}}\right)$ be a chart. It defines a strict morphism $f^{*}: \mathrm{X} \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec} \mathbf{Z}[\mathrm{P}]$ of $\log$ schemes. Recall that a morphism $\mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}$ is strict if the $\log$ structure of X is the pull-back of that of Y . Let $\mathrm{V}_{\sigma} \subset \mathrm{P}^{\mathrm{gp} *} \otimes_{\mathbf{z}} \mathbf{Q}$ be a $\mathbf{Q}$-linear subspace. Then the intersection $\mathrm{N}_{\sigma}=\mathrm{P}^{*} \cap \mathrm{~V}_{\sigma}$ is a finitely generated saturated submonoid. Let $\mathrm{P}_{\sigma} \supset \mathrm{P}$ be the finitely generated saturated monoid defined by $\left\{x \in \mathrm{P}^{\mathrm{gp}} \mid f(x) \geq 0\right.$ for $\left.f \in \mathrm{~N}_{\sigma}\right\}$. Then, we define a scheme $\mathbf{X}_{\sigma}$ by $\mathbf{X}_{\sigma}=\mathrm{X} \times_{\operatorname{Spec} \mathbf{Z}[\mathrm{P}]} \operatorname{Spec} \mathbf{Z}\left[\mathrm{P}_{\sigma}\right]$. Let $g: \mathrm{P} \rightarrow$ $\Gamma\left(\mathrm{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}}\right)$ be another chart such that there exists a morphism $u: \mathrm{P} \rightarrow \Gamma\left(\mathrm{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}}^{\times}\right)$satisfying $g=f \cdot u$. Then, the schemes $\mathbf{X}_{\sigma}$ over $\mathbf{X}$ defined by $f$ and by $g$ are canonically isomorphic to each other.

Let $\Sigma$ be a subdivision of the dual monoid $\mathrm{P}^{*}$. Recall that a subdivision $\Sigma$ consists of finite family of submonoids $\mathrm{N}_{\sigma}=\mathrm{P}^{*} \cap \mathrm{~V}_{\sigma}$ of the dual monoid $\mathrm{P}^{*}$ indexed by $\sigma \in \Sigma$. Recall also that $\Sigma$ is regular means that the monoid $\mathrm{N}_{\sigma} \subset \mathrm{P}^{*}$ for every $\sigma \in \Sigma$ is isomorphic to $\mathbf{N}^{r}$ for some $r \geq 0$ and hence $\mathrm{P}_{\sigma}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbf{N}^{r} \times \mathbf{Z}^{n-r}$ where $n$ is the rank of ${ }^{\text {gpp }}$. By patching the schemes $\mathbf{X}_{\sigma}$ over $\mathbf{X}$, we obtain a scheme $\mathbf{X}_{\Sigma}$ over X. Recall that if $\Sigma$ is a proper subdivision, the scheme $\mathrm{X}_{\Sigma}$ is proper over X . In this case, we call $\mathrm{X}_{\Sigma}$ a $\log$ blow-up of X.

Let S be a regular noetherian scheme and $\mathrm{D} \subset \mathrm{S}$ be a divisor with normal crossings. Let $j_{\mathrm{W}}: \mathrm{W}=\mathrm{S} \backslash \mathrm{D} \rightarrow \mathrm{S}$ denote the open immersion and we regard S as a log scheme defined by the $\log$ structure $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{S}}=\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{S}} \cap j_{\mathrm{W} *} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{W}}^{\times}$. We consider a weakly semistable scheme $f: \mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{S}$ and a divisor $\mathrm{E} \subset \mathrm{X}$ with normal crossings relatively to S such that the base change $\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{W} \rightarrow \mathrm{W}$ is smooth. Let $j_{\mathrm{U}}: \mathrm{U}=\mathrm{X} \backslash\left(f^{-1}(\mathrm{D}) \cup \mathrm{E}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{X}$ denote the open immersion and we regard X as a $\log$ scheme defined by the log structure $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{X}}=\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}} \cap j_{\mathrm{U} *} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{U}}^{\times}$. Then the map $f: \mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{S}$ is $\log$ smooth.

We construct proper modifications of weakly semi-stable schemes using log blowups. This will be used at the end of the proof of Theorem 1.4.7.

Lemma 1.2.1. - Let $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{K}}$ be a discrete valuation ring and X be a weakly semi-stable scheme over $\mathrm{S}=\operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{K}}$ with smooth generic fiber $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{K}}$. Then, there exists a proper modification $\mathrm{X}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}$ such that $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{K}}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{K}}$ is an isomorphism and that $\mathrm{X}^{\prime}$ is semi-stable over S .

Proof. - Let $\pi$ be a prime element of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{K}}$. First, we consider the case where there exists an étale morphism $\mathrm{X} \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{K}}\left[\mathrm{T}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{~T}_{r+1}\right] /\left(\mathrm{T}_{1} \cdots \mathrm{~T}_{q}-\pi^{e}\right)$ for an integer $e \geq 1$. Let $\mathbf{P}_{q, e}$ be the monoid $\mathbf{N}^{q}+\left\langle\left(\frac{1}{e}, \ldots, \frac{1}{e}\right)\right\rangle \subset \mathbf{Q}^{q}$. The uniformizer $\pi$ and the pull-backs of $\mathrm{T}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{~T}_{q}$ define a morphism $\mathrm{X} \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec} \mathbf{Z}\left[\mathrm{P}_{q, e}\right]=\operatorname{Spec} \mathbf{Z}\left[\mathrm{T}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{~T}_{q}, \mathrm{~S}\right] /$ ( $\mathrm{T}_{1} \cdots \mathrm{~T}_{q}-\mathrm{S}^{e}$ ).

We identify the dual monoid $\mathrm{N}_{q, e}=\mathrm{P}_{q, e}^{*}$ with $\left\{\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{q}\right) \in \mathbf{N}^{q} \mid a_{1}+\cdots+a_{q} \equiv\right.$ $0 \bmod e\}$. Let $\mathbf{B}_{q, e} \subset \mathbf{N}_{q, e}$ be the finite set $\left\{\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{q}\right) \in \mathbf{N}^{q} \mid a_{1}+\cdots+a_{q}=e\right\}$ and define $\Sigma_{q, e}$ by $\left\{\sigma \subset \mathrm{B}_{q, e} \mid\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{q}\right),\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{q}\right) \in \sigma\right.$ implies $\left.\left|a_{1}-b_{1}\right|+\cdots+\left|a_{q}-b_{q}\right| \leq 2\right\}$. For $\sigma \in \Sigma_{q, e}$, let $\mathrm{N}_{\sigma}$ denote the submonoid of $\mathrm{N}_{q, e}$ generated by $\sigma$. Then, $\Sigma_{q, e}$ defines a regular proper subdivision of $\mathrm{N}_{q, e}$ and we obtain a $\log$ blow-up $\mathrm{X}_{\Sigma_{q, e}} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}$.

We show that the scheme $\mathrm{X}_{\Sigma_{q, e}}$ is semi-stable over $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{K}}$. Let $f: \mathbf{N} \rightarrow \mathrm{P}_{q, e}$ be the map sending 1 to $\left\langle\frac{1}{e}, \ldots, \frac{1}{e}\right\rangle$. Then, the dual map $f^{*}: \mathrm{N}_{q, e} \rightarrow \mathbf{N}$ sends $a \in \mathrm{~N}_{q, e}$ to $\left(a_{1}+\right.$ $\left.\cdots+a_{q}\right) / e$ and hence an arbitrary element of $\mathrm{B}_{q, e}$ to 1 . A numbering on $\sigma \in \Sigma_{q, e}$ defines an isomorphism $\mathbf{N}^{s} \rightarrow \mathrm{~N}_{\sigma} \subset \mathrm{N}_{q, e}$. Hence, the composition $\mathbf{N}^{s} \rightarrow \mathbf{N}$ of the restriction $\left.f^{*}\right|_{\mathrm{N}_{\sigma}}: \mathrm{N}_{\sigma} \rightarrow \mathbf{N}$ with an isomorphism $\mathbf{N}^{s} \rightarrow \mathrm{~N}_{\sigma}$ sends every member of the canonical basis of $\mathbf{N}^{s}$ to 1 . From this, it follows immediately that $\mathrm{X}_{\sigma}$ is semi-stable over $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{K}}$ for every $\sigma \in \Sigma_{q, e}$.

By Lemma 1.1.2, the exponent $e$ and the divisors defined by $\mathrm{T}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{~T}_{q}$ are welldefined étale locally up to permutation. Since the regular proper subdivision $\Sigma_{q, e}$ is invariant under permutations of $q$ letters, the étale locally constructed $\log$ blow-ups $\mathrm{X}_{\Sigma_{q, e}} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}$ patch each other and define a semi-stable modification $\mathrm{X}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}$ globally.

Next, we reformulate [6, Proposition 3.6] in our terminology. This together with Lemma 1.1.4 will be used in the proof of Lemma 5.3.2 in the case (5.3.2.1a).

Lemma 1.2.2. - Let S be a regular noetherian scheme and $\mathrm{D} \subset \mathrm{S}$ be a divisor with simple normal crossings. Let $f: \mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{S}$ be a weakly strictly semi-stable curve such that the base change $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{W}}=$ $\mathrm{X} \times{ }_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{W} \rightarrow \mathrm{W}=\mathrm{S} \backslash \mathrm{D}$ is smooth.

Then, there exists a proper modification $\mathrm{X}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}$ such that $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{W}}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{W}}$ is an isomorphism, that $\mathrm{X}^{\prime}$ is regular and weakly strictly semi-stable over S and that $\mathrm{X}^{\prime} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{D}$ is a divisor with simple normal crossings.

Proof. - First, we consider the case where the following data are given:
Let $\operatorname{Spec} \mathrm{R} \subset \mathrm{S}$ be an affine open subscheme, $s_{1}, \ldots, s_{n} \in \mathrm{R}$ be elements defining irreducible components $\mathrm{D}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{D}_{n}$ of $\mathrm{D} \cap$ Spec $\mathrm{R}, d_{1}, \ldots, d_{n}>0$ be integers and let $\mathrm{X} \rightarrow$ Spec $\mathrm{R}\left[\mathrm{T}_{1}, \mathrm{~T}_{2}\right] /\left(\mathrm{T}_{1} \mathrm{~T}_{2}-s_{1}^{d_{1}} \cdots s_{n}^{d_{n}}\right)$ be an étale morphism over S. Let $c:\{1, \ldots, n\} \rightarrow$ $\{1,2\}$ be a function.

We define maps $\mathbf{N} \rightarrow \mathbf{N}^{2}, \mathbf{N} \rightarrow \mathbf{N}^{n}$ of monoids by $(1,1)$ and $\left(d_{1}, \ldots, d_{n}\right)$ and consider the amalgamate sum $\mathrm{P}=\mathbf{N}^{2}+_{\mathbf{N}} \mathbf{N}^{n}$. The dual $\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{P}^{*}$ is identified with $\left\{(a, b) \in \mathbf{N}^{2} \times \mathbf{N}^{n} \mid a_{1}+a_{2}=d_{1} b_{1}+\cdots+d_{n} b_{n}\right\}$. Let $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n} \in \mathbf{N}^{n}$ be the standard basis. For $i=1, \ldots, n$, we put $\mathrm{B}_{i}=\left\{(a, b) \in \mathrm{N} \mid b=e_{i}\right\}$. We identify $(1, i),(2, i) \in$ $\mathrm{A}=\{1,2\} \times\{1, \ldots, n\}$ with $\left(\left(d_{i}, 0\right), e_{i}\right),\left(\left(0, d_{i}\right), e_{i}\right) \in \mathrm{B}_{i}$ and regard A as a subset of $\mathrm{B}=\coprod_{i} \mathrm{~B}_{i} \subset \mathrm{~N}$. For each $j \in\{1, \ldots n\}$, let $\Sigma_{j}$ be the finite set consisting of $\sigma \subset \mathrm{B}_{j} \cup \mathrm{~A}$ satisfying the following conditions:

- If $(a, i) \in \sigma \cap \mathrm{A}$ for $i<j$, we have $a=c(i)$.
- If $\left(\left(a_{1}, a_{2}\right), e_{j}\right),\left(\left(a_{1}^{\prime}, a_{2}^{\prime}\right), e_{j}\right) \in \sigma \cap \mathrm{B}_{j}$, we have $\left|a_{1}-a_{1}^{\prime}\right| \leq 1$.
- We have $\{a \in\{1,2\} \mid(a, i) \in \sigma \cap \mathrm{A}, i>j\} \varsubsetneqq\{1,2\}$.

We put $\Sigma=\bigcup_{j=1}^{n} \Sigma_{j}$. For each $\sigma \in \Sigma$, the submonoid $\mathrm{N}_{\sigma} \subset \mathrm{N}$ generated by $\sigma$ is isomorphic to $\mathbf{N}^{s}$ for $s=$ Card $\sigma \geq 0$. For $(a, b) \in \mathbf{N}$, if there exists an integer $1 \leq j \leq n$ not
satisfying the inequalities

$$
a_{1} \geq \sum_{i \leq j, c(i)=1} b_{i} d_{i} \quad \text { and } \quad a_{2} \geq \sum_{i \leq j, c(i)=2} b_{i} d_{i},
$$

then, for the smallest such $j$, there exists $\sigma \in \Sigma_{j}$ such that $(a, b) \in \mathrm{N}_{\sigma}$. If otherwise, we have $(a, b) \in \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{C}}$ for $\mathrm{C}=\{(c(i), i) \mid i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}\} \in \Sigma_{n}$. Hence, $\Sigma$ defines a regular proper subdivision of N .

The étale morphism $\mathrm{X} \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec} \mathrm{R}\left[\mathrm{T}_{1}, \mathrm{~T}_{2}\right] /\left(\mathrm{T}_{1} \mathrm{~T}_{2}-s_{1}^{d_{1}} \cdots s_{n}^{d_{n}}\right)$ induces a morphism $\mathrm{X} \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec} \mathbf{Z}\left[\mathrm{T}_{1}, \mathrm{~T}_{2}, \mathrm{~S}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{~S}_{n}\right] /\left(\mathrm{T}_{1} \mathrm{~T}_{2}-\mathrm{S}_{1}^{d_{1}} \cdots \mathrm{~S}_{n}^{d_{n}}\right)=\operatorname{Spec} \mathbf{Z}[\mathrm{P}]$. Hence the log blow$\operatorname{up} \mathrm{X}_{\Sigma}$ is defined by the regular proper subdivision $\Sigma$.

We show that the scheme $\mathrm{X}_{\Sigma}$ satisfies the condition. We consider the dual $\pi^{*}: \mathrm{N} \rightarrow \mathbf{N}^{n}$ of the canonical map $\pi: \mathbf{N}^{n} \rightarrow \mathrm{P}=\mathbf{N}^{2}+_{\mathbf{N}} \mathbf{N}^{n}$. Let $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{s}$ and $e_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, e_{n}^{\prime}$ be standard bases of $\mathbf{N}^{s}$ and of $\mathbf{N}^{n}$. Then, $\pi^{*}$ maps the elements of $\mathbf{B}_{i}$ to $e_{i}^{\prime} \in \mathbf{N}^{n}$. Let $\sigma \in \Sigma_{i}$ and take an isomorphism $\mathbf{N}^{s} \rightarrow \mathrm{~N}_{\sigma}$ to the submonoid generated by $\sigma$. We consider the composition $\varphi: \mathbf{N}^{s} \rightarrow \mathbf{N}^{n}$ with the restriction $\mathrm{N}_{\sigma} \rightarrow \mathbf{N}^{n}$. Then, there exists a map $g:\{1, \ldots, s\} \rightarrow\{1, \ldots, n\}$ such that $\varphi\left(e_{j}\right)=e_{g(j)}$ for $j=1, \ldots, s$. Further, for $i^{\prime}=1, \ldots, n$, we have $\operatorname{Card}\left(g^{-1}\left(i^{\prime}\right)\right) \leq 1$ for $i \neq i^{\prime}$ and $\operatorname{Card}\left(g^{-1}(i)\right) \leq 2$. Thus, we have either an étale map $\mathrm{X}_{\sigma} \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec} \mathrm{R}\left[\mathrm{T}_{1}, \mathrm{~T}_{2}\right] /\left(\mathrm{T}_{1} \mathrm{~T}_{2}-s_{i}\right)$ or an étale map $\mathrm{X}_{\sigma} \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec} \mathrm{R}[\mathrm{T}]$. Hence, the $\log$ blow-up $\mathrm{X}_{\Sigma}$ is weakly strictly semi-stable over S and regular. Further $\mathrm{D} \times \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{S}}$ is a divisor with simple normal crossings.

We prove the general case. To patch the local construction above, we fix a numbering of irreducible components of $\mathrm{E}=\mathrm{X} \times{ }_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{D}$. Let $\operatorname{Spec} \mathrm{R} \subset \mathrm{S}$ be an affine open and $\mathrm{V} \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec} \mathrm{R}\left[\mathrm{T}_{1}, \mathrm{~T}_{2}\right] /\left(\mathrm{T}_{1} \mathrm{~T}_{2}-s_{1}^{d_{1}} \cdots s_{n}^{d_{n}}\right)$ be an étale map defined on an open subscheme V of X . We assume that each $\mathrm{V} \times \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{D}_{i}$ has two irreducible components $\mathrm{E}_{1, i}$ and $\mathrm{E}_{2, i}$ defined by $\left(\mathrm{T}_{1}, s_{i}\right)$ and $\left(\mathrm{T}_{2}, s_{i}\right)$ respectively. We define a function $c:\{1, \ldots, n\} \rightarrow\{1,2\}$ by requiring that the index of the irreducible component $\mathrm{E}_{c(i), i}$ is the smaller among $\mathrm{E}_{1, i}$ and $\mathrm{E}_{2, i}$ with respect to the fixed numbering of the irreducible components of E . By changing the numbering of $\mathrm{D}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{D}_{n}$, we may assume that the indices of the sequence $\mathrm{E}_{c(1), 1}, \ldots, \mathrm{E}_{c(n), n}$ is increasing. With this numbering and the definition of $c$, it is easily seen that the $\log$ blow-ups $\mathrm{V}_{\Sigma}$ patch globally and define a modification $\mathrm{X}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}$.

The following lemma will be used in the proof of Corollary 5.3.2 the case (5.3.2.1b) but not in the proof of the conductor formula.

Lemma 1.2.3. - Let S be a regular noetherian scheme and $\mathrm{D} \subset \mathrm{S}$ be a divisor with simple normal crossings. Let $f: \mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{S}$ be a weakly strictly semi-stable scheme such that the base change $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{W}}=\mathrm{X} \times \mathrm{W}, \mathrm{W}=\mathrm{S} \backslash \mathrm{D}$ is smooth.

For an irreducible component $\mathrm{D}_{i}$ of D , let $\mathrm{I}_{i}$ be the set of irreducible components of $\mathrm{X} \times \mathrm{D}_{i}$ and, for $x \in \mathrm{X}$ and $s=f(x) \in \mathrm{S}$, let $\mathrm{I}_{x}$ be the set of irreducible components of the fiber $\mathrm{X}_{s}$ containing $x$. We assume that the following condition is satisfied:
(1.2.3.1) There exist a family of functions $\varphi_{i}: \mathrm{I}_{i} \rightarrow \mathbf{N}$ and a total order on the finite set $\mathbf{I}_{x}$ for every $x \in \mathbf{X}$ satisfying the following condition: If $s=f(x) \in \mathbf{D}_{i}$ and if the map $\mathrm{I}_{x} \rightarrow \mathrm{I}_{i}$ induced by the inclusion $\mathrm{X}_{s} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{D}_{i}}$ is injective, then the composition $\mathrm{I}_{x} \rightarrow \mathbf{N}$ with $\varphi_{i}$ is injective and increasing.

Then, there exists a proper modification $\mathrm{X}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}$ such that $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{W}}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{W}}$ is an isomorphism, that $\mathrm{X}^{\prime}$ is regular and weakly strictly semi-stable over S and that $\mathrm{X}^{\prime} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{D}$ is a divisor with simple normal crossings.

Proof. - First, we consider the case where the irreducible components $\mathrm{D}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{D}_{q}$ of D are defined by $t_{1}, \ldots, t_{q}$, there exists a smooth map $\mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{S}\left[\mathrm{T}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{~T}_{r}\right] /\left(\mathrm{T}_{1} \cdots \mathrm{~T}_{r}-\right.$ $t_{1}^{m_{1}} \cdots t_{q}^{m_{q}}$ ) for integers $m_{1}, \ldots, m_{q} \geq 0$ and the total order on $\mathrm{I}_{x}$ is induced by the natural order on $\{1, \ldots, r\}$. We define morphisms $\mathbf{N} \rightarrow \mathbf{N}^{r}$ and $e: \mathbf{N} \rightarrow \mathbf{N}^{q}$ by $1 \mapsto(1, \ldots, 1)$ and by $1 \mapsto\left(m_{1}, \ldots, m_{q}\right)$ respectively. Let P be the amalgamate sum $\mathbf{N}^{r}+_{\mathbf{N}} \mathbf{N}^{q}$ with respect to the morphisms above. We consider the map $\mathrm{P} \rightarrow \Gamma\left(\mathrm{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}}\right)$ of monoids defined by $\mathrm{T}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{~T}_{r}$ and $t_{1}, \ldots, t_{q}$.

We define the dual morphisms $\left|\mid: \mathbf{N}^{r} \rightarrow \mathbf{N}\right.$ and $m^{*}: \mathbf{N}^{q} \rightarrow \mathbf{N}$ by $|\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{r}\right) \mid=$ $a_{1}+\cdots+a_{r}$ and $m^{*}\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{q}\right)=m_{1} b_{1}+\cdots+m_{q} b_{q}$. Then, the dual monoid $\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{P}^{*}$ is identified with $\left\{(a, b) \in \mathbf{N}^{r} \times \mathbf{N}^{\eta}| | a \mid=m^{*}(b)\right\}$. We define a regular proper subdivision $\Sigma$ of N . Let V be the finite set $\left\{(a, j) \in \mathbf{N}^{r} \times\{1, \ldots, q\}| | a \mid=m_{j}\right\}$. We regard V as a subset of N by identifying $(a, j) \in \mathrm{V}$ with $f_{a, j}=\left(a, f_{j}\right) \in \mathrm{N}$ where $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{q}$ denote the canonical basis of $\mathbf{N}^{q}$. For a vector $a \in \mathbf{N}^{r}$, we put $\operatorname{Supp}(a)=\left\{i \in\{1, \ldots, r\} \mid a_{i}>0\right\}$. For elements $(a, j),\left(a^{\prime}, j^{\prime}\right) \in \mathrm{V}$, we write $(a, j) \leq\left(a^{\prime}, j^{\prime}\right)$ if $\max \operatorname{Supp}(a) \leq \min \operatorname{Supp}\left(a^{\prime}\right)$ and $j \leq j^{\prime}$. The relation $\leq$ satisfies the anti-symmetry law and the transitivity law but not the reflexive law. By abuse of terminology, we say a subset $\sigma \subset \mathrm{V}$ is totally ordered if $(a, j),\left(a^{\prime}, j^{\prime}\right) \in \sigma$ implies either $(a, j) \leq\left(a^{\prime}, j^{\prime}\right),\left(a^{\prime}, j^{\prime}\right) \leq(a, j)$ or $(a, j)=\left(a^{\prime}, j^{\prime}\right)$. We put $\Sigma=\{\sigma \subset \mathrm{V} \mid \sigma$ is totally ordered $\}$. For $\sigma \in \Sigma$, we consider the submonoid $\mathrm{N}_{\sigma} \subset \mathrm{N}$ generated by $f_{a, j}$ for $(a, j) \in \sigma$. For each $(a, b) \in \mathrm{N}$, one can easily find the minimum totally ordered subset $\sigma \in \Sigma$ satisfying $(a, b) \in \mathrm{N}_{\sigma}$. Thus, $\Sigma$ defines a regular proper subdivision. Hence the log blow-up $\mathrm{X}^{\prime}=\mathrm{X}_{\Sigma}$ is regular and $\mathrm{X}^{\prime} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{D}$ is a divisor with simple normal crossings.

By the assumption on the existence of the functions and the total orders, the log blow-ups constructed above patch globally to give the required $\mathrm{X}^{\prime}$.
1.3. Log products and log blow-ups. - We fix some terminology and notation on log products, which will be constantly used throughout this paper. For the generality on log schemes, we refer to [22], [19], [26, Section 4]. In this paper, unless otherwise explicitly stated, a $\log$ structure means an fs-log structure defined Zariski locally. In particular, a $\log$ structure $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{X}}$ is a sheaf of commutative monoids on the Zariski site of a scheme X endowed with a morphism of sheaf of monoids $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{X}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}}$ where $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}}$ is regarded as a sheaf of monoids with respect to the multiplication. Further, Zariski locally on X, the log structure $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{X}}$ admits a chart by an fs-monoid. For a $\log$ structure $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{x}}$, let $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathrm{x}}$ denote the quotient $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{X}} / \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}}^{\times}$.

We recall some basic facts on log schemes from [22], [26, Section 4.3]. For morphisms $X \rightarrow S$ and $Y \rightarrow S$ of $\log$ schemes, the fiber product $X \times_{S}^{\log } Y$ is defined as a log scheme. Note that $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y}, \mathrm{S}$ are assumed to be fs-log schemes and $\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{S}}^{\log } \mathrm{Y}$ is the fiber product in the category of fs-log schemes. We put log in the notation to indicate that the underlying scheme can be different from $\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{Y}$ in the category is schemes. However, for example if at least one of the morphisms $\mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{S}$ and $\mathrm{Y} \rightarrow \mathrm{S}$ is strict, the underlying scheme is $\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{Y}$. In such a case, we will drop $\log$ in the notation.

For a Cartier divisor D of a scheme X defined by the ideal sheaf $\mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{D}} \subset \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}}$, the associated $\log$ structure is defined to be $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{X}}=\bigcup_{n \in \mathbf{N}} \mathcal{I}_{\text {som }}^{\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}}}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}}, \mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{D}}^{n}\right)$ endowed with the injection $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{X}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}}$ induced by the inclusions $\mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{D}}^{n} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}}$. For a finite family $\mathcal{D}=\left(\mathrm{D}_{i}\right)_{i \in \mathrm{I}}$ of Cartier divisors $\mathrm{D}_{i} \subset \mathrm{X}$, the associated $\log$ structure is defined by the amalgamated sum of those associated to $\mathrm{D}_{i}$ for $i \in \mathrm{I}$ over $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}}^{\times}$. It is the push-out of the $\log$ structures defined by $\mathrm{D}_{i}$ for $i \in \mathrm{I}$. We have a canonical map $\mathbf{N}^{\mathrm{I}} \rightarrow \Gamma\left(\mathrm{X}, \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathrm{X}}\right)$ that can be lifted to a chart locally on X.

Let P be an fs-monoid and we consider two morphisms $\mathrm{P} \rightarrow \Gamma\left(\mathrm{X}, \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathrm{X}}\right)$ of monoids. Then, by applying [26, Proposition 4.2.3] to the surjection $\mathrm{P}+\mathrm{P} \rightarrow \mathrm{P}$, we conclude that the functor sending a log scheme T to the set

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\{f: \mathrm{T} \rightarrow \mathrm{X} \mid \text { the two compositions } \mathrm{P} \rightarrow \Gamma\left(\mathrm{X}, \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathrm{X}}\right) \xrightarrow{f^{*}} \Gamma\left(\mathrm{~T}, \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathrm{T}}\right)\right. \\
& \quad \text { are equal to each other }\}
\end{aligned}
$$

of morphisms of log schemes is representable by a log étale scheme over X , that may be denoted by $\mathrm{X} \times{ }_{\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{P}}^{\log } \mathrm{X}$. Locally on X , it is constructed as follows. Let $\widetilde{\mathrm{P}}$ be the inverse image of P by the sum $\mathrm{P}^{\text {gp }} \oplus \mathrm{P}^{g p} \rightarrow \mathrm{P}^{\text {gp }}$. Locally on X , we take liftings $\mathrm{P} \rightarrow \Gamma\left(\mathrm{X}, \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{X}}\right)$ of $\mathrm{P} \rightarrow \Gamma\left(\mathrm{X}, \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{X}}\right)$ and let $\mathrm{X} \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec} \mathbf{Z}[\mathrm{P}+\mathrm{P}]$ be the induced morphism of log schemes. Then, $\mathrm{X} \times{ }_{\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{P}}^{\log } \mathrm{X}$ is constructed as $\mathrm{X} \times \times_{\mathrm{Spec} \mathbf{Z}[\mathrm{P}+\mathrm{P}]}^{\log } \operatorname{Spec} \mathbf{Z}[\widetilde{P}]$.

We apply the construction in the following case. Let $\mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{S}$ and $\mathrm{Y} \rightarrow \mathrm{S}$ be morphisms of log schemes, P be an fs-monoid and $\mathrm{P} \rightarrow \Gamma\left(\mathrm{X}, \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathrm{X}}\right)$ and $\mathrm{P} \rightarrow \Gamma\left(\mathrm{Y}, \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathrm{Y}}\right)$ be morphisms of monoids. Then, they induces two morphisms $\mathrm{P} \rightarrow \Gamma\left(\mathrm{X} \times{ }_{\mathrm{S}}^{\log ^{\mathrm{Y}}} \mathrm{Y}, \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{s}}{ }^{\log } \mathrm{Y}}\right)$. By applying the construction above, we define the $\log$ product $\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{P}}^{\log } \mathrm{Y}$. It represents the functor sending a $\log$ scheme T over S to the set
$\left\{\begin{array}{llll}\mathrm{P} & & \Gamma\left(\mathrm{X}, \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathrm{X}}\right) \\ (f: \mathrm{T} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}, g: \mathrm{T} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}) \mid \text { the diagram } & \downarrow & \downarrow^{f^{*}} & \text { is commutative } \\ \Gamma\left(\mathrm{Y}, \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathrm{Y}}\right) \xrightarrow{g^{*}} & \Gamma\left(\mathrm{~T}, \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathrm{T}}\right)\end{array}\right\}$
of pairs of morphisms of log schemes over S.
Lemma 1.3.1. - If $\mathrm{P} \rightarrow \Gamma\left(\mathrm{X}, \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathrm{X}}\right)$ and $\mathrm{P} \rightarrow \Gamma\left(\mathrm{Y}, \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathrm{Y}}\right)$ are locally lifted to charts, then the projections $\mathrm{X} \times{ }_{\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{P}}^{\log } \mathrm{Y} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}$ and $\mathrm{X} \times{ }_{\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{P}}^{\log } \mathrm{Y} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}$ are strict morphisms of $\log$ schemes.

Proof. - By the construction above, the monoid P also defines charts on $\mathrm{X} \times{ }_{\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{P}}^{\log } \mathrm{Y}$.

We consider the following variant. Let $\mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{S}$ and $\mathrm{Y} \rightarrow \mathrm{S}$ be morphisms of log schemes, P and Q be fs-monoids and

be a commutative diagram of morphisms of monoids. Then, we define the log product $\mathrm{X} \times \times_{\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{P} / \mathrm{Q}}^{\log } \mathrm{Y}$ by the Cartesian diagram
(1.3.1.1)

where the bottom arrow is the diagonal map and the right vertical arrow is defined by functoriality.

We make the construction explicit in the case where the $\log$ structures of X and Y are defined by finite families $\mathcal{D}=\left(\mathrm{D}_{i}\right)_{i \in \mathrm{I}}$ and $\mathcal{E}=\left(\mathrm{E}_{i}\right)_{i \in \mathrm{I}}$ of Cartier divisors $\mathrm{D}_{i} \subset \mathrm{X}$ and of $\mathrm{E}_{i} \subset \mathrm{Y}$ with the same index set and the $\log$ structure of S is trivial. We define the log product

## (1.3.1.2) $\quad\left(\mathrm{X} \times{ }_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{Y}\right)_{\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}, \mathcal{E}}^{\sim}$

to be $\mathrm{X} \times{ }_{\mathrm{S}, \mathbf{N}^{\mathrm{I}}}^{\log } \mathrm{Y}$ defined by the canonical morphisms $\mathbf{N}^{\mathrm{I}} \rightarrow \Gamma\left(\mathrm{X}, \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathrm{X}}\right), \mathbf{N}^{\mathrm{I}} \rightarrow \Gamma\left(\mathrm{Y}, \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathrm{Y}}\right)$. The canonical morphism $\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{Y}\right)_{\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{E}} \rightarrow \mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{Y}$ is $\log$ étale. If $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Y}$ and $\mathcal{D}=\mathcal{E}$, we let $\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{Y}\right)_{\tilde{\mathcal{D}}, \mathcal{E}}$ denoted by $\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{X}\right)_{\mathcal{D}}$. Further if $\mathcal{D}$ is clear from the context, we drop the subscript $\mathcal{D}$.

Locally, the log product $\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{Y}\right)_{\tilde{\mathcal{D}}, \mathcal{E}}$ is described as follows. Assume that $\mathrm{D}_{i}$ and $\mathrm{E}_{i}$ are defined by $f_{i} \in \Gamma\left(\mathbf{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}}\right)$ and $g_{i} \in \Gamma\left(\mathrm{Y}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Y}}\right)$ respectively. Then, $\left(f_{i}\right)_{i \in \mathrm{I}}$ and $\left(g_{i}\right)_{i \in \mathrm{I}}$ define maps of monoids $\mathbf{N}^{\mathrm{I}} \rightarrow \Gamma\left(\mathrm{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}}\right)$ and $\mathbf{N}^{\mathrm{I}} \rightarrow \Gamma\left(\mathrm{Y}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Y}}\right)$ and they further induce a map $\mathrm{P}=\mathbf{N}^{\mathrm{I}} \times \mathbf{N}^{\mathrm{I}} \rightarrow \Gamma\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{Y}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X} \times{ }_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{Y}}\right)$ from the direct sum. We identify the dual monoid $\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{P}^{*}$ with $\mathbf{N}^{\mathrm{I}} \times \mathbf{N}^{\mathrm{I}}$ and let $\mathrm{N}_{\sigma}=\mathbf{N}^{\mathrm{I}} \subset \mathbf{N}^{\mathrm{I}} \times \mathbf{N}^{\mathrm{I}}$ be the diagonal submonoid. Then, the corresponding submonoid $\mathrm{P}_{\sigma}=\left\{p \in \mathrm{P}^{\mathrm{gp}} \mid f(p) \in \mathbf{N}\right.$ for $\left.f \in \mathrm{~N}_{\sigma}\right\} \subset \mathrm{P}^{\text {gp }}=\mathbf{Z}^{\mathrm{I}} \times \mathbf{Z}^{\mathrm{I}}$ is equal to $\left\{(a, b) \in \mathbf{Z}^{\mathrm{I}} \times \mathbf{Z}^{\mathrm{I}} \mid a+b \in \mathbf{N}^{\mathrm{I}}\right\}$. The log product $\left(\mathrm{X} \times{ }_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{Y}\right)_{\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{E}}^{\sim}$ is then equal to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{Y}\right)_{\sigma} \\
& \quad=\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{Y}\right) \times_{\mathrm{Spec} \mathbf{Z}[\mathrm{P}]} \operatorname{Spec} \mathbf{Z}\left[\mathrm{P}_{\sigma}\right] \\
& \quad=\left(\mathrm{X} \times{ }_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{Y}\right) \times_{\mathrm{Spec} \mathbf{Z}\left[\mathrm{~S}_{i}, \mathrm{~T}_{i} ; i \in \mathrm{I}\right]} \operatorname{Spec} \mathbf{Z}\left[\mathrm{S}_{i}, \mathrm{~T}_{i}, \mathrm{U}_{i}^{ \pm 1} ; i \in \mathrm{I}\right] /\left(\mathrm{S}_{i}-\mathrm{U}_{i} \mathrm{~T}_{i} ; i \in \mathrm{I}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

We have a global embedding as follows. For each $i \in \mathrm{I}$, let $\mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{D}_{i}} \subset \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{x}}$ and $\mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{E}_{i}} \subset$ $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Y}}$ be the ideal sheaves. We consider the $\mathbf{P}^{1}$-bundle $\mathbf{P}\left(\mathrm{pr}_{1}^{*} \mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{D}_{i}} \oplus \operatorname{pr}_{2}^{*} \mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{E}_{i}}\right)$ over $\mathrm{X} \times{ }_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{Y}$. The complement $\mathrm{P}_{i} \subset \mathbf{P}\left(\mathrm{pr}_{1}^{*} \mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{D}_{i}} \oplus \mathrm{pr}_{2}^{*} \mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{E}_{i}}\right)$ of the two sections defined by the surjections $\mathrm{pr}_{1}^{*} \mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{D}_{i}} \oplus \mathrm{pr}_{2}^{*} \mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{E}_{i}} \rightarrow \mathrm{pr}_{1}^{*} \mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{D}_{i}}$ and $\mathrm{pr}_{1}^{*} \mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{D}_{i}} \oplus \mathrm{pr}_{2}^{*} \mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{E}_{i}} \rightarrow \mathrm{pr}_{2}^{*} \mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{E}_{i}}$ is a $\mathbf{G}_{m}$-torsor over $\mathrm{X} \times{ }_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{Y}$. The $\log$ product $\left(\mathrm{X} \times{ }_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{Y} \tilde{\mathcal{D}}, \mathcal{E}^{\sim}\right.$ is a closed subscheme of the fiber product $\prod_{i \in \mathrm{I} \times{ }_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{Y}} \mathrm{P}_{i}$ over $\mathrm{X} \times{ }_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{Y}$.

We consider the variant of $\log$ product. Further, let B be a Cartier divisor of S and $\left(n_{i}\right)$ be a family of integers $n_{i} \geq 0$ satisfying $f^{*} \mathrm{~B}=\sum_{i \in \mathrm{I}} n_{i} \mathrm{D}_{i}$ and $g^{*} \mathrm{~B}=\sum_{i \in \mathrm{I}} n_{i} \mathrm{E}_{i}$ for the same family $\left(n_{i}\right)_{i \in \mathrm{I}}$ of integers $n_{i} \geq 1$. We consider the log structure of S defined by B and define the log product

## (1.3.1.4) $\quad\left(\mathrm{X} \times{ }_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{Y}\right)_{\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{E} / \mathrm{B}}^{\sim}$

to be $\mathrm{X} \times \times_{\mathrm{S}, \mathbf{N}^{\mathrm{I}} / \underline{\mathbf{N}}}^{\log } \mathrm{Y}$ defined by the canonical morphisms $\mathbf{N} \rightarrow \Gamma\left(\mathrm{S}, \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathrm{S}}\right), \mathbf{N}^{\mathrm{I}} \rightarrow \Gamma\left(\mathrm{X}, \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathrm{X}}\right)$, $\mathbf{N}^{\mathrm{I}} \rightarrow \Gamma\left(\mathrm{Y}, \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathrm{Y}}\right)$. It is a closed subscheme of $\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{Y}\right)_{\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{E}}$. When B is clear from the context, we let $\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{Y}\right)_{\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{E} / \mathrm{B}}^{\sim}$ denoted by $\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathbf{s}} \mathrm{Y}\right)_{\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{E}}$ in order to distinguish it from $\left(\mathrm{X} \times{ }_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{Y}\right)_{\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{E}}$.

The $\log$ product $\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{Y}\right)_{\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{E} / \mathrm{B}}$ with respect to $\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{E}$ and B is locally described as follows. Suppose that $\mathrm{D}_{i}, \mathrm{E}_{i}$ and B are defined by $f_{i} \in \Gamma\left(\mathrm{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}}\right), g_{i} \in \Gamma\left(\mathrm{Y}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Y}}\right)$ and $a \in \Gamma\left(\mathrm{~S}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{S}}\right)$ respectively. We put $a=v \prod_{i} f_{i}^{n_{i}}$ and $a=w \prod_{i} g_{i}^{n_{i}}$ for $v \in \Gamma\left(\mathrm{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}}^{\times}\right)$and $w \in \Gamma\left(\mathrm{Y}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Y}}^{\times}\right)$. Then, $\left(\left(f_{i}\right)_{i \in \mathrm{I}}, v\right)$ and $\left(\left(g_{i}\right)_{i \in \mathrm{I}}, w\right)$ define maps of monoids $\mathbf{N}^{\mathrm{I}} \times \mathbf{Z} \rightarrow$ $\Gamma\left(\mathrm{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}}\right)$ and $\mathbf{N}^{\mathrm{I}} \times \mathbf{Z} \rightarrow \Gamma\left(\mathrm{Y}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Y}}\right)$. Let P be the amalgamate sum $\left(\mathbf{N}^{\mathrm{I}} \times \mathbf{Z}\right)+_{\mathbf{N}}\left(\mathbf{N}^{\mathrm{I}} \times \mathbf{Z}\right)$ with respect to the map $\mathbf{N} \rightarrow \mathbf{N}^{\mathrm{I}} \times \mathbf{Z}$ sending 1 to $\left(\left(n_{i}\right), 1\right)$. Then, they further induce a map $\mathrm{P} \rightarrow \Gamma\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{Y}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X} \times \mathrm{S}} \mathrm{Y}\right)$. We identify the dual monoid $\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{P}^{*}$ with $\left\{\left(\left(a_{i}\right),\left(b_{i}\right)\right) \in\right.$ $\left.\mathbf{N}^{\mathrm{I}} \times \mathbf{N}^{\mathrm{I}} \mid \sum_{i} n_{i} a_{i}=\sum_{i} n_{i} b_{i}\right\}$ and let $\mathrm{N}_{\sigma}=\mathbf{N}^{\mathrm{I}} \subset \mathrm{N} \subset \mathbf{N}^{\mathrm{I}} \times \mathbf{N}^{\mathrm{I}}$ be the diagonal submonoid. Then, the corresponding submonoid $\mathrm{P}_{\sigma} \subset \mathrm{P}^{\mathrm{gp}}=\left(\mathbf{Z}^{\mathrm{I}} \times \mathbf{Z} \oplus \mathbf{Z}^{\mathrm{I}} \times \mathbf{Z}\right) /\left\langle\left(\left(n_{i}\right), 1,\left(-n_{i}\right),-1\right)\right\rangle$ is equal to $\left\{\overline{\left(a, a^{\prime}, b, b^{\prime}\right)} \in \mathrm{P}^{\mathrm{Pp}} \mid a+b \in \mathbf{N}^{\mathrm{I}}\right\}$. The $\log \operatorname{product}\left(\mathrm{X} \times \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{Y} \tilde{\mathcal{D}}, \mathcal{E} / \mathrm{B}_{\sim}\right.$ is then equal to
(1.3.1.5) $\quad\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{Y}\right)_{\sigma}=\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{Y}\right) \times_{\mathrm{Spec} \mathbf{Z}[\mathrm{P}]} \operatorname{Spec} \mathbf{Z}\left[\mathrm{P}_{\sigma}\right]$

$$
=\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{Y}\right) \times_{\mathrm{Spec}} \mathbf{Z}\left[\mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{i}}, \mathrm{~T}_{i} ; i \in \mathrm{I}, \mathrm{~V}^{ \pm 1}, \mathrm{~W}^{ \pm 1]}\right]
$$

$$
\operatorname{Spec} \mathbf{Z}\left[\mathrm{S}_{i}, \mathrm{~T}_{i}, \mathrm{U}_{i}^{ \pm 1} ; i \in \mathrm{I}, \mathrm{~V}^{ \pm 1}, \mathrm{~W}^{ \pm 1}\right] /
$$

$$
\left(\mathrm{S}_{i}-\mathrm{U}_{i} \mathrm{~T}_{i} ; i \in \mathrm{I}, \mathrm{~W}-\mathrm{V} \prod_{i} \mathrm{U}_{i}^{n_{i}}\right)
$$

In other words, in the presentation (1.3.1.3), it is the closed subscheme defined by the relation $\mathrm{pr}_{2}^{*} w / \mathrm{pr}_{1}^{*} v=\prod_{i} \mathrm{U}_{i}^{n_{i}}$.

We study the boundary of $\log$ products. Let $i \in \mathrm{I}$ and put $\check{\mathrm{I}}_{i}=\mathrm{I} \backslash\{i\}$ and $\check{\mathcal{D}}_{i}=$ $\left(\mathrm{D}_{j}\right)_{j \mathrm{ǏII}_{i}}$. We define $\left(\mathrm{D}_{i} \times{ }_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{D}_{i}\right)_{\mathcal{D}_{i}}$ to be $\left(\mathrm{D}_{i} \times{ }_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{D}_{i}\right) \times{ }_{\mathrm{X} \times \mathrm{S} \mathrm{X}}\left(\mathrm{X} \times{ }_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{X}\right)_{\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_{i}}^{\sim}$. If $\mathrm{D}_{i} \cap \mathrm{D}_{j}=\mathrm{D}_{i} \times{ }_{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{D}_{j}$ is a Cartier divisor of $\mathrm{D}_{i}$ for every $j \in \check{\mathrm{I}}_{i}$, the scheme $\left(\mathrm{D}_{i} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{D}_{i}\right)_{\mathcal{D}_{i}}$ is the log product with respect to the family $\left(\mathrm{D}_{i} \times{ }_{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{D}_{j}\right)_{j \in \check{I}_{i}}$ denoted by $\mathcal{D}_{i}$.

Lemma 1.3.2. - Let $\mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{S}$ and $\mathcal{D}=\left(\mathrm{D}_{i}\right)_{i \in \mathrm{I}}$ be as above. Let $i \in \mathrm{I}$ and assume that $\mathrm{D}_{i} \cap \mathrm{D}_{j}=\mathrm{D}_{i} \times \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{D}_{j}$ is a Cartier divisor of $\mathrm{D}_{i}$ for every $j \in \mathrm{I}^{\prime}=\mathrm{I} \backslash\{i\}$.

1. The scheme $\mathrm{E}_{i}=\left(\mathrm{D}_{i} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{D}_{i}\right) \times_{(\mathrm{X} \times \mathrm{S} \mathrm{X})}\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{X}\right)_{\mathcal{D}}$ is equal to the inverse images $\operatorname{pr}_{1}^{-1}\left(\mathrm{D}_{i}\right)=\operatorname{pr}_{2}^{-1}\left(\mathrm{D}_{i}\right)$ of $\mathrm{D}_{i} \subset \mathrm{X}$ by the projections $\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{X}\right)_{\mathcal{D}}^{\sim} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}$. It is a $\mathbf{G}_{m}$-torsor over $\left(\mathrm{D}_{i} \times{ }_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{D}_{i}\right)_{\mathcal{D}_{i}}$. The restriction of the log diagonal map $\mathrm{D}_{i} \rightarrow \mathrm{E}_{i}$ defines a trivialization of the restriction of the $\mathbf{G}_{m}$-torsor $\mathrm{E}_{i} \rightarrow\left(\mathrm{D}_{i} \times{ }_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{D}_{i}\right)_{\mathcal{D}_{i}}^{\sim}$ to $\mathrm{D}_{i} \subset\left(\mathrm{D}_{i} \times \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{D}_{i}\right)_{\mathcal{D}_{i}}^{\sim}$.
2. Let B be a Cartier divisor of S . Assume that $f^{*} \mathrm{~B}=\sum_{j} n_{j} \mathrm{D}_{j}$ and that the coefficient $n_{i}$ of $\mathrm{D}_{i}$ in $f^{*} \mathrm{~B}$ is strictly positive $n_{i}>0$. Then, the intersection $\mathrm{E}_{i} \cap\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathbf{s}} \mathrm{X}\right)_{\mathcal{D} / \mathrm{B}}^{\sim}$ is a subscheme of a $\mu_{n_{i}}$-torsor over $\left.\left(\mathrm{D}_{i} \times{ }_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{D}_{i}\right)\right)_{\mathcal{D}}$. The restriction of the $\log$ diagonal map $\mathrm{D}_{i} \rightarrow \mathrm{E}_{i}$ defines a trivialization of the restriction of the $\mu_{n_{i}}-$ torsor $\mathrm{E}_{i} \cap(\mathrm{X} \times \mathbf{S} \mathrm{X})_{\mathcal{D} / \mathrm{B}}^{\sim} \rightarrow\left(\mathrm{D}_{i} \times{ }_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{D}_{i}\right)_{\mathcal{D}_{i}}$ to $\mathrm{D}_{i} \subset\left(\mathrm{D}_{i} \times{ }_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{D}_{i}\right)_{\mathcal{D}_{i}}^{\sim}$.

Proof. - 1. Clear from the inductive construction $\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{X}\right)_{\mathcal{D}}^{\sim}=\left(\mathrm{X} \times{ }_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{X}\right)_{\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_{i}}^{\widetilde{ }} \times_{\mathrm{X} \times \mathrm{S}} \mathrm{X}$ $\left(\mathrm{X} \times{ }_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{X}\right)_{\mathrm{D}_{i}}^{\sim}$ of the $\log$ product.
2. Clear from the remark after (1.3.1.5).

We define a log blow-up $\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{Y}\right)_{\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{E}}^{\prime}$ of $\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{Y}$ containing the log product $\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{Y}\right)_{\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{E}}$ as an open subscheme. For $i \in \mathrm{I}$, let $\mathrm{B}_{i} \subset \mathrm{~N}=\mathbf{N}^{\mathrm{I}} \times \mathbf{N}^{\mathrm{I}}$ be the subset $\left\{\left(\left(a_{k}\right),\left(b_{k}\right)\right) \in \mathbf{N}^{\mathrm{I}} \times \mathbf{N}^{\mathrm{I}} \mid a_{k}=b_{k}=0\right.$ for $k \neq i$ and $\left.\left(a_{i}, b_{i}\right) \in\{(1,0),(0,1),(1,1)\}\right\}$ consisting of three elements and we put $\mathrm{B}=\bigcup_{i \in \mathrm{I}} \mathrm{B}_{i}$. Then the set $\Sigma=\{\sigma \mid \sigma \subset \mathrm{B}, \operatorname{Card}(\sigma \cap$ $\left.\mathrm{B}_{i}\right) \leq 2$ for every $\left.i \in \mathrm{I}\right\}$ defines a regular proper subdivision of N . We let the log blowup $\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{Y}\right)_{\Sigma}$ denoted by $\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{Y}\right)_{\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{E}}^{\prime}$. Since the diagonal submonoid $\mathbf{N}^{\mathrm{I}} \subset \mathbf{N}^{\mathrm{I}} \times \mathbf{N}^{\mathrm{I}}$ is generated by the subset $\sigma=\left\{\left(\left(a_{i}\right),\left(b_{i}\right)\right) \in \mathrm{B} \mid a_{i}=b_{i}\right.$ for every $\left.i \in \mathrm{I}\right\}$, the log product $\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{Y}\right)_{\tilde{\mathcal{D}}, \mathcal{E}}$ is an open subscheme of $\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{Y}\right)_{\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{E}}^{\prime}$.

We define a $\log$ blow-up $\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{Y}\right)_{\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{E} / \mathrm{B}}^{\prime}$ of $\mathrm{X} \times{ }_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{Y}$ containing the $\log$ product $\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{Y}\right)_{\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{E} / \mathrm{B}}$ as an open subscheme, assuming $n_{i} \in\{0,1\}$ for every $i \in \mathrm{I}$. In order to define the log blow-up, we choose and fix a total order of the subset $\mathrm{I}^{\prime}=\left\{i \in \mathrm{I} \mid n_{i}=1\right\}$ of the index set I.

First, we consider the case where $\mathrm{I}^{\prime}=\mathrm{I}$ namely $n_{i}=1$ for every $i \in \mathrm{I}$. The dual $\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{P}^{*}$ of $\mathrm{P}=\mathbf{N}^{\mathrm{I}}+{ }_{\mathbf{N}} \mathbf{N}^{\mathrm{I}}$ is identified with $\left\{(a, b) \in \mathbf{N}^{\mathrm{I}} \times \mathbf{N}^{\mathrm{I}} \mid \sum_{i} a_{i}=\sum_{i} b_{i}\right\}$. Let ( $e_{i}$ ) be the standard basis of $\mathbf{N}^{\mathrm{I}}$. We identify an element $(i, j) \in \mathrm{I} \times \mathrm{I}$ with $\left(e_{i}, e_{j}\right) \in \mathrm{N}$ and regard $\mathrm{I} \times \mathrm{I}$ as a subset of N . We consider the product order on the product $\mathrm{I} \times \mathrm{I}$. Let $\Sigma$ be the set of totally ordered subsets $\sigma \subset \mathrm{I} \times \mathrm{I}$. For $\sigma \in \Sigma$, let $\mathrm{N}_{\sigma} \subset \mathrm{N}$ be the submonoid generated by $\sigma$. Then, $\Sigma$ defines a regular proper subdivision of N . We let the log blowup $\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{Y}\right)_{\Sigma}$ denoted $\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{Y}\right)_{\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{E} / \mathrm{B}}^{\prime}$. Since the diagonal $\sigma=\Delta_{\mathrm{I}} \subset \mathrm{I} \times \mathrm{I}$ corresponds to
the diagonal submonoid $\mathbf{N}^{\mathrm{I}} \subset \mathrm{N} \subset \mathbf{N}^{\mathrm{I}} \times \mathbf{N}^{\mathrm{I}}$, the $\log$ product $\left(\mathrm{X} \times{ }_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{Y}\right)_{\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{E} / \mathrm{B}}$ is an open subscheme of $\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{Y}\right)_{\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{E} / \mathrm{B}}^{\prime}$.

In the general case, we put $\mathrm{I}^{\prime \prime}=\mathrm{I} \backslash \mathrm{I}^{\prime}$ and consider the subfamilies $\mathcal{D}^{\prime}=$ $\left(\mathrm{D}_{i}\right)_{i \in \mathrm{I}^{\prime}}, \mathcal{E}^{\prime}=\left(\mathrm{E}_{i}\right)_{i \in \mathrm{I}^{\prime}}, \mathcal{D}^{\prime \prime}=\left(\mathrm{D}_{i}\right)_{i \in \mathrm{I}^{\prime}}, \mathcal{E}^{\prime \prime}=\left(\mathrm{E}_{i}\right)_{i \in \mathrm{I}^{\prime}}$. Then, we define the log product $\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{Y}\right)_{\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{E} / \mathrm{B}}^{\prime}$ as the fiber product by

$$
\text { (1.3.2.1) } \quad\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{Y}\right)_{\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{E} / \mathrm{B}}^{\prime}=\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{Y}\right)_{\mathcal{D}^{\prime}, \mathcal{E}^{\prime} / \mathrm{B}}^{\prime} \times{ }_{\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{Y}}\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{Y}\right)_{\mathcal{D}^{\prime \prime}, \mathcal{E}^{\prime \prime}}^{\prime}
$$

1.4. Log Lefschetz trace formula over a discrete valuation ring. - We state and prove a $\log$ Lefschetz trace formula over a discrete valuation ring. Let L be a henselian discrete valuation field. We regard $T=\operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{L}}$ as a $\log$ scheme with the $\log$ structure defined by the closed point $t$ and also regard $t$ as a $\log$ point.

Let X be a weakly semi-stable scheme over $\mathrm{T}=\operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{L}}$ with smooth generic fiber $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{L}}$ and $\mathrm{D} \subset \mathrm{X}$ be a Cartier divisor with normal crossings relatively to T . Let $j: \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{L}} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}$ be the open immersion. In this section, we regard the scheme X as a log scheme with the $\log$ structure $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}} \cap j_{*} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{L}}}^{\times}$. It is $\log$ smooth over T. We consider the fiber $\mathrm{X}_{t}=\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{T}} t$ also as a log scheme over a $\log$ point $t$. We put $\mathrm{U}=\mathrm{X} \backslash \mathrm{D}$ and let $j_{\mathrm{U}}: \mathrm{U} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}$ be the open immersion.

If X is proper, we define the log étale cohomology with compact support by

$$
\mathrm{H}_{\log c}^{q}\left(\mathrm{U}_{\bar{l}}, \mathbf{Q}_{\ell}\right)=\mathrm{H}_{\log }^{q}\left(\mathrm{X}_{\bar{i}}, j_{\mathrm{U}!} \mathbf{Q}_{\ell}\right)
$$

where $j_{\mathrm{U}}$ ! is defined on the log étale site.
Lemma 1.4.1. - Let X be a proper weakly semi-stable scheme over $\mathrm{T}=\operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{L}}$ and $\mathrm{D} \subset \mathrm{X}$ be a Cartier divisor with normal crossings relatively to T . Then the cospecialization map
(1.4.1.1) $\quad \mathrm{H}_{\log _{c}}^{*}\left(\mathrm{U}_{\bar{t}}, \mathbf{Q}_{\ell}\right) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}_{c}^{*}\left(\mathrm{U}_{\overline{\mathrm{L}}}, \mathbf{Q}_{\ell}\right)$
is an isomorphism.
Proof. - In the case $\mathrm{D}=\emptyset$, it follows from [33, Theorem (3.2)(ii)]. We reduce the general case to this case. Let $\overline{\mathrm{D}}$ be the normalization D and let $\pi: \overline{\mathrm{D}} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}$ be the canonical map. Then, we have an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow j_{\mathrm{U}} \mathbf{Q}_{\ell, \mathrm{U}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Q}_{\ell, \mathrm{X}} \rightarrow \pi_{*} \mathbf{Q}_{\ell, \overline{\mathrm{D}}} \rightarrow$ $\Lambda^{2} \pi_{*} \mathbf{Q}_{\ell, \overline{\mathrm{D}}} \rightarrow \cdots$. Thus the assertion follows.

Let $\mathrm{X}^{\prime}$ be another weakly semi-stable scheme over T with smooth generic fiber $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{L}}^{\prime}$ and $\mathrm{D}^{\prime} \subset \mathrm{X}^{\prime}$ be a Cartier divisor with normal crossings relatively to T . We also regard $\mathrm{X}^{\prime}$ and $\mathrm{X}_{t}^{\prime}$ as $\log$ schemes over T and over $t$. Let $t_{t}: \mathrm{X}_{t} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}_{t}^{\prime}$ be an isomorphism of $\log$ schemes over $t$ inducing an isomorphism $\mathrm{D}_{t} \rightarrow \mathrm{D}_{t}^{\prime}$. Then, it induces an isomorphism $\iota_{t *}: \mathrm{H}_{\log c}^{q}\left(\mathrm{U}_{\bar{t}}, \mathbf{Q}_{\ell}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{\log _{c}}^{q}\left(\mathrm{U}_{\bar{l}}^{\prime}, \mathbf{Q}_{\ell}\right)$.

Let $\Gamma \subset \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{L}} \times \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{L}}^{\prime}$ be a closed subscheme of dimension $d=\operatorname{dim} \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{L}}$. We assume that the second projection $p_{2}: \Gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{L}}^{\prime}$ is proper. Then, in [27, Section 2.3], the map
(1.4.2.1)

$$
\Gamma^{*}: \mathrm{H}_{c}^{q}\left(\mathrm{U}_{\overline{\mathrm{L}}}^{\prime}, \mathbf{Q}_{\ell}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{c}^{q}\left(\mathrm{U}_{\overline{\mathrm{L}}}, \mathbf{Q}_{\ell}\right)
$$

is defined as $p_{1 *} p_{2}^{*}$. We also let $\Gamma^{*}$ denote the composition
(1.4.2.2)

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\mathrm{H}_{c}^{q}\left(\mathrm{U}_{\overline{\mathrm{L}}}, \mathbf{Q}_{\ell}\right) \\
\operatorname{cosp} . & \mathrm{H}_{c}^{q}\left(\mathrm{U}_{\overline{\mathrm{L}}}^{\prime}, \mathbf{Q}_{\ell}\right) & \xrightarrow{\Gamma^{*}} \mathrm{H}_{c}^{q}\left(\mathrm{U}_{\overline{\mathrm{L}}}, \mathbf{Q}_{\ell}\right) \\
\mathrm{H}_{\log c}^{q}\left(\mathrm{U}_{\bar{t}}, \mathbf{Q}_{\ell}\right) & \xrightarrow{\iota_{t *}} & \mathrm{H}_{\log c}^{q}\left(\mathrm{U}_{\bar{l}}^{\prime}, \mathbf{Q}_{\ell}\right)
\end{array}
$$

by abuse of notation. In this subsection, we give a Lefschetz trace formula computing the alternating sum

$$
(\text { 1.4.2.3 }) \quad \operatorname{Tr}\left(\Gamma^{*}: \mathrm{H}_{c}^{*}\left(\mathrm{U}_{\overline{\mathrm{L}}}, \mathbf{Q}_{\ell}\right)\right)=\sum_{q=0}^{2 d}(-1)^{q} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\Gamma^{*}: \mathrm{H}_{c}^{q}\left(\mathrm{U}_{\overline{\mathrm{L}}}, \mathbf{Q}_{\ell}\right)\right) \in \mathbf{Q}_{\mathcal{L}}
$$

assuming that X is weakly strictly semi-stable.
Let $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{X}^{\prime}, \mathrm{D}$ and $\mathrm{D}^{\prime}$ be as above. We assume further that X and $\mathrm{X}^{\prime}$ are weakly strictly semi-stable and that $\mathrm{D}=\mathrm{D}_{1}+\cdots+\mathrm{D}_{n}$ and $\mathrm{D}^{\prime}=\mathrm{D}_{1}^{\prime}+\cdots+\mathrm{D}_{n}^{\prime}$ have simple normal crossings with the same indices. Let $t_{t}: \mathrm{X}_{t} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}_{t}^{\prime}$ be an isomorphism of log schemes over $t$ inducing isomorphisms $\mathrm{D}_{i, t} \rightarrow \mathrm{D}_{i, t}^{\prime}$ for every $1, \ldots, n$.

Let $\mathbf{T}$ denote the $\log$ scheme T endowed with the $\log$ structure defined by the Cartier divisor $t$. We consider an fs-monoid P , a morphism $\mathbf{N} \rightarrow \mathrm{P}$ of monoids and a commutative diagram
(1.4.2.4)

of monoids satisfying the following condition:
(P) The vertical arrows are locally lifted to charts and compatible with the isomorphism $\iota_{t}: \mathrm{X}_{t} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}_{t}^{\prime}$.

To define the $\log$ product $\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathbf{T}} \mathrm{X}^{\prime}\right)^{\sim}$, we define $\mathbf{X}$ to be the $\log$ scheme X defined by the push-out $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{X}}^{\prime}$ of the $\log$ structure $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{X}}$ and that defined by the family $\mathcal{D}=\left(\mathrm{D}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{D}_{n}\right)$. Similarly, we define $\mathbf{X}^{\prime}$. We consider

and define the $\log$ product
(1.4.2.5) $\quad\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{T} \mathrm{X}^{\prime}\right)^{\sim}$
to be $\mathbf{X} \times_{\mathbf{T}, \mathrm{P} \oplus \mathbf{N}^{n} / \mathbf{N}} \mathbf{X}^{\prime}$. Since the canonical map $\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathbf{T}} \mathrm{X}^{\prime}\right)^{\sim} \rightarrow \mathrm{X} \times_{\mathbf{T}} \mathrm{X}^{\prime}$ is log étale, the projections $\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathbf{T}} \mathrm{X}^{\prime}\right)^{\sim} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}$ and $\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathbf{T}} \mathrm{X}^{\prime}\right)^{\sim} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}^{\prime}$ are $\log$ smooth. Similarly as Lemma 1.3.1, the projections are strict and hence smooth.

By the universality of $\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathbf{T}} \mathrm{X}^{\prime}\right)^{\sim}$, the immersion $\mathrm{X}_{t} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}$ and the composition $\iota_{t}: \mathrm{X}_{t} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}_{t}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}$ defines an immersion $\mathrm{X}_{t} \rightarrow\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathbf{T}} \mathrm{X}^{\prime}\right)^{\sim}$. By identifying $\mathrm{X}_{t}^{\prime}$ with $\mathrm{X}_{t}$ by the isomorphism $\iota_{t}$, let $\delta_{t}: \mathrm{X}_{t} \rightarrow\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathbf{T}} \mathrm{X}^{\prime}\right)^{\sim}$ denote the immersion. The generic fiber $\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathbf{T}} \mathrm{X}^{\prime}\right)^{\sim} \times_{T}$ Spec $L$ is identified with the $\log$ product $\left(\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{L}} \times_{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{L}}^{\prime}\right)^{\sim}$ with respect to the families of Cartier divisors $\mathrm{D}_{1, \mathrm{~L}}, \ldots, \mathrm{D}_{n, \mathrm{~L}}$ and $\mathrm{D}_{1, \mathrm{~L}}^{\prime}, \ldots, \mathrm{D}_{n, \mathrm{~L}}^{\prime}$.

Definition 1.4.3. - 1. For a scheme S, let $\mathrm{K}(\mathrm{S})$ denote the Grothendieck group of the exact category of locally free $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{S}}$-modules of finite rank. For a locally free $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{S}}$-modules $\mathcal{E}$ of finite rank, its class is denoted by $[\mathcal{E}] \in \mathrm{K}(\mathrm{S})$.
2. For a noetherian scheme S , let $\mathrm{G}(\mathrm{S})$ denote the Grothendieck group of the abelian category of coherent $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{S}}$-modules. For a coherent $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{S}}$-modules $\mathcal{F}$, its class is denoted by $[\mathcal{F}] \in \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{S})$. For an integral closed subscheme V , the class $\left[\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{V}}\right]$ is also denoted by $[\mathrm{V}]$.

We define a map $\mathrm{G}\left(\left(\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{L}} \times_{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{L}}^{\prime}\right)^{\sim}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{G}\left(\mathrm{X}_{t}\right)$ as follows.
Lemma 1.4.4. - Let X and $\mathrm{X}^{\prime}$ be weakly strictly semi-stable schemes over $\mathrm{T}=\operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{L}}$ with smooth generic fibers and $\mathrm{D}=\mathrm{D}_{1}+\cdots+\mathrm{D}_{n} \subset \mathrm{X}$ and $\mathrm{D}^{\prime}=\mathrm{D}_{1}^{\prime}+\cdots+\mathrm{D}_{n}^{\prime} \subset \mathrm{X}^{\prime}$ be divisors with simple normal crossings relatively to T with the same indices. Let $\iota_{t}: \mathrm{X}_{t} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}_{t}^{\prime}$ be an isomorphism of $\log$ schemes compatible with the numberings of D and $\mathrm{D}^{\prime}$ and we consider a commutative diagram (1.4.2.4) of monoids satisfying the condition $(\mathrm{P})$.

1. The pull-back $\mathrm{G}\left(\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathbf{T}} \mathrm{X}^{\prime}\right)^{\sim}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{G}\left(\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathbf{T}} \mathrm{X}^{\prime}\right)_{t}^{\sim}\right)$ by the closed immersion $\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathbf{T}} \mathrm{X}^{\prime}\right)_{t}^{\sim}$ $=\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathbf{T}} \mathrm{X}^{\prime}\right)^{\sim} \times_{\mathrm{T}} t \rightarrow\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathbf{T}} \mathrm{X}^{\prime}\right)^{\sim}$ induces a map
(1.4.4.1) $\quad \mathrm{G}\left(\left(\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{L}} \times_{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{L}}^{\prime}\right)^{\sim}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{G}\left(\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathbf{T}} \mathrm{X}^{\prime}\right)_{t}^{\sim}\right)$.
2. The map $\delta_{t}: \mathrm{X}_{t} \rightarrow\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathbf{T}} \mathbf{X}^{\prime}\right)_{t}^{\sim}$ is a regular immersion and it defines a pull-back
(1.4.4.2) $\quad \mathrm{G}\left(\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathbf{T}} \mathrm{X}^{\prime}\right)_{t}^{\sim}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{G}\left(\mathrm{X}_{t}\right)$.

Proof. - 1. Since the projection $\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathbf{T}} \mathrm{X}^{\prime}\right)^{\sim}$ is smooth over X , the scheme $\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathbf{T}} \mathrm{X}^{\prime}\right)^{\sim}$ is flat over T . Hence the closed immersion $\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathbf{T}} \mathrm{X}^{\prime}\right)_{t}^{\sim} \rightarrow\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathbf{T}} \mathrm{X}^{\prime}\right)^{\sim}$ is a regular immersion and is of finite tor-dimension. Thus, it induces a map $\mathrm{G}\left(\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathbf{T}} \mathrm{X}^{\prime}\right)^{\sim}\right) \rightarrow$ $\mathrm{G}\left(\left(\mathrm{X} \times \mathbf{T} \mathrm{X}^{\prime}\right)_{t}^{\sim}\right)$.

Since the sequence

$$
\mathrm{G}\left(\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathbf{T}} \mathrm{X}^{\prime}\right)_{t}^{\sim}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{G}\left(\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathbf{T}} \mathrm{X}^{\prime}\right)^{\sim}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{G}\left(\left(\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{L}} \times_{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{L}}^{\prime}\right)^{\sim}\right) \rightarrow 0
$$

is exact and since the composition

$$
\mathrm{G}\left(\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathbf{T}} \mathrm{X}^{\prime}\right)_{t}^{\sim}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{G}\left(\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathbf{T}} \mathrm{X}^{\prime}\right)^{\sim}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{G}\left(\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathbf{T}} \mathrm{X}^{\prime}\right)_{t}^{\sim}\right)
$$

is the zero-map, the assertion follows.
2. Since the projection $\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathbf{T}} \mathrm{X}^{\prime}\right)^{\sim} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}$ is smooth, the immersion $\delta_{t}: \mathrm{X}_{t} \rightarrow$ $\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathbf{T}} \mathrm{X}^{\prime}\right)_{t}^{\sim}$ is a section of a smooth map and is a regular immersion. Hence the pull-back on the Grothendieck groups is defined.

For an element $\widetilde{\Gamma} \in \mathrm{G}\left(\left(\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{L}} \times_{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{L}}^{\prime}\right)^{\sim}\right)$, by Lemma 1.4.4, its reduction $\widetilde{\Gamma}_{t} \in \mathrm{G}\left(\left(\mathrm{X} \times{ }_{\mathbf{T}}\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.\mathrm{X}^{\prime}\right)_{t}^{\sim}\right)$ and the intersection product

## (1.4.4.3) <br> $$
\left(\widetilde{\Gamma}_{t}, \Delta_{\mathrm{X}_{t}}\right)=\delta_{t}^{*}\left(\widetilde{\Gamma}_{t}\right) \in \mathrm{G}\left(\mathrm{X}_{t}\right)
$$

are defined.
Recall that for a weakly semi-stable scheme X over T , a semi-stable modification $\mathrm{X}_{\Sigma}$ is constructed in Lemma 1.2.1 by patching log blow-ups. By the construction, the pull-back $\mathrm{D}_{\Sigma}=\mathrm{D} \times{ }_{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{X}_{\Sigma}$ is a divisor of $\mathrm{X}_{\Sigma}$ with simple normal crossings relatively to T . The canonical map $\mathrm{X}_{\Sigma} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}$ induces an isomorphism $\mathrm{X}_{\Sigma, \mathrm{L}} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{L}}$ on the generic fiber.

Corollary 1.4.5. - Let weakly strictly semi-stable schemes $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{X}^{\prime}$ over T and an isomorphism $\iota_{t}: \mathrm{X}_{t} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}_{t}^{\prime}$ and a commutative diagram (1.4.2.4) of monoids be as in Lemma 1.4.4. Let $f: \mathrm{X}_{\Sigma} \rightarrow$ X and $f^{\prime}: \mathrm{X}_{\Sigma}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}^{\prime}$ be the semi-stable modification as above. Then, the diagram

is commutative.
Proof. - We show that the diagram
(1.4.5.1)

is Cartesian. By the definition (1.3.1.1) of $\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathbf{T}} \mathrm{X}^{\prime}\right)^{\sim}$, it suffices to show that the diagram with $\times_{\mathbf{T}}$ replaced by $\times_{T}$ is Cartesian. Since the assertion is local on $X \times_{T} X^{\prime}$, we may assume that we have charts $\mathrm{P} \rightarrow \Gamma\left(\mathrm{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}}\right)$ and $\mathrm{P} \rightarrow \Gamma\left(\mathrm{X}^{\prime}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}^{\prime}}\right)$. Let Q be a sub-fsmonoid of $\mathrm{P}^{\mathrm{gp}}$ containing P as a submonoid. Let $\widetilde{\mathrm{P}}$ be the inverse image of P by the sum $\mathrm{P}^{\mathrm{gp}} \oplus \mathrm{P}_{\widetilde{\mathrm{Q}}}^{\mathrm{gp}} \rightarrow \mathrm{P}^{\mathrm{gp}}$ and define $\widetilde{\mathrm{Q}}$ similarly. Then, since $\mathrm{P}^{\mathrm{gp}}=\mathrm{Q}^{\mathrm{gp}}$, the inclusions $\mathrm{P} \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathrm{P}}$, $\mathrm{Q} \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathrm{Q}}$ to the first factors induce an isomorphism $\mathbf{Z}[\widetilde{\mathrm{P}}] \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}[\mathrm{P}]} \mathbf{Z}[\mathrm{Q}] \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}[\widetilde{\mathrm{Q}}]$. Hence the diagram with $\mathrm{X}_{\Sigma}$ and $\mathrm{X}_{\Sigma}^{\prime}$ replaced by with $\mathrm{X} \times_{\text {Spec } \mathbf{Z}[\mathrm{P}]} \operatorname{Spec} \mathbf{Z}[\mathrm{Q}]$ and $\mathrm{X}^{\prime} \times_{\text {Spec } \mathbf{Z}[\mathrm{P}]}$ $\operatorname{Spec} \mathbf{Z}[Q]$ is Cartesian. Since $\mathbf{X}_{\Sigma}$ and $X_{\Sigma}^{\prime}$ are defined by patching them, the diagram (1.4.5.1) is Cartesian by the local construction of $\log$ product.

By the Cartesian diagram (1.4.5.1), we obtain a commutative diagram


Since the diagram

is commutative, the assertion follows.
Let $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{X}^{\prime}, \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{D}^{\prime}$ and $\iota_{t}: \mathrm{X}_{t} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}_{t}^{\prime}$ be as above. Assume X and $\mathrm{X}^{\prime}$ are strictly semistable and let $\mathrm{E}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{E}_{m}$ be the irreducible components of $\mathrm{X}_{t}$ and $\mathrm{E}_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, \mathrm{E}_{m}^{\prime}$ be the irreducible components of $\mathrm{X}_{t}^{\prime}$ such that $\iota_{t}$ maps $\mathrm{E}_{j}$ to $\mathrm{E}_{j}^{\prime}$ for $j=1, \ldots, m$. Then, the $\log$ product $\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathbf{T}} \mathrm{X}^{\prime}\right)^{\sim}$ is equal to $\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{X}^{\prime}\right)_{\mathcal{D} \cup \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{D}^{\prime} \cup \mathcal{E}^{\prime} / t}$ defined by the families of Cartier divisors $\mathcal{D}=\left(\mathrm{D}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{D}_{n}\right), \mathcal{E}=\left(\mathrm{E}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{E}_{m}\right)$ of $\mathrm{X}, \mathcal{D}^{\prime}=\left(\mathrm{D}_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, \mathrm{D}_{n}^{\prime}\right), \mathcal{E}=\left(\mathrm{E}_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, \mathrm{E}_{m}^{\prime}\right)$ of $\mathrm{X}^{\prime}$ and $t$ of T . Consequently, in this case, the $\log$ blow-up $\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathbf{T}} \mathrm{X}^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}$ is defined as (1.3.2.1) and contains $\left(X \times_{\mathbf{T}} \mathbf{X}^{\prime}\right)^{\sim}$ as an open subscheme. It contains the log product $\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathbf{T}} \mathrm{X}^{\prime}\right)^{\sim}$ as an open subscheme. The generic fiber of the log blow-up $\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathbf{T}} \mathrm{X}^{\prime}\right)^{\prime} \rightarrow$ $\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{X}^{\prime}$ is equal to the log blow-up $\left(\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{L}} \times_{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{L}}^{\prime}\right)^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{L}} \times_{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{L}}^{\prime}$ used in [27]. If $\mathrm{D}=\mathrm{D}^{\prime}=\emptyset$, the log blow-up $\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathbf{T}} \mathrm{X}^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}$ is equal to the $\log$ blow-up $\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{X}^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}$ used in [26].

Lemma 1.4.6. - Let $\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathbf{T}} \mathrm{X}^{\prime}\right)^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{X}^{\prime}$ be the log blow-up and let $\left(\mathrm{D} \times_{\mathbf{T}}\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{X}^{\prime}\right)^{\prime},\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathbf{T}} \mathrm{D}^{\prime}\right)^{\prime} \subset\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathbf{T}} \mathrm{X}^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}$ be the proper transforms of $\mathrm{D} \times_{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{X}^{\prime}, \mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{D}^{\prime} \subset \mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{X}^{\prime}$. We consider the open immersions


Then the canonical map
$(\mathbf{1 . 4 . 6 . 1}) \quad j_{1!} \mathbf{Q}_{\ell} \rightarrow R j_{j_{*}} j_{I L} \mathbf{Q}_{\ell}$
is an isomorphism on the $\log$ étale site.

Proof. - For an irreducible component $\mathrm{D}_{i}$ of D , let $\left(\mathrm{D}_{i} \times_{\mathbf{T}} \mathrm{X}^{\prime}\right)^{\prime} \subset\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathbf{T}} \mathrm{X}^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}$ denote the proper transform. For a subset $\mathrm{I} \subset\{1, \ldots, n\}$, we put $\bigcap_{i \in \mathrm{I}}\left(\mathrm{D}_{i} \times_{\mathbf{T}} \mathrm{X}^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}=\left(\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{I}} \times{ }_{\mathbf{T}} \mathrm{X}^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}$ and let $i_{\mathrm{I}}:\left(\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{I}} \times_{\mathbf{T}} \mathrm{X}^{\prime}\right)^{\prime} \backslash\left(\left(\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{I}} \times_{\mathbf{T}} \mathrm{X}^{\prime}\right)^{\prime} \cap\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathbf{T}} \mathrm{D}^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathbf{T}} \mathrm{X}^{\prime}\right)^{\prime} \backslash\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathbf{T}} \mathrm{D}^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}$ be the closed immersion. Then, we have an exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow j_{1!} \mathbf{Q}_{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{Q}_{C} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} i_{i *} \mathbf{Q}_{\bullet} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{1 \leq i<j \leq n} i_{\{i, j\} *} \mathbf{Q}_{\iota} \rightarrow \cdots
$$

Since $\left(\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{I}} \times_{\mathbf{T}} \mathrm{X}^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}$ is $\log$ smooth over T , the canonical map $i_{\mathrm{I} *} \mathbf{Q}_{\ell} \rightarrow \mathrm{R} j_{*} i_{\mathrm{IL} *} \mathbf{Q}_{\mathcal{L}}$ is an isomorphism by [33, Theorem (0.2)].

The following theorem is a key ingredient in the proof of a crucial step Proposition 6.3.2 of the proof of the conductor formula.

Theorem 1.4.7. - Let X and $\mathrm{X}^{\prime}$ be proper weakly strictly semi-stable schemes of relative dimension $d$ over T with smooth generic fibers and $\mathrm{D}=\mathrm{D}_{1}+\cdots+\mathrm{D}_{n} \subset \mathrm{X}$ and $\mathrm{D}^{\prime}=\mathrm{D}_{1}^{\prime}+\cdots+$ $\mathrm{D}_{n}^{\prime} \subset \mathrm{X}^{\prime}$ be divisors with simple normal crossings relatively to T . Let $\iota_{t}: \mathrm{X}_{t} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}_{t}^{\prime}$ be an isomorphism of proper $\log$ smooth schemes over $t$ inducing isomorphisms $\left(\mathrm{D}_{i}\right)_{t} \rightarrow\left(\mathrm{D}_{i}^{\prime}\right)_{t}$ for $i=1, \ldots, n$ and we consider a commutative diagram (1.4.2.4) of monoids satisfying the condition $(\mathrm{P})$. We put $\mathrm{U}=\mathrm{X} \backslash \mathrm{D}$ and $\mathrm{U}^{\prime}=\mathrm{X}^{\prime} \backslash \mathrm{D}^{\prime}$.

Let $\Gamma^{\prime} \subset\left(\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{L}} \times_{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{L}}^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}$ be a closed subscheme of dimension d satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma^{\prime} \cap\left(\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{L}} \times{ }_{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{L}}^{\prime}\right)^{\prime} \subset \Gamma^{\prime} \cap\left(\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{L}} \times{ }_{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{L}}^{\prime}\right)^{\prime} \tag{1.4.7.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and we put $\widetilde{\Gamma}=\Gamma^{\prime} \cap\left(\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{L}} \times_{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{L}}^{\prime}\right)^{\sim}$. Then, for $\Gamma=\Gamma^{\prime} \cap\left(\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{L}} \times_{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{L}}^{\prime}\right)$, the second projection $p_{2}: \Gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{L}}^{\prime}$ is proper and, for the composition $\Gamma^{*}$ (1.4.2.2), we have

$$
\text { (1.4.7.2) } \quad \operatorname{Tr}\left(\Gamma^{*}: \mathrm{H}_{c}^{*}\left(\mathrm{U}_{\overline{\mathrm{L}}}, \mathbf{Q}_{t}\right)\right)=\operatorname{deg}\left(\widetilde{\Gamma}_{t}, \Delta_{\mathrm{X}_{t}}\right)
$$

Note that $\left(\widetilde{\Gamma}_{t}, \Delta_{\mathrm{X}_{t}}\right)$ in the right hand side is defined in (1.4.4.3) using $\iota_{t}: \mathrm{X}_{t} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}_{t}^{\prime}$. The proof is a combination of that of [27, Theorem 2.3.4] and that of [26, Theorem 6.5.1]. In the proper case where $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{U}$, it is proved in [26, Theorem 6.5.1]. The proof consists of verifying that the method in the proof of [27, Theorem 2.3.4] to treat the open case also works in this context.

Proof. - By the argument in the beginning of the proof of [27, Theorem 2.3.4], the inclusion (1.4.7.1) implies that the second projection $\mathrm{pr}_{2}: \Gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{L}}^{\prime}$ is proper. Thus the endomorphism $\Gamma^{*}$ of $\mathrm{H}_{c}^{*}\left(\mathrm{U}_{\overline{\mathrm{L}}}, \mathbf{Q}_{\text {}}\right)$ is defined.

We prove the equality (1.4.7.2) first in the case where X and $\mathrm{X}^{\prime}$ are strictly semistable. We put

$$
\mathrm{H}_{!*}^{2 d}\left(\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{L}} \times{ }_{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{L}}^{\prime}, \mathbf{Q}_{d}(d)\right)=\mathrm{H}^{2 d}\left(\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{L}} \times_{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{L}}^{\prime},\left(j_{\mathrm{U}} \times 1\right)!\mathbf{Q}_{\ell}(d)\right)
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{H}_{\log ,!*}^{2 d}\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{X}^{\prime}, \mathbf{Q}_{\ell}(d)\right)=\mathrm{H}_{\log }^{2 d}\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{U}^{\prime},\left(j_{\mathrm{U}} \times 1\right)!\mathbf{Q}_{\ell}(d)\right), \\
& \mathrm{H}_{\log ,!*}^{2 d}\left(\mathrm{X}_{t} \times_{t} \mathrm{X}_{t}^{\prime}, \mathbf{Q}_{\ell}(d)\right)=\mathrm{H}_{\log }^{2 d}\left(\mathrm{X}_{t} \times_{t} \mathrm{U}_{t}^{\prime},\left(j_{\mathrm{U}} \times 1\right)!\mathbf{Q}_{\ell}(d)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, in [27, Lemma 2.3.2], the cycle class [ $\Gamma] \in \mathrm{H}_{!*}^{2 d}\left(\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{L}} \times_{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{L}}^{\prime}, \mathbf{Q}_{\ell}(d)\right)$ is defined and the map $\Gamma^{*}$ is described in terms of the cycle class [ $\Gamma$ ] as in the upper line of the diagram (1.4.7.4) below. We consider the image of [ $\Gamma$ ] by the composition


Similarly as Lemma 1.4.6, the first arrow is an isomorphism by [33, Proposition (4.2)].
Since the cospecialization maps are compatible with the pull-back, cup-product and the trace maps, we have a commutative diagram

## (1.4.7.4)



The composition of the arrows in the upper line is the map $\Gamma^{*}$ (1.4.2.1). We define $\Gamma_{t}^{*}$ to be the composition of the arrows in the lower line. Then, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Tr}\left(\Gamma^{*}: \mathrm{H}_{c}^{*}\left(\mathrm{U}_{\overline{\mathrm{L}}}, \mathbf{Q}_{\ell}\right)\right)=\operatorname{Tr}\left(\Gamma_{t}^{*} \circ \iota_{\iota *}: \mathrm{H}_{\log c}^{*}\left(\mathrm{U}_{\bar{t}}, \mathbf{Q}_{\ell}\right)\right) \tag{1.4.7.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The standard argument of the proof of Lefschetz trace formula using the Künneth formula [32, Theorem (6.2)] and the Poincaré duality [33, Proposition (4.4)] for log étale
cohomology, shows that the diagram

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\bigoplus_{q=0}^{2 d} \operatorname{End}\left(\mathrm{H}_{\log c}^{q}\left(\mathrm{U}_{\bar{t}}, \mathbf{Q}_{\ell}\right)\right) & \stackrel{\sim}{\hookrightarrow} & \mathrm{H}_{\log ,!*}^{2 d}\left(\mathrm{X}_{t} \times \mathrm{X}_{\bar{t}}^{\prime}, \mathbf{Q}_{\ell}(d)\right) \\
\operatorname{Tr} \downarrow & & \downarrow_{i}^{\delta_{i}^{*}} \\
\mathbf{Q}^{2} & \stackrel{\operatorname{Tr}}{ } & \mathrm{H}_{\log , c}^{2 d}\left(\mathrm{U}_{\bar{t}}, \mathbf{Q}_{\ell}(d)\right)
\end{array}
$$

is commutative. Hence, the right hand side of (1.4.7.5) is equal to $\operatorname{Tr}\left(\delta_{t}^{*}\left[\Gamma_{t}\right]\right)$. Thus the proof of (1.4.7.2) is reduced to showing
(1.4.7.6) $\quad \operatorname{Tr}\left(\delta_{t}^{*}\left[\Gamma_{t}\right]\right)=\operatorname{deg}\left(\widetilde{\Gamma}_{t}, \Delta_{\mathrm{X}_{t}}\right)$.

In the definition of the left hand side $\operatorname{Tr}\left(\delta_{t}^{*}\left[\Gamma_{t}\right]\right)$, we modify the diagram (1.4.7.3) using Lemma 1.4.6. We consider the log blow-up $\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathbf{T}} \mathrm{X}^{\prime}\right)^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{X}^{\prime}$ defined by (1.3.2.1). We consider the proper transforms $\left(\mathrm{D} \times_{\mathbf{T}} \mathrm{X}^{\prime}\right)^{\prime},\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathbf{T}} \mathrm{D}^{\prime}\right)^{\prime} \subset\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathbf{T}} \mathrm{X}^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}$ of $\mathrm{D} \times_{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{X}^{\prime}, \mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{D}^{\prime} \subset \mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{X}^{\prime}$ and let $j_{1}:\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathbf{T}} \mathrm{X}^{\prime}\right)^{\prime} \backslash\left(\left(\mathrm{D} \times_{\mathbf{T}} \mathrm{X}^{\prime}\right)^{\prime} \cup\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathbf{T}} \mathrm{D}^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow$ $\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathbf{T}} \mathrm{X}^{\prime}\right)^{\prime} \backslash\left(\mathrm{D} \times_{\mathbf{T}} \mathrm{X}^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}$ be the open immersion as in Lemma 1.4.6. In the following equalities, we define the left hand sides by the right hand sides

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{log}!*}^{*}\left(\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathbf{T}} \mathrm{X}^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}, \mathbf{Q}_{\ell}\right)=\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{log}}^{*}\left(\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathbf{T}} \mathrm{X}^{\prime}\right)^{\prime} \backslash\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathbf{T}} \mathrm{D}^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}, j_{1!} \mathbf{Q}_{\ell}\right) \\
& \mathrm{H}_{!*}^{*}\left(\left(\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{L}} \times_{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{L}}^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}, \mathbf{Q}_{\ell}\right)=\mathrm{H}^{*}\left(\left(\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{L}} \times_{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{L}}^{\prime}\right)^{\prime} \backslash\left(\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{L}} \times_{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{L}}^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}, j_{\mathrm{lL}}!\mathbf{Q}_{\ell}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We consider a commutative diagram

## (1.4.7.7)



The upper vertical arrows are the restrictions to the generic fiber and are isomorphisms by Lemma 1.4.6 and [33, Proposition (4.2)]. The top left horizontal arrow is an isomorphism by [27, Corollary 2.2.2]. By the proof of [27, Theorem 2.3.4], the cycle class $\left[\Gamma^{\prime}\right] \in \mathrm{H}_{!*}^{2 d}\left(\left(\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{L}} \times_{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{L}}^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}, \mathbf{Q}_{\ell}(d)\right)$ is the image of $[\Gamma] \in \mathrm{H}_{!*}^{2 d}\left(\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{L}} \times_{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{L}}^{\prime}, \mathbf{Q}_{L}(d)\right)$. Thus, in the diagram (1.4.7.3), we may replace $\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{X}^{\prime}$ etc. by $\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathbf{T}} \mathrm{X}^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}$ etc., $\mathrm{H}_{\text {log, }, c}^{2 d}\left(\mathrm{U}_{t}, \mathbf{Q}(d)\right)$ by $\mathrm{H}_{\log }^{2 d}\left(\mathrm{X}_{t}, \mathbf{Q}_{\ell}(d)\right)$ and $[\Gamma]$ by $\left[\Gamma^{\prime}\right]$. Further, we may replace $\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathbf{T}} \mathrm{X}^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}$ etc. by $\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathbf{T}} \mathrm{X}^{\prime}\right)^{\sim}$
etc. and $\left[\Gamma^{\prime}\right]$ by $[\widetilde{\Gamma}]$ and drop !*. Therefore the proof of (1.4.7.2) is further reduced to showing
(1.4.7.8)

$$
\operatorname{Tr}\left(\delta_{t}^{*}\left[\widetilde{\Gamma}_{t}\right]\right)=\operatorname{deg}\left(\widetilde{\Gamma}_{t}, \Delta_{\mathrm{X}_{t}}\right)
$$

where the left hand side is defined by


We prove (1.4.7.8). The proof goes similarly as that of [26, Theorem 6.5.1]. We identify $\operatorname{Gr}_{\mathrm{F}}^{d} \mathrm{~K}\left(\left(\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{L}} \times_{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{L}}^{\prime}\right)^{\sim}\right)_{\mathbf{Q}}$ with $\mathrm{Gr}_{0}^{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{G}\left(\left(\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{L}} \times_{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{L}}^{\prime}\right)^{\sim}\right)_{\mathbf{Q}}$ by the canonical isomorphism, cf. [26, Lemma 2.1.4]. Since we are assuming that X is strictly semi-stable, the $\log$ product $\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathbf{T}} \mathrm{X}^{\prime}\right)^{\sim}$ is regular. Hence, the restriction map $\operatorname{Gr}_{\mathrm{F}}^{d} \mathrm{~K}\left(\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathbf{T}} \mathrm{X}^{\prime}\right)^{\sim}\right) \rightarrow$ $\operatorname{Gr}_{\mathrm{F}}^{d} \mathrm{~K}\left(\left(\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{L}} \times_{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{L}}^{\prime}\right)^{\sim}\right)$ is a surjection and the class $[\widetilde{\Gamma}] \in \operatorname{Gr}_{\mathrm{F}}^{d} \mathrm{~K}\left(\left(\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{L}} \times_{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{L}}^{\prime}\right)^{\sim}\right)$ is lifted to an element $[\widetilde{\Gamma}] \in \operatorname{Gr}_{\mathrm{F}}^{d} \mathrm{~K}\left(\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathbf{T}} \mathrm{X}^{\prime}\right)^{\sim}\right)$. We define the class $[\widetilde{\Gamma}] \in \mathrm{H}^{2 d}\left(\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathbf{T}} \mathrm{X}^{\prime}\right)^{\sim}, \mathbf{Q}_{\boldsymbol{d}}(d)\right)$ as the Chern character. Since the Chern character is compatible with the pull-back, the class $[\widetilde{\Gamma}] \in \mathrm{H}^{2 d}\left(\left(\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{L}} \times_{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{L}}^{\prime}\right)^{\sim}, \mathbf{Q}_{( }(d)\right)$ on the top is the restriction of the class $[\widetilde{\Gamma}] \in \mathrm{H}^{2 d}\left(\left(\mathrm{X}_{\mathbf{T}} \mathrm{X}^{\prime}\right)^{\sim}, \mathbf{Q}_{d}(d)\right)$. Further the trace map $\mathrm{H}^{2 d}\left(\mathrm{X}_{\bar{i}}, \mathbf{Q}_{\ell}(d)\right) \rightarrow \mathbf{\mathbf { Q } _ { c }}$ is the composition of the canonical map $\mathrm{H}^{2 d}\left(\mathrm{X}_{\bar{i}}, \mathbf{Q}_{( }(d)\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{\log }^{2 d}\left(\mathrm{X}_{\bar{i}}, \mathbf{Q}_{( }(d)\right)$ with the trace map $\mathrm{H}_{\log }^{2 d}\left(\mathrm{X}_{\bar{i}}, \mathbf{Q}_{\ell}(d)\right) \rightarrow \mathbf{Q}_{\ell}$. Hence the left hand side of the equality (1.4.7.8) is the image of $[\widetilde{\Gamma}] \in \mathrm{H}^{2 d}\left(\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathbf{T}} \mathrm{X}^{\prime}\right)^{\sim}, \mathbf{Q}_{d}(d)\right)$ in the second line of the diagram (1.4.7.9) with log removed everywhere. Thus the equality (1.4.7.8) follows from the compatibility of the trace map with the degree map [26, Lemma 6.5.4].

We reduce the proof of (1.4.7.2) to the case where X and $\mathrm{X}^{\prime}$ are strictly semi-stable. As in Corollary 1.4.5, we consider the semi-stable modifications $\mathrm{X}_{\Sigma} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}$ and $\mathrm{X}_{\Sigma}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}^{\prime}$ constructed in Lemma 1.2.1. The isomorphism $\iota_{t}: \mathrm{X}_{t} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}_{t}^{\prime}$ induces an isomorphism $\iota_{t \Sigma}:\left(\mathrm{X}_{\Sigma}\right)_{t} \rightarrow\left(\mathrm{X}_{\Sigma}^{\prime}\right)_{t}$. Thus, by Corollary 1.4.5, it is reduced to the strictly semi-stable case.
1.5. Log stalks. - In the next subsection, we prove an important complement Proposition 1.6.2 to the $\log$ Lefschetz trace formula Theorem 1.4.7. As a preliminary, we briefly recall some elementary terminology on log points and the stalks of a tamely ramified sheaf at a log geometric point. For more systematic account, we refer to [19, Section 4]. A reader familiar with the generalities on $\log$ schemes may skip this subsection.

Let $t$ be the spectrum of a field F . If $t$ is endowed with the log structure defined by the chart $\mathbf{N} \rightarrow \mathrm{F}$ sending 1 to 0 , we call $t$ a log point. Let $\bar{t}$ be the spectrum of a separably closed field F of characteristic $p \geq 0$. If $\bar{t}$ is endowed with the $\log$ structure defined by the chart $\mathbf{Z}_{(p)} \cap[0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathrm{F}$ sending any element $a>0$ to 0 , we call $\bar{t}$ a log geometric point. For a $\log$ scheme Y, we call a morphism $t \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}$ from a log point a log point of Y. Similarly, we call a morphism $\bar{t} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}$ from a log geometric point a log geometric point of Y .

A typical example of log points and log geometric points are constructed as follows. Let $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{L}}$ be a discrete valuation ring and regard $\mathrm{T}=\operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{L}}$ as a $\log$ scheme with the log structure defined by the closed point $t$. Then, the scheme $t$ endowed with the pull-back $\log$ structure is a $\log$ point. Assume further $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{L}}$ is henselian and let $\mathrm{L}^{\mathrm{tr}}$ denote the maximal tamely ramified extension of L . Then, the limit of the standard log structures on $\operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{L}^{\prime}}$ for finite extensions $L^{\prime}$ of $L$ in $L^{\text {tr }}$ defines a structure of $\log$ geometric point on the closed point $\bar{t}$ of $\operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{L}^{\text {r }}}$. A morphism $\mathrm{T} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}$ of $\log$ schemes define a log point $t \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}$ and further a $\log$ geometric point $\bar{t} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}$.

For a log geometric point $\bar{t}$ of a $\log$ scheme Y , the log strict localization $\tilde{\mathrm{Y}}_{\bar{t}}$ is defined in $[19,4.5]$. The definition of the $\log$ stalk $\mathcal{G}_{\bar{t}}$ of a sheaf $\mathcal{G}$ on the Kummer étale site on a log scheme Y at a $\log$ geometric point $\bar{t}$ of Y is given in [19, Definition 4.3]. We will make it explicit in a special case.

Let S be a regular noetherian scheme and D be a divisor with normal crossings. We put $\mathrm{W}=\mathrm{S} \backslash \mathrm{D}$ and $j: \mathrm{W} \rightarrow \mathrm{S}$ the open immersion. Then, the log scheme S with the $\log$ structure $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{S}}=\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{S}} \cap j_{*} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{W}}^{\times}$is log regular [25]. We consider a locally constant sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ on W tamely ramified along D . The direct image $j_{*} \mathcal{F}$ on the Kummer étale site of S is a locally constant sheaf by Abhyankar's lemma. Let $g: \mathrm{Y} \rightarrow \mathrm{S}$ be a morphism of log schemes and we consider the pull-back $\mathcal{G}=g^{*} j_{*} \mathcal{F}$ to the Kummer étale site of Y.

Let $\bar{t} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}$ be a log geometric point and let $\bar{s}$ denote the geometric point of S defined by the composition $\bar{t} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y} \rightarrow \mathrm{S}$. Let $\tilde{g}: \widetilde{\mathrm{Y}}_{\bar{t}} \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathrm{~S}}_{\bar{s}}$ denote the map of the log strict localizations induced by $g$ and $\tilde{j}$ : $\mathrm{W} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \widetilde{\mathrm{S}}_{\bar{s}} \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathrm{~S}}_{\bar{s}}$ denote the open immersion. The pullback of $\mathcal{F}$ on $\mathrm{W} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \widetilde{\mathrm{S}}_{\bar{s}}$ is a constant sheaf and hence the direct image $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}=\tilde{j}_{*}\left(\left.\mathcal{F}\right|_{\mathrm{W} \times \mathrm{S}_{s}}\right)$ is a constant sheaf on the usual étale site of $\widetilde{\mathrm{S}}_{\bar{s}}$. The $\log$ stalk $\mathcal{G}_{\bar{t}}$ is canonically identified with the stalk $\left(\widetilde{g}^{*} \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\bar{t}}\right.$ at $\bar{t}$ of the pull-back of the constant sheaf $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}$. The map $\tilde{g}: \widetilde{\mathrm{Y}}_{\bar{t}} \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathrm{~S}}_{\bar{s}}$ induces an isomorphism $\left(j_{*} \mathcal{F}\right)_{\bar{s}} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_{\bar{t}}$ of log stalks.

We consider the log cospecialization map [32, (2.8) 6]. We will use it only in the following situation. Let $g: \mathrm{Y} \rightarrow \mathrm{S}$ be a morphism of $\log$ schemes and $\mathcal{G}=g^{*} j_{*} \mathcal{F}$ be as above. Let $\mathrm{V} \subset \mathrm{Y}$ be an open subscheme where the $\log$ structure is trivial and let $\bar{\eta}$ be a geometric point of V . Assume that the image of the log geometric point $\bar{t}$ in Y lies in the closure of the image of $\bar{\eta}$. Then, by choosing a lifting of $\bar{\eta}$ in $\widetilde{\mathrm{Y}}_{\bar{t}}$, a log cospecialization
$\operatorname{map} \mathcal{G}_{\bar{t}} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_{\bar{\eta}}$ is defined as the usual cospecialization map $\left(\tilde{g}^{*} \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}\right)_{\bar{t}} \rightarrow\left(\tilde{g}^{*} \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}\right)_{\bar{\eta}}$. Let $\bar{\xi}$ be an intermediate geometric point of V such that the image of $\bar{t}$ lies in the closure of the image of $\bar{\xi}$ and that the image of $\tilde{\xi}$ lies in the closure of the image of $\bar{\eta}$. Then, by choosing liftings $\bar{\xi} \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathrm{Y}}_{\bar{t}}$ and $\bar{\eta} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}_{\bar{\xi}} \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathrm{Y}}_{\bar{t}}$ successively, we obtain the transitivity of cospecialization maps $\mathcal{G}_{\bar{t}} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_{\bar{\xi}} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_{\bar{\eta}}$.

The following compatibility of the cospecialization map with the pull-back will be used in the proof of Proposition 1.6.2.

Lemma 1.5.1. - Let S be a regular noetherian scheme and $\mathrm{W}=\mathrm{S} \backslash \mathrm{D} \subset \mathrm{S}$ be the complement of a divisor $\mathbf{D}$ with normal crossings. We consider a commutative diagram

of morphisms of $\log$ schemes. We assume $t$ is a $\log$ point and $\bar{t}$ is a log geometric point.
Let $\tilde{h}: \widetilde{\mathrm{Y}}_{\bar{t}} \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathrm{Y}}_{\bar{t}}$ be the morphism on the log strict localization induced by $h$. Let $\bar{\eta}$ be a usual geometric point of an open subscheme $\mathrm{V} \subset \mathrm{Y}$ where the $\log$ structure is trivial. We take a lifting $\bar{\eta} \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathrm{Y}}_{\bar{t}}$ and let $\bar{h}: \bar{\eta} \rightarrow \bar{\eta}$ be a morphism such that the diagram
(1.5.1.1)

is commutative.
Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a locally constant sheaf on W tamely ramified along D and we put $\mathcal{G}=g^{*}\left(j_{*} \mathcal{F}\right)$ and $\mathcal{G}^{\prime}=g^{\prime *}\left(j_{*} \mathcal{F}\right)=h^{*} \mathcal{G}$. Then, for the isomorphism $\bar{h}^{*}: \mathcal{G}_{\bar{\eta}} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_{\bar{\eta}}^{\prime}$, we have a commutative diagram


Proof. - By the commutative diagram (1.5.1.1), we have a commutative diagram


Thus it follows from the descriptions of the log stalks and the cospecialization maps.

We consider a geometric situation. Let S be a regular noetherian scheme and $\mathrm{W}=$ $\mathrm{S} \backslash \mathrm{D} \subset \mathrm{S}$ be the complement of a divisor D with normal crossings as above. Let $f: \mathbf{X} \rightarrow$ S be a proper weakly semi-stable scheme such that the base change $\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{W} \rightarrow \mathrm{W}$ is smooth and let $f_{\mathrm{U}}: \mathrm{U} \rightarrow \mathrm{S}$ be the restriction to the complement $\mathrm{U} \subset \mathrm{X}$ of a divisor $\mathrm{E} \subset \mathrm{X}$ with normal crossings relatively to S as in Lemma 1.1.6. Then, for an integer $n$ invertible on S , by Lemma 1.1.6, the higher direct image $\mathcal{F}=\mathrm{R}^{q} f_{\mathrm{U}} \mathbf{Z} / n \mathbf{Z}$ is locally constant on W and is tamely ramified along D .

Let $T=\operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{L}}$ be the spectrum of a discrete valuation ring with the log structure defined by the closed point $t \in \mathrm{~T}$ and $g: \mathrm{T} \rightarrow \mathrm{S}$ be a morphism of $\log$ schemes such that the image of the generic point is in W . Then, the pull-back $\mathcal{G}=g^{*} j_{*} \mathcal{F}$ is a locally constant sheaf on the Kummer étale site of T and the stalk $\mathcal{G}_{\overline{\mathrm{L}}}$ at the geometric point defined by an algebraic closure $\overline{\mathrm{L}}$ is identified with $\mathrm{H}_{c}^{q}\left(\mathrm{U}_{\overline{\mathrm{L}}}, \mathbf{Z} / n \mathbf{Z}\right)$ by the usual proper base change theorem. By the proof of [33, Proposition (4.3)], we obtain a commutative diagram
(1.5.1.2)

of isomorphisms.
1.6. Compatibility with cospecializations. - We prove a compatibility with cospecialization maps, that gives an important complement to the log Lefschetz trace formula. We consider the following data:
(1.6.1.1a) Let Y be a $\log$ scheme and $\mathrm{V} \subset \mathrm{Y}$ be an open subscheme where the $\log$ structure is trivial. Let $h: \mathrm{Y} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}$ be a morphism of $\log$ schemes satisfying $h(\mathrm{~V}) \subset \mathrm{V}$.
(1.6.1.1b) Let T be the spectrum $\operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{L}}$ of a discrete valuation ring $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{L}}$ regarded as a log scheme with the log structure defined by the closed point $t$. Let $\mathrm{T} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}$ be a morphism of log schemes such that the image of the generic point Spec $\mathrm{L} \in \mathrm{T}$ is in V and that the map $t \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}$ of log schemes is the same as the composition of $t \rightarrow \mathrm{Y} \xrightarrow{h} \mathrm{Y}$. Let $\bar{t}$ be a log geometric point above the log point $t$.
(1.6.1.1c) Let $\bar{\eta}$ be a geometric point of the strict henselization $\mathrm{V}_{\bar{\xi}}$ of V at a geometric point $\bar{\xi}$ above the image $\xi \in \mathrm{V}$ of $\operatorname{Spec} \mathrm{L} \rightarrow \mathrm{T}$ and $\bar{h}: \bar{\eta} \rightarrow \bar{\eta}$ be an automorphism compatible with $h$.
(1.6.1.1d) Let $f: \mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}$ be a proper and weakly strictly semi-stable scheme of relative dimension $d$ over Y such that the base change $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{V}}=\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{Y}} \mathrm{V} \rightarrow$ V is smooth. Let $\mathrm{D}=\mathrm{D}_{1}+\cdots+\mathrm{D}_{n}$ be a divisor of X with simple normal crossings relatively to Y .

The data above are summarized in the following diagram:
(1.6.1.1)


Let $\mathrm{U}=\mathrm{X} \backslash \mathrm{D}$ be the complement and $f_{\mathrm{U}}: \mathrm{U} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}$ be the restriction of $f$. Let $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{V}} \subset \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{V}}$ and $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{V}}^{\prime} \subset \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{V}}^{\prime}$ denote the base changes of $\mathrm{U} \subset \mathrm{X}$ by the inclusion and the restriction $\left.h\right|_{\mathrm{V}}$ respectively. We consider the log product and the $\log$ blow-up $\left(\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{V}} \times_{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{V}}^{\prime}\right)^{\sim}$ $\subset\left(\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{V}} \times_{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{V}}^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}$ with respect to the pull-backs $\left(\mathrm{D}_{1, \mathrm{~V}}, \ldots, \mathrm{D}_{n, \mathrm{~V}}\right)$ and $\left(\mathrm{D}_{1, \mathrm{~V}}^{\prime}, \ldots, \mathrm{D}_{n, \mathrm{~V}}^{\prime}\right)$ by the inclusion and by $\left.h\right|_{\mathrm{V}}$ of $\left(\mathrm{D}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{D}_{n}\right)$. Let $\left(\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{V}} \times_{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{V}}^{\prime}\right)^{\prime},\left(\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{V}} \times{ }_{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{V}}^{\prime}\right)^{\prime} \subset\left(\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{V}} \times_{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{V}}^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}$ denote the proper transforms of $\mathrm{D}_{V} \times_{V} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{V}}^{\prime}$ and of $\mathrm{X}_{V} \times_{V} \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{V}}^{\prime}$ respectively.

We consider a closed subscheme $\widetilde{\Gamma} \subset\left(\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{V}} \times_{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{V}}^{\prime}\right)^{\sim}$ flat of relative dimension $d$ over V. Assume that the second projection $\mathrm{pr}_{2}: \Gamma=\widetilde{\Gamma} \cap\left(\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{V}} \times \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{V}}^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{V}}^{\prime}$ is proper. Then, the geometric fiber $\Gamma_{\bar{\eta}} \subset \mathrm{U}_{\bar{\eta}} \times_{\bar{\eta}} \mathrm{U}_{\bar{\eta}}^{\prime}$ defines a linear map $\Gamma^{*}: \mathrm{H}_{c}^{q}\left(\mathrm{U}_{\bar{\eta}}^{\prime}, \mathbf{Q}_{\ell}\right) \rightarrow$ $\mathrm{H}_{c}^{q}\left(\mathrm{U}_{\bar{\eta}}, \mathbf{Q}_{\ell}\right)$ and the morphism id $\times \bar{h}: \mathrm{U}_{\bar{\eta}} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}_{\bar{\eta}}^{\prime}$ induces an isomorphism $\bar{h}^{*}: \mathrm{H}_{c}^{q}\left(\mathrm{U}_{\bar{\eta}}, \mathbf{Q}_{\ell}\right)$ $\rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{c}^{q}\left(\mathrm{U}_{\bar{n}}^{\prime}, \mathbf{Q}_{\ell}\right)$. Consequently, the alternating sum

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Tr}\left(\Gamma^{*} \circ \bar{h}^{*}: \mathrm{H}_{c}^{*}\left(\mathrm{U}_{\bar{n}}, \mathbf{Q}_{\psi}\right)\right)=\sum_{q=0}^{2 d}(-1)^{q} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\Gamma^{*} \circ \bar{h}^{*}: \mathrm{H}_{c}^{q}\left(\mathrm{U}_{\bar{\eta}}, \mathbf{Q}_{\ell}\right)\right) \in \mathbf{Q}_{C} \tag{1.6.1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

is defined.
Let $\iota_{t}: \mathrm{X}_{t} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}_{t}^{\prime}$ be the isomorphism defined by the assumption that the map $t \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}$ is the same as the composition with $h: \mathrm{Y} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}$. It induces an isomorphism $\iota_{t *}: \mathrm{H}_{\log c}^{q}\left(\mathrm{U}_{\bar{t}}, \mathbf{Q}_{\ell}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{\log d}^{q}\left(\mathrm{U}_{\bar{t}}^{\prime}, \mathbf{Q}_{\ell}\right)$ as in (1.4.2.2). Since $\Gamma_{\mathrm{L}} \subset \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{L}} \times_{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{L}}^{\prime}$ induces $\left.\Gamma_{\mathrm{L}}^{*}: \mathrm{H}_{c}^{*}\left(\mathrm{U}_{\overline{\mathrm{L}}}^{\prime}, \mathbf{Q}_{\ell}\right)\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{c}^{*}\left(\mathrm{U}_{\overline{\mathrm{L}}}, \mathbf{Q}_{\ell}\right)$, the alternating sum $\operatorname{Tr}\left(\Gamma^{*}: \mathrm{H}_{c}^{*}\left(\mathrm{U}_{\overline{\mathrm{L}}}, \mathbf{Q}_{\ell}\right)\right)$ is defined by (1.4.2.3).

The following complement to the Lefschetz trace formula Theorem 1.4.7 will be used in the proof of a crucial step Proposition 7.3.4 of the proof of the conductor formula.

Proposition 1.6.2. - Let the notation be as in the diagram (1.6.1.1). We take a lifting of the geometric point $\bar{\eta}$ to the $\log$ strict localization $\widetilde{\mathrm{Y}}_{\bar{t}}$ and assume that $\bar{h}: \bar{\eta} \rightarrow \bar{\eta}$ is compatible with the morphism $\tilde{h}: \widetilde{\mathrm{Y}}_{\bar{t}} \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathrm{Y}}_{\bar{t}}$ induced by h. Let $\Gamma$ be a closed subscheme of $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{V}} \times_{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{V}}^{\prime}$ flat of relative dimension d over V . We assume that the second projection $\mathrm{pr}_{2}: \Gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{V}}^{\prime}$ is proper.

We assume the following condition:
(1.6.2.2) There exist a regular noetherian scheme S , a proper weakly semi-stable scheme $\bar{f}: \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{S}} \rightarrow \mathrm{S}$, a divisor $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{S}} \subset \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{S}}$ with normal crossings relatively to S and a morphism $g: \mathrm{Y}_{\bar{t}} \rightarrow \mathrm{~S}$ from the usual strict localization satisfying the following conditions. The pull-back of $\mathrm{D} \subset \mathrm{X}$ over Y to $\mathrm{Y}_{\bar{t}}$ is isomorphic to that of $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{S}} \subset \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{S}}$. There exists a divisor $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{S}}$ of S with simple normal crossings such that the pull-back of $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{S}}$ to the complement $\mathrm{W}=\mathrm{S} \backslash \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{S}}$ is smooth.

Then, for the alternating sum (1.6.1.2), we have

## (1.6.2.3) $\operatorname{Tr}\left(\Gamma^{*} \circ \bar{h}^{*}: \mathrm{H}_{c}^{*}\left(\mathrm{U}_{\bar{n}}, \mathbf{Q}_{\ell}\right)\right)=\operatorname{Tr}\left(\Gamma^{*}: \mathrm{H}_{c}^{*}\left(\mathrm{U}_{\overline{\mathrm{L}}}, \mathbf{Q}_{\ell}\right)\right)$.

Proof. - By the definition of $\Gamma^{*}: \mathrm{H}_{c}^{*}\left(\mathrm{U}_{\overline{\mathrm{L}}}, \mathbf{Q}_{\ell}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{c}^{*}\left(\mathrm{U}_{\overline{\mathrm{L}}}, \mathbf{Q}_{\ell}\right)$, it suffices to show the commutativity of the diagram

where the non-horizontal arrows are the cospecialization maps. For the right square, it is a consequence of the compatibility of a correspondence with usual cospecializations.

We show the commutativity of the left quadrangle. By replacing Y by the strict localization, we may assume $\mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{Y}_{\bar{t}}$. We put $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{S}}=\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{S}} \backslash \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{S}}$ in (1.6.2.2) and let $f_{\mathrm{W}}: \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{W}}=$ $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{S}} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{W} \rightarrow \mathrm{W}$ be the restriction of $f_{\mathrm{S}}: \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{S}} \rightarrow \mathrm{S}$. We consider the smooth $\mathbf{Q}_{\mathcal{C}}$-sheaf $\mathcal{F}=$ $\mathrm{R}^{q} f_{\mathrm{W}!} \mathbf{Q}_{c}$ on W tamely ramified along $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{S}}$. Let $j_{\mathrm{W}}: \mathrm{W} \rightarrow \mathrm{S}$ denote the open immersion and define a smooth sheaf $\mathcal{G}=g^{*} j_{*} \mathcal{F}$ on $\mathrm{Y}_{\bar{t}}$. We consider the diagram


The right square is the usual commutative diagram for étale cohomology and the left is (1.5.1.2). We have a similar commutative diagram for $\mathrm{U}^{\prime}$. By the transitivity of cospecialization maps, the commutativity of the left quadrangle follows from Lemma 1.5.1.

## 2. Tamely ramified coverings

In this section, we give a definition for an étale morphism of schemes to be tamely ramified along the boundary. The purpose of studying tame ramification first is to define the wild ramification locus and to focus on it.

First, we formulate the definition of an unramified morphism to be tamely ramified along the boundary using proper modifications and log products in Section 2.1. We give a tameness criterion, Proposition 2.4.4, in terms of valuation rings in Section 2.4 after recalling tamely ramified extensions of valuation fields and the limit of proper modifications in Sections 2.2 and 2.3 respectively. We study the relation with Kummer coverings in 2.5. Finally, we give a criterion for a Galois covering to be tamely ramified in terms of inertia groups in 2.6.

Although we don't need to assume for schemes to be separated in a large part of this section, we will assume it for simplicity.
2.1. Tame ramification and $\log$ products. - Recall that a morphism of schemes $\mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}$ of finite type is said to be unramified if the diagonal map $\delta_{V}: V \rightarrow V \times_{U} V$ is an open immersion. We consider a separated scheme Y containing V as an open subscheme and introduce a notion that an unramified morphism $f: \mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}$ is tamely ramified with respect to Y.

Lemma 2.1.1. -Let $f: \mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}$ be an unramified separated morphism of finite type of schemes and $j: \mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}$ be an open immersion of separated schemes. Let $\mathcal{D}=\left(\mathrm{D}_{i}\right)_{i \in \mathrm{I}}$ be a finite family of Cartier divisors of Y such that $\mathrm{V} \cap \mathrm{D}_{i}=\emptyset$ for every $i \in \mathrm{I}$.

For a commutative diagram

of separated schemes, let $\left(\mathrm{Y} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{Y}\right)_{\mathcal{D}}^{\sim}$ be the $\log$ product and define a closed subset $\Sigma_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}}^{\mathcal{D}} \mathrm{Y} \subset \mathrm{Y}$ to be the intersection $\Delta_{\mathrm{Y}}^{\log } \cap \overline{\mathrm{W}}$ of the log diagonal with the closure of the open and closed subscheme $\mathrm{W}=\left(\mathrm{V} \times_{\mathrm{U}} \mathrm{V}\right) \backslash \Delta_{\mathrm{V}} \subset \mathrm{V} \times_{\mathrm{U}} \mathrm{V}$ in the $\log$ product $\left(\mathrm{Y} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{Y}\right)_{\mathcal{D}}^{\sim}$.

Then, the closed subset $\Sigma_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}}^{\mathcal{D}} \mathrm{Y} \subset \mathrm{Y}$ is independent of the choice of a diagram (2.1.1.1).

For any morphisms $\mathrm{Y} \leftarrow \mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}$ of schemes, we can complete them into a dia$\operatorname{gram}(2.1 .1 .1)$ by putting $S=\operatorname{Spec} \mathbf{Z}$.

Proof. - We consider a commutative diagram (2.1.1.1) with S replaced by another separated scheme $\mathrm{S}^{\prime}$ and show that $\Sigma_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}}^{\mathcal{D}} \mathrm{Y} \subset \mathrm{Y}$ are the same. It suffices to consider the case where $S^{\prime}=\operatorname{Spec} \mathbf{Z}$. Hence, we may assume that there exists a morphism $S \rightarrow S^{\prime}$ that makes the diagram

commutative. The canonical map $\left(\mathrm{Y} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{Y}\right)_{\mathcal{D}} \rightarrow\left(\mathrm{Y} \times_{\mathrm{S}^{\prime}} \mathrm{Y}\right)_{\mathcal{D}}$ is a closed immersion since it is a base change of the diagonal $\mathrm{S} \rightarrow \mathrm{S} \times \times_{\mathrm{S}^{\prime}} \mathrm{S}$. Hence, the assertion follows.

Definition 2.1.2. -Let $f: \mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}$ be an unramified separated morphism of finite type of schemes and $j: \mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}$ be an open immersion of separated schemes.

1. For a finite family of Cartier divisors $\mathcal{D}=\left(\mathrm{D}_{i}\right)_{i \in \mathrm{I}}$ of Y such that $\mathrm{V} \cap \mathrm{D}_{i}=\emptyset$ for every $i \in \mathrm{I}$, define a closed subset $\Sigma_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}}^{\mathcal{D}} \mathrm{Y} \subset \mathrm{Y}$ to be the intersection $\Delta_{\mathrm{Y}}^{\log } \cap \overline{\mathrm{W}}$ of the $\log$ diagonal with the closure of the open and closed subscheme $\mathrm{W}=\left(\mathrm{V} \times_{\mathrm{U}} \mathrm{V}\right) \backslash \Delta_{\mathrm{V}} \subset \mathrm{V} \times_{\mathrm{U}} \mathrm{V}$ in the $\log$ product $\left(\mathrm{Y} \times{ }_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{Y}\right)_{\mathcal{D}}^{\sim}$ as in Lemma 2.1.1 by taking a commutative diagram (2.1.1.1).

Define a closed sunset $\Sigma_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}}^{+} \mathrm{Y} \subset \mathrm{Y}$ to be the intersection $\bigcap_{\mathcal{D}} \Sigma_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}}^{\mathcal{D}} \mathrm{Y} \subset \mathrm{Y}$ where $\mathcal{D}=\left(\mathrm{D}_{i}\right)_{i \in \mathrm{I}}$ runs through finite families of Cartier divisors of Y as above.
2. We say $f: \mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}$ is tamely ramified with respect to Y , if there exists a proper scheme $\mathrm{Y}^{\prime}$ over Y containing V as an open subscheme such that $\Sigma_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}}^{+} \mathrm{Y}^{\prime}=\emptyset$.

We will define the wild ramification locus $\Sigma_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathrm{Y}$ as a closed subset of $\Sigma_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}}^{+} \mathrm{Y}$ in Definition 2.4.1. Since $\Sigma_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}}^{\mathcal{D}} \mathrm{Y} \supset \Sigma_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}}^{\mathcal{D}^{\prime}} \mathrm{Y}$ for $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{D}^{\prime}$, there exists a finite family $\mathcal{D}$ of Cartier divisors of Y such that $\Sigma_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}}^{+} \mathrm{Y}=\Sigma_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}}^{\mathcal{D}} \mathrm{Y}$ if Y is quasi-compact. In particular, the condition $\Sigma_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}}^{+} \mathrm{Y}=\emptyset$ is equivalent to the existence of a finite family $\mathcal{D}$ of Cartier divisors of Y as in Definition 2.1.2.1 satisfying $\Sigma_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}}^{\mathcal{D}} \mathrm{Y}=\emptyset$.

Lemma 2.1.3. - Let S be a scheme and let

be a commutative diagram of separated schemes over S . Assume that $\mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}$ and $\mathrm{V}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}^{\prime}$ are unramified and that the canonical map $\mathrm{V}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{V} \times_{\mathrm{U}} \mathrm{U}^{\prime}$ is an immersion. Assume also that $j: \mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}$
and $j^{\prime}: \mathrm{V}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}^{\prime}$ are open immersions. If $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Y}^{\prime}} \rightarrow j_{*}^{\prime} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{V}^{\prime}}$ is an injection, then we have $g\left(\Sigma_{\mathrm{V}^{\prime} / \mathrm{U}^{\prime}}^{+} \mathrm{Y}^{\prime}\right) \subset$ $\Sigma_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}}^{+} \mathrm{Y}$.

Proof. - Let $\mathcal{D}=\left(\mathrm{D}_{i}\right)_{i \in \mathrm{I}}$ be a finite family of Cartier divisors of Y such that $\mathrm{D}_{i} \cap \mathrm{~V}=\emptyset$ for every $i \in \mathrm{I}$. By the assumption that $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Y}^{\prime}} \rightarrow j_{*}^{\prime} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{V}}$ is injective, the pullbacks $g^{*} \mathrm{D}_{i}$ defines a family $\mathcal{D}^{\prime}=\left(\mathrm{D}_{i}^{\prime}\right)_{i \in \mathrm{I}}$ of Cartier divisors of $\mathrm{Y}^{\prime}$ satisfying $\mathrm{D}_{i}^{\prime} \cap \mathrm{V}=\emptyset$ for every $i \in \mathrm{I}$. Hence, the morphism $(g \times g)^{\sim}:\left(\mathrm{Y}^{\prime} \times{ }_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{Y}^{\prime}\right)_{\mathcal{D}^{\prime}}^{\sim} \rightarrow\left(\mathrm{Y} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{Y}\right)_{\mathcal{D}}^{\sim}$ is defined. By the assumption that $\mathrm{V}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{V} \times_{\mathrm{U}} \mathrm{U}^{\prime}$ is an immersion, the inverse image of $\Delta_{\mathrm{V}}$ by $\mathrm{V}^{\prime} \times_{\mathrm{U}^{\prime}} \mathrm{V}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{V} \times_{\mathrm{U}} \mathrm{V}$ is $\Delta_{\mathrm{V}^{\prime}}$. Hence $\mathrm{V}^{\prime} \times_{\mathrm{U}^{\prime}} \mathrm{V}^{\prime} \backslash \Delta_{\mathrm{V}^{\prime}} \subset\left(\mathrm{Y}^{\prime} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{Y}^{\prime}\right)_{\mathcal{D}^{\prime}}^{\sim}$ is a subset of the inverse image of $\mathrm{V} \times_{\mathrm{U}} \mathrm{V} \backslash \Delta_{\mathrm{V}}$. Thus, we have $\overline{\mathrm{V}^{\prime} \times_{\mathrm{U}^{\prime}} \mathrm{V}^{\prime} \backslash \Delta_{\mathrm{V}^{\prime}}} \subset(g \times g)^{\sim-1}\left(\overline{\mathrm{~V} \times_{\mathrm{U}} \mathrm{V} \backslash \Delta_{\mathrm{V}}}\right)$ and $\Sigma_{\mathrm{V}^{\prime} / \mathrm{U}^{\prime}}^{\mathcal{D}} \mathrm{Y}^{\prime} \subset g^{-1}\left(\Sigma_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}}^{\mathcal{D}} \mathrm{Y}\right)$. By taking the intersection, the assertion follows.

Whether $\Sigma_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}}^{+} \mathrm{Y}$ is empty or not may depend on Y as the following example shows.
Example 2.1.4. - Let A be a ring where 2 is invertible and let $\mathrm{V}=\operatorname{Spec} \mathrm{A}\left[\mathrm{T}_{1}^{ \pm 1}\right.$, $\left.\mathrm{T}_{2}^{ \pm 1}\right] \subset \mathrm{Y}=\mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{A}}^{2}=\operatorname{Spec} \mathrm{A}\left[\mathrm{T}_{1}, \mathrm{~T}_{2}\right]$. We define an action of a cyclic group G of order 4 by $\mathrm{T}_{1} \mapsto-\mathrm{T}_{2}, \mathrm{~T}_{2} \mapsto \mathrm{~T}_{1}$. Then, V is a G-torsor over $\mathrm{U}=\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{G}$. For the blow-up $\mathrm{Y}^{\prime}$ of Y at the 0 -section, an elementary computation shows that $\Sigma_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}}^{+} \mathrm{Y}^{\prime}=\emptyset$ while $\Sigma_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}}^{+} \mathrm{Y}$ consists of the 0 -section of Y .
2.2. Tamely ramified extension of valuation fields. - We will study tame ramification defined in the previous subsection in detail in Section 2.4. As preliminaries, we first study tamely ramified extensions of valuation fields in this subsection and limit of compactifications in the next subsection.

We recall the definition of tamely ramified extensions of valuation fields. For generality on valuation rings, we refer to [5, Chapitre 6] and [44, Chapter VI]. If $L$ is a finite separable extension of a field K and if B is the integral closure in L of a valuation ring A of $K$, then the map from the finite set of maximal ideals of $B$ to the set of valuation rings of $L$ dominating $A$ sending a maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$ to the local ring $B_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is a bijection [5, Chapitre 6, Section 8, n ${ }^{\circ}$ 3, Remarque].

Definition 2.2.1. - Let L be a finite separable extension of a field K and B be a valuation ring of L . We put $\mathrm{A}=\mathrm{B} \cap \mathrm{K}$. Let $\mathrm{A}^{\text {sh }}$ and $\mathrm{B}^{\text {sh }}$ be strict henselizations and let $\mathrm{K}^{\text {sh }}$ and $\mathrm{L}^{\text {sh }}$ be the fraction fields.

We say that L is tamely ramified over K with respect to B if the degree $\left[\mathrm{L}^{\text {sh }}: \mathrm{K}^{\text {sh }}\right]$ is invertible in B .

If the residue field of $B$ is of characteristic 0 , an arbitrary finite separable extension $L$ over $K$ is tamely ramified with respect to $B$.

We recall some standard terminologies on inertia subgroups. Let M be a finite Galois extension of a field $K$ of Galois group $G=\operatorname{Gal}(M / K)$ and $A$ be a valuation
ring of K . Let $\mathrm{C} \subset \mathrm{M}$ be the integral closure of A and $\mathfrak{m}$ be a maximal ideal of C . The subgroup $\mathrm{D}=\{\sigma \in \mathrm{G} \mid \sigma(\mathfrak{m})=\mathfrak{m}\}$ is called the decomposition group of $\mathfrak{m}$ and $\mathrm{I}=\operatorname{Ker}(\mathrm{D} \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}(\mathrm{C} / \mathfrak{m}))$ the inertia group. The local ring $\mathrm{C}_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is a valuation ring. Let $\mathrm{C}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\text {sh }}$ be a strict henselization and let $\mathrm{M}^{\text {sh }}$ be the fraction field of $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{m}}^{\text {sh. }}$. Then, the I-fixed part of $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{m}}^{\text {sh }}$ is a strict henselization $\mathrm{A}^{\text {sh }}$ of A .

We regard the value group $\Gamma_{\mathrm{A}}=\mathrm{K}^{\times} / \mathrm{A}^{\times}$as a subgroup of $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}}=\mathrm{M}^{\times} / \mathrm{C}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\times}$. Then the map $\mathrm{I} \times \mathrm{M}^{\times} \rightarrow(\mathrm{C} / \mathfrak{m})^{\times}$defined by $(\sigma, c) \mapsto \overline{\sigma(c) / c}$ induces a pairing $\mathrm{I} \times \Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}} / \Gamma_{\mathrm{A}} \rightarrow$ $(\mathrm{C} / \mathfrak{m})^{\times}$.

Lemma 2.2.2. - Let M be a finite Galois extension of a field K of Galois group $\mathrm{G}=$ $\mathrm{Gal}(\mathrm{M} / \mathrm{K})$ and A be a valuation ring of K . Let $\mathrm{I} \subset \mathrm{G}$ be the inertia group of a maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$ of the integral closure $\mathrm{C} \subset \mathrm{M}$ of A . Let $p$ be the characteristic of the residue field $\mathrm{A} / \mathfrak{m}_{\mathrm{A}}$.

1. ([44, Chapter VI, §12, Corollary of Theorem 24]) If $p=0$, the pairing $\mathrm{I} \times$ $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}} / \Gamma_{\mathrm{A}} \rightarrow(\mathrm{C} / \mathfrak{m})^{\times}$is a perfect pairing of finite abelian groups.
2. ([Loc. cit. Theorems 24 and 25]) Assume $p>0$ and let $\left(\Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}} / \Gamma_{\mathrm{A}}\right)^{\prime}$ denote the prime-top part of $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}} / \Gamma_{\mathrm{A}}$. Then, the kernel P of the induced map $\mathrm{I} \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}\left(\Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}} / \Gamma_{\mathrm{A}},(\mathrm{C} / \mathfrak{m})^{\times}\right)$is the unique p-Sylow subgroup of I and the induced pairing $\mathrm{I} / \mathrm{P} \times\left(\Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}} / \Gamma_{\mathrm{A}}\right)^{\prime} \rightarrow(\mathrm{C} / \mathfrak{m})^{\times}$is a perfect pairing of finite abelian groups.

For the rest of this subsection, in the case $p=0$, we put $\left(\Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}} / \Gamma_{\mathrm{A}}\right)^{\prime}=\Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}} / \Gamma_{\mathrm{A}}$ and $\mathrm{P}=1$.

Corollary 2.2.3. - Let K be a field and L be a finite separable extension of K . Let A be a valuation ring of K and B be the integral closure of A in L . Then the following conditions are equivalent:
(1) For every maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$ of $\mathrm{B}, \mathrm{L}$ is tamely ramified over K with respect to $\mathrm{B}_{\mathfrak{m}}$.
(2) There exist non-zero elements $t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}$ of the maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}_{\mathrm{A}}$ and integers $m_{1}, \ldots, m_{n}$ invertible in A such that the normalization $\mathrm{B}^{\prime}$ of A in $\mathrm{L}\left[\mathrm{S}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{~S}_{n}\right] /\left(\mathrm{S}_{1}^{m_{1}}-t_{1}, \ldots\right.$, $\left.\mathrm{S}_{n}^{m_{n}}-t_{n}\right)$ is finite étale over the normalization $\mathrm{A}^{\prime}$ of A in $\mathrm{K}\left[\mathrm{S}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{~S}_{n}\right] /\left(\mathrm{S}_{1}^{m_{1}}-t_{1}, \ldots\right.$, $\mathrm{S}_{n}^{m_{n}}-t_{n}$ ).

Proof. - $(1) \Rightarrow(2)$ : We may assume L is a Galois extension of K . Let $\mathfrak{m}$ be a maximal ideal of $B$ and let $L^{\text {sh }}$ be the fraction field of the strict henselization of $B$ at a geometric point above $\mathfrak{m}$ and define $\mathrm{K}^{\text {sh }}$ similarly. By Lemma 2.2.2, $\mathrm{L}^{\text {sh }}$ is an abelian extension of $\mathrm{K}^{\text {sh }}$ and the pairing $\operatorname{Gal}\left(\mathrm{L}^{\text {sh }} / \mathrm{K}^{\text {sh }}\right) \times\left(\Gamma_{\mathrm{B}} / \Gamma_{\mathrm{A}}\right)^{\prime} \rightarrow(\mathrm{B} / \mathfrak{m})^{\times}$is a perfect pairing of finite abelian groups of order prime to $p$. We take an isomorphism $\mathbf{Z} / m_{1} \mathbf{Z} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbf{Z} / m_{n} \mathbf{Z} \rightarrow$ $\left(\Gamma_{\mathrm{B}} / \Gamma_{\mathrm{A}}\right)^{\prime}$ and its lifting $\gamma: \mathbf{Z}^{n} \rightarrow \Gamma_{\mathrm{B}}$. Let $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n} \in \mathbf{Z}^{n}$ be the standard basis and we take elements $t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n} \in \mathfrak{m}_{\mathrm{A}}$ satisfying $v_{\mathrm{A}}\left(t_{i}\right)=m_{i} \gamma\left(e_{i}\right)$ for $i=1, \ldots, n$. Then, we have $\mathrm{L}^{\text {sh }}=\mathrm{K}^{\text {sh }}\left(t_{1}^{1 / m_{1}}, \ldots, t_{n}^{1 / m_{n}}\right)$ and the assertion follows.
$(2) \Rightarrow(1)$ : Since $\left[\mathrm{L}^{\text {sh }}: \mathrm{K}^{\text {sh }}\right]$ divides $m_{1} \cdots m_{n}$, the extension L is tamely ramified.
We give a criterion for a finite separable extension of valuation field to be tamely ramified.

Proposition 2.2.4. - Let L be a finite separable extension of a field K and $\mathrm{B} \subset \mathrm{L}$ be a valuation ring of L . We put $\mathrm{A}=\mathrm{B} \cap \mathrm{K}, \mathrm{U}=\operatorname{Spec} \mathrm{K} \subset \mathrm{S}=\operatorname{Spec} \mathrm{A}$ and $\mathrm{V}=\operatorname{Spec} \mathrm{L} \subset \mathrm{Y}=\operatorname{Spec} \mathrm{B}$. Then, the following conditions (1)-(4) are equivalent:
(1) L is tamely ramified over K with respect to B .
(2) There exists a finite family $\mathcal{D}=\left(\mathrm{D}_{i}\right)_{i \in \mathrm{I}}$ of Cartier divisors of Y such that the intersection $\Sigma_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}}^{\mathcal{D}} \mathrm{Y}=\overline{\mathrm{W}} \cap \Delta_{\mathrm{Y}}^{\log }$ with the closure of $\mathrm{W}=\mathrm{V} \times{ }_{\mathrm{U}} \mathrm{V} \backslash \Delta_{\mathrm{V}}$ in the $\log$ product $\left(\mathrm{Y} \times{ }_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{Y}\right)_{\mathcal{D}}^{\sim}$ is empty.
(3) Let M be an arbitrary finite separable extension of L and $\sigma: \mathrm{L} \rightarrow \mathrm{M}$ be a morphism over K different from the inclusion. For an arbitrary valuation ring C of M dominating B and $\sigma(\mathrm{B})$, there exists a non-zero element $b \in \mathrm{~B}$ such that $\sigma(b) / b \not \equiv 1 \bmod \mathfrak{m}_{\mathrm{C}}$.
(4) Let M be a finite Galois extension of K of Galois group $\mathrm{G}=\mathrm{Gal}(\mathrm{M} / \mathrm{K})$ containing L as a subextension and $\mathfrak{m}$ be a maximal ideal of the integral closure $\mathrm{C} \subset \mathrm{M}$ of B such that $\mathrm{B} \cap \mathfrak{m}=\mathfrak{m}_{\mathrm{B}}$. Then, the subgroup $\mathrm{H}=\operatorname{Gal}(\mathrm{M} / \mathrm{L}) \subset \mathrm{G}=\operatorname{Gal}(\mathrm{M} / \mathrm{K})$ contains the conjugates of the p-Sylow subgroup P of the inertia group I of $\mathfrak{m}$.

In (2), we did not say that $\mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}$ is tamely ramified with respect to Y because the canonical map $\mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}$ may not be an open immersion.

Proof. - (2) $\Rightarrow(3)$ Let $\mathcal{D}=\left(\mathrm{D}_{i}\right)_{i \in \mathrm{I}}$ be a finite family of Cartier divisors of $\mathrm{Y}=\operatorname{Spec} \mathrm{B}$ such that $\Sigma_{\mathrm{U} / \mathrm{V}}^{\mathcal{D}} \mathrm{Y}=\emptyset$. Since C dominates B and $\sigma(\mathrm{B})$, the compositions $\mathrm{L}^{\times} \rightarrow \mathrm{M}^{\times} \rightarrow \Gamma_{\mathrm{C}}$ and $\mathrm{L}^{\times} \xrightarrow{\sigma} \mathrm{M}^{\times} \rightarrow \Gamma_{\mathrm{C}}$ are equal. Hence, the map $\gamma=(i, \sigma): \mathrm{Z}=\operatorname{Spec} \mathrm{C} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{Y}$ induces a map $\tilde{\gamma}: \mathrm{Z} \rightarrow\left(\mathrm{Y} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{Y}\right)_{\mathcal{D}}$ to the $\log$ product. Since $\sigma$ is different from the inclusion, the image $\tilde{\gamma}(\mathrm{Z})$ is in the closure $\overline{\mathrm{W}}$ of $\mathrm{W}=\mathrm{V} \times_{\mathrm{U}} \mathrm{V} \backslash \Delta_{\mathrm{V}}$. Hence, we have $\gamma(\mathrm{Z}) \cap \Delta_{\mathrm{Y}}^{\log } \subset \Sigma_{\mathrm{U} / \mathrm{V}}^{\mathcal{D}} \mathrm{Y}=\emptyset$.

For $i \in \mathrm{I}$, let $b_{i} \in \mathrm{~B}$ an element defining the divisor $\mathrm{D}_{i}$. Then, the closed subscheme $\Delta_{\mathrm{Y}}^{\log } \subset\left(\mathrm{Y} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{Y}\right)_{\mathcal{D}}^{\sim}$ is defined by the ideal $\left(b \otimes 1-1 \otimes b ; b \in \mathrm{~B},\left(b_{i} \otimes 1\right) /\left(1 \otimes b_{i}\right)-1 ; i \in \mathrm{I}\right)$. Hence the closed subscheme of Z defined by $\left(\sigma(b)-b ; b \in \mathrm{~B}, \sigma\left(b_{i}\right) / b_{i}-1 ; i \in \mathrm{I}\right)$ is empty. Namely the ideal of C generated by $\sigma(b)-b$ for $b \in \mathrm{~B}$ and $\sigma\left(b_{i}\right) / b_{i}-1$ for $i \in \mathrm{I}$ contains a unit. Thus the assertion is proved.
$(3) \Rightarrow(2)$ We put $\mathrm{W}=\mathrm{V} \times_{\mathrm{U}} \mathrm{V} \backslash \Delta_{\mathrm{V}}=\coprod_{j \in \mathrm{~J}}$ Spec $\mathrm{M}_{j}$ where $\mathrm{M}_{j}$ are fields. We regard $\mathrm{M}_{j}$ as an extension of L by the map defined by the first projection and let $\sigma_{j}: \mathrm{L} \rightarrow \mathrm{M}_{j}$ be the map defined by the second projection. For each $j \in \mathrm{~J}$, the set $\left\{\mathrm{C}_{i j} \mid i \in \mathrm{I}_{j}\right\}$ of valuation rings of $\mathrm{M}_{j}$ dominating both B and $\sigma(\mathrm{B})$ is a finite set. For each $\mathrm{C}_{i j}$, take a non-zero element $b_{i j} \in \mathrm{~B}$ such that $\sigma_{j}\left(b_{i j}\right) / b_{i j} \not \equiv 1 \bmod \mathfrak{m}_{\mathrm{C}_{i j}}$ and let $\mathrm{D}_{i j}$ be the Cartier divisor of Y defined by $b_{i j}$. We put $\mathrm{I}=\coprod_{j \in \mathrm{~J}} \mathrm{I}_{j}$ and let $\mathcal{D}=\left(\mathrm{D}_{i j}\right)_{j \in \mathrm{~J}, i \in \mathrm{I} j}$ be the family of Cartier divisors.

We show that $\Sigma_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}}^{\mathcal{D}} \mathrm{Y}$ is empty. Let $\mathrm{Z}_{j}$ be the closure of $\operatorname{Spec} \mathrm{M}_{j}$ in the log product $\left(\mathrm{Y} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{Y}\right)_{\mathcal{D}}^{\sim}$. Then, we have $\overline{\mathrm{W}}=\bigcup_{j \in \mathrm{~J}} \mathrm{Z}_{j}$ and $\Sigma_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}}^{\mathcal{D}} \mathrm{Y}=\bigcup_{j \in \mathrm{~J}}\left(\mathrm{Z}_{j} \cap \Delta_{\mathrm{Y}}^{\log }\right)$. Hence, if $\Sigma_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}}^{\mathcal{D}} \mathrm{Y}$ was not empty, the intersection $\mathrm{Z}_{j} \cap \Delta_{\mathrm{Y}}^{\log }$ would contain the closed point $y$ of Y for some index $j \in \mathrm{~J}$. Take a valuation ring C of $\mathrm{M}_{j}$ dominating the local ring $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Z}_{j, y} .}$. Then, C dominates B and $\sigma_{j}(\mathrm{~B})$. Hence it is equal to $\mathrm{C}_{i j}$ for some $i \in \mathrm{I}_{j}$ and $\sigma_{j}\left(b_{i j}\right) / b_{i j}-1$ is a unit of
$\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}_{i j}$. On the other hand, since the image $y$ of the closed point of C is in $\Delta_{\mathrm{Y}}^{\log }$, the ideal $\left(\sigma_{j}(b)-b ; b \in \mathrm{~B}, \sigma_{j}\left(b_{i k}\right) / b_{i k}-1 ; k \in \mathrm{~J}, i \in \mathrm{I}_{k}\right)$ is different from C , as in the proof of $(2) \Rightarrow(3)$. Thus, we obtain a contradiction.
$(1) \Rightarrow$ (3) By replacing K by an unramified extension, we may assume that the residue field of L is a purely inseparable extension of the residue field of K . We may assume M is a Galois extension and extend $\sigma: \mathrm{L} \rightarrow \mathrm{M}$ to an element of the Galois group $\mathrm{G}=\mathrm{Gal}(\mathrm{M} / \mathrm{K})$. Then, since both C and $\sigma(\mathrm{C})$ dominates $\sigma(\mathrm{B})$, there exists $\tau \in \mathrm{G}$ such that $\tau(\mathrm{C})=\sigma(\mathrm{C})$ and that $\left.\tau\right|_{\sigma(\mathrm{L})}: \sigma(\mathrm{L}) \rightarrow \mathrm{M}$ is the inclusion. Replacing $\sigma$ by $\tau^{-1} \sigma$ if necessary, we may assume $\sigma(\mathrm{C})=\mathrm{C}$. Namely, by the assumption on the residue field, we may assume that $\sigma$ is in the inertia group $\mathrm{I} \subset \mathrm{G}$ of the maximal ideal of $\mathfrak{m}_{\mathrm{C}}$. By the assumption that $\left.\sigma\right|_{\mathrm{L}} \neq \mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{L}}$, it is not an element of the subgroup $\mathrm{H}=\mathrm{Gal}(\mathrm{M} / \mathrm{L}) \subset \mathrm{G}$ corresponding to L .

We put $\overline{\mathrm{C}}=\mathrm{C} / \mathfrak{m}_{\mathrm{C}}$ and we consider the perfect pairings $\mathrm{I} / \mathrm{P} \times\left(\Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}_{\mathrm{C}}} / \Gamma_{\mathrm{A}}\right)^{\prime} \rightarrow \overline{\mathrm{C}}^{\times}$ and $(\mathrm{I} \cap \mathrm{H}) /(\mathrm{P} \cap \mathrm{H}) \times\left(\Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}_{\mathrm{C}}} / \Gamma_{\mathrm{B}}\right)^{\prime} \rightarrow \overline{\mathrm{C}}^{\times}$. Since $\left[\mathrm{L}^{\text {sh }}: \mathrm{K}^{\text {sh }}\right]=[\mathrm{I}: \mathrm{I} \cap \mathrm{H}]$ is invertible in B , the induced pairing $\mathrm{I} /(\mathrm{I} \cap \mathrm{H}) \times\left(\Gamma_{\mathrm{B}} / \Gamma_{\mathrm{A}}\right)^{\prime} \rightarrow \overline{\mathrm{C}}^{\times}$is perfect. Since $\left.\sigma\right|_{\mathrm{L}}$ is not the identity, there exists an element $b \in \mathrm{~L}^{\times}$such that $\sigma(b) / b \not \equiv 1 \bmod \mathfrak{m}_{\mathrm{C}}$.
$(3) \Rightarrow(4)$ Let $\sigma$ be an element of a conjugate $\tau \mathrm{P} \tau^{-1}$ of P . We regard L as a subfield of M by $\left.\tau\right|_{\mathrm{L}}: \mathrm{L} \rightarrow \mathrm{M}$. Then, the maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}^{\prime}=\tau(\mathfrak{m})$ satisfies $\sigma\left(\mathfrak{m}^{\prime}\right)=\mathfrak{m}^{\prime}$ and $\left.\tau\right|_{\mathrm{L}} ^{-1}\left(\mathfrak{m}^{\prime}\right)=\left(\left.\sigma \circ \tau\right|_{\mathrm{L}}\right)^{-1}\left(\mathfrak{m}^{\prime}\right)$ is equal to $\mathrm{B} \cap \mathfrak{m}=\mathfrak{m}_{\mathrm{B}}$. If $\sigma$ was not an element of H , the condition (3) would imply the existence of an element $b \in \mathrm{~L}^{\times}$such that $\sigma(\tau(b)) / \tau(b) \not \equiv 1 \bmod \mathfrak{m}^{\prime}$. This implies that the order of $\sigma$ is not a power of $p$. Thus, we get a contradiction.
$(4) \Rightarrow(1)$ Let M be a finite Galois extension of K containing L as a subfield and we put $\mathrm{G}=\mathrm{Gal}(\mathrm{M} / \mathrm{K}) \supset \mathrm{H}=\mathrm{Gal}(\mathrm{M} / \mathrm{L})$. We take a maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$ of the integral closure C above the maximal ideal of B and let I be the inertia group of $\mathfrak{m}$. Then, the inertia group $I$ is identified with the Galois group $\mathrm{Gal}\left(\mathrm{M}^{\text {sh }} / \mathrm{K}^{\text {sh }}\right)$ and $\mathrm{H} \cap \mathrm{I}$ is the subgroup of $I$ corresponding to the field $L^{\text {sh }}$. Hence $P \subset H$ implies that $\left[L^{\text {sh }}: K^{\text {sh }}\right]=[I: I \cap H]$ is prime to $p$.
2.3. Limit of compactifications and valuation rings. - We study local rings of the limit of compactifications. Let S be a separated noetherian scheme and U be a separated scheme of finite type over S . We consider the category $\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{U} / \mathrm{S}}$ of compactifications of U over S . Namely an object of $\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{U} / \mathrm{S}}$ is a pair $(\mathrm{X}, j)$ consisting of a proper scheme X over S and an open immersion $j: \mathrm{U} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}$ over S . A morphism $f:\left(\mathrm{X}^{\prime}, j^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow(\mathrm{X}, j)$ is a morphism $f: \mathrm{X}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}$ of schemes over S such that $f \circ j^{\prime}=j$. In the following, we omit $j$ from the notation and write simply X for a compactification ( $\mathrm{X}, j$ ).

Lemma 2.3.1. - Let S be a separated noetherian scheme and U be a separated scheme of finite type over S .

1. The category $\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{U} / \mathrm{S}}$ is cofiltered. In particular, it is non-empty.
2. The objects containing U as the complement of a Cartier divisor are cofinal in $\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{U} / \mathrm{S}}$.

Proof. - By Nagata's embedding theorem [31], the category $\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{U} / \mathrm{S}}$ is non-empty. Since a blow-up $\mathrm{X}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}$ is proper, the objects containing U as the complement of a Cartier divisor are cofinal in $\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{U} / \mathrm{S}}$. For objects ( $\mathrm{X}, j$ ) and ( $\mathrm{X}^{\prime}, j^{\prime}$ ) of $\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{U} / \mathrm{S}}$, a morphism $\mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}^{\prime}$ is unique if $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}} \rightarrow j_{*} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{U}}$ is injective. If X and $\mathrm{X}^{\prime}$ are objects of $\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{U} / \mathrm{S}}$, the schematic closure of the diagonal map $\mathrm{U} \rightarrow \mathrm{X} \times{ }_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{X}^{\prime}$ is an object of $\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{U} / \mathrm{S}}$. Hence, the category $\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{U} / \mathrm{S}}$ is cofiltered.

We consider the projective limit $\widetilde{\mathrm{X}}=\lim _{\leftrightarrows}{\mathrm{X} \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{U} / \mathrm{S}}} \mathrm{X}$ in the category of locally ringed spaces. The underlying topological space $\widetilde{\mathrm{X}}$ is known to be quasi-compact [9, Theorem 5.14]. For a point $\tilde{x}=\left(x_{\mathrm{x}}\right) \in \widetilde{\mathrm{X}}$, we have $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\mathrm{x}}, \tilde{x}}=\underline{\lim }_{\mathrm{X} \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{U} / \mathrm{S}}^{\circ}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}, x_{\mathrm{x}}}$. We will describe the limit $\widetilde{\mathrm{X}}$ and the local rings $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\mathrm{x}}, \tilde{x}}$ in terms of valuation rings.

Definition 2.3.2. - Let U be a scheme, $u \in \mathrm{U}$ be a point and A be a valuation ring of the residue field $\kappa(u)$.

1. We say A is U -external, if $\mathrm{A} \varsubsetneqq \kappa(u)$ and if there exists no intermediate ring $\mathrm{A} \subset \mathrm{A}^{\prime} \varsubsetneqq \kappa(u)$ such that the map $u \rightarrow \mathrm{U}$ is extended to $\operatorname{Spec} \mathrm{A}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}$.
2. Let $\mathrm{U} \rightarrow \mathrm{S}$ be a separated morphism of schemes. We say A is S -integral, if the composition $u \rightarrow \mathrm{U} \rightarrow \mathrm{S}$ is extended to a morphism Spec $\mathrm{A} \rightarrow \mathrm{S}$.

Let $u \in \mathrm{U}$ and $\mathrm{A} \subset \kappa(u)$ be an S -integral valuation ring. Then, for an object X of $\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{U} / \mathrm{S}}$, the inclusion $u \rightarrow \mathrm{U}$ is uniquely extended to a morphism $\operatorname{Spec} \mathrm{A} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}$ over S by the valuative criterion of properness. The images $x_{\mathrm{A}} \in \mathrm{X} \backslash \mathrm{U}$ of the closed point of Spec A define a point $\tilde{x}_{\mathrm{A}}=\left(x_{\mathrm{A}}\right)$ of the projective limit $\widetilde{\mathrm{X}}=\lim _{\leftarrow \mathrm{X} \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{U} / \mathrm{S}}} \mathrm{X}$. Thus, we obtain a natural map
(2.3.2.1)

$$
\coprod_{u \in \mathrm{U}}\{\text { S-integral valuation ring of } \kappa(u)\} \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathrm{X}}
$$

An S-integral valuation ring A of $\kappa(u)$ is U-external if and only if $\{u\}=\mathrm{U} \times{ }_{\mathrm{X}}$ $\operatorname{Spec} A \varsubsetneqq \operatorname{Spec} A$ for an object $X$ of $\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{U} / \mathrm{s}}$. Consequently, the map (2.3.2.1) induces
(2.3.2.2) $\quad \coprod_{u \in \mathrm{U}}\{\mathrm{U}$-external and S-integral valuation ring of $\kappa(u)\} \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathrm{X}} \backslash \mathrm{U}$.

We show that the map (2.3.2.2) is a bijection.
Lemma 2.3.3. - (Cf. [9, 5.4]) Let S be a separated noetherian scheme and U be a separated scheme of finite type over S . Let $\tilde{x}=\left(x_{\mathrm{X}}\right)_{\mathrm{X}} \in \tilde{\mathrm{X}} \backslash \mathrm{U}$ be a point in the complement and put $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\mathrm{x}}}, \tilde{x}=$ $\xrightarrow{\lim } \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{x}}}$.

Then, there exists a unique point $u \in \mathrm{U}$ such that $\mathrm{U} \times_{\mathrm{X}} \operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\mathrm{x}}, \tilde{x}}=\operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{U}, u}$ for every object X of $\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{U} / \mathrm{S}}$. The canonical map $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\mathrm{x}}, \tilde{x}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{U}, u}$ is injective and its image is the inverse image of $a$ U-external and S-integral valuation ring A of $\kappa(u)$. For each object X of $\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{U} / \mathrm{S}}$, the point $x_{\mathrm{X}}$ is the image of the closed point of $\operatorname{Spec} \mathrm{A}$ by the unique map $\operatorname{Spec} \mathrm{A} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}$ over S extending $u \rightarrow \mathrm{U}$.

Proof. - Let $\tilde{x}=\left(x_{\mathrm{x}}\right)_{\mathrm{X}} \in \tilde{\mathrm{X}} \backslash \mathrm{U}$ be a point in the complement. For a morphism $\mathrm{X}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}$ of $\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{U} / \mathrm{S}}$, we have $\mathrm{U} \times_{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{X}^{\prime}=\mathrm{U}$ if U is dense in $\mathrm{X}^{\prime}$. Hence the inverse image $\mathrm{U} \times{ }_{\mathrm{X}} \operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\mathrm{X}}, \tilde{x}}$ is independent of X . Thus, to show the existence of $u \in \mathrm{U}$ such that $\mathrm{U} \times{ }_{\mathrm{X}} \operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\mathrm{X}}, \tilde{x}}=\operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{U}, u}$, it suffices to show the existence for one object $\mathrm{X} \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{U} / \mathrm{S}}$. Take an object $\mathrm{X} \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{U} / \mathrm{s}}$. We may assume U is the complement of a Cartier divisor $\mathrm{D} \subset \mathrm{X}$.

By [9, Proposition 5.12], the local ring $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}, \tilde{x}}$ is $\mathrm{I}_{\tilde{x}}$-valuative for $\mathrm{I}_{\tilde{x}}=\mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{D}, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{x}}} \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\mathrm{X}}, \tilde{x}}$ in the terminology loc. cit. Hence, $\Gamma\left(\mathrm{U} \times_{\mathrm{x}} \operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\mathrm{x}}, \tilde{x},}, \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\mathrm{x}}}\right)=\lim _{\rightarrow \mathrm{x}^{\prime}} \Gamma\left(\mathrm{U} \times_{\mathrm{X}^{\prime}} \operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{x}^{\prime}, x_{x^{\prime}}}\right.$, $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}^{\prime}}$ ) is a local ring further by [9, Proposition 5.11]. Since $\operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}^{\prime}, x_{\mathrm{X}^{\prime}}} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}^{\prime} \times_{\mathrm{X}}$ Spec $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{x}}}$ is a limit of open immersions, its restriction $\mathrm{U} \times_{\mathrm{X}^{\prime}} \operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}^{\prime}, x_{\mathrm{x}^{\prime}}} \rightarrow \mathrm{U} \times_{\mathrm{X}}$ $\operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}, x_{\mathrm{x}}}$ is also a limit of open immersions. Hence the local ring $\Gamma\left(\mathrm{U} \times_{\mathrm{X}} \operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\mathrm{X}}, \tilde{x}}, \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\mathrm{X}}}\right)$ is a localization of $\Gamma\left(\mathrm{U} \times_{\mathrm{X}} \operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}, x_{\mathrm{x}}}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}}\right)$ and is equal to the local $\operatorname{ring} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{U}, u}$ at a point $u \in \mathrm{U}$. Further by [9, Proposition 5.11], the canonical map $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\mathrm{X}}, \tilde{x}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{U}, u}$ is an injection and its image is the inverse image of a valuation ring A of $\kappa(u)$ by the surjection $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{U}, u} \rightarrow \kappa(u)$.

Since $\Gamma\left(\mathrm{U} \times{ }_{\mathrm{X}} \operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\mathrm{X}}, \tilde{\mathrm{x}}}, \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\mathrm{X}}}\right)=\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{U}, u}$, the valuation ring A is U-external. Since A is X -integral, it is S-integral. The image $x_{\mathrm{X}}$ of the closed point of $\operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\mathrm{x}}, \tilde{x}}$ is the same as the image of the closed point of Spec A by the induced map $\operatorname{Spec} \mathrm{A} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}$.

Corollary 2.3.4. - The map (2.3.2.2) is a bijection. The inverse is defined by sending $\tilde{x}$ to the valuation ring $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\mathrm{x}}, \tilde{x}} / \mathfrak{m}_{u}=\operatorname{Image}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\mathrm{x}}, \tilde{\mathrm{x}}} \rightarrow \kappa(u)\right) \subset \kappa(u)$, in the notation of Lemma 2.3.3.

Proof. - Let $u \in \mathrm{U}$ be a point and A be a U-external and S-integral valuation ring of $\kappa(u)$. Let $\tilde{x} \in \widetilde{\mathrm{X}}$ be the point defined by the images of the closed point of Spec A. We consider $\mathrm{A}^{\prime}=\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{\mathrm{X}}, \tilde{x}} / \mathfrak{m}_{u^{\prime}} \subset \kappa\left(u^{\prime}\right)$ as in Lemma 2.3.3. We have a natural local homomorphism $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\mathrm{x}}, \tilde{x}} \rightarrow$ A. By [9, Proposition 5.11], the ideal $\mathfrak{m}_{u^{\prime}} \subset \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\mathrm{x}}, \tilde{x}}$ is the intersection $\bigcap_{n} \mathrm{I}_{\tilde{\chi}}^{n}$. Since A is U-external, we have $\bigcap_{n} I_{\tilde{x}}^{n} \mathrm{~A}=0$. Hence, it induces a local homomorphism $\mathrm{A}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{A}$. Since $\mathrm{A}^{\prime}$ is also U-external, we obtain $u^{\prime}=u$. Further, since the valuation ring $\mathrm{A} \subset \kappa(u)$ dominates $\mathrm{A}^{\prime} \subset \kappa(u)$, we obtain $\mathrm{A}^{\prime}=\mathrm{A}$.
2.4. Tame ramification and valuation rings. - We give a criterion for tame ramification in terms of valuation rings, in Proposition 2.4.4. We slightly generalize Definition 2.1.2.2.

Definition 2.4.1. - Let $f: \mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}$ be an unramified morphism of finite type of separated schemes.

1. Let Y be a separated scheme of finite type containing V as an open subscheme. We define the wild ramification locus $\Sigma_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathrm{Y}$ to be the closed subset

$$
\Sigma_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathrm{Y}=\bigcap_{\mathrm{Y}^{\prime}} \pi_{\mathrm{YY}^{\prime}}\left(\Sigma_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}}^{+} \mathrm{Y}^{\prime}\right)
$$

where $\pi_{\mathrm{YY}^{\prime}}: \mathrm{Y}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}$ runs through objects of $\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{Y}}$.
2. Let T be a separated noetherian scheme and assume that V is a separated scheme of finite type over T . We say $f: \mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}$ is tamely ramified with respect to T , if there exists an object Y of $\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{T}}$ such that $\Sigma_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathrm{Y}$ is empty.

Since the category $\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{T}}$ is cofiltered, the map $f: \mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}$ is tamely ramified with respect to T if and only if $\Sigma_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathrm{Y}$ is empty for every object of $\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{T}}$. If U is a scheme over S and if finite étale morphisms $\mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}$ and $\mathrm{V}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}$ are tamely ramified with respect to S , then the fiber product $\mathrm{V} \times_{\mathrm{U}} \mathrm{V}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}$ is also tamely ramified with respect to S . In particular, if a finite étale morphism $V \rightarrow \mathrm{U}$ of connected normal schemes is tamely ramified with respect to $S$, its Galois closure $\mathrm{W} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}$ is also tamely ramified with respect to S .

Lemma 2.4.2. - Let T be a separated noetherian scheme, V be a separated scheme of finite type over T and let $f: \mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}$ be an unramified morphism offinite type of separated schemes. Then, the objects Y of $\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{T}}$ such that there exists a finite family $\mathcal{D}$ of Cartier divisors satisfying $\Sigma_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathrm{Y}=\Sigma_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}}^{\mathcal{D}} \mathrm{Y}$ are cofinal in $\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{T}}$.

Proof. - For an object Y of $\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{T}}$, let $\pi_{\mathrm{Y}}: \widetilde{\mathrm{Y}}=\lim _{\leftarrow}^{\mathrm{Y}^{\prime} \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{T}}} \mathrm{Y}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}$ denote the projection. Since $\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{T}}$ is cofiltered and $\widetilde{\mathrm{Y}}$ is quasi-compact [9, Theorem 5.14], the objects Y such that $\pi_{\mathrm{Y}}^{-1}\left(\Sigma_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}}^{+} \mathrm{Y}\right)=\bigcap_{\mathrm{Y}^{\prime}} \pi_{\mathrm{Y}^{\prime}}^{-1}\left(\Sigma_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}}^{+} \mathrm{Y}^{\prime}\right)$ and $\mathrm{Y}=\pi_{\mathrm{Y}}(\widetilde{\mathrm{Y}})$ are cofinal in $\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{T}}$ by Lemma 2.1.3. For such Y, we have $\Sigma_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}}^{+} \mathrm{Y}=\pi_{\mathrm{Y}}\left(\pi_{\mathrm{Y}}^{-1}\left(\Sigma_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}}^{+} \mathrm{Y}\right)\right)=\bigcap_{\mathrm{Y}^{\prime}} \pi_{\mathrm{Y}}\left(\pi_{\mathrm{Y}^{\prime}}^{-1}\left(\Sigma_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}}^{+} \mathrm{Y}^{\prime}\right)\right)$. Hence the assertion follows from the quasi-compactness of Y .

Lemma 2.4.3. - Let T be a separated noetherian scheme and V be a separated scheme of finite type over T . Let $f: \mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}$ be an unramified separated morphism of finite type of schemes. Let $v \in \mathrm{~V}$ be a point and B be a T -integral valuation ring of $\kappa(v)$. We consider the conditions:
(1) There exists a proper scheme Y over T containing V as an open subscheme such that the closed subset $\Sigma_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}}^{+} \mathrm{Y} \subset \mathrm{Y}$ does not meet the image of the map $\operatorname{Spec} \mathrm{B} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}$.
(2) The finite separable extension $\kappa(v)$ over $\kappa(f(v))$ is tamely ramified with respect to B .

The condition (1) implies (2). If B is V -external (Definition 2.3.2.1), then the conditions (1) and (2) are equivalent.

Proof. - (1) $\Rightarrow(2)$ The condition (1) implies that there exists a finite family $\mathcal{D}=$ $\left(\mathrm{D}_{i}\right)_{i \in \mathrm{I}}$ of Cartier divisors of Spec B such that $\Sigma_{v / f(v)}^{\mathcal{D}}$ Spec B is empty, as the pull-back by Spec B $\rightarrow$ Y. Then, the condition (2) is satisfied by Proposition 2.2.4 (2) $\Rightarrow(1)$.
$(2) \Rightarrow(1)$ Assume that B is V-external. By Proposition 2.2.4 (1) $\Rightarrow(2)$, there exists a finite family $\mathcal{D}=\left(\mathrm{D}_{i}\right)_{i \in \mathrm{I}}$ of Cartier divisors of $\operatorname{Spec} \mathrm{B}$ such that $\Sigma_{v / f(v)}^{\mathcal{D}} \operatorname{Spec} \mathrm{B}$ is empty. Let $\tilde{\mathrm{Y}}=\lim _{\leftrightarrows} \mathrm{Y} \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{T}} \mathrm{Y}$ be the limit of compactifications and $\tilde{y}=\left(y_{\mathrm{Y}}\right)_{\mathrm{Y}} \in \tilde{\mathrm{Y}}$ be the point $\underset{\sim}{c}$ corresponding to $\mathrm{B} \subset \kappa(v)$. We take a non-zero divisor $f_{i} \in \mathrm{~B}$ defining $\mathrm{D}_{i}$ and a lifting $\tilde{f}_{i} \in \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\mathrm{Y}}, \tilde{y}}$ for each $i \in \mathrm{I}$. Since $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\mathrm{Y}}, \tilde{y}}=\lim _{\mathrm{Y}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Y}, y \mathrm{Y}}$, there exist an object Y of $\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{T}}$, an open
neighborhood W of $y_{\mathrm{Y}}$ and non-zero divisors $g_{i} \in \Gamma\left(\mathrm{~W}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Y}}\right)$ invertible on $\mathrm{V} \cap \mathrm{W}$ sent to $f_{i}$ for $i \in \mathrm{I}$. By replacing Y by the blow-up of the closure of the divisors of $g_{i}$, we may assume that there exists a finite family $\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{Y}}=\left(\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{Y}, i}\right)_{i \in \mathrm{I}}$ of Cartier divisors of Y such that $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{Y}, i} \cap \mathrm{~V}=\emptyset$ and $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{Y}, i} \cap \mathrm{~W}$ is defined by $g_{i}$ for each $i \in \mathrm{I}$. Then the inverse image of $\Sigma_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}}^{\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{Y}}} \mathrm{Y}$ by the map $\operatorname{Spec} \mathrm{B} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}$ is equal to $\Sigma_{v / f(v)}^{\mathcal{D}} \operatorname{Spec} \mathrm{B}$ and hence is empty. Thus the assertion is proved.

Proposition 2.4.4. - Let T be a separated noetherian scheme and V be a separated scheme of finite type over T. For an unramified separated morphism $f: \mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}$ of finite type of schemes, the following conditions are equivalent:
(1) $f: \mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}$ is tamely ramified with respect to T .
(2) For every point $v \in \mathrm{~V}$ and for every T -integral and V -external valuation ring (Definition 2.3.2) B of $\kappa(v)$, the extension $\kappa(v)$ over $\kappa(f(v))$ is tamely ramified with respect to B.
(3) For every point $v$ of V and for every T -integral valuation ring B of $\kappa(v)$, the extension $\kappa(v)$ over $\kappa(f(v))$ is tamely ramified with respect to B .

$$
\text { Proof. - (3) } \Rightarrow(2) \text { Clear. }
$$

$(1) \Rightarrow(3)$ It follows from Lemma 2.4.3 $(1) \Rightarrow(2)$.
 denote the projection. By Lemma 2.4.3 (2) $\Rightarrow$ (1), for every point $\tilde{y} \in \tilde{\mathrm{Y}}$ of the boundary, there exists a proper scheme Y over T containing V as an open subscheme such that the inverse image of $\Sigma_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}}^{+} \mathrm{Y} \subset \mathrm{Y}$ does not contain $\tilde{y}$. In other words, the intersection $\bigcap_{\mathrm{Y} \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{T}}} \pi_{\mathrm{Y}}^{-1}\left(\Sigma_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}}^{+} \mathrm{Y}\right) \subset \widetilde{\mathrm{Y}}$ is empty. Since $\tilde{\mathrm{Y}}$ is quasi-compact and since the category $\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{T}}$ is cofiltered, there exists an object Y of $\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{T}}$ such that $\pi_{\mathrm{Y}}^{-1}\left(\Sigma_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}}^{+} \mathrm{Y}\right)$ is empty. Thus the assertion follows.

Corollary 2.4.5. - Let T be a separated noetherian scheme and V be a separated scheme of finite type over T . Let $f: \mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}$ be a separated unramified morphism of finite type. If one of the following conditions is satisfied, then $f: \mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}$ is tamely ramified with respect to T .
(1) T is a scheme over $\mathbf{Q}$.
(2) V is a G -torsor over U for a finite group G of order invertible on T .

Proof. - Let $v \in \mathrm{~V}$ be a point and B be a T-integral and V -external valuation ring of $\kappa(v)$. Then, either of the conditions (1) and (2) implies that the extension $\kappa(v)$ over $\kappa(f(v))$ is tamely ramified with respect to B. Hence the assertion follows from Proposition 2.4.4 (2) $\Rightarrow(1)$.

Corollary 2.4.6. - Let T be a separated noetherian scheme and V be a separated scheme of finite type over T . Let $f: \mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}$ be an unramified and separated dominant morphism of schemes of
finite type. Assume that U and V are integral and let $\xi \in \mathrm{U}$ and $\eta \in \mathrm{V}$ be the generic point respectively. We consider the following conditions:
(1) $f: \mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}$ is tamely ramified with respect to T .
(2) The extension $\kappa(\eta)$ of $\kappa(\xi)$ is tamely ramified with respect to an arbitrary T-integral valuation ring of $\kappa(\eta)$.

Then, the implication $(1) \Rightarrow(2)$ always holds. The other implication $(2) \Rightarrow(1)$ holds if V is regular.

Proof. - (1) $\Rightarrow(2)$ It follows from Proposition 2.4.4 (1) $\Rightarrow(3)$.
$(2) \Rightarrow(1)$ Assume V is regular. Let $v \in \mathrm{~V}$ and let $\mathrm{B} \subset \kappa(v)$ be a T-integral valuation ring. Since V is regular, there exists a valuation ring $\mathrm{B}_{0} \subset \kappa(\eta)$ dominating $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{V}, v}$ such that the residue field of $\mathrm{B}_{0}$ is $\kappa(v)$. Let $\mathrm{B}_{1}$ be the inverse image of $\mathrm{B} \subset \kappa(v)$ by the surjection $\mathrm{B}_{0} \rightarrow \kappa(v)$. Then $\mathrm{B}_{1} \subset \kappa(\eta)$ is a valuation ring and T-integral. Thus, by Proposition 2.4.4, it suffices to show that $\kappa(v)$ is tamely ramified over $\kappa(u)$ with respect to B assuming $\kappa(\eta)$ is tamely ramified over $\kappa(\xi)$ with respect to $\mathrm{B}_{1}$.

We put $\mathrm{A}=\mathrm{B} \cap \kappa(u)$ and $\mathrm{A}_{1}=\mathrm{B}_{1} \cap \kappa(\xi)$. Then, the map $\mathrm{A}_{1} \rightarrow \mathrm{~A}$ is a surjection and we have $\kappa(v)=\kappa(u) \otimes_{\mathrm{A}_{1}} \mathrm{~B}_{1}$. Hence we have $\mathrm{B}=\mathrm{A} \otimes_{\mathrm{A}_{1}} \mathrm{~B}_{1}$. Let $\mathrm{A}_{1}^{\text {sh }}$ and $\mathrm{B}_{1}^{\text {sh }}$ be the strict henselizations and $\kappa(\xi)_{1}^{\text {sh }}$ and $\kappa(\eta)_{1}^{\text {sh }}$ be their fraction fields. By the assumption, the degree $\left[\kappa(\eta)_{1}^{\text {sh }}: \kappa(\xi)_{1}^{\text {sh }}\right]$ is invertible in B . Let $\mathrm{A}^{\text {sh }}$ and $\mathrm{B}^{\text {sh }}$ be the strict henselizations and $\kappa(u)^{\text {sh }}$ and $\kappa(v)^{\text {sh }}$ be their fraction fields. Then, $\mathrm{A}^{\text {sh }}$ and $\mathrm{B}^{\text {sh }}$ are quotients of $\mathrm{A}_{1}^{\text {sh }}$ and of $\mathrm{B}_{1}^{\text {sh }}$ and the canonical map $\kappa(u)^{\text {sh }} \otimes_{A_{1}^{\text {sh }}} \mathrm{B}_{1}^{\text {sh }} \rightarrow \kappa(v)^{\text {sh }}$ is an isomorphism. Hence, we have $\left[\kappa(v)^{\mathrm{sh}}: \kappa(u)^{\mathrm{sh}}\right]=\left[\kappa(\eta)_{1}^{\mathrm{sh}}: \kappa(\xi)_{1}^{\mathrm{sh}}\right]$ and the assertion follows.

The following example shows that the condition (2) need not imply (1) if we replace "regular" by "normal".

Example 2.4.7. - Let $k$ be an algebraically closed field of characteristic $p>0$, E be an ordinary elliptic curve over $k$ and $\mathcal{L}$ be a very ample invertible $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{E}}$-module on E e.g. $\mathcal{O}(3 \cdot[0])$. Let $\mathrm{X}_{0}=\operatorname{Spec} \bigoplus \Gamma\left(\mathrm{E}, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes n}\right)$ be the affine cone. The blow-up $\mathrm{X}_{1}$ of $\mathrm{X}_{0}$ at the origin is the line bundle over E associated to $\mathcal{L}$. Let $\mathrm{Y}_{1} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}_{1}$ be the base change of the map $\mathrm{V}: \mathrm{E}^{(p)} \rightarrow \mathrm{E}$ and $\mathrm{Y}_{0} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}_{0}$ be the Stein factorization of the composition $\mathrm{Y}_{1} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}_{1} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}_{0}$.

Let $\mathrm{C} \rightarrow \mathrm{C}^{\prime}$ be a finite étale cyclic covering of affine curves of degree $p$. We assume that the map $\overline{\mathrm{C}} \rightarrow \overline{\mathrm{C}}^{\prime}$ of the compactifications is wildly ramified. We put $\mathrm{V}=\mathrm{Y}_{0} \times \mathrm{C}$, $\mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{Y}_{0} \times \overline{\mathrm{C}}$ and we consider the action of $\mathrm{E}[p](k) \times \operatorname{Gal}\left(\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{C}^{\prime}\right) \simeq(\mathbf{Z} / p \mathbf{Z})^{2}$ on Y. Let $\mathrm{G} \subset \mathrm{E}[p](k) \times \operatorname{Gal}\left(\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{C}^{\prime}\right)$ be a diagonal subgroup and $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{G}$ be the quotient. Since the action of G on V is free, the $\operatorname{map} f: \mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}=\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{G}$ is finite and étale.

We show that $\mathrm{Y} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}$ satisfies (2). Since $\mathrm{V}: \mathrm{E}^{(p)} \rightarrow \mathrm{E}$ is finite étale, the blow-up $\mathrm{Y}_{1} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}_{1}$ of $\mathrm{Y}_{0} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}_{0}$ is finite étale. Hence, the action of G on $\mathrm{Y}_{1} \times \overline{\mathrm{C}}$ is free and the $\operatorname{map} \mathrm{Y}_{1} \times \overline{\mathrm{C}} \rightarrow\left(\mathrm{Y}_{1} \times \overline{\mathrm{C}}\right) / \mathrm{G}$ is finite étale.

We show that $\mathrm{Y} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}$ does not satisfy (1). The inclusion $\overline{\mathrm{C}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}$ at the origin of $\mathrm{Y}_{0}$ induces $\overline{\mathrm{C}}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}$. By the assumption, the covering $\overline{\mathrm{C}} \rightarrow \overline{\mathrm{C}}^{\prime}$ is widely ramified at the boundary $c \in \overline{\mathrm{C}} \backslash \mathrm{C}$. Since the valuation ring $\mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathrm{C}}, c}$ is Y-integral, the assertion follows.
2.5. Tame ramification and Kummer coverings. - We consider a finite étale morphism $f: \mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}$ of separated schemes of finite type over a separated noetherian scheme S . We study the condition for $f: \mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}$ to be tamely ramified with respect to S in terms of Kummer coverings.

Definition 2.5.1. - Let X be a scheme, $\mathrm{U} \subset \mathrm{X}$ be an open subscheme and $f: \mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}$ be a finite étale morphism.

1. Let $x \in \mathrm{X} \backslash \mathrm{U}$ be a point of boundary. We say $f: \mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}$ is of Kummer type at $x$ if there exist an open neighborhood W of $x$, functions $t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n} \in \Gamma\left(\mathrm{~W}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{x}}\right)$ invertible on $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{W}}=\mathrm{U} \cap \mathrm{W}$, integers $m_{1}, \ldots, m_{n} \geq 1$ invertible on W and an étale surjective morphism $\mathrm{W}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{W}$, such that the base change off $: \mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}$ by the e etale map $\mathrm{U} \times \mathrm{x}\left(\mathrm{W}\left[\mathrm{S}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{~S}_{n}\right] /\left(\mathrm{S}_{1}^{m_{1}}-t_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{~S}_{n}^{m_{n}}-t_{n}\right) \times{ }_{\mathrm{W}} \mathrm{W}^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{U}$ is a constant étale covering.
2. We say $f: \mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}$ is of Kummer type with respect to X if it is of Kummer type at every point of $x \in \mathrm{X} \backslash \mathrm{U}$.

Lemma 2.5.2. - Let S be a separated noetherian scheme and $f: \mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}$ be a finite étale morphism of separated schemes of finite type over S . Let $u \in \mathrm{U}$ be a point let A be an S-integral valuation ring of $\kappa(u)$. We consider the conditions:
(1) For every point $v \in f^{-1}(u)$ and for every valuation ring B of $\kappa(v)$ dominating A , the extension $\kappa(v)$ over $\kappa(u)$ is tamely ramified with respect to B .
(2) There exists a proper scheme X over S containing U as an open subscheme such that $\mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}$ is of Kummer type at the image of the closed point of $\operatorname{Spec} \mathrm{A}$ by $\operatorname{Spec} \mathrm{A} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}$.

The condition (1) implies (2). If A is U -external, then the conditions (1) and (2) are equivalent.
Proof. - (2) $\Rightarrow$ (1) Since the assertion is étale local on Spec A, it follows from Corollary 2.2.3 (2) $\Rightarrow(1)$.
$(1) \Rightarrow(2)$ Assume that A is U-external. By Corollary 2.2.3 $(1) \Rightarrow(2)$, there exists nonzero elements $t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n} \in \mathfrak{m}_{\mathrm{A}}$ and integers $m_{1}, \ldots, m_{n} \geq 1$ invertible in A such that, for every $v \in f^{-1}(u)$, the normalization of A in $\kappa(v)\left[\mathrm{S}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{~S}_{n}\right] /\left(\mathrm{S}_{1}^{m_{1}}-t_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{~S}_{n}^{m_{n}}-t_{n}\right)$ is finite étale over the normalization of A in $\kappa(u)\left[\mathrm{S}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{~S}_{n}\right] /\left(\mathrm{S}_{1}^{m_{1}}-t_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{~S}_{n}^{m_{n}}-t_{n}\right)$. We put $\mathrm{A}_{1}=\mathrm{A}\left[\mathrm{S}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{~S}_{n}\right] /\left(\mathrm{S}_{1}^{m_{1}}-t_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{~S}_{n}^{m_{n}}-t_{n}\right)$ and let $\mathrm{A}^{\text {sh }}$ denote the strict henselization of A . Then, the étale covering $\mathrm{V}_{u}=\mathrm{V} \times_{\mathrm{U}} u$ of $u$ is trivialized by the base change $\mathrm{A} \rightarrow \mathrm{A}^{\text {sh }} \otimes_{\mathrm{A}} \mathrm{A}_{1}$. Consequently, there exist an étale $A$-algebra $\mathrm{A}^{\prime}$ and a maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}^{\prime}$ of $\mathrm{A}^{\prime}$ above the maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}_{\mathrm{A}}$ such that the étale covering $\mathrm{V}_{u}=\mathrm{V} \times_{\mathrm{U}} u$ of $u$ is trivialized by the base change $\mathrm{A} \rightarrow \mathrm{A}^{\prime} \otimes_{\mathrm{A}} \mathrm{A}_{1}$.

Let $\widetilde{\mathrm{X}}=\lim _{\longleftarrow}{\mathrm{X} \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{U} / \mathrm{S}}} \mathrm{X}$ be the limit of compactifications and $\tilde{x} \in \tilde{\sim} \tilde{\mathrm{X}}$ be the point corresponding to $\mathrm{A} \subset \kappa(u)$. We write $\widetilde{\mathrm{A}}=\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\mathrm{X}}, \tilde{x}}$ and let $\mathfrak{p}=\mathfrak{m}_{u} \subset \widetilde{\mathrm{~A}}$ denote the ker$\tilde{\mathrm{A}}^{\text {nel }}$ of the surjection $\widetilde{\mathrm{A}} \rightarrow \mathrm{A}$. We take liftings $\tilde{t}_{1}, \ldots, \tilde{t}_{n} \in \widetilde{\mathrm{~A}}$ of $t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n} \in \mathrm{~A}$ and put $\widetilde{\mathrm{A}}_{1}=\widetilde{\mathrm{A}}\left[\mathrm{S}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{~S}_{n}\right] /\left(\mathrm{S}_{1}^{m_{1}}-\tilde{t}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{~S}_{n}^{m_{n}}-\tilde{t}_{n}\right)$. We also take an étale $\widetilde{\mathrm{A}}$-algebra $\widetilde{\mathrm{A}}^{\prime}$ such that $\widetilde{\mathrm{A}}^{\prime} \otimes_{\tilde{A}} \mathrm{~A}=\mathrm{A}^{\prime}$ and put $\widetilde{\mathrm{A}}_{1}^{\prime}=\widetilde{\mathrm{A}}^{\prime} \otimes_{\tilde{\mathrm{A}}} \widetilde{\mathrm{A}}_{1}$. Then, we have an isomorphism $\widetilde{\mathrm{A}}_{1}^{\prime} \otimes_{\tilde{A}} \mathrm{~A} \rightarrow$ $\mathrm{A}^{\prime} \otimes_{\mathrm{A}} \mathrm{A}_{1}$. Let $\tilde{\mathfrak{m}}^{\prime}$ be the maximal ideal of $\widetilde{\mathrm{A}}^{\prime}$ above $\mathfrak{m}^{\prime}$ and $\tilde{\mathfrak{m}}_{1}^{\prime}$ be a maximal ideal $\widetilde{\mathrm{A}}_{1}^{\prime}$ above $\tilde{\mathfrak{m}}^{\prime}$. We will apply the following lemma to the localization $\widetilde{\mathrm{A}}_{1, \tilde{\mathfrak{m}}_{1}^{\prime}}^{\prime}$.

Lemma 2.5.3. - Let A be a local ring and $\mathfrak{p}$ be a prime ideal of A such that A is canonically isomorphic to the inverse image of $\mathrm{A} / \mathfrak{p}$ by the surjection $\mathrm{A}_{\mathfrak{p}} \rightarrow \kappa(\mathfrak{p})$. Let B be a finite A -algebra such that $\mathrm{B} \otimes_{\mathrm{A}} \mathrm{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is flat over $\mathrm{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and that $\mathrm{B} \otimes_{\mathrm{A}} \kappa(\mathfrak{p})$ is isomorphic to the product $\kappa(\mathfrak{p})^{n}$. We define an $\mathrm{A}-$ subalgebra $\mathrm{B}^{\prime}$ of $\mathrm{B} \otimes_{\mathrm{A}} \mathrm{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ to be the inverse image of $(\mathrm{A} / \mathfrak{p})^{n}$ by the surjection $\mathrm{B} \otimes_{\mathrm{A}} \mathrm{A}_{\mathfrak{p}} \rightarrow \mathrm{B} \otimes_{\mathrm{A}} \kappa(\mathfrak{p})$. Then, $\mathrm{B}^{\prime}$ is finite étale over A and the canonical maps $\mathrm{B} \otimes_{\mathrm{A}} \mathrm{A}_{\mathfrak{p}} \rightarrow \mathrm{B}^{\prime} \otimes_{\mathrm{A}} \mathrm{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and $\mathrm{B}^{\prime} / \mathfrak{p} \mathrm{B}^{\prime} \rightarrow(\mathrm{A} / \mathfrak{p})^{n}$ are isomorphisms.

Proof. - We may assume B is $\mathfrak{p}$-torsion free and identify $\mathrm{B} \subset \mathrm{B} \otimes_{\mathrm{A}} \mathrm{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}$. By the assumption on A , the prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}=\operatorname{Ker}(\mathrm{A} \rightarrow \mathrm{A} / \mathfrak{p})$ is equal to the maximal ideal $\mathfrak{p} A_{\mathfrak{p}}=\operatorname{Ker}\left(\mathrm{A}_{\mathfrak{p}} \rightarrow \kappa(\mathfrak{p})\right)$. Hence, we have $\mathfrak{p B}^{\prime} \subset \mathfrak{p} A_{\mathfrak{p}}\left(B \otimes_{\mathrm{A}} \mathrm{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}\right)=\mathfrak{p} A_{\mathfrak{p}} \cdot \mathrm{B}=\mathfrak{p B} \subset \mathfrak{p} B^{\prime}$. Thus, we have an equality $\mathfrak{p B} B^{\prime}=\mathfrak{p} A_{\mathfrak{p}}\left(\mathrm{B} \otimes_{\mathrm{A}} \mathrm{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}\right)$ and an isomorphism $\mathrm{B}^{\prime} / \mathfrak{p B} \mathrm{B}^{\prime} \rightarrow(\mathrm{A} / \mathfrak{p})^{n}$. Since the A-module $\mathrm{B}^{\prime} / \mathrm{B}$ is isomorphic to $(\mathrm{A} / \mathfrak{p})^{n} /(\mathrm{B} / \mathfrak{p B})$, the A -module $\mathrm{B}^{\prime}$ is of finite type. Hence, by Nakayama's lemma, $\mathrm{B}^{\prime}$ is finite flat over A and hence is étale over A . The canonical map $\mathrm{B} \otimes_{\mathrm{A}} \kappa(\mathfrak{p}) \rightarrow \mathrm{B}^{\prime} \otimes_{\mathrm{A}} \kappa(\mathfrak{p})$ is an isomorphism and hence $\mathrm{B} \otimes_{\mathrm{A}} \mathrm{A}_{\mathfrak{p}} \rightarrow$ $B^{\prime} \otimes_{A} A_{p}$ is also an isomorphism.

We go back to the proof of Lemma 2.5.2. By [9, Proposition 5.11], the local ring $\widetilde{\mathrm{A}}$ is canonically isomorphic to the inverse image of $\widetilde{\mathrm{A}} / \mathfrak{p}$ by the surjection $\widetilde{\mathrm{A}}_{\mathfrak{p}} \rightarrow \kappa(\mathfrak{p})$. We show that the local ring $\widetilde{\mathrm{A}}_{1, \tilde{m}_{1}^{\prime}}^{\prime}$ also satisfies the condition of Lemma 2.5.3. Since $\widetilde{\mathrm{A}}_{1}^{\prime}$ is flat over $\widetilde{\mathrm{A}}$, it follows that $\widetilde{\mathrm{A}}_{1}^{\prime} \underset{\sim}{\text { is }}$ canonically isomorphic to the inverse image of $\widetilde{\mathrm{A}}_{1}^{\prime} / \mathfrak{p} \widetilde{\mathrm{A}}_{1}^{\prime}=\mathrm{A}^{\prime} \otimes_{\mathrm{A}} \mathrm{A}_{1}$ by the surjection $\widetilde{\mathrm{A}}_{1}^{\prime} \otimes_{\widetilde{\mathrm{A}}} \widetilde{\mathrm{A}}_{\mathfrak{p}} \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathrm{A}}_{1}^{\prime} \otimes_{\widetilde{\mathrm{A}}} \kappa(\mathfrak{p})$. Thus the claim follows by localization.

By Zariski's main theorem, there exists a finite $\widetilde{\mathrm{A}}$-algebra $\widetilde{\mathrm{B}}$ such that $\widetilde{\mathrm{B}} \otimes_{\widetilde{\mathrm{A}}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{U}, u}$ is isomorphic to $\Gamma\left(\mathrm{V} \times_{\mathrm{U}} \operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{U}, u}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{V}}\right)$. Hence, by Lemma 2.5.3, the base change of $\mathrm{V} \times_{\mathrm{U}} \operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{U}, u} \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{U}, u}$ by $\widetilde{\mathrm{A}} \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathrm{A}}_{1}^{\prime}$ is extended to a finite étale covering on a neighborhood of $\tilde{\mathfrak{m}}_{1}^{\prime}$. By replacing $A^{\prime}$ by an étale algebra contained in $A^{\text {sh }}$, we may assume that the base change of $\mathrm{V} \times_{\mathrm{U}} \operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{U}, u} \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{U}, u}$ by $\widetilde{\mathrm{A}} \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathrm{A}}_{1}^{\prime}$ is a constant finite étale covering.

Since $\tilde{\mathrm{A}}=\lim _{\mathrm{X}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}, x_{\mathrm{X}}}$, there exist an object X of $\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{U} / \mathrm{S}}$, an open neighborhood W of $x_{\mathrm{X}}$ and non-zero divisors $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n} \in \Gamma\left(\mathrm{~W}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}}\right)$ invertible on $\mathrm{U} \cap \mathrm{W}$ sent to $\tilde{t}_{i}$ for $i=$ $1, \ldots, n$ and an étale morphism $\mathrm{W}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{W}$ such that $\widetilde{\mathrm{A}}^{\prime}$ is the pull-back of $\mathrm{W}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{W}$ by $\operatorname{Spec} \widetilde{\mathrm{A}} \rightarrow \mathrm{W}$. We put $\mathrm{W}_{1}=\mathrm{W}\left[\mathrm{S}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{~S}_{n}\right] /\left(\mathrm{S}_{1}^{m_{1}}-f_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{~S}_{n}^{m_{n}}-f_{n}\right)$. Then further by $\widetilde{\mathrm{A}}=$
$\lim _{\mathrm{x}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{xx}_{\mathrm{x}}}$, replacing X if necessary, the base change of $\mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}$ by $\mathrm{W}_{1} \times_{\mathrm{W}} \mathrm{W}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}$ is a constant finite étale covering.

Proposition 2.5.4. - Let S be a separated noetherian scheme and $f: \mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}$ be a finite étale morphism of separated schemes of finite type over S . Then, the following conditions are equivalent:
(1) $f: \mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}$ is tamely ramified with respect to S .
(2) There exists a proper scheme X over S containing U as an open subscheme such that $\mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}$ is of Kummer type with respect to X .

Proof. - $(2) \Rightarrow(1)$ It follows from Lemma 2.5.2 $(2) \Rightarrow(1)$ and Proposition 2.4.4 $(2) \Rightarrow(1)$.
$(1) \Rightarrow(2)$ By Proposition 2.4.4 $(1) \Rightarrow(2)$ and Lemma 2.5.2 $(1) \Rightarrow(2)$, for every point $\tilde{x} \in \widetilde{\mathrm{X}}=\lim _{\mathrm{X} \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{U} / \mathrm{S}}} \mathrm{X}$ of the boundary, there exists a proper scheme X over S containing U such that the maximum open subscheme $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{X}} \subset \mathrm{X}$ where $\mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}$ is of Kummer type contains the image $\pi_{\mathrm{X}}(\tilde{x}) \in \mathrm{X}$ of $\tilde{x} \in \widetilde{\mathrm{X}}$ by the projection $\pi_{\mathrm{X}}: \widetilde{\mathrm{X}} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}$. In other words, the family $\left(\pi_{\mathrm{X}}^{-1}\left(\mathrm{~W}_{\mathrm{X}}\right)\right)_{\mathrm{X} \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{U} / \mathrm{S}}}$ is an open covering of $\widetilde{\mathrm{X}}$. Since $\widetilde{\mathrm{X}}$ is quasi-compact and since the category $\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{U} / \mathrm{S}}$ is cofiltered, there exists an object X of $\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{U} / \mathrm{S}}$ such that $\pi_{\mathrm{X}}^{-1}\left(\mathrm{~W}_{\mathrm{X}}\right)=\tilde{\mathrm{X}}$. Thus the assertion follows.
2.6. Tame ramification of Galois coverings. - The following proposition shows that the definition of tame ramification here is equivalent to that given by Gabber [43, Section 2.1] for Galois coverings. Let X be a normal scheme, $\mathrm{U} \subset \mathrm{X}$, be a dense open subscheme and $\mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}$ be a finite G-torsor. Then, for a geometric point $\bar{x}$ of X , the inertia subgroup $\mathrm{I}_{\bar{x}}$ of G is defined up to conjugacy.

Proposition 2.6.1. - Let S be a separated noetherian scheme and U be a separated normal integral scheme of finite type over S . For a G -torsor $f: \mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}$ for a finite group G , the following conditions are equivalent:
(1) $f: \mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}$ is tamely ramified with respect to S .
(2) There exists a proper normal scheme X over S and an open immersion $\mathrm{U} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}$ over S such that for every geometric point $\bar{x}$ of X , the order of the inertia subgroup $\mathrm{I}_{\bar{x}} \subset \mathrm{G}$ is invertible at $\bar{x}$.

Proof. - (1) $\Rightarrow(2)$ By Proposition 2.5.4 (1) $\Rightarrow(2)$, there exists a proper normal scheme X over S and an open immersion $\mathrm{U} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}$ over S such that $\mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}$ is of Kummer type with respect to X . Hence the assertion follows.
$(2) \Rightarrow(1)$ For every point $u \in \mathrm{U}$, for every S-integral and U-external valuation ring A of $\kappa(u)$, for every $v \in f^{-1}(u)$ and for every valuation ring B of $\kappa(v)$ dominating A , the order of the inertia group $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{B} / \mathrm{A}}$ is invertible in A. Hence, by Proposition 2.4.4 (2) $\Rightarrow(1)$, the map $\mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}$ is tamely ramified with respect to S .

Corollary 2.6.2. - Let $f: \mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}$ be a finite étale morphism and let X be a normal scheme containing U as a dense open subscheme. We assume $f: \mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}$ is a G -torsor for a finite group G . We consider the following conditions:
(1) $f: \mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}$ is tamely ramified with respect to X .
(2) For every geometric point $\bar{x}$ of X , the order of the inertia subgroup $\mathrm{I}_{\bar{x}} \subset \mathrm{G}$ is invertible at $\bar{x}$.
(3) Let $x$ be an arbitrary point of X such that the local ring $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}, x}$ is a discrete valuation ring. Then, $f: \mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}$ is tamely ramified with respect to $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}, x}$.

Then, we have implications $(2) \Rightarrow(1) \Rightarrow(3)$. If X is a regular separated noetherian scheme and if U is the complement of a divisor with normal crossings, then (3) implies (2).

Proof. - (2) $\Rightarrow(1)$ It follows from Proposition 2.6.1 (2) $\Rightarrow(1)$.
$(1) \Rightarrow(3)$ It follows from Proposition 2.4.4 (1) $\Rightarrow(2)$.
$(3) \Rightarrow(2) \mathrm{By}$ [37, Proposition 5.2] (Lemme d'Abhyankhar absolu), the condition (3) implies that $\mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}$ is of Kummer type with respect to X . Hence (3) implies (2).

If we drop the assumption that U is the complement of a divisor with normal crossings, the implication $(1) \Rightarrow(2)$ nor $(3) \Rightarrow(1)$ need not hold even if X is regular, as the following examples show. The authors thank M. Raynaud for the help to find Example 2.6.3.2.

Example 2.6.3. - 1. Let $k$ be an algebraically closed field of characteristic $p>0$ and $\mathrm{V}_{0} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}_{0}=\operatorname{Spec} k\left[t, t^{-1},(t-1)^{-1}\right]=\mathbf{P}_{k}^{1} \backslash\{0,1, \infty\}$ be a finite étale connected Galois covering of degree divisible by $p$ tamely ramified at $0,1, \infty$. We put $\mathrm{X}=\mathbf{A}_{k}^{2}=$ $\operatorname{Spec} k[x, y] \supset \mathrm{U}=\operatorname{Spec} k\left[x, y,(x y(x-y))^{-1}\right]$ and define a map $\mathrm{U} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}_{0}$ by sending $t$ to $x / y$. Let $\mathrm{V}=\mathrm{V}_{0} \times_{\mathrm{U}_{0}} \mathrm{U}$ be the pull-back by the map $\mathrm{U} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}_{0}$. Then, since $\mathrm{V}_{0} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}_{0}$ is assumed tamely ramified, the covering $\mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}$ is tamely ramified with respect to X and satisfies the condition (1). Since the inertia group at the origin $0 \in \mathrm{X}$ is equal to the Galois group $\operatorname{Gal}\left(\mathrm{U}_{0} / \mathrm{V}_{0}\right)$, the condition (2) is not satisfied.
2. Let $k$ be a field of characteristic $p \geq 3$ and $m \geq 1$ be an integer. We consider the cyclic covering

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{Z}=\operatorname{Spec} k[x, y, z] /\left(z^{p-1}-\left(x^{2 m(p-1)-1}+x^{m(p-1)} y^{m(p-1)}+y^{2 m(p-1)}\right)\right) \\
& \pi \downarrow \\
& \mathrm{X}=\mathbf{A}_{k}^{2}=\operatorname{Spec} k[x, y]
\end{aligned}
$$

of degree $p-1$ ramified at the divisor $\mathrm{D}=\left(x^{2 m(p-1)-1}+x^{m(p-1)} y^{m(p-1)}+y^{2 m(p-1)}\right)$. We put $\mathrm{U}=\mathrm{X} \backslash \mathrm{D}$ and $\mathrm{W}=\mathrm{Z} \times_{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{U}$. We consider the Artin-Schreier covering of $\mathrm{Z} \times_{\mathrm{X}}\left(\mathbf{G}_{m} \times \mathbf{A}^{1}\right)$ defined by $\mathrm{T}^{p}-\mathrm{T}=y^{m(p-2)} z / x^{m p}$. Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{y^{m(p-2)} z}{x^{m p}}-\left(\left(\frac{z}{x^{m} y^{m}}\right)^{p}-\frac{z}{x^{m} y^{m}}\right) \\
& \quad=\frac{\left(y^{2 m(p-1)}-\left(x^{2 m(p-1)-1}+x^{m(p-1)} y^{m(p-1)}+y^{2 m(p-1)}\right)+x^{m(p-1)} y^{m(p-1)}\right) z}{x^{m p} y^{m p}} \\
& \quad=-\frac{x^{m(p-2)-1} z}{y^{m p}},
\end{aligned}
$$

it is extended to a finite étale covering on $Z \backslash \pi^{-1}(0)$. Hence it defines a finite étale Galois covering $\mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}$ of Galois group $\mathbf{F}_{p}^{\times} \ltimes \mathbf{F}_{p}$, tamely ramified with respect to $\mathrm{X} \backslash\{0\}$. Thus the Galois covering $\mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{U} \subset \mathrm{X}$ satisfies the condition (3) in Corollary 2.6.2.

Let $\mathrm{X}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}$ be the blow-up at the origin and $\mathrm{Z}^{\prime}$ be the normalization of $\mathrm{X}^{\prime}$ in W . We put $t=x / y$. Then, since $x^{2 m(p-1)-1}+x^{m(p-1)} y^{m(p-1)}+y^{2 m(p-1)}=y^{2 m(p-1)-1}\left(t^{2 m(p-1)-1}+\right.$ $t^{m(p-1)} y+y$ ), the cyclic covering $\mathbf{Z}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}^{\prime}$ is totally ramified along the exceptional divisor $\mathrm{E} \subset \mathrm{X}^{\prime}$ and the valuation of $y^{m(p-2)} z / x^{m p}=z /\left(x^{2 m} t^{m(p-2)}\right)$ at the generic point of the inverse image $\mathrm{E}^{\prime}=\mathrm{E} \times_{\mathrm{X}^{\prime}} \mathrm{Z}^{\prime}$ is $(2 m(p-1)-1)-2 m(p-1)=-1$. Hence the Artin-Schreier covering $\mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{W}$ is totally ramified along $\mathrm{E}^{\prime}$ and $\mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}$ is not tamely ramified with respect to X . Thus the Galois covering $\mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{U} \subset \mathrm{X}$ does not satisfy the condition (1) in Corollary 2.6.2.

## 3. Complements on localized intersection products

We compute certain tor-sheaves in Section 3.1. This computation plays a crucial role in the proof of the excision formula and of the blow-up formula in Section 6.2. We recall the definition of the localized intersection product and some useful formulas in Section 3.3.

The results in Sections 3.2 and 3.4 are used only in an explicit computation of the logarithmic different in Section 5.1. In Section 3.4, we prove a refinement Proposition 3.4.3 of the excess intersection formula [26, Proposition 3.4.2], which relates the classes of certain tor-sheaves defining the localized intersection product with the mapping cone of exterior derived power complexes. In Section 3.2, we show that the class of the mapping cone of exterior derived power complexes is given by the localized Chern class.
3.1. A computation of Tor sheaves. - We compute certain Tor sheaves related to blow-up. We recall some terminology on tor-sheaves. For a Cartesian diagram
(3.1.0.1)

of schemes, a quasi-coherent $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}}$-module $\mathcal{F}$, a quasi-coherent $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Y}}$-module $\mathcal{G}$ and an integer $q \geq 0$, a quasi-coherent $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Z}}$-module $\mathcal{T} \operatorname{or}_{q} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{S}}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G})$ is defined in [11, (6.5.3)]. If $\mathrm{S}=$ Spec A, X $=\operatorname{Spec} \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{Y}=\operatorname{Spec} \mathrm{C}$ are affine and if $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{G}$ are the quasi-coherent sheaves associated to an B -module M and an C-module N respectively, then $\mathcal{T}$ or ${ }_{q} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{s}}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G})$ is associated to the $\mathrm{B} \otimes_{\mathrm{A}} \mathrm{C}$-module $\operatorname{Tor}_{q}^{\mathrm{A}}(\mathrm{M}, \mathrm{N})$. If $\mathcal{F}=\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}}$, we put $\mathrm{L}_{q} f^{*} \mathcal{G}=\mathcal{T}$ or ${ }_{q}^{\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{S}}}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}}, \mathcal{G}\right)$.

Definition 3.1.1. - 1. ([16, Definition 1.5]) Let X and Y be schemes over a scheme S . We say that X and Y are tor-independent over S if $\mathcal{T}$ or ${ }_{q}^{\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{S}}}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Y}}\right)=0$ for every $q>0$.
2. ([16, Definition 3.1]) Let $f: \mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{S}$ be a morphism of schemes. We say that $f$ is of finite tor-dimension, if there exists an integer $n \geq 0$ such that, for every quasi-coherent $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{S}}$-module $\mathcal{F}$ and every integer $q>n$, we have $\mathrm{L}_{q} f^{*} \mathcal{F}=0$.

If X or Y is flat over S , then X and Y are tor-independent over S .
Lemma 3.1.2. - We consider morphisms

$$
\mathrm{Y} \xrightarrow{g} \mathrm{~S} \stackrel{f}{\leftrightarrows} \mathrm{X} \stackrel{f^{\prime}}{\leftrightarrows} \mathrm{X}^{\prime}
$$

of schemes. Assume that X and Y are tor-independent over S . Then, $\mathrm{X}^{\prime}$ and Y are tor-independent over S if and only if $\mathrm{X}^{\prime}$ and $\mathrm{X} \times{ }_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{Y}$ are tor-independent over X .

Proof. - By the assumption that $\mathcal{T}_{\text {or }}^{q} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{S}}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Y}}\right)=0$ for every $q>0$, we obtain an isomorphism $\mathcal{T} \operatorname{or}_{q}^{\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{S}}}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{x}^{\prime}}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Y}}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_{\text {or }}^{q} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}^{\prime}}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X} \times \mathrm{SY}}\right)$ for every $q>0$.

Lemma 3.1.3. - Assume that the schemes S and X are noetherian and Y is of finite type over S in the diagram (3.1.0.1). Then, for a coherent $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}}$-module $\mathcal{F}$ and for a coherent $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Y}}$-module $\mathcal{G}$, the $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Z}}$-modules $\mathcal{T}$ or ${ }_{q}^{\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{s}}}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G})$ are coherent.

Proof. - Since the question is local on Z, we may assume that schemes S, X, Y and Z are affine. We take a closed immersion $\mathrm{Y} \rightarrow \mathrm{P}=\mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{S}}^{n}$ to an affine space. Since an $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Z}}$-module is coherent if it is coherent as an $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X} \times \mathrm{s}} \mathrm{P}$-module, we may replace Y by P . Hence, we may assume further that Y is flat over S . Then, a resolution $\mathcal{L}$ of $\mathcal{G}$ by free $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Y}}$-modules of finite rank is a resolution by flat $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{S}}$-modules. Since $\mathcal{T}$ or ${ }_{q} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{S}}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G})$ is a cohomology sheaf of the complex $\mathcal{F} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{s}}} \mathcal{L}$, it is a coherent $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Z}}$-module.

Let S be a regular noetherian scheme of finite dimension. Then, for a scheme $f: \mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{S}$ of finite type over S , the dimension function $\mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{N}$ is defined as in [26, Section 2.1]. Namely, for a point $x \in \mathrm{X}$ and $s=f(x)$, we put $\operatorname{dim} x=\operatorname{tr} \cdot \operatorname{deg}(\kappa(x) / \kappa(s))+$ $\operatorname{dim} \mathrm{S}-\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{S}, s}$. Using this dimension function, the topological filtration $\mathrm{F}_{\mathbf{\bullet}} \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{X})$ and the lower numbering Chow groups $\mathrm{CH} .(\mathrm{X})$ are defined. We have a canonical map $\mathrm{CH} .(\mathrm{X}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Gr}_{\bullet}^{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{X})$ sending the class [V] of an integral closed subscheme V of X to the class $\left[\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{V}}\right]$ also denoted by [V].

By Lemma 3.1.3, for a morphism $f: \mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}$ of noetherian schemes of finite tordimension and for a scheme A of finite type over Y , the pull-back map

$$
f^{*}: \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{~A}) \rightarrow \mathrm{G}\left(\mathrm{~A} \times_{\mathrm{Y}} \mathrm{X}\right)
$$

is defined by $f^{*}([\mathcal{F}])=\sum_{q \geq 0}(-1)^{q}\left[\mathcal{T}_{q} \operatorname{O}_{q}{ }_{\mathrm{Y}}\left(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}}\right)\right]$ for a coherent $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{A}}$-module $\mathcal{F}$.
Lemma 3.1.4. - Let S be a regular noetherian scheme offinite dimension and $f: \mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}$ be a quasi-projective morphism locally of complete intersection of relative virtual dimension $r$ of schemes of finite type over S .

Then, for a scheme A of finite type over Y , the pull-back $f^{*}: \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{A}) \rightarrow \mathrm{G}\left(\mathrm{A} \times_{\mathrm{Y}} \mathrm{X}\right)$ preserves topological filtration in the sense that $f^{*}$ maps $\mathrm{F} \cdot \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{A})$ to $\mathrm{F}_{\bullet+r} \mathrm{G}\left(\mathrm{A} \times_{\mathrm{Y}} \mathrm{X}\right)$. Further, for an integer $q \geq 0$, we have a commutative diagram
(3.1.4.1)


Proof. - By the assumption on $f$, it is the composition $\mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{P} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}$ of a regular immersion $\mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{P}$ and a smooth morphism $\mathrm{P} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}$. Since, it is clear for a smooth map, it is reduced to the case where $f$ is a regular immersion. Then, it follows from [26, Proposition 2.2.2].

We compute $\mathcal{T}_{\text {or }}^{r}{ }^{\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{x}}}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}^{\prime}}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Y}}\right)$ for morphisms $\mathrm{Y} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}$ under certain conditions. Corollaries 3.1.6 and 3.1.7 of the following proposition are crucial in the proof of Proposition 6.2.1 and Theorem 6.2.2 respectively.

Proposition 3.1.5. - Let X be a scheme and $\mathcal{N}$ be a locally free $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}}$-module of finite rank c. Let $\alpha: \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}}$ be an $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}}$-linear map and $\mathrm{C} \subset \mathrm{X}$ be the closed subscheme defined by $\mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{C}}=\operatorname{Im}\left(\alpha: \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}}\right)$. Let $\mathrm{P} \subset \mathbf{P}(\mathcal{N})$ be an open subscheme of the associated $\mathbf{P}^{\text {c1 }}$-bundle $\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{N})=\mathcal{P} r o j\left(\mathbf{S}^{\bullet} \mathcal{N}\right)$ and $p: \mathrm{P} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}$ be the canonical map.

Let $\mathcal{E}=\operatorname{Ker}\left(p^{*} \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{P}}(1)\right)=\Omega_{\mathrm{P} / \mathrm{X}}^{1}(1)$ be the kernel of the canonical surjection. Let $\mathrm{X}^{\prime} \subset \mathrm{P}$ be the closed subscheme defined by the image $\mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{X}^{\prime}}=\operatorname{Im}\left(\alpha^{\prime}: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{P}}\right)$ of the restriction $\alpha^{\prime}=\left.p^{*} \alpha\right|_{\mathcal{E}}: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{P}}$ to $\mathcal{E} \subset p^{*} \mathcal{N}$ and $q: \mathrm{X}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}$ denote the composition.

We consider a Cartesian diagram
(3.1.5.1)

of schemes. Letf $: \mathrm{Y} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}$ denote the composition of the bottom arrows. We assume that $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{Y}}=\mathrm{E} \times \mathrm{X}^{\prime} \mathrm{Y}$ is a Cartier divisor of Y .

1. The upper middle arrow $\mathrm{E} \rightarrow \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{C}}=\mathrm{P} \times_{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{C}$ is an isomorphism. The restriction $\mathrm{X}^{\prime} \backslash \mathrm{E} \rightarrow$ $\mathrm{X} \backslash \mathrm{C}$ of $q: \mathrm{X}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}$ is an isomorphism. The composition of the immersions $\mathrm{X}^{\prime} \backslash \mathrm{E} \rightarrow \mathrm{P} \backslash \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{C}} \rightarrow$ $\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{N})$ is the composition of the isomorphism $\mathrm{X}^{\prime} \backslash \mathrm{E} \rightarrow \mathrm{X} \backslash \mathrm{C}$ and the section $\mathrm{X} \backslash \mathrm{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}(\mathcal{N})$ defined by the surjection $\left.\alpha\right|_{\mathrm{X} \backslash \mathrm{C}}:\left.\mathcal{N}\right|_{\mathrm{X} \backslash \mathrm{C}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X} \backslash \mathrm{C}}$.

The map $\alpha: \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}}$ induces a surjection $f^{*} \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{Y}}} \subset \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Y}}$. The composition $\mathrm{Y} \rightarrow$ $\mathrm{X}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}(\mathcal{N})$ is the section defined by the surjection $f^{*} \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{EY}_{\mathrm{Y}}}$.
2. We assume that the immersion $\mathrm{X}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{P}$ is a regular immersion of codimension $c-1[4$, Definition 1.4]. Let $\gamma: \mathrm{Y} \rightarrow \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{Y}}=\mathrm{P} \times{ }_{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{Y}$ be the section defined by $g$ and $\Gamma \subset \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{Y}}$ be the image of $\gamma$ regarded as a closed subscheme of $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{Y}}$. Let $\mathrm{pr}_{1}: \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{Y}} \rightarrow \mathrm{P}$ and $\mathrm{pr}_{2}: \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{Y}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}$ denote the projections.

Then, the composition $q: \mathrm{X}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}$ is of finite tor-dimension and there exists a spectral sequence $\mathrm{E}_{p, q}^{1} \Rightarrow \mathrm{E}_{r}$ of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}^{\prime} \times \mathrm{X} \mathrm{Y}^{-} \text {-modules such that }}$
(3.1.5.2)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{E}_{r}= \begin{cases}\operatorname{Ker}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}^{\prime} \times \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{X}}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\Gamma}\right) & \text { if } r=0, \\
\mathcal{T}_{\text {or }}^{r} \\
\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{x}}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}^{\prime}}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Y}}\right) & \text { if } r \neq 0,\end{cases} \\
& \mathrm{E}_{p, q}^{1}=\operatorname{pr}_{1}^{*} \Omega_{\mathrm{P} / \mathrm{X}}^{p+q+1}(p+q+1) \otimes \operatorname{pr}_{2}^{*} \mathcal{N}_{\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{Y}} / \mathrm{Y}}^{-(q+1)} \quad \text { if } p \geq 0, q \geq 0, p+q \leq c-2
\end{aligned}
$$

and $\mathrm{E}_{p, q}^{1}=0$ otherwise.
3. Assume that Y is noetherian. The surjection $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}^{\prime} \times \mathrm{X} \mathrm{Y}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\Gamma}$ is an isomorphism outside $\mathrm{E} \times{ }_{\mathrm{C}} \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{Y}}$ and we have equalities

$$
\left[\operatorname{Ker}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}^{\prime} \times x_{X} \mathrm{Y}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\Gamma}\right)\right]+\sum_{r>0}(-1)^{r}\left[\mathcal{T}_{\text {or }}^{r}{ }^{\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}}}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}^{\prime}}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Y}}\right)\right]
$$

(3.1.5.3)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\sum_{p=1}^{c-1}(-1)^{p-1} \sum_{q=1}^{p}\left[\operatorname{pr}_{1}^{*} \Omega_{\mathrm{E} / \mathrm{G}}^{p}(p) \otimes \operatorname{pr}_{2}^{*} \mathcal{N}_{\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{Y}} / \mathrm{Y}}^{-q}\right] \\
& =\sum_{s=2}^{c}(-1)^{s}\left[\Lambda^{s} \pi^{*} \mathcal{N}\right] \cdot \sum_{q \geq 1, r \geq 1, q+r \leq s}\left[\operatorname{pr}_{1}^{*} \mathcal{O}(-r)\right] \cdot\left[\operatorname{pr}_{2}^{*} \mathcal{N}_{\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{Y}} / \mathrm{Y}}^{-q}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

in $\mathrm{G}\left(\mathrm{E} \times{ }_{\mathrm{C}} \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{Y}}\right)$ where $\pi: \mathrm{E} \times_{\mathrm{C}} \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{Y}} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}$ denotes the canonical map.
Proof. - 1. The first paragraph is clear from the definition of $\mathrm{X}^{\prime}$ and of C . The map $\alpha: \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}}$ defines a surjection $\mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{C}} \subset \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}}$ and hence induces a surjection $f^{*} \mathcal{N} \rightarrow$ $\mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{Y}}} \subset \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Y}}$. By the first paragraph, the kernel of the surjection $f^{*} \mathcal{N} \rightarrow g^{*}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}^{\prime}}(1)\right)$ is equal to the kernel of $f^{*} \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{Y}}}$ on the complement $\mathrm{Y} \backslash \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{Y}}$. Since a section $\mathrm{Y} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}(\mathcal{N})$ is uniquely determined by its restriction to the complement of a divisor, the assertion follows.
2. By the definition of regular immersion [4, Definition 1.4], the Koszul complex

$$
\mathcal{K}=\operatorname{Kos}\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right)=\left[\Lambda^{c-1} \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{\alpha^{\prime}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{P}}\right]
$$

is a resolution of an $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{P}}$-module $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}^{\prime}}$. Since P is flat over X and $\mathrm{X}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{P}$ is assumed to be a regular immersion, the composition $q: \mathrm{X}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}$ is of finite tor-dimension. Further, we obtain an isomorphism

$$
\mathcal{H}_{r}\left(\mathcal{K} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Y}}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_{\text {or }}^{r} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}^{\prime}}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Y}}\right)
$$

from the resolution $\mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}^{\prime}}$.
We construct a resolution of the $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{P}_{Y}}$-module $\mathcal{O}_{\Gamma}$. Let $\beta: \mathrm{pr}_{1}^{*} \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathrm{pr}_{2}^{*} \mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{Y}}}$ be the restriction of the map $\operatorname{pr}_{1}^{*} p^{*} \mathcal{N}=\operatorname{pr}_{2}^{*} f^{*} \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \operatorname{pr}_{2}^{*} \mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{Y}}}$ to the kernel $\operatorname{pr}_{1}^{*} \mathcal{E}=\operatorname{Ker}\left(\operatorname{pr}_{1}^{*} p^{*} \mathcal{N} \rightarrow\right.$ $\left.\operatorname{pr}_{1}^{*} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{P}}(1)\right)$. The map $\beta$ induces the pull-back $\mathrm{pr}_{1}^{*} \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{Y}}}$ of $\alpha^{\prime}$. Since the section $\gamma: \mathrm{Y} \rightarrow \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{Y}}$ is defined by the surjection $f^{*} \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{Y}}}$ by 1 , the closed subscheme $\Gamma \subset \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{Y}}$ is characterized by the condition that the cokernel $\operatorname{Coker}\left(\beta: \operatorname{pr}_{1}^{*} \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \operatorname{pr}_{2}^{*} \mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{Y}}}\right)$ is an invertible $\mathcal{O}_{\Gamma}$-module. Hence the Koszul complex $\mathcal{K}^{\prime}=\operatorname{Kos}\left(\beta^{\prime}\right)$ defined by the twist $\beta^{\prime}: \operatorname{pr}_{1}^{*} \mathcal{E} \otimes \mathrm{pr}_{2}^{*} \mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{Y}}}^{-1} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{Y}}}$ of $\beta$ is a resolution of the $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{Y}}}$-module $\mathcal{O}_{\Gamma}$.

We consider the morphism of complexes $\mathcal{K} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Y}} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}^{\prime}$ induced by the inclusion $\operatorname{pr}_{1}^{*} \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \operatorname{pr}_{1}^{*} \mathcal{E} \otimes \operatorname{pr}_{2}^{*} \mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{Y}}}^{-1}$. Then, it induces the canonical surjection $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}^{\prime} \times{ }_{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{Y}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\Gamma}$. Hence, for the complex $\mathcal{M}=\left(\mathcal{K}^{\prime} /\left(\mathcal{K} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Y}}\right)\right)[-1]$, we have an isomorphism $\mathcal{H}_{r} \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathrm{E}_{r}$.

The $p$-th component $\mathcal{M}_{p}$ of the complex $\mathcal{M}$ is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{M}_{p} & =\left(\Lambda^{p+1} \operatorname{pr}_{1}^{*} \mathcal{E} \otimes \operatorname{pr}_{2}^{*} \mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{Y}}^{-(p+1)}}^{-(p)} / \Lambda^{p+1} \operatorname{pr}_{1}^{*} \mathcal{E}\right. \\
& =\Lambda^{p+1} \operatorname{pr}_{1}^{*} \mathcal{E} \otimes\left(\operatorname{pr}_{2}^{*} \mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{Y}}^{-(p+1)}}^{-\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{Y}}}\right)}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

We define an increasing filtration F . on $\mathcal{M}$ by $\mathrm{F}_{q} \mathcal{M}_{p}=\Lambda^{p+1} \mathrm{pr}_{1}^{*} \mathcal{E} \otimes\left(\mathrm{pr}_{2}^{*} \mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{Y}}}^{-(q+1)} / \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{Y}}}\right)$. Then, we obtain a spectral sequence

$$
\mathrm{E}_{p, q}^{1}=\mathrm{Gr}_{-q}^{\mathrm{F}} \mathcal{M}_{p+q} \Rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{r} \mathcal{M}
$$

Since $\mathrm{Gr}_{-q}^{\mathrm{F}} \mathcal{M}_{p}=\Lambda^{p+1} \mathrm{pr}_{1}^{*} \mathcal{E} \otimes \operatorname{pr}_{2}^{*} \mathcal{N}_{\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{Y}} / \mathrm{Y}}^{-(q+1)}$ and $\mathcal{E}=\Omega_{\mathrm{P} / \mathrm{X}}^{1}(1)$, the assertion follows.
3. By 2, we have the equality (3.1.5.3). By the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow$ $p^{*} \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(1) \rightarrow 0$, we have an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \Lambda^{c} p^{*} \mathcal{N}(-(c-p)) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow$ $\Lambda^{p+1} p^{*} \mathcal{N}(-1) \rightarrow \Lambda^{p} \mathcal{E} \rightarrow 0$ and an equality $\left[\Lambda^{p} \mathcal{E}\right]=\sum_{p+r=p+1}^{c}(-1)^{r-1}\left[\Lambda^{p+r} p^{*} \mathcal{N}(-r)\right]$. Substituting this and putting $p+r=s$, we obtain the second equality (3.1.5.4).

Corollary 3.1.6. - Let X be a noetherian scheme and $\mathrm{C} \subset \mathrm{X}$ be a closed subscheme such that the immersion $\mathrm{C} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}$ is a regular immersion of codimension c. Let $q: \mathrm{X}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}$ be the blow-up at C and let $\mathrm{E}=\mathrm{X}^{\prime} \times{ }_{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{C}$ denote the exceptional divisor.

Let Y be a noetherian scheme over $\mathrm{X}^{\prime}$ such that $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{Y}}=\mathrm{E} \times_{\mathrm{X}^{\prime}} \mathrm{Y} \subset \mathrm{Y}$ is a Cartier divisor and let $\Gamma$ denote the image of the section $\mathrm{Y} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}^{\prime} \times{ }_{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{Y}$. Let $\mathrm{pr}_{1}: \mathrm{E} \times{ }_{\mathrm{C}} \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{Y}} \rightarrow \mathrm{E}$ and $\mathrm{pr}_{2}: \mathrm{E} \times_{\mathrm{C}} \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{Y}} \rightarrow \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{Y}}$ denote the projections.

Assume that $q: \mathrm{X}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}$ is locally of complete intersection. Then, we have an equality
(3.1.6.1)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[\operatorname{Ker}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}^{\prime} \times \mathrm{X} \mathrm{Y}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\Gamma}\right)\right]+\sum_{r>0}(-1)^{r}\left[\mathcal{T}_{\text {or }}^{r}\right.} \\
& \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}} \\
& \left.\left.\quad=\sum_{p=1}^{c-1}(-1)_{\mathrm{X}^{\prime}}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Y}}\right)\right] \\
& \quad p-1
\end{aligned} \sum_{q=1}^{p}\left[\operatorname{pr}_{1}^{*} \Omega_{\mathrm{E} / \mathrm{C}}^{p}(p) \otimes \operatorname{pr}_{2}^{*} \mathcal{N}_{\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{Y}} / \mathrm{Y}}^{-q}\right] .
$$

in $\mathrm{G}\left(\mathrm{E} \times{ }_{\mathrm{C}} \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{Y}}\right)$.
Proof. - Locally on X, there exists a surjection $\mathcal{N}=\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}}^{\oplus c} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{C}}$ of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}}$-modules. Hence, applying Proposition 3.1.5.3 to $\mathrm{P}=\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{N})$ and the closed immersion $\mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{X}^{\prime} \rightarrow$ P, we obtain a spectral sequence (3.1.5.2), locally on X. By the proof of Proposition 3.1.5.3, it suffices to construct the spectral sequence (3.1.5.2) globally.

If we have another locally free $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{x}}$-module $\mathcal{N}^{\prime}$ of rank $c$ and a surjection $\mathcal{N}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{C}}$, then locally we have an isomorphism $\mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}^{\prime}$ compatible with the surjections to $\mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{C}}$. It induces an isomorphism of spectral sequences and the assertion follows.

The authors do not know how to construct globally the spectral sequence (3.1.5.2) under the assumption of Corollary 3.1.6 without using patching.

Corollary 3.1.7. - Let U be a scheme of finite type over a noetherian scheme S and $\mathrm{D} \subset \mathrm{U}$ be a Cartier divisor. Let $q:\left(\mathrm{U} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{U}\right)^{\sim} \rightarrow \mathrm{U} \times{ }_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{U}$ be the $\log$ product with respect to D and assume that $q:\left(\mathrm{U} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{U}\right)^{\sim} \rightarrow \mathrm{U} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{U}$ is locally of complete intersection of relative dimension 0 . Let $\Delta_{\mathrm{U}} \subset$ $\mathrm{U} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{U}$ and $\Delta_{\mathrm{U}}^{\log } \subset\left(\mathrm{U} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{U}\right)^{\sim}$ denote the diagonal and the log diagonal respectively and identify the inverse image of $\Delta_{\mathrm{D}} \subset \mathrm{U} \times{ }_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{U}$ by $\left(\mathrm{U} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{U}\right)^{\sim} \rightarrow \mathrm{U} \times{ }_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{U}$ with $\mathbf{G}_{m, \mathrm{D}}$.

Then, the kernel of the surjection $q^{*} \mathcal{O}_{\Delta_{\mathrm{U}}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\Delta_{\mathrm{U}}^{\log }}$ and $\mathrm{L}_{r} q^{*} \mathcal{O}_{\Delta_{\mathrm{U}}}$ for $r>0$ are coherent $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{G}_{m, \mathrm{D}}}-$ modules and we have

$$
\left[\operatorname{Ker}\left(q^{*} \mathcal{O}_{\Delta_{\mathrm{U}}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\Delta_{\mathrm{U}}^{\log }}\right)\right]+\sum_{r>0}(-1)^{r}\left[\mathrm{~L}_{r} q^{*} \mathcal{O}_{\Delta_{\mathrm{U}}}\right]=\left[\mathbf{G}_{m, \mathrm{D}}\right]
$$

in $\mathrm{G}\left(\mathbf{G}_{m, \mathrm{D}}\right)$.
Proof. - We define a locally free $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{U} \times \mathrm{SU}}-$ module of rank 2 by $\mathcal{N}=\mathrm{pr}_{1}^{*} \mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{D}} \oplus \mathrm{pr}_{2}^{*} \mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{D}}$ and define a $\mathbf{P}^{1}$-bundle $\mathbf{P}\left(\mathrm{pr}_{1}^{*} \mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{D}} \oplus \mathrm{pr}_{2}^{*} \mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{D}}\right)$ over $\mathrm{U} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{U}$. The complement $\mathrm{P} \subset \mathbf{P}\left(\mathrm{pr}_{1}^{*} \mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{D}} \oplus\right.$ $\mathrm{pr}_{2}^{*} \mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{D}}$ ) of the sections defined by the surjections $\mathcal{N}=\operatorname{pr}_{1}^{*} \mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{D}} \oplus \mathrm{pr}_{2}^{*} \mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{D}} \rightarrow \mathrm{pr}_{i}^{*} \mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{D}}$ for $i=1,2$ is a $\mathbf{G}_{m}$-bundle on $\mathrm{U} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{U}$. We regard the log product $\left(\mathrm{U} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{U}\right)^{\sim}$ as a subscheme of P. By the assumption that $\left(\mathrm{U} \times_{S} \mathrm{U}\right)^{\sim} \rightarrow \mathrm{U} \times_{S} \mathrm{U}$ is locally of complete intersection of relative dimension 0 , the immersion $\left(\mathrm{U} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{U}\right)^{\sim} \rightarrow \mathrm{P}$ is a regular immersion of codimension 1 by Lemma below.

We apply Proposition 3.1.5 to the diagram
(3.1.7.1)

where the image of the first arrow $\mathrm{U} \rightarrow\left(\mathrm{U} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{U}\right)^{\sim}$ in the bottom is $\Delta_{\mathrm{U}}^{\log }$ and that of the composition $\mathrm{U} \rightarrow \mathrm{U} \times{ }_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{U}$ is $\Delta_{\mathrm{U}}$. In the notation there, we have $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{D} \times{ }_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{U}$, $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{Y}}=\mathrm{D}$ and E is the $\mathbf{G}_{m}$-bundle $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{D} \times{ }_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{D}}$. Hence $\mathrm{E} \times_{\mathrm{C}} \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{Y}}$ is $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{D}}=\mathbf{G}_{m, \mathrm{D}}$.

In the right hand side of (3.1.5.4), the pull back of $\mathcal{N}=\operatorname{pr}_{1}^{*} \mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{D}} \oplus \operatorname{pr}_{2}^{*} \mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{D}}$ to D is $\mathcal{N}_{\mathrm{D} / \mathrm{U}}^{\oplus 2}$ and the second exterior power $\Lambda^{2} \pi^{*} \mathcal{N}$ is $\mathcal{N}_{\mathrm{D} / \mathrm{U}}^{\otimes 2}$. Since $\mathcal{N}_{\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{Y}} / \mathrm{Y}}=\mathcal{N}_{\mathrm{D} / \mathrm{U}}$ and the pull-back of $\mathcal{O}(1)$ to D is also $\mathcal{N}_{\mathrm{D} / \mathrm{U}}$ by Proposition 3.1.5.1, the assertion follows.

Lemma 3.1.8. - Let S be a noetherian scheme, $\mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{S}$ be a scheme locally of complete intersection of relative dimension $d$ and $\mathrm{P} \rightarrow \mathrm{S}$ be a smooth scheme of relative dimension $n$. Then, an immersion $\mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{P}$ over S is a regular immersion of codimension $n-d$.

Proof. - Since the assertion is local on X , we may take a regular immersion $\mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{Q}$ of codimension $c$ over S to a smooth scheme $\mathbf{Q}$ of relative dimension $d+c$ over S . The immersion $\mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{P} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{Q}$ is the composition of the section $\mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{P} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{X}$ of a smooth morphism of relative dimension $n$ and the smooth base change $\mathrm{P} \times_{S} \mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{P} \times_{S}$ Q of the regular immersion $\mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{Q}$ of codimension $c$ and is a regular immersion of codimension $n+c$. It is also the composition of the section $\mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{Q}$ of a smooth morphism of relative dimension $d+c$ and an immersion $\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{Q} \rightarrow \mathrm{P} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{Q}$. Hence, the immersion $\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{Q} \rightarrow \mathrm{P} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{Q}$ is a regular immersion of codimension $(n+c)-(d+c)=n-d$ on the image of X by [13, Proposition 19.1.5]. Since $\mathrm{X} \times_{S} \mathrm{Q} \rightarrow \mathrm{P} \times_{S} \mathrm{Q}$ is a smooth base change of $\mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{P}$, the assertion follows.
3.2. Derived exterior power and localized Chern classes. - We study the relation between derived exterior power complexes and localized Chern classes. Let X be a noetherian scheme, $\mathcal{E}$ and $\mathcal{E}^{\prime}$ be locally free $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}}$-modules of the same finite $\operatorname{rank} n$ and $e: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^{\prime}$ be a morphism. For an integer $k \geq 0$, we consider the complex [ $\left.\Lambda^{k} \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \Lambda^{k} \mathcal{E}^{\prime}\right]$ where $\Lambda^{k} \mathcal{E}^{\prime}$ is put on degree 0 . Let D be a closed subset of X such that $\mathcal{K}=\left[\mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^{\prime}\right]$ is acyclic on the complement $\mathrm{X} \backslash \mathrm{D}$. We define a morphism
(3.2.0.1) $\quad\left[\Lambda^{k} \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \Lambda^{k} \mathcal{E}^{\prime}\right]_{\mathrm{D}}: \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{X}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{D})$
by sending the class $[\mathcal{F}]$ of a coherent $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{x}}$-module $\mathcal{F}$ to $\left[\operatorname{Coker}\left(\mathcal{F} \otimes \Lambda^{k} \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \otimes\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.\Lambda^{k} \mathcal{E}^{\prime}\right)\right]-\left[\operatorname{Ker}\left(\mathcal{F} \otimes \Lambda^{k} \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \otimes \Lambda^{k} \mathcal{E}^{\prime}\right)\right]$.

Recall that homomorphisms $\lambda_{t}, \gamma_{t}: \mathrm{K}(\mathrm{X}) \rightarrow 1+t \mathrm{~K}(\mathrm{X})[[t]] \subset \mathrm{K}(\mathrm{X})[[t]]^{\times}$are defined by $\lambda_{t}([\mathcal{E}])=\sum_{q=0}^{n}\left[\Lambda^{q} \mathcal{E}\right] t^{q}$ and $\gamma_{t}([\mathcal{E}])=\lambda_{1-1}([\mathcal{E}])$. We define operators $\gamma_{k}(\mathcal{K})_{\mathrm{D}}$ :
$\mathrm{G}(\mathrm{X}) \rightarrow \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{D})$ for $k \geq 1$ by requiring that $\gamma_{t}(\mathcal{K})_{\mathrm{D}}=\sum_{k=1}^{n} \gamma_{k}(\mathcal{K})_{\mathrm{D}} \cdot t^{k}$ is given by

$$
\gamma_{t}(\mathcal{K})_{\mathrm{D}}=\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left[\Lambda^{k} \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \Lambda^{k} \mathcal{E}^{\prime}\right]_{\mathrm{D}} \cdot\left(\frac{t}{1-t}\right)^{k}\right) \cdot \gamma_{t}([\mathcal{E}])^{-1} .
$$

If $\gamma_{k}(\mathcal{K})$ without the suffix D denotes the composition with $\mathrm{G}(\mathrm{D}) \rightarrow \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{X})$, we have $1+\gamma_{t}(\mathcal{K})=1+\left(\gamma_{t}\left(\left[\mathcal{E}^{\prime}\right]\right)-\gamma_{t}([\mathcal{E}])\right) \cdot \gamma_{t}([\mathcal{E}])^{-1}=\gamma_{t}\left(\left[\mathcal{E}^{\prime}\right]-[\mathcal{E}]\right)$.

Let $c_{k}(\mathcal{K})_{\mathrm{D}}: \mathrm{CH} .(\mathrm{X}) \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}_{\bullet-k}(\mathrm{D})$ be the localized Chern class map defined by using the graph construction in [10, Section 18.1].

Proposition 3.2.1. - Let S be a regular noetherian scheme of finite dimension. Let X be a scheme of finite type over $\mathrm{S}, \mathcal{E}$ and $\mathcal{E}^{\prime}$ locally free $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{x}}$-modules of the same finite rank and $e: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^{\prime}$ be a morphism such that the restriction on the complement of D is an isomorphism. For the complex $\mathcal{K}=\left[e: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^{\prime}\right]$ and an integer $k>0$, we have the following.

1. The map $\gamma_{k}(\mathcal{K})_{\mathrm{D}}: \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{X}) \rightarrow \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{D})$ sends the topological filtration $\mathrm{F}_{j} \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{X})$ to $\mathrm{F}_{j-k} \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{D})$.
2. The diagram
(3.2.1.1)

is commutative.
Proof. - 1. It suffices to show $\gamma_{k}(\mathcal{K})_{\mathrm{D}}([\mathrm{X}]) \in \mathrm{F}_{d-k} \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{D})$ assuming that X is integral of dimension $d$ and $\mathrm{D} \neq \mathrm{X}$, by a standard argument. We show this by using the most elementary case of MacPherson's graph construction cf. [10, Section 18.1].

Let $n$ be the rank of $\mathcal{E}$ and $p: \mathrm{G} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}$ be the Grassmann scheme $\operatorname{Grass}_{n}\left(\mathcal{E} \oplus \mathcal{E}^{\prime}\right)$ classifying subbundles of rank $n$. The second factor $\mathcal{E}^{\prime} \subset \mathcal{E} \oplus \mathcal{E}^{\prime}$ defines a section $s_{0}$ : $\mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{G}$. Let $t$ denote the coordinate of $\mathbf{G}_{m, \mathrm{X}}$. Then, the graph of $t^{-1} \cdot e: \mathcal{E}_{\mathbf{G}_{m, \mathrm{X}}} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{\mathbf{G}_{m, \mathrm{X}}}^{\prime}$ defines a section $\tilde{s}: \mathbf{G}_{m, \mathrm{X}} \rightarrow \mathbf{G}_{m, \mathrm{G}}$. At $t=1$, the restriction $\left.\tilde{s}\right|_{1}: \mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{G}$ is the section defined by the graph of $e$.

On the complement $\mathrm{U}=\mathrm{X} \backslash \mathrm{D}$, the restriction $\left.e\right|_{\mathrm{U}}: \mathcal{E}_{\mathrm{U}} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{\mathrm{U}}^{\prime}$ is an isomorphism. The transpose of the graph of $\left.t \cdot e\right|_{\mathrm{U}} ^{-1}: \mathcal{E}_{\mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{U}}^{\prime}}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{\mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{U}}^{1}}$ defines a section $\bar{s}: \mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{U}}^{1} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{U}}}^{1}$. At $t=0$, the restriction $\left.\bar{s}\right|_{0}: \mathrm{U} \rightarrow \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{U}}$ is the restriction $\left.s_{0}\right|_{\mathrm{U}}$. The restrictions of $\tilde{s}$ and $\bar{s}$ on $\mathbf{G}_{m, \mathrm{U}}=$ $\mathbf{G}_{m, \mathrm{X}} \cap \mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{U}}^{1}$ are the same. Let $\widetilde{\mathrm{X}} \subset \mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{G}}^{1}$ denote the schematic closure of $\tilde{s}\left(\mathbf{G}_{m, \mathrm{X}}\right) \cup \bar{s}\left(\mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{U}}^{1}\right)$ $\stackrel{\sim}{\mathbf{X}}$ and let $\pi: \widetilde{\mathrm{X}} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{X}}^{1}$ be the projection. Since $\left.\bar{s}\right|_{0}: \mathbf{U} \rightarrow \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{U}}$ is the restriction of $s_{0}$, the fiber $\widetilde{\mathrm{X}}_{0}=\widetilde{\mathrm{X}} \times_{\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{Z}}^{1}} \mathrm{X}$ at $t=0$ contains $s_{0}(\mathrm{X})$ as a closed subscheme.

Let $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}} \subset \mathcal{E}_{\widetilde{\mathrm{X}}} \oplus \mathcal{E}_{\widetilde{\mathrm{X}}}^{\prime}$ be the restriction to $\widetilde{\mathrm{X}} \subset \mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{G}}^{1}$ of the tautological subbundle and $\tilde{e}: \widetilde{\mathcal{E}} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{\tilde{\mathrm{X}}}^{\prime}$ be the restriction of the second projection. We consider the complex $\widetilde{\mathcal{K}}=$
$\left[\widetilde{\mathcal{E}} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{\widetilde{\mathrm{X}}}^{\prime}\right]$. The restriction of $\widetilde{\mathcal{K}}$ to $\widetilde{\mathrm{U}}=\bar{s}\left(\mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{U}}^{1}\right)$ is acyclic. We put $\widetilde{\mathrm{D}}=\mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{D}}^{1} \times_{\mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{X}}^{1}} \widetilde{\mathrm{X}}$ and let $\pi_{\mathrm{D}}: \widetilde{\mathrm{D}} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{D}}^{1}$ be the projection. We consider the composition

$$
\mathrm{G}(\widetilde{\mathrm{X}}) \xrightarrow{\gamma_{k}(\widetilde{\mathcal{K}}} \tilde{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{G}(\widetilde{\mathrm{D}}) \xrightarrow{\pi_{\mathrm{D} *}} \mathrm{G}\left(\mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{D}}^{1}\right) .
$$

The pull-backs $i_{1}^{*}, i_{0}^{*}: \mathrm{G}\left(\mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{D}}^{1}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{D})$ by the sections $\mathrm{D} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{D}}^{1}$ at $t=1,0$ are the same isomorphisms. Since the fiber $\widetilde{\mathcal{K}}_{1}$ at $t=1$ recovers the original complex $\mathcal{K}$ on X , we have $\gamma_{k}(\mathcal{K})_{\mathrm{D}}([\mathrm{X}])=i_{1}^{*} \pi_{\mathrm{D} *}\left(\gamma_{k}(\widetilde{\mathcal{K}})_{\widetilde{\mathrm{D}}}([\widetilde{\mathrm{X}}])\right)$. Let $\widetilde{\mathcal{K}}_{0}$ denote the pull-back of $\widetilde{\mathcal{K}}$ to $\widetilde{\mathrm{X}}_{0}$ and let $\pi_{0}: \widetilde{\mathrm{X}}_{0} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}_{0}$ be the projection. We put $\widetilde{\mathrm{D}}_{0}=\widetilde{\mathrm{D}} \cap \widetilde{\mathrm{X}}_{0}$. We have further $\gamma_{k}(\mathcal{K})_{\mathrm{D}}([\mathrm{X}])=$ $i_{0}^{*} \pi_{\mathrm{D} *}\left(\gamma_{k}(\widetilde{\mathcal{K}})_{\tilde{\mathrm{D}}}([\widetilde{\mathrm{X}}])\right)=\pi_{0 *}\left(\gamma_{k}\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{K}}_{0}\right)_{\widetilde{\mathrm{D}}_{0}}\left(\left[\widetilde{\mathrm{X}}_{0}\right]\right)\right)$.

Let $\left[\overline{\mathrm{X}}_{0}\right]$ denote the class of the kernel $\operatorname{Ker}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{\mathrm{X}}_{0}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{s_{0}(\mathrm{X})}\right)$ of the surjection. Since the restriction $\left.\widetilde{\mathcal{K}}\right|_{s_{0}(\mathrm{X})}$ is acyclic and $\overline{\mathrm{X}}_{0} \subset \widetilde{\mathrm{D}}_{0}$, we have $\gamma_{k}\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{K}}_{0}\right)_{\widetilde{\mathrm{D}}_{0}}\left(\left[\widetilde{\mathrm{X}}_{0}\right]\right)=$ $\gamma_{k}\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{K}}_{0}\right)_{\widetilde{\mathrm{D}}_{0}}\left(\left[\overline{\mathbf{X}}_{0}\right]\right)=\gamma_{k}\left(\left[\mathcal{E}^{\prime}\right]-[\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}]\right)\left(\left[\overline{\mathbf{X}}_{0}\right]\right)$. Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{k}(\mathcal{K})_{\mathrm{D}}([\mathrm{X}])=\pi_{0 *}\left(\gamma_{k}\left(\left[\mathcal{E}^{\prime}\right]-[\tilde{\mathcal{E}}]\right)\left(\left[\overline{\mathbf{X}}_{0}\right]\right)\right) \tag{3.2.1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

is an element of $\mathrm{F}_{d-k} \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{D})$ as required.
2. In the notation above, the localized Chern class $c_{k}(\mathcal{K})_{\mathrm{D}} \cap[\mathrm{X}] \in \mathrm{CH}_{d-k}(\mathrm{D})$ is defined as $\pi_{0 *}\left(c\left(\mathcal{E}^{\prime}\right) c(\mathcal{E})^{-1}\left(\left[\overline{\mathbf{X}}_{0}\right]\right)\right)_{\text {dim }=d-k}[10$, Section 18.1]. Hence, the assertion follows.

The proof of Proposition 3.2 .1 shows that the system of maps $\gamma_{k}(\mathcal{K})_{D}$ is characterized by the compatibility with the Gysin maps for regular immersions and the normalization property that the composition with the natural map $G(D) \rightarrow G(X)$ is equal to the map $\gamma_{k}\left(\left[\mathcal{E}^{\prime}\right]-[\mathcal{E}]\right)$. Similarly as (3.2.1.2), we have

## (3.2.1.3)

$$
\left[\Lambda^{k}(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \Lambda^{k}\left(\mathcal{E}^{\prime}\right)\right]_{\mathrm{D}}([\mathrm{X}])=\pi_{0 *}\left(\left(\left[\Lambda^{k}\left(\mathcal{E}^{\prime}\right)\right]-\left[\Lambda^{k}(\widetilde{\mathcal{E}})\right]\right)\left(\left[\overline{\mathrm{X}}_{0}\right]\right)\right)
$$

Similarly as [10, Section 18.1], we have the following properties.
Corollary 3.2.2. - Let X be a scheme of finite type over a regular noetherian scheme S of finite dimension and $\mathrm{D} \subset \mathrm{X}$ be a closed subscheme.

1. Let $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^{\prime}$ be a morphism of locally free $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{X}}^{1}}$-modules of finite rank such that the complex of $\mathcal{K}=\left[\mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^{\prime}\right]$ is acyclic outside $\mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{D}}^{1}$. Let $\mathcal{K}_{0}$ and $\mathcal{K}_{1}$ be the pull-back of $\mathcal{K}$ by the 0 -section and the 1 -section respectively. Then, we have

$$
\gamma_{k}\left(\mathcal{K}_{0}\right)_{\mathrm{D}}=\gamma_{k}\left(\mathcal{K}_{1}\right)_{\mathrm{D}}
$$

2. Let $\mathcal{E}_{1} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{1}^{\prime}$ and $\mathcal{E}_{2} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{2}^{\prime}$ be morphisms of locally free $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{x}}$-modules of finite rank such that the complexes $\mathcal{K}_{1}=\left[\mathcal{E}_{1} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{1}^{\prime}\right]$ and $\mathcal{K}_{2}=\left[\mathcal{E}_{2} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{2}^{\prime}\right]$ are acyclic outside D . If $\mathcal{K}_{1} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_{2}$ is a quasi-isomorphism, we have

$$
\gamma_{k}\left(\mathcal{K}_{1}\right)_{\mathrm{D}}=\gamma_{k}\left(\mathcal{K}_{2}\right)_{\mathrm{D}}
$$

Let $\mathcal{K}$ be a complex of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{x}}$-modules such that there exist a morphism $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^{\prime}$ of locally free $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{x}}$-modules of finite rank and a quasi-isomorphism $\left[\mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^{\prime}\right] \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$. Then, we define the map $\gamma_{k}(\mathcal{K})_{\mathrm{D}}: \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{X}) \rightarrow \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{D})$ to be $\gamma_{k}\left(\left[\mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^{\prime}\right]\right)_{\mathrm{D}}$. This is well-defined by Corollary 3.2.2.2. The localized Chern class $c_{k}(\mathcal{K})_{\mathrm{D}}: \mathrm{CH}_{j}(\mathrm{X}) \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}_{j-k}(\mathrm{D})$ is defined similarly as $c_{k}\left(\left[\mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^{\prime}\right]\right)_{\mathrm{D}}$ if X is of finite type over a regular noetherian scheme S of finite dimension.

We consider coherent $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}}$-modules $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}^{\prime}$ and a morphism $f: \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^{\prime}$ of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}}{ }^{-}$ modules satisfying the following condition:
(3.2.3.1) There exists a locally free $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{x}}$-module $\mathcal{E}^{\prime}$ of finite rank and a surjection $\mathcal{E}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^{\prime}$. The kernel $\mathcal{E}=\operatorname{Ker}\left(\mathcal{F} \oplus \mathcal{E}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^{\prime}\right)$ is a locally free $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{x}}$-module of the same finite rank as $\mathcal{E}^{\prime}$.

Let $\mathrm{D} \subset \mathrm{X}$ be a closed subscheme such that $f$ is an isomorphism outside D . Then, since the map $\left[\mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^{\prime}\right] \rightarrow \mathcal{K}=\left[\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^{\prime}\right]$ is a quasi-isomorphism, the map $\gamma_{k}(\mathcal{F} \rightarrow$ $\left.\mathcal{F}^{\prime}\right)_{\mathrm{D}}: \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{X}) \rightarrow \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{D})$ and the localized Chern class $c_{k}\left(\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^{\prime}\right)_{\mathrm{D}}: \mathrm{CH}_{j}(\mathrm{X}) \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}_{j-k}(\mathrm{D})$ are defined.

We further assume that $\mathcal{F}$ is of tor-dimension $\leq 1$ and let $r$ be the virtual rank. In other words, for a surjection $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ as in (3.2.3.1), the kernel $\mathcal{L}=\operatorname{Ker}(\mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{F})$ is locally free of rank $\operatorname{rank} \mathcal{E}-r$. Since the canonical map $\operatorname{Ker}(\mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \operatorname{Ker}\left(\mathcal{E}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^{\prime}\right)$ is an isomorphism, the sheaf $\mathcal{F}^{\prime}$ is also of tor-dimension $\leq 1$ and of virtual rank $r$.

We also define a map $\delta_{k}\left(\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^{\prime}\right)_{\mathrm{D}}: \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{X}) \rightarrow \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{D})$ for $k>0$ by requiring that $\delta_{t}\left(\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^{\prime}\right)_{\mathrm{D}}=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \delta_{k}\left(\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^{\prime}\right)_{\mathrm{D}} \cdot t^{k}$ is given by

$$
\delta_{t}\left(\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^{\prime}\right)_{\mathrm{D}}=\gamma_{t}\left(\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^{\prime}\right)_{\mathrm{D}} \cdot \gamma_{t}([\mathcal{F}]-r) .
$$

We recall that the localized Chern class $c_{k}\left(\mathcal{F}^{\prime}-\mathcal{F}\right)_{\mathrm{D}}: \mathrm{CH}_{j}(\mathrm{X}) \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}_{j-k}(\mathrm{D})$ is defined by requiring

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k>0} c_{k}\left(\mathcal{F}^{\prime}-\mathcal{F}\right)_{\mathrm{D}} \cdot t^{k}=\left(\sum_{k>0} c_{k}\left(\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^{\prime}\right)_{\mathrm{D}} \cdot t^{k}\right) \cdot c_{t}(\mathcal{F}) \tag{3.2.3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

in $[27,(3.24)]$.
For the definition and properties of the derived exterior power $\mathrm{L} \Lambda^{k} \mathcal{F}$, we refer to [26, Section 1.2]. We recall that for a locally free resolution $[\mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}] \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ as above, we have a quasi-isomorphism $\left[\Gamma^{k} \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \Gamma^{k-1} \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \mathcal{L} \otimes \Lambda^{k-1} \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \Lambda^{k} \mathcal{E}\right] \rightarrow \mathrm{L} \Lambda^{k} \mathcal{F}$, where $\Gamma^{\bullet}$ denotes the divided power. For an integer $k>0$, the mapping cone $\left[\mathrm{L} \Lambda^{k} \mathcal{F} \rightarrow\right.$ $\mathrm{L} \Lambda^{k} \mathcal{F}^{\prime}$ ] of the derived exterior powers is defined. We define a map

$$
\left[\mathrm{L} \Lambda^{k} \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathrm{~L} \Lambda^{k} \mathcal{F}^{\prime}\right]_{\mathrm{D}}: \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{X}) \rightarrow \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{D})
$$

sending [G] to $\sum_{q}(-1)^{q} \mathcal{T}$ or ${ }_{q}^{\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{x}}}\left(\left[\mathrm{L} \Lambda^{k} \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathrm{~L} \Lambda^{k} \mathcal{F}^{\prime}\right], \mathcal{G}\right)$. We describe the map [ $\mathrm{L} \Lambda^{k} \mathcal{F} \rightarrow$ $\left.\mathrm{L} \Lambda^{k} \mathcal{F}^{\prime}\right]_{\mathrm{D}}$ using the operators $\gamma_{k}\left(\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^{\prime}\right)_{\mathrm{D}}: \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{X}) \rightarrow \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{D})$.

Proposition 3.2.4. - Let S be a regular noetherian scheme of finite dimension and X be a scheme of finite type over S . Let $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}^{\prime}$ be coherent $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}}$-modules of tor-dimension $\leq 1$ and of virtual rank $r$ and $f: \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^{\prime}$ be a morphism of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}}$-modules satisfying the condition (3.2.3.1). Let $\mathrm{D} \subset \mathrm{X}$ be a closed subscheme such thatf is an isomorphism outside D .

1. We put formally $\beta_{t}=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left[\mathrm{L} \Lambda^{k} \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathrm{~L} \Lambda^{k} \mathcal{F}^{\prime}\right]_{\mathrm{D}}\left(\frac{t}{1-t}\right)^{k}: \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{X}) \rightarrow \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{D})[[t]]$. Then, we have $\delta_{t}\left(\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^{\prime}\right)_{\mathrm{D}}=(1-t)^{r} \beta_{t}$.
2. For $n=r+1$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\mathrm{L} \Lambda^{n} \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathrm{~L} \Lambda^{n} \mathcal{F}^{\prime}\right]_{\mathrm{D}}=\delta_{n}\left(\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^{\prime}\right)_{\mathrm{D}} \tag{3.2.4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and it sends the topological filtration $\mathrm{F}_{j} \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{X})$ to $\mathrm{F}_{j-n} \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{D})$. Further the diagram
(3.2.4.2)

is commutative.

In the case where $\mathcal{F}=0$ and $\mathrm{D}=\mathrm{X}$, Proposition 3.2.4.2 is proved in [26, Proposition 2.4.4].

Proof. - 1. The equality $\delta_{t}\left(\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^{\prime}\right)_{\mathrm{D}}=(1-t)^{r} \beta_{t}$ is reduced to the equality
(3.2.4.3) $\quad \delta_{t}\left(\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^{\prime}\right)_{\mathrm{D}}([\mathrm{X}])=(1-t)^{r} \beta_{t}([\mathrm{X}])$
in $G(D)[[t]]$, by a standard argument. In fact, since $G(X)$ is generated by the classes of integral closed subschemes V , it suffices to prove the formula for [ V ] and to take the push-forward. By the assumption that $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{F}^{\prime}$ are of tor-dimension $\leq 1$, the kernel $\mathcal{L}=\operatorname{Ker}(\mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{F})=\operatorname{Ker}\left(\mathcal{E}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^{\prime}\right)$ is locally free and we have quasi-isomorphisms $[\mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}] \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ and $\left[\mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^{\prime}\right] \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^{\prime}$. Hence, we obtain $\left[\mathrm{L} \Lambda^{k} \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathrm{~L} \Lambda^{k} \mathcal{F}^{\prime}\right]_{\mathrm{D}}([\mathrm{X}])=$ $\sum_{q=0}^{k}(-1)^{q}\left[\Lambda^{k-q} \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \Lambda^{k-q} \mathcal{E}^{\prime}\right]_{\mathrm{D}}\left[\Gamma^{q} \mathcal{L}\right]([\mathrm{X}])$. We apply the graph construction to $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^{\prime}$ and use the notation in the proof of Proposition 3.2.1. Then by (3.2.1.3), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[\mathrm{L} \Lambda^{k} \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathrm{~L} \Lambda^{k} \mathcal{F}^{\prime}\right]_{\mathrm{D}}([\mathrm{X}])} \\
& \quad=\pi_{0 *}\left(\sum_{q=0}^{k}(-1)^{q}\left(\left[\Lambda^{k-q} \mathcal{E}^{\prime}\right]-\left[\Lambda^{k-q} \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}\right]\right)\left[\Gamma^{q} \mathcal{L}\right]\left(\left[\overline{\mathrm{X}}_{0}\right]\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\beta_{t}([\mathrm{X}]) & =\pi_{0 *}\left(\left(\gamma_{t}\left(\left[\mathcal{E}^{\prime}\right]\right)-\gamma_{t}([\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}])\right) \gamma_{t}([\mathcal{L}])^{-1}\left(\left[\overline{\mathbf{X}}_{0}\right]\right)\right) \\
& =\gamma_{t}\left(\left[\mathcal{F}^{\prime}\right]\right) \pi_{0 *}\left(\left(1-\frac{1}{1+\gamma_{t}([\mathcal{E} \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}])}\right)\left(\left[\overline{\mathbf{X}}_{0}\right]\right)\right) \\
& =\gamma_{t}\left(\left[\mathcal{F}^{\prime}\right]\right)\left(1-\frac{1}{1+\gamma_{t}\left(\left[\mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^{\prime}\right]\right)_{\mathrm{D}}}\right)([\mathrm{X}]) \\
& =\gamma_{t}(\mathcal{F}) \gamma_{t}\left(\left[\mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^{\prime}\right]\right)_{\mathrm{D}}([\mathrm{X}]) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\gamma_{t}(\mathcal{F})=\gamma_{t}(\mathcal{F}-r) \gamma_{t}(1)^{r}=\gamma_{t}(\mathcal{F}-r)(1-t)^{-r}$, we obtain the equality (3.2.4.3).
2. For $n=r+1$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta_{t}\left(\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^{\prime}\right)_{\mathrm{D}}= & (1-t)^{r} \beta_{t} \\
\equiv & \sum_{k=1}^{r}\left[\mathrm{~L} \Lambda^{k} \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathrm{~L} \Lambda^{k} \mathcal{F}^{\prime}\right]_{\mathrm{D}} \cdot t^{k}(1-t)^{r-k} \\
& +\left[\mathrm{L} \Lambda^{n} \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathrm{~L} \Lambda^{n} \mathcal{F}^{\prime}\right]_{\mathrm{D}} \cdot t^{n}(1-t)^{-1} \bmod t^{n+1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Comparing the coefficients of $t^{n}$, we obtain $\delta_{n}\left(\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^{\prime}\right)_{\mathrm{D}}=\left[\mathrm{L} \Lambda^{n} \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathrm{~L} \Lambda^{n} \mathcal{F}^{\prime}\right]_{\mathrm{D}}$. The remaining assertion follows from this and Proposition 3.2.1.

Lemma 3.2.5. - Let $\mathcal{E}$ and $\mathcal{E}^{\prime}$ be locally free $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}}$-modules of the same rank $n$ and let $\mathcal{E}^{\prime} \rightarrow$ $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}}$ be morphisms of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}}$-modules. We consider the Koszul complexes $\mathcal{K}=\operatorname{Kos}\left(\mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}}\right)$ and $\mathcal{K}^{\prime}=\operatorname{Kos}\left(\mathcal{E}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}}\right)$ and the induced morphism $\mathcal{K}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$ of complexes.

Let $\mathcal{M}=\left[\mathcal{K}^{*} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}^{* *}\right]$ be the mapping cone of the morphism of the dual complexes. Then, the homology sheaves $\mathcal{H}_{q}(\mathcal{M})$ are $\mathcal{H}_{0}\left(\mathcal{K}^{\prime}\right)=\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{x}} /\left(\right.$ Image $\left.\mathcal{E}^{\prime}\right)$-modules.

Proof. - The product $\mathcal{K} \otimes \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$ of Koszul complex induces a canonical map $\mathcal{K} \otimes \mathcal{K}^{*} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}^{*}$. The canonical maps $\mathcal{K} \otimes \mathcal{K}^{*} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}^{*}$ and $\mathcal{K}^{\prime} \otimes \mathcal{K}^{\prime *} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}^{*}$ induces $\mathcal{K}^{\prime} \otimes$ $\mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$. This defines a multiplication $\mathcal{H}_{0}\left(\mathcal{K}^{\prime}\right) \otimes \mathcal{H}_{q}(\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{q}(\mathcal{M})$ compatible with the $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}}$-module structure. Thus the assertion follows.

Let X be a scheme and $\mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}^{\prime}$ be a morphism of chain complexes of flat $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}^{-}}$ modules. For an integer $q \geq 0$, we consider the mapping cone [ $\mathrm{L} \Lambda^{q} \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathrm{~L} \Lambda^{q} \mathcal{K}^{\prime}$ ]. The canonical maps $\mathrm{L} \Lambda^{q+1} \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathrm{~L} \Lambda^{q} \mathcal{K} \otimes \mathcal{K}$ and $\mathrm{L} \Lambda^{q+1} \mathcal{K}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{L} \Lambda^{q} \mathcal{K}^{\prime} \otimes \mathcal{K}^{\prime}$ [26, (1.2.1.4)] induce a map

$$
\left[\mathrm{L} \Lambda^{q+1} \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathrm{~L} \Lambda^{q+1} \mathcal{K}^{\prime}\right] \rightarrow\left[\mathrm{L} \Lambda^{q} \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathrm{~L} \Lambda^{q} \mathcal{K}^{\prime}\right] \otimes \mathcal{K}^{\prime}
$$

We consider the following condition on a complex $\mathcal{K}$ of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{x}}$-modules.
$(\mathrm{L}(n))$ For every $x \in \mathrm{X}$, there exist an open neighborhood U of $x$, locally free $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{U}^{-}}$ modules $\mathcal{E}$ and $\mathcal{L}$ of rank $n$ and 1 respectively and a quasi-isomorphism $\left.[\mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}] \rightarrow \mathcal{K}\right|_{\mathrm{U}}$.

If a complex $\mathcal{K}$ of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{x}}$-modules satisfies the condition $(\mathrm{L}(n))$, it is a perfect complex of tordimension $\leq 1$. For a perfect complex $\mathcal{K}$ of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}}$-modules satisfying the condition $(\mathrm{L}(n)$ ), let Z denote the closed subscheme of X defined by the annihilator ideal $\mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{Z}}=\mathrm{Ann} \Lambda^{n} \mathcal{H}_{0}(\mathcal{K})$ and $i: \mathrm{Z} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}$ denote the immersion. Then, for a quasi-isomorphism $\left.[\mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}] \rightarrow \mathcal{K}\right|_{\mathrm{U}}$ on an open subscheme U of X as in $(\mathrm{L}(n))$, the intersection $\mathrm{Z} \cap \mathrm{U} \subset \mathrm{U}$ is the largest closed subscheme where the map $\mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ is the zero-map. Further, a quasi-isomorphism $[\mathcal{L} \rightarrow$ $\mathcal{E}]\left.\rightarrow \mathcal{K}\right|_{\mathrm{U}}$ induces an isomorphism $\left.i^{*} \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{Z}}\right|_{\mathrm{ZnU}}=\left.\mathrm{L}_{1} i^{*} \mathcal{K}\right|_{\mathrm{ZnU}}$. Hence, $\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{Z}}=\mathrm{L}_{1} i^{*} \mathcal{K}$ is an invertible $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Z}}$-module [26, Lemma 2.4.1.1].

Corollary 3.2.6. - Let $\mathcal{K}$ and $\mathcal{K}^{\prime}$ be complexes of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}}$-modules satisfying the condition $(\mathrm{L}(n))$ and $\mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}^{\prime}$ be a morphism such that the mapping cone $\left[\mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}^{\prime}\right]$ is of tor-dimension $\leq 1$. For $q>0$, let $\left[\mathrm{L} \Lambda^{q} \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathrm{~L} \Lambda^{q} \mathcal{K}^{\prime}\right]$ denote the mapping cone.

Let Z and $\mathrm{Z}^{\prime}$ denote the closed subschemes of X defined by the annihilator ideals $\mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{Z}}=$ Ann $\Lambda^{n} \mathcal{H}_{0}(\mathcal{K})$ and $\mathcal{I}_{Z^{\prime}}=\operatorname{Ann} \Lambda^{n} \mathcal{H}_{0}\left(\mathcal{K}^{\prime}\right)$. Let $i: \mathrm{Z} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}$ and $i^{\prime}: \mathrm{Z}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}$ denote the immersions and let $\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{Z}}=\mathrm{L}_{1} i^{*} \mathcal{K}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{Z}^{\prime}}^{\prime}=\mathrm{L}_{1} i^{i^{*}} \mathcal{K}^{\prime}$ be the invertible $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Z}}$-module and $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Z}^{\prime}}$-module respectively.

1. The scheme Z is a closed subscheme of $\mathrm{Z}^{\prime}$ and the canonical map $\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{Z}^{\prime}}^{\prime} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{Z^{\prime}}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Z}} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{Z}}$ is an isomorphism.
2. The homology sheaf $\mathcal{H}_{p}\left(\left[\mathrm{~L} \Lambda^{q} \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathrm{~L} \Lambda^{q} \mathcal{K}^{\prime}\right]\right)$ is an $\mathcal{O}_{Z^{\prime}}$-module if $p>0$ or $q \geq n$.
3. The map (3.2.5.1) induces an isomorphism
(3.2.6.1) $\quad \mathcal{H}_{p+1}\left(\left[\mathrm{~L} \Lambda^{q+1} \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathrm{~L} \Lambda^{q+1} \mathcal{K}^{\prime}\right]\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{p}\left(\left[\mathrm{~L} \Lambda^{q} \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathrm{~L} \Lambda^{q} \mathcal{K}^{\prime}\right]\right) \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{Z}^{\prime}}^{\prime}$
for $p>0$ or $q \geq n$.
In the case where $\mathcal{K}=0$, Corollary 3.2.6.2 (resp. 3.2.6.3) is proved in [26, Lemma 2.4.2.1 (resp. 2.4.2.2)].

Proof. - 1. Since the question is local, we may assume that there is a quasiisomorphism $\left[\mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^{\prime}\right] \rightarrow \mathcal{K}^{\prime}$ for locally free sheaves $\mathcal{E}^{\prime}$ and $\mathcal{L}$ of rank $n$ and 1 . Then, the mapping cone $\left[\mathcal{E}^{\prime} \oplus \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}^{\prime}\right]$ is a perfect complex of tor-amplitude [1, 1] and hence is quasi-isomorphic to $\mathcal{E}$ [1] for a locally free sheaf $\mathcal{E}$ of rank $n$. Thus, we obtain a quasiisomorphism $[\mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}] \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$. Since the pull-back of $\mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ to $Z$ is the zero-map, the pull-back of $\mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^{\prime}$ to Z is also the zero-map. This shows that Z is a subscheme of $\mathrm{Z}^{\prime}$. Further, the canonical map $\mathcal{L}_{Z^{\prime}}^{\prime} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{Z^{\prime}}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Z}} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{Z}}$ is induced by the identity of $\mathcal{L}$ and is an isomorphism.
2. Since the assertion is local, we may assume, as in the proof of 1 , that $\mathcal{K}=$ $\left[\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}} \xrightarrow{e} \mathcal{E}\right]$ and $\mathcal{K}^{\prime}=\left[\mathcal{O} \xrightarrow{\ell^{\prime}} \mathcal{E}^{\prime}\right]$ where $\mathcal{E}$ and $\mathcal{E}^{\prime}$ are locally free of rank $n$, and $\mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}^{\prime}$ is induced by $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^{\prime}$ compatible with $e$ and $e^{\prime}$. Then for $q \geq 0$, the derived exterior
power complex $\mathrm{L} \Lambda^{q} \mathcal{K}$ is identified with the complex $\left[\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}} \xrightarrow{e} \mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{\wedge e} \Lambda^{2} \mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{\wedge e} \cdots \xrightarrow{\wedge e} \Lambda^{q} \mathcal{E}\right]$. Consequently, if $q \geq n$, its shift $\left(\mathrm{L} \Lambda^{q} \mathcal{K}\right)[-q]$ is isomorphic to the dual of the Koszul complex $\operatorname{Kos}\left(e^{*}: \mathcal{E}^{*} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}}\right)$ associated to the dual of $e: \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ and similarly for the complex $\left(\mathrm{L} \Lambda^{q} \mathcal{K}^{\prime}\right)[-q]$. Since the ideal sheaf $\mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{Z}^{\prime}} \subset \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}}$ of $\mathrm{Z}^{\prime}$ is the image of $e^{*}: \mathcal{E}^{*} \rightarrow$ $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}}$, the assertion follows from Lemma 3.2.5.
3. We show that (3.2.5.1) induces (3.2.6.1). The map (3.2.5.1) induces a homomorphism

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{H}_{p+1}\left(\left[\mathrm{~L} \Lambda^{q+1} \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathrm{~L} \Lambda^{q+1} \mathcal{K}^{\prime}\right]\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{p+1}\left(\left[\mathrm{~L} \Lambda^{q} \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathrm{~L} \Lambda^{q} \mathcal{K}^{\prime}\right] \otimes \mathcal{K}^{\prime}\right) \\
& \quad=\mathcal{T}_{\text {or }}^{p+1} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}}\left(\left[\mathrm{~L} \Lambda^{q} \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathrm{~L} \Lambda^{q} \mathcal{K}^{\prime}\right], \mathcal{K}^{\prime}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\mathcal{K}^{\prime}$ is of tor-dimension $\leq 1$, the spectral sequence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{E}_{s, t}^{2} & =\mathcal{T} \text { or }{ }_{s}^{\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{x}}}\left(\mathcal{H}_{t}\left(\left[\mathrm{~L} \Lambda^{q} \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathrm{~L} \Lambda^{q} \mathcal{K}^{\prime}\right]\right), \mathcal{K}^{\prime}\right) \\
& \Rightarrow \mathcal{T} \text { or }{ }_{s+t}^{\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{x}}}\left(\left[\mathrm{~L} \Lambda^{q} \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathrm{~L}^{q} \mathcal{K}^{\prime}\right], \mathcal{K}^{\prime}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

induces a homomorphism

$$
\mathcal{T}_{o r_{p+1}}^{\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}}}\left(\left[\mathrm{~L} \Lambda^{q} \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathrm{~L} \Lambda^{q} \mathcal{K}^{\prime}\right], \mathcal{K}^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{T} \text { or }_{1}^{\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}}}\left(\mathcal{H}_{p}\left(\left[\mathrm{~L} \Lambda^{q} \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathrm{~L} \Lambda^{q} \mathcal{K}^{\prime}\right]\right), \mathcal{K}^{\prime}\right)
$$

Since $\mathcal{H}_{p}\left(\left[\mathrm{~L} \Lambda^{q} \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathrm{~L} \Lambda^{q} \mathcal{K}^{\prime}\right]\right)$ is an $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Z}^{\prime}}$-module, we have an isomorphism

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{T}_{o r_{1}}^{\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}}}\left(\mathcal{H}_{p}\left(\left[\mathrm{~L} \Lambda^{q} \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathrm{~L} \Lambda^{q} \mathcal{K}^{\prime}\right]\right), \mathcal{K}^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{p}\left(\left[\mathrm{~L} \Lambda^{q} \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathrm{~L} \Lambda^{q} \mathcal{K}^{\prime}\right]\right) \otimes \mathrm{L}_{1} i^{\prime *} \mathcal{K}^{\prime} \\
& \quad=\mathcal{H}_{p}\left(\left[\mathrm{~L} \Lambda^{q} \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathrm{~L} \Lambda^{q} \mathcal{K}^{\prime}\right]\right) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{Z^{\prime}}} \mathcal{L}_{Z^{\prime}}^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

(cf. [26, Lemma 2.4.1.3]). They define a canonical map (3.2.6.1).
By [26, (2.4.2.2)], the canonical maps

$$
\mathrm{L}_{p+1} \Lambda^{q+1} \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathrm{~L}_{p} \Lambda^{q} \mathcal{K} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Z}}} \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{Z}}, \quad \mathrm{~L}_{p+1} \Lambda^{q+1} \mathcal{K}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{L}_{p} \Lambda^{q} \mathcal{K}^{\prime} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{Z^{\prime}}} \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{Z}^{\prime}}^{\prime}
$$

are isomorphisms. Further by 1 , the canonical map $\mathrm{L}_{p} \Lambda^{q} \mathcal{K} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{Z}} \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{Z}} \rightarrow \mathrm{L}_{p} \Lambda^{q} \mathcal{K} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{Z^{\prime}}} \mathcal{L}_{Z^{\prime}}$ is an isomorphism. Thus the map (3.2.6.1) is an isomorphism.
3.3. Localized intersection product. - We briefly recall terminologies and properties on cotangent complexes [14, Chapitre II] and excess conormal complexes [26, Definition 1.6.3] which will play the central roles in this and the next subsections.

For a morphism of schemes $\mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{S}$, the cotangent complex $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}}$ is defined in [14, Chapitre II] as an object of the derived category $\mathrm{D}_{\text {qooh }}^{-}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{x}}\right)$ of the category of quasicoherent $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}}$-modules. We have $\mathcal{H}_{0}\left(\mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{s}}\right)=\Omega_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{s}}^{1}$ and $\mathcal{H}_{i}\left(\mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{s}}\right)=0$ for $i<0$. For a morphism $f: \mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}$ of schemes over S , we have a distinguished triangle
(3.3.0.1) $\quad \mathrm{L} f^{*} \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{S}} \rightarrow \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}} \rightarrow \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{Y}} \rightarrow$.

If $\mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{P}$ is a regular closed immersion over S and if P is smooth over S , then there exists a canonical isomorphism
(3.3.0.2) $\quad \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}} \rightarrow\left[\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{P}} \xrightarrow{d} \Omega_{\mathrm{P} / \mathrm{S}}^{1} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{P}}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}}\right]$
to the complex where the conormal sheaf $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{P}}=\mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{X}} / \mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{X}}^{2}$ is put on degree 1 and $\Omega_{\mathrm{P} / \mathrm{S}}^{1} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{P}}}$ $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}}$ is put on degree 0 . If $\mathrm{T} \rightarrow \mathrm{S}$ is flat, then the canonical map $\mathrm{L} p_{1}^{*} \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}} \rightarrow \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{X} \times \mathrm{S} / \mathrm{T} / \mathrm{T}}$ is an isomorphism where $p_{1}: \mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{T} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}$ denotes the first projection.

For an immersion $\mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}$ of schemes, the conormal complex $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{X}}$ is defined in [26, Definition 1.6.3] to be the shift $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{X}}[-1]$ of the cotangent complex $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{X}}$. We have a canonical isomorphism $\mathcal{H}_{0}\left(\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{X}}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{X}}$ to the conormal sheaf.

Lemma 3.3.1. - If $\mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{S}$ is flat, then there exists a canonical isomorphism $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}} \rightarrow$ $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{X} /(\mathrm{X} \times \mathrm{s} \mathrm{X})}$.

Proof. - We consider the distinguished triangle (3.3.0.1) for $\mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}$, where the first arrow is the diagonal $\delta: \mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{X}$ and the second arrow is the second projection. Since $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{X}}=0$, we obtain an isomorphism $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{X} /\left(\mathrm{X} \times{ }_{S} \mathrm{X}\right)}=\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{X} /\left(\mathrm{X} \times{ }_{\mathrm{S}}\right)}[-1] \rightarrow$ $\mathrm{L} \delta^{*} \mathrm{~L}_{(\mathrm{X} \times \mathrm{s} \mathrm{X}) / \mathrm{X}}$. Since $\mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{S}$ is assumed to be flat, the canonical map $p_{1}^{*} \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}} \rightarrow \mathrm{L}_{(\mathrm{X} \times \mathrm{s} \mathrm{X}) / \mathrm{X}}$ is an isomorphism where $p_{1}$ denotes the first projection. It induces an isomorphism $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}} \rightarrow \mathrm{L} \delta^{*} \mathrm{~L} p_{1}^{*} \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}} \rightarrow \mathrm{L} \delta^{*} \mathrm{~L}_{(\mathrm{X} \times \mathrm{S})}{ }^{\mathrm{X}) / \mathrm{X}}$.

For an immersion $\mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}$ and for a morphism $\mathrm{W} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}$ such that $\mathrm{T}=\mathrm{V} \times_{\mathrm{X}}$ $\mathrm{W} \rightarrow \mathrm{W}$ is a regular immersion, the excess conormal complex $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{X}, \mathrm{W}}^{\prime}$ is defined in [26, Definition 1.6.3] as an object of the derived category $\mathrm{D}_{\text {qroh }}^{-}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{V}}\right)$ of the category of quasicoherent $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{V}}$-modules. We have a distinguished triangle

$$
\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{X}, \mathrm{~W}}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{Lg}^{*} \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{X}} \rightarrow \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{T} / \mathrm{W}} \rightarrow
$$

where $g$ is the morphism $\mathrm{T} \rightarrow \mathrm{V}$.
We recall the definition of locally a hypersurface [26, Definition 3.1.1].
Definition 3.3.2. - Let S be a scheme. A scheme X offinite presentation over S is called locally a hypersurface of relative virtual dimension $n-1$ if, locally on X , it is a Cartier divisor of a smooth scheme of relative dimension $n$ over S .

For such X , if $i: \mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{P}$ is a regular immersion to a smooth scheme over S , the cotangent complex $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}}$ is canonically quasi-isomorphic to the complex $\left[\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{P}} \rightarrow i^{*} \Omega_{\mathrm{P} / \mathrm{S}}^{1}\right]$ inducing a canonical isomorphism $\mathcal{H}_{0}\left(\mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{s}}\right) \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}}^{1}$. Consequently, the complex $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{s}}$ satisfies the condition $(\mathrm{L}(n))$ in Section 3.2.

We recall the definition of the localized intersection product [26, Definition 3.2.2]. Let S be a regular noetherian scheme of finite dimension and let X be a scheme of finite
type over $S$ that is locally a hypersurface of relative virtual dimension $n-1$. Let $Z$ be the closed subscheme of X defined by the annihilator of $\Omega_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{s}}^{n}, i: \mathrm{Z} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}$ be the closed immersion and let $\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{Z}}=\mathrm{L}_{1} i^{*} \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}}$ be the invertible $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Z}}$-module. The underlying set of Z is the complement of the largest open subscheme of X smooth over S .

Let V be a closed subscheme of X and W be a noetherian scheme over X . We put $\mathrm{T}=\mathrm{V} \times{ }_{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{W}$. Then, for a coherent $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{V}}$-module $\mathcal{F}$, a complex $\mathcal{G} \in \mathrm{D}_{\text {coh }}^{b}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{W}}\right)$ of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{W}}{ }^{-}$ modules with bounded coherent cohomology sheaves and for a sufficiently large integer $q$, the $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{T}}$-module $\mathcal{T}$ or $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{x}}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G})$ is supported on the inverse image $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{T}}=\mathrm{Z} \times_{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{T}$ and the class $\left[\mathcal{T}_{\text {or }}^{q}{ }^{\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{x}}}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G})\right]$ in $\mathrm{G}\left(\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{T}}\right)_{/ \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{Z}}}=\operatorname{Coker}\left(\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{Z}}-1: \mathrm{G}\left(\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{T}}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{G}\left(\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{T}}\right)\right)$ depends only on the parity of $q$ by [26, Theorem 3.2.1].

Definition 3.3.3. - Let S be a regular noetherian scheme of finite dimension and let X be a scheme of finite type over S that is locally a hypersurface of relative virtual dimension $n-1$. Let Z be the closed subscheme of X defined by the annihilator of $\Omega_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{s}}^{n}, i: \mathrm{Z} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}$ be the closed immersion and let $\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{Z}}=\mathrm{L}_{1} i^{*} \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{s}}$ be the invertible $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Z}}$-module. Let V be a closed subscheme of X and W be a noetherian scheme over X . We put $\mathrm{T}=\mathrm{V} \times{ }_{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{W}, \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{T}}=\mathrm{Z} \times_{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{T}$ and $\mathrm{G}\left(\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{T}}\right)_{/ \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{Z}}}=\operatorname{Coker}\left(\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{Z}}-\right.$ $\left.1: \mathrm{G}\left(\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{T}}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{G}\left(\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{T}}\right)\right)$.

Then, the localized intersection product

## (3.3.3.1) $\quad((,))_{\mathrm{X}}: \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{V}) \times \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{W}) \rightarrow \mathrm{G}\left(\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{T}}\right)_{/ \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{Z}}}$

is a biadditive pairing defined by
(3.3.3.2) $\quad(([\mathcal{F}],[\mathcal{G}]))_{\mathrm{X}}=(-1)^{q}\left(\left[\mathcal{T}\right.\right.$ or $\left.{ }_{q}^{\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{x}}}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G})\right]-\left[\mathcal{T}\right.$ or $\left.\left.{ }_{q+1}^{\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}}}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G})\right]\right)$
for a coherent $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{V} \text {-module } \mathcal{F}} \mathcal{F}$, a coherent $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{W}}$-module $\mathcal{G}$ and for a sufficiently large integer $q$.
In [26], it is denoted [[, ]]. We have changed the notation to emphasize the similarity with the usual intersection pairing (, ) of algebraic cycles. It is proved in [26, Theorem 3.2.1], that the right hand side of (3.3.3.2) is independent of $q$ sufficiently large and defines a pairing.

For $\mathcal{G}=\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{w}}$, we put

## (3.3.3.3) $\quad\left(\left([\mathcal{F}],\left[\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{W}}\right]\right)\right)_{\mathrm{X}}=(([\mathcal{F}], \mathrm{W}))_{\mathrm{X}}$.

The localized intersection product with W defines a map
(3.3.3.4)

$$
((, \mathrm{W}))_{\mathrm{X}}: \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{~V}) \rightarrow \mathrm{G}\left(\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{T}}\right)_{/ \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{Z}}}
$$

Similarly, we define a map $\left((\mathrm{V},)_{\mathrm{X}}: \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{W}) \rightarrow \mathrm{G}\left(\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{T}}\right)_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{Z}}}\right.$. If $\Sigma \subset \mathrm{W}$ is a closed subset such that the restriction $\mathrm{W} \backslash \Sigma \rightarrow \mathrm{X}$ is of finite tor-dimension, the map (3.3.3.4) is lifted to a map
(3.3.3.5) $\quad((, W))_{\mathrm{X}}: \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{V}) \rightarrow \mathrm{G}\left(\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{T}} \times_{\mathrm{W}} \Sigma\right)_{/ \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{Z}}}$.

We recall some formulas on localized intersection product.

Lemma 3.3.4. - Let X and $\mathrm{X}^{\prime}$ be locally hypersurfaces of relative virtual dimension $n-1$ and $n^{\prime}-1$ over a regular noetherian scheme S of finite dimension and

be a Cartesian diagram of noetherian schemes over S where the vertical arrows are closed immersions.
We assume that the immersion $f: \mathrm{X}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}$ is of finite tor-dimension. We also assume that $\mathrm{Z} \times \mathrm{X}^{\prime} \mathrm{X}^{\prime}$ is a closed subscheme of the closed subscheme $\mathrm{Z}^{\prime}$ of $\mathrm{X}^{\prime}$ defined by the annihilator of $\Omega_{\mathrm{X}^{\prime} / \mathrm{S}}^{n^{\prime}}$ and that the map $\mathrm{G}\left(\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{T}}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{G}\left(\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\prime}\right)$ induced by the inclusion $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{T}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\prime}$ induces a map $\mathrm{G}\left(\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{T}}\right)_{/ \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{Z}}} \rightarrow$ $\mathrm{G}\left(\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\prime}\right)_{/ \mathcal{L}_{Z^{\prime}}}$.

Then, the composition

$$
\mathrm{G}(\mathrm{~V}) \xrightarrow{((, \mathrm{W}) \mathrm{x}} \mathrm{G}\left(\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{T}}\right)_{/ \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{Z}}} \rightarrow \mathrm{G}\left(\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\prime}\right)_{/ \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{Z}^{\prime}}}
$$

is equal to the localized intersection product

$$
\left(\left(,\left[\mathrm{L} f^{*} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{W}}\right]\right)\right)_{\mathrm{X}^{\prime}}: \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{~V}) \rightarrow \mathrm{G}\left(\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\prime}\right)_{/ \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{Z}^{\prime}}}
$$

with $\left[\mathrm{L} f^{*} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{W}}\right] \in \mathrm{G}\left(\mathrm{W}^{\prime}\right)$.
Proof. - It follows from the canonical isomorphism $\mathcal{T} o r_{q} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{x}}\left(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{W}}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$ or ${\underset{q}{ }}^{\mathcal{X}^{\prime}}(\mathcal{F}$, $\mathrm{L} f^{*} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{W}}$ ) for a coherent $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{V}}$-module $\mathcal{F}$ [26, Lemma 1.5.1].

Lemma 3.3.5. - Let X be locally a hypersurface of relative dimension $n-1$ over a regular noetherian scheme S of finite dimension and

be a Cartesian diagram of noetherian schemes over S where the vertical arrows are closed immersions. We assume V is regular.

Then, the $\operatorname{map}((, \mathrm{W}))_{\mathrm{X}}: \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{V}) \rightarrow \mathrm{G}\left(\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{T}}\right)_{/ \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{Z}}}$ is equal to the usual intersection product

$$
\left(,((\mathrm{V}, \mathrm{~W}))_{\mathrm{x}}\right)_{\mathrm{V}}: \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{~V}) \rightarrow \mathrm{G}\left(\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{T}}\right)_{/ \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{z}}}
$$

with the localized intersection product $((\mathrm{V}, \mathrm{W}))_{\mathrm{X}} \in \mathrm{G}\left(\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{T}}\right)_{/ \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{Z}}}$.

Assume in addition that V is locally of complete intersection of relative dimension $n-c$ over S , that W is of dimension $p$ and that the immersion $\mathrm{T} \rightarrow \mathrm{W}$ is a regular immersion of codimension $c^{\prime}$. Let $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{X}, \mathrm{W}}^{\prime}$ denote the excess conormal complex. Then, we have

## (3.3.5.1) $\quad((\mathrm{V}, \mathrm{W}))_{\mathrm{X}}=(-1)^{c-c^{\prime}} c_{c-c^{\prime} \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{T}}}^{\mathrm{T}}\left(\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{X}, \mathrm{W}}^{\prime}\right) \cap[\mathrm{T}]$

in $\mathrm{Gr}_{p-c}^{\mathrm{F}}\left(\mathrm{G}\left(\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{T}}\right)_{/ \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{Z}}}\right)$.
Proof. - We apply [26, Lemma 3.3.1] to the spectral sequence $\mathrm{E}_{p, q}^{2}=\mathcal{T} \mathcal{O r}_{p}{ }^{\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{V}}}(\mathcal{F}$, $\left.\mathcal{T}{ }_{o r_{q}}{ }^{\mathcal{O}}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{V}}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{W}}\right)\right) \Rightarrow \mathrm{E}_{p+q}=\mathcal{T}_{\operatorname{or}}^{p+q} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}}\left(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{W}}\right)$. Then, similarly as in the proof of [26, Proposition 3.3.2.1], we obtain an equality $((\mathcal{F}, \mathrm{W}))_{\mathrm{X}}=\left(\mathcal{F},((\mathrm{V}, \mathrm{W}))_{\mathrm{X}}\right)_{\mathrm{V}}$ for a coherent $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{V}}$-module $\mathcal{F}$.

The equality (3.3.5.1) is [26, (3.4.4.1)].
Lemma 3.3.6. - Let X be locally a hypersurface over a regular noetherian scheme S of finite dimension and

be a Cartesian diagram of noetherian schemes over S where the vertical arrows are closed immersions. We assume that $\mathrm{g}: \mathrm{W}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{W}$ is of finite tor-dimension.

Then, the $\operatorname{map}\left(\left(, \mathrm{W}^{\prime}\right)\right)_{\mathrm{x}}: \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{V}) \rightarrow \mathrm{G}\left(\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{T}^{\prime}}\right)_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{Z}}}$ is equal to the composition of

$$
\mathrm{G}(\mathrm{~V}) \xrightarrow{((, \mathrm{W})) \mathrm{x}} \mathrm{G}\left(\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{T}}\right)_{/ \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{Z}}} \xrightarrow{g^{*}} \mathrm{G}\left(\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{T}^{\prime}}\right)_{/ \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{Z}}}
$$

Proof. - It suffices to put $\mathcal{G}=\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{W}}$ and $\mathcal{H}=\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{W}^{\prime}}$ in [26, Proposition 3.3.2.1].
Lemma 3.3.7. - Let X be locally a hypersurface over a regular noetherian scheme S of finite dimension and let $\mathrm{X}^{\prime}$ be locally a hypersurface over a regular noetherian scheme $\mathrm{S}^{\prime}$ of finite dimension. We consider a Cartesian diagram

of noetherian schemes over S where the vertical arrows are closed immersions. We assume that $f: \mathrm{X}^{\prime} \rightarrow$ X is of finite tor-dimension. We also assume that $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{T}}=\mathrm{Z} \times_{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{T}$ is a subset of $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\prime}=\mathrm{Z}^{\prime} \times_{\mathrm{X}^{\prime}} \mathrm{T}$ settheoretically and that the canonical morphism $\mathrm{G}\left(\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{T}}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{G}\left(\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\prime}\right)$ induces a morphism $\mathrm{G}\left(\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{T}}\right)_{/ \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{Z}}} \rightarrow$ $\mathrm{G}\left(\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\prime}\right)_{/ \mathcal{L}_{Z^{\prime}}}$.

Then, the composition $((, W))_{\mathrm{X}}: \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{V}) \rightarrow \mathrm{G}\left(\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{T}}\right)_{/ \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{Z}}} \rightarrow \mathrm{G}\left(\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\prime}\right)_{/ \mathcal{L}_{Z^{\prime}}}$ is equal to the composition of

$$
\mathrm{G}(\mathrm{~V}) \xrightarrow{f^{*}} \mathrm{G}\left(\mathrm{~V}^{\prime}\right) \xrightarrow{((, \mathrm{W}))_{\mathrm{X}^{\prime}}} \mathrm{G}\left(\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\prime}\right)_{/ \mathcal{L}_{Z^{\prime}}} .
$$

Proof. - Similarly as [26, Corollary 3.3.4.3] applied by taking $\mathrm{W}=\mathrm{X}^{\prime}$ and $\mathrm{V}^{\prime}$ to be W, it follows from [26, Proposition 3.3.3].
3.4. Relative excess intersection formula. - We establish a refinement of the excess intersection formula [26, Proposition 3.4.2]. The result in this subsection will be used in the proof of an explicit computation of the logarithmic different in Proposition 5.1.2. Most of the proofs in this subsection are immediate variations of those in [26, Sections 1.6, 1.7]. The reader is recommended to read them before following the proof here. The authors apologize for possible inconvenience.

We consider a commutative diagram
(3.4.0.1)

of schemes satisfying the following condition:
(3.4.0.2) The horizontal arrows are closed immersions and the immersion $\mathrm{T} \rightarrow \mathrm{W}$ is a regular immersion. The upper square and the tall rectangle are Cartesian.

Let $g: \mathrm{W} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}$ denote the composition of the right vertical arrows. Recall that a simplicial algebra $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{X}, \mathrm{W}}$ on T such that the normal complex $\mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{X}, \mathrm{W}}\right)$ computes $\mathrm{Lg} g^{*} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{V}}$ is defined in $[26,1.6 .3,1.6 .4]$. Further, an ideal $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{X}, \mathrm{W}} \subset \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{X}, \mathrm{W}}$ is defined as the kernel of the surjection $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{X}, \mathrm{W}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{T}}$ and the excess conormal complex $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{X}, \mathrm{W}}^{\prime}=$ $\mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{X}, \mathrm{W}} / \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{X}, \mathrm{W}}^{2}\right)[-1]$ is defined as a complex of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{T}}$-modules loc. cit.

We construct a variant of the spectral sequence $\mathrm{E}_{p, q}^{1}=\mathcal{H}_{2 p+q} \mathrm{~L} \Lambda^{-\rho} \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{X}, \mathrm{W}}^{\prime} \Rightarrow$ $\mathcal{T}$ or $\mathcal{O}_{p+q} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}^{\prime}}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{V}}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{W}}\right)$ [26, (1.6.4.3)]. We further assume that the map $f: \mathrm{X}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}$ is of finite tor-dimension. We define an object $\mathcal{C}$ of $\mathrm{D}_{\text {coh }}^{-}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}^{\prime}}\right)$ fitting in the distinguished triangle
(3.4.0.3) $\quad \rightarrow \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathrm{L} f^{*} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{V}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{V}^{\prime}} \rightarrow$
as follows. Since $\mathrm{L} f^{*} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{V}}$ is acyclic in degree $>0$, the 0 -th subcomplex $\tau_{\leq 0} \mathrm{~L} f^{*} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{V}}$ in the canonical filtration is quasi-isomorphic to $\mathrm{L} f^{*} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{V}}$. Namely, for a complex $\mathcal{C}^{\prime}$ defining $\mathrm{L} f^{*} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{V}}$ in the derived category and the subcomplex $\tau_{\leq 0} \mathcal{C}^{\prime}$ defined by replacing the
components $\mathcal{C}_{q}^{\prime}$ by 0 for $q>0$ and $\mathcal{C}_{0}^{\prime}$ by $\operatorname{Ker}\left(\mathcal{C}_{0}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{-1}^{\prime}\right)$, the inclusion $\tau_{\leq 0} \mathcal{C}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{\prime}$ is a quasi-isomorphism. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be the subcomplex of $\mathcal{C}^{\prime}$ obtained by further replacing $\operatorname{Ker}\left(\mathcal{C}_{0}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{-1}^{\prime}\right)$ on degree 0 by the kernel of the surjection $\operatorname{Ker}\left(\mathcal{C}_{0}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{-1}^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{V}^{\prime}}$. Then, the complex $\mathcal{C}$ fits in the distinguished triangle (3.4.0.3) and is independent of the choice of $\mathcal{C}^{\prime}$ up to a canonical quasi-isomorphism.

We use the notation in [26, Proposition 1.6.4]. A simplicial algebra $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{X}, \mathrm{W}}$ and an increasing filtration $\mathrm{F}^{\bullet} \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{X}, \mathrm{W}}=\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{X}, \mathrm{W}}^{-}$are defined in [26, Definition 1.6.3]. Similarly $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{V}^{\prime} / \mathrm{X}^{\prime}, \mathrm{W}}$ and its filtration $\mathrm{F}^{\bullet}$ are defined. They define a filtered chain complex $\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}=\left[\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{X}, \mathrm{W}} \rightarrow \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{V}^{\prime} / \mathrm{X}^{\prime}, \mathrm{W}}\right]$ of simplicial modules. Here $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{V}^{\prime} / \mathrm{X}^{\prime}, \mathrm{W}}$ is put on degree 0 and $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{X}, \mathrm{W}}$ is on degree 1. Then, since $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{X}}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{V}}\right)$ and $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{X}^{\prime}}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{V}^{\prime}}\right)$ are resolutions of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{V}}$ and of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{V}^{\prime}}$ by free simplicial algebras $[14,1.5 .5 .6]$, we have a quasi-isomorphism $\int \mathrm{N} \widetilde{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \mathrm{L} g^{\prime *} \mathcal{C}$ from the associated simple complex. Thus, we obtain a spectral sequence
(3.4.0.4) $\quad \mathrm{E}_{p, q}^{1}=\mathcal{H}_{p+q} \operatorname{Gr}_{\mathrm{F}}^{-p} \int \mathrm{~N} \tilde{\mathcal{C}} \Rightarrow \mathcal{T} \operatorname{Tr}_{p+q}^{\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}^{\prime}}}\left(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{W}}\right)$.

Lemma 3.4.1. - Let the notation be as above. Then, for the $\mathrm{E}^{1}$-term of (3.4.0.4), there exists a canonical isomorphism
(3.4.1.1) $\quad \mathrm{E}_{p, q}^{1} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{2 p+q}\left[\mathrm{~L} \Lambda^{-\rho} \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{X}, \mathrm{W}}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{L} \Lambda^{-\rho} \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{V}^{\prime} / \mathrm{X}^{\prime}, \mathrm{W}}^{\prime}\right]$
of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{T}}$-modules.

By Lemma 3.4.1, we obtain a spectral sequence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{E}_{p, q}^{1}=\mathcal{H}_{2 p+q}\left[\mathrm{~L} \Lambda^{-p} \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{X}, \mathrm{~W}}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{L} \Lambda^{-p} \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{V}^{\prime} / \mathrm{X}^{\prime}, \mathrm{W}}^{\prime}\right] \Rightarrow \mathcal{T} \text { or } \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{p+q} \mathcal{X}^{\prime}\left(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{W}}\right) \tag{3.4.1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{T}}$-modules.

Proof. - The proof is similar to [26, Proposition 1.6.4]. Similarly as loc. cit., the canonical map $\mathrm{S}^{p}\left(\operatorname{Gr}_{\mathrm{F}}^{1} \widetilde{\mathcal{C}}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Gr}_{\mathrm{F}}^{p} \widetilde{\mathcal{C}}$ is an isomorphism. The normal complex of the graded piece $\operatorname{Gr}_{F}^{1} \widetilde{\mathcal{C}}=\left[\operatorname{Gr}_{\mathrm{F}}^{1} \mathrm{~A}_{V / X, W} \rightarrow \operatorname{Gr}_{\mathrm{F}}^{1} \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{V}^{\prime} / \mathrm{X}^{\prime}, \mathrm{W}}\right]$ is defined by the canonical map $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{X}, \mathrm{W}}^{\prime}[1] \rightarrow \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{V}^{\prime} / \mathrm{X}^{\prime}, \mathrm{W}}^{\prime}[1]$ of conormal complexes. Hence the normal complex $\mathrm{NGr}_{\mathrm{F}}^{p} \widetilde{\mathcal{C}}=$ $\mathrm{N}\left[\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathrm{F}}^{p} \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{X}, \mathrm{W}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathrm{F}}^{p} \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{V}^{\prime} / \mathrm{X}^{\prime}, \mathrm{W}}\right]$ is canonically quasi-isomorphic to the mapping cone of $\mathrm{NS}^{p}\left(\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{X}, \mathrm{W}}^{\prime}[1]\right) \rightarrow{\underset{\sim}{c}}^{p}\left(\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{V}^{\prime} / \mathrm{X}^{\prime}, \mathrm{W}}^{\prime[1]}\right)$. Thus by [26, Proposition 1.2.8], the $\mathrm{E}^{1}$-term $\mathrm{E}_{p, q}^{1}=\mathcal{H}_{p+q} \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathrm{F}}^{-p} \int \mathrm{~N} \tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ is given by $\mathcal{H}_{2 p+q}\left[\mathrm{~L} \Lambda^{-\rho} \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{X}, \mathrm{W}}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{L} \Lambda^{-\rho} \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{V}^{\prime} / \mathrm{X}^{\prime}, \mathrm{W}}^{\prime}\right]$ as required.

We consider a commutative diagram
(3.4.2.1)

of schemes satisfying the following conditions:
(3.4.2.2) The horizontal arrows are closed immersions and the immersions $\mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{P}$ and $\mathrm{X}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{P}^{\prime}$ are regular immersions of the same codimension. The immersion $\mathrm{T} \rightarrow \mathrm{W}$ is also a regular immersion. The upper square, the left tall rectangle and the right square are Cartesian.

In [26, (1.7.2.1)], a map $\lambda_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{X} / \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{W}}: \mathrm{L} \Lambda^{\rho} \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{X}, \mathrm{W}}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{P}} \otimes \mathrm{L} \Lambda^{p-1} \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{X}, \mathrm{W}}^{\prime}[1]$ is defined as the composition of the maps
(3.4.2.3)

$$
\mathrm{L} \Lambda^{p} \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{X}, \mathrm{~W}}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{X}, \mathrm{~W}}^{\prime} \otimes \mathrm{L} \Lambda^{p-1} \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{X}, \mathrm{~W}}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{P}} \otimes \mathrm{~L} \Lambda^{p-1} \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{X}, \mathrm{~W}}^{\prime}[1]
$$

induced by the canonical map $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{X}, \mathrm{W}}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{L} g_{\mathrm{T}}^{*} \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{X}} \rightarrow \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{T}}^{*} \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{P}}[1]=\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{P}} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{T}}[1]$. We identify $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{X}^{\prime} / \mathrm{P}^{\prime}}=f^{*} \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{P}}$ and define $\lambda_{\mathrm{V}^{\prime} / \mathrm{X}^{\prime} / \mathrm{P}^{\prime}, \mathrm{W}}: \mathrm{L} \Lambda^{p} \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{V}^{\prime} / \mathrm{X}^{\prime}, \mathrm{W}}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{P}} \otimes \mathrm{L} \Lambda^{p-1} \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{V}^{\prime} / \mathrm{X}^{\prime}, \mathrm{W}}^{\prime}[1]$ similarly. We will construct a map (3.4.2.5) below compatible with $\lambda_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{X} / \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{W}}$ and $\lambda_{\mathrm{V}^{\prime} / \mathrm{X}^{\prime} / \mathrm{P}^{\prime}, \mathrm{W}}$.

We use the notation in the proof of [26, Proposition 1.7.2]. Then, we have a commutative diagram of exact sequences
(3.4.2.4)

of filtered simplicial modules. The lower exact sequence is constructed for $\mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{X} \leftarrow \mathrm{W}$ and the upper one is for $\mathrm{V}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}^{\prime} \leftarrow \mathrm{W}^{\prime}$. Further we have a quasi-isomorphism $[\mathrm{A} \rightarrow$ $\left.\mathrm{A}^{\prime}\right] \rightarrow\left[\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{X}, \mathrm{W}} \rightarrow \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{V}^{\prime} / \mathrm{X}^{\prime}, \mathrm{W}}\right]$. By the assumption that the right square in (3.4.2.1) is Cartesian, we have an isomorphism $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{P}} \otimes\left[\mathrm{A} \rightarrow \mathrm{A}^{\prime}\right] \rightarrow\left[\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{B}} / \mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{B}}^{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{B}^{\prime}} / \mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{B}^{\prime}}^{2}\right]$ by iii in the step 1 of the proof of [26, Proposition 1.7.2]. They induce a canonical map
(3.4.2.5)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda: & {\left[\mathrm{L} \Lambda^{p} \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{X}, \mathrm{~W}}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{L} \Lambda^{p} \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{V}^{\prime} / \mathrm{X}^{\prime}, \mathrm{W}}^{\prime}\right] \rightarrow \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{P}} \otimes\left[\mathrm{~L} \Lambda^{p-1} \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{X}, \mathrm{~W}}^{\prime}\right.} \\
& \left.\rightarrow \mathrm{L} \Lambda^{p-1} \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{V}^{\prime} / \mathrm{X}^{\prime}, \mathrm{W}}^{\prime}\right][1]
\end{aligned}
$$

By the step 3 in the proof of [26, Proposition 1.7.2], we see that it fits in a commutative diagram of distinguished triangles
(3.4.2.6)


Lemma 3.4.2. - Let $\mathrm{E}_{\mathcal{T}}$ denote the spectral sequence $\mathrm{E}_{p, q}^{1} \Rightarrow \mathrm{E}_{p+q}$ (3.4.1.2) and let $\mathrm{E}_{\mathcal{T}}[-1,3]$ denote the spectral sequence $\mathrm{E}_{p+1, q-3}^{1} \Rightarrow \mathrm{E}_{p+q-2}$.

Then, there exists a map

## (3.4.2.7) $\quad \alpha: \mathrm{E}_{\mathcal{T}} \rightarrow \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{P}} \otimes \mathrm{E}_{\mathcal{T}}[-1,3]$

of spectral sequences where the maps of $\mathrm{E}^{1}$-terms are induced by the map $\lambda$ in (3.4.2.5).
Proof. - This is a variant of the construction in [26, Proposition 1.7.2] where the corresponding map for the spectral sequence $\mathrm{E}_{p, q}^{1}=\mathcal{H}_{2 p+q} \mathrm{~L} \Lambda^{-p} \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{X}, \mathrm{W}}^{\prime} \Rightarrow \mathcal{T}$ or $_{p+q}{ }^{\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{x}}}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{V}}\right.$, $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{W}}$ ) is defined. Similarly as the step 1 in the proof loc. cit., we obtain a map of spectral sequences. The assertion on the map of $E^{1}$-terms is clear from the definition of $\lambda$.

We prove a relative version of the excess intersection formula [26, Proposition 3.4.2].

Proposition 3.4.3. - Let S be a regular noetherian scheme of finite dimension and $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{X}^{\prime}$ be locally hypersurfaces over S . We consider a commutative diagram

of schemes over S satisfying the condition (3.4.0.2). Let $c^{\prime}$ be the codimension of the regular immersion $\mathrm{T} \rightarrow \mathrm{W}$. Let $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{X}, \mathrm{W}}^{\prime}$ and $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{V}^{\prime} / \mathrm{X}^{\prime}, \mathrm{W}}^{\prime}$ be the excess conormal complexes.

We assume that, locally on X , there exists a commutative diagram
(3.4.3.2)

of schemes over S satisfying the following condition:
(3.4.3.3) The maps $\mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{P}$ and $\mathrm{X}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{P}^{\prime}$ are regular immersion of codimension 1 and that $\mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{P}$ and $\mathrm{V}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{P}^{\prime}$ are regular immersion of codimension $n$. The right square is Cartesian. The schemes P and $\mathrm{P}^{\prime}$ are smooth of relative dimension nover S .

Let $\mathrm{U}^{\prime} \subset \mathrm{X}^{\prime}$ be an open subscheme such that $\mathrm{V}^{\prime} \times_{\mathrm{X}^{\prime}} \mathrm{U}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{V} \times{ }_{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{U}^{\prime}$ is an open immersion and put $\Sigma=\mathrm{X}^{\prime} \backslash \mathrm{U}^{\prime}$. Let $\mathrm{Z}^{\prime} \subset \mathrm{X}^{\prime}$ be the closed subscheme defined by the annihilator of $\Omega_{\mathrm{X}^{\prime} / \mathrm{S}}^{n}$ and we put $\mathcal{L}_{Z^{\prime}}=\mathrm{L}_{1} i^{\prime *} \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{X}^{\prime} / \mathrm{s}}$ where $i^{\prime}: \mathrm{Z}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}^{\prime}$ is the closed immersion. Then, we have the following.

1. The map $f: \mathrm{X}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}$ is of finite tor-dimension.
2. The canonical map $\mathrm{L} \Lambda^{n-c^{\prime}} \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{X}, \mathrm{W}}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{L} \Lambda^{n-c^{\prime}} \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{V}^{\prime} / \mathrm{X}^{\prime}, \mathrm{W}}^{\prime}$ is a quasi-isomorphism on the complement $\mathrm{T} \backslash\left(\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\prime} \times{ }_{\mathrm{X}^{\prime}} \mathrm{\Sigma}\right)$.
3. We define $f^{!}[\mathrm{V}]-\left[\mathrm{V}^{\prime}\right] \in \mathrm{G}\left(\Sigma \cap\left(\mathrm{V} \times \mathrm{X}^{\prime} \mathrm{X}^{\prime}\right)\right)$ by

$$
f^{\prime}[\mathrm{V}]-\left[\mathrm{V}^{\prime}\right]=\left[\operatorname{Ker}\left(f^{*} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{V}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{V}^{\prime}}\right)\right]+\sum_{q>0}(-1)^{q}\left[\mathrm{~L}_{q} f^{*} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{V}}\right]
$$

and put $d=\operatorname{dim} \mathrm{W}$. Then, we have
(3.4.3.4)

$$
\left(\left(\left(f^{\prime}[\mathrm{V}]-\left[\mathrm{V}^{\prime}\right]\right), \mathrm{W}\right)\right)_{\mathrm{X}^{\prime}}=\left[\mathrm{L} \Lambda^{n-c^{\prime}}\left(\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{X}, \mathrm{~W}}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{V}^{\prime} / \mathrm{X}^{\prime}, \mathrm{W}}^{\prime}\right)\right]
$$

in $\mathrm{F}_{d-n+c^{\prime}} \mathrm{G}\left(\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\prime} \times \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{X}^{\prime}} \Sigma\right)_{/ \mathcal{L}_{Z^{\prime}}}$.
Proof. - 1 . In the diagram (3.4.3.2), the schemes X and $\mathrm{P}^{\prime}$ are tor-independent over P . Since $\tilde{f}$ is of finite tor-dimension, the $\operatorname{map} f$ is also of finite tor-dimension.
2. The assertion is local on X . Recall that the diagram (3.4.3.2) defines a quasiisomorphism $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{X}, \mathrm{W}}^{\prime} \rightarrow\left[\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{P}} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{T}} \rightarrow \operatorname{Ker}\left(\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{P}} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{T}} \rightarrow \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{T} / \mathrm{W}}\right)\right.$ ] [26, Lemma 1.7.1] and similarly for $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{V}^{\prime} / \mathrm{X}^{\prime}, \mathrm{W}}^{\prime}$. Hence the excess conormal complexes $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{X}, \mathrm{W}}^{\prime}$ and $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{V}^{\prime} / \mathrm{X}^{\prime}, \mathrm{W}}^{\prime}$ satisfy the condition $\left(\mathrm{L}\left(n-c^{\prime}\right)\right)$ in Section 3.2.

The map $\mathrm{V}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{V} \times_{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{X}^{\prime}$ defined by the diagram (3.4.3.1) is an open immersion outside $\Sigma$. Hence the canonical map $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{X}, \mathrm{W}}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{V}^{\prime} / \mathrm{X}^{\prime}, \mathrm{W}}^{\prime}$ is a quasi-isomorphism on the complement $\mathrm{T} \backslash \mathrm{T} \times_{\mathrm{X}^{\prime}} \Sigma$ by the description of the excess conormal complex recalled above.

Let $\mathrm{Z}_{1}^{\prime} \subset \mathrm{T}$ be the closed subscheme defined by the annihilator ideal of $\Lambda^{n-\delta^{\prime}} \mathcal{H}_{0}\left(\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{V}^{\prime} / \mathrm{X}^{\prime}, \mathrm{W}}^{\prime}\right)$. By the assumption that the right square in (3.4.3.2) is Cartesian,
the canonical map $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{P}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}^{\prime}} \rightarrow \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{X}^{\prime} / \mathrm{P}^{\prime}}$ is an isomorphism. Hence the assumption that the mapping cone $\left[\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{X}, \mathrm{W}}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{V}^{\prime} / \mathrm{X}^{\prime}, \mathrm{W}}^{\prime}\right]$ is of tor-dimension $\leq 1$ is satisfied. Thus by Lemma 3.2.5, the homology sheaves $\mathcal{H}_{q}\left(\left[\mathrm{~L} \Lambda^{n-c^{\prime}} \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{X}, \mathrm{W}}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{L} \Lambda^{n-c^{\prime}} \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{V}^{\prime} / \mathrm{X}^{\prime}, \mathrm{W}}^{\prime}\right)\right]$ are $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Z}_{1}^{\prime}}-$ module for $q \geq 0$.

Since the diagram (3.4.3.2) defines an isomorphism $\left[\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{X}^{\prime} / \mathrm{P}^{\prime}} \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathrm{P}^{\prime} / \mathrm{S}}^{1} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{P}^{\prime}}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}^{\prime}}\right] \rightarrow$ $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{X}^{\prime} / \mathrm{S}}$, we have an inclusion $\mathrm{Z}_{1}^{\prime} \subset \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\prime}$ of closed subschemes of T . Hence the assertion follows.
3. The proof is similar to [26, Proposition 3.4.2] using Lemmas 3.4.1 and 3.4.2.

By 2, the right hand side of (3.4.3.4) is defined as an element of $\mathrm{F}_{d-n+c^{\prime}} \mathrm{G}\left(\mathrm{Z}_{\tilde{T}}^{\prime} \times \times_{\mathrm{x}^{\prime}} \Sigma\right)$. Since X and $\mathrm{P}^{\prime}$ are tor-independent over P , we see that the canonical map $\mathrm{L} \tilde{f}^{*} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{V}} \rightarrow$ $\mathrm{L} f^{*} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{V}}$ is a quasi-isomorphism. Hence, the complex $\mathcal{C}$ of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{x}^{\prime}}$-modules in Lemma 3.4.1 is acyclic outside $\Sigma$. We consider the spectral sequence (3.4.1.2) and will show the equality
(3.4.3.5)

$$
\sum_{p+q=r, r+1}(-1)^{p+q}\left[\mathrm{E}_{p, q}^{1}\right]=(-1)^{r}\left[\mathrm{E}_{r}\right]+(-1)^{r+1}\left[\mathrm{E}_{r+1}\right]
$$

for sufficiently large $r$. The right hand side of (3.4.3.5) is independent of sufficiently large $r$ by [26, Theorem 3.2.1] and defines the left hand side of (3.4.3.4).

We show that the left hand side of (3.4.3.5) equals the right hand side of (3.4.3.4). By [26, Lemma 3.4.1], the map $\lambda_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{X} / \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{W}}$ induces an isomorphism $\mathrm{L}_{p+1} \Lambda^{q+1} \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{X}, \mathrm{W}}^{\prime} \rightarrow$ $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{P}} \otimes \mathrm{L}_{p} \Lambda^{q} \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{X}, \mathrm{W}}^{\prime}$ for $q \geq n-c^{\prime}$ and similarly for $\lambda_{\mathrm{V}^{\prime} / \mathrm{X}^{\prime} / \mathrm{P}^{\prime}, \mathrm{W}}$. By the commutative diagram (3.4.2.6), it induces an isomorphism $\mathrm{E}_{p, q}^{1} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{Z^{\prime}} \otimes \mathrm{E}_{p+1, q-3}^{1}$ if $-(p+1) \geq n-c^{\prime}$. Hence, the left hand side of (3.4.3.5) is equal to $\sum_{q}(-1)^{-\left(n-c^{\prime}\right)+q}\left[\mathrm{E}_{-\left(n-c^{\prime}\right), q}^{1}\right]$ and to the right hand side of (3.4.3.4).

We show the equality (3.4.3.5) applying [26, Lemma 3.3.1]. Note that, in [26, Lemma 3.3.1], the map $\alpha_{r}$ is used only to show that the right hand side is independent of $r \geq r_{0}$ and that we can drop the compatibility assumption with the restriction $\left.\alpha_{r}\right|_{\text {TnU }}$ since it is not used in the proof. We apply [26, Lemma 3.3.1], to the maps (3.4.2.5) and [26, (3.1.3.1)] and the map (3.4.2.7). Then, by Lemma 3.4.2, the maps of $E^{1}$-terms of (3.4.2.7) are isomorphisms for sufficiently large $p+q$ and the assumption of [26, Lemma 3.3.1] is satisfied. Thus, we obtain the equality (3.4.3.5).

## 4. Intersection product with the log diagonal

From this section on, we fix a complete discrete valuation field K with perfect residue field F of characteristic $p>0$. We put $\mathrm{S}=\operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{K}}$ and $s=\operatorname{Spec} \mathrm{F}$. Both 0 and $p$ are allowed as the characteristic of K . A morphism of schemes over S is always a morphism over S.

We introduce in Section 4.3 the localized intersection product with the log diagonal by applying Definition 3.3.3. We establish an important property that the localized
intersection product with the log diagonal is independent of the boundary in Proposition 4.3.5. In preliminary subsections 4.1 and 4.2 , we study local structure of $\log$ products and the logarithmic cotangent complex respectively.
4.1. Log products. - In this subsection, we study the $\log$ self-product of a regular flat scheme X of finite type over $\mathrm{S}=\mathrm{Spec} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{K}}$ with respect to a divisor $\mathrm{D} \subset \mathrm{X}$ with simple normal crossings. We do not assume inclusion between $D$ and the closed fiber $X_{F}$. The case where $\mathrm{D}=\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{F}}$ and $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{K}}$ is smooth over K is treated in [26, Sections 5.1, 5.2]. First, we study the local structure of $\mathbf{X}$.

Lemma 4.1.1. - Let X be a regular flat scheme of finite type over S and D be a divisor with simple normal crossings. Then, for every point $x$ of X , there exist an open neighborhood U of $x$, a smooth scheme P over S , a divisor $\widetilde{\mathrm{D}}$ of P with simple normal crossings relatively to S and a regular immersion $\mathrm{U} \rightarrow \mathrm{P}$ of codimension 1 such that $\mathrm{D} \cap \mathrm{U}=\widetilde{\mathrm{D}} \times_{\mathrm{P}} \mathrm{U}$.

Proof. - It suffices to prove the cases where $x$ is a closed point of $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{F}}$ and of $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{K}}$ respectively. First, we show the case where $x$ is a closed point of $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{F}}$. Let $\mathrm{D}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{D}_{m}$ be the irreducible components of D containing $x$ and take $t_{1}, \ldots, t_{m} \in \mathfrak{m}_{x}$ defining $\mathrm{D}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{D}_{m}$ on a neighborhood of $x$. We extend it to a minimal system $t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n} \in \mathfrak{m}_{x}$ of generators. Then, the map $\mathrm{U} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{S}}^{n}$ defined by $t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}$ on an open neighborhood U of $x$ is unramified. Hence, after shrinking U if necessary, there is an étale scheme $\mathrm{P} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{S}}^{n}$ and a regular closed immersion $\mathrm{U} \rightarrow \mathrm{P}$ of codimension 1 such that $\mathrm{D} \cap \mathrm{U}$ is the sum of the pull-back of the first $m$ coordinate hyperplanes by [13, Corollaire (18.4.7)].

Next, we show the case where $x$ is a closed point of $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{K}}$. We take a minimal system $t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n} \in \mathfrak{m}_{x}$ of generators as above. There exists an element $t_{0} \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}, x}$ such that the residue field $\kappa(x)$ is a finite separable extension of $\mathrm{K}\left(\bar{t}_{0}\right)$ and that $\mathrm{K}\left(\bar{t}_{0}\right)$ is purely inseparable over K by Lemma 4.1.2 below. Then, the map $\mathrm{U} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{S}}^{n+1}$ defined by $t_{0}, t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}$ on an open neighborhood U of $x$ is unramified. Thus, we conclude similarly as above.

Lemma 4.1.2. - Let $k$ be a field of characteristic $p>0$ such that $\left[k: k^{p}\right]=p$. Then, for a finite extension L of $k$, there exists an integer $e \geq 0$ such that L is a separable extension of $k^{1 / p^{e}}$.

Proof. - Let $\mathrm{L}_{1}$ be the separable closure of $k$ in L and put $\left[\mathrm{L}: \mathrm{L}_{1}\right]=p^{e}$. Then since $\left[\mathrm{L}_{1}: \mathrm{L}_{1}^{p}\right]=\left[k: k^{p}\right]=p$, it follows that L is a unique purely inseparable extension $\mathrm{L}_{1}^{1 / p^{e}}$ of L of degree $p^{e}$ and is a separable extension of $k^{1 / p^{e}}$.

We show a relative version of Lemma 4.1.1.
Lemma 4.1.3. - Let X and Y be regular flat schemes of finite type over S and $f: \mathrm{Y} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}$ be a morphism over S . Let $\mathrm{D} \subset \mathrm{X}$ and $\mathrm{E} \subset \mathrm{Y}$ be divisors with simple normal crossings such that $\mathrm{Y} \backslash \mathrm{E} \subset f^{-1}(\mathrm{X} \backslash \mathrm{D})$. Let $y$ be a point of Y and we put $x=f(y) \in \mathrm{X}$.

Then, there exists open neighborhoods U and V of $x$ and y respectively satisfying $f(\mathrm{~V}) \subset \mathrm{U}$ and a Cartesian diagram
(4.1.3.1)

of schemes over S satisfying the following conditions:

- The schemes P and Q are smooth over S and $\widetilde{\mathrm{D}}=\sum_{i} \widetilde{\mathrm{D}}_{i} \subset \mathrm{P}$ and $\widetilde{\mathrm{E}}=\sum_{j} \widetilde{\mathrm{E}}_{j} \subset \mathrm{Q}$ are divisors with relative simple normal crossings relatively to S respectively. For each $i$, we have $\tilde{f}^{-1}\left(\widetilde{\mathrm{D}}_{i}\right)=\sum_{j} e_{i j} \widetilde{\mathrm{E}}_{j}$ for some integers $e_{i j} \geq 0$. The horizontal arrows are regular immersions of codimension 1 and $\mathrm{D} \cap \mathrm{U}=\widetilde{\mathrm{D}} \times_{\mathrm{P}} \mathrm{U}$ and $\mathrm{E} \cap \mathrm{V}=\widetilde{\mathrm{E}} \times_{\mathrm{Q}} \mathrm{V}$ as in Lemma 4.1.1.

Proof. - It suffices to prove the cases where $y$ is a closed point of $Y_{F}$ and of $Y_{K}$ respectively. First we prove the case where $y$ is a closed point of $\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{F}}$ and hence $x$ is a closed point of $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{F}}$. By the proof of Lemma 4.1.1, we obtain a diagram (4.1.3.1) without $\tilde{f}$ together with étale morphisms $\mathrm{P} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{S}}^{n}=\operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{K}}\left[\mathrm{T}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{~T}_{n}\right]$ and $\mathrm{Q} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{S}}^{n^{\prime}}=$ Spec $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{K}}\left[\mathrm{S}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{~S}_{n^{\prime}}\right]$ such that $\widetilde{\mathrm{D}}$ and $\widetilde{\mathrm{E}}$ are the pull-back of the first $m$ and $m^{\prime}$ coordinate hyperplanes respectively and that the maximal ideals $\mathfrak{m}_{x} \subset \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}, x}$ and $\mathfrak{m}_{y} \subset \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Y}, y}$ are generated by $t_{i}=\left.\mathrm{T}_{i}\right|_{\mathrm{U}}$ for $i=1, \ldots, n$ and $s_{j}=\left.\mathrm{S}_{j}\right|_{\mathrm{V}}$ for $j=1, \ldots, n^{\prime}$ respectively.

For $i=1, \ldots, m$, we put $f^{*} t_{i}=v_{i} \prod_{j} s_{j}^{t_{j}}$ for some units $v_{i}$ on V. For $i=m+1, \ldots, n$, we also put $f^{*} t_{i}=\sum_{j} a_{i j} s_{j}$ for some functions $a_{i j}$ on V. After shrinking Q , we take units $\tilde{v}_{i}$ on Q lifting $v_{i}$ and functions $\tilde{a}_{i j}$ on Q lifting $a_{i j}$ and define a map $g: ~ \mathrm{Q} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{S}}^{n}$ by sending $\mathrm{T}_{i}$ to $\tilde{v}_{i} \prod_{j} \mathrm{~S}_{j}^{\text {ij }^{j}}$ for $i=1, \ldots, m$ and to $\sum_{j} \tilde{a}_{i j} \mathrm{~S}_{j}$ for $i=m+1, \ldots, n$. By replacing $\mathbf{Q}$ by an étale neighborhood $\mathrm{Q} \times{ }_{\mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{S}}^{n}} \mathrm{P}$, we obtain a $\operatorname{map} \tilde{f}: \mathrm{Q} \rightarrow \mathrm{P}$ that makes (4.1.3.1) a commutative diagram.

The equalities $\tilde{f}^{-1}\left(\widetilde{\mathrm{D}}_{i}\right)=\sum_{j} e_{i j} \widetilde{\mathrm{E}}_{j}$ follow from the definition of $g$. We show that the diagram (4.1.3.1) thus obtained is Cartesian on a neighborhood of $y$. Let $\tilde{\mathfrak{m}}_{x} \subset \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{P}, x}$ and $\tilde{\mathfrak{m}}_{y} \subset \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Q}, y}$ be the maximal ideals. Since the horizontal arrows are regular immersions of codimension 1, it suffices to show that the canonical map $\tilde{f}^{*}: \tilde{\mathfrak{m}}_{x} / \tilde{\mathfrak{m}}_{x}^{2} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathfrak{m}}_{y} / \tilde{\mathfrak{m}}_{y}^{2}$ induces an isomorphism $\operatorname{Ker}\left(\tilde{\mathfrak{m}}_{x} / \tilde{\mathfrak{m}}_{x}^{2} \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}_{x} / \mathfrak{m}_{x}^{2}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Ker}\left(\tilde{\mathfrak{m}}_{y} / \tilde{\mathfrak{m}}_{y}^{2} \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}_{y} / \mathfrak{m}_{y}^{2}\right)$ on the subspaces of dimension 1. Since $\mathfrak{m}_{x} / \mathfrak{m}_{x}^{2}=\left\langle t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right\rangle$ and $\mathfrak{m}_{y} / \mathfrak{m}_{y}^{2}=\left\langle s_{1}, \ldots, s_{n^{\prime}}\right\rangle$, we have $\tilde{\mathfrak{m}}_{x} / \tilde{\mathfrak{m}}_{x}^{2}=\operatorname{Ker}\left(\tilde{\mathfrak{m}}_{x} / \tilde{\mathfrak{m}}_{x}^{2} \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}_{x} / \mathfrak{m}_{x}^{2}\right) \oplus\left\langle\mathrm{T}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{~T}_{n}\right\rangle$ and $\tilde{\mathfrak{m}}_{y} / \tilde{\mathfrak{m}}_{y}^{2}=\operatorname{Ker}\left(\tilde{\mathfrak{m}}_{y} / \tilde{\mathfrak{m}}_{y}^{2} \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}_{y} / \mathfrak{m}_{y}^{2}\right) \oplus$ $\left\langle\mathrm{S}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{~S}_{n^{\prime}}\right\rangle$. By the definition of $g$, the map $\tilde{f}^{*}$ sends the subspace $\left\langle\mathrm{T}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{~T}_{n}\right\rangle \subset$ $\tilde{\mathfrak{m}}_{x} / \tilde{\mathfrak{m}}_{x}^{2}$ into $\left\langle\mathrm{S}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{~S}_{n^{\prime}}\right\rangle \subset \tilde{\mathfrak{m}}_{y} / \tilde{\mathfrak{m}}_{y}^{2}$. Since $\pi, \mathrm{T}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{~T}_{n}$ and $\pi, \mathrm{S}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{~S}_{n^{\prime}}$ are bases of $\tilde{\mathfrak{m}}_{x} / \tilde{\mathfrak{m}}_{x}^{2}=\operatorname{Ker}\left(\tilde{\mathfrak{m}}_{x} / \tilde{\mathfrak{m}}_{x}^{2} \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}_{x} / \mathfrak{m}_{x}^{2}\right) \oplus\left\langle\mathrm{T}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{~T}_{n}\right\rangle$ and of $\tilde{\mathfrak{m}}_{y} / \tilde{\mathfrak{m}}_{y}^{2}$ respectively, the image of $\operatorname{Ker}\left(\tilde{\mathfrak{m}}_{x} / \tilde{\mathfrak{m}}_{x}^{2} \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}_{x} / \mathfrak{m}_{x}^{2}\right)$ is not in $\left\langle\mathrm{S}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{~S}_{n^{\prime}}\right\rangle$. Hence the map $\operatorname{Ker}\left(\tilde{\mathfrak{m}}_{x} / \tilde{\mathfrak{m}}_{x}^{2} \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}_{x} / \mathfrak{m}_{x}^{2}\right) \rightarrow$ $\operatorname{Ker}\left(\tilde{\mathfrak{m}}_{y} / \tilde{\mathfrak{m}}_{y}^{2} \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}_{y} / \mathfrak{m}_{y}^{2}\right)$ induced by $\tilde{f}^{*}$ is an isomorphism as required.

Next, we prove the case where $y$ is a closed point of $\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{K}}$ and hence $x$ is a closed point of $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{K}}$. Let $\pi$ be a prime element of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{K}}$. We may take $t_{0} \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}, x}$ and $s_{0} \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Y}, y}$ such
that $t_{0} \equiv \pi \bmod \mathfrak{m}_{x}$ and $s_{0} \equiv \pi \bmod \mathfrak{m}_{y}$ if K is of characteristic 0 and $t_{0}^{b^{a}} \equiv \pi \bmod \mathfrak{m}_{x}$ and $s_{0}^{p^{a+b}} \equiv \pi \bmod \mathfrak{m}_{y}$ for some integers $a \geq 0, b \geq 0$ and $\kappa(x)$ and $\kappa(y)$ are separable extensions over $\mathrm{K}\left(\bar{t}_{0}\right)$ and over $\mathrm{K}\left(\bar{s}_{0}\right)$ respectively if K is of characteristic $p>0$ by Lemma 4.1.2. Then, we obtain a diagram (4.1.3.1) without $\tilde{f}$ together with étale morphisms $\mathrm{P} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{S}}^{n+1}=\operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{K}}\left[\mathrm{T}_{0}, \mathrm{~T}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{~T}_{n}\right]$ and $\mathrm{Q} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{S}}^{n^{\prime}+1}=\operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{K}}\left[\mathrm{S}_{0}, \mathrm{~S}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{~S}_{n^{\prime}}\right]$ similarly as above. We put $f^{*} t_{0}=s_{0}^{b^{b}}+\sum_{j>0} a_{0 j} s_{j}$ and take liftings $\tilde{a}_{0 j}$ as above. By the same procedure for $i>0$ as above, we define a map $\tilde{f}: \mathrm{Q} \rightarrow \mathrm{P}$ that makes (4.1.3.1) a commutative diagram satisfying the equalities $\tilde{f}^{-1}\left(\widetilde{\mathrm{D}}_{i}\right)=\sum_{j} e_{i j} \widetilde{\mathrm{E}}_{j}$.

We show that the diagram (4.1.3.1) thus obtained is Cartesian on a neighborhood of $y$. By the definition of $\tilde{f}$, the map $\tilde{f}^{*}$ sends the subspace $\left\langle\mathrm{T}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{~T}_{n}\right\rangle \subset \tilde{\mathfrak{m}}_{x} / \tilde{\mathfrak{m}}_{x}^{2}$ into $\left\langle\mathrm{S}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{~S}_{n^{\prime}}\right\rangle \subset \tilde{\mathfrak{m}}_{y} / \tilde{\mathfrak{m}}_{y}^{2}$. Since $\mathrm{T}_{0}^{p^{a}}-\pi, \mathrm{T}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{~T}_{n}$ and $\mathrm{S}_{0}^{p^{a+b}}-\pi, \mathrm{S}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{~S}_{n^{\prime}}$ are bases of $\tilde{\mathfrak{m}}_{x} / \tilde{\mathfrak{m}}_{x}^{2}=\operatorname{Ker}\left(\tilde{\mathfrak{m}}_{x} / \tilde{\mathfrak{m}}_{x}^{2} \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}_{x} / \mathfrak{m}_{x}^{2}\right) \oplus\left\langle\mathrm{T}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{~T}_{n}\right\rangle$ and of $\tilde{\mathfrak{m}}_{y} / \tilde{\mathfrak{m}}_{y}^{2}$ respectively, the image of $\operatorname{Ker}\left(\tilde{\mathfrak{m}}_{x} / \tilde{\mathfrak{m}}_{x}^{2} \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}_{x} / \mathfrak{m}_{x}^{2}\right)$ is not in $\left\langle\mathrm{S}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{~S}_{n^{\prime}}\right\rangle$. This implies the required assertion as above.

Let X be a regular flat separated scheme of finite type over S and $\mathrm{D} \subset \mathrm{X}$ be a divisor with simple normal crossings. We consider the $\log$ product $\left(\mathrm{X} \times{ }_{S} \mathrm{X}\right)^{\sim}$ defined as $\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{S} \mathrm{X}\right)_{\mathcal{D}}^{\sim}$ with respect to the family $\mathcal{D}=\left(\mathrm{D}_{i}\right)_{i \in \mathrm{I}}$ of irreducible components of D .

Lemma 4.1.4. - Let X be a regular flat separated scheme of finite type over S and $\mathrm{D} \subset \mathrm{X}$ be a divisor with simple normal crossings.

Then, the log product $\left(\mathrm{X} \times{ }_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{X}\right)^{\sim}$ is locally a hypersurface (Definition 3.3.2) over X with respect to either of the projections.

Proof. - Let $x \in \mathrm{X}$ be a point, $\mathrm{U} \subset \mathrm{X}$ be an open neighborhood of $x$ and $\mathrm{U} \rightarrow \mathrm{P}$ be a regular immersion of codimension 1 to a smooth scheme P over S satisfying the condition in Lemma 4.1.1. We define the $\log$ product $\left(\mathrm{P} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{X}\right)^{\sim}$ similarly. Since the second projection $\left(\mathrm{P} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{X}\right)^{\sim} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}$ is $\log$ smooth and strict by Lemma 1.3.1, it is smooth. Hence the $\log$ product $\left(\mathrm{P} \times_{S} \mathrm{X}\right)^{\sim}$ is regular.

By the universality of log product, we have a Cartesian diagram
(4.1.4.1)


Hence the ideal defining the immersion $\left(\mathrm{U} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{X}\right)^{\sim} \rightarrow\left(\mathrm{P} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{X}\right)^{\sim}$ is locally monogenic. Thus, to conclude that $\left(\mathrm{U} \times_{S} \mathrm{X}\right)^{\sim}$ is a divisor of $\left(\mathrm{P} \times_{S} \mathrm{X}\right)^{\sim}$, it is sufficient to show that the immersion $\left(\mathrm{U} \times_{S} \mathrm{X}\right)^{\sim} \rightarrow\left(\mathrm{P} \times_{S} \mathrm{X}\right)^{\sim}$ is nowhere dominant. Thus, it is reduced to the case where D is empty. In this case, $\mathrm{U} \times_{S} \mathrm{X}$ is a divisor of $\mathrm{P} \times{ }_{S} \mathrm{X}$ since X is flat over S .

Corollary 4.1.5. - Let X and Y be regular flat separated schemes of finite type and $\mathrm{D} \subset \mathrm{X}$ and $\mathrm{E} \subset \mathrm{Y}$ be divisors with simple normal crossings. Let $f: \mathrm{Y} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}$ be a morphism over S satisffing $f^{-1}(\mathrm{D}) \subset \mathrm{E}$ set-theoretically.

Then, the map $(f \times f)^{\sim}:\left(\mathrm{Y} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{Y}\right)^{\sim} \rightarrow\left(\mathrm{X} \times{ }_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{X}\right)^{\sim}$ is locally of complete intersection and hence is of finite tor-dimension.

Proof. - Since the assertion is local, we may take a Cartesian diagram (4.1.3.1). By the Cartesian diagram (4.1.4.1) and the corresponding one for Y , the diagram
(4.1.5.1)

is Cartesian. Since $\left(\mathrm{P} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{X}\right)^{\sim}$ is smooth over X and $\left(\mathrm{Q} \times{ }_{S} \mathrm{Y}\right)^{\sim}$ is smooth over Y , they are regular. Hence the bottom horizontal arrow $(\tilde{f} \times f)^{\sim}$ is locally of complete intersection. Since the vertical arrows are regular immersion of codimension 1 and the diagram is Cartesian, $\left(\mathrm{U} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{X}\right)^{\sim}$ and $\left(\mathrm{Q} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{Y}\right)^{\sim}$ are tor-independent over $\left(\mathrm{P} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{X}\right)^{\sim}$. Hence the top arrow $\left(f_{V} \times f\right)^{\sim}$ is locally of complete intersection of the same virtual relative dimension.

We study the local structure of the log product $\left(\mathrm{X} \times{ }_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{X}\right)^{\sim}$ inductively on the number of irreducible components of D . Let $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{D}$ and the $\log$ product $\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{X}\right)^{\sim}$ be as in the beginning of this subsection. Let $X_{1}$ be a regular divisor of $X$ such that $D_{1}=D \cap X_{1}$ is a divisor of $X_{1}$ with simple normal crossings. Let $\mathcal{D}=\left(D_{i}\right)_{i \in \mathrm{I}}$ be the family of irreducible components of D and we consider the family $\mathcal{D}_{1}=\left(\mathrm{D}_{i} \cap \mathrm{X}_{1}\right)_{i \in \mathrm{I}}$ of smooth divisors of $\mathrm{X}_{1}$. Then, the $\log$ product $\left(\mathrm{X}_{1} \times_{S} \mathrm{X}_{1}\right)^{\sim}$ with respect to $\mathcal{D}_{1}$ is identified with the inverse image of $\mathrm{X}_{1} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{X}_{1}$ by the canonical map $\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{X}\right)^{\sim} \rightarrow \mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{X}$.

The sum $\mathrm{D}^{\prime}=\mathrm{D} \cup \mathrm{X}_{1}$ is a divisor of X with simple normal crossings. We consider the $\log$ product $\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{S} \mathrm{X}\right)^{\approx}$ with respect to $\mathrm{D}^{\prime}$. By the inductive construction of the log product, we have a canonical isomorphism $\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{X}\right) \approx \rightarrow\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{X}\right)^{\sim} \times_{\mathrm{X}_{\times_{S} \mathrm{X}}}\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{X}\right)_{\mathrm{X}_{1}}$. The inverse image E of $\left(\mathrm{X}_{1} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{X}_{1}\right)^{\sim}$ by the canonical map $\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{X}\right)^{\approx} \rightarrow\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{X}\right)^{\sim}$ is a $\mathbf{G}_{m}$-torsor over $\left(\mathrm{X}_{1} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{X}_{1}\right)^{\sim}$ by Lemma 1.3.2. They are summarized in the Cartesian diagram
(4.1.5.2)

where the vertical arrows are closed immersions. The morphism ( $\left.\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{X}\right)^{\approx} \rightarrow\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{S}}\right.$ $\mathrm{X})^{\sim}$ is an isomorphism on the complements of E and $\left(\mathrm{X}_{1} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{X}_{1}\right)^{\sim}$. The subscheme
$\mathrm{E} \subset\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{X}\right) \approx$ is the inverse image of $\mathrm{X}_{1} \subset \mathrm{X}$ by the composition of the canonical map $\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{X}\right) \approx \mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{X}$ with either of the projections $\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}$.

To understand the local structure of the log product, it suffices to study it on a neighborhood of E by the inductive construction of the $\log$ product.

Lemma 4.1.6. - Let the notations $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{X}_{1}, \mathrm{E}$ etc. be as above.

1. Assume $\mathrm{X}_{1}$ is flat over S . Then the immersion $\left(\mathrm{X}_{1} \times \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{S}}\right)^{\sim} \rightarrow\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{X}\right)^{\sim}$ is a regular immersion of codimension 2 and E is a Cartier divisor of $\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{X}\right)^{\approx}$.
2. Assume $\mathrm{X}_{1}$ is a subscheme of the closed fiber $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{F}}$ and put $d=\operatorname{dim} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{F}}$. Then the scheme $\left(\mathrm{X}_{1} \times_{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{X}_{1}\right)^{\sim}$ is smooth of dimension 2d over F .

Proof. - 1. The immersion $\left(\mathrm{X}_{1} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{X}_{1}\right)^{\sim} \rightarrow\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{X}\right)^{\sim}$ is locally of complete intersection by Corollary 4.1.5. Hence, the assertion follows from Lemma 3.1.8.
2. Since the projections $\left(\mathrm{X}_{1} \times_{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{X}_{1}\right)^{\sim} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}_{1}$ are smooth of relative dimension $d$, the assertion follows.

We show some tor-independences (Definition 3.1.1.1). Its consequences Corollary 4.1.8.1 and 4.1.8.2 will be used in the proof of Propositions 6.1.1 and 6.1.2 respectively.

Lemma 4.1.7. - Let X and Y be regular flat separated schemes over S of finite type and $f: \mathrm{Y} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}$ be a morphism over S . Let $\mathrm{D} \subset \mathrm{X}$ be a regular divisor such that $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{Y}}=\mathrm{D} \times \mathrm{X} \mathrm{Y}$ is a divisor of Y and let $\mathrm{D}^{\prime}$ be a divisor of Y with simple normal crossings. We assume that either both D and $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{Y}}$ are flat over S or they are schemes over F .

1. The fiber products $\mathrm{D} \times{ }_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{D}$ and $\mathrm{Y} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{Y}$ are tor-independent over $\mathrm{X} \times{ }_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{X}$.
2. Let $\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{X}\right)^{\sim}$ and $\left(\mathrm{Y} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{Y}\right)^{\sim}$ be the $\log$ product with respect to D and $\mathrm{D}^{\prime}$ respectively. Assume that $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{Y}}=\mathrm{D} \times_{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{Y}$ is a subset of $\mathrm{D}^{\prime}$ set-theoretically. Further assume that either D and $\mathrm{D}^{\prime}$ are flat over S or D and $\mathrm{D}^{\prime}$ are schemes over F .

Let $\mathrm{E} \subset\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{X}\right)^{\sim}$ be the pull-back of $\mathrm{D} \subset \mathrm{X}$ by either of the two projections. Then E and $\left(\mathrm{Y} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{Y}\right)^{\sim}$ are tor-independent over $\left(\mathrm{X} \times \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{X}\right)^{\sim}$.

Proof. - 1. By Lemma 3.1.2, it suffices to show that $\mathrm{D} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{D}$ and $\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{Y}$ are tor-independent over $\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{X}$ and that $\mathrm{D} \times{ }_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{Y}}$ and $\mathrm{Y} \times{ }_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{Y}$ are tor-independent over $\mathrm{X} \times \mathrm{S}$ Y.

By the assumption that $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{Y}}=\mathrm{D} \times_{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{Y}$ is a divisor of Y , it follows that D and Y are tor-independent over X. Either if D is flat over S or if D is a scheme over F, the fiber product $\mathrm{D} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{D}$ is flat over D . Hence $\mathrm{D} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{D}$ and Y are tor-independent over X with respect to the second projection $\mathrm{D} \times{ }_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{D} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}$ by Lemma 3.1.2. Thus, by applying Lemma 3.1.2 to $\mathrm{Y} \rightarrow \mathrm{X} \leftarrow \mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{X} \leftarrow \mathrm{D} \times{ }_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{D}$, we conclude that $\mathrm{D} \times{ }_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{D}$ and $\mathrm{X} \times{ }_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{Y}$ are tor-independent over $\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{X}$.

Similarly, either if $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{Y}}$ is flat over S or if D and $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{Y}}$ are both schemes over F , the fiber product $\mathrm{D} \times{ }_{S} \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{Y}}$ is flat over D . Hence $\mathrm{D} \times{ }_{S} \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{Y}}$ and Y are tor-independent over

X with respect to the first projection $\mathrm{D} \times{ }_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{Y}} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}$ by Lemma 3.1.2. Thus, by applying Lemma 3.1.2 to $\mathrm{Y} \rightarrow \mathrm{X} \leftarrow \mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{Y} \leftarrow \mathrm{D} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{D}$, we conclude that $\mathrm{D} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{Y}}$ and $\mathrm{Y} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{Y}$ are tor-independent over $\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{Y}$ as required.
2. First, we show the case where D and $\mathrm{D}^{\prime}$ are flat over S . By Lemma 4.1.6.1, E is a divisor of $\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{X}\right)^{\sim}$. Since every $\mathrm{D}_{i}^{\prime}$ is flat over S in this case, the pull-back $\mathrm{E}_{i}^{\prime} \subset\left(\mathrm{Y} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{Y}\right)^{\sim}$ of an irreducible component $\mathrm{D}_{i}^{\prime}$ of $\mathrm{D}^{\prime}$ by either of the two projections $\left(\mathrm{Y} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{Y}\right)^{\sim} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}$ is also a divisor. Hence, if $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{Y}}=\sum_{i} e_{i} \mathrm{D}_{i}^{\prime}$, the pull-back $(f \times f)^{\sim *} \mathrm{E}=$ $\sum_{i} e_{i} \mathrm{E}_{i}^{\prime}$ is also a divisor and the assertion follows in this case.

Assume $\mathrm{D}=\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{F}}$. Since the assertion is local, we may take a Cartesian diagram (4.1.3.1) satisfying the condition in Lemma 4.1 .3 and we consider the diagram (4.1.5.1). By applying Lemma 3.1.2 to $\mathrm{U} \rightarrow \mathrm{P} \leftarrow\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{P}\right)^{\sim} \leftarrow\left(\mathrm{Y} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{D}\right)^{\sim}$, we conclude that the schemes $\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{U}\right)^{\sim}$ and $\left(\mathrm{Y} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{Q}\right)^{\sim}$ are tor-independent over $\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{P}\right)^{\sim}$. Since $\mathrm{E} \cap\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{U}\right)^{\sim}$ is a divisor of $\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{P}\right)^{\sim}$ and $(f \times f)^{\sim *} \mathrm{E} \cap\left(\mathrm{Y} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{V}\right)^{\sim}$ is a divisor of $\left(\mathrm{Y} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{Q}\right)^{\sim}$, the schemes $\mathrm{E} \cap\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{U}\right)^{\sim}$ and $\left(\mathrm{Y} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{Q}\right)^{\sim}$ are tor-independent over $\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{P}\right)^{\sim}$. Then, applying Lemma 3.1.2 to $\left(\mathrm{Y} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{D}\right)^{\sim} \rightarrow\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{P}\right)^{\sim} \leftarrow\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{U}\right)^{\sim} \leftarrow$ $\mathrm{E} \cap\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{U}\right)^{\sim}$, we conclude that $\mathrm{E} \cap\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{U}\right)^{\sim}$ and $\left(\mathrm{Y} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{V}\right)^{\sim}$ are tor-independent over $\left(\mathrm{X} \times \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{U}\right)^{\sim}$.

Corollary 4.1.8. - Let the notation be as in Lemma 4.1.7 and we put $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{Y}}=\mathrm{D} \times{ }_{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{Y}=$ $\sum_{i} e_{i} \mathrm{D}_{i}^{\prime}$ and $c=\operatorname{dim} \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{K}}-\operatorname{dim} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{K}}$.

1. Let $f_{i}: \mathrm{D}_{i}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{D}$ be the restriction off $: \mathrm{Y} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}$ and $\left(f_{i} \times f_{j}\right)^{*}: \mathrm{G}\left(\mathrm{D} \times{ }_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{D}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{G}\left(\mathrm{D}_{i}^{\prime} \times{ }_{\mathrm{S}}\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{D}_{j}^{\prime}\right)$ be the pull-back. Then, the map $(f \times f)^{*}: \mathrm{Gr}_{\bullet}^{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{G}\left(\mathrm{D} \times{ }_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{D}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{Gr}_{\bullet+2 c}^{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{G}\left(\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{Y}} \times{ }_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{Y}}\right)$ defined by $f \times f: \mathrm{Y} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{Y} \rightarrow \mathrm{X} \times{ }_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{X}$ is the composition of

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{Gr}_{\bullet}^{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{G}\left(\mathrm{D} \times{ }_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{D}\right) \xrightarrow{\left(\left(f_{i} \times x_{j}\right)^{*}\right)_{i j}} \bigoplus_{i, j} \mathrm{Gr}_{\bullet+2 c}^{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{G}\left(\mathrm{D}_{i}^{\prime} \times{ }_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{D}_{j}^{\prime}\right) \\
& \xrightarrow{\sum_{i, j} e_{i} \varphi_{j} \cdot \mathrm{can}} \\
& \mathrm{Gr}_{\bullet+2 c}^{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{G}\left(\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{Y}} \times{ }_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{Y}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

2. We put $\mathrm{E}^{\prime}=\mathrm{E} \times\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{X}\right)^{\sim}\left(\mathrm{Y} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{Y}\right)^{\sim}$ and let $\mathrm{E}_{i}^{\prime} \subset\left(\mathrm{Y} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{Y}\right)^{\sim}$ be the pull-back of $\mathrm{D}_{i}^{\prime} \subset \mathrm{Y}$ by either of the projections. Then, the restriction $g_{i}: \mathrm{E}_{i}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{E}$ of $(f \times f)^{\sim}:\left(\mathrm{Y} \times{ }_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{Y}\right)^{\sim} \rightarrow\left(\mathrm{X} \times \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{X}\right)^{\sim}$ is of finite tor-dimension. Further, the map $(f \times f)^{\sim *}: \mathrm{Gr}_{\bullet}^{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{E}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Gr}_{\bullet+2 c}^{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{G}\left(\mathrm{E}^{\prime}\right)$ defined by $(f \times$ $f)^{\sim}:\left(\mathrm{Y} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{Y}\right)^{\sim} \rightarrow\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{X}\right)^{\sim}$ is the composition of

$$
\mathrm{Gr}_{\bullet}^{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{E}) \xrightarrow{\left(g_{i}^{*}\right)_{i}} \bigoplus_{i} \mathrm{Gr}_{\bullet+2 c}^{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{G}\left(\mathrm{E}_{i}^{\prime}\right) \xrightarrow{\sum_{i} e_{i} \mathrm{can}} \mathrm{Gr}_{\bullet+2 c}^{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{G}\left(\mathrm{E}^{\prime}\right) .
$$

Proof. - 1. By Corollary 4.1.5 applied to $\left(\amalg_{i} \mathrm{D}_{i}^{\prime}\right) \times_{\mathrm{S}}\left(\mathrm{U}_{i} \mathrm{D}_{i}^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{D} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{D}$ with the trivial divisor, the map $f_{i} \times f_{j}: \mathrm{D}_{i}^{\prime} \times \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{S}}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{D} \times{ }_{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{D}$ is of finite tor-dimension. Hence the map $\left(f_{i} \times f_{j}\right)^{*}: \mathrm{G}\left(\mathrm{D} \times{ }_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{D}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{G}\left(\mathrm{D}_{i}^{\prime} \times{ }_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{D}_{j}^{\prime}\right)$ is defined. Let $f_{\mathrm{D}}: \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{Y}} \rightarrow \mathrm{D}$ be the base change of $f$. Then, by Lemma 4.1.7.1, the map $(f \times f)^{*}: \mathrm{G}\left(\mathrm{D} \times{ }_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{D}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{G}\left(\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{Y}} \times{ }_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{Y}}\right)$ is the same as the pull-back by $f_{\mathrm{D}} \times f_{\mathrm{D}}: \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{Y}} \times{ }_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{Y}} \rightarrow \mathrm{D} \times{ }_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{D}$. By the assumption, either
every $\mathrm{D}_{i}^{\prime}$ is flat over S or is a scheme over F . Hence, there exists a filtration on $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{Y} \times{ }_{S} D_{\mathrm{Y}}}}$ such that graded pieces are invertible $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{D}_{i}^{\prime} \times \mathrm{s}_{j}^{\prime}}$-modules with multiplicities $e_{i} e_{j}$. Thus the assertion follows.
2. Both $\mathrm{E}_{i}^{\prime}$ and $\mathrm{E} \times_{\mathrm{D} \times{ }_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{D}}\left(\mathrm{D}_{i}^{\prime} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{D}_{i}^{\prime}\right)^{\sim}$ are $\mathbf{G}_{m}$-torsors over $\left(\mathrm{D}_{i}^{\prime} \times{ }_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{D}_{i}^{\prime}\right)^{\sim}$. The map $\mathrm{E}_{i}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{E} \times_{\mathrm{D} \times \mathrm{s} \mathrm{D}}\left(\mathrm{D}_{i}^{\prime} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{D}_{i}^{\prime}\right)^{\sim}$ induced by $g_{i}: \mathrm{E}_{i}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{E}$ is compatible with the $e_{i}$-th power map of $\mathbf{G}_{m}$ and is flat. Hence, the map $g_{i}$ is of finite tor-dimension by Corollary 4.1.5. By Lemma 4.1.7.2, the map $(f \times f)^{\sim *}: \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{E}) \rightarrow \mathrm{G}\left(\mathrm{E}^{\prime}\right)$ is the same as the pull-back by the restriction $g_{\mathrm{E}}: \mathrm{E}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{E}$. Since there exists a filtration on $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{E}^{\prime}}$ such that graded pieces are invertible $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{E}_{i}^{\prime}}$-modules with multiplicities $e_{i}$, the assertion follows.
4.2. Logarithmic cotangent complex. - We define a logarithmic version of the cotangent complex.

Definition 4.2.1. - Let X be a regular flat separated scheme of finite type over $\mathrm{S}=\operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{K}}$ and D be a divisor of X with simple normal crossings. We put $n=\operatorname{dim} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{K}}+1$. Let $\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{X}\right)^{\sim}$ denote the $\log$ product with respect to the family $\left(\mathrm{D}_{i}\right)$ of Cartier divisors consisting of the irreducible components of the divisor D . We regard X as a closed subscheme of $\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{X}\right)^{\sim}$ by the $\log$ diagonal map $\delta: \mathrm{X} \rightarrow\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{X}\right)^{\sim}$.

Define the logarithmic cotangent complex $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{x} / \mathrm{s}}(\log \mathrm{D})$ to be the conormal complex $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{X} /(\mathrm{X} \times \mathrm{S} \mathrm{X})^{\sim}}=\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{X} /\left(\mathrm{X} \times \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{X}}\right)^{\sim}}[1]$ and a coherent $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}}$-module $\Omega_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}}^{1}(\log \mathrm{D})$ to be the conormal sheaf $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{X} /\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{X}\right)^{\sim}}=\mathcal{H}_{0}\left(\mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}}(\log \mathrm{D})\right)$. Define a closed subscheme $\Sigma_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{s}}$ of X to be that defined by the annihilator of the $n$-th exterior power $\Omega_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{s}}^{n}(\log \mathrm{D})=\Lambda^{n} \Omega_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{s}}^{1}(\log \mathrm{D})$.

If the characteristic of K is 0 , the coherent sheaf $\Omega_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}}^{1}(\log \mathrm{D})$ is locally free of rank $n-1$ on the generic fiber and hence $\Sigma_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}}$ is supported on the closed fiber set-theoretically.

Since the $\log$ diagonal map $\delta: \mathrm{X} \rightarrow\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{X}\right)^{\sim}$ is a section of the projection $\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{X}\right)^{\sim} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}$, the pull-back $\mathrm{L} \delta^{*} \mathrm{~L}_{\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{X}\right)^{\sim} / \mathrm{X}}$ of the cotangent complex [14] is canonically identified with the conormal complex $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{X} /(\mathrm{X} \times \mathrm{S} \mathrm{X})^{\sim}}$ [26, Definition 1.6.3.1] and hence with the logarithmic cotangent complex $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}}(\log \mathrm{D})$.

Lemma 4.2.2. - Let X be a regular flat separated scheme of finite type over S and D be a divisor with simple normal crossings.

1. Let U be an open subscheme of X and $\mathrm{U} \rightarrow \mathrm{P}$ be a regular immersion of codimension 1 into a smooth scheme P over S satisfying $\mathrm{D} \cap \mathrm{U}=\widetilde{\mathrm{D}} \times_{\mathrm{P}} \mathrm{U}$ as in Lemma 4.1.1. Then, we have a quasi-isomorphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left[\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{U} / \mathrm{P}} \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathrm{P} / \mathrm{S}}^{1}(\log \tilde{\mathrm{D}}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{P}}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{U}}\right] \rightarrow \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}}(\log \mathrm{D})\right|_{\mathrm{U}} \tag{4.2.2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consequently, the logarithmic cotangent complex $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{s}}(\log \mathrm{D})$ satisfies the condition $(\mathrm{L}(n))$ in Section 3.2 and we have an exact sequence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{U} / \mathrm{P}} \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathrm{P} / \mathrm{S}}^{1}(\log \widetilde{\mathrm{D}}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{P}}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{U}} \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathrm{U} / \mathrm{S}}^{1}(\log \mathrm{D}) \rightarrow 0 \tag{4.2.2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

2. Let $\mathrm{D}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{D}_{m}$ be the irreducible components of D . Then, we have a distinguished triangle
(4.2.2.3) $\rightarrow \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{s}} \rightarrow \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}}(\log \mathrm{D}) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^{m} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{D}_{i}} \rightarrow$
and consequently an exact sequence
(4.2.2.4) $\quad 0 \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}}^{1} \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{s}}^{1}(\log \mathrm{D}) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^{m} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{D}_{i}} \rightarrow 0$.

Proof. - 1. The distinguished triangle (3.3.0.1) for the immersions $\mathrm{U} \rightarrow$ $\left(\mathrm{U} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{X}\right)^{\sim} \rightarrow\left(\mathrm{U} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{P}\right)^{\sim}$ defines a distinguished triangle

$$
\left.\delta\right|_{\mathrm{U}} ^{*} \mathrm{~N}_{\left(\mathrm{U} \times{ }_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{X}\right)^{\sim} /\left(\mathrm{U} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{P}\right)^{\sim}} \rightarrow \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{U} /(\mathrm{U} \times \mathrm{S} \mathrm{P})^{\sim}} \rightarrow \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{U} /\left(\mathrm{U} \times{ }_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{X}\right)^{\sim}} \rightarrow
$$

Since $\mathrm{U} \rightarrow\left(\mathrm{U} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{P}\right)^{\sim}$ is a section of the smooth morphism $\left(\mathrm{U} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{P}\right)^{\sim} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}$, the isomorphism $\operatorname{pr}_{2}^{*} \Omega_{\mathrm{P} / \mathrm{S}}^{1}(\log \widetilde{\mathrm{D}}) \rightarrow \Omega_{\left(\mathrm{U} \times{ }_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{P}\right)^{\sim} / \mathrm{U}}^{1}$ induces a canonical isomorphism $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{U} /\left(\mathrm{U} \times{ }_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{P}\right)^{\sim}} \rightarrow$ $\Omega_{\mathrm{P} / \mathrm{S}}^{1}(\log \widetilde{\mathrm{D}}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{P}}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{U}}$. By the Cartesian diagram (4.1.4.1), the canonical map $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{U} / \mathrm{P}} \rightarrow$ $\left.\delta\right|_{\mathrm{U}} ^{*} \mathrm{~N}_{(\mathrm{U} \times \mathrm{S} \mathrm{X}) \sim /(\mathrm{U} \times \mathrm{s} \mathrm{P}) \sim}$ is an isomorphism. Thus the assertion follows.
2. The distinguished triangle (3.3.0.1) for $\mathrm{X} \rightarrow\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{X}\right)^{\sim} \rightarrow \mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{X}$ defines a distinguished triangle
(4.2.2.5) $\quad \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}} \rightarrow \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}}(\log \mathrm{D}) \rightarrow \mathrm{L}^{*} \mathrm{~L}_{\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{X}\right)^{\sim} / \mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{X}} \rightarrow$.

Let $\mathrm{E}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{E}_{m}$ be the inverse images by either of the two projections $\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{X}\right)^{\sim} \rightarrow$ X . Then, we have a canonical isomorphism $\Omega_{\left(\mathrm{X} \times{ }_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{X}\right) \sim / \mathrm{X} \times{ }_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{X}}^{1} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^{m} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{E}_{i}}$. It suffices to show that this induces an isomorphism $\mathrm{L} \delta^{*} \mathrm{~L}_{(\mathrm{X} \times \mathrm{S} \mathrm{X})} \sim / \mathrm{X} \times{ }_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{X} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^{m} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{E}_{i}}$. The assertion is local on X . By comparing the isomorphism (4.2.2.1) with the isomorphism $\left[\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{U} / \mathrm{P}} \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathrm{P} / \mathrm{S}}^{1} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{P}}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{U}}\right] \rightarrow \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{U} / \mathrm{S}}$, the distinguished triangle (4.2.2.5) implies that $\mathrm{L} \delta^{*} \mathrm{~L}_{\left(\mathrm{X} \times{ }_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{X}\right)^{\sim} / \mathrm{X} \times{ }_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{X}} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^{m} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{E}_{i}}$ is an isomorphism.

If there exists a dense open subscheme of X smooth over S , the coherent $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}}{ }^{-}$ module $\Omega_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}}^{1}(\log \mathrm{D})$ is locally free of rank $n-1$ on it and the first map $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{U} / \mathrm{P}} \rightarrow$ $\Omega_{\mathrm{P} / \mathrm{S}}^{1}(\log \widetilde{\mathrm{D}}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{P}}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{U}}$ in (4.2.2.2) is an injection.

On an open subscheme $\mathrm{U} \subset \mathrm{X}$ with a regular immersion $\mathrm{U} \rightarrow \mathrm{P}$ as in Lemma 4.1.1, if $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}$ is a basis of $\Omega_{\mathrm{P} / \mathrm{S}}^{1}(\log \widetilde{\mathrm{D}}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{P}}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{U}}$ and if $a_{1} e_{1}+\cdots+a_{n} e_{n}$ is the image of a basis of $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{U} / \mathrm{P}}$, then the restriction $\left.\Omega_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}}^{n}(\log \mathrm{D})\right|_{\mathrm{U}}$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{U}} /\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)$ and hence the annihilator ideal Ann $\left.\Omega_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}}^{n}(\log \mathrm{D})\right|_{\mathrm{U}} \subset \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{U}}$ is generated by $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}$.

We study the logarithmic cotangent complex $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}}(\log \mathrm{D})$ more in detail. First, we consider the case where there exists a dense open subscheme of X smooth over S .

Lemma 4.2.3. - We put $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{F}}=\sum_{i} l_{i} \mathrm{D}_{i}$ as a divisor of X and define a Cartier divisor $\mathrm{D}^{\prime}$ of X by $\mathrm{D}^{\prime}=\sum_{\mathrm{D}_{i} \subset \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{F}}, \mathrm{D}_{i} \subset \mathrm{D}} l_{i} \mathrm{D}_{i}$. We put $\mathrm{Z}=\Sigma_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{s}}$ and let $i: \mathrm{Z} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}$ be the closed immersion. We
also put $n=\operatorname{dim} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{K}}+1$. Assume that there exists a dense open subscheme of X smooth over S . Then, we have the following.

1. There exists a unique $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}}$-linear map

$$
\cdot d \log \pi: \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{D}^{\prime}}\left(\mathrm{D}^{\prime}-\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{F}}\right)=\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{D}^{\prime}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}}} \mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{F}}-\mathrm{D}^{\prime}} \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}}^{1}(\log \mathrm{D})
$$

sending a local generator $g$ of the ideal $\mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{F}}-\mathrm{D}^{\prime}} \subset \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}}$ to $d g+g \cdot d \log (\pi / g)$ for a prime element $\pi$ of K . The map $\cdot d \log \pi$ is independent of the choice of a uniformizer $\pi$.
2. The map $\cdot d \log \pi: \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{D}^{\prime}}\left(\mathrm{D}^{\prime}-\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{F}}\right) \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}}^{1}(\log \mathrm{D})$ is an injection and the cokernel $\Omega_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}}^{1}(\log \mathrm{D}) / \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{D}^{\prime}}\left(\mathrm{D}^{\prime}-\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{F}}\right)$ is an $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}}$-module of tor-dimension $\leq 1$.
3. The $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Z}}$-module $\mathrm{L}_{1} i^{*} \Omega_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}}^{1}(\log \mathrm{D})$ is invertible. For a normal scheme W over F and a morphism $\varphi: \mathrm{W} \rightarrow \mathrm{Z}$ over S and for the pull-back $\varphi^{*} \mathrm{~L}_{1} i^{*} \Omega_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}}^{1}(\log \mathrm{D})$, there exists a canonical isomorphism

$$
\mathrm{N}_{s / \mathrm{s}} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{W}} \rightarrow \varphi^{*} \mathrm{~L}_{1} \imath^{*} \Omega_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}}^{1}(\log \mathrm{D})
$$

of trivial invertible $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{W}}$-modules, where $\mathrm{N}_{s / \mathrm{S}}$ denotes the conormal sheaf $\mathfrak{m}_{\mathrm{K}} / \mathfrak{m}_{\mathrm{K}}^{2}$ of the closed point $s$ of S .

The complement $X \backslash Z$ is the largest open subscheme of $X$ smooth over $S$, which is assumed to be dense in X . In the case $\mathrm{X} \backslash \mathrm{D} \subset \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{K}}$, we have $\mathrm{D}^{\prime}=\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{F}}$ and the cokernel $\Omega_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}}^{1}(\log \mathrm{D}) / \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{F}}}$ will be denoted by $\Omega_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}}^{1}(\log \mathrm{D} / \log \mathrm{F})$.

Proof. - 1. The local section $d \log (\pi / g)$ of $\Omega_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}}^{1}(\log \mathrm{D})$ is independent of the choice of a prime element $\pi$. We have $d(u g)+(u g) \cdot d \log (\pi / u g)=u(d g+g \cdot d \log (\pi / g))+$ $g(d u-u d \log u)$ for a unit $u$ and the last term is 0 . Hence the $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}}$-linear map $d \log \pi \cdot$ : $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}}\left(\mathrm{D}^{\prime}-\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{F}}\right) \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}}^{1}(\log \mathrm{D})$ is well-defined.

Since $(\pi / g)(d g+g \cdot d \log (\pi / g))=(\pi / g) d g+g d(\pi / g)=d \pi=0$, it induces $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{D}^{\prime}}\left(\mathrm{D}^{\prime}-\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{F}}\right) \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}}^{1}(\log \mathrm{D})$.
2. For the injectivity, it suffices to show it at the generic point of each irreducible component $\mathrm{D}_{i}$ of $\mathrm{D}^{\prime}$. Hence, we may assume $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{K}}=\mathrm{X} \backslash \mathrm{D}$. Then, it follows from [26, Lemma 5.3.4.2].

We show that $\Omega_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}}^{1}(\log \mathrm{D}) / \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{D}^{\prime}}\left(\mathrm{D}^{\prime}-\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{F}}\right)$ is of tor-dimension $\leq 1$. Since the question is local, we take an immersion $\mathrm{U} \rightarrow \mathrm{P}$ as in Lemma 4.1.1. Let $\tilde{g}$ be a function on P lifting $g=\pi / \prod_{i} t_{i}^{t_{i}}$. Then, on a neighborhood of U , the divisor $\mathrm{U} \subset \mathrm{P}$ is defined by an equation $\pi=\tilde{g} \cdot \prod_{i} \mathrm{~T}_{i}^{i}$. Hence, the image of $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{U} / \mathrm{P}} \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathrm{P} / \mathrm{S}}^{1}(\log \widetilde{\mathrm{D}}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{P}}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{U}}$ is generated by $d\left(\tilde{g} \cdot \prod_{i} \mathrm{~T}_{i}^{l}\right)$. Since the image of the section $\left(\prod_{i} t_{i}^{l_{i}}\right)^{-1} d\left(\tilde{g} \cdot \prod_{i} \mathrm{~T}_{i}^{l}\right)=d \tilde{g}+\tilde{g} \cdot \sum_{i} l_{i} d \log \mathrm{~T}_{i}$ of $\Omega_{\mathrm{P} / \mathrm{S}}^{1}(\log \widetilde{\mathrm{D}}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{P}}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{U}}$ in $\left.\Omega_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}}^{1}(\log \mathrm{D})\right|_{\mathrm{U}}$ is $d g+g \cdot d \log (\pi / g)$, we have a locally free resolution $\left.0 \rightarrow \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{U} / \mathrm{P}}\left(\mathrm{D}^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathrm{P} / \mathrm{S}}^{1}(\log \widetilde{\mathrm{D}}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{P}}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{U}} \rightarrow\left(\Omega_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}}^{1}(\log \mathrm{D}) / \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{D}^{\prime}}\left(\mathrm{D}^{\prime}-\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{F}}\right)\right)\right|_{\mathrm{U}} \rightarrow 0$.
3. Since $\Omega_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}}^{1}(\log \mathrm{D})$ satisfies the condition $\mathrm{L}(n)$ in Section 3.2, the $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Z}}$-module $\mathrm{L}_{1} i^{*} \Omega_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}}^{1}(\log \mathrm{D})$ is invertible.

We may assume W is integral. Let $i_{\mathrm{W}}=i \circ \varphi: \mathrm{W} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}$ denote the composition. Then, since $\Omega_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}}^{1}(\log \mathrm{D})$ is of tor-dimension $\leq 1$ and the $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Z}}$-modules $i^{*} \Omega_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}}^{1}(\log \mathrm{D})$ and $\mathrm{L}_{1} \imath^{*} \Omega_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}}^{1}(\log \mathrm{D})$ are locally free, the canonical map $\varphi^{*} \mathrm{~L}_{1} i^{*} \Omega_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}}^{1}(\log \mathrm{D}) \rightarrow$ $\mathrm{L}_{1} i_{\mathrm{W}}^{*} \Omega_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}}^{1}(\log \mathrm{D})$ is an isomorphism.

First we consider the case $i_{\mathrm{W}}(\mathrm{W}) \not \subset \mathrm{D}$. By $i_{\mathrm{W}}(\mathrm{W}) \not \subset \mathrm{D}$, we have $\mathrm{L}_{1} i_{\mathrm{W}}^{*} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{D}_{i}}=0$. Hence the exact sequence (4.2.2.4) induces an isomorphism $\mathrm{L}_{1} i_{\mathrm{W}}^{*} \Omega_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{s}}^{1} \rightarrow \mathrm{~L}_{1} i_{\mathrm{W}}^{*} \Omega_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}}^{1}(\log \mathrm{D})$ of invertible $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{W}}$-modules. Let $\mathrm{Z}^{\prime}$ be the closed subscheme of X defined by the annihilator ideal of $\Omega_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}}^{n}=\Lambda^{n} \Omega_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}}^{1}$. For a morphism $g: \mathrm{T} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}$ of schemes, the $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{T}}$-module $\mathrm{L}_{1} g^{*} \Omega_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}}^{1}$ is invertible if and only if $g$ factors through $\mathrm{Z}^{\prime}$, since $\Omega_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}}^{1}$ satisfies the condition $\mathrm{L}(n)$ in Section 3.2. Since $\mathrm{L}_{1} i_{\mathrm{W}}^{*} \Omega_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}}^{1}$ is invertible, the map $i_{\mathrm{W}}: \mathrm{W} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}$ factors through the closed subscheme $Z^{\prime}$. Hence, the assertion follows from [26, Lemma 5.1.3.1].

Next, we consider the case $i_{\mathrm{W}}(\mathrm{W}) \subset \mathrm{D}$. Then the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}}\left(-\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{F}}\right) \rightarrow$ $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}}\left(\mathrm{D}^{\prime}-\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{F}}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{D}^{\prime}}\left(\mathrm{D}^{\prime}-\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{F}}\right) \rightarrow 0$ defines an isomorphism $i_{\mathrm{W}}^{*} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}}\left(-\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{F}}\right)=\mathrm{N}_{s / \mathrm{S}} \otimes$ $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{W}} \leftarrow \mathrm{L}_{1} \imath_{\mathrm{W}}^{*} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{D}^{\prime}}\left(\mathrm{D}^{\prime}-\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{F}}\right)$. Further the map $d \log \pi \cdot: \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{D}^{\prime}}\left(\mathrm{D}^{\prime}-\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{F}}\right) \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}}^{1}(\log \mathrm{D})$ induces a map $\mathrm{L}_{1} \imath_{\mathrm{W}}^{*} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{D}^{\prime}}\left(\mathrm{D}^{\prime}-\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{F}}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{L}_{1} \imath_{\mathrm{W}}^{*} \Omega_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}}^{1}(\log \mathrm{D})$. We show that it is an isomorphism.

Since the question is local, we take an immersion $\mathrm{U} \rightarrow \mathrm{P}$ as in Lemma 4.1.1. Then, by the proof of 2 , we have a commutative diagram of exact sequences

## (4.2.3.1)



Then both $\mathrm{L}_{1} i_{\mathrm{W}}^{*} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{D}^{\prime}}\left(\mathrm{D}^{\prime}-\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{F}}\right)$ and $\mathrm{L}_{1} i_{\mathrm{W}}^{*} \Omega_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}}^{1}(\log \mathrm{D})$ are identified with $i_{\mathrm{W}}^{*} \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{U} / \mathrm{P}}$ and the assertion follows.

Next, we consider the case where $\Sigma_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}}=\mathrm{X}$. This occurs only if the characteristic of K is $p>0$.

Lemma 4.2.4. - Assume $\Sigma_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}}=\mathrm{X}$. Then, there exists a canonical isomorphism $\Omega_{\mathrm{S}}^{1} \otimes$ $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{x}} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{1}\left(\mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}}(\log \mathrm{D})\right)$ of invertible $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{x}}$-modules.

Proof. - Let $\mathrm{K}_{0}=\mathrm{K}^{p} \subset \mathrm{~K}$ and put $\mathrm{S}_{0}=\operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{K}_{0}}$. The composition of closed immersions $\mathrm{X} \rightarrow\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{X}\right)^{\sim} \rightarrow\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{S}_{0}} \mathrm{X}\right)^{\sim}$ defines a distinguished triangle
(4.2.4.1) $\rightarrow \mathrm{L} \delta^{*} \mathrm{~L}_{\left(\mathrm{X} \times{ }_{S} \mathrm{X}\right)^{\sim} /\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{s_{0}} \mathrm{X}\right)^{\sim}}[1] \rightarrow \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}_{0}}(\log \mathrm{D}) \rightarrow \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}}(\log \mathrm{D}) \rightarrow$
of cotangent complexes. By the Cartesian diagram

we obtain a surjection $\Omega_{\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{S}_{0}}^{1} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{S}}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}} \rightarrow \mathrm{N}_{(\mathrm{X} \times \mathrm{s} \mathrm{X})^{\sim} /\left(\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{S}_{0}} \mathrm{X}\right)^{\sim}}$. We claim that this map and the map $\mathcal{H}_{1} \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}}(\log \mathrm{D}) \rightarrow \mathrm{N}_{(\mathrm{X} \times \mathrm{S} \mathrm{X})} \sim /\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{s_{0}} \mathrm{X}\right) \sim$ defined by (4.2.4.1) are isomorphisms. This will complete the proof since $\Omega_{\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{S}_{0}}^{1}=\Omega_{\mathrm{S}}^{1}$ is an invertible $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{S}}$-module.

To show the claim, it suffices to show that the canonical map $\mathcal{H}_{1} \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}_{0}}(\log \mathrm{D}) \rightarrow$ $\mathcal{H}_{1} \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{s}}(\log \mathrm{D})$ is the 0-map since $\mathcal{H}_{0} \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}_{0}}(\log \mathrm{D}) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{0} \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{s}}(\log \mathrm{D})$ is an isomorphism and $\mathcal{H}_{1} \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{s}}(\log \mathrm{D})$ is invertible. We show that $\mathcal{H}_{1} \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}_{0}}(\log \mathrm{D}) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{1} \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{s}}(\log \mathrm{D})$ is the 0 -map. Since the assertion is local on X , we may assume that there is a regular immersion $\mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{P}_{0}$ of codimension 1 to a smooth scheme $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ over $\mathrm{S}_{0}$ as in Lemma 4.1.1. It induces an immersion $\mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{P}=\mathrm{P}_{0} \times_{\mathrm{S}_{0}} \mathrm{~S}$ to a smooth scheme P over S . Then, the map $\mathcal{H}_{1} \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}_{0}}(\log \mathrm{D}) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{1} \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}}(\log \mathrm{D})$ is identified with the canonical map $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{P}_{0}} \rightarrow \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{P}}$ of the conormal sheaves induced by the projection $\mathrm{P}=\mathrm{P}_{0} \times \times_{\mathrm{S}_{0}} \mathrm{~S} \rightarrow \mathrm{P}_{0}$. Since $\mathrm{X} \times \times_{\mathrm{S}_{0}} \mathrm{~S}=$ $\mathrm{X} \times_{P_{0}} \mathrm{P}$ regarded as a Cartier divisor of P is $p$-times the Cartier divisor X of P , the assertion follows.

We study a consequence of Lemma 4.1.3.
Lemma 4.2.5. - Let $f: \mathrm{Y} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}$ be as in Lemma 4.1.3 and put $n=\operatorname{dim} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{K}}+1$ and $n^{\prime}=\operatorname{dim} \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{K}}+1$ respectively. Then, for the closed subschemes $\Sigma_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}} \subset \mathrm{X}$ and $\Sigma_{\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{S}} \subset \mathrm{Y}$ defined by the annihilators $\Lambda^{n} \Omega_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}}^{1}(\log \mathrm{D})$ and $\Lambda^{n^{\prime}} \Omega_{\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{S}}^{1}(\log \mathrm{E})$, the pull-back $\Sigma_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}} \times{ }_{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{Y}$ is a subscheme of $\Sigma_{\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{S}}$.

Proof. - The assertion is local on Y. We consider a Cartesian diagram (4.1.3.1) satisfying the condition in Lemma 4.1.3. Then, we have a commutative diagram of exact sequences

## (4.2.5.1)



The closed subscheme $\left(\Sigma_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}} \times_{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{Y}\right) \cap \mathrm{V}$ is the largest closed subscheme where the pullback $\left.\left.f\right|_{\mathrm{V}} ^{*} \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{U} / \mathrm{P}} \rightarrow f\right|_{\mathrm{V}} ^{*}\left(\Omega_{\mathrm{P} / \mathrm{S}}^{1}(\log \widetilde{\mathrm{D}}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{P}}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{U}}\right)$ is the zero-map. Similarly $\Sigma_{\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{S}} \cap \mathrm{V}$ is the largest closed subscheme where the pull-back $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{Q}} \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathrm{Q} / \mathrm{S}}^{1}(\log \widetilde{\mathrm{E}}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Q}}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{V}}$ is the zeromap. Hence the assertion follows from (4.2.5.1).

Next, we study consequences of Lemma 4.1.6. As loc. cit., we assume that $\mathrm{X}_{1}$ is a regular divisor of X and that $\mathrm{D}_{1}=\mathrm{D} \cap \mathrm{X}_{1}$ is a divisor with simple normal crossings. Let $\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{X}\right)^{\sim}$ and $\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{X}\right)^{\approx}$ be the $\log$ product with respect to D and $\mathrm{D}^{\prime}=$ $\mathrm{D} \cup \mathrm{X}_{1}$ respectively and E be the inverse image of $\mathrm{X}_{1}$ by either of the two projections
$\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{X}\right) \approx \rightarrow \mathrm{X}$. We have Cartesian diagrams
(4.2.6.1)

by the definition of E and by the universality of $\log$ product, respectively.
First, we consider the case where $\mathrm{X}_{1}$ is flat over S .
Lemma 4.2.6. - Let the notation be as above and assume that $\mathrm{X}_{1}$ is flat over S. Let $i$ : $\mathrm{X}_{1} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}$ denote the closed immersion. Then, the left Cartesian diagram (4.2.6.1) defines a distinguished triangle

$$
\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{X}_{1} / \mathrm{S}}\left(\log \mathrm{D}_{1}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{L}^{*} \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}}\left(\log \mathrm{D}^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}_{1}} \rightarrow
$$

on $\mathrm{X}_{1}$.
Proof. - By Lemma 4.1.6.1, the vertical arrows in the left Cartesian diagram (4.2.6.1) are regular immersion of codimension 1. Hence the canonical map $\mathrm{L}^{*} \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}}\left(\log \mathrm{D}^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{X}_{1} / \mathrm{E}}$ to the conormal complex is a quasi-isomorphism. Since $\mathrm{E} \rightarrow$ $\left(\mathrm{X}_{1} \times \mathrm{X}_{1}\right)^{\sim}$ is smooth, the morphisms $\mathrm{X}_{1} \rightarrow \mathrm{E} \rightarrow\left(\mathrm{X}_{1} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{X}_{1}\right)^{\sim}$ define a distinguished triangle $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{X}_{1} / \mathrm{S}}\left(\log \mathrm{D}_{1}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{X}_{1} / \mathrm{E}} \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathrm{E} /\left(\mathrm{X}_{1} \times \mathrm{S} \mathrm{X}_{1}\right)^{\sim}}^{1} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}_{1}}$. Since E is a $\mathbf{G}_{m}$-torsor over $\left(\mathrm{X}_{1} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{X}_{1}\right)^{\sim}$ splitting on $\mathrm{X}_{1}$, we have a canonical trivialization $\Omega_{\mathrm{E} /\left(\mathrm{X}_{1} \times \mathrm{S}_{1}\right)^{\sim}}^{1} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}_{1}} \rightarrow$ $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}_{1}}$. Thus, the assertion follows.

Next, we assume that $X_{1}$ is contained in the closed fiber $X_{F}$. Since the immersions $\mathrm{X}_{1} \rightarrow \mathrm{E}$ and $\mathrm{X}_{1} \rightarrow\left(\mathrm{X}_{1} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{X}_{1}\right)^{\sim}$ are regular immersions of smooth schemes over F , the Cartesian diagram (4.2.6.1) define the excess conormal complexes $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{X} /(\mathrm{X} \times \mathrm{s} \mathrm{X}) \approx, \mathrm{E}}^{\prime}$ and $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{X} /\left(\mathrm{X} \times{ }_{S} \mathrm{X}\right)^{\sim},\left(\mathrm{X}_{1} \times_{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{X}_{1}\right)^{\sim}}^{\prime}$ as complexes of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}_{1}}$-modules as in [26, Definition 1.6.3.2]. By the definitions $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}}(\log \mathrm{D})=\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{X} /(\mathrm{X} \times \mathrm{S} \mathrm{X})^{\sim}}$ and $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}}\left(\log \mathrm{D}^{\prime}\right)=\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{X} /\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{X}\right)^{\sim}}$, they fit in the distinguished triangles
(4.2.7.1)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rightarrow \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{X} /\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{X}\right)^{\sim}, \mathrm{E}}^{\prime} & \rightarrow \mathrm{L}_{1}^{*} \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}}\left(\log \mathrm{D}^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{X}_{1} / \mathrm{E}} \rightarrow \\
\rightarrow \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{X} /(\mathrm{X} \times \mathrm{S} \mathrm{X})^{\sim},\left(\mathrm{X}_{1} \times_{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{X}_{1}\right)^{\sim}}^{\prime} & \rightarrow \mathrm{L}_{1}^{*} \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}}(\log \mathrm{D}) \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathrm{X}_{1} / \mathrm{F}}^{1}\left(\log \mathrm{D}_{1}\right) \rightarrow
\end{aligned}
$$

by [26, Proposition 1.6.4.2]. More concretely, they are described as follows.
Lemma 4.2.7. - Let the notation be as above and assume that $\mathrm{X}_{1}$ is a smooth scheme of dimension d over F . Let $\mathrm{N}_{s / \mathrm{S}}$ be the conormal sheaf $\mathfrak{m}_{\mathrm{K}} / \mathfrak{m}_{\mathrm{K}}^{2}$ of the closed point s of S .

1. There exists a canonical isomorphism $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{X} /(\mathrm{X} \times \mathrm{s} \mathrm{X}) \approx, \mathrm{E}}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{N}_{s / \mathrm{S}} \otimes_{\mathrm{F}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}_{1}}[1]$.
2. There exists a canonical isomorphism $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{X} /\left(\mathrm{X} \times \mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{S}}\right)^{\sim},\left(\mathrm{X}_{1} \times \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{F}}\right)^{\sim}} \rightarrow\left[\mathrm{N}_{s / \mathrm{S}} \otimes_{\mathrm{F}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}_{1}} \rightarrow\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{X}_{1} / \mathrm{X}}\right]$. The complex $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{X} /(\mathrm{X} \times \mathrm{S} \mathrm{X})^{\sim},\left(\mathrm{X}_{1} \times \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{X}_{1}\right) \sim}^{\prime}$ on $\mathrm{X}_{1}$ is acyclic outside the intersection $\mathrm{X}_{1} \cap \Sigma_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}}$ where $\Sigma_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}}$ is defined in Definition 4.2.1.

Proof. - 1. Let $i_{1}: \mathrm{X}_{1} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}$ be the closed immersion. Since the immersion $\mathrm{X}_{1} \rightarrow \mathrm{E}$ is a regular immersion of codimension $d+1$, the canonical surjection $i_{1}^{*} \Omega_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}}^{1}\left(\log \mathrm{D}^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow$ $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{X}_{1} / \mathrm{E}}$ is an isomorphism. Hence, by the distinguished triangle (4.2.7.1), we obtain an isomorphism $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{X} /(\mathrm{X} \times \mathrm{S} X)^{\sim}, \mathrm{E}}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{L}_{1} i_{1}^{*} \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}}\left(\log \mathrm{D}^{\prime}\right)$ [1]. Thus the assertion follows from Lemma 4.2.3.3 and Lemma 4.2.4.
2. Similarly as Lemma 4.2.2.2, we have a distinguished triangle $\rightarrow \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}}(\log \mathrm{D}) \rightarrow$ $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}}\left(\log \mathrm{D}^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}_{1}} \rightarrow$ and hence a distinguished triangle $\rightarrow \mathrm{L}_{1}^{*} \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}}(\log \mathrm{D}) \rightarrow$ $\mathrm{L}_{1}^{*} \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}}\left(\log \mathrm{D}^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{L}_{1}^{*} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}_{1}} \rightarrow$. By (4.2.7.1), (4.2.7.2) and by the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow$ $\Omega_{\mathrm{X}_{1} / \mathrm{F}}^{1}\left(\log \mathrm{D}_{1}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{X}_{1} / \mathrm{E}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}_{1}} \rightarrow 0$, we obtain a distinguished triangle

$$
\rightarrow \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{X} /\left(\mathrm{X} \times{ }_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{X}\right)^{\sim},\left(\mathrm{X}_{1} \times \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{F}}\right)^{\sim}}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{X} /\left(\mathrm{X} \times{ }_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{X}\right)^{\sim}, \mathrm{E}_{1}}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{L}_{1} \psi_{1}^{*} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}_{1}}[1] \rightarrow
$$

Hence the assertion follows from 1 and the isomorphism $\mathrm{L}_{1} i_{1}^{*} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}_{1}} \rightarrow \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{X}_{1} / \mathrm{X}}$.
On the complement of $\Sigma_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{s}}$, the immersion $\mathrm{X}_{1} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{F}}$ is an open immersion and the complex $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{X} /\left(\mathrm{X} \times \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{S}}\right)^{\sim},\left(\mathrm{X}_{1} \times \times_{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{X}_{1}\right)^{\sim}}^{\prime}$ is acyclic.

Assume that the underlying set of the closed fiber $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{F}}$ is a subset of D and put $n=\operatorname{dim} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{K}}+1$. We give a variant of Lemma 4.1.4 and Lemma 4.2.3. We define a variant $\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathbf{S}} \mathrm{X}\right)^{\sim}$ of the $\log$ product by the Cartesian diagram

where $\left(\mathrm{S} \times{ }_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{S}\right)^{\sim}$ is the log product defined with respect to the Cartier divisor $s$ of S .
Lemma 4.2.8. - Let X be a regular flat scheme of finite type over S and $\mathrm{D} \subset \mathrm{X}$ be a divisor with simple normal crossings. Assume that the underlying set of the closed fiber $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{F}}$ is a subset of D .

Then the scheme $\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathbf{s}} \mathrm{X}\right)^{\sim}$ is flat and locally a hypersurface over X with respect to either of the projections.

Proof. - The log scheme X with the $\log$ structure defined by D is $\log$ flat ([26, Section 4.3]) and log locally of complete intersection ([26, Definition 4.4.2]) over $S$ with the $\log$ structure defined by the closed point, similarly as [26, Lemma 5.2.1]. Since the projection $\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathbf{s}} \mathrm{X}\right)^{\sim} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}$ is strict, it is flat. Since the assertion is local, we take a regular immersion $\mathrm{U} \rightarrow \mathrm{P}$ of codimension 1 as in Lemma 4.1.1. Then, we have a closed immersion $\left(\mathrm{U} \times_{\mathbf{s}} \mathrm{X}\right)^{\sim} \rightarrow\left(\mathrm{P} \times_{S} \mathrm{X}\right)^{\sim}$. Then, since $\left(\mathrm{P} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{X}\right)^{\sim}$ is smooth over X and $\left(\mathrm{U} \times_{\mathbf{s}} \mathrm{X}\right)^{\sim}$ is locally of complete intersection, the immersion $\left(\mathrm{U} \times_{\mathbf{s}} \mathrm{X}\right)^{\sim} \rightarrow\left(\mathrm{P} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{X}\right)^{\sim}$ is a regular immersion by Lemma 3.1.8. We verify that it is a regular immersion of codimension 1 by reducing to the case where D is empty.

We also define a variant $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{s}}(\log \mathrm{D} / \log \mathrm{F})$ of the logarithmic cotangent complex to be the conormal complex $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{X} /(\mathrm{X} \times \mathbf{s} \mathrm{X})^{\sim}}$. The coherent $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}}$-module $\Omega_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}}^{1}(\log \mathrm{D} / \log \mathrm{F})$
defined as $\mathcal{H}_{0}\left(\mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}}(\log \mathrm{D} / \log \mathrm{F})\right)$ is the conormal sheaf $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{X} /(\mathrm{X} \times \mathbf{s} \mathbf{X})^{\sim}}$. For a regular immersion $\mathrm{U} \rightarrow \mathrm{P}$ as in Lemma 4.1.1, we have shown in the proof of Lemma 4.2.8 that the immersion $\left(\mathrm{U} \times_{\mathbf{s}} \mathrm{X}\right)^{\sim} \rightarrow\left(\mathrm{P} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{X}\right)^{\sim}$ is a regular immersion of codimension 1. The immersions $\mathrm{U} \rightarrow\left(\mathrm{U} \times_{\mathbf{s}} \mathrm{X}\right)^{\sim} \rightarrow\left(\mathrm{P} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{X}\right)^{\sim}$ define a distinguished triangle $\left.\delta\right|_{\mathrm{U}} ^{*} \mathrm{~N}_{(\mathrm{U} \times \mathbf{s} \mathrm{X})^{\sim} /\left(\mathrm{P} \times_{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{X}\right)^{\sim}} \rightarrow \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{U} /\left(\mathrm{P} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{X}\right)^{\sim}} \rightarrow \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{U} /(\mathrm{U} \times \mathbf{s} \mathrm{X})^{\sim}} \rightarrow$. Similarly as for $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}}(\log \mathrm{D})$, it defines a quasi-isomorphism
(4.2.9.1) $\left.\quad\left[\mathrm{N}_{\left(\mathrm{U} \times_{\mathbf{S}} \mathrm{X}\right)^{\sim} /\left(\mathrm{P} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{X}\right)^{\sim}} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{U}} \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathrm{P} / \mathrm{S}}^{1}(\log \widetilde{\mathrm{D}}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{P}}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{U}}\right] \rightarrow \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}}(\log \mathrm{D} / \log \mathrm{F})\right|_{\mathrm{U}}$.

This shows that the logarithmic cotangent complex $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}}(\log \mathrm{D} / \log \mathrm{F})$ satisfies the condition $(\mathrm{L}(n))$ in Section 3.2. If there exists a dense open subscheme of X smooth over S , the coherent $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}}$-module $\Omega_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}}^{1}(\log \mathrm{D} / \log \mathrm{F})$ is locally free of rank $n-1$ on a dense open subscheme and the map $\mathrm{N}_{\left(\mathrm{U} \times_{\mathbf{S}} \mathrm{X}\right)^{\sim} /(\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{S} \mathrm{X})^{\sim}} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{U}} \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathrm{P} / \mathrm{S}}^{1}(\log \widetilde{\mathrm{D}}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{P}}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{U}}$ in (4.2.9.1) is an injection.

The immersions $\mathrm{X} \rightarrow\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathbf{s}} \mathrm{X}\right)^{\sim} \rightarrow\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{X}\right)^{\sim}$ define a distinguished triangle

## (4.2.9.2)

$$
\mathrm{L} \delta^{*} \mathrm{~L}_{(\mathrm{X} \times \mathbf{s} \mathrm{X})^{\sim} /(\mathrm{X} \times \mathrm{S} \mathrm{X})^{\sim}} \rightarrow \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}}(\log \mathrm{D}) \rightarrow \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}}(\log \mathrm{D} / \log \mathrm{F}) \rightarrow
$$

Since $\left(\mathrm{S} \times{ }_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{S}\right)^{\sim}=\operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{K}}\left[\mathrm{U}^{ \pm 1}\right] /((\mathrm{U}-1) \pi)$ for a prime element $\pi$, the conormal sheaf $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{S} /(\mathrm{S} \times \mathrm{S})} \sim$ is isomorphic to F and is generated by $d \log \pi$. Hence, the distinguished triangle (4.2.9.2) gives an exact sequence
(4.2.9.3) $\quad \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{F}}} \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}}^{1}(\log \mathrm{D}) \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}}^{1}(\log \mathrm{D} / \log \mathrm{F}) \rightarrow 0$.

If there exists a dense open subscheme of X smooth over S , the first arrow $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{F}}} \rightarrow$ $\Omega_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}}^{1}(\log \mathrm{D})$ is an injection by Lemma 4.2.3.2. If X is nowhere smooth over S , the second arrow $\Omega_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}}^{1}(\log \mathrm{D}) \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}}^{1}(\log \mathrm{D} / \log \mathrm{F})$ is an isomorphism of locally free $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}}$-modules of $\operatorname{rank} n+1$. We put $\Omega_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}}^{n}(\log \mathrm{D} / \log \mathrm{F})=\Lambda^{n} \Omega_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}}^{1}(\log \mathrm{D} / \log \mathrm{F})$.

Lemma 4.2.9. - Let Z be the closed subscheme of X defined by the ideal $\mathrm{Ann} \Omega_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}}^{n}(\log \mathrm{D} /$ $\log \mathrm{F})$ and $i: \mathrm{Z} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}$ be the closed immersion. Then the restriction of the invertible $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Z}}$-module $\mathrm{L}_{1} i^{*} \Omega_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}}^{1}(\log \mathrm{D} / \log \mathrm{F})$ to the reduced closed fiber $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{F}, \text { red }}$ is trivial.

Proof. - It suffices to consider the cases where the complement $\mathrm{X} \backslash \mathrm{Z}$ is dense and $\mathrm{Z}=\mathrm{X}$ respectively. First, we prove the case where the complement $\mathrm{X} \backslash \mathrm{Z}$ is dense. In this case, the proof is similar to [26, Lemma 5.3.5.1]. Let $i^{\prime}: \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{F}, \text { red }} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}$ be the immersion. Similarly as in Lemma 4.2.3.3, the restriction of the invertible $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Z}}$-module $\mathrm{L}_{1} i^{*} \Omega_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}}^{1}(\log \mathrm{D} / \log \mathrm{F})$ to $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{F}, \text { red }}$ is isomorphic to $\mathrm{L}_{1} i^{* *} \Omega_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}}^{1}(\log \mathrm{D} / \log \mathrm{F})$.

By the exact sequence (4.2.9.3) together with the injectivity of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{F}}} \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}}^{1}(\log \mathrm{D})$, we obtain an exact sequence

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & \rightarrow \mathrm{~L}_{1} i^{* *} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{F}}} \rightarrow \mathrm{~L}_{1} i^{\prime *} \Omega_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{s}}^{1}(\log \mathrm{D}) \rightarrow \mathrm{L}_{1} i^{i^{*}} \Omega_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{s}}^{1}(\log \mathrm{D} / \log \mathrm{F}) \\
& \rightarrow i^{\prime *} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{F}}} \rightarrow i^{* *} \Omega_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{s}}^{1}(\log \mathrm{D}) \rightarrow i^{i *} \Omega_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}}^{1}(\log \mathrm{D} / \log \mathrm{F}) \rightarrow 0
\end{aligned}
$$

By a local description of Z similar to that given before Lemma 4.2.3, it follows that the last map $i^{*} \Omega_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}}^{1}(\log \mathrm{D}) \rightarrow i^{i *} \Omega_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}}^{1}(\log \mathrm{D} / \log \mathrm{F})$ is an isomorphism of locally free $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{F}, \text { red }}}-$ modules. Hence the map $\mathrm{L}_{1} i^{* *} \Omega_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}}^{1}(\log \mathrm{D} / \log \mathrm{F}) \rightarrow i^{* *} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{F}}}$ is a surjection of invertible $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{F}, \text { red }}}$-modules and is an isomorphism. Therefore, the map $\mathrm{L}_{1} i^{i^{*}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{F}}} \rightarrow \mathrm{L}_{1} i^{* *} \Omega_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{s}}^{1}(\log \mathrm{D})$ is also an isomorphism of invertible $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{F}, \text { ed }}}$-modules. Since $\mathrm{L}_{1} i^{* *} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{F}}}$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{F}, \text { red }}}$, the assertion follows.

We show the case where $\mathrm{Z}=\mathrm{X}$. The proof in this case is similar to that of Lemma 4.2.4. We show that there exists a canonical isomorphism $\Omega_{\mathrm{S}}^{1} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{x}} \rightarrow$ $\mathcal{H}_{1} \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}}(\log \mathrm{D} / \log \mathrm{F})$ of invertible $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}}$-modules. Let $\mathrm{K}_{0}=\mathrm{K}^{p} \subset \mathrm{~K}$ and put $\mathrm{S}_{0}=$ $\operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{K}_{0}}$ as in the proof of Lemma 4.2.4. The composition of closed immersions $\mathrm{X} \rightarrow$ $\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathbf{s}} \mathrm{X}\right)^{\sim} \rightarrow\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{S}_{0}} \mathrm{X}\right)^{\sim}$ defines a distinguished triangle
(4.2.9.4) $\rightarrow L^{*} \delta_{\left(\mathrm{X}_{\times s} \mathrm{X}\right)^{\sim} /\left(\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{s}_{0}} \mathrm{X}\right)^{\sim}}[1] \rightarrow \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}_{0}}(\log \mathrm{D}) \rightarrow \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}}(\log \mathrm{D} / \log \mathrm{F}) \rightarrow$
of cotangent complexes. By the Cartesian diagram

we obtain a surjection $\Omega_{\mathrm{S}_{/ \mathrm{S}_{0}}}^{1}(\log \mathrm{~F}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{S}}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}} \rightarrow \mathrm{N}_{\left(\mathrm{X} \times{ }_{\mathbf{S}} \mathrm{X}\right)^{\sim} /\left(\mathrm{X} \times{ }_{\mathrm{S}_{0}} \mathrm{X}\right)^{\sim} \text {. Similarly as in the proof }}$ of Lemma 4.2.4, this map and the map $\mathcal{H}_{1} \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}}(\log \mathrm{D} / \log \mathrm{F}) \rightarrow \mathrm{N}_{(\mathrm{X} \times \mathrm{s} \mathrm{X})^{\sim} /\left(\mathrm{X} \times \mathrm{s}_{0} \mathrm{X}\right)^{\sim}}$ defined by (4.2.9.4) are isomorphisms. This complete the proof since $\Omega_{\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{S}_{0}}^{1}(\log \mathrm{~F})$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{s}}$.
4.3. Intersection product with the $\log$ diagonal. - Let X be a regular flat separated scheme of finite type over $\mathrm{S}=\mathrm{Spec} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{K}}$ and $\mathrm{D} \subset \mathrm{X}$ be a divisor with simple normal crossings. We put $n=\operatorname{dim} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{K}}+1$. We define the localized intersection product with the log diagonal as follows.

We recall the notation from the previous subsection. The $\log$ product $\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{X}\right)^{\sim}$ is defined with respect to the family $\mathcal{D}=\left(\mathrm{D}_{i}\right)_{i \in \mathrm{I}}$ of irreducible components of D . The logarithmic cotangent complex $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{s}}(\log \mathrm{D})$ is defined as the conormal complex $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{X} /(\mathrm{X} \times \mathrm{s} \mathrm{X})^{\sim}}$ of the $\log$ diagonal $\delta: \mathrm{X}=\Delta_{\mathrm{X}}^{\log } \rightarrow\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{X}\right)^{\sim}$ and we have a canonical isomorphism $\mathcal{H}_{0}\left(\mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}}(\log \mathrm{D})\right) \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}}^{1}(\log \mathrm{D})$. We define a closed subscheme $\Sigma_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}}$ of X to be that defined by the annihilator ideal of $\Omega_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}}^{n}(\log \mathrm{D})=\Lambda^{n}\left(\Omega_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}}^{1}(\log \mathrm{D})\right)$. Let $\mathcal{L}_{\Sigma_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}}}$ denote the invertible $\mathcal{O}_{\Sigma_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{s}}}$-module $\mathrm{L}_{1} i^{*} \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{s}}(\log \mathrm{D})$ where $i: \Sigma_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{s}} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}$ denote closed immersion.

We consider the following special case of Definition 3.3.3. We consider X and the $\log$ product $\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{X}\right)^{\sim}$ as S and X in Definition 3.3.3. The $\log$ product $\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{X}\right)^{\sim}$ is locally a hypersurface of relative dimension $n-1$ over X by either of the two projections by Lemma 4.1.4. As V in Definition 3.3.3, we take X regarded as a closed subscheme of $\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{X}\right)^{\sim}$ by the $\log$ diagonal. Since the canonical map $\delta^{*} \Omega_{\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{X}\right)^{\sim} / \mathrm{X}}^{1} \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}}^{1}(\log \mathrm{D})$
is an isomorphism, the intersection $\mathrm{Z} \times_{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{V} \subset \mathrm{V}$ in Definition 3.3.3 is $\Sigma_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}} \subset \mathrm{X}$ in our setting.

We consider a scheme W of finite type over S and a morphism $g: W \rightarrow\left(\mathrm{X} \times{ }_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{X}\right)^{\sim}$ over S as $\mathrm{W} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}$ in Definition 3.3.3. Then, $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{T}} \subset \mathrm{T} \subset \mathrm{W}$ in Definition 3.3.3 are the inverse images $g^{-1}\left(\Sigma_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}}\right) \subset g^{-1}\left(\Delta_{\mathrm{X}}^{\log }\right) \subset \mathrm{W}$ where $\Delta_{\mathrm{X}}^{\log }$ denotes X regarded as a closed subscheme of $\left(\mathrm{X} \times{ }_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{X}\right)^{\sim}$ by the $\log$ diagonal. Since the canonical map $\mathrm{L} \delta^{*} \mathrm{~L}_{\left(\mathrm{X} \times \mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{S}}\right)^{\sim} / \mathrm{X}} \rightarrow$ $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{s}}(\log \mathrm{D})$ is an isomorphism, the pull-back of $\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{Z}}$ to $\mathrm{Z} \times_{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{V}$ in Definition 3.3.3 is the invertible sheaf $\mathcal{L}_{\Sigma_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}}}=\mathrm{L}_{1} \imath^{*} \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}}(\log \mathrm{D})$ on $\Sigma_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}}$ in our setting. By Lemma below, under the assumption (A) there, the group $\mathrm{G}\left(\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{T}}\right)_{/ \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{Z}}}$ in Definition 3.3.3 is $\mathrm{G}\left(g^{-1}\left(\Sigma_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}}\right)\right)$ in our setting.

Lemma 4.3.1. - Let the notation be as above. In particular, let W be a scheme offinite type over S and $g: \mathrm{W} \rightarrow\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{X}\right)^{\sim}$ a morphism over S . Assume that the morphism $g: \mathrm{W} \rightarrow\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{X}\right)^{\sim}$ satisfies the condition:
(A) The inverse image $g^{-1}\left(\Sigma_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{s}}\right)$ is supported on the closed fiber $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{F}}$ set-theoretically.

Then the multiplication of the pull-back of the invertible $\mathcal{O}_{\Sigma_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{s}}}$-module $\mathcal{L}_{\Sigma_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{s}}}$ on the Grothendieck group $\mathrm{G}\left(g^{-1}\left(\Sigma_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}}\right)\right)$ is the identity.

Proof. - Since the Grothendieck group $\mathrm{G}\left(g^{-1}\left(\Sigma_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}}\right)\right)$ is generated by the push forward of the classes of the normalizations of integral closed subschemes, it follows from Lemma 4.2.3.3 and Lemma 4.2.4.

Thus, we make the following definition as in [26, Definition 5.1.5].
Definition 4.3.2. - Let X be a regular flat separated scheme of finite type over $\mathrm{S}=\operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{K}}$ and $\mathrm{D} \subset \mathrm{X}$ be a divisor with simple normal crossings. Let W be a scheme of finite type over S and $g: \mathrm{W} \rightarrow\left(\mathrm{X} \times{ }_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{X}\right)^{\sim}$ be a morphism over S satisfying the condition $(\mathrm{A})$ in Lemma 4.3.1. Then, we define the localized intersection product with the log diagonal
(4.3.2.1) $\quad\left(\left(\Delta_{\mathrm{X}}^{\log },\right)\right)_{(\mathrm{X} \times \mathrm{S} \mathrm{X})^{\sim}}: \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{W}) \rightarrow \mathrm{G}\left(g^{-1}\left(\Sigma_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}}\right)\right)$
as the product (3.3.3.1) with the class of $\mathcal{F}=\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{x}}$.
In [26, Definition 5.1.5], we defined the localized intersection product with the log diagonal under the assumption that the generic fiber is smooth and $D=X_{F}$. Here, we replace the assumption by the condition (A) in Lemma 4.3.1.

The logarithmic localized intersection product $\left(\left(\Delta_{\mathrm{X}}^{\log },\right)\right)_{(\mathrm{X} \times \mathrm{SX})^{\sim}}: \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{W}) \rightarrow$ $\mathrm{G}\left(g^{-1}\left(\Sigma_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}}\right)\right)$ preserves the topological filtration in the sense that it induces a map

$$
\mathrm{F}_{q} \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{~W}) \rightarrow \mathrm{F}_{q-n} \mathrm{G}\left(g^{-1}\left(\Sigma_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{s}}\right)\right)
$$

[26, Theorem 3.4.3.1].

If we take a closed immersion $\mathrm{A} \rightarrow\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{X}\right)^{\sim}$ as $\mathrm{W} \rightarrow\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{X}\right)^{\sim}$, the condition (A) in Lemma 4.3.1 can be written as
(A $\mathrm{A}^{\prime}$ ) The intersection $\delta^{-1}(\mathrm{~A}) \cap \Sigma_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{s}}$ is supported on the closed fiber $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{F}}$ settheoretically.

Under this assumption, the localized intersection product with the log diagonal

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\left(, \Delta_{\mathrm{X}}^{\log }\right)\right)_{(\mathrm{X} \times \mathrm{s} \mathrm{X})^{\sim}}: \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{~A}) \rightarrow \mathrm{G}\left(\delta^{-1}(\mathrm{~A}) \cap \Sigma_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}}\right) \tag{4.3.2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

is defined as the product (3.3.3.1) with the class of $\mathcal{G}=\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}}$ by taking $\mathrm{X} \rightarrow\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{X}\right)^{\sim}$ as $\mathrm{W} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}$ in Definition 3.3.3. By the symmetry of $\mathcal{\mathcal { T }}$ or, we have

$$
\left(\left(, \Delta_{\mathrm{X}}^{\log }\right)\right)_{\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{X}\right)^{\sim}}=\left(\left(\Delta_{\mathrm{X}}^{\log },\right)\right)_{\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{X}\right)^{\sim}}
$$

The localized intersection product with log diagonal has the following functoriality.
Lemma 4.3.3. - Let Y be another regular flat separated scheme offinite type over S and $\mathrm{E} \subset \mathrm{Y}$ be a divisor with simple normal crossings. Let $\left(\mathrm{Y} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{Y}\right)^{\sim}$ be the $\log$ product with respect to E and we put $\mathrm{V}=\mathrm{Y} \backslash \mathrm{E}$. Let $f: \mathrm{Y} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}$ be a morphism over S such that $f(\mathrm{~V}) \subset \mathrm{U}=\mathrm{X} \backslash \mathrm{D}$ and we consider the map $(f \times f)^{\sim}:\left(\mathrm{Y} \times{ }_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{Y}\right)^{\sim} \rightarrow(\mathrm{X} \times \mathrm{X})^{\sim}$ of $\log$ products.

Let A be a closed subscheme of $\left(\mathrm{X} \times{ }_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{X}\right)^{\sim}$ satisfying the condition $\left(\mathrm{A}^{\prime}\right)$ after Definition 4.3.2 and assume that $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{Y}}=(f \times f)^{\sim-1}(\mathrm{~A}) \subset\left(\mathrm{Y} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{Y}\right)^{\sim}$ also satisfies the corresponding condition that $\delta_{\mathrm{Y}}^{-1}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{Y}}\right) \cap \Sigma_{\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{S}}$ is supported on the closed fiber $\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{F}}$ set-theoretically. Let $f^{*}: \mathrm{G}\left(\delta_{\mathrm{X}}^{-1}(\mathrm{~A}) \cap \Sigma_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{s}}\right) \rightarrow$ $\mathrm{G}\left(\delta_{\mathrm{Y}}^{-1}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{Y}}\right) \cap \Sigma_{\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{s}}\right)$ be the pull-back by $f: \mathrm{Y} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}$.

Then the pull-back $(f \times f)^{\sim *}: \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{A}) \rightarrow \mathrm{G}\left(\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{Y}}\right)$ by $(f \times f)^{\sim}:\left(\mathrm{Y} \times{ }_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{Y}\right)^{\sim} \rightarrow\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{X}\right)^{\sim}$ is defined. Further, the diagram

is commutative.
Proof. - By Lemma 4.2.5, we have $f^{-1}\left(\Sigma_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}}\right) \subset \Sigma_{\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{S}}$. Since $f^{-1}\left(\delta_{\mathrm{X}}^{-1}(\mathrm{~A})\right)=$ $\delta_{\mathrm{Y}}^{-1}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{Y}}\right)$, the map $\mathrm{G}\left(\delta_{\mathrm{X}}^{-1}(\mathrm{~A}) \cap \Sigma_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{G}\left(\delta_{\mathrm{Y}}^{-1}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{Y}}\right) \cap \Sigma_{\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{S}}\right)$ is defined by the assumption ( $\mathrm{A}^{\prime}$ ).

By Corollary 4.1.5, the map $(f \times f)^{\sim}:\left(\mathrm{Y} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{Y}\right)^{\sim} \rightarrow\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{X}\right)^{\sim}$ is of finite tordimension. Hence, the pull-back $(f \times f)^{\sim *}: \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{A}) \rightarrow \mathrm{G}\left(\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{Y}}\right)$ by $(f \times f)^{\sim}:\left(\mathrm{Y} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{Y}\right)^{\sim} \rightarrow$ $\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{X}\right)^{\sim}$ is defined.

We apply the associativity, Lemma 3.3.6, by taking $\mathrm{A} \rightarrow\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{X}\right)^{\sim} \leftarrow \mathrm{X} \leftarrow$ Y as $\mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{X} \leftarrow \mathrm{W} \leftarrow \mathrm{W}^{\prime}$. Then the composition via upper right is equal to the
$\operatorname{map}\left(\left(, \Delta_{\mathrm{Y}}^{\log }\right)\right)_{\left(\mathrm{X} \times \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{S}}\right)}$. We also apply the associativity, Lemma 3.3.7, by taking $\mathrm{A} \rightarrow$ $\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{X}\right)^{\sim} \leftarrow\left(\mathrm{Y} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{Y}\right)^{\sim} \leftarrow \mathrm{Y}$ as $\mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{X} \leftarrow \mathrm{X}^{\prime} \leftarrow \mathrm{W}^{\prime}$. Then, the composition via lower left is also equal to the same map.

We establish an important property that the localized intersection product with the $\log$ diagonal is independent of the boundary, in Proposition 4.3 .5 below. We begin with preliminary computations. Let $\mathrm{X}_{1}$ be a regular divisor of X such that the intersection $D_{1}=X_{1} \cap D$ is a divisor of $X_{1}$ with simple normal crossings. Let $\mathcal{D}=\left(D_{i}\right)_{i \in \mathrm{I}}$ be the family of irreducible components of D and we consider the family $\mathcal{D}_{1}=\left(\mathrm{D}_{i} \cap \mathrm{X}_{1}\right)_{i \in \mathrm{I}}$ of smooth divisors of $\mathrm{X}_{1}$. We identify the log product $\left(\mathrm{X}_{1} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{X}_{1}\right)^{\sim}$ with respect to $\mathcal{D}_{1}$ with the inverse image of $\mathrm{X}_{1} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{X}_{1}$ by the canonical map $\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{X}\right)^{\sim} \rightarrow \mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{X}$. The sum $\mathrm{D}^{\prime}=\mathrm{D} \cup \mathrm{X}_{1}$ is a divisor of X with simple normal crossings.

We consider the $\log$ product $\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{X}\right) \approx$ with respect to $\mathrm{D}^{\prime}$, the log diagonal map $\delta: \mathrm{X} \rightarrow\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{X}\right)^{\approx}$ and the canonical map $\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{X}\right) \approx \rightarrow\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{X}\right)^{\sim}$. The inverse image E of $\left(\mathrm{X}_{1} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{X}_{1}\right)^{\sim} \subset\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{X}\right)^{\sim}$ by $\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{X}\right)^{\approx} \rightarrow\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{X}\right)^{\sim}$ is a $\mathbf{G}_{m}$-torsor over $\left(\mathrm{X}_{1} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{X}_{1}\right)^{\sim}$ by Lemma 1.3.2. The pull-back of the $\mathbf{G}_{m}$-torsor E by the $\log$ diagonal $\mathrm{X}_{1} \rightarrow\left(\mathrm{X}_{1} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{X}_{1}\right)^{\sim}$ is trivialized by the restriction to $\mathrm{X}_{1}$ of the log diagonal $\mathrm{X} \rightarrow\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{X}\right)^{\approx}$. We identify $\mathrm{E} \times_{\left(\mathrm{X}_{1} \times \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{S}}\right)} \sim \mathrm{X}_{1}$ with $\mathbf{G}_{m, \mathrm{X}_{1}}$ and the restriction of the log diagonal $\mathrm{X}_{1} \rightarrow \mathrm{E} \times_{\left(\mathrm{X}_{1} \times \mathrm{X}_{1}\right)} \sim \mathrm{X}_{1}$ with the 1 -section $1_{\mathrm{X}_{1}}$. They are summarized in the Cartesian diagram


Lemma 4.3.4. - Let $\mathrm{X}_{1}$ be a regular irreducible divisor of X such that $\mathrm{D}_{1}=\mathrm{X}_{1} \cap \mathrm{D}$ is a divisor of $\mathrm{X}_{1}$ with simple normal crossings. Let $\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{X}\right) \approx$ denote the $\log$ product with respect to $\mathrm{D}^{\prime}=$ $\mathrm{D} \cup \mathrm{X}_{1}$ and let $\Sigma_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{s}}^{\prime}$ be the closed subscheme of X defined by the annihilator ideal $\mathrm{Ann} \Omega_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{s}}^{n}\left(\log \mathrm{D}^{\prime}\right)$.

Let A be a closed subscheme of $\mathrm{E} \subset\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{X}\right) \approx$ satisfying the condition $\left(\mathrm{A}^{\prime}\right)$ after Definition 4.3.2. We identify $\mathrm{E} \times{ }_{\left(\mathrm{X}_{1} \times \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{X}_{1} \sim\right.} \mathrm{X}_{1}$ with $\mathbf{G}_{m, \mathrm{X}_{1}}$ and the section $\mathrm{X}_{1} \rightarrow \mathrm{E} \times_{\left(\mathrm{X}_{1} \times \mathrm{S}_{1}\right)} \sim \mathrm{X}_{1}$ defined by the restriction of the $\log$ diagonal with $\mathrm{1}_{\mathrm{X}}: \mathrm{X}_{1} \rightarrow \mathbf{G}_{m, \mathrm{X}_{1}}$. Then, the intersection product

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\left(, \Delta_{\mathrm{X}}^{\log }\right)\right)_{\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{X}\right) \approx}: \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{~A}) \rightarrow \mathrm{G}\left(\delta^{-1}(\mathrm{~A}) \cap \Sigma_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}}^{\prime}\right) \tag{4.3.4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the $\log$ diagonal satisfies the following.

1. Assume that $\mathrm{X}_{1}$ is flat over S . Then the composition $\mathrm{A} \rightarrow \mathrm{E} \rightarrow\left(\mathrm{X}_{1} \times \mathrm{X}_{1}\right)^{\sim}$ satisfies the condition (A) in Lemma 4.3.1 and the localized intersection product

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\left(\Delta_{\mathrm{X}_{1}}^{\log },\right)\right)_{\left(\mathrm{X}_{1} \times \mathrm{X}_{1}\right)^{\sim}}: \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{~A}) \rightarrow \mathrm{G}\left(\mathrm{~A} \cap \mathbf{G}_{m, \Sigma_{\mathrm{X}_{1} / \mathrm{S}}}\right) \tag{4.3.4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the $\log$ diagonal $\mathrm{X}_{1} \rightarrow\left(\mathrm{X}_{1} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{X}_{1}\right)^{\sim}$ is defined. Further, we have $\Sigma_{\mathrm{X}_{1} / \mathrm{S}}=\Sigma_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}}^{\prime} \cap \mathrm{X}_{1}$ and the map (4.3.4.2) is induced by the composition
(4.3.4.4)

$$
\mathrm{G}(\mathrm{~A}) \xrightarrow{\left.\left.\left(\left(\Delta_{\mathrm{X}_{1}}^{\log },\right)\right)\right)_{\left(\mathrm{X}_{1} \times \mathrm{S}\right.} \mathrm{x}_{1}\right)^{\sim}} \mathrm{G}\left(\mathrm{~A} \cap \mathbf{G}_{m, \Sigma_{\mathrm{x}_{1} / \mathrm{S}}}\right) \xrightarrow{\left(, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{x}_{1}}\right) \mathbf{g}_{m, \mathrm{X}_{1}}} \mathrm{G}\left(\delta^{-1}(\mathrm{~A}) \cap \Sigma_{\mathrm{X}_{1} / \mathrm{S}}\right) .
$$

2. Assume that $\mathrm{X}_{1}$ is a subscheme of the closed fiber $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{F}}$. Then, we have $\mathrm{X}_{1} \subset \Sigma_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{s}}^{\prime}$ and the map on the graded quotients $\left(\left(, \Delta_{\mathrm{X}}^{\log }\right)\right)_{(\mathrm{X} \times \mathrm{S} \mathrm{X})} \approx: \mathrm{Gr}_{\cdot}^{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{A}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Gr}_{\cdot-n}^{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{G}\left(\delta^{-1}(\mathrm{~A}) \cap \Sigma_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}}^{\prime}\right)$ induced by (4.3.4.2) is induced by the usual intersection product

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{G}(\mathrm{~A}) \xrightarrow{\left(, \mathrm{lx}_{1}\right) \mathrm{E}} \mathrm{G}\left(\delta^{-1}(\mathrm{~A}) \cap \mathrm{X}_{1}\right) . \tag{4.3.4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. - 1. By Lemma 4.2.6, we have an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathrm{X}_{1} / \mathrm{s}}^{1}\left(\log \mathrm{D}_{1}\right) \rightarrow$ $\Omega_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}}^{1}\left(\log \mathrm{D}^{\prime}\right) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}_{1}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}_{1}} \rightarrow 0$. This defines an isomorphism $\Omega_{\mathrm{X}_{1} / \mathrm{S}}^{n-1}\left(\log \mathrm{D}_{1}\right) \rightarrow$ $\Omega_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}}^{n}\left(\log \mathrm{D}^{\prime}\right) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}_{1}}$. Hence, we have $\Sigma_{\mathrm{X}_{1} / \mathrm{S}}=\Sigma_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}}^{\prime} \cap \mathrm{X}_{1}$. Thus the condition ( $\mathrm{A}^{\prime}$ ) for $\mathrm{A} \rightarrow\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{X}\right)^{\approx}$ implies the condition $(\mathrm{A})$ for $\mathrm{A} \rightarrow\left(\mathrm{X}_{1} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{X}_{1}\right)^{\sim}$.

By Lemma 4.1.6.1, E is a Cartier divisor of $\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{X}\right)^{\approx}$ and we have $\left(\mathrm{E}, \Delta_{\mathrm{X}}^{\log }\right)_{\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{S} \mathrm{X}\right)} \approx$ $=\left[\Delta_{\mathrm{X}_{1}}^{\log }\right]$. We apply Lemma 3.3.4 to the diagram


Then, the map (4.3.4.2) is the same as $\left(\left(, 1_{\mathrm{X}_{1}}\right)\right)_{\mathrm{E}}: \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{A}) \rightarrow \mathrm{G}\left(\delta^{-1}(\mathrm{~A}) \cap \Sigma_{\mathrm{X}_{1} / \mathrm{S}}\right)$. Further we apply Lemma 3.3.6 by taking the upper line in the Cartesian diagram

as $\mathrm{X} \leftarrow \mathrm{W} \leftarrow \mathrm{W}^{\prime}$ on the lower line in the diagram of Lemma 3.3.6. Then the map $\left(\left(, l_{\mathrm{X}_{1}}\right)\right)_{\mathrm{E}}: \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{A}) \rightarrow \mathrm{G}\left(\delta^{-1}(\mathrm{~A}) \cap \Sigma_{\mathrm{X}_{1} / \mathrm{s}}\right)$ is equal to the composition of $\left(\left(,\left[\mathbf{G}_{m, \mathrm{X}_{1}}\right]\right)\right)_{\mathrm{E}}$ : $\mathrm{G}(\mathrm{A}) \rightarrow \mathrm{G}\left(\mathrm{A} \cap \mathbf{G}_{m, \Sigma_{\mathrm{X}_{1} / s}}\right)$ with the usual intersection product $\left(, \mathrm{X}_{1}\right)_{\mathbf{G}_{m, \mathrm{X}}}: \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{A} \cap$ $\left.\mathbf{G}_{m, \Sigma_{\mathrm{X}_{1} / \mathrm{S}}}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{G}\left(\delta^{-1}(\mathrm{~A}) \cap \Sigma_{\mathrm{X}_{1} / \mathrm{S}}\right)$. Since E is flat over $\left(\mathrm{X}_{1} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{X}_{1}\right)^{\sim}$, the first map $\left(\left(,\left[\mathbf{G}_{m, \mathrm{X}_{1}}\right]\right)\right)_{\mathrm{E}}: \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{A}) \rightarrow \mathrm{G}\left(\mathrm{A} \cap \mathbf{G}_{m, \Sigma_{\mathrm{x}_{1} / \mathrm{S}}}\right)$ is the same as (4.3.4.3)
2. We show $\mathrm{X}_{1} \subset \Sigma_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}}^{\prime}$. Since $\mathrm{X}_{1}$ is assumed irreducible, it suffices to show that the generic point $\xi_{1}$ of $\mathrm{X}_{1}$ is contained in $\Sigma_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{s}}^{\prime}$. It suffices to consider the case where the complement $\mathrm{X} \backslash \Sigma_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}}^{\prime}$ is dense. Then, we have an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{F}}} \rightarrow$
$\Omega_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}}^{1}\left(\log \mathrm{D}^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}}^{1}\left(\log \mathrm{D}^{\prime} / \log \mathrm{F}\right) \rightarrow 0$ on a neighborhood $\xi_{1}$. By the assumption that the complement $\mathrm{X} \backslash \Sigma_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}}^{\prime}$ is dense, the free part of the module $\Omega_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}}^{1}\left(\log \mathrm{D}^{\prime}\right)_{\xi_{1}}$ over the discrete valuation ring $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}, \xi_{1}}$ has rank $n-1$. Since it is not torsion free, the smallest number of generators is $n$. Hence $\xi_{1}$ is contained in $\Sigma_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}}^{\prime}$ as required.

We apply Lemma 3.3 .5 by taking $\mathrm{E} \rightarrow\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{X}\right) \approx \leftarrow \Delta_{\mathrm{X}}^{\log }$ as $\mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{X} \leftarrow \mathrm{W}$. Then, the localized intersection product $\left(\left(, \Delta_{\mathrm{X}}^{\log }\right)\right)_{\left(\mathrm{X} \times \mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{X}}\right)} \approx$ is equal to the usual intersection product $\left(,\left(\left(\mathrm{E}, \Delta_{\mathrm{X}}^{\log }\right)\right)_{(\mathrm{X} \times \mathrm{S} \mathrm{X})}\right)_{\mathrm{E}}$. By the excess intersection formula (3.3.5.1), we have $\left(\left(\mathrm{E}, \Delta_{\mathrm{X}}^{\log }\right)\right)_{(\mathrm{X} \times \mathrm{S} \mathrm{X})} \approx=c_{0}\left(\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{X} /(\mathrm{X} \times \mathrm{s} \mathrm{X})}^{\prime} \approx, \mathrm{E}\right) \cap\left[\mathrm{X}_{1}\right]$. By Lemma 4.2.7.1, the right hand side is equal to $1_{\mathrm{X}_{1}}$. Hence, the assertion follows.

Proposition 4.3.5. - Let X be a regular flat separated scheme of finite type over S and $\mathrm{D} \subset \mathrm{X}$ be a divisor with simple normal crossings. We put $\mathrm{U}=\mathrm{X} \backslash \mathrm{D}$. Let A be a closed subscheme of $\left(\mathrm{X} \times \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{X}\right)^{\sim}$ satisfying the condition $\left(\mathrm{A}^{\prime}\right)$ after Definition 4.3 .2 and the following condition:
(B) For each irreducible component $\mathrm{D}_{i}$ of D , we regard $\mathbf{G}_{m, \mathrm{D}_{i}}$ as a closed subscheme of $\left(\mathrm{X} \times \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{X}\right)^{\sim}$ as in (4.3.4.1). Then, there exists an integer $l_{i} \geq 1$ such that the intersection $\mathrm{A} \cap \mathbf{G}_{m, \mathrm{D}_{i}}$ is supported on the subscheme $\mu_{l, \mathrm{D}_{i}} \subset \mathbf{G}_{m, \mathrm{D}_{i}}$.
We put $\mathrm{A}^{\circ}=\mathrm{A} \cap\left(\mathrm{U} \times{ }_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{U}\right)$. Then, there exists a unique map $\mathrm{Gr}_{\bullet}^{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{G}\left(\mathrm{A}^{\circ}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Gr}_{\bullet-n}^{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{G}\left(\delta^{-1}(\mathrm{~A}) \cap\right.$ $\left.\Sigma_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}}\right)$, also denoted by $\left(\left(, \Delta_{\mathrm{X}}^{\log }\right)\right)$, that makes the diagram

commutative.
Proof. - For each irreducible component $\mathrm{D}_{i}$ of D , let $\mathrm{E}_{i}$ be its inverse image by either of the two projections $\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{X}\right)^{\sim} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}$ and we put $\mathrm{A}_{i}=\mathrm{A} \cap \mathrm{E}_{i}$. By the exact sequence $\bigoplus_{i} \mathrm{Gr}_{\bullet}^{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{G}\left(\mathrm{A}_{i}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{Gr}_{\cdot}^{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{A}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Gr}_{\cdot}^{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{G}\left(\mathrm{A}^{\circ}\right) \rightarrow 0$, it suffices to show that the composition of
(4.3.5.2) $\quad \mathrm{Gr}_{\cdot}^{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{G}\left(\mathrm{A}_{i}\right) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Gr}_{\cdot}^{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{A}) \xrightarrow{\left(\left(, \Delta_{\mathrm{x}}^{\log ))}\right.\right.} \mathrm{Gr}_{\cdot-n}^{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{G}\left(\delta^{-1}(\mathrm{~A}) \cap \Sigma_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}}\right)$
is the zero map for each $i$.
First, we consider the case where $\mathrm{D}_{i}$ is flat over S. By Lemma 4.3.4.1, the composition of (4.3.5.2) is induced by the composition of

$$
\mathrm{G}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{i}\right) \xrightarrow{\left(\left(, \Delta_{\mathrm{D}_{i}}^{\left.\log ))_{\left(\mathrm{D}_{i} \times \mathrm{S}\right.} \mathrm{D}_{i}\right)} \sim\right.\right.} \mathrm{G}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{i} \cap \mathbf{G}_{m, \Sigma_{\mathrm{D}_{i} / \mathrm{S}}}\right) \xrightarrow{\left(, 1_{\mathrm{D}_{i}}\right) \mathbf{G}_{m, \mathrm{D}_{i}}} \mathrm{G}\left(\delta^{-1}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{i}\right) \cap \Sigma_{\mathrm{D}_{i} / \mathrm{S}}\right)
$$

Hence, it suffices to show the second map is the zero-map. By the assumption (B), the intersection $\mathrm{A}_{i} \cap \mathbf{G}_{m, \mathrm{D}_{i}}$ is a closed subscheme of $\mu_{l_{i}, \mathrm{D}_{i}}$. Hence, if the characteristic of K is $p>0$, the assertion follows from Lemma 4.3.6 below. If the characteristic of K is 0 , the generic fiber $\Sigma_{\mathrm{D}_{i} / \mathrm{S}} \times_{\mathrm{S}}$ Spec K is empty. Hence it also follows from Lemma 4.3.6 below.

Next, we consider the case where $\mathrm{D}_{i}$ is a subscheme of the closed fiber $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{F}}$. In this case, by Lemma 4.3.4.2, the composition of (4.3.5.2) is induced by the composition of

$$
\mathrm{G}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{i}\right) \xrightarrow{\left(, \Delta_{\mathrm{D}_{i}}^{\log }\right){\left(\mathrm{D}_{i} \times \mathrm{FD}_{i}\right)}^{\sim}} \mathrm{G}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{i} \cap \mathbf{G}_{m, \mathrm{D}_{i}}\right) \xrightarrow{\left(, \mathrm{l}_{\mathrm{D}_{i}}\right) \mathbf{G}_{m, \mathrm{D}_{i}}} \mathrm{G}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{i} \cap \Delta_{\mathrm{D}_{i}}^{\log }\right) .
$$

Hence, it suffices to show the second map is the zero-map. By the assumption (B), the assertion in this case is also reduced to the following Lemma 4.3.6.

Lemma 4.3.6. - Let D be a noetherian scheme over $\mathbf{F}_{p}$ and $l \geq 1$ be an integer. Let A be a closed subscheme of $\mu_{l, \mathrm{D}} \subset \mathbf{G}_{m, \mathrm{D}}$. Then, the intersection product

$$
\left(, 1_{\mathrm{D}}\right)_{\mathbf{G}_{m, \mathrm{D}}}: \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{~A}) \rightarrow \mathrm{G}\left(\mathrm{~A} \cap 1_{\mathrm{D}}\right)
$$

with the unit section $1_{\mathrm{D}} \subset \mu_{l, \mathrm{D}}$ is the zero map.
Proof. - By replacing $l$ by its $p$-part $l^{\prime}$, we may assume that $l$ is a power of the characteristic $p>0$ of F since $\mu_{l^{l}, \mathrm{D}}$ is a closed and open subscheme of $\mu_{l, \mathrm{D}}$ and has the same intersection with the 1 -section. Further, since the closed immersion $A \cap 1_{D} \rightarrow A$ defined by a nilpotent ideal induces an isomorphism $G\left(A \cap 1_{D}\right) \rightarrow G(A)$, we may assume A is a closed subscheme of $1_{D}$. For a coherent $\mathcal{O}_{1_{D}}$-module $\mathcal{F}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left([\mathcal{F}], 1_{\mathrm{D}}\right)_{\mathbf{G}_{m, \mathrm{D}}} & =\left[\mathcal{T} \operatorname{or}_{0}^{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{G}_{m, \mathrm{D}}}}\left(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{O}_{1_{\mathrm{D}}}\right)\right]-\left[\mathcal{T} \mathcal{T r}_{1}^{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{G}_{m, \mathrm{D}}}}\left(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{O}_{1_{\mathrm{D}}}\right)\right] \\
& =[\mathcal{F}]-[\mathcal{F}]=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence the assertion follows.
The following Lemma, analogous to Lemma 4.3.4, will be used in the proof of Proposition 6.1.1, which in turn will be used in the proof of a blow-up formula Proposition 6.2.1.

Lemma 4.3.7. - Let $\mathrm{X}_{1}$ be a regular divisor of X such that $\mathrm{D}_{1}=\mathrm{X}_{1} \cap \mathrm{D}$ is a divisor of $\mathrm{X}_{1}$ with simple normal crossings. Let $\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{X}\right)^{\sim}$ denote the $\log$ product with respect to D and let $\Sigma_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{s}}$ be the closed subscheme of X defined by the annihilator ideal $\mathrm{Ann} \Omega_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{s}}^{n}(\log \mathrm{D})$. We regard $\left(\mathrm{X}_{1} \times \mathrm{X}_{1}\right)^{\sim}$ as a closed subscheme of $\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{X}\right)^{\sim}$ as in (4.3.4.1).

Let A be a closed subscheme of $\left(\mathrm{X}_{1} \times \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{S}}\right)^{\sim}$ satisfying the condition ( $\mathrm{A}^{\prime}$ ) after Definition 4.3.2 and let $i:\left(\mathrm{X}_{1} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{X}_{1}\right)^{\sim} \rightarrow\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{X}\right)^{\sim}$ be the closed immersion. Then, the map on the graded pieces
(4.3.7.1)

$$
\left(\left(, \Delta_{\mathrm{X}}^{\log }\right)\right)_{(\mathrm{X} \times \mathrm{s} \mathrm{X})^{\sim}}: \mathrm{Gr}_{\cdot}^{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{~A}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Gr}_{\cdot-n}^{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{G}\left(\delta^{-1}(\mathrm{~A}) \cap \Sigma_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}}\right)
$$

induced by the intersection product with the $\log$ diagonal is computed as follows.

1. Assume $\mathrm{X}_{1}$ is flat over S . Then, we have $\Sigma_{\mathrm{X}_{1} / \mathrm{S}}=\Sigma_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}} \cap \mathrm{X}_{1}$ and the map (4.3.7.1) is the composition of
(4.3.7.2)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Gr}_{\bullet}^{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{~A}) & \xrightarrow{\left(\left(, \Delta_{\mathrm{X}_{1}}^{\left.\left.\log _{1}\right)\right)_{\left(\mathrm{X}_{1} \times \mathrm{S}_{1} \mathrm{X}_{1}\right)}}\right.\right.} \mathrm{Gr}_{\bullet-(n-1)}^{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{G}\left(\delta^{-1}(\mathrm{~A}) \cap \Sigma_{\mathrm{X}_{1} / \mathrm{S}}\right) \\
\xrightarrow{-i_{* * c_{1}\left(\mathrm{NX}_{1} / \mathrm{X}\right)}} & \operatorname{Gr}_{\bullet-n}^{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{G}\left(\delta^{-1}(\mathrm{~A}) \cap \Sigma_{\mathrm{X}_{1} / \mathrm{S}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

2. Assume that $\mathrm{X}_{1}$ is a subscheme of the closed fiber $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{F}}$. Then, the map on the graded pieces induced by (4.3.7.1) is the composition of

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{Gr}_{\bullet}^{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{~A}) \xrightarrow{\left(, \Delta_{\mathrm{x}_{1}}^{\log }\right)\left(\mathrm{X}_{1} \times \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{X}_{1}\right)}{ }^{2} \quad \mathrm{Gr}_{\bullet-(n-1)}^{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{G}\left(\delta^{-1}(\mathrm{~A})\right)  \tag{4.3.7.3}\\
& \xrightarrow{{ }^{-c_{1}\left[\left(\mathrm{~N}_{s / S} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}_{1}} \rightarrow \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{X}_{1} / \mathrm{X}}\right] \mathrm{x}_{1} \cap \Sigma_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{s}}\right.} \operatorname{Gr}_{\bullet-n}^{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{G}\left(\delta^{-1}(\mathrm{~A}) \cap \Sigma_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}}\right) .}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. - 1. We have shown the equality $\Sigma_{\mathrm{X}_{1} / \mathrm{S}}=\Sigma_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}} \cap \mathrm{X}_{1}$ at the beginning of the proof of Lemma 4.3.4.1. By Lemma 4.1.6.1, the immersion $\left(\mathrm{X}_{1} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{X}_{1}\right)^{\sim} \rightarrow$ $\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{X}\right)^{\sim}$ is a regular immersion of codimension 2. Since the excess conormal sheaf $\operatorname{Ker}\left(\left.\left(\operatorname{pr}_{1}^{*} \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{X}_{1} / \mathrm{X}} \oplus \operatorname{pr}_{2}^{*} \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{X}_{1} / \mathrm{X}}\right)\right|_{\mathrm{X}_{1}} \rightarrow \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{X}_{1} / \mathrm{X}}\right)$ is isomorphic to $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{X}_{1} / \mathrm{X}}$, we have $\left(\left(\mathrm{X}_{1} \times_{\mathrm{S}}\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.\mathrm{X}_{1}\right)^{\sim}, \Delta_{\mathrm{X}}^{\log }\right)_{\left(\mathrm{X}_{\times 5} \mathrm{X}\right)^{\sim}}=-c_{1}\left(\mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{X}_{1} / \mathrm{X}}\right) \cap \Delta_{\mathrm{X}_{1}}^{\log }$. We apply Lemma 3.3.4 by taking $\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{X}\right)^{\sim} \supset$ $\left(\mathrm{X}_{1} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{X}_{1}\right)^{\sim}, \mathrm{X} \supset \mathrm{X}_{1}$ as $\mathrm{X} \supset \mathrm{X}^{\prime}, \mathrm{W} \supset \mathrm{W}^{\prime}$. Then, the map (4.3.7.1) is induced by $\left(\left(,-c_{1}\left(\mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{X}_{1} / \mathrm{X}}\right) \cap \Delta_{\mathrm{X}_{1}}^{\log }\right)\right)_{\left(\mathrm{X}_{1} \times \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{S}}\right)^{\sim}}: \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{A}) \rightarrow \mathrm{G}\left(\delta^{-1}(\mathrm{~A}) \cap \Sigma_{\mathrm{X}_{1} / \mathrm{S}}\right)$. Further, it is equal to the composition of (4.3.7.2).
2. We apply Lemma 3.3.5 by taking $\left(\mathrm{X}_{1} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{X}_{1}\right)^{\sim} \rightarrow\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{X}\right)^{\sim} \leftarrow \Delta_{\mathrm{X}}^{\log }$ as $\mathrm{V} \rightarrow$ $\mathrm{X} \leftarrow \mathrm{W}$. Then, the map (4.3.7.1) is equal to the usual intersection product

$$
\left.\left(,\left(\left(\left(\mathrm{X}_{1} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{X}_{1}\right)^{\sim}, \Delta_{\mathrm{X}}^{\log }\right)\right)_{\left(\mathrm{X}_{\times S} \mathrm{X}\right)^{\sim}}\right)\right)_{\left(\mathrm{X}_{1} \times \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{S}}\right)^{\sim}}
$$

with $\left(\left(\left(\mathrm{X}_{1} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{X}_{1}\right)^{\sim}, \Delta_{\mathrm{X}}^{\log }\right)\right)_{\left(\mathrm{X}_{\left.\times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{X}\right)^{\sim}}\right.}=-c_{1}\left(\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{X} /(\mathrm{X} \times \mathrm{SX})^{\sim},\left(\mathrm{X}_{1} \times \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{S}}\right)^{\sim}}^{\prime}\right) \cap\left[\mathrm{X}_{1}\right]$. By Lemma 4.2.7.2, the right hand side is equal to $-c_{1}\left(\left[\mathrm{~N}_{s / \mathrm{S}} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}_{1}} \rightarrow \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{X}_{1} / \mathrm{X}}\right]\right)_{\mathrm{X}_{1} \cap \Sigma_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}}} \cap \Delta_{\mathrm{X}_{1}}^{\log }$. Hence, the assertion follows.

If $(\mathrm{X} \backslash \mathrm{D})_{\mathrm{F}}=\emptyset$, we have an alternative construction. Let $\mathrm{A} \subset\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathbf{s}} \mathrm{X}\right)^{\sim} \subset$ $\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{X}\right)^{\sim}$ be a closed subscheme satisfying the condition (A). Then, a localized intersection product

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\left(, \Delta_{\mathrm{X}}^{\log }\right)\right)_{(\mathrm{X} \times \mathbf{s} \mathrm{X})^{\sim}}: \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{~A}) \rightarrow \mathrm{G}\left(\delta^{-1}(\mathrm{~A}) \cap \Sigma_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{s}}\right) \tag{4.3.7.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

is defined similarly as (4.3.2.1), by Lemma 4.2.9. We show that it gives the same invariants.

Proposition 4.3.8. - Let X be a regular flat separated scheme of finite type over S and $\mathrm{D} \subset \mathrm{X}$ be a divisor with simple normal crossings. We assume $(\mathrm{X} \backslash \mathrm{D})_{\mathrm{F}}=\emptyset$.

Then, for a closed subscheme A of $\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathbf{s}} \mathrm{X}\right)^{\sim}$ satisfying the condition $(\mathrm{A})$, we have an equality

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \quad\left(\left(, \Delta_{\mathrm{X}}^{\log }\right)\right)_{(\mathrm{X} \times \mathrm{s} \mathrm{X})^{\sim}}=\left(\left(, \Delta_{\mathrm{X}}^{\mathrm{log}}\right)\right)_{(\mathrm{X} \times \mathbf{s} \mathrm{X})^{\sim}} \\
& \text { of maps } \mathrm{Gr}_{\cdot}^{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{~A}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Gr}_{\bullet-n}^{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{G}\left(\delta^{-1}(\mathrm{~A}) \cap \Sigma_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{s}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. - Let $\mathrm{W} \subset \mathrm{A}$ be an integral closed subscheme. If W is a subscheme of $\Delta_{\mathrm{X}}^{\log }$, let $\pi: \mathrm{W}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{W}$ denote the identity of W . If not, let $\pi: \mathrm{W}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{W}$ be the blow-up at $\mathrm{W}^{\prime} \cap \Delta_{\mathrm{X}}^{\log }$. Since $\mathrm{G}(\mathrm{A})$ is generated by the classes $\pi_{*}\left[\mathrm{~W}^{\prime}\right]$ for integral closed subschemes W of A, it suffices to show the equality $\left(\left(\mathrm{W}^{\prime}, \Delta_{\mathrm{X}}^{\log }\right)\right)_{(\mathrm{X} \times \mathrm{s} \mathrm{X})^{\sim}}=\left(\left(\mathrm{W}^{\prime}, \Delta_{\mathrm{X}}^{\log }\right)\right)_{(\mathrm{X} \times \mathbf{s} \mathrm{X})^{\sim}}$.

We put $\mathrm{T}^{\prime}=\mathrm{W}^{\prime} \times_{\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathbf{s}} \mathrm{X}\right) \sim} \Delta_{\mathrm{X}}^{\log }, \mathrm{Z}=\Sigma_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{s}}, d=\operatorname{dim} \mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{K}}+1$ and let $\varphi: \mathrm{T}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}$ denote the canonical map. Then, by the excess intersection formula [26, Theorem 3.4.3], we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\left(\mathrm{W}^{\prime}, \Delta_{\mathrm{X}}^{\log }\right)\right)_{(\mathrm{X} \times \mathrm{s} \mathrm{X})^{\sim}}=\pi_{*}\left((-1)^{d} c_{d \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{T}^{\prime}}}^{\mathrm{T}^{\prime}}\left(\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{X} /(\mathrm{X} \times \mathrm{s} \mathrm{X})^{\sim}, \mathrm{W}^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right) \cap\left[\mathrm{T}^{\prime}\right]\right), \\
& \left(\left(\mathrm{W}^{\prime}, \Delta_{\mathrm{X}}^{\log }\right)\right)_{(\mathrm{X} \times \mathbf{s} \mathbf{X})^{\sim}}=\pi_{*}\left((-1)^{d} c_{d \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{Z}^{\prime}}^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{T}^{\prime}}\left(\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{X} /(\mathrm{X} \times \mathbf{s} \mathrm{X})^{\sim}, \mathrm{W}^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right) \cap\left[\mathrm{T}^{\prime}\right]\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

By the distinguished triangles

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{F}}} \rightarrow \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}}(\log \mathrm{D}) \rightarrow \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}}(\log \mathrm{D} / \log \mathrm{F}) \rightarrow 0 \\
& \rightarrow \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{X} /\left(\mathrm{X} \times \mathrm{s}^{\mathrm{X}}\right)^{\sim}, \mathrm{W}^{\prime}}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{L} \varphi^{*} \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}}(\log \mathrm{D}) \rightarrow \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{T}^{\prime} / \mathrm{W}^{\prime}} \rightarrow \\
& \rightarrow \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{X} /(\mathrm{X} \times \mathbf{s} \mathrm{X})^{\sim}, \mathrm{W}^{\prime}}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{L} \varphi^{*} \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}}(\log \mathrm{D} / \log \mathrm{F}) \rightarrow \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{T}^{\prime} / \mathrm{W}^{\prime}} \rightarrow
\end{aligned}
$$

and by $c_{1}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{F}}}\right) \cap\left[\mathrm{T}^{\prime}\right]=0$, we obtain an equality $c_{d \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{T}^{\prime}}}^{\mathrm{T}^{\prime}}\left(\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{X} /(\mathrm{X} \times \mathrm{S} \mathrm{X})^{\sim}, \mathrm{w}^{\prime \prime}}^{\prime}\right) \cap\left[\mathrm{T}^{\prime}\right]=$ $c_{d \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{T}^{\prime}}}^{\mathrm{T}^{\prime}}\left(\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{X} /(\mathrm{X} \times \mathbf{s} \mathrm{X})^{\sim}, \mathrm{W}^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right) \cap\left[\mathrm{T}^{\prime}\right]$. Thus the assertion follows.

The following Proposition shows that the localized intersection product does not depend on the choice of the base S .

Proposition 4.3.9. - Let X be a regular flat separated scheme of finite type over S and $\mathrm{D} \subset \mathrm{X}$ be a divisor with normal crossings. Assume that K is a finite extension of a complete discrete valuation field $\mathrm{K}^{\prime}$ and put $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{K}^{\prime}}=\mathrm{K}^{\prime} \cap \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{K}}$. Let A be a closed subscheme of $\left(\mathrm{X} \times \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{X}\right)^{\sim}$ satisfying the condition $\left(\mathrm{A}^{\prime}\right)$ after Definition 4.3 .2 with respect to $\mathrm{S}^{\prime}=\operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{K}^{\prime}}$. Namely, we assume that $\delta^{-1}(\mathrm{~A}) \cap \Sigma_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}^{\prime}}$ is contained in $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{F}}$.

Then, wee have an inclusion $\Sigma_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}} \subset \Sigma_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}^{\prime}}$ and an equality

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\left(, \Delta_{\mathrm{X}}^{\log }\right)\right)_{\left(\mathrm{X} \times \mathrm{S}^{\prime} \mathrm{X}\right)^{\sim}} \\
\text { of maps } \mathrm{Gr}_{\cdot}^{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{~A}) & \left.\rightarrow \mathrm{Gr}_{\cdot-n}^{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{G}\left(\delta^{-1}(\mathrm{~A}) \cap \Sigma_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}^{\prime}}^{\log }\right)\right)_{(\mathrm{X} \times \mathrm{SX})^{\sim}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. - The proof is similar to that of Proposition 4.3.8. Let $\mathrm{W} \subset \mathrm{A}$ be an integral closed subscheme and let $\pi: \mathrm{W}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{W}$ be as in the proof of Proposition 4.3.8. We put $\mathrm{T}^{\prime}=\mathrm{W}^{\prime} \times_{(\mathrm{X} \times \mathrm{s} \mathrm{X})} \sim \Delta_{\mathrm{X}}^{\log }, \mathrm{Z}^{\prime}=\Sigma_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}^{\prime}}$, and $d=\operatorname{dim} \mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{K}}+1$. Then, by the excess intersection formula [26, Theorem 3.4.3], we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\left(\mathrm{W}^{\prime}, \Delta_{\mathrm{X}}^{\log }\right)\right)_{\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{X}\right)^{\sim}}=\pi_{*}\left((-1)^{d} c_{d, \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{T}^{\prime}}}^{\mathrm{T}^{\prime}}\left(\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{X} /(\mathrm{X} \times \mathrm{S} \mathrm{X})^{\sim}, \mathrm{W}^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right) \cap\left[\mathrm{T}^{\prime}\right]\right), \\
& \left(\left(\mathrm{W}^{\prime}, \Delta_{\mathrm{X}}^{\log }\right)\right)_{\left(\mathrm{X} \times \mathrm{S}^{\prime} \mathrm{X}\right)^{\sim}}=\pi_{*}\left((-1)^{d} c_{d, \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{T}^{\prime}}}^{\mathrm{T}^{\prime}}\left(\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{X} /\left(\mathrm{X} \times \mathrm{S}^{\prime} \mathrm{X}\right)^{\sim}, \mathrm{W}^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right) \cap\left[\mathrm{T}^{\prime}\right]\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the distinguished triangle $\Omega_{\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{S}^{\prime}}^{1} \otimes^{\mathrm{L}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}} \rightarrow \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{s}^{\prime}}(\log \mathrm{D}) \rightarrow \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}}(\log \mathrm{D}) \rightarrow$, we complete the proof similarly as in the proof of Proposition 4.3.8.

## 5. Invariants of wild ramification

We keep fixing a complete discrete valuation field K with perfect residue field F of characteristic $>0$ and $S=\operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{K}}$.

In Section 5.3, we define invariants of wild ramification for a finite étale morphism $f: \mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}$ of regular flat separated schemes of finite type over S , such that the generic fiber $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{K}} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{K}}$ is tamely ramified with respect to K (Definition 2.4.1). The definition uses the localized intersection product with the log diagonal constructed in Section 4.3. The definition is extended to cover the case where U and V are not assumed regular at the end of Section 6.2 as a consequence of the excision formula, Theorem 6.2.2. On the counterpart for a finite étale morphism $f: \mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}$ of smooth separated schemes of finite type over F defined in [27], we also state some complements. In Section 5.4, we establish elementary properties of the invariants of wild ramification defined in Section 5.3. We define the logarithmic different and the Lefschetz classes and derive their basic properties analogous to the classical ones.

Before defining the invariants in the general case, we define and compute the logarithmic different and the Lefschetz class using regular schemes containing $U$ and $V$ as the complements of divisors with simple normal crossings in Section 5.1. We introduce the target groups where the invariants of wild ramification take values as certain projective limits with respect to the system of compactifications in Section 5.2. We also introduce in Theorem 5.3.9 a variant that will be used in the case where K is of characteristic 0 , in Section 7.5.
5.1. Logarithmic different and the Lefschetz class. - Let Y be a regular flat separated scheme of finite type over $\mathrm{S}=\operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{K}}$ and $\mathrm{V} \subset \mathrm{Y}$ be the complement of a divisor E with simple normal crossings. Let $f: \mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}$ be a finite étale morphism of separated schemes of finite type over S . We consider the family $\mathcal{E}=\left(\mathrm{E}_{i}\right)_{i \in \mathrm{I}}$ of irreducible components of E and we assume that the closed subscheme $\Sigma_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}}^{\mathcal{E}} \mathrm{Y} \subset \mathrm{Y}$ (Definition 2.1.2.1) is supported on the closed fiber.

By the assumption that $\mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}$ is finite étale, the diagonal $\Delta_{\mathrm{V}}$ is an open and closed subscheme of $\mathrm{V} \times_{\mathrm{U}} \mathrm{V}$. The closure A of $\left(\mathrm{V} \times_{\mathrm{U}} \mathrm{V}\right) \backslash \Delta_{\mathrm{V}}$ in the $\log$ product $\left(\mathrm{Y} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{Y}\right)^{\sim}=$ $\left(\mathrm{Y} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{Y}\right)_{\mathcal{E}}$ satisfies the condition $\left(\mathrm{A}^{\prime}\right)$ after Definition 4.3.2 since $\Sigma_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}}^{\mathcal{E}}$ is the inverse image $\delta^{-1}(\mathrm{~A})$ by the $\log$ diagonal $\delta: \mathrm{Y} \rightarrow\left(\mathrm{Y} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{Y}\right)^{\sim}$.

We also assume that there exists a separated scheme X of finite type over S containing U as the complement of a Cartier divisor and that $f: \mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}$ is extended to a morphism $\bar{f}: \mathrm{Y} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}$ over S satisfying $\bar{f}^{-1}(\mathrm{U})=\mathrm{V}$. Then, by Lemma 1.3.2.2, the same A satisfies the condition (B) in Proposition 4.3.5. Thus, by applying the map (4.3.5.1), we obtain

$$
\left(\left(\left(\mathrm{V} \times_{\mathrm{U}} \mathrm{~V}\right) \backslash \Delta_{\mathrm{V}}, \Delta_{\mathrm{Y}}^{\log }\right)\right)_{(\mathrm{Y} \times \mathrm{S} \mathrm{Y})^{\sim}} \in \mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\Sigma_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}}^{\mathcal{E}} \mathrm{Y}\right)
$$

Definition 5.1.1. - Let Y be a regular flat separated scheme of finite type over S and $\mathrm{V} \subset \mathrm{Y}$ be the complement of a divisor E of Y with simple normal crossings. Let $f: \mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}$ be a finite étale morphism of separated schemes of finite type over S .

We assume that the closed subset $\Sigma_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}}^{\mathcal{E}} \mathrm{Y}$ (Definition 2.1.2.1) defined for the family $\mathcal{E}=\left(\mathrm{E}_{i}\right)_{i \in \mathrm{I}}$ of irreducible component of E is supported on the closed fiber. We also assume that there exists a separated scheme X of finite type over S containing U as the complement of a Cartier divisor and that $f: \mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}$ is extended to a morphism $\bar{f}: \mathrm{Y} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}$ over S satisfying $\bar{f}^{-1}(\mathrm{U})=\mathrm{V}$.

Then, we define the the logarithmic different $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}, \mathrm{Y}}^{\log } \in \mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\Sigma_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}}^{\mathcal{E}} \mathrm{Y}\right)$ by

$$
\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}, \mathrm{Y}}^{\log }=\left(\left(\mathrm{V} \times_{\mathrm{U}} \mathrm{~V} \backslash \Delta_{\mathrm{V}}, \Delta_{\mathrm{Y}}^{\log }\right)\right)_{(\mathrm{Y} \times \mathrm{S} Y)^{\sim}} .
$$

We compute the logarithmic different explicitly using regular models. It will imply in particular (Corollary 5.1.3) that if $U=\operatorname{Spec} L$ and $V=\operatorname{Spec} M$ for finite separable extensions $\mathrm{L} \subset \mathrm{M}$ of K , we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}, \mathrm{Y}}^{\log }=\operatorname{length}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{M}}} \Omega_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{M}} / \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{L}}}^{1}(\log / \log )=\operatorname{length}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{M}}} \Omega_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{M}} / \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{L}}}^{1}-\left(e_{\mathrm{M} / \mathrm{L}}-1\right) \tag{5.1.1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

in $\mathbf{Z}=F_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{M}} / \mathfrak{m}_{\mathrm{M}}\right)$. Recall that length $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{M}} \Omega_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{M}} / \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{L}}}^{1}$ is the classical different.
We consider a Cartesian diagram
(5.1.1.2)

of regular flat separated schemes of finite type over S . Suppose that $f: \mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}$ is finite étale and that $\mathrm{U}=\mathrm{X} \backslash \mathrm{D}$ and $\mathrm{V}=\mathrm{Y} \backslash \mathrm{E}$ are the complements of divisors D and E with simple normal crossings respectively. Using the diagram (5.1.1.2), the logarithmic different $D_{V / U, Y}^{\log }$ can be computed as follows.

We put $n=\operatorname{dim} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{K}}+1=\operatorname{dim} \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{K}}+1$. We consider the map $\bar{f}^{*} \Omega_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}}^{1}(\log \mathrm{D}) \rightarrow$ $\Omega_{\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{S}}^{1}(\log \mathrm{E})$ of coherent $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Y}}$-modules. Let $\Sigma=\Sigma_{\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{X}} \subset \mathrm{Y}$ be the closed subscheme
defined by the annihilator $\mathcal{I}_{\Sigma}=\operatorname{Ann}\left(\operatorname{Coker}\left(\bar{f}^{*} \Omega_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}}^{1}(\log \mathrm{D}) \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{S}}^{1}(\log \mathrm{E})\right)\right) \subset \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Y}}$. Since Y is regular, there exist a locally free $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Y}}$-module $\mathcal{V}$ and a surjection $\mathcal{V} \rightarrow$ $\Omega_{\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{S}}^{1}(\log \mathrm{E})$ by [15, Corollaire 2.2.7.1]. Hence, the localized Chern class $c_{n}\left(\Omega_{\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{S}}^{1}(\log \mathrm{E})-\right.$ $\left.\bar{f}^{*} \Omega_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}}^{1}(\log \mathrm{D})\right)_{\Sigma} \cap[\mathrm{Y}] \in \mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\Sigma_{\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{X}}\right)$ is defined in [27, (3.24)] (cf. (3.2.3.2)).

The image of the logarithmic different $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}, \mathrm{Y}}^{\log } \in \mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\Sigma_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}}^{\mathcal{E}} \mathrm{Y}\right)$ in $\mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\Sigma_{\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{X}}\right)$ is computed using the localized Chern class $c_{n}\left(\Omega_{\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{S}}^{1}(\log \mathrm{E})-\bar{f}^{*} \Omega_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}}^{1}(\log \mathrm{D})\right)_{\Sigma_{\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{X}}} \cap[\mathrm{Y}]$ as follows.

Proposition 5.1.2. - Let X and Y be regular flat separated schemes of finite type over S and let $\mathrm{U}=\mathrm{X} \backslash \mathrm{D}$ and $\mathrm{V}=\mathrm{Y} \backslash \mathrm{E}$ be the complements of divisors $\mathrm{D} \subset \mathrm{X}$ and $\mathrm{E} \subset \mathrm{Y}$ with simple normal crossings. Let $\bar{f}: \mathrm{Y} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}$ be a morphism over S such that $\bar{f}^{-1}(\mathrm{U})=\mathrm{V}$ and the restriction $f=\left.\bar{f}\right|_{\mathrm{V}}: \mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}$ is finite étale.

We assume that the support $\Sigma=\Sigma_{\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{X}} \subset \mathrm{Y}$ of the cokernel $\Omega_{\mathrm{Y}}^{1}(\log \mathrm{E}) / \bar{f}^{*} \Omega_{\mathrm{X}}^{1}(\log \mathrm{D})$ is supported on the closed fiber $\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{F}}$. We also assume that there exists a dense open subscheme of X smooth over S .

Then, we have $\Sigma_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}}^{\mathcal{E}} \mathrm{Y} \subset \Sigma_{\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{X}}$ and, for $n=\operatorname{dim} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{K}}+1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}, \mathrm{Y}}^{\log }=(-1)^{n} c_{n}\left(\Omega_{\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{S}}^{1}(\log \mathrm{E})-\bar{f}^{*} \Omega_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}}^{1}(\log \mathrm{D})\right)_{\Sigma} \cap([\mathrm{Y}]) \tag{5.1.2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

in $\mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\Sigma_{\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{X}}\right)$.
Proof. - We consider the log products $\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{X}\right)^{\sim}$ and $\left(\mathrm{Y} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{Y}\right)^{\sim}$ with respect to D and E respectively and will apply Proposition 3.4.3 to the commutative diagram
(5.1.2.2)

where the upper square and the tall rectangle are Cartesian. We put $\left(\mathrm{Y} \times_{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{Y}\right)^{\sim}=$ $\left(\mathrm{Y} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{Y}\right)^{\sim} \times_{\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{S} \mathrm{X}\right)^{\sim}} \Delta_{\mathrm{X}}^{\log }$. Since the cokernel $\left.\operatorname{Coker}\left(\bar{f}^{*} \Omega_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}}^{1}(\log \mathrm{D})\right) \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{S}}^{1}(\log \mathrm{E})\right)$ is the conormal sheaf $\left.\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{Y} /(\mathrm{Y} \times \mathrm{X}}\right)^{\sim}$, the restriction of the log diagonal map $\delta_{\mathrm{Y}}: \mathrm{Y} \rightarrow\left(\mathrm{Y} \times{ }_{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{Y}\right)^{\sim}$ to the complement $\mathrm{V}=\mathrm{Y} \backslash \Sigma$ is an open immersion. Hence the complement $\mathrm{A}=$ $\left(\mathrm{Y} \times_{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{Y}\right)^{\sim} \backslash \Delta_{\widetilde{\mathrm{V}}}^{\log }$ is a closed subset of $\left(\mathrm{Y} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{Y}\right)^{\sim}$ such that $\delta^{-1}(\mathrm{~A})=\Sigma_{\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{X}}$. Since A contains $\left(\mathrm{V} \times_{\mathrm{U}} \mathrm{V}\right) \backslash \Delta_{\mathrm{V}}$ as a subset, we have an inclusion $\Sigma_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}}^{\mathcal{E}} \mathrm{Y} \subset \Sigma_{\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{X}}$.

We define a bounded complex $\mathcal{C}$ of $\mathcal{O}_{(\mathrm{Y} \times \mathrm{S} Y)^{\sim}}$-modules fitting in the distinguished triangle $\rightarrow \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathrm{L}(\bar{f} \times \bar{f})^{\sim *} \mathcal{O}_{\Delta_{\mathrm{X}}^{\log }} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\Delta_{\mathrm{Y}}^{\log }} \rightarrow$ as in (3.4.0.3). We have $\mathrm{A} \cap\left(\mathrm{V} \times{ }_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{V}\right)=$ $\left(\mathrm{V} \times_{\mathrm{U}} \mathrm{V}\right) \backslash \Delta_{\mathrm{V}}$ and the restriction map $\mathrm{F}_{n} \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{A}) \rightarrow \mathrm{F}_{n} \mathrm{G}\left(\left(\mathrm{V} \times_{\mathrm{U}} \mathrm{V}\right) \backslash \Delta_{\mathrm{V}}\right)$ sends [ $\left.\mathcal{C}\right]$
to $\left[\left(\mathrm{V} \times_{\mathrm{U}} \mathrm{V}\right) \backslash \Delta_{\mathrm{V}}\right]$. Hence, by Proposition 4.3.5, the image of the logarithmic different $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}, \mathrm{Y}}^{\log }$ by the map $\mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\Sigma_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}}^{\mathcal{E}} \mathrm{Y}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\Sigma_{\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{X}}\right)$ is the localized intersection product $\left(\left([\mathcal{C}], \Delta_{\mathrm{Y}}^{\log }\right)\right)_{(\mathrm{Y} \times \mathrm{S} Y)^{\sim}}$.

In order to apply Proposition 3.4 .3 to the diagram (5.1.2.2), we check that its assumption is satisfied. For a point $y$ of the closed fiber of Y, we have an open neighborhood $\mathrm{V}^{\prime}$ of $y$, an open neighborhood $\mathrm{U}^{\prime}$ of $\bar{f}(y)$ and a Cartesian diagram

as in Lemma 4.1.3. Then, in the diagram

the right square is Cartesian and the horizontal arrows in the right square are regular immersions of codimension 1. The compositions of the horizontal arrows are both sections of smooth morphisms of relative dimension $n$ and hence are regular immersions of codimension $n$. Thus the condition (3.4.3.3) is satisfied.

By the assumption that there exists a dense open subscheme of X smooth over S , the excess conormal complexes $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{Y} /(\mathrm{Y} \times \mathrm{S})^{\sim}, \mathrm{Y}}^{\prime}=\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{Y} /(\mathrm{Y} \times \mathrm{S})^{\sim}}=\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{S}}(\log \mathrm{E})$ and $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{X} /\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{X}\right)^{\sim}, \mathrm{Y}}^{\prime}=\mathrm{L} f^{*} \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{X} /(\mathrm{X} \times \mathrm{s} \mathrm{X})^{\sim}}=\mathrm{L} f^{*} \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{s}}(\log \mathrm{D})$ are quasi-isomorphic to $\Omega_{\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{S}}^{1}(\log \mathrm{E})$ and $f^{*} \Omega_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}}^{1}(\log \mathrm{D})$ respectively. Applying Proposition 3.4.3.3 to the diagram

and to $\mathrm{T}=\mathrm{W}=\mathrm{Y}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\left((f \times f)^{*}\left[\Delta_{\mathrm{U}}\right]-\left[\Delta_{\mathrm{V}}\right], \Delta_{\mathrm{Y}}^{\log }\right)\right)_{(\mathrm{Y} \times \mathrm{S} \mathrm{Y})^{\sim}} \\
& \quad=\left[\mathrm{L} \Lambda^{n} f^{*} \Omega_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}}^{1}(\log \mathrm{D}) \rightarrow \mathrm{L} \Lambda^{n} \Omega_{\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{S}}^{1}(\log \mathrm{E})\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

in $\mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\Sigma_{\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{X}}\right)$. The right hand side is equal to the image of the localized Chern class $c_{n}\left(\Omega_{\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{S}}^{1}(\log \mathrm{E})-f^{*} \Omega_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}}^{1}(\log \mathrm{D})\right)_{\Sigma} \cap([\mathrm{Y}])$ in $\mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\Sigma_{\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{X}}\right)$ by Proposition 3.2.4.

Corollary 5.1.3. - Let $\mathrm{L} \subset \mathrm{M}$ be finite separable extensions of K and put $\mathrm{U}=\operatorname{Spec} \mathrm{L}$ and $\mathrm{V}=\operatorname{Spec} \mathrm{M}$. Let X and Y be the normalizations of S in U and V respectively. Then, we have $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}, \mathrm{Y}}^{\log }=$ length $_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{M}}} \Omega_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{M}} / \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{L}}}^{1}(\log / \log ) \in \mathbf{Z}$ (5.1.1.1).

For an automorphism of a scheme over S, we define the Lefschetz class as the intersection product of the graph with the log diagonal as follows.

Definition 5.1.4. - Let X be a regular flat separated scheme of finite type over S and $\mathrm{U}=$ $\mathrm{X} \backslash \mathrm{D}$ be the complement of a divisor D with simple normal crossings. Let $\sigma$ be an automorphism of X over S such that $\sigma(\mathrm{U})=\mathrm{U}$ and $\mathrm{U}^{\sigma}=\emptyset$.

1. Let $\widetilde{\Gamma}_{\sigma} \subset\left(\mathrm{X} \times \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{X}\right)^{\sim}$ be the schematic closure of the graph $\Gamma_{\sigma} \subset \mathrm{U} \times{ }_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{U}$ of the restriction of $\sigma$. We define the logarithmic fixed part $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{log}}^{\sigma} \subset \mathrm{X}$ by

$$
\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{log}}^{\sigma}=\Delta_{\mathrm{X}}^{\log } \times_{(\mathrm{X} \times \mathrm{S} \mathrm{X})} \sim \widetilde{\Gamma}_{\sigma} .
$$

We assume that the intersection $\mathrm{X}_{\log }^{\sigma} \cap \Sigma_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{s}}$ with the support $\Sigma_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{s}}$ of $\Omega_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}}^{n}(\log \mathrm{D})$ is supported in the closed fiber set-theoretically. We call the logarithmic intersection product

$$
\left(\left(\widetilde{\Gamma}_{\sigma}, \Delta_{\mathrm{X}}^{\log }\right)\right)_{(\mathrm{X} \times \mathrm{S} \mathrm{X})^{\sim}} \in \mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\mathrm{X}_{\log }^{\sigma} \cap \Sigma_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}}\right)
$$

the logarithmic Lefschetz class.
2. We say $\sigma$ is admissible if the following condition is satisfied: For each irreducible component $\mathrm{D}_{i}$ of D , we have either $\sigma\left(\mathrm{D}_{i}\right)=\mathrm{D}_{i}$ or $\sigma\left(\mathrm{D}_{i}\right) \cap \mathrm{D}_{i}=\emptyset$.

We compute the logarithmic Lefschetz class using the Segre classes [10, 4.2], under a slightly weaker assumption than in [26, Lemma 5.4.8].

Lemma 5.1.5. - Let X be a regular flat separated scheme of finite type over S and $\mathrm{U}=\mathrm{X} \backslash \mathrm{D}$ be the complement of a divisor D with simple normal crossings. Let $\sigma$ be an automorphism of X over S such that $\sigma(\mathrm{U})=\mathrm{U}$ and $\mathrm{U}^{\sigma}=\emptyset$. We assume $\sigma$ is admissible. Let $\mathrm{D}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{D}_{m}$ be the irreducible components of D and we put $\tilde{\mathrm{U}}=\mathrm{X} \backslash \bigcup_{i: \sigma\left(\mathrm{D}_{i}\right) \cap \mathrm{D}_{i}=\emptyset} \mathrm{D}_{i}$. Assume further that there exists a dense open scheme of X smooth over S . Then,

1. Let $\gamma_{\tilde{\mathrm{U}}}: \widetilde{\mathrm{U}} \rightarrow \mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{X}$ be the restriction of $\gamma_{\tilde{\sim}}=(1, \sigma): \mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{X}$. Then it induces a closed immersion $\tilde{\gamma}: \widetilde{\mathrm{U}} \rightarrow\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{X}\right)^{\sim}$. The image $\widetilde{\Gamma}_{\sigma}=\tilde{\gamma}(\widetilde{\mathrm{U}}) \subset\left(\mathrm{X} \times \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{S}}\right)^{\sim}$ is the schematic closure of $\Gamma_{\sigma} \subset \mathrm{U} \times{ }_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{U}$.
2. Assume that the generic fiber $\mathbf{X}_{\log , \mathrm{K}}^{\sigma}$ is empty and let $s\left(\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{log}}^{\sigma}, \mathbf{X}\right)$ denote the Segre class of $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{log}}^{\sigma} \subset \mathrm{X}$. We put $n=\operatorname{dim} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{K}}+1$. Then the $\log$ Lefschetz class $\left(\left(\widetilde{\Gamma}_{\sigma}, \Delta_{\mathrm{X}}^{\log }\right)\right)_{(\mathrm{X} \times \mathrm{s} \mathrm{X})^{\sim}} \in \mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\mathrm{X}_{\log }^{\sigma}\right)$ is equal to the image of

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\{c\left(\Omega_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}}^{1}(\log \mathrm{D})\right)^{*} \cap s\left(\mathrm{X}_{\log }^{\sigma}, \mathrm{X}\right)\right\}_{\operatorname{dim} 0} \\
& \quad=\sum_{i=0}^{n}(-1)^{i} c_{i}\left(\Omega_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}}^{1}(\log \mathrm{D})\right) s_{n-i}\left(\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{log}}^{\sigma}, \mathrm{X}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

In particular, if the logarithmic fixed part $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{log}}^{\sigma}$ is a Cartier divisor of X , we have

$$
\left(\left(\Gamma_{\sigma}, \Delta_{\mathrm{X}}^{\log }\right)\right)_{(\mathrm{X} \times \mathrm{S} \mathrm{X})^{\sim}}=\left\{c\left(\Omega_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}}^{1}(\log \mathrm{D})\right)^{*} \cap\left(1+\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{log}}^{\sigma}\right)^{-1} \cap\left[\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{log}}^{\sigma}\right]\right\}_{\operatorname{dim} 0} .
$$

Proof. - 1. We set $\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{X}\right)^{0}=\mathrm{X} \times{ }_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{X}-\bigcup_{(i, j): \mathrm{D}_{i} \cap \mathrm{D}_{j}=\emptyset} \mathrm{D}_{i} \times \mathrm{D}_{j}$. By the definition of $\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{X}\right)^{\sim}$, we have $\mathrm{pr}_{1}^{-1}\left(\mathrm{D}_{i}\right)=\operatorname{pr}_{2}^{-1}\left(\mathrm{D}_{i}\right)$ in $\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{X}\right)^{\sim}$ for every irreducible component $D_{i}$ of $D$. Hence $\left(X x_{S} X\right)^{\sim}$ is a scheme over $\left(X x_{S} X\right)^{0}$. By the definition of $\widetilde{U}$, it is the inverse image of $\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{X}\right)^{0} \subset \mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{X}$ by the map $\gamma: \mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{X}$. Hence its restriction $\gamma_{\tilde{\mathrm{U}}}: \widetilde{\mathrm{U}} \rightarrow\left(\mathrm{X} \times{ }_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{X}\right)^{0}$ is a closed immersion.

By the assumption that $\sigma$ is admissible, the map $\gamma_{\tilde{\mathrm{U}}}: \widetilde{\mathrm{U}} \rightarrow\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{X}\right)^{0}$ induces a $\operatorname{map} \tilde{\gamma}: \widetilde{\mathrm{U}} \rightarrow\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{X}\right)^{\sim}$. Since $\gamma_{\tilde{\mathrm{U}}}: \widetilde{\mathrm{U}} \rightarrow\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{X}\right)^{0}$ is a closed immersion, the induced map $\tilde{\gamma}: \tilde{\mathrm{U}} \rightarrow\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{X}\right)^{\sim}$ is also a closed immersion.
2. By the assumption that $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{log}, \mathrm{K}}^{\sigma}$ is empty, the underlying set of $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{log}}^{\sigma}$ is a subset of the closed fiber $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{F}}$. We apply [26, Corollary 3.4.6], by taking $\mathrm{X} \rightarrow\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{S}}\right.$ $\mathrm{X})^{\sim} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}$ to be $\mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{S}$ and $\mathrm{X}_{\log }^{\sigma} \rightarrow \widetilde{\Gamma}_{\sigma} \rightarrow\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{X}\right)^{\sim}$ to be $\mathrm{T} \rightarrow \mathrm{W} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}$ in [26, Corollary 3.4.6]. Since $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{X} /\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{s} \mathrm{X}\right)^{\sim}}=\Omega_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}}^{1}(\log \mathrm{D})$, we obtain $\left(\left(\mathrm{X}, \widetilde{\Gamma}_{\sigma}\right)\right)_{(\mathrm{X} \times \mathrm{s} \mathrm{X})^{\sim}}=$ $\left\{c\left(\Omega_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}}^{1}(\log \mathrm{D})\right)^{*} \cap s\left(\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{log}}^{\sigma}, \widetilde{\Gamma}_{\sigma}\right)\right\}_{\operatorname{dim} 0}$. Since the open immersion $\widetilde{\Gamma}_{\sigma} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}$ induces the identity on $\mathbf{X}_{\sigma}^{\log }$, we have $s\left(\mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{log}}^{\sigma}, \Gamma_{\sigma}\right)=s\left(\mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{log}}^{\sigma}, \Delta_{\tilde{\mathrm{U}}}\right)=s\left(\mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{log}}^{\sigma}, \mathbf{X}\right)$. Thus the assertion is proved.

Corollary 5.1.6. - Assume further that $\sigma$ is of finite order and let $i$ be an integer prime to the order of $\sigma$. Then, we have $\left(\left(\Gamma_{\sigma}, \Delta_{\mathrm{V}}^{\log }\right)\right)=\left(\left(\Gamma_{\sigma^{i}}, \Delta_{\mathrm{V}}^{\log }\right)\right)$.

Proof. - Since $\mathrm{X}_{\log }^{\sigma}=\mathrm{X}_{\log }^{\sigma^{i}}$, the assertion follows from Lemma 5.1.5.2.
For isolated singular points, we have the following formula similarly as [27, Lemma 3.4.14].

Proposition 5.1.7. - Let X be a regular flat separated scheme of finite type over S and $\sigma$ be an automorphism of X over S . Assume that there exists a dense open subscheme of X smooth over S . Let $x \in \mathrm{X}$ be a closed point in the closed fiber and assume that the fixed part $\mathrm{X}^{\sigma}$ is set-theoretically equal to the set $\{x\}$.

Let $f: \mathrm{X}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}$ be the blow-up at $x$ and D be the exceptional divisor. Let $\left(\mathrm{X}^{\prime} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{X}^{\prime}\right)^{\sim}$ denote the $\log$ product with respect to D and $\widetilde{\Gamma}_{\sigma} \subset\left(\mathrm{X}^{\prime} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{X}^{\prime}\right)^{\sim}$ be the proper transform of the graph $\Gamma_{\sigma} \subset \mathrm{X} \times{ }_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{X}$ of $\sigma$. Then, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{*}\left(\left(\widetilde{\Gamma}_{\sigma}, \Delta_{\mathrm{X}^{\prime}}\right)\right)_{\left(\mathrm{X}^{\prime} \times \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{S}}\right)^{\prime} \sim}=\left[\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}^{\sigma}}\right]-[x] \tag{5.1.7.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left[\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}^{\sigma}}\right]=$ length $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}^{\sigma}} \cdot[x]$.
Proof. - We apply Lemma 5.1.5 to the automorphism $\sigma$ of $\mathrm{X}^{\prime}$ admissible with respect to the exceptional divisor D. By the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathrm{D} / \mathrm{F}}^{1} \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathrm{X}^{\prime} / \mathrm{S}}^{1}(\log \mathrm{D}) \otimes$ $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{D}} \xrightarrow{\text { res }} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{D}} \rightarrow 0$, the total Chern class satisfies $c\left(\Omega_{\mathrm{X}^{\prime} / \mathrm{S}}^{1}(\log \mathrm{D})\right)=c\left(\Omega_{\mathrm{D} / \mathrm{F}}^{1}\right)=c(\mathcal{O}(-1))^{n}=$ $(1-\mathrm{H})^{n}$ on D where H denote the class of the hyperplane of the projective space D . Hence, by Lemma 5.1.5, we obtain

$$
\left(\left(\widetilde{\Gamma}_{\sigma}, \Delta_{\mathrm{X}^{\prime}}\right)\right)_{\left(\mathrm{X}^{\prime} \times_{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{X}^{\prime}\right)^{\sim}}=\left\{(1+\mathrm{H})^{n} s\left(\mathrm{X}_{\log }^{\prime \sigma}, \mathrm{X}^{\prime}\right)\right\}_{\operatorname{dim} 0} .
$$

Let $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}}$ and $\mathcal{J} \subset \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}^{\prime}}$ denote the ideal sheaf of $\mathrm{X}^{\sigma}$ and the ideal sheaf of $\mathrm{X}_{\log }^{\prime \sigma}$ respectively. Then, since $\mathcal{I}$ is generated by $\sigma\left(t_{i}\right)-t_{i}$ for a minimal system ( $t_{i}$ ) of generators of the maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}_{x}$, we compute $f^{*} \mathcal{I}=\mathcal{J} \cdot \mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{D}}$. This means that $\mathrm{X}_{\log }^{\prime \sigma}$ is the residual scheme [10, Definition 9.2.1] to the Cartier divisor D in the inverse image $f^{*}\left(\mathrm{X}^{\sigma}\right)$. Hence by [10, Proposition 9.2], it implies that the Segre class satisfies

$$
s\left(f^{*}\left(\mathrm{X}^{\sigma}\right), \mathrm{X}^{\prime}\right)_{\operatorname{dim} 0}=\mathrm{H}^{n-1}+\left\{(1+\mathrm{H})^{n} s\left(\mathrm{X}_{\log }^{\prime \sigma}, \mathrm{X}^{\prime}\right)\right\}_{\operatorname{dim} 0}
$$

since the self intersection $\mathrm{D} \cdot \mathrm{D}$ is -H . Thus, we obtain

$$
f_{*}\left(\left(\widetilde{\Gamma}_{\sigma}, \Delta_{\mathrm{X}^{\prime}}\right)\right)_{\left(\mathrm{X}^{\prime} \times \mathrm{S}^{\prime}\right)^{\sim}}=f_{*} s\left(f^{*}\left(\mathrm{X}^{\sigma}\right), \mathrm{X}^{\prime}\right)_{\operatorname{dim} 0}-f_{*} \mathrm{H}^{n-1}
$$

By $f_{*} s\left(f^{*}\left(\mathbf{X}^{\sigma}\right), \mathrm{X}^{\prime}\right)_{\operatorname{dim} 0}=s\left(\mathrm{X}^{\sigma}, \mathrm{X}\right)_{\operatorname{dim} 0}=\left[\mathrm{X}^{\sigma}\right]\left[10\right.$, Proposition 4.2(2)] and $f_{*} \mathrm{H}^{n-1}=[x]$, the assertion follows.

In the case $X=\operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{L}}$ for a finite separable extension L of K , we obtain the following.

Corollary 5.1.8. - Let L be a finite separable extension of K and $\sigma$ be a non-trivial automorphism of L over K . We put $\mathrm{X}=\operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{L}}$ and let $\mathrm{J}_{\sigma} \subset \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{L}}$ be the ideal generated by $\sigma(a)-a$ for $a \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{L}}$ and $\sigma(b) / b-1$ for $b \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{L}}$ and $b \neq 0$. Then, we have

$$
\left(\left(\Gamma_{\sigma}, \Delta_{\mathrm{X}}^{\log }\right)\right)_{\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{X}\right)^{\sim}}=\text { length }_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{L}}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{L}} / \mathrm{J}_{\sigma} .
$$

5.2. The target groups. - Let $f: \mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}$ be a finite étale morphism of separated schemes of finite type over $\mathrm{S}=\operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{K}}$. In this subsection, we define an abelian group $\mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\partial_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathrm{W}\right)$ and a $\mathbf{Q}$-vector space $\mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\partial_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathrm{W}\right)_{\mathbf{Q}}$ for a separated scheme W of finite type over $V$. Assuming $U$ and $V$ are regular, for a finite étale scheme $V^{\prime}$ over $V$, invariants of wild ramification of $\mathrm{V}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}$ will be defined as elements of the group $\mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\partial_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathrm{V}^{\prime}\right)_{\mathbf{Q}}$ in Section 5.4. Without assuming the regularity of U and V , the definition is extended at the end of Section 6.2 as a consequence of the excision formula, Theorem 6.2.2.

Let $f: \mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}$ be a morphism of separated schemes of finite type over S . Recall that an open immersion $j: \mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}$ is schematically dense if the canonical map $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Y}} \rightarrow$ $j_{*} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{V}}$ is an injection. We define a category $\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}}$ of compactifications of $f: \mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}$ as follows:

- An object is a morphism $\bar{f}: \mathrm{Y} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}$ of proper schemes over S such that X and Y contain U and V respectively as schematically dense open subschemes and that the diagram
(5.2.0.1)

is commutative.
- A morphism $(g, h):\left(\bar{f}^{\prime}: \mathrm{Y}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow(\bar{f}: \mathrm{Y} \rightarrow \mathrm{X})$ is a pair of morphisms $g: \mathrm{X}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}$ and $h: \mathrm{Y}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}$ of schemes over S extending the identities of U and of V such that the diagram
(5.2.0.2)

is commutative.
Lemma 5.2.1. -Letf $: \mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}$ be a morphism of separated schemes of finite type over S .

1. The category $\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}}$ is cofiltered and in particular non-empty.
2. Iff $: \mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}$ is finite flat, then the objects $\bar{f}: \mathrm{Y} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}$ such that the diagram (5.2.0.1) is Cartesian and that $\bar{f}$ are finite flat are cofinal in the category $\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}}$.
3. If V is a G -torsor over U for a finite group G , the objects $\bar{f}: \mathrm{Y} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}$ such that the diagram (5.2.0.1) is Cartesian, that $\bar{f}$ are finite flat and that the action of G is extended to an action on Y over X are cofinal in the category $\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}}$.

Proof. - 1. By Nagata's embedding theorem [31], there exists a proper scheme X over S containing U as an open subscheme. After replacing X by the schematic closure of U, the open subscheme U is schematically dense in X. Further by Nagata's embedding theorem [31], there exists a proper scheme Y over X containing V as an open subscheme. After replacing Y by the schematic closure of V as above, we obtain an object $\mathrm{Y} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}$ of $\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}}$.

Let $\mathrm{Y} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}$ and $\mathrm{Y}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}^{\prime}$ be objects of $\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}}$. If there exists a map $(\mathrm{Y} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}) \rightarrow$ $\left(\mathrm{Y}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}^{\prime}\right)$ of $\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}}$, it is unique since V is assumed schematically dense. Let $\mathrm{X}^{\prime \prime}$ be the schematic closure of U in $\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{X}^{\prime}$ and $\mathrm{Y}^{\prime \prime}$ be the schematic closure of V in $\mathrm{X}^{\prime \prime} \times_{\mathrm{X}_{\times_{S} X^{\prime}}}$ $\left(\mathrm{Y} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{Y}^{\prime}\right)$. Then $\mathrm{Y}^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}^{\prime \prime}$ is an object of $\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}}$ and there exist unique maps $\left(\mathrm{Y}^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{X}^{\prime \prime}\right) \rightarrow(\mathrm{Y} \rightarrow \mathrm{X})$ and $\left(\mathrm{Y}^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}^{\prime \prime}\right) \rightarrow\left(\mathrm{Y}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}^{\prime}\right)$.
2. Let $\mathrm{Y} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}$ be an object of $\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}}$. Since V is schematically dense in Y , the diagram (5.2.0.1) is Cartesian. Then it follows from [36, 5.7.10] that there exists a blowup $\mathrm{X}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}$ inducing an isomorphism $\mathrm{U} \times_{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{X}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}$ such that the proper transform $\mathrm{Y}^{\prime}$ of $Y$ is finite flat over $\mathrm{X}^{\prime}$. After replacing $\mathrm{X}^{\prime}$ by the schematic closure of U , the immersion $\mathrm{U} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}$ is schematically dense. Since Y is flat over X , the immersion $\mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}$ is also schematically dense.
3. Let $\mathrm{Y} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}$ be an object of $\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}}$. By replacing Y by the schematic closure of the diagonal image of V in the fibered product $\prod_{\sigma \in G, \mathrm{X}} \mathrm{Y}$ over X , we may assume that Y carries an action of G . Then $\mathrm{Y}^{\prime}$ constructed in the proof of 2 also carries an action of G.

Recall that for an object Y of the category $\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{s}}$ of compactifications of V over S , the wild ramification locus $\Sigma_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathrm{Y} \subset \mathrm{Y}$ is defined as a closed subscheme in Definition
2.4.1. Further, if V is schematically dense in Y , the closed subsets $\Sigma_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathrm{Y}$ form a projective system by Lemma 2.1.3.

Definition 5.2.2. -Let $f: \mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}$ be a finite étale morphism of separated schemes of finite type over S .

1. We define an abelian group $\mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\partial_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathrm{U}\right)$ and a $\mathbf{Q}$-vector space $\mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\partial_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathrm{U}\right)_{\mathbf{Q}}$ as the inverse limits:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\partial_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathrm{U}\right)=\lim _{(\bar{f}: \mathrm{Y} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}) \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}}} \mathrm{~F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\bar{f}\left(\Sigma_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathrm{Y}\right)\right) \\
& \mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\partial_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathrm{U}\right)_{\mathbf{Q}}=\lim _{\bar{f}: \mathrm{Y} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}) \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}}}\left(\mathrm{~F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\bar{f}\left(\Sigma_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathrm{Y}\right)\right) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{Q}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

with respect to the proper push-forward maps.
2. Let W be a separated scheme offinite type over V . We define an abelian group $\mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\partial_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathrm{W}\right)$ and $a \mathbf{Q}$-vector space $\mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\partial_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathrm{W}\right)_{\mathbf{Q}}$ as the inverse limits:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\partial_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathrm{~W}\right)=\lim _{\left(\mathrm{Z} \rightarrow \underset{\mathrm{Y}}{\mathrm{~K}} \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{W} \rightarrow \mathrm{~V}}\right.} \mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\Sigma_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathrm{Y} \times_{\mathrm{Y}} \mathrm{Z}\right) \\
& \mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\partial_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathrm{~W}\right)_{\mathbf{Q}}=\lim _{(\mathrm{Z} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}) \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{W} \rightarrow \mathrm{~V}}}\left(\mathrm{~F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\Sigma_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathrm{Y} \times_{\mathrm{Y}} \mathrm{Z}\right) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{Q}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

with respect to the proper push-forward maps.
In the rest of this subsection, we will establish properties for $\mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\partial_{V / U} W\right)$. The same proof also works for $\mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\partial_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathrm{W}\right)_{\mathbf{Q}}$.

For an object $\mathrm{Z} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}$ of $\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{W} \rightarrow \mathrm{V}}$, we have a canonical map

$$
\mathrm{pr}_{\mathrm{Z}}: \mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\partial_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathrm{~W}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\Sigma_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathrm{Y} \times_{\mathrm{Y}} \mathrm{Z}\right)
$$

Since we will assume that the covering of the generic fibers $V_{K} \rightarrow U_{K}$ is tamely ramified with respect to K in the definition of the invariants in the next subsection, the group $\mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\partial_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathrm{W}\right)$ is generated by the classes supported on the closed fibers, in practice. The assumption is always satisfied if K is of characteristic 0 , by Corollary 2.4.5.

Lemma 5.2.3. - Let

be a commutative diagram of separated schemes of finite type over S such that the left square is Cartesian and that the map $\mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}$ is finite étale. Then, the push-forward maps induce

$$
\begin{align*}
& g_{!}: \mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\partial_{\mathrm{V}^{\prime} / \mathrm{U}^{\prime}} \mathrm{W}^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\partial_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathrm{~W}\right), \\
& g_{!}: \mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\partial_{\mathrm{V}^{\prime} / \mathrm{U}^{\prime}} \mathrm{W}^{\prime}\right)_{\mathbf{Q}} \rightarrow \mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\partial_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathrm{~W}\right)_{\mathbf{Q}} . \tag{5.2.3.2}
\end{align*}
$$

If $g$ is proper, we write $g_{*}=g_{!}$in (5.2.3.2).

Proof. - We define a category $\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{W}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{V}^{\prime} / \mathrm{W} \rightarrow \mathrm{V}}$ consisting of commutative diagrams

of schemes over S compatible with the right square of (5.2.3.1) such that $\mathrm{Z} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}$ and $\mathrm{Z}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}^{\prime}$ are objects of $\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{W} \rightarrow \mathrm{V}}$ and of $\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{W}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{V}^{\prime}}$ respectively. By Lemma 2.1.3, for an object of $\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{W}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{V}^{\prime} / \mathrm{W} \rightarrow \mathrm{V}}$ we have $\bar{h}\left(\Sigma_{\mathrm{V}^{\prime} / \mathrm{U}^{\prime}} \mathrm{Y}^{\prime}\right) \subset \Sigma_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathrm{Y}$ and the push-forward map $\bar{g}_{*}: \mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\Sigma_{\mathrm{V}^{\prime} / \mathrm{U}^{\prime}} \mathrm{Y}^{\prime} \times_{\mathrm{Y}^{\prime}} \mathrm{Z}^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\Sigma_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathrm{Y} \times_{\mathrm{Y}} \mathrm{Z}\right)$ is defined. Similarly as in Lemma 5.2.1, the image of $\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{W}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{V}^{\prime} / \mathrm{W} \rightarrow \mathrm{V}}$ in $\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{W}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{V}^{\prime}}$ is cofinal. Hence the map $g_{!}: \mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\partial_{\mathrm{V}^{\prime} / \mathrm{U}^{\prime}} \mathrm{W}^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow$ $\mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\partial_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathrm{W}\right)$ is defined as the limit. The map $g_{!}: \mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\partial_{\mathrm{V}^{\prime} / \mathrm{U}^{\prime}} \mathrm{W}^{\prime}\right)_{\mathbf{Q}} \rightarrow \mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\partial_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathrm{W}\right)_{\mathbf{Q}}$ is defined similarly.

Lemma 5.2.4. —Let $f: \mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}$ be a finite étale morphism of separated schemes of finite type over S and let $\mathrm{g}: \mathrm{W}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{W}$ be a finite flat morphism of separated schemes of finite type over V .

1. The pull-back maps induce a map

$$
g^{*}: \mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\partial_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathrm{~W}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\partial_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathrm{~W}^{\prime}\right)
$$

2. Assume that $g: \mathrm{W}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{W}$ is of degree $d$. Then, the composition $g_{*} \circ g^{*}: \mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\partial_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathrm{W}\right) \rightarrow$ $\mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\partial_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathrm{W}\right)$ is multiplication by $d$.
3. Assume that $\mathrm{W}^{\prime}$ is a G -torsor over W for a finite group G . Then, the composition $g^{*} \circ$ $g_{*}: \mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\partial_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathrm{W}^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\partial_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathrm{W}^{\prime}\right)$ is equal to $\sum_{\sigma \in \mathrm{G}} \sigma^{*}$. Consequently, $g^{*}: \mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\partial_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathrm{W}\right)_{\mathbf{Q}} \rightarrow$ $\mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\partial_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathrm{W}^{\prime}\right)_{\mathbf{Q}}$ is an isomorphism to the G -fixed part.

Proof. - 1. By Lemma 5.2.1.2, it suffices to show the following: Let $\left(h^{\prime}, h\right)$ : $\left(\left(\bar{g}_{1}, \mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{Y}}\right):\left(\mathrm{Z}_{1}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}\right) \rightarrow\left(\mathrm{Z}_{1} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}\right)\right) \rightarrow\left(\left(\bar{g}, \mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{Y}}\right):\left(\mathrm{Z}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}\right) \rightarrow(\mathrm{Z} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y})\right)$ be a morphism in the category $\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{W}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{V} / \mathrm{W} \rightarrow \mathrm{V}}$ defined in the proof of Lemma 5.2 .3 such that $\bar{g}_{1}: \mathrm{Z}_{1}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{Z}_{1}$ and $\bar{g}: \mathrm{Z}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{Z}$ are finite flat and that the maps $\mathrm{W}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{W} \times_{\mathrm{Z}_{1}} \mathrm{Z}_{1}^{\prime}$ and $\mathrm{W}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{W} \times_{\mathrm{Z}} \mathrm{Z}^{\prime}$ are
isomorphisms. Then, the diagram

is commutative.
Since the diagram is commutative if $Z_{1}^{\prime}=Z^{\prime} \times_{Z} Z_{1}$, we may assume $h$ is the identity. Let $z \in \Sigma_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathrm{Y} \times_{\mathrm{Y}} \mathrm{Z}$ be a closed point. Then since the base changes of the finite flat morphisms $\mathrm{Z}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{Z}$ and $\mathrm{Z}_{1}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{Z}_{1}=\mathrm{Z}$ to the henselization are decomposed into the disjoint unions of the spectra of local rings, the class $\bar{g}^{*}[z]=\left[\bar{g}^{-1}(z)\right]$ is equal to $h_{*}^{\prime}\left(\bar{g}_{1}^{*}[z]\right)=h_{*}^{\prime}\left(\left[\bar{g}_{1}^{-1}(z)\right]\right)$. Hence the assertion follows.
2. By Lemma 5.2.1.2, it suffices to consider objects $\bar{g}: \mathrm{Z}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{Z}$ of $\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{W}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{W}}$ such that $\bar{g}$ is finite flat of degree $d$. Then, for a closed point $z \in \Sigma_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathrm{Y} \times{ }_{\mathrm{Y}} \mathrm{Z}$, we have $\bar{g}_{*} \bar{g}^{*}[z]=d[z]$ and the assertion follows.
3. Similarly as in the proof of 2, by Lemma 5.2.1.3, it suffices to consider finite flat objects $\mathrm{Z}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{Z}$ of $\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{W}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{W}}$ such that the G -action is extended. Then, for a closed point $z \in \Sigma_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathrm{Y} \times{ }_{\mathrm{Y}} \mathrm{Z}^{\prime}$, we have $\bar{g}^{*} \bar{g}_{*}[z]=\sum_{\sigma \in \mathrm{G}}[\sigma z]$ and the assertion follows.

Similarly, the push-forward map

$$
f_{*}: \mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\partial_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathrm{~V}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\partial_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathrm{U}\right)
$$

and, if V is a G-torsor over U , the pull-back map

$$
f^{*}: \mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\partial_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathrm{U}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\partial_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathrm{~V}\right)
$$

are defined. The following Lemma is proved in the same way as Lemma 5.2.4.
Lemma 5.2.5. - Let $f: \mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}$ be a finite étale morphism of separated schemes of finite type over S and assume that V is a G -torsor over U for a finite group G of order $d$.

The composition $f_{*} \circ f^{*}: \mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\partial_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathrm{U}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\partial_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathrm{U}\right)$ is multiplication by $d$ and the composition $f^{*} \circ f_{*}: \mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\partial_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathrm{V}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\partial_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathrm{V}\right)$ is equal to $\sum_{\sigma \in \mathrm{G}} \sigma^{*}$. Consequently, $f^{*}: \mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\partial_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathrm{U}\right)_{\mathbf{Q}} \rightarrow \mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\partial_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathrm{V}\right)_{\mathbf{Q}}$ is an isomorphism to the G -fixed part.

Let $\mathrm{V}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}$ be finite étale morphisms of separated schemes of finite type over S. Then, for an object $g: \mathrm{Y}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}$ of $\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{V}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{V}}$, we have an inclusion $\Sigma_{\mathrm{V}^{\prime} / \mathrm{U}} \mathrm{Y}^{\prime} \subset$ $g^{-1}\left(\Sigma_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathrm{Y}\right)$ by Lemma 2.1.3. Hence, for a separated scheme W of finite type over $\mathrm{V}^{\prime}$, a canonical map
(5.2.5.1) $\quad \mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\partial_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathrm{W}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\partial_{\mathrm{V}^{\prime} / \mathrm{U}} \mathrm{W}\right)$
is defined.

Similarly, let $\mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{U} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}^{\prime}$ be finite étale morphisms of separated schemes of finite type over S. Then, for an object Y of $\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{S}}$, we have an inclusion $\Sigma_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathrm{Y} \subset \Sigma_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}^{\prime}} \mathrm{Y}$ by Lemma 2.1.3. Hence, for a separated scheme W of finite type over V , a canonical map

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\partial_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathrm{~W}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\partial_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}^{\prime}} \mathrm{W}\right) \tag{5.2.5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

is defined.
We introduce a variant.
Definition 5.2.6. - Let U be a separated scheme of finite type over S and $\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{U} / \mathrm{S}}$ be the category of compactifications defined in the beginning of Section 2.3.

1. We define an abelian group $\mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\partial_{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{U}\right)$ and a $\mathbf{Q}$-vector space $\mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\partial_{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{U}\right)_{\mathbf{Q}}$ as the inverse limits:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\partial_{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{U}\right) & =\lim _{\mathrm{X} \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{U} / \mathrm{S}}} \mathrm{~F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{~F}\right), \\
\mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\partial_{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{U}\right)_{\mathbf{Q}} & ={\underset{\mathrm{X} \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{U} / \mathrm{S}}}{\lim _{0}}\left(\mathrm{~F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{~F}\right) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{Q}\right)}^{\text {and }} \text { ) }
\end{aligned}
$$

with respect to the proper push-forward maps.
2. For a morphism $f: \mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}$ of separated schemes of finite type over S , we define a map

$$
f_{!}: \mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\partial_{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{~V}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\partial_{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{U}\right)
$$

to be the limit of the push-forward maps.
Iff is proper, we write $f_{*}=f_{!}$.
Let $f: \mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}$ be a finite étale morphism of separated schemes of finite type over S such that the generic fiber $f_{\mathrm{K}}: \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{K}} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{K}}$ is tamely ramified with respect to K . Then, the objects Y of the category $\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{S}}$ of compactifications of V satisfying set-theoretical inclusions $\Sigma_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathrm{Y} \subset \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{F}}$ are cofinal in $\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{s}}$. Hence, we have a canonical map

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\partial_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathrm{~V}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\partial_{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{~V}\right) \tag{5.2.6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

5.3. Definition of invariants of wild ramification. - In this subsection, we define invariants of wild ramification without assuming the regularity of compactification.

First, we recall the existence of an alteration.
Lemma 5.3.1 ([6, Theorem 6.5]). - Let X be a flat separated scheme of finite type over $\mathrm{S}=\operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{K}}$ and $\mathrm{U} \subset \mathrm{X}$ be a dense open subscheme. Then, there exist a scheme Z over S and a morphism $\bar{h}: \mathrm{Z} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}$ over S satisfying the following conditions:
(5.3.1.1) The scheme $\mathbf{Z}$ is regular flat separated of finite type over S and $\mathrm{W}=\bar{h}^{-1}(\mathrm{U})$ is the complement of a divisor D with simple normal crossings.
(5.3.1.2) The morphism $\bar{h}: \mathrm{Z} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}$ is proper, surjective and generically finite.

We give some sufficient conditions for simultaneous good alterations for a scheme Y and for a weakly semi-stable scheme $\mathrm{Y}^{\prime}$ over Y . This will be used in Proposition 6.3.2.

Corollary 5.3.2. - Let Y be a flat separated scheme offinite type over S and $\mathrm{V} \subset \mathrm{Y}$ be a dense open subscheme. Let $\mathrm{Y}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}$ be a weakly semi-stable scheme such that the base change $\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{V}}^{\prime}=\mathrm{Y}^{\prime} \times{ }_{\mathrm{Y}} \mathrm{V}$ is smooth over V . We assume that either of the following conditions is satisfied:
(5.3.2.1a) $\mathrm{Y}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}$ is a curve.
(5.3.2.1b) There exist a morphism $\bar{g}_{0}: \mathrm{Z}_{0} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}$ of schemes over S satisfying the conditions (5.3.1.1) and (5.3.1.2) with X and $\bar{h}$ replaced by Y and $\bar{g}_{0}$ and a $\log$ blow-up $\mathrm{Z}_{0}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}^{\prime} \times_{\mathrm{Y}} \mathrm{Z}_{0}$ inducing an isomorphism $\mathrm{Z}_{0}^{\prime} \times_{\mathrm{Z}_{0}} \mathrm{~W}_{0} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}^{\prime} \times_{\mathrm{Y}} \mathrm{W}_{0}$ where $\mathrm{W}_{0}=\bar{g}_{0}^{-1}(\mathrm{~V})$. Further, $\mathrm{Z}_{0}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{Z}_{0}$ is weakly strictly semi-stable and satisfies the condition (1.2.3.1) with $\mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{S}$ replaced by $\mathrm{Z}_{0}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{Z}_{0}$.
Then, there exist a regular flat scheme Z over S , a proper surjective and generically finite morphism $\bar{g}: \mathrm{Z} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}$ and a $\log$ blow-up $\mathrm{Z}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}^{\prime} \times_{\mathrm{Y}} \mathrm{Z}$ satisfying the following conditions: The inverse image $\mathrm{W}=\bar{g}^{-1}(\mathrm{~V})$ is the complement of a divisor $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{Z}}$ with simple normal crossings, the induced map $\mathrm{Z}^{\prime} \times_{\mathrm{Z}} \mathrm{W} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}^{\prime} \times_{\mathrm{Y}} \mathrm{W}$ is an isomorphism, the map $\mathrm{Z}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{Z}$ is weakly strictly semi-stable, the scheme $\mathrm{Z}^{\prime}$ is regular and the divisor $\mathrm{Z}^{\prime} \times_{\mathrm{Z}} \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{Z}}$ has simple normal crossings.

Only the case (5.3.2.1a) will be used in the proof of the conductor formula.
Proof. - First, we consider the case where $\mathrm{Y}^{\prime}$ is a curve over Y. By replacing Y by a finite covering obtained by adjoining some square roots, we may assume that Y satisfies the condition (1.1.4.1) in Lemma 1.1.4. By Lemma 5.3.1, there exist a regular flat scheme Z over S , a proper surjective and generically finite morphism $\bar{g}: \mathrm{Z} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}$ such that inverse image $\mathrm{W}=\bar{g}^{-1}(\mathrm{~V})$ is the complement of a divisor $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{Z}}$ with simple normal crossings. Then, it suffices to apply Lemmas 1.1.4 and 1.2.2.

If (5.3.2.1b) is satisfied, it suffices to apply Lemma 1.2.3.
Proposition 5.3.3. - Let $f: \mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}$ be a finite étale morphism of regular flat separated schemes offinite type over S . Let Y be aflat separated scheme offinite type over S containing V as an open subscheme and let $\mathcal{D}=\left(\mathrm{D}_{i}\right)_{i \in \mathrm{I}}$ be a finite family of Cartier divisors of Y satisfying $\mathrm{V}=\mathrm{Y} \backslash \bigcup_{i \in \mathrm{I}} \mathrm{D}_{i}$ and $\Sigma_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}}^{\mathcal{D}} \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{K}}=\emptyset$. Let $\mathrm{A}_{\mathcal{D}} \subset\left(\mathrm{Y} \times{ }_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{Y}\right)_{\mathcal{D}}^{\sim}$ denote the closure $\overline{\left(\mathrm{V} \times_{\mathrm{U}} \mathrm{V} \backslash \Delta_{\mathrm{V}}\right)}$.

Let
(5.3.3.1)

be a Cartesian diagram of separated scheme of finite type over S satisfying the following conditions:
(5.3.3.1a) The scheme Z is regular and flat over S and W is the complement of a divisor D with simple normal crossings.
(5.3.3.1b) The scheme X contains U as the complement of a Cartier divisor B and we have $h=f \circ g$.
Let $\left(\mathrm{Z} \times_{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{Z}\right)^{\sim} \subset\left(\mathrm{Z} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{Z}\right)^{\sim}$ be the $\log$ products defined by the family $\left(\mathrm{D}_{j}^{\prime}\right)_{j}$ of irreducible components of the complement $\mathrm{Z} \backslash \mathrm{W}$ and by $\mathrm{B} \subset \mathrm{X}$ (5.3.3.1b). Let $\mathrm{A} \subset\left(\mathrm{Z} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{Z}\right)^{\sim}$ denote the intersection $(\bar{g} \times \bar{g})^{\sim-1}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{\mathcal{D}}\right) \cap\left(\mathrm{Z} \times \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{Z}\right)^{\sim}$.

We put $n=\operatorname{dim} Z$. Then, there exists a unique map

$$
\left(\left(, \Delta_{\mathrm{Z}}^{\mathrm{log}}\right)\right): \mathrm{Gr}_{\cdot}^{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{G}\left(\mathrm{~W} \times_{\mathrm{U}} \mathrm{~W} \backslash \mathrm{~W} \times_{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{~W}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{Gr}_{\cdot-n}^{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{G}\left(\Sigma_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}}^{\mathcal{D}} \mathrm{Y} \times_{\mathrm{Y}} \mathrm{Z}\right)
$$

that makes the diagram
(5.3.3.2)

$$
\operatorname{Gr}_{\bullet}^{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{G}\left(\mathrm{~W} \times_{\mathrm{U}} \mathrm{~W} \backslash \mathrm{~W} \times_{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{~W}\right) \xrightarrow\left[\left(\left(, \Delta_{\mathrm{Z}}^{\log ))}\right]{ } \mathrm{Gr}_{\bullet-n}^{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{G}\left(\Sigma_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}}^{\mathcal{D}} \mathrm{Y} \times_{\mathrm{Y}} \mathrm{Z}\right)\right.\right.
$$

commutative.
In the characterization of the map $\left(\left(, \Delta_{\mathrm{Z}}^{\log }\right)\right): \mathrm{Gr}_{\cdot}^{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{G}\left(\mathrm{W} \times_{\mathrm{U}} \mathrm{W} \backslash \mathrm{W} \times_{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{W}\right) \rightarrow$ $\mathrm{Gr}_{\cdot-n}^{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{G}\left(\Sigma_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}}^{\mathcal{D}} \mathrm{Y} \times_{\mathrm{Y}} \mathrm{Z}\right)$ in (5.3.3.2), we may replace A by the closure of $\mathrm{W} \times_{\mathrm{U}} \mathrm{W} \backslash \mathrm{W} \times_{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{W}$.

Since the definition of the $\log$ product $\left(\mathrm{Z} \times_{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{Z}\right)^{\sim}$ involves also the Cartier divisor $B \subset X$, it could be better denoted by $\left(Z \times_{\mathbf{x}} Z\right)^{\sim}$. However, since we always consider the $\log$ product with B as long as the base is X , we will use the notation $\left(\mathrm{Z} \times_{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{Z}\right)^{\sim}$.

Proof. - We show that the condition ( $\mathrm{A}^{\prime}$ ) after Definition 4.3.2 and (B) in Proposition 4.3.5 are satisfied. By the assumption that the generic fiber of $\Sigma_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}}^{\mathcal{D}} \mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{A}_{\mathcal{D}} \cap$ $\Delta_{\mathrm{Y}}^{\log }$ is empty, the intersection $\mathrm{A} \cap \Delta_{\mathrm{Z}}^{\log } \subset(\bar{g} \times \bar{g})^{\sim-1}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{\mathcal{D}} \cap \Delta_{\mathrm{Y}}^{\log }\right)$ is supported on the closed fiber. Hence, the condition $\left(\mathrm{A}^{\prime}\right)$ after Definition 4.3 .2 is satisfied and the map $\left(\left(, \Delta_{\mathrm{Z}}^{\log }\right)\right)_{(\mathrm{Z} \times \mathrm{s} \mathrm{Z})^{\sim}}: \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{A}) \rightarrow \mathrm{G}\left(\Sigma_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}}^{\mathcal{D}} \mathrm{Y} \times_{\mathrm{Y}} \mathrm{Z}\right)$ is defined.

Let $\left(\mathrm{D}_{j}^{\prime}\right)_{j}$ be the irreducible components of $\mathrm{Z} \backslash \mathrm{W}$ and we put $\bar{h}^{*} \mathrm{~B}=\sum_{j} l_{j} \mathrm{D}_{j}^{\prime}$. Since $\mathrm{W}=\bar{h}^{-1}(\mathrm{U})$, we have $l_{j}>0$ for each irreducible component $\mathrm{D}_{j}^{\prime}$. By Lemma 1.3.2.2, the intersection $\mathrm{A} \cap \mathbf{G}_{m, \mathrm{D}_{j}^{\prime}} \subset(\mathrm{Z} \times \mathrm{x} \mathrm{Z})^{\sim} \cap \mathbf{G}_{m, \mathrm{D}_{j}^{\prime}}$ is a closed subscheme of $\mu_{j, \mathrm{D}_{j}^{\prime}}$ for each irreducible component $\mathrm{D}_{j}^{\prime}$. Hence the condition (B) in Proposition 4.3.5 is also satisfied. Since the intersection $A^{\circ}=A \cap\left(W \times_{U} W\right)$ is equal to $W \times_{U} W \backslash W \times_{V} W$, there exists a unique map $\left(\left(, \Delta_{\mathrm{Z}}^{\log }\right)\right): \mathrm{Gr}_{\bullet}^{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{G}\left(\mathrm{W} \times_{\mathrm{U}} \mathrm{W} \backslash \mathrm{W} \times_{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{W}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{Gr}_{\bullet-n}^{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{G}\left(\Sigma_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}}^{\mathcal{D}} \mathrm{Y} \times{ }_{\mathrm{Y}} \mathrm{Z}\right)$ making the diagram (5.3.3.2) commutative by Proposition 4.3.5.

The map $\left(\left(, \Delta_{\mathrm{Z}}^{\log }\right)\right)$ is compatible with the pull-back as follows.

Corollary 5.3.4. - We keep the notation in Proposition 5.3.3. Further, let Z ' be a regular separated scheme of finite type over S and $\pi: \mathrm{Z}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{Z}$ be a morphism over S such that $\mathrm{W}^{\prime}=\pi^{-1}(\mathrm{~W})$ is the complement of a divisor with simple normal crossings.

We assume $\operatorname{dim} \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{K}}^{\prime}+1=\operatorname{dim} \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{K}}+1=n$. Then, we have a commutative diagram
(5.3.4.1)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Gr}_{\bullet}^{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{G}\left(\mathrm{~W}^{\prime} \times_{\mathrm{U}} \mathrm{~W}^{\prime} \backslash \mathrm{W}^{\prime} \times_{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{~W}^{\prime}\right) \xrightarrow{\left(\left(, \Delta_{\mathrm{Z}^{\prime}}^{\left.\left.\mathrm{log}_{\mathrm{I}}\right)\right)}\right.\right.} \operatorname{Gr}_{\bullet-n}^{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{G}\left(\Sigma_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}}^{\mathcal{D}} \mathrm{Y} \times{ }_{\mathrm{Y}} \mathrm{Z}^{\prime}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof.— It suffices to apply Lemma 4.3.3.1.
We introduce a category of alterations. Let $f: \mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}$ be a proper morphism of separated reduced schemes of finite type over S . We define a category $\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}}$ of alterations as follows:

- An object is a proper, surjective and generically finite morphism $\bar{g}: \mathrm{Z} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}$ of proper schemes over S such that Y contains V as a schematically dense open subscheme satisfying the following conditions:
(5.3.5.1a) The scheme Z is regular and flat over S and $\mathrm{W}=\bar{g}^{-1}(\mathrm{~V})$ is the complement of a divisor D with simple normal crossings. There exists a dense open subscheme $\mathrm{V}_{0}$ of V such that $\bar{g}^{-1}\left(\mathrm{~V}_{0}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{V}_{0}$ is finite flat of constant rank.
(5.3.5.1b) There exists a proper scheme X over S containing U as the complement of a Cartier divisor B and a Cartesian diagram
(5.3.5.1)

of schemes over S where $g: \mathrm{W} \rightarrow \mathrm{V}$ is the restriction of $\bar{g}: \mathrm{Z} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}$.
- A morphism $(\bar{\pi}, \varphi):\left(\bar{g}^{\prime}: \mathrm{Z}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow(\bar{g}: \mathrm{Z} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y})$ is a pair of a proper, surjective and generically finite morphism $\bar{\pi}: Z^{\prime} \rightarrow Z$ over $S$ and a morphism $\varphi: \mathrm{Y}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}$ of schemes over S such that the diagram
(5.3.5.2)

is commutative and that there exists a dense open subscheme $Z_{0}$ of $Z$ such that $\bar{\pi}^{-1}\left(Z_{0}\right) \rightarrow Z_{0}$ is finite flat of constant rank.

Lemma 5.3.5. - Let $f: \mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}$ be a proper, surjective and generically finite morphism of separated schemes of finite type over S .

1. For an object Y of the category $\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{s}}$ of compactifications containing V as a schematically dense open subscheme, there exists an object $\bar{g}: \mathrm{Z} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}$ of the category $\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}}$ of alterations.
2. The category $\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}}$ is cofiltered.

Proof. - 1. By Nagata's embedding theorem [31], there exists a proper scheme X over $S$ containing U as an open subscheme. By replacing X by a blow-up at the complement $\mathrm{X} \backslash \mathrm{U}$ if necessary, we may assume $\mathrm{U} \subset \mathrm{X}$ is the complement of a Cartier divisor B . By replacing Y by the closure of the graph $\Gamma_{f} \subset \mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{Y}$, we may assume there exists a morphism $\bar{f}: \mathrm{Y} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}$ such that $\bar{f}^{-1}(\mathrm{U})=\mathrm{V}$ and $\left.\bar{f}\right|_{\mathrm{V}}=f$. Then, it suffices to apply Lemma 5.3.1 to the open immersion $\mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}$ and to take some disjoint union of connected component.
2. It suffices to apply Lemma 5.3 .1 to the open immersion $\mathrm{W} \times_{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{W}^{\prime} \rightarrow$ $\overline{\mathrm{W} \times_{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{W}^{\prime}} \subset \mathrm{Z} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{Z}^{\prime}$ and to take some disjoint union of connected component.

Note that the condition (5.3.5.1b) is satisfied if we have an object $\bar{f}: \mathrm{Y} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}$ of $\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}}$ such that X contains U as the complement of a Cartier divisor B . If $\mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}$ is a Galois covering, such an object may be constructed as follows.

Lemma 5.3.6. - Let $f: \mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}$ be a finite étale morphism of regular separated scheme of finite type over S and $\mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}$ be an open immersion of separated schemes of finite type over S . Let $\mathcal{D}=\left(\mathrm{D}_{i}\right)_{i \in \mathrm{I}}$ be a finite family of Cartier divisors of Y such that V is the complement of the union $\bigcup_{i \in \mathrm{I}} \mathrm{D}_{i}$. Assume that V is a G -torsor over U and that the action of G is extended to Y and on $\mathcal{D}$. Assume further that the action of G on Y is admissible in the sense that the quotient $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{G}$ is defined as a scheme.

Then, the canonical map $\bar{f}: \mathrm{Y} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}$ is finite, the quotient X is separated of finite type over S and there exists a Cartier divisor B of X such that the complement is U .

Proof. - It suffices to show the existence of B . By the assumption the sum $\mathrm{D}=$ $\sum_{i} \mathrm{D}_{i}$ is stable by the action of G and $\mathrm{V}=\mathrm{Y} \backslash \mathrm{D}$. The norm B of D is defined as a Cartier divisor of X since $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}} \rightarrow \bar{f}_{*} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Y}}$ is injective. Since the inverse image of the complement $\mathrm{X} \backslash \mathrm{B}$ is V , we obtain $\mathrm{U}=\mathrm{X} \backslash \mathrm{B}$.

Let $f: \mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}$ be a finite étale morphism of regular separated schemes of finite type over S . For a morphism $g^{\prime}: \mathrm{W} \rightarrow \mathrm{V}^{\prime}$ of regular schemes of finite type over V , the pull-back map

$$
\left(g^{\prime} \times g^{\prime}\right)^{*}: \mathrm{Gr}_{n}^{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{G}\left(\mathrm{~V}^{\prime} \times_{\mathrm{U}} \mathrm{~V}^{\prime} \backslash \mathrm{V}^{\prime} \times_{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{~V}^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{Gr}_{n}^{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{G}\left(\mathrm{~W} \times_{\mathrm{U}} \mathrm{~W} \backslash \mathrm{~W} \times_{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{~W}\right)
$$

by $g^{\prime} \times g^{\prime}: \mathrm{W} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{W} \rightarrow \mathrm{V}^{\prime} \times{ }_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{V}^{\prime}$ is defined by Corollary 4.1.5 and Lemma 3.1.4.

Theorem 5.3.7. - Let $f: \mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}$ be a finite étale morphism of regular separated schemes of finite type over $\mathrm{S}=\operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{K}}$ such that $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{K}} \rightarrow \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{K}}$ is tamely ramified with respect to K . Let $\mathrm{V}^{\prime}$ be a regular flat scheme of finite type over S and $\mathrm{V}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{V}$ be a proper morphism over S . We assume that $\operatorname{dim} \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{K}}=\operatorname{dim} \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{K}}^{\prime}$ and put $n=\operatorname{dim} \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{K}}+1$.

Then, there exists a unique map

## (5.3.7.1)

$$
\left(\left(, \Delta_{\mathrm{V}^{\prime}}\right)\right)^{\log }: \operatorname{Gr}_{n}^{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{G}\left(\mathrm{~V}^{\prime} \times_{\mathrm{U}} \mathrm{~V}^{\prime} \backslash \mathrm{V}^{\prime} \times{ }_{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{~V}^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\partial_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathrm{~V}^{\prime}\right)_{\mathbf{Q}}
$$

satisfying the following property:
For an object Y of $\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{S}}$, a finite family $\mathcal{D}$ of Cartier divisors of Y such that $\Sigma_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathrm{Y}=\Sigma_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}}^{\mathcal{D}} \mathrm{Y}$ (Definition 2.4.1.1), an object $\mathrm{Y}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}$ of $\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{V}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{V}}$ and an object $\bar{g}^{\prime}: \mathrm{Z} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}^{\prime}$ of $\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{V}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}}$ such that $g^{\prime}$ is generically of constant degree $\left[\mathrm{W}: \mathrm{V}^{\prime}\right]$, the diagram
(5.3.7.2)

is commutative, where $g^{\prime}: \mathrm{W}=\bar{g}^{\prime-1}\left(\mathrm{~V}^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{V}^{\prime}$ is the restriction of $g^{\prime}: \mathrm{Z} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}^{\prime}$.
Proof. - By the remark after Definition 2.4.1, there exist an object Y of $\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{s}}$ and a finite family $\mathcal{D}$ of Cartier divisors of Y such that $\Sigma_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathrm{Y}=\Sigma_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}}^{\mathcal{D}} \mathrm{Y}$. Further since $\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{V}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{V}}$ is non-empty, there exist an object $\mathrm{Y}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}$ of $\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{V}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{V}}$ and an object $\bar{g}^{\prime}: \mathrm{Z} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}^{\prime}$ of $\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{V}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}}$ by Lemma 5.3.5.1. By the definition of $\mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\partial_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathrm{V}^{\prime}\right)_{\mathbf{Q}}$ as the projective limit, it suffices to show that the composition of the lower maps in the diagram (5.3.7.2) is independent of the choice of an object $\bar{g}^{\prime}: \mathrm{Z} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}^{\prime}$ of $\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{V}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}}$ and that the compositions form an inverse system with respect to objects $\mathrm{Y}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}$ of $\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{V}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{V}}$.

The categories $\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{V}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{V}}$ and $\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{V}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}}$ are cofiltered by Lemmas 5.2.1.1 and 5.3.5.2. Hence, it suffices to show that the diagram
(5.3.7.3)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Gr}_{n}^{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{G}\left(\mathrm{~W} \times_{\mathrm{U}} \mathrm{~W} \backslash \mathrm{~W} \times_{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{~W}\right) \xrightarrow{(\pi \times \pi)^{*}} \operatorname{Gr}_{n}^{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{G}\left(\mathrm{~W}_{1} \times_{\mathrm{U}} \mathrm{~W}_{1} \backslash \mathrm{~W}_{1} \times_{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{~W}_{1}\right) \\
& \left(\left(, \Delta_{Z}^{\left.\left.\log _{Z}\right)\right)} \downarrow \quad \downarrow\left(\left(, \Delta_{Z_{1}}^{\left.\left.\log _{5}\right)\right)}\right.\right.\right.\right. \\
& \mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\Sigma_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathrm{Y} \times_{\mathrm{Y}} \mathrm{Z}\right) \\
& \frac{1}{\left(W: v_{1}\right)^{g^{*}}} \downarrow \\
& \mathrm{~F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\Sigma_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathrm{Y} \times{ }_{\mathrm{Y}} \mathrm{Y}^{\prime}\right)_{\mathbf{Q}} \quad \stackrel{\varphi_{*}}{\longleftrightarrow} \quad \mathrm{~F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\Sigma_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathrm{Y}_{1} \times{ }_{\mathrm{Y}_{1}} \mathrm{Y}_{1}^{\prime}\right)_{\mathbf{Q}}
\end{aligned}
$$

is commutative for morphisms $(\varphi, \psi):\left(\mathrm{Y}_{1}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}_{1}\right) \rightarrow\left(\mathrm{Y}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}\right)$ of $\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{V}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{V}}$ and $(\bar{\pi}, \varphi):$ $\left(\bar{g}_{1}: \mathrm{Z}_{1} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}_{1}^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow\left(\bar{g}: \mathrm{Z} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}^{\prime}\right)$ of $\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{V}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}}$ where $\pi: \mathrm{W}_{1} \rightarrow \mathrm{~W}$ denotes the restriction of $\bar{\pi}: \mathrm{Z}_{1} \rightarrow \mathrm{Z}$ on the inverse image of $\mathrm{V}^{\prime}$. By Corollary 5.3.4, the pull-back $\bar{\pi}^{*}: \mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\Sigma_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathrm{Y} \times_{\mathrm{Y}} \mathrm{Z}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\Sigma_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathrm{Y}_{1} \times_{\mathrm{Y}_{1}} \mathrm{Z}_{1}\right)$ makes the upper half of (5.3.7.3) into a commutative square. On the other hand, the push-forward $\bar{\pi}_{*}: \mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\Sigma_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathrm{Y}_{1} \times{ }_{\mathrm{Y}_{1}} \mathrm{Z}_{1}\right) \rightarrow$ $\mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\Sigma_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathrm{Y} \times_{\mathrm{Y}} \mathrm{Z}\right)$ divided by the degree $\left[\mathrm{Z}_{1}: \mathrm{Z}\right]$ makes the lower half into a commutative square. The composition $\bar{\pi}_{*} \circ \bar{\pi}^{*}$ is equal to the multiplication by $\left[\mathrm{R} \bar{\pi}_{*} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Z}_{1}}\right.$ ] and induces the multiplication by $\left[Z_{1}: Z\right]=\operatorname{rank}\left(\mathrm{R} \bar{\pi}_{*} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Z}_{1}}\right)$ on $\mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\Sigma_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathrm{Y} \times_{\mathrm{Y}} \mathrm{Z}\right)$. Hence, the assertion is proved.

Definition 5.3.8. - Let the notation be as in Theorem 5.3.7. We call the map

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\left(, \Delta_{\mathrm{V}^{\prime}}\right)\right)^{\log }: \mathrm{Gr}_{n}^{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{G}\left(\mathrm{~V}^{\prime} \times_{\mathrm{U}} \mathrm{~V}^{\prime} \backslash \mathrm{V}^{\prime} \times_{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{~V}^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\partial_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathrm{~V}^{\prime}\right)_{\mathbf{Q}} \tag{5.3.8.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

the logarithmic localized intersection product with the diagonal. For an object $\mathrm{Y}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}$ of $\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{V}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{V}}$, we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\left(, \Delta_{\mathrm{Y}^{\prime}}\right)\right)^{\log }: \operatorname{Gr}_{n}^{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{G}\left(\mathrm{~V}^{\prime} \times_{\mathrm{U}} \mathrm{~V}^{\prime} \backslash \mathrm{V}^{\prime} \times_{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{~V}^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\Sigma_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathrm{Y} \times{ }_{\mathrm{Y}} \mathrm{Y}^{\prime}\right)_{\mathbf{Q}} \tag{5.3.8.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

as the composition of (5.3.8.1) with the projection $\mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\partial_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathrm{V}^{\prime}\right)_{\mathbf{Q}} \rightarrow \mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\Sigma_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathrm{Y} \times{ }_{\mathrm{Y}} \mathrm{Y}^{\prime}\right)_{\mathbf{Q}}$ and call it also the logarithmic localized intersection product with the diagonal.

Since we assume that $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{K}} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{K}}$ is tamely ramified with respect to $\operatorname{Spec} \mathrm{K}$, the target group $\mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\partial_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathrm{V}^{\prime}\right)_{\mathbf{Q}}$ is generated by the classes supported on the closed fibers. The assumption is always satisfied if K is of characteristic 0 , by Corollary 2.4.5.

If V is finite étale over V , the graded piece $\operatorname{Gr}_{n}^{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{G}\left(\mathrm{V}^{\prime} \times_{\mathrm{U}} \mathrm{V}^{\prime} \backslash \mathrm{V}^{\prime} \times_{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{V}^{\prime}\right)$ is identified with the free abelian group $\mathrm{Z}^{0}\left(\mathrm{~V}^{\prime} \times_{\mathrm{U}} \mathrm{V}^{\prime} \backslash \mathrm{V}^{\prime} \times_{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{V}^{\prime}\right)$ generated by the irreducible components of $\mathrm{V}^{\prime} \times_{\mathrm{U}} \mathrm{V}^{\prime} \backslash \mathrm{V}^{\prime} \times_{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{V}^{\prime}$. Thus, in this case, the maps (5.3.8.1) and (5.3.8.2) define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\left(, \Delta_{\mathrm{V}^{\prime}}\right)\right)^{\log }: \mathrm{Z}^{0}\left(\mathrm{~V}^{\prime} \times_{\mathrm{U}} \mathrm{~V}^{\prime} \backslash \mathrm{V}^{\prime} \times{ }_{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{~V}^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\partial_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathrm{~V}^{\prime}\right)_{\mathbf{Q}} \tag{5.3.8.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

(5.3.8.4)

Theorem 5.3.7 implies that, for an open and closed subscheme $\Gamma$ of $\mathrm{V}^{\prime} \times_{\mathrm{U}} \mathrm{V}^{\prime} \backslash \mathrm{V}^{\prime} \times{ }_{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{V}^{\prime}$, the logarithmic localized intersection products $\left(\left(\Gamma, \Delta_{Y^{\prime}}\right)\right)^{\log }$ for objects $\mathrm{Y}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}$ of $\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{V}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{V}}$ such that $\Sigma_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}}^{+} \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{K}}=\emptyset$ form a projective system and defines an element of $\mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\partial_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathrm{V}^{\prime}\right)_{\mathbf{Q}}=\lim _{\leftarrow \mathrm{Y}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}^{\prime}} \mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\Sigma_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathrm{Y} \times_{\mathrm{Y}} \mathrm{Y}^{\prime}\right)_{\mathbf{Q}}$.

Keep assuming $\overleftarrow{\mathrm{Y}^{\prime}} \overrightarrow{\mathrm{V}}^{\mathrm{Y}} \rightarrow \mathrm{V}$ is finite étale and let $\mathrm{Y}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}$ be an object of $\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{V}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{V}}$. Assume that $\mathrm{Y}^{\prime}$ is regular and $\mathrm{V}^{\prime} \subset \mathrm{Y}^{\prime}$ is the complement of a divisor with simple normal crossings. Assume further that there exist a proper scheme X over S containing U as the complement of a Cartier divisor and a morphism $\mathrm{Y}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}$ extending $\mathrm{V}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}$. Then, the
identity $\mathrm{Y}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}^{\prime}$ is an object of $\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{V}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}}$ and, for a finite family $\mathcal{D}$ of Cartier divisors of Y such that $\Sigma_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}}^{\mathcal{D}} \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{K}}=\emptyset$, the diagram
(5.3.8.5)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{array}{cc}
\mathrm{Z}^{0}\left(\mathrm{~V}^{\prime} \times_{\mathrm{U}} \mathrm{~V}^{\prime} \backslash \mathrm{V}^{\prime} \times_{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{~V}^{\prime}\right) \xrightarrow{\left(\left(, \Delta_{\mathrm{V}^{\prime}}\right)\right)^{\log }} & \mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\partial_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathrm{~V}^{\prime}\right) \\
\left(\left(, \Delta_{\mathrm{Y}^{\prime}}^{\log ))} \downarrow\right.\right. & \downarrow{ }^{\mathrm{pr}_{\mathrm{Y}^{\prime}}}
\end{array} \\
& \mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\Sigma_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}}^{\mathcal{D}} \mathrm{Y} \times{ }_{\mathrm{Y}} \mathrm{Y}^{\prime}\right)_{\mathbf{Q}} \quad \longleftarrow \quad \mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\Sigma_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathrm{Y} \times{ }_{\mathrm{Y}} \mathrm{Y}^{\prime}\right)_{\mathbf{Q}}
\end{aligned}
$$

is commutative. Consequently, if we assume resolution of singularities or if we assume $\operatorname{dim} \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{K}} \leq 1$, we do not need to introduce denominator and an integral version

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\left(, \Delta_{\mathrm{V}^{\prime}}\right)\right)_{\mathbf{z}}^{\log }: \mathrm{Z}^{0}\left(\mathrm{~V}^{\prime} \times_{\mathrm{U}} \mathrm{~V}^{\prime} \backslash \mathrm{V}^{\prime} \times_{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{~V}^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\partial_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathrm{~V}^{\prime}\right) \tag{5.3.8.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

is defined as the limit of $\left(\left(, \Delta_{\mathrm{Y}^{\prime}}^{\log }\right)\right)$.
Let $f: \mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}$ be a finite étale morphism of smooth separated schemes of finite type over F and $\mathrm{V}^{\prime}$ be a finite étale scheme over V . Then, similarly as above, slightly refining [27, Theorem 3.2.3], we define a map
(5.3.8.7)

$$
\left(, \Delta_{\mathrm{V}^{\prime}}\right)^{\log }: \mathrm{Z}^{0}\left(\mathrm{~V}^{\prime} \times_{\mathrm{U}} \mathrm{~V}^{\prime} \backslash \mathrm{V} \times_{\mathrm{U}} \mathrm{~V}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}_{0}\left(\partial_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathrm{~V}^{\prime}\right)_{\mathbf{Q}}
$$

We introduce a variant of the map (5.3.8.3) assuming K is of characteristic 0 . This variant is defined without removing the diagonal $\Delta_{\mathrm{V}} \subset \mathrm{V} \times \mathrm{U}$.

Theorem 5.3.9. - Assume K is of characteristic 0 . Let $\mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}$ be a finite étale morphism of smooth separated schemes of finite type over S and let Y be an object of $\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{s}}$. Then, there exists a unique map

## (5.3.9.1) $\quad\left(\left(, \Delta_{\mathrm{V}}\right)\right)^{\log :} \mathrm{Z}^{0}\left(\mathrm{~V} \times_{\mathrm{U}} \mathrm{V}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\partial_{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{V}\right)_{\mathbf{Q}}$

satisfying the following property:
For an object Y of $\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{S}}$, a finite family $\mathcal{D}$ of Cartier divisors of Y such that $\Sigma_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}}^{\mathcal{D}} \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{K}}=\emptyset$ and an object $\bar{g}: \mathrm{Z} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}$ of $\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}}$ such that $\bar{g}$ is generically of constant degree $[\mathrm{W}: \mathrm{V}]$, there exists a map $\left(\left(, \Delta_{\mathrm{Z}}^{\log }\right)\right): \mathrm{Gr}_{n}^{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{G}\left(\mathrm{W} \times_{\mathrm{U}} \mathrm{W}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\mathrm{Z} \times_{\mathrm{S}}\right.$ Spec F$)$ that makes the diagram

(5.3.9.2)

commutative.

By the assumption that K is of characteristic 0 , in the notation of the proof of Proposition 5.3.3, the generic fiber $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{K}}$ is smooth over K and the $\log$ product $\left(\mathrm{Z} \times \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{Z}\right)^{\sim} \subset$ $\left(\mathrm{Z} \times{ }_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{Z}\right)^{\sim}$ satisfies the condition $\left(\mathrm{A}^{\prime}\right)$ after Definition 4.3 .2 with X replaced by Z . Except this remark, the proof is the same as that of Theorem 5.3.7.

We keep assuming that K is of characteristic 0 and let $f: \mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}$ be a finite étale morphism of regular flat separated schemes of finite type over S . Then, the maps (5.3.8.3) and (5.3.9.1) are compatible in the sense that the diagram

is commutative.
5.4. Elementary properties of the invariants of wild ramification. - The map (5.3.8.3) has the following compatibility.

Proposition 5.4.1. - Let $f: \mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}$ be a finite étale morphism of regular schemes over S such that the generic fiber $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{K}} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{K}}$ is tamely ramified with respect to K . Let $\mathrm{V}^{\prime}$ be a finite étale scheme over V .

1. For a finite étale morphism $g: \mathrm{V}^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{V}^{\prime}$, the diagram
(5.4.1.1)

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
\mathrm{Z}^{0}\left(\mathrm{~V}^{\prime} \times_{\mathrm{U}} \mathrm{~V}^{\prime} \backslash \mathrm{V}^{\prime} \times{ }_{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{~V}^{\prime}\right) & \xrightarrow{\left(\left(, \Delta_{\mathrm{V}^{\prime}}\right)^{\log }\right.} \\
\mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\partial_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathrm{~V}^{\prime}\right)_{\mathbf{Q}} \\
{ }_{(g \times g)^{*}} \downarrow & \downarrow_{g^{*}} \\
\mathrm{Z}^{0}\left(\mathrm{~V}^{\prime \prime} \times_{\mathrm{U}} \mathrm{~V}^{\prime \prime} \backslash \mathrm{V}^{\prime \prime} \times \times_{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{~V}^{\prime \prime}\right) \xrightarrow{\left(\left(, \Delta_{\mathrm{V}^{\prime \prime}}\right)\right)^{\log }} \mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\partial_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathrm{~V}^{\prime \prime}\right)_{\mathbf{Q}}
\end{array}
$$

2. Assume that the generic fiber $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{K}}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{K}}$ is tamely ramified with respect to K . Then,
(5.4.1.2)

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
\mathrm{Z}^{0}\left(\mathrm{~V}^{\prime \prime} \times_{\mathrm{U}} \mathrm{~V}^{\prime \prime} \backslash \mathrm{V}^{\prime \prime} \times_{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{~V}^{\prime \prime}\right) \xrightarrow{\left(\left(, \Delta_{\mathrm{V}^{\prime \prime}}\right)\right)^{\log }} \mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\partial_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathrm{~V}^{\prime \prime}\right)_{\mathbf{Q}} \\
{ }^{\text {can }} \downarrow & \downarrow^{(5.25 .1)} \\
\mathrm{Z}^{0}\left(\mathrm{~V}^{\prime \prime} \times_{\mathrm{U}} \mathrm{~V}^{\prime \prime} \backslash \mathrm{V}^{\prime \prime} \times_{\mathrm{V}^{\prime}} \mathrm{V}^{\prime \prime}\right) \xrightarrow{\left(\left(, \Delta_{\mathrm{V}^{\prime \prime}}\right)^{\log }\right.} \mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\partial_{\mathrm{V}^{\prime} / \mathrm{U}} \mathrm{~V}^{\prime \prime}\right)_{\mathbf{Q}}
\end{array}
$$

is commutative.
3. For a finite étale morphism $\mathrm{U} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}^{\prime}$ such that the generic fiber $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{K}} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{K}}^{\prime}$ is tamely ramified with respect to K , the diagram
(5.4.1.3)

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
\mathrm{Z}^{0}\left(\mathrm{~V}^{\prime} \times_{\mathrm{U}} \mathrm{~V}^{\prime} \backslash \mathrm{V}^{\prime} \times_{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{~V}^{\prime}\right) \xrightarrow{\left(\left(, \Delta_{\mathrm{V}^{\prime}}\right)\right)^{\log }} & \mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\partial_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathrm{~V}^{\prime}\right)_{\mathbf{Q}} \\
{ }^{\text {can }} \downarrow & \downarrow^{(5.2 .5 .2)} \\
\mathrm{Z}^{0}\left(\mathrm{~V}^{\prime} \times_{\mathrm{U}^{\prime}} \mathrm{V}^{\prime} \backslash \mathrm{V}^{\prime} \times \times_{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{~V}^{\prime}\right) \xrightarrow{\left(\left(, \Delta_{\left.\mathrm{V}^{\prime}\right)}\right)^{\log }\right.} \mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\partial_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}^{\prime}} \mathrm{V}^{\prime}\right)_{\mathbf{Q}}
\end{array}
$$

is commutative.
Proof. - 1. By Lemma 5.2.4.2, the map $g^{*}$ is injective. Hence, by replacing $\mathrm{V}^{\prime \prime}$ if necessary, we may assume $\mathrm{V}^{\prime \prime}$ is a G -torsor over $\mathrm{V}^{\prime}$ for a finite group G . Since an object of $\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{V}^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}}$ define an object of $\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{V}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}}$, the square with the arrow $g^{*}$ replaced by $|\mathrm{G}|^{-1} g_{*}$ going the other way is commutative by the definition of the map (5.3.8.3). Since the images are in the G-fixed part, the assertion follows from Lemma 5.2.4.3.

The rest is clear from the definition and the remark after Proposition 5.3.3.
We show a compatibility with tame base change.
Corollary 5.4.2. - Let $f: \mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}$ be a finite étale morphism of regular schemes over S such that the generic fiber $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{K}} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{K}}$ is tamely ramified with respect to K . Let $g: \mathrm{U}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}$ be a finite étale morphism of regular schemes over S . Let $\mathrm{V}^{\prime} \subset \mathrm{V} \times_{\mathrm{U}} \mathrm{U}^{\prime}$ be an open and closed subscheme and $g^{\prime}: \mathrm{V}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{V}$ denote the projection.

Then, if $g: \mathrm{U}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}$ is tamely ramified with respect to S , the diagram
(5.4.2.1)

is commutative.
Proof. - By Lemma 2.1.3, the canonical map $\mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\partial_{\mathrm{V}^{\prime} / \mathrm{U}^{\prime}} \mathrm{V}^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\partial_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathrm{V}^{\prime}\right)$ is defined. By Proposition 5.4.1.1 applied to $\mathrm{V}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{V}=\mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}$, the diagram (5.4.2.1) with $\mathrm{Z}^{0}\left(\mathrm{~V}^{\prime} \times_{\mathrm{U}^{\prime}} \mathrm{V}^{\prime} \backslash \Delta_{\mathrm{V}^{\prime}}\right)$ replaced by $\mathrm{Z}^{0}\left(\mathrm{~V}^{\prime} \times_{\mathrm{U}} \mathrm{V}^{\prime} \backslash \mathrm{V}^{\prime} \times_{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{V}^{\prime}\right)$ is commutative.

By the assumption that $g: \mathrm{U}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}$ is tamely ramified with respect to S , there exists an object $\mathrm{X}^{\prime}$ of the category $\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{U}^{\prime} / \mathrm{S}}$ of compactifications of $\mathrm{U}^{\prime}$ and a finite family $\mathcal{D}^{\prime}$ of Cartier divisors $\mathrm{X}^{\prime}$ such that $\Sigma_{\mathrm{U}^{\prime} / \mathrm{U}}^{\mathcal{D}^{\prime}} \mathrm{X}^{\prime}$ is empty. For an object $\mathrm{Y}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}^{\prime}$ of $\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{V}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}^{\prime}}$, the closure of the inverse image $\mathrm{V}^{\prime} \times_{\mathrm{U}} \mathrm{V}^{\prime} \backslash \mathrm{V}^{\prime} \times_{\mathrm{U}^{\prime}} \mathrm{V}^{\prime}$ of $\mathrm{U}^{\prime} \times_{\mathrm{U}} \mathrm{U}^{\prime} \backslash \Delta_{\mathrm{U}^{\prime}}$ does not meet with the $\log$ diagonal $\Delta_{\mathrm{Y}^{\prime}}$ in the $\log$ product $\left(\mathrm{Y}^{\prime} \times{ }_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{Y}^{\prime}\right)_{\mathcal{D}^{\prime}}^{\sim}$ for the pull-back $\mathcal{D}^{\prime}$ of $\mathcal{D}$. Hence, we have $\left(\left(\Gamma, \Delta_{\mathrm{V}^{\prime}}\right)\right)^{\log }=0$ in $\mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\partial_{\mathrm{V}^{\prime} / \mathrm{U}^{\prime}} \mathrm{V}^{\prime}\right) \mathbf{Q}$ if $\Gamma$ is in $\mathrm{V}^{\prime} \times_{\mathrm{U}} \mathrm{V}^{\prime} \backslash \mathrm{V}^{\prime} \times_{\mathrm{U}^{\prime}} \mathrm{V}^{\prime}$.

By the assumption $\mathrm{V}^{\prime} \subset \mathrm{V} \times_{\mathrm{U}} \mathrm{U}^{\prime}$, we have $\left(\mathrm{V}^{\prime} \times_{\mathrm{U}^{\prime}} \mathrm{V}^{\prime}\right) \cap\left(\mathrm{V}^{\prime} \times_{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{V}^{\prime}\right)=\Delta_{\mathrm{V}^{\prime}}$. Hence the assertion follows.

In the case where K is of characteristic 0 , the variant defined in Theorem 5.3.9 satisfies properties analogous to Proposition 5.4.1 and Corollary 5.4.2, by the same proof.

The logarithmic different and the logarithmic Lefschetz class defined in Section 5.1 are defined without assuming the existence of a regular model.

Definition 5.4.3.-Let $f: \mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}$ be a finite étale morphism of regular flat separated schemes of finite type over S such that the generic fiber $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{K}} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{K}}$ is tamely ramified with respect to K .

1. We call

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}}^{\log }=\left(\left(\mathrm{V} \times_{\mathrm{U}} \mathrm{~V} \backslash \Delta_{\mathrm{V}}, \Delta_{\mathrm{V}}\right)\right)^{\log } \in \mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\partial_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathrm{~V}\right)_{\mathbf{Q}} \tag{5.4.3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

the logarithmic different of V over U . We call
(5.4.3.2) $\quad d_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}}^{\log }=f_{*} \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}}^{\log } \in \mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\partial_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathrm{U}\right)_{\mathbf{Q}}$
the logarithmic discriminant of V over U .
2. Let $\sigma$ be an automorphism of V over U such that the fixed part $\mathrm{V}^{\sigma}$ is empty and let $\Gamma_{\sigma} \subset$ $\mathrm{V} \times_{\mathrm{U}} \mathrm{V}$ be the graph of $\sigma$. We call

## (5.4.3.3) $\quad\left(\left(\Gamma_{\sigma}, \Delta_{\mathrm{V}}\right)\right)^{\log } \in \mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\partial_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathrm{V}\right)_{\mathbf{Q}}$

the logarithmic Lefschetz class.
We show that the log different satisfies a chain rule and that, for a Galois covering, the logarithmic different is the sum of Lefschetz classes.

Lemma 5.4.4. - Let $f: \mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}$ be a finite étale morphism of regular flat separated schemes of finite type over S such that the generic fiber $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{K}} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{K}}$ is tamely ramified with respect to K .

1. Let $\mathrm{U}^{\prime}$ be a finite étale scheme over U such that the generic fiber $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{K}}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{K}}$ is tamely ramified with respect to K and let $g: \mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}^{\prime}$ be a finite étale morphism over U . Then, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}}^{\log }=\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}^{\prime}}^{\log }+g^{*} \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{U}^{\prime} / \mathrm{U}}^{\log } \tag{5.4.4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

in $\mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\partial_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathrm{V}\right)_{\mathbf{Q}}$.
2. Assume that V is a G -torsor over U for a finite group G . Then we have
(5.4.4.2)

$$
\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}}^{\log }=\sum_{\sigma \in \mathrm{G}, \sigma \neq 1}\left(\left(\Gamma_{\sigma}, \Delta_{\mathrm{V}}\right)\right)^{\log }
$$

in $\mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\partial_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathrm{V}\right)_{\mathbf{Q}}$.
3. Assume that V is a G -torsor for a finite group G . Let $\mathrm{N} \subset \mathrm{G}$ be a normal subgroup of G and let $g: \mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{V}^{\prime}$ be the corresponding N -torsor. Then, for an element $\sigma^{\prime} \in \mathrm{G}^{\prime}=\mathrm{G} / \mathrm{N}, \neq 1$, we have

$$
g^{*}\left(\left(\Gamma_{\sigma^{\prime}}, \Delta_{\mathrm{V}^{\prime}}\right)\right)^{\log }=\sum_{\sigma \in \mathrm{G}, \sigma \mapsto \sigma^{\prime}}\left(\left(\Gamma_{\sigma}, \Delta_{\mathrm{V}}\right)\right)^{\log }
$$

in $\mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\partial_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathrm{V}\right)_{\mathbf{Q}}$.
Proof. — 1. It follows from $\mathrm{V} \times_{\mathrm{U}} \mathrm{V} \backslash \Delta_{\mathrm{V}}=\left(\mathrm{V} \times_{\mathrm{U}^{\prime}} \mathrm{V} \backslash \Delta_{\mathrm{V}}\right) 山(g \times g)^{-1}\left(\mathrm{U}^{\prime} \times_{\mathrm{U}} \mathrm{U}^{\prime} \backslash\right.$ $\left.\Delta_{\mathrm{U}^{\prime}}\right)$ and Proposition 5.4.1.1 applied to $\mathrm{U}^{\prime} \times_{\mathrm{U}} \mathrm{U}^{\prime} \backslash \Delta_{\mathrm{U}^{\prime}}$.
2. Clear from $\mathrm{V} \times_{\mathrm{U}} \mathrm{V} \backslash \Delta_{\mathrm{V}}=\coprod_{\sigma \in \mathrm{G}, \sigma \neq 1} \Gamma_{\sigma}$.
3. It follows from $(g \times g)^{-1}\left(\Gamma_{\sigma^{\prime}}\right)=\coprod_{\sigma \mapsto \sigma^{\prime}} \Gamma_{\sigma}$ and Proposition 5.4.1.1.

Corollary 5.4.5. - Let the notation be as in Lemma 5.4.4.1. Let $f^{\prime}: \mathrm{U}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}$ denote the morphism and assume that the map $g: V \rightarrow \mathrm{U}^{\prime}$ is of constant degree $\left[\mathrm{V}: \mathrm{U}^{\prime}\right]$. Then, for the discriminants defined in Definition 5.4.3.1, we have
(5.4.5.1)

$$
d_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}}^{\log }=f_{*}^{\prime} d_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}^{\prime}}^{\log }+\left[\mathrm{V}: \mathrm{U}^{\prime}\right] \cdot d_{\mathrm{U}^{\prime} / \mathrm{U}}^{\log }
$$

in $\mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\partial_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathrm{U}\right)_{\mathbf{Q}}$.
Proof. - We take the push-forward of (5.4.4.1). Then, similarly as Lemma 5.2.4.2, we obtain (5.4.5.1).

Conjecture 5.4.6. -Let $f: \mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}$ be a finite étale morphism of regular flat separated schemes of finite type over S such that the generic fiber $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{K}} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{K}}$ is tamely ramified with respect to K . Let $\sigma$ be an automorphism of V over U such that the fixed part $\mathrm{V}^{\sigma}$ is empty.

Then, for an integer $i$ prime to the order of $\sigma$, we have

$$
\left(\left(\Gamma_{\sigma}, \Delta_{\mathrm{V}}\right)\right)^{\log }=\left(\left(\Gamma_{\sigma^{i}}, \Delta_{\mathrm{V}}\right)\right)^{\log }
$$

Proposition 5.4.7. - Conjecture 5.4.6 is true if $\operatorname{dim} \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{K}} \leq 1$.
Proof. - By the resolution of singularity for two dimensional schemes, regular objects Y of the category $\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{s}}$ are cofinal in $\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{S}}$. Further, the regular objects Y such that the action of $\sigma$ is extended to an admissible action on Y are cofinal in $\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{s}}$. Hence, the assertion of Conjecture 5.4.6 follows from Corollary 5.1.6.

## 6. Formulas for invariants of wild ramification

In this section, we establish formulas for the invariants of wild ramification defined in the previous section. We state and prove the results for the map (5.3.8.3). However, they also hold for the map (5.3.8.7) by the same argument.

We prove an excision formula Theorem 6.2.2 and a blow-up formula Proposition 6.2.1 in Section 6.2. We establish some preliminary formulas in Section 6.1. In Section 6.3, we prove a formula Proposition 6.3.2 for some semi-stable families applying the $\log$ Lefschetz trace formula Theorem 1.4.7, which will play a crucial role in the proof of the conductor formula.
6.1. Divisors and projective space bundles. - The results in this subsection will be used in the proof of the blow-up formula and of the excision formula in the next subsection. In Propositions 6.1.1 and 6.1.2, we compute the log localized intersection product of some classes supported on the inverse image of a divisor. In Proposition 6.1.3 and Lemma 6.1.4, we give formulas for a projective space bundle.

We keep the notation that $f: \mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}$ denotes a finite étale morphism of regular flat separated schemes of finite type over S and $n=\operatorname{dim} \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{K}}+1$ and the assumption that the generic fiber $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{K}} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{K}}$ is tamely ramified with respect to K (Definition 2.4.1). Although we also state corresponding formulas for finite étale morphism $f: \mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}$ of smooth separated schemes of finite type over F , the proof is similar and easier and will be omitted.

We prepare to state Proposition 6.1.1. We consider a Cartesian diagram
(6.1.0.1)

of regular flat separated schemes of finite type over S where $f_{0}$ is finite étale and the vertical arrows are proper. We assume that the generic fiber $f_{0, \mathrm{~K}}: \mathrm{V}_{0, \mathrm{~K}} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}_{0, \mathrm{~K}}$ is tamely ramified with respect to K . If the map $g: \mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{V}_{0}$ is birational, the diagram
(6.1.0.2)

is commutative.

Proposition 6.1.1. - Let $f: \mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}$ be a finite étale morphism of regular flat separated schemes of finite type over S and $n=\operatorname{dim} \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{K}}+1$. Suppose that we have a Cartesian diagram (6.1.0.1) such that $\mathrm{V}_{0, \mathrm{~K}} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}_{0, \mathrm{~K}}$ is tamely ramified with respect to K .

Let $\mathrm{U}_{1} \subset \mathrm{U}$ be a regular divisor and $i$ denote the immersion $\mathrm{U}_{1} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}$ and its base changes. Assume that either $\mathrm{U}_{1}$ is a scheme over K or a scheme over F . We put $\mathrm{V}_{1}=\mathrm{V} \times_{\mathrm{U}} \mathrm{U}_{1}$. Then, for
$\Gamma_{1} \in \operatorname{Gr}_{n}^{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{G}\left(\mathrm{V}_{1} \times_{\mathrm{U}_{0}} \mathrm{~V}_{1} \backslash \mathrm{~V}_{1} \times \mathrm{V}_{0} \mathrm{~V}_{1}\right)$, we have
(6.1.1.1)

$$
\left(\left(\Gamma_{1}, \Delta_{\mathrm{V}}\right)\right)^{\log }= \begin{cases}-i_{*}\left(\left(\Gamma_{1} \cdot c_{1}\left(\operatorname{pr}_{2}^{*} \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{U}_{1} / \mathrm{U}}\right), \Delta_{\mathrm{V}_{1}}\right)\right)^{\log } & \text { if } \mathrm{V}_{1}=\mathrm{V}_{1, \mathrm{~K}} \\ -i_{*}\left(\Gamma_{1} \cdot c_{1}\left(\mathrm{pr}_{2}^{*} \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{U}_{1} / \mathrm{U}}\right), \Delta_{\mathrm{V}_{1}}\right)^{\log } & \text { if } \mathrm{V}_{1}=\mathrm{V}_{1, \mathrm{~F}}\end{cases}
$$

in $\mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\partial_{\mathrm{V}_{0} / \mathrm{U}_{0}} \mathrm{~V}\right)_{\mathbf{Q}}$.
Proof. - Let $\mathrm{Y} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}_{0}$ be an object of $\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{V}_{0}}$ and let $\mathcal{D}_{0}$ be a finite family of Cartier divisors such that $\Sigma_{\mathrm{V}_{0} / \mathrm{U}_{0}}^{\mathcal{D}_{0}} \mathrm{Y}_{0}=\Sigma_{\mathrm{V}_{0} / \mathrm{U}_{0}} \mathrm{Y}_{0}$. Let $\mathrm{Y}_{1} \subset \mathrm{Y}$ be the closure of $\mathrm{V}_{1}$. By replacing Y by the blow-up at $\mathrm{Y}_{1}$ if necessary, we may assume $\mathrm{Y}_{1}$ is a divisor of Y . We take an object $\mathrm{Z} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}$ of $\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}}$. Let $g^{*} \mathrm{~V}_{1}=\sum_{j} e_{j} \mathrm{~W}_{j}$ be the decomposition by irreducible components and let $\mathrm{Z}_{j}$ denote the closure of $\mathrm{W}_{j}$. Replacing Z if necessary, we may assume that $\sum_{j} \mathrm{Z}_{j}$ has simple normal crossings and meets $\mathrm{D}=\mathrm{Z} \backslash \mathrm{W}$ transversely.

Let $\left(\mathrm{Y} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{Y}\right)^{\sim}$ be the $\log$ product with respect to the pull-back $\mathcal{D}$ of $\mathcal{D}_{0}$ and $\left(\mathrm{Z} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{Z}\right)^{\sim}$ be the $\log$ product with respect to the divisor D with simple normal crossings. We consider the map $(\bar{g} \times \bar{g})^{\sim}:\left(\mathrm{Z} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{Z}\right)^{\sim} \rightarrow\left(\mathrm{Y} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{Y}\right)^{\sim}$ and its restriction $g \times g: \mathrm{W} \times{ }_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{W} \rightarrow \mathrm{V} \times{ }_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{V}$. For an irreducible component $\mathrm{Z}_{j}$, let $i_{j}: \mathrm{Z}_{j} \rightarrow \mathrm{Z}$ be the closed immersion and $\bar{g}_{j}: \mathrm{Z}_{j} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}_{1}$ be the restrictions of $\bar{g}: \mathrm{Z} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}$. Let $g_{j}: \mathrm{W}_{j}=\mathrm{W} \cap \mathrm{Z}_{j} \rightarrow \mathrm{~V}_{1}$ be the restriction of $\bar{g}_{j}$. The intersection $\mathrm{D}_{j}=\mathrm{Z}_{j} \cap \mathrm{D}$ is a divisor with simple normal crossings.

Let $\left(Z_{i} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{Z}_{j}\right)^{\sim}$ denote the fiber product $\left(\mathrm{Z}_{i} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{Z}_{j}\right) \times_{\mathrm{Z} \times_{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{Z}}\left(\mathrm{Z} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{Z}\right)^{\sim}$. Define $\left(g_{i} \times g_{j}\right)^{*}: \mathrm{Gr}_{n}^{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{G}\left(\mathrm{V}_{1} \times_{\mathrm{U}_{0}} \mathrm{~V}_{1} \backslash \mathrm{~V}_{1} \times_{\mathrm{V}_{0}} \mathrm{~V}_{1}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{Gr}_{n}^{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{G}\left(\mathrm{W}_{i} \times_{\mathrm{U}_{0}} \mathrm{~W}_{j} \backslash \mathrm{~W}_{i} \times \mathrm{V}_{0} \mathrm{~W}_{j}\right)$ as the pullback by $g_{i} \times g_{j}: \mathrm{W}_{i} \times{ }_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{W}_{j} \rightarrow \mathrm{~V}_{1} \times{ }_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{V}_{1}$. Then, by Corollary 4.1.8.1, we obtain
(6.1.1.2)

$$
(g \times g)^{*}\left(\Gamma_{1}\right)=\sum_{i, j} e_{i} e_{j} \cdot\left(g_{i} \times g_{j}\right)^{*}\left(\Gamma_{1}\right)
$$

in $\operatorname{Gr}_{n}^{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{G}\left(\mathrm{W}_{1} \times_{\mathrm{U}_{0}} \mathrm{~W}_{1} \backslash \mathrm{~W}_{1} \times_{\mathrm{V}_{0}} \mathrm{~W}_{1}\right)$.
Let $\mathrm{A}_{0} \subset\left(\mathrm{Y}_{0} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{Y}_{0}\right)_{\mathcal{D}_{0}}^{\sim}$ be the closure of $\mathrm{V}_{0} \times_{\mathrm{U}_{0}} \mathrm{~V}_{0} \backslash \Delta_{\mathrm{V}_{0}}$ and let $\mathrm{A} \subset\left(\mathrm{Z} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{Z}\right)^{\sim}$ be the intersection of the pull-back of $\mathrm{A}_{0}$ with $\left(\mathrm{Z} \times_{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{Z}\right)^{\sim}$. For each $i, j$, we put $\mathrm{A}_{i j}=$ $\mathrm{A} \cap\left(\mathrm{Z}_{i} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{Z}_{j}\right)^{\sim} \subset\left(\mathrm{Z} \times_{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{Z}\right)^{\sim}$. We have $\mathrm{A} \cap\left(\mathrm{W} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{W}\right)=\left(\mathrm{W} \times_{\mathrm{U}_{0}} \mathrm{~W}\right) \backslash\left(\mathrm{W} \times_{\mathrm{V}_{0}} \mathrm{~W}\right)$ and $\mathrm{A}_{i j} \cap\left(\mathrm{~W}_{i} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{W}_{j}\right)=\left(\mathrm{W}_{i} \times \mathrm{V}_{0} \mathrm{~W}_{j}\right) \backslash\left(\mathrm{W}_{i} \times_{\mathrm{U}_{0}} \mathrm{~W}_{j}\right)$. We take $\Gamma_{i j} \in \mathrm{Gr}_{n}^{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{G}\left(\mathrm{A}_{i j}\right)$ lifting $\left(g_{i} \times g_{j}\right)^{*}\left(\Gamma_{1}\right)$. Then, by (6.1.1.2), we have
(6.1.1.3)

$$
\left(\left(\Gamma_{1}, \Delta_{\mathrm{Y}}^{\log }\right)\right)=\frac{1}{[\mathrm{~W}: \mathrm{V}]} \sum_{i, j} e_{i} e_{j} \cdot \bar{g}_{*}\left(\left(\Gamma_{i j}, \Delta_{\mathrm{Z}}^{\log }\right)\right)_{(\mathrm{Z} \times \mathrm{s} \mathrm{Z})^{\bullet}}
$$

We continue the proof assuming $\mathrm{U}_{1}=\mathrm{U}_{1, \mathrm{~K}}$. The proof of the other case $\mathrm{U}_{1}=\mathrm{U}_{1, \mathrm{~F}}$ is similar and omitted. Let $\mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{Z}_{j}} \subset \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Z}}$ be the invertible ideal defining $\mathrm{Z}_{j} \subset \mathrm{Z}$. For each $i, j$, we show
(6.1.1.4)

$$
\bar{g}_{*}\left(\left(\Gamma_{i j}, \Delta_{\mathrm{Z}}^{\log }\right)\right)_{\left(\mathrm{Z} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{Z}\right)^{\sim}}=-\bar{g}_{*} i_{i *}\left(\left(\left(\Gamma_{i i}, \Delta_{\mathrm{Z}_{i}}^{\log }\right)\right)_{\left(\mathrm{Z}_{i} \times{ }_{\mathrm{S}} Z_{i}\right)^{\sim}} \cdot c_{1}\left(\mathcal{I}_{Z_{j}}\right)\right)
$$

in $\mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\Sigma_{\mathrm{V}_{0} / \mathrm{U}_{0}} \mathrm{Y}_{0} \times_{\mathrm{Y}_{0}} \mathrm{Y}\right)_{\mathbf{Q}}$. If $i=j$, the equality (6.1.1.4) follows from Lemma 4.3.7.1. (In the case $\mathrm{U}_{1}=\mathrm{U}_{1, \mathrm{~F}}$, we apply Lemma 4.3.7.2.)

We assume $\mathrm{Z}_{i} \neq \mathrm{Z}_{j}$. We put $\mathrm{Z}_{i j}=\mathrm{Z}_{i} \times_{\mathrm{Z}} \mathrm{Z}_{j}, \mathrm{~W}_{i j}=\mathrm{W}_{i} \times_{\mathrm{W}} \mathrm{W}_{j}, \mathrm{~A}_{i, j}=\mathrm{A} \cap\left(\mathrm{Z}_{i} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{Z}_{i j}\right)^{\sim}$ and let $g_{i j}: \mathrm{W}_{i j} \rightarrow \mathrm{~V}_{1}$ be the restriction of $g$. Then, the immersions $\left(\mathrm{Z}_{i} \times \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{Z}_{j}\right)^{\sim} \rightarrow$ $\left(\mathrm{Z} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{Z}\right)^{\sim}$ and $i_{i j}: \mathrm{Z}_{i j} \rightarrow \mathrm{Z}$ are regular immersions of codimension 2. Hence by Lemma 3.3.4, we obtain

$$
\left(\left(\Gamma_{i j}, \Delta_{\mathrm{Z}}^{\log }\right)\right)_{(\mathrm{Z} \times \mathrm{s} \mathrm{Z})^{\sim}}=i_{j j *}\left(\left(\Gamma_{i j}, \Delta_{\mathrm{Z}_{i j}}^{\log }\right)\right)_{\left(\mathrm{Z}_{i} \times \mathrm{s} \mathrm{Z}_{j}\right)^{\sim}} .
$$

Further, the immersion $\left(\mathrm{Z}_{i} \times{ }_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{Z}_{i j}\right)^{\sim} \rightarrow\left(\mathrm{Z}_{i} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{Z}_{j}\right)^{\sim}$ is a regular immersion of codimension 1. Hence by Lemma 3.3.4, we obtain

$$
\left(\left(\Gamma_{i j}, \Delta_{Z_{i j}}^{\log }\right)\right)_{\left(Z_{i} \times s Z_{j}\right)^{\sim}}=\left(\left(\left(\Gamma_{i j},\left(Z_{i} \times X_{\mathrm{S}} Z_{i j}\right)^{\sim}\right)_{\left(Z_{i} \times \mathrm{S} Z_{j}\right)^{\sim}}, \Delta_{Z_{i j}}^{\log }\right)\right)_{\left(Z_{i} \times \mathrm{s} Z_{i j}\right)^{\prime}} .
$$

Since both $\left.\left(\Gamma_{i j},\left(Z_{i} \times{ }_{S} Z_{i j}\right)^{\sim}\right)_{\left(Z_{i} \times S\right.} Z_{j}\right)^{\sim}$ and $\left.\left(\Gamma_{i i},\left(Z_{i} \times{ }_{S} Z_{i j}\right)^{\sim}\right)_{\left(Z_{i} \times S\right.} Z_{i}\right)^{\sim} \in \operatorname{Gr}_{n-1}^{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{G}\left(\mathrm{A}_{i, i j}\right)$ are liftings of $\left(g_{i} \times g_{i j}\right)^{*}\left(\Gamma_{1}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\left(\left(\Gamma_{i j},\left(Z_{i} \times{ }_{\mathrm{S}} Z_{i j}\right)^{\sim}\right)_{\left(Z_{i} \times \mathrm{s} Z_{j}\right)^{\sim}}, \Delta_{Z_{i j}}^{\log }\right)\right)_{\left(Z_{i} \times \mathrm{S} Z_{i j}\right)^{\sim}} \\
& \quad=\left(\left(\left(\Gamma_{i i},\left(\mathrm{Z}_{i} \times{ }_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{Z}_{i j}\right)^{\sim}\right)_{\left(Z_{i} \times \mathrm{S} Z_{i}\right)^{\sim}}, \Delta_{Z_{i j}}^{\log }\right)\right)_{\left(\mathrm{Z}_{i} \times \mathrm{s} Z_{i j}\right)^{\sim}}
\end{aligned}
$$

similarly as Proposition 4.3.5. By applying the associativity Lemmas 3.3.6 and 3.3.7 to the diagram

we obtain

$$
\left(\left(\left(\Gamma_{i i},\left(Z_{i} \times{ }_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{Z}_{i j}\right)^{\sim}\right)_{\left(Z_{i} \times s Z_{i}\right)^{\sim}}, \Delta_{Z_{i j}}^{\log }\right)\right)_{\left(Z_{i} \times s Z_{i j}\right)^{\sim}}=\left(\left(\left(\Gamma_{i i}, \Delta_{Z_{i}}^{\log }\right)\right)_{\left(Z_{i} \times s Z_{i}\right)^{\sim}}, Z_{i j}\right)_{Z_{i}} .
$$

Thus, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\left(\Gamma_{i j}, \Delta_{\mathrm{Z}}^{\log }\right)\right)_{(\mathrm{Z} \times \mathrm{s} Z)^{\sim}} & =i_{i j *}\left(\left(\left(\Gamma_{i i}, \Delta_{\mathrm{Z}_{i}}^{\log }\right)\right)_{\left(\mathrm{Z}_{i} \times \mathrm{s} Z_{i}\right)^{\sim}}, Z_{i j}\right)_{\mathrm{Z}_{i}} \\
& =-i_{i *}\left(\left(\left(\Gamma_{i i}, \Delta_{Z_{i}}^{\log }\right)\right)_{\left(\mathrm{Z}_{i} \times \mathrm{s} Z_{i}\right)^{\sim}} \cdot c_{1}\left(\mathcal{I}_{Z_{j}}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and the equality (6.1.1.4) is proved.
Therefore, the sum in the right hand side of (6.1.1.3) is equal to
(6.1.1.5) $\quad-\sum_{i} e_{i} \cdot i_{*} \bar{g}_{i *}\left(\left(\left(\Gamma_{i i}, \Delta_{Z_{i}}^{\log }\right)\right)_{\left(\mathrm{Z}_{i} \times \mathrm{s} Z_{i}\right)^{\sim}} \cdot \sum_{j} e_{j} c_{1}\left(\mathcal{I}_{Z_{j}}\right)\right)$.

Since $g^{*} \mathrm{~V}_{1}=\sum_{j} e_{j} \mathrm{~W}_{j}$ as a divisor of W , the restriction $\left.\sum_{j} e_{j} c_{1}\left(\mathcal{I}_{Z_{j}}\right)\right|_{W_{i}}$ is equal to $\left.c_{1}\left(\bar{g}_{i}^{*} \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{Y}_{1} / \mathrm{Y}}\right)\right|_{\mathrm{W}_{i}}$. Hence by Proposition 4.3.5, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\left(\Gamma_{i i}, \Delta_{Z_{i}}^{\log }\right)\right)_{\left(Z_{i} \times \mathrm{S}_{i}\right)^{\sim}} \cdot \sum_{j} e_{j} c_{1}\left(\mathcal{I}_{Z_{j}}\right) \\
& \quad=\left(\left(\Gamma_{i i} \cdot \sum_{j} e_{j} c_{1}\left(\operatorname{pr}_{2}^{*} \mathcal{I}_{Z_{j}}\right), \Delta_{Z_{i}}^{\log }\right)\right)_{\left(Z_{i} \times s Z_{i}\right)^{\sim}} \\
& \quad=\left(\left(\Gamma_{i i} \cdot \operatorname{pr}_{2}^{*} c_{1}\left(\bar{g}_{i}^{*} \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{Y}_{1} / \mathrm{Y}}\right), \Delta_{Z_{i}}^{\log }\right)\right)_{\left(\mathrm{Z}_{i} \times \mathrm{s} Z_{i}\right)^{\sim}}
\end{aligned}
$$

If $Z_{i} \rightarrow Y_{1}$ is surjective, it defines an object of $\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{V}_{1} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}_{1}}$ and we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \bar{g}_{i *}\left(\left(\Gamma_{i i} \cdot \operatorname{pr}_{2}^{*} c_{1}\left(\bar{g}_{i}^{*} \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{Y}_{1} / \mathrm{Y}}\right), \Delta_{\mathrm{Z}_{i}}^{\log }\right)\right)_{\left(\mathrm{Z}_{i} \times \mathrm{s} Z_{i}\right)^{\sim}} \\
& \quad=\left[\mathrm{W}_{i}: \mathrm{V}_{1}\right] \cdot\left(\left(\Gamma_{1} \cdot \operatorname{pr}_{2}^{*} c_{1}\left(\mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{Y}_{1} / \mathrm{Y}}\right), \Delta_{\mathrm{Y}_{1}}^{\log }\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

We show that, if $Z_{i} \rightarrow Y_{1}$ is not surjective, the left hand side is 0 . By replacing $Z_{i}$ by an alteration, we may assume that there is an object $\mathrm{Z}_{0} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}_{1}$ of $\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{V}_{1} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}_{1}}$ and that $\mathrm{Z}_{i} \rightarrow$ $\mathrm{Y}_{1}$ factors through $\pi: \mathrm{Z}_{i} \rightarrow \mathrm{Z}_{0}$. Since $\pi_{*} \pi^{*}$ is multiplication by rank $\mathrm{R} \pi_{*} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Z}_{i}}=0$ on $\mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\Sigma_{\mathrm{V}_{0} / \mathrm{U}_{0}} \mathrm{Y} \times \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{Y}} \mathrm{Z}_{0}\right)$, the assertion follows from Corollary 5.3.4. Thus, by $\sum_{i} e_{i}\left[\mathrm{~W}_{i}\right.$ : $\left.\mathrm{V}_{1}\right]=[\mathrm{W}: \mathrm{V}],(6.1 .1 .5)$ is equal to the right hand side of (6.1.1.1).

We consider a regular divisor $\mathrm{U}_{1} \subset \mathrm{U}$ as in Proposition 6.1.1. Let $\left(\mathrm{U} \times_{\mathrm{U}} \mathrm{U}\right)^{\sim}$ denote the $\log$ product with respect to the Cartier divisor $\mathrm{U}_{1}$. It is the union of U with $\mathrm{E}=$ $\mathbf{G}_{m, \mathrm{U}_{1}}$ meeting at $\mathrm{U}_{1}$. It is canonically identified with the fiber product $\left(\mathrm{U} \times{ }_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{U}\right)^{\sim} \times_{\mathrm{U} \times \mathrm{S}} \mathrm{U}$ $\Delta_{\mathrm{U}}$. The closed subscheme $\mathrm{E}=\mathbf{G}_{m, \mathrm{U}_{1}} \subset\left(\mathrm{U} \times_{\mathrm{U}} \mathrm{U}\right)^{\sim}$ is the inverse image of $\mathrm{U}_{1} \subset \mathrm{U}=$ $\Delta_{\mathrm{U}}$ by the canonical map $\left(\mathrm{U} \times_{\mathrm{U}} \mathrm{U}\right)^{\sim} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}$, as in Lemma 1.3.2.1. Let $\left(\mathrm{V} \times_{\mathrm{U}} \mathrm{V}\right)^{\sim}=$ $\left(\mathrm{V} \times_{\mathrm{U}} \mathrm{V}\right) \times_{\mathrm{U}}\left(\mathrm{U} \times_{\mathrm{U}} \mathrm{U}\right)^{\sim}$ denote the $\log$ product with respect to $\mathrm{V}_{1}=\mathrm{V} \times_{\mathrm{U}} \mathrm{U}_{1}$. Then, we define the localized log intersection product

similarly as follows, in order to state Proposition 6.1.2.
We consider an object Y of $\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{S}}$ and a finite family $\mathcal{D}$ of Cartier divisors of Y such that $\Sigma_{\mathrm{U} / \mathrm{V}} \mathrm{Y}=\Sigma_{\mathrm{U} / \mathrm{V}}^{\mathcal{D}} \mathrm{Y}$. Let $\mathrm{Y}_{1} \subset \mathrm{Y}$ denote the closure of $\mathrm{V}_{1}$ and $\mathcal{D}_{1}$ denote the restriction of $\mathcal{D}$. By replacing Y by the blow-up at $\mathrm{Y}_{1}$ if necessary, we assume $\mathrm{Y}_{1}$ is a divisor of Y . We also consider an object $\bar{g}: \mathrm{Z} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}$ of $\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}}$. We assume that it also define an object of $\mathcal{A}_{\left(\mathrm{V} \backslash \mathrm{V}_{1}\right) \rightarrow\left(\mathrm{U} \backslash \mathrm{U}_{1}\right)}$. In particular, $\mathrm{W}_{0}=\bar{g}^{-1}\left(\mathrm{~V} \backslash \mathrm{~V}_{1}\right)$ is the complement of a divisor $\mathrm{D}^{\prime} \supset \mathrm{D}$ of Z with simple normal crossings. Let $\mathrm{Z} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}$ be a proper morphism of schemes over S such that X contains U as the complement of a Cartier divisor B .

We define the $\log$ products $\left(\mathrm{Y} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{Y}\right)^{\sim}$ and $\left(\mathrm{Y} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{Y}\right)^{\approx}$ with respect to $\mathcal{D}$ and to the union $\mathcal{D}^{\prime}$ of $\mathcal{D}$ with $\mathrm{Y}_{1}$ respectively. Similarly, we define the $\log$ products $\left(\mathrm{Z} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{Z}\right)^{\sim}$ and
$\left(\mathrm{Z} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{Z}\right) \approx$ with respect to D and to $\mathrm{D}^{\prime}$. They form commutative diagrams

where the right square is obtained by taking the base change to $\mathrm{V} \times_{S} \mathrm{~V}$.
We define a closed subset $\mathrm{A} \subset\left(\mathrm{Y} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{Y}\right)^{\approx}$ to be the closure of $\left(\mathrm{V} \times_{\mathrm{U}} \mathrm{V}\right)^{\sim} \backslash\left(\Delta_{\mathrm{V}} \times_{\mathrm{U}}\right.$ $\left.\left(\mathrm{U} \times_{\mathrm{U}} \mathrm{U}\right)^{\sim}\right) \subset\left(\mathrm{V} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{V}\right)^{\sim}$ and put $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{Z}}=(\bar{g} \times \bar{g})^{\approx-1}(\mathrm{~A}) \cap\left(\mathrm{Z} \times_{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{Z}\right)^{\approx}$. Here $\left(\mathrm{Z} \times_{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{Z}\right)^{\approx}$ denote the $\log$ product defined with respect to $\mathrm{D}^{\prime}$ and B. For $\Gamma \in \operatorname{Gr}_{n}^{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{G}\left(\left(\mathrm{V} \times_{\mathrm{U}} \mathrm{V}\right)^{\sim}\right.$ \} $\left(\Delta_{\mathrm{V}} \times_{\mathrm{U}}\left(\mathrm{U} \times{ }_{\mathrm{U}} \mathrm{U}\right)^{\sim}\right)$ ), we take an element $\widetilde{\Gamma} \in \operatorname{Gr}_{n}^{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{G}\left(\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{Z}}\right)$ lifting the pull-back $(g \times g)^{\sim *}(\Gamma)$ by $(g \times g)^{\sim}:\left(\mathrm{W} \times{ }_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{W}\right)^{\sim} \rightarrow\left(\mathrm{V} \times{ }_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{V}\right)^{\sim}$. Then, $\left(\left(\Gamma, \Delta_{\mathrm{Y}}^{\log }\right)\right)$ is defined as $\bar{g}_{*}\left(\left(\bar{\Gamma}, \Delta_{\mathrm{Z}}^{\log }\right)\right)_{(\mathrm{Z} \times \mathrm{s} \mathrm{Z})^{\sim}}$ divided by $[\mathrm{Z}: \mathrm{Y}]$. The map (6.1.2.1) is defined as the projective limit.

By the associativity Lemma 3.3.7 applied to $\left(\mathrm{Z} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{Z}\right)^{\sim} \leftarrow\left(\mathrm{Z} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{Z}\right)^{\approx} \leftarrow \mathrm{Z}$, we obtain a commutative diagram

## (6.1.2.2)


for the pull-back $q^{*}$ by the projection $q:\left(\mathrm{V} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{V}\right)^{\sim} \rightarrow \mathrm{V} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{V}$.
We put $\mathrm{U}_{0}=\mathrm{U} \backslash \mathrm{U}_{1}, \mathrm{~V}_{0}=\mathrm{V} \backslash \mathrm{V}_{1}$ and we consider the diagram
(6.1.2.3)

where the upper left vertical arrow is induced by the immersion $V \times_{U} V \rightarrow\left(V \times_{U} V\right)^{\sim}$ and the lower left vertical arrow is the restriction. Since the $\log$ product $\left(Z \times_{X} Z\right)^{\sim}$ is defined with respect to B whose complement is U , the closed subset $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{Z}}$ need not satisfy the condition (B) in Proposition 4.3 .5 with respect to the components of $\mathrm{D}^{\prime} \backslash \mathrm{D}$. Consequently, the square is not necessarily commutative. However, the compositions with the upper vertical arrow form a commutative diagram since the image of the composition $\mathrm{V} \times_{\mathrm{U}}$ $\mathrm{V} \rightarrow\left(\mathrm{V} \times_{\mathrm{U}} \mathrm{V}\right)^{\sim} \rightarrow\left(\mathrm{X}^{\prime} \times_{\mathrm{X}^{\prime}} \mathrm{X}^{\prime}\right)^{\sim}$ lies in the image of the log diagonal $\mathrm{X}^{\prime} \rightarrow\left(\mathrm{X}^{\prime} \times_{\mathrm{X}^{\prime}} \mathrm{X}^{\prime}\right)^{\sim}$ for a compactification $\mathrm{X}^{\prime}$ of U containing $\mathrm{U}_{0}$ as the complement of a Cartier divisor $\mathrm{B}^{\prime}$ extending $\mathrm{U}_{1}$ and the $\log$ product $\left(\mathrm{X}^{\prime} \times_{\mathrm{X}^{\prime}} \mathrm{X}^{\prime}\right)^{\sim}$ defined with respect to $\mathrm{B}^{\prime}$.

Proposition 6.1.2. - Let $f: \mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}$ be a finite étale morphism of regular flat separated schemes of finite type over S and $n=\operatorname{dim} \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{K}}+1$. We assume that $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{K}} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{K}}$ is tamely ramified with respect to K .

Let $\mathrm{U}_{1} \subset \mathrm{U}$ be a regular divisor and $i: \mathrm{U}_{1} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}$ denote the immersion. Assume either $\mathrm{U}_{1}$ is a scheme over K or a scheme over F . Let $\left(\mathrm{V} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{V}\right)^{\sim}$ be the $\log$ product with respect to the Cartier divisor $\mathrm{V}_{1}=\mathrm{V} \times_{\mathrm{U}} \mathrm{U}_{1}$ of V . Let $q_{1}: \mathrm{E}=\mathbf{G}_{m, \mathrm{U}_{1}} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}_{1}$ be the projection and $q_{1}: \mathrm{E} \times_{\mathrm{U}_{1}}\left(\mathrm{~V}_{1} \times_{\mathrm{U}_{1}} \mathrm{~V}_{1}\right) \rightarrow$ $\mathrm{V}_{1} \times_{\mathrm{U}_{1}} \mathrm{~V}_{1}$ also denote the base change. We regard $\mathrm{E} \times_{\mathrm{U}_{1}}\left(\mathrm{~V}_{1} \times_{\mathrm{U}_{1}} \mathrm{~V}_{1}\right)$ as a closed subscheme of $\left(\mathrm{V} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{V}\right)^{\sim}$ as above.

Then, for $\Gamma_{1} \in \mathrm{Gr}_{n-1}^{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{G}\left(\mathrm{V}_{1} \times{ }_{\mathrm{U}_{1}} \mathrm{~V}_{1} \backslash \Delta_{\mathrm{V}_{1}}\right)$, the product (6.1.2.1) satisfies
(6.1.2.4)

$$
\left(\left(q_{1}^{*} \Gamma_{1}, \Delta_{\mathrm{V}}\right)\right)^{\log }= \begin{cases}i_{*}\left(\left(\Gamma_{1}, \Delta_{\mathrm{V}_{1}}\right)\right)^{\log } & \text { if } \mathrm{V}_{1}=\mathrm{V}_{1, \mathrm{~K}} \\ i_{*}\left(\Gamma_{1}, \Delta_{\mathrm{V}_{1}}\right)^{\log } & \text { if } \mathrm{V}_{1}=\mathrm{V}_{1, \mathrm{~F}}\end{cases}
$$

in $\mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\partial_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathrm{V}\right)_{\mathbf{Q}}$.
Proof. - We keep the notation in the definition of (6.1.2.1) above. We put $g^{*} \mathrm{~V}_{1}=$ $\sum_{j \in \mathrm{~J}} e_{j} \mathrm{~W}_{j}$. For each irreducible component $\mathrm{W}_{j}$, let $\mathrm{Z}_{j}$ be the closure, $i_{j}: \mathrm{Z}_{j} \rightarrow \mathrm{Z}$ be the closed immersion and $\bar{g}_{j}: \mathrm{Z}_{j} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}_{1}$ be the restrictions of $\bar{g}: \mathrm{Z} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}$. Let $g_{j}: \mathrm{W}_{j} \rightarrow \mathrm{~V}_{1}$ be the restriction of $\bar{g}_{j}$. Let $\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{Z}}=\left(\mathrm{D}_{k}\right)_{k \in \mathrm{I}}$ and $\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{Z}}^{\prime}=\left(\mathrm{D}_{k}\right)_{k \in \mathrm{I}}$ be the families of irreducible components of $\mathrm{D}=\mathrm{Z} \backslash \mathrm{W} \subset \mathrm{D}^{\prime}=\mathrm{Z} \backslash \mathrm{W}_{0}$ indexed by $\mathrm{I} \subset \mathrm{I}^{\prime}=\mathrm{I} \amalg \mathrm{J}$ respectively.

For $j \in \mathrm{~J}$, let $\left(\mathrm{Z}_{j} \times{ }_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{Z}_{j}\right)^{\sim}$ and $\left(\mathrm{Z}_{j} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{Z}_{j}\right)^{\approx}$ be the log product with respect to the families $\mathcal{D}_{j}=\left(\mathrm{D}_{k} \cap \mathrm{Z}_{j}\right)_{k \in \mathrm{I}}$ and $\mathcal{D}_{j}^{\prime}=\left(\mathrm{D}_{k} \cap \mathrm{Z}_{j}\right)_{k \in \mathrm{I}^{\prime}, \mathrm{D}_{k} \neq Z_{j}}$ respectively. Let $\mathrm{E}_{j} \subset\left(\mathrm{Z} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{Z}\right)^{\approx}$ denote the inverse image of $\mathrm{Z}_{j} \subset \mathrm{Z}$ by either of the projections $\left(\mathrm{Z} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{Z}\right)^{\sim} \rightarrow \mathrm{Z}$. Then, the canonical map $\left(\mathrm{Z}_{j} \times{ }_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{Z}_{j}\right)^{\approx} \rightarrow\left(\mathrm{Z}_{j} \times{ }_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{Z}_{j}\right)^{\sim}$ is of finite tor-dimension by Corollary 4.1 .5 and $\mathrm{E}_{j}$ is flat over $\left(\mathrm{Z}_{j} \times{ }_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{Z}_{j}\right) \approx$ by Lemma 1.3.2. Hence, the canonical map $\bar{q}_{j}: \mathrm{E}_{j} \rightarrow\left(\mathrm{Z}_{j} \times{ }_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{Z}_{j}\right)^{\sim}$ is of finite tor-dimension. Let $q_{j}: \mathrm{E}_{j}^{\circ} \rightarrow \mathrm{W}_{j} \times \mathrm{S} \mathrm{W}_{j}$ be the base change of $\bar{q}_{j}$. We consider the commutative diagram

where the right horizontal arrows are open immersions.
Let $\left(g_{j} \times g_{j}\right)^{*}: \operatorname{Gr}_{n-1}^{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{G}\left(\mathrm{V}_{1} \times_{\mathrm{U}_{1}} \mathrm{~V}_{1} \backslash \Delta_{\mathrm{V}_{1}}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Gr}_{n-1}^{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{G}\left(\mathrm{W}_{j} \times{ }_{\mathrm{U}_{1}} \mathrm{~W}_{j} \backslash \mathrm{~W}_{j} \times{ }_{\mathrm{V}_{1}} \mathrm{~W}_{j}\right)$ denote the pull-back by $g_{j} \times g_{j}: \mathrm{W}_{j} \times{ }_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{W}_{j} \rightarrow \mathrm{~V}_{1} \times{ }_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{V}_{1}$. Then, by Corollary 4.1.8.2, we obtain
(6.1.2.5)

$$
(g \times g)^{\sim *}\left(q_{1}^{*} \Gamma_{1}\right)=\sum_{j} e_{j} \cdot q_{j}^{*}\left(g_{j} \times g_{j}\right)^{*}\left(\Gamma_{1}\right)
$$

in $\operatorname{Gr}_{n}^{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{G}\left(\left(\mathrm{W}_{1} \times_{\mathrm{U}_{0}} \mathrm{~W}_{1}\right)^{\sim} \backslash\left(\mathrm{W}_{1} \times{ }_{\mathrm{V}_{0}} \mathrm{~W}_{1}\right)^{\sim}\right)$.
Let $\mathrm{A}_{\mathcal{D}} \subset\left(\mathrm{Y} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{Y}\right)_{\mathcal{D}}^{\sim}$ be the closure of $\mathrm{V} \times_{\mathrm{U}} \mathrm{V} \backslash \Delta_{\mathrm{V}}$ and let $\mathrm{A} \subset\left(\mathrm{Z} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{Z}\right)^{\approx}$ be the intersection of the pull-back of $A_{\mathcal{D}}$ with $\left(Z \times_{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{Z}\right) \approx=\left(\mathrm{Z} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{Z}\right)^{\approx} \times_{\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{S} \mathrm{X}\right)} \sim \mathrm{X}$. For
each $\mathrm{Z}_{j}$, let $\mathrm{A}_{j} \subset\left(\mathrm{Z}_{j} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{Z}_{j}\right)^{\sim}$ be the intersection of the pull-back of A with $\left(\mathrm{Z}_{j} \times \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{Z}_{j}\right)^{\sim}=$ $\left(\mathrm{Z}_{j} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{Z}_{j}\right)^{\sim} \times_{\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{X}\right)^{\sim}} \mathrm{X}$. We have $\mathrm{A} \cap\left(\mathrm{W} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{W}\right)^{\sim}=\left(\mathrm{W} \times_{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{W}\right)^{\sim} \backslash\left(\mathrm{W} \times_{\mathrm{U}} \mathrm{W}\right)^{\sim}$ and $\mathrm{A}_{j} \cap$ $\left(\mathrm{W}_{j} \times{ }_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{W}_{j}\right)=\left(\mathrm{W}_{j} \times_{\mathrm{U}_{1}} \mathrm{~W}_{j}\right) \backslash\left(\mathrm{W}_{i} \times_{\mathrm{V}_{1}} \mathrm{~W}_{j}\right)$. We take $\Gamma_{j} \in \mathrm{Gr}_{n}^{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{G}\left(\mathrm{A}_{j}\right)$ lifting $\left(g_{j} \times g_{j}\right)^{*}\left(\Gamma_{1}\right)$. Then, by (6.1.2.5), we have
(6.1.2.6)

$$
\left(\left(q_{1}^{*} \Gamma_{1}, \Delta_{\mathrm{Y}}\right)\right)^{\log }=\frac{1}{[\mathrm{~W}: \mathrm{V}]} \sum_{j} e_{j} \cdot \bar{g}_{*}\left(\left(\bar{q}_{j}^{*} \Gamma_{j}, \Delta_{\mathrm{Z}}^{\log }\right)\right)_{(\mathrm{Z} \times \mathrm{S})^{\sim}} .
$$

We continue the proof assuming $\mathrm{U}_{1}=\mathrm{U}_{1, \mathrm{~K}}$. The proof of the other case $\mathrm{U}_{1}=$ $\mathrm{U}_{1, \mathrm{~F}}$ is similar using Lemma 4.3.4.2. By Lemma 4.3.4.1, we have $\left(\left(\bar{q}_{j}^{*} \Gamma_{j}, \Delta_{\mathrm{Z}}^{\log }\right)\right)_{(\mathrm{Z} \times \mathrm{s} \mathrm{Z})} \approx=$ $\left.i_{j *}\left(\left(\left(\bar{q}_{j}^{*} \Gamma_{j}, \Delta_{Z_{j}}^{\log }\right)\right)_{\left(Z_{j} \times S\right.} Z_{j}\right)^{\sim}, 1_{Z_{j}}\right)_{\mathbf{G}_{m, Z_{j}}}$. Since $\bar{q}_{j}: \mathrm{E}_{j} \rightarrow\left(\mathrm{Z}_{j} \times{ }_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{Z}_{j}\right)^{\sim}$ is of finite tor-dimension, we have $\left(\left(\bar{q}_{j}^{*} \Gamma_{j}, \Delta_{Z_{j}}^{\log }\right)\right)_{\left(Z_{j} \times s Z_{j}\right) \sim}=\bar{q}_{j}^{*}\left(\left(\Gamma_{j}, \Delta_{Z_{j}}^{\log }\right)\right)_{\left(Z_{j} \times s Z_{j}\right) \sim}$ by the associativity Lemmas 3.3.6 and 3.3.7 where $\bar{q}_{j}: \mathbf{G}_{m, Z_{j}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Z}_{j}$ in the right hand side denotes the restriction of $\bar{q}_{j}: \mathrm{E}_{j} \rightarrow$ $\left(\mathrm{Z}_{j} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{Z}_{j}\right)^{\sim}$. Thus we obtain $\left(\left(\bar{q}_{j}^{*} \Gamma_{j}, \Delta_{\mathrm{Z}}^{\log }\right)\right)_{(\mathrm{Z} \times \mathrm{S} \mathrm{Z})^{\sim}}=i_{j *}\left(\left(\Gamma_{j}, \Delta_{\mathrm{Z}_{j}}^{\log }\right)\right)_{\left(\mathrm{Z}_{j} \times \mathrm{s} \mathrm{Z}_{j}\right)^{\sim}}$ and

$$
\bar{g}_{*}\left(\left(\bar{q}_{j}^{*} \Gamma_{j}, \Delta_{\mathrm{Z}}^{\log }\right)\right)_{\left(\mathrm{Z} \times_{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{Z}\right)} \approx=\bar{g}_{j *}\left(\left(\Gamma_{j}, \Delta_{\mathrm{Z}_{j}}^{\log }\right)\right)_{\left(\mathrm{Z}_{j} \times \mathrm{S} Z_{j}\right)^{\sim}}
$$

for the right hand side of (6.1.2.6). The right hand side is equal to $\left[W_{j}: V_{1}\right]$. $\operatorname{pr}_{\mathrm{Y}_{1}}\left(\left(\Gamma_{1}, \Delta_{\mathrm{V}_{1}}\right)\right)^{\log }$ if $\mathrm{Z}_{j} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}_{1}$ is generically finite and is 0 if otherwise similarly as at the end of the proof of Proposition 6.1.1. Therefore, the sum of the right hand side of (6.1.2.6) is equal to

$$
\sum_{j} e_{j}\left[\mathrm{~W}_{j}: \mathrm{V}_{1}\right] \cdot \operatorname{pr}_{\mathrm{Y}_{1}}\left(\left(\Gamma_{1}, \Delta_{\mathrm{V}_{1}}\right)\right)^{\log } .
$$

Since $\sum_{j} e_{j}\left[\mathrm{~W}_{j}: \mathrm{V}_{1}\right]=[\mathrm{W}: \mathrm{V}]$, the assertion follows.
Proposition 6.1.3. - 1. Let $f: \mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}$ be a finite étale morphism of regular flat separated schemes of finite type over S and $n=\operatorname{dim} \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{K}}+1$. We assume that $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{K}} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{K}}$ is tamely ramified with respect to K . Let $\mathcal{E}$ be a locally free $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{U}}$-module of rank $c, p: \mathrm{P}=\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \mathrm{U}$ be the associated $\mathbf{P}^{c-1}$-bundle and $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{V}}=\mathrm{P} \times_{\mathrm{U}} \mathrm{V}$ be the base change. Let $\Gamma \subset \mathrm{V} \times_{\mathrm{U}} \mathrm{V} \backslash \Delta_{\mathrm{V}}$ be an open and closed subscheme and we regard $\Gamma_{\mathrm{P}}=\Gamma \times{ }_{\mathrm{U}} \mathrm{P}$ as an open and closed subscheme of $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{V}} \times_{\mathrm{P}} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{V}} \backslash \Delta_{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{V}}}=$ $\left(\mathrm{V} \times_{\mathrm{U}} \mathrm{V} \backslash \Delta_{\mathrm{V}}\right) \times_{\mathrm{U}} \mathrm{P}$.

Then, we have
(6.1.3.1)

$$
p_{*}\left(\left(\Gamma_{\mathrm{P}}, \Delta_{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{V}}}\right)\right)^{\log }=c \cdot\left(\left(\Gamma, \Delta_{\mathrm{V}}\right)\right)^{\log }
$$

in $\mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\partial_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathrm{V}\right)_{\mathbf{Q}}$.
2. Let the assumption be the same as in 1. except that we assume $f: \mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}$ is a finite étale morphism of smooth separated schemes of finite type over F and $n=\operatorname{dim} \mathrm{V}$.

Then, we have
(6.1.3.2)

$$
p_{*}\left(\Gamma_{\mathrm{P}}, \Delta_{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{V}}}\right)^{\log }=c \cdot\left(\Gamma, \Delta_{\mathrm{V}}\right)^{\log }
$$

in $\mathrm{CH}_{0}\left(\partial_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathrm{V}\right)_{\mathbf{Q}}$.

Proof. - 1. By the flattening theorem [36], there exist a proper scheme X over S containing U as a dense open subscheme and a locally free $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}}$-module $\mathcal{E}_{\mathrm{X}}$ of rank $c$ extending $\mathcal{E}$. Replacing X by a blow-up, we may assume U is the complement of a Cartier divisor B.

Let Y be an object of $\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{V}}$ and $\mathcal{D}$ be a finite family of Cartier divisors of Y such that $\Sigma_{\mathrm{U} / \mathrm{V}} \mathrm{Y}=\Sigma_{\mathrm{U} / \mathrm{V}}^{\mathcal{D}} \mathrm{Y}$. Let $\mathrm{Z} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}$ be an object of $\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}}$. Let $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{Z}}=\mathrm{Z} \times{ }_{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{X}}$ denote the base change of the projective space bundle $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{X}}=\mathbf{P}\left(\mathcal{E}_{\mathrm{X}}\right)$. Let $\mathrm{A}_{\mathcal{D}} \subset\left(\mathrm{Y} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{Y}\right)_{\mathcal{D}}^{\sim}$ be the closure of $\mathrm{V} \times_{\mathrm{U}} \mathrm{V} \backslash \Delta_{\mathrm{V}}$ and let $\mathrm{A} \subset\left(\mathrm{Z} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{Z}\right)^{\sim}$ denote the intersection $(\bar{g} \times \bar{g})^{\sim-1}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{\mathcal{D}}\right) \cap$ $\left(\mathrm{Z} \times_{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{Z}\right)^{\sim}$ as in Proposition 5.3.3. We regard $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{P}}=\mathrm{A} \times_{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{X}}$ as a closed subscheme of $\left(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{Z}} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{Z}}\right)^{\sim}=\left(\mathrm{Z} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{Z}\right)^{\sim} \times_{\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{X}}\left(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{X}} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{X}}\right)$ by the diagonal maps $\mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{X}$ and $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{X}} \rightarrow \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{X}} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{P}_{\underset{\mathrm{X}}{ } \text {. Then, }\left(\left(\Gamma, \Delta_{\mathrm{V}}\right)\right)^{\log } \text { is defined using the image of }\left(\left(\widetilde{\Gamma}, \Delta_{\mathrm{Z}}^{\log }\right)\right)_{(\mathrm{Z} \times s \mathrm{Z})^{\sim}} \text { by }}$ taking a lifting $\widetilde{\Gamma} \in \mathrm{F}_{n} \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{A})$ of $(g \times g)^{*} \Gamma$. The product $\left(\left(\Gamma_{\mathrm{P}}, \Delta_{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{V}}}\right)\right)^{\log }$ is defined using the image of $\left(\left(p^{*} \widetilde{\Gamma}, \Delta_{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{Z}}}^{\log }\right)\right)_{\left(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{Z}} \times \mathrm{SP}_{\mathrm{Z}}\right)^{\sim}}$ where $p^{*}: \mathrm{F}_{n} \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{A}) \rightarrow \mathrm{F}_{n+c-1} \mathrm{G}\left(\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{P}}\right)$ denotes the pull-back.

We apply the associativity formula, Lemma 3.3.6, to $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{P}} \rightarrow\left(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{Z}} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{Z}}\right)^{\sim} \leftarrow \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{Z}} \times_{\mathrm{Z}}$ $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{Z}} \leftarrow \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{Z}}$. Since a projective space bundle $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{Z}}$ is smooth over Z , the diagonal $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{Z}} \rightarrow \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{Z}} \times_{\mathrm{Z}}$ $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{Z}}$ is a regular immersion and hence is of finite tor-dimension. By applying Lemma 3.3.6, we obtain
(6.1.3.3)

$$
\left(\left(\Gamma_{\mathrm{P}}, \Delta_{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{Z}}}^{\log }\right)\right)_{\left(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{Z}} \times \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{Z}}\right)^{\sim}}=\left(\left(\left(\Gamma_{\mathrm{P}}, \Delta_{\mathrm{Z}}^{\log }\right)\right)_{(\mathrm{Z} \times \mathrm{s} \mathrm{Z})^{\sim}}, \Delta_{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{Z}}}\right)_{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{Z}} \times \mathrm{Z} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{Z}}}
$$

Since the projection $p_{\mathrm{Z}}: \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{Z}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Z}$ is smooth, we have

$$
\left(\left(\Gamma_{\mathrm{P}}, \Delta_{\mathrm{Z}}^{\log }\right)\right)_{(\mathrm{Z} \times \mathrm{s} \mathrm{Z})^{\sim}}=p_{\mathrm{Z}}^{*}\left(\left(\Gamma, \Delta_{\mathrm{Z}}^{\log }\right)\right)_{(\mathrm{Z} \times \mathrm{s} \mathrm{Z})^{\sim}} .
$$

Since $\left(\Delta_{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{Z}}}, \Delta_{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{Z}}}\right)_{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{Z} \times{ }_{Z} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{Z}}}}=(-1)^{c-1} c_{c-1}\left(\Omega_{\mathrm{P}_{Z} / \mathrm{Z}}^{1}\right)$, the right hand side of (6.1.3.3) is equal to

$$
p_{\mathrm{Z}}^{*}\left(\left(\Gamma, \Delta_{\mathrm{Z}}^{\log }\right)\right)_{(\mathrm{Z} \times \mathrm{s} \mathrm{Z})^{\sim}} \cdot(-1)^{c-1} c_{c-1}\left(\Omega_{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{Z}} / \mathrm{Z}}^{1}\right)
$$

by the excess intersection formula for the usual intersection product. Since $\operatorname{deg}(-1)^{c-1}$. $c_{c-1}\left(\Omega_{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{Z}} / \mathrm{Z}}^{1}\right)=c$, by the projection formula, we obtain

$$
p_{\mathrm{Z} *}\left(\left(\Gamma_{\mathrm{P}}, \Delta_{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{Z}}}^{\log }\right)\right)_{\left(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{Z} \times \mathrm{S}} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{Z}}\right)^{\sim}}=c \cdot\left(\left(\Gamma, \Delta_{\mathrm{Z}}^{\log }\right)\right)_{(\mathrm{Z} \times \mathrm{s} \mathrm{Z})^{\sim}}
$$

and the assertion follows.
We also omit the similar and easier proof of 2 .
Lemma 6.1.4.-1. Let $f: \mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}, \mathcal{E}, p: \mathrm{P}=\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \mathrm{U}, \Gamma \subset \mathrm{V} \times_{\mathrm{U}} \mathrm{V} \backslash \Delta_{\mathrm{V}}$ etc. be the same as in Proposition 6.1.3. We consider the pull-back $(p \times p)^{*} \Gamma$ as an open and closed subscheme of $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{V}} \times_{\mathrm{U}} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{V}} \backslash \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{V}} \times_{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{V}}$. For an integer $m$, we put $c_{c, m}=\operatorname{deg} c_{c-1}\left(\Omega_{\mathbf{p}^{-1}}^{1}(m)\right)$.

Then, we have
(6.1.4.1)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p_{*}\left(\left((p \times p)^{*} \Gamma \cdot c_{c-1}\left(\mathrm{pr}_{1}^{*} \Omega_{\mathrm{P} / \mathrm{U}}^{1}(m) \otimes \mathrm{pr}_{2}^{*} \mathcal{O}\left(m^{\prime}\right)\right), \Delta_{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{V}}}\right)\right)^{\log } \\
& \quad=c_{c, m+m^{\prime}} \cdot\left(\left(\Gamma, \Delta_{\mathrm{V}}\right)\right)^{\log }
\end{aligned}
$$

in $\mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\partial_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathrm{V}\right)_{\mathbf{Q}}$.
2. Let the assumption be the same as in 1. except that $f: \mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}$ is a finite étale morphism of separated smooth schemes of finite type over F .

Then, we have
(6.1.4.2) $\quad p_{*}\left((p \times p)^{*} \Gamma \cdot c_{c-1}\left(\operatorname{pr}_{1}^{*} \Omega_{\mathrm{P} / \mathrm{U}}^{1}(m) \otimes \operatorname{pr}_{2}^{*} \mathcal{O}\left(m^{\prime}\right)\right), \Delta_{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{V}}}\right)^{\log }=c_{c, m+m^{\prime}} \cdot\left(\Gamma, \Delta_{\mathrm{V}}\right)^{\log }$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{0}\left(\partial_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathrm{V}\right)_{\mathbf{Q}}$.

An elementary calculation shows
(6.1.4.3)

$$
c_{c, m}= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{m}\left((m-1)^{c}-(-1)^{c}\right) & \text { for } m \neq 0 \\ (-1)^{c-1} c & \text { for } m=0\end{cases}
$$

Proof. - 1. We keep the notation $\mathrm{X}, \mathcal{E}_{\mathrm{X}}, \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{Z}}$, etc. in the proof of Proposition 6.1.3 above. Since $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{Z}}$ is smooth over Z , similarly as above, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\left((p \times p)^{*} \Gamma \cdot c_{c-1}\left(\operatorname{pr}_{1}^{*} \Omega_{\mathrm{P} / \mathrm{U}}^{1}(m) \otimes \operatorname{pr}_{2}^{*} \mathcal{O}\left(m^{\prime}\right)\right), \Delta_{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{Z}}}^{\log }\right)\right)_{\left(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{Z} \times \mathrm{s}} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{Z}}\right)^{\sim}} \\
& \quad=\left(\left((p \times p)^{*} \Gamma, \Delta_{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{Z}}}^{\log }\right)\right)_{\left(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{Z} \times s} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{Z}}\right)^{\sim}} \cdot c_{c-1}\left(\Omega_{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{Z} / \mathrm{Z}}^{1}}^{1}\left(m+m^{\prime}\right)\right) \\
& \quad=p_{\mathrm{Z}}^{*}\left(\left(\Gamma, \Delta_{\mathrm{Z}}\right)\right)_{(\mathrm{Z} \times \mathrm{s} \mathrm{~S})^{\sim}} \cdot c_{c-1}\left(\Omega_{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{Z}} / \mathrm{Z}}^{1}\left(m+m^{\prime}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

By applying the projection formula, we obtain (6.1.4.1).
We also omit the similar and easier proof of 2.
6.2. Excision formula. - We keep the notation $f: \mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}$ etc. as in the previous subsection. We prove the excision formula, Theorem 6.2.2. We begin with the following blow-up formula.

Proposition 6.2.1. - Let $f: \mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}$ be a finite étale morphism of regular flat separated schemes of finite type over S such that $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{K}} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{K}}$ is tamely ramified with respect to Spec K . We put $n=\operatorname{dim} \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{K}}+1$. Let $\mathrm{U}_{1} \subset \mathrm{U}$ be a regular closed subscheme of codimension $c$ and $p: \mathrm{U}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}$ be the blow-up at $\mathrm{U}_{1}$. Assume either $\mathrm{U}_{1}$ is a scheme over K or a scheme over F . We consider the Cartesian diagram

where we use the same letters to denote the base change.
Let $\Gamma \subset \mathrm{V} \times_{\mathrm{U}} \mathrm{V} \backslash \Delta_{\mathrm{V}}$ be an open and closed subscheme and we regard $\Gamma^{\prime}=\Gamma \times_{\mathrm{U}} \mathrm{U}^{\prime}$ and $\Gamma_{1}=\Gamma \times \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{U}}$ as open and closed subschemes of $\mathrm{V}^{\prime} \times_{\mathrm{U}^{\prime}} \mathrm{V}^{\prime} \backslash \Delta_{\mathrm{V}^{\prime}}$ and of $\mathrm{V}_{1} \times \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{U}_{1}} \mathrm{~V}_{1} \backslash \Delta_{\mathrm{V}_{1}}$ respectively.

Then, we have
(6.2.1.1) $\quad\left(\left(\Gamma, \Delta_{\mathrm{V}}\right)\right)^{\log }=p_{*}\left(\left(\Gamma^{\prime}, \Delta_{\mathrm{V}^{\prime}}\right)\right)^{\log }+(c-1) \begin{cases}i_{*}\left(\left(\Gamma_{1}, \Delta_{\mathrm{V}_{1}}\right)\right)^{\log } & \text { if } \mathrm{U}_{1}=\mathrm{U}_{1, \mathrm{~K}} \\ i_{*}\left(\Gamma_{1}, \Delta_{\mathrm{V}_{1}}\right)^{\log } & \text { if } \mathrm{U}_{1}=\mathrm{U}_{1, \mathrm{~F}}\end{cases}$ in $\mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\partial_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathrm{V}\right)_{\mathbf{Q}}$.

Proof. - We consider the pull-back $(p \times p)^{*} \Gamma$ by $p \times p: \mathrm{V}^{\prime} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{V}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{V} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{V}$. We have
(6.2.1.2) $\quad\left(\left(\Gamma, \Delta_{\mathrm{V}}\right)\right)^{\log }=p_{*}\left(\left((p \times p)^{*} \Gamma, \Delta_{\mathrm{V}^{\prime}}\right)\right)^{\log }$,
by the commutative diagram (6.1.0.2). We compute $(p \times p)^{*} \Gamma$. Note that $p_{1}: \mathrm{V}_{1}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{V}_{1}$ is a $\mathbf{P}^{c-1}$-bundle. The morphism $\mathrm{U}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}$ of regular scheme is locally of complete intersection. Since $(p \times p)^{*}\left[\Delta_{\mathrm{U}}\right]=\left[\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{U}^{\prime}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{U}}}^{\mathrm{L}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{U}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{U}}}^{\mathrm{L}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{U}^{\prime}}\right]=\sum_{i}(-1)^{i}\left[\mathcal{T}_{\text {or }}^{i}{ }^{\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{U}}}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{U}^{\prime}}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{U}^{\prime}}\right)\right]$, by applying Corollary 3.1.6 to the blow-up $\mathrm{U}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}$, we obtain
(6.2.1.3)

$$
(p \times p)^{*}\left[\Delta_{\mathrm{U}}\right]-\left[\Delta_{\mathrm{U}^{\prime}}\right]=\sum_{i=1}^{c-1}(-1)^{i-1} \sum_{j=1}^{i}\left[\operatorname{pr}_{1}^{*} \Omega_{\mathrm{U}_{1}^{\prime} / \mathrm{U}_{1}}^{i}(i) \otimes \operatorname{pr}_{2}^{*} \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{U}_{1}^{\prime} / \mathrm{U}^{\prime}}^{\otimes-j}\right]
$$

in $\operatorname{Gr}_{n}^{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{G}\left(\mathrm{U}^{\prime} \times_{\mathrm{U}} \mathrm{U}^{\prime}\right)$, where $\mathrm{pr}_{k}: \mathrm{U}_{1}^{\prime} \times_{\mathrm{U}_{1}} \mathrm{U}_{1}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}_{1}^{\prime}$ denote the projections. Let $\Sigma$ denote the right hand side of (6.2.1.3). We will use the computation
(6.2.1.4) $\quad \Sigma \cdot c_{1}\left(\operatorname{pr}_{2}^{*} \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{U}_{1}^{\prime} / \mathrm{U}^{\prime}}\right)=\sum_{i=0}^{c-1}(-1)^{i}\left[\operatorname{pr}_{1}^{*} \Omega_{\mathrm{U}_{1}^{\prime} / \mathrm{U}_{1}}^{i}(i)\right] \otimes\left(\left[\operatorname{pr}_{2}^{*} \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{U}_{1}^{\prime} / \mathrm{U}^{\prime}}^{\otimes-i}\right]\right.$

$$
\left.-\left[\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{U}_{1}^{\prime} \times \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{U}} \mathrm{U}_{1}^{\prime}}\right]\right)
$$

$$
=(-1)^{c-1} \cdot\left(c_{c-1}\left(\operatorname{pr}_{1}^{*} \Omega_{\mathrm{U}_{1}^{\prime} / \mathrm{U}_{1}}^{1}(1) \otimes \operatorname{pr}_{2}^{*} \mathcal{O}(-1)\right)\right.
$$

$$
\left.-c_{c-1}\left(\operatorname{pr}_{1}^{*} \Omega_{\mathrm{U}_{1}^{\prime} / \mathrm{U}_{1}}^{1}(1)\right)\right)
$$

in $\mathrm{Gr}_{n-1}^{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{G}\left(\mathrm{U}_{1}^{\prime} \times_{\mathrm{U}_{1}} \mathrm{U}_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ that follows from $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{V}_{1}^{\prime} / \mathrm{V}^{\prime}}=\mathcal{O}(1)$.
By $(p \times p)^{*}\left[\Delta_{\mathrm{U}}\right]-\left[\Delta_{\mathrm{U}^{\prime}}\right]=\Sigma$, we have $(p \times p)^{*} \Gamma=\Gamma^{\prime}+\left(p_{1} \times p_{1}\right)^{*} \Gamma_{1} \cdot \Sigma$ since $\Gamma$ is flat over U . Thus, by (6.2.1.2), we obtain

$$
\left(\left(\Gamma, \Delta_{\mathrm{V}}\right)\right)^{\log }=p_{*}\left(\left(\Gamma^{\prime}, \Delta_{\mathrm{V}^{\prime}}\right)\right)^{\log }+p_{*}\left(\left(\left(p_{1} \times p_{1}\right)^{*} \Gamma_{1} \cdot \Sigma, \Delta_{\mathrm{V}^{\prime}}\right)\right)^{\log }
$$

Let $i^{\prime}: \mathrm{V}_{1}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{V}^{\prime}$ denote the immersion. If $\mathrm{U}_{1}=\mathrm{U}_{1, \mathrm{~K}}$, then Proposition 6.1.1 gives us
(6.2.1.5) $\quad\left(\left(\left(p_{1} \times p_{1}\right)^{*} \Gamma_{1} \cdot \Sigma, \Delta_{\mathrm{V}^{\prime}}\right)\right)^{\log }=-i_{*}^{\prime}\left(\left(\left(p_{1} \times p_{1}\right)^{*} \Gamma_{1} \cdot \Sigma \cdot c_{1}\left(\mathrm{pr}_{2}^{*} \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{U}_{1}^{\prime} / \mathrm{U}^{\prime}}\right), \Delta_{\mathrm{V}_{1}}\right)\right)^{\log }$.

If $\mathrm{U}_{1}=\mathrm{U}_{1, \mathrm{~F}}$, we replace the double parentheses in the right hand side by a single parentheses. By substituting (6.2.1.4) in (6.2.1.5) and applying Lemma 6.1.4.1 to the projective space bundle $p_{1}: \mathrm{V}_{1}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{V}_{1}$, we obtain
(6.2.1.6)

$$
p_{*}\left(\left(\left(p_{1} \times p_{1}\right)^{*} \Gamma_{1} \cdot \Sigma, \Delta_{\mathrm{V}^{\prime}}\right)\right)^{\log }=i_{*}(-1)^{c-1}\left(c_{c, 0}-c_{c, 1}\right)\left(\left(\Gamma_{1}, \Delta_{\mathrm{V}_{1}}\right)\right)^{\log }
$$

in $\mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\Sigma_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathrm{V}\right)_{\mathbf{Q}}$. Thus, the assertion follows from $c_{c, 0}-c_{c, 1}=(-1)^{c-1}(c-1)$ (6.1.4.3).
We state and prove an excision formula.
Theorem 6.2.2. -Letf: $\mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}$ be a finite étale morphism of regular flat separated schemes of finite type over S such that $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{K}} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{K}}$ is tamely ramified with respect to Spec K . Let $\mathrm{U}_{1} \subset \mathrm{U}$ be a regular closed subscheme and we consider the Cartesian diagram


For an open and closed subscheme $\Gamma$ of $\mathrm{V} \times_{\mathrm{U}} \mathrm{V}$, we put $\Gamma_{0}=\Gamma \times \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{U}} \mathrm{U}_{0}$ and $\Gamma_{1}=\Gamma \times \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{U}}$.
Then, we have
(6.2.2.1)

$$
\left(\left(\Gamma, \Delta_{\mathrm{V}}\right)\right)^{\log }=j_{!}\left(\left(\Gamma_{0}, \Delta_{\mathrm{V}_{0}}\right)\right)^{\log }+ \begin{cases}i_{*}\left(\left(\Gamma_{1}, \Delta_{\mathrm{V}_{1}}\right)\right)^{\log } & \text { if } \mathrm{U}_{1} \text { is flat over } \mathrm{S} \\ i_{*}\left(\Gamma_{1}, \Delta_{\mathrm{V}_{1}}\right)^{\log } & \text { if } \mathrm{U}_{1}=\mathrm{U}_{1, \mathrm{~F}}\end{cases}
$$

in $\mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\partial_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathrm{V}\right)_{\mathbf{Q}}$.
In the right hand side, $j_{!}\left(\left(\Gamma_{0}, \Delta_{\mathrm{V}_{0}}\right)\right)^{\log }$ and $i_{*}\left(\left(\Gamma_{1}, \Delta_{\mathrm{V}_{1}}\right)\right)^{\log }$ are elements of $\mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\partial_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathrm{V}\right)_{\mathbf{Q}}$ defined as the image of the map (5.2.3.2).

Proof. - By a standard devissage, we may assume either $\mathrm{U}_{1}=\mathrm{U}_{1, \mathrm{~K}}$ or $\mathrm{U}_{1}=\mathrm{U}_{1, \mathrm{~F}}$. By Propositions 6.1.3 and 6.2.1, it suffices to prove the case where $\mathrm{U}_{1}$ is a divisor of U . We put $\mathrm{V}_{1}=\mathrm{V} \times_{\mathrm{U}} \mathrm{U}_{1}$. Let $\left(\mathrm{V} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{V}\right)^{\sim}$ denote the $\log$ product $\left(\mathrm{V} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{V}\right)_{\mathrm{V}_{1}}^{\sim}$. We consider the pull-back $q^{*} \Gamma$ by the projection $q:\left(\mathrm{V} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{V}\right)^{\sim} \rightarrow \mathrm{V} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{V}$. In the notation of (6.1.2.1), we have
(6.2.2.2) $\quad\left(\left(\Gamma, \Delta_{\mathrm{V}}\right)\right)^{\log }=\left(\left(q^{*} \Gamma, \Delta_{\mathrm{V}}\right)\right)^{\log }$,
by the commutative diagram (6.1.2.2). Let $\widetilde{\Gamma} \subset\left(\mathrm{V} \times_{S} \mathrm{~V}\right)^{\sim}$ denote the proper transform of $\Gamma$ and we put $\Gamma_{1}=\Gamma \times{ }_{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{V}_{1}$. We also have
(6.2.2.3)

$$
\left(\left(\Gamma_{0}, \Delta_{\mathrm{V}_{0}}\right)\right)^{\log }=\left(\left(\widetilde{\Gamma}, \Delta_{\mathrm{V}}\right)\right)^{\log }
$$

by the commutative part of the diagram (6.1.2.3).
Let $q_{1}: \mathrm{E} \rightarrow \mathrm{V}_{1} \times{ }_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{V}_{1}$ be the base change of $q$ and $q_{1}^{*} \Gamma_{1}$ be the pull-back. Since $q:\left(\mathrm{U} \times{ }_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{U}\right)^{\sim} \rightarrow \mathrm{U} \times{ }_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{U}$ is locally of complete intersection by Corollary 4.1 .5 and since $\Gamma$ is flat over U , we have an equality
(6.2.2.4) $\quad q^{*} \Gamma=\widetilde{\Gamma}+\tilde{i}_{*}\left(q_{1}^{*} \Gamma_{1}\right)$
in $\mathrm{G}\left(\left(\mathrm{V} \times_{\mathrm{U}} \mathrm{V}\right)^{\sim} \backslash\left(\mathrm{U} \times_{\mathrm{U}} \mathrm{U}\right)^{\sim}\right)$ by Corollary 3.1.7. By Proposition 6.1.2, we have $\left(\left(q_{1}^{*} \Gamma_{1}, \Delta_{\mathrm{V}}\right)\right)^{\log }=\left(\left(\Gamma_{1}, \Delta_{\mathrm{V}_{1}}\right)\right)^{\log }$ if $\mathrm{U}_{1}=\mathrm{U}_{1, \mathrm{~K}}$ and $\left(\left(q_{1}^{*} \Gamma_{1}, \Delta_{\mathrm{V}}\right)\right)^{\log }=\left(\Gamma_{1}, \Delta_{\mathrm{V}_{1}}\right)^{\log }$ if $\mathrm{U}_{1}=$ $\mathrm{U}_{1, \mathrm{~F}}$. Thus the assertion is proved.

Similarly and more easily, we have the following analogue of Theorem 6.2.2.
Proposition 6.2.3.-Let $: \mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}$ be a finite étale morphism of smooth separated schemes of finite type over F . Let $\mathrm{U}_{1} \subset \mathrm{U}$ be a smooth closed subscheme and $\mathrm{U}_{0}=\mathrm{U} \backslash \mathrm{U}_{1}$ be the complement. For an open and closed subscheme $\Gamma$ of $\mathrm{V} \times_{\mathrm{U}} \mathrm{V}$, we put $\Gamma_{0}=\Gamma \times \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{U}} \mathrm{U}_{0}$ and $\Gamma_{1}=\Gamma \times \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{U}} \mathrm{U}_{1}$.

Then, we have

## (6.2.3.1) <br> $$
\left(\Gamma, \Delta_{\mathrm{V}}\right)^{\log }=\left(\Gamma_{0}, \Delta_{\mathrm{V}_{0}}\right)^{\log }+\left(\Gamma_{1}, \Delta_{\mathrm{V}_{1}}\right)^{\log }
$$

in $\mathrm{CH}_{0}\left(\partial_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathrm{V}\right)_{\mathbf{Q}}$.
We generalize the definition of the map (5.3.8.3) for not necessarily regular $U$. For a noetherian scheme X , let $\Gamma(\mathrm{X}, \mathbf{Z})$ be the $\mathbf{Z}$-module of $\mathbf{Z}$-valued locally constant functions on X .

Corollary 6.2.4. - For every finite étale morphism $f: \mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}$ of separated schemes of finite type over S such that $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{K}} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{K}}$ is tamely ramified with respect to K , there exists a unique way to attach a morphism

$$
\left(\left(, \Delta_{\mathrm{V}}\right)\right)^{\log }: \Gamma\left(\mathrm{V} \times_{\mathrm{U}} \mathrm{~V} \backslash \Delta_{\mathrm{V}}, \mathbf{Z}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\partial_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathrm{~V}\right)_{\mathbf{Q}}
$$

satisfying the following properties:
(1) If U is regular and flat of dimension $n$ over S , it is the composition

$$
\Gamma\left(\mathrm{V} \times_{\mathrm{U}} \mathrm{~V} \backslash \Delta_{\mathrm{V}}, \mathbf{Z}\right) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Gr}_{n}^{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{G}\left(\mathrm{~V} \times_{\mathrm{U}} \mathrm{~V} \backslash \Delta_{\mathrm{V}}\right) \xrightarrow{\left(\left(, \Delta_{\mathrm{V}}\right)\right)^{\log }} \mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\partial_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathrm{~V}\right)_{\mathbf{Q}}
$$

where the first arrow is the natural isomorphism.
If U is smooth of dimension $n$ over F , it is the composition

$$
\Gamma\left(\mathrm{V} \times_{\mathrm{U}} \mathrm{~V} \backslash \Delta_{\mathrm{V}}, \mathbf{Z}\right) \longrightarrow \mathrm{CH}_{n}\left(\mathrm{~V} \times_{\mathrm{U}} \mathrm{~V} \backslash \Delta_{\mathrm{V}}\right) \xrightarrow{\left(, \Delta_{\mathrm{V}}\right)^{\log }} \mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\partial_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathrm{~V}\right)_{\mathbf{Q}}
$$

where the first arrow is the natural isomorphism.
(2) Assume $\mathrm{U}=\coprod_{i} \mathrm{U}_{i}$ is a finite decomposition by regular subschemes. Let $j_{i}$ : $\mathrm{U}_{i} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}$ denote the immersion and put $\mathrm{V}_{i}=\mathrm{V} \times_{\mathrm{U}} \mathrm{U}_{i}$ for each $i$. Then, the diagram
(6.2.4.1)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{array}{cc}
\Gamma\left(\mathrm{V} \times_{\mathrm{U}} \mathrm{~V} \backslash \Delta_{\mathrm{V}}, \mathbf{Z}\right) & \xrightarrow{\left(\left(, \Delta_{\mathrm{V}}\right)\right)^{\log }}
\end{array} \begin{array}{c}
\mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\partial_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathrm{~V}\right)_{\mathbf{Q}} \\
\left(\left(_{i}^{*}\right) i\right. \\
\end{array} \\
& \bigoplus_{i} \Gamma\left(\mathrm{~V}_{i} \times_{\mathrm{U}_{i}} \mathrm{~V}_{i} \backslash \Delta_{\mathrm{V}_{i}}, \mathbf{Z}\right) \xrightarrow{\oplus_{i}\left(\left(, \Delta \mathrm{~V}_{i}\right)\right)^{\log }} \bigoplus_{i} \mathrm{~F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\partial_{\mathrm{V}_{i} / \mathrm{U}_{i}} \mathrm{~V}_{i}\right)_{\mathbf{Q}}
\end{aligned}
$$

is commutative.

Proof. - The uniqueness is a consequence of the existence of a finite partition by regular subschemes. To show the existence, it suffices to compare the maps defined by taking partitions by regular subschemes. By taking a common refinement, it is reduced to verify the following. Let U be a regular scheme and $\mathrm{U}=\coprod_{i} \mathrm{U}_{i}$ be a finite stratification by regular subschemes. Then, the maps defined in (1) make the diagram (6.2.4.1) commutative. It follows from Theorem 6.2.2 and Proposition 6.2 .3 by the induction on the maximum of the codimensions of $\mathrm{U}_{i}$ in U .

By the same argument, we have the following variant.
Corollary 6.2.5. - Assume K is of characteristic 0 . For every finite étale morphism $f: \mathrm{V} \rightarrow$ U of separated schemes of finite type over K , there exists a unique way to attach a morphism

$$
\left(\left(, \Delta_{\mathrm{V}}\right)\right)^{\log }: \Gamma\left(\mathrm{V} \times_{\mathrm{U}} \mathrm{~V}, \mathbf{Z}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\partial_{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{~V}\right)_{\mathbf{Q}}
$$

satisfying the following properties:
(1) If U is regular and flat of dimension $n$ over S , it is the composition

$$
\Gamma\left(\mathrm{V} \times_{\mathrm{U}} \mathrm{~V}, \mathbf{Z}\right) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Gr}_{n}^{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{G}\left(\mathrm{~V} \times_{\mathrm{U}} \mathrm{~V}\right) \xrightarrow{((, \Delta \mathrm{V}))^{\log }} \mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\partial_{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{~V}\right)_{\mathbf{Q}}
$$

where the first arrow is the natural isomorphism.
(2) Assume $\mathrm{U}=\coprod_{i} \mathrm{U}_{i}$ is a finite decomposition by smooth subschemes. Let $j_{i}$ : $\mathrm{U}_{i} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}$ denote the immersion and put $\mathrm{V}_{i}=\mathrm{V} \times_{\mathrm{U}} \mathrm{U}_{i}$ for each $i$. Then, the diagram
(6.2.5.1)

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Gamma\left(\mathrm{V} \times_{\mathrm{U}} \mathrm{~V}, \mathbf{Z}\right) \\
\stackrel{((, \Delta \mathrm{V}))^{\log }}{\left(\mathrm{v}_{i}^{*}\right)_{i}} \downarrow
\end{gathered} \begin{gathered}
\mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\partial_{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{~V}\right)_{\mathbf{Q}} \\
\bigoplus_{i} \Gamma\left(\mathrm{~V}_{i} \times_{\mathrm{U}_{i}} \mathrm{~V}_{i}, \mathbf{Z}\right) \xrightarrow{\oplus_{i}\left(\left(, \Delta \mathrm{v}_{i}\right)\right)^{\log }} \bigoplus_{i} \mathrm{~F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\partial_{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{~V}_{i}\right)_{\mathbf{Q}}
\end{gathered}
$$

is commutative.
6.3. A semi-stable case. - In this subsection, we establish a crucial step in the proof of the conductor formula. Namely, in Proposition 6.3.2, we compare the log localized intersection products $\left(\left(\mathrm{T}, \Delta_{\mathrm{V}}\right)\right)^{\log }$ and $\left(\left(\Gamma, \Delta_{\mathrm{V}^{\prime}}\right)\right)^{\log }$ for a morphism $f: \mathrm{V}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{V}$ using the Lefschetz trace formula Theorem 1.4.7, assuming among other things that $f$ is extended to a weakly semi-stable morphism of compactifications.

We consider a commutative diagram
(6.3.1.1)

of separated schemes of finite type over $S$ where the horizontal arrows are finite étale and the vertical arrows are smooth. We further consider a commutative diagram
(6.3.1.2)

where $\mathrm{T} \subset \mathrm{V} \times_{\mathrm{U}} \mathrm{V}$ and $\Gamma \subset \mathrm{V}^{\prime} \times_{\mathrm{U}^{\prime}} \mathrm{V}^{\prime}$ are open and closed subschemes.
Let $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\prime(1)}$ and $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\prime(2)}$ denote the base change $\mathrm{V}^{\prime} \times_{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{T}$ with respect to the first and the second projections respectively. We identify the fiber product $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\prime(1)} \times_{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\prime(2)}$ with an open and closed subscheme $\left(\mathrm{V}^{\prime} \times_{\mathrm{U}} \mathrm{V}^{\prime}\right) \times_{\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{U}} \mathrm{V}} \mathrm{T}$ of $\mathrm{V}^{\prime} \times_{\mathrm{U}} \mathrm{V}^{\prime}$. Then, (6.3.1.2) implies that $\Gamma$ is a closed subscheme of $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\prime(1)} \times_{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\prime(2)}$.

We compare the elements $\left(\left(\mathrm{T}, \Delta_{\mathrm{V}}\right)\right)^{\log }$ and $f_{!}\left(\left(\Gamma, \Delta_{\mathrm{V}^{\prime}}\right)\right)^{\log }$ of $\mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\partial_{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{V}\right)_{\mathbf{Q}}$, assuming that we have a commutative diagram
(6.3.1.3)

of separated schemes of finite type over $S$ satisfying the following conditions:
(6.3.1.4) The schemes $\mathrm{Y}, \mathrm{Y}^{\prime}$ and $\mathrm{X}^{\prime}$ are proper over S and Y is the disjoint union of irreducible components. The scheme V is the complement in Y of a finite family $\mathcal{E}=\left(\mathrm{E}_{j}\right)_{j \in \mathrm{~J}}$ of Cartier divisors and $\mathrm{U}^{\prime}$ is the complement of a Cartier divisor $\mathbf{B}^{\prime}$ of $\mathbf{X}^{\prime}$. The morphism $\bar{f}: \mathrm{Y}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}$ is proper weakly semistable of relative dimension $d$ such that $\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{V}}^{\prime}=\mathrm{Y}^{\prime} \times_{\mathrm{Y}} \mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{V}$ is smooth. The subscheme D is a divisor of $\mathrm{Y}^{\prime}$ over Y with simple normal crossings relatively to Y and $\mathrm{V}^{\prime}=\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{V}}^{\prime} \backslash \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{V}}$.

Let $\mathrm{D}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{D}_{m}$ be the irreducible components of D . Let $\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\prime(1)}, \mathrm{D}_{1}^{(1)}, \ldots, \mathrm{D}_{m}^{(1)}$ denote the base change of $\mathrm{Y}^{\prime}, \mathrm{D}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{D}_{m}$ over Y by the composition $\mathrm{T} \rightarrow \mathrm{V} \times_{\mathrm{U}} \mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}$ of the first projection. Similarly, we define $\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\prime(2)}, \mathrm{D}_{1}^{(2)}, \ldots, \mathrm{D}_{m}^{(2)}$ as the base change using the second projections. Let $\left(\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\prime(1)} \times_{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\prime(2)}\right)^{\sim}$ denote the $\log$ product with respect to the families of Cartier divisors $\left(\mathrm{D}_{1}^{(1)}, \ldots, \mathrm{D}_{m}^{(1)}\right)$ and $\left(\mathrm{D}_{1}^{(2)}, \ldots, \mathrm{D}_{m}^{(2)}\right)$.

Let $\mathrm{K}^{\prime}$ be a finite extension of K and $\gamma^{\prime}: S p e c \mathrm{~K}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{T}$ be a morphism over K . By the valuative criterion, the compositions Spec $\mathrm{K}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{T} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}$ with the two projections are extended to $\mathrm{S}^{\prime}=\operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{K}^{\prime}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}$. Let $\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{S}^{\prime}}^{\prime(1)}$ and $\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{S}^{\prime}}^{\prime(2)}$ denote the base change with respect to the two morphisms respectively.

We regard Y as a $\log$ scheme with the $\log$ structure defined by the finite family of Cartier divisors $\mathcal{E}=\left(\mathrm{E}_{j}\right)_{j \in \mathrm{~J}}$. We assume that the restrictions of $\mathrm{S}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}$ to the closed point $s^{\prime} \in \mathrm{S}^{\prime}$ define the same $\log$ point $s^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}$. Then, we have a canonical isomorphism $\iota_{s^{\prime}}: \mathrm{Y}_{s^{\prime}}^{\prime(1)} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}_{s^{\prime}}^{\prime(2)}$. Hence, if the second projection $\Gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\prime(2)}$ is proper, the alternating sum $\operatorname{Tr}\left(\left(\gamma^{*} \Gamma\right)^{*}: \mathrm{H}_{c}^{*}\left(\mathrm{~V}_{\overline{\mathrm{K}}^{\prime}}^{\prime}, \mathbf{Q}_{\ell}\right)\right)(1.4 .2 .3)$ is defined for a prime number $\ell$ invertible on S .

Proposition 6.3.2. - Let the notations be as in (6.3.1.1)-(6.3.1.4) and assume either of (5.3.2.1a) or (5.3.2.1b) is satisfied. Let $\mathrm{T} \subset \mathrm{V} \times_{\mathrm{U}} \mathrm{V} \backslash \Delta_{\mathrm{V}}$ be an open and closed subscheme and let $\widetilde{\Gamma}$ be a closed subscheme of $\left(\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\prime(1)} \times_{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\prime(2)}\right)^{\sim}$ flat over T such that $\Gamma=\widetilde{\Gamma} \cap\left(\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\prime(1)} \times_{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\prime(2)}\right)$ is an open subscheme of $\mathrm{V}^{\prime} \times \mathrm{U}^{\prime} \mathrm{V}^{\prime}$. We regard Y as a $\log$ scheme with the $\log$ structure defined by $\mathcal{E}=\left(\mathrm{E}_{j}\right)_{j \in \mathrm{~J}}$. Let $\mathcal{E}^{\prime}$ be the finite family of Cartier divisors of $\mathrm{Y}^{\prime}$ consisting of the pull-back of $\mathcal{E}$ and the irreducible components of D . We assume that the generic fibers $\Sigma_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}}^{\mathcal{E}} \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{K}}$ and $\Sigma_{\mathrm{V}^{\prime} / \mathrm{U}^{\prime}}^{\mathcal{E}^{\prime}} \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{K}}^{\prime}$ are empty.

Then, there exist a finite family $\left(\mathrm{K}_{i}\right)_{i \in \mathrm{I}}$ of finite extensions of K , a family $\left(\gamma_{i}: \operatorname{Spec} \mathrm{K}_{i} \rightarrow\right.$ $\mathrm{T})_{i \in \mathrm{I}}$ of morphisms over S and rational numbers $\left(r_{i}\right)_{i \in \mathrm{I}}$ satisfying the following properties:

Let $s_{i} \in \mathrm{~S}_{i}=\operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{K}_{i}}$ denote the closed point for $i \in \mathrm{I}$. Then, for each $i \in \mathrm{I}$, the $\log$ points $\bar{\gamma}_{i}: s_{i} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}$ defined by the unique maps $\mathrm{S}_{i} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}$ extending the composition $\mathrm{Spec}^{\mathrm{K}_{i}} \rightarrow \mathrm{~T} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}$ with the first and the second projections are equal to each other. Further, for a prime number $\ell$ invertible on S , we have $\operatorname{Tr}\left(\left(\gamma_{i}^{*} \Gamma\right)^{*}: \mathrm{H}_{c}^{*}\left(\mathrm{~V}_{\overline{\mathrm{K}}_{i}}^{\prime}, \mathbf{Q}_{\ell}\right)\right) \in \mathbf{Q}$ and, for the logarithmic product (5.3.8.4),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\left(\mathrm{T}, \Delta_{\mathrm{Y}}^{\log }\right)\right)=\sum_{i} r_{i}\left[\bar{\gamma}_{i}\left(s_{i}\right)\right] \tag{6.3.2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

(6.3.2.2)

$$
\bar{f}_{*}\left(\left(\Gamma, \Delta_{\mathrm{Y}^{\prime}}^{\log }\right)\right)=\sum_{i} r_{i} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\left(\gamma_{i}^{*} \Gamma\right)^{*}: \mathrm{H}_{c}^{*}\left(\mathrm{~V}_{\overline{\mathrm{K}}_{i}}^{\prime}, \mathbf{Q}_{l}\right)\right) \cdot\left[\bar{\gamma}_{i}\left(s_{i}\right)\right]
$$

in $\mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\Sigma_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathrm{Y}\right)_{\mathbf{Q}}$.
Proof. - We take an object $\bar{g}: \mathrm{Z} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}$ of the category $\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}}$ of alterations. Since the conditions (5.3.2.1a) and (5.3.2.1b) are stable by the base change, we may assume that we have a $\log$ blow-up $\mathrm{Z}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{Z} \times_{\mathrm{Y}} \mathrm{Y}^{\prime}$ as in the conclusion of Lemma 5.3.2. Hence, the inverse image $\mathrm{W}^{\prime}=\mathrm{V}^{\prime} \times_{\mathrm{Y}^{\prime}} \mathrm{Z}^{\prime}$ is the complement $\mathrm{D}^{\prime}$ of a divisor with simple normal crossings. By the assumption on the upper square in (6.3.1.3), $\bar{g}^{\prime}: \mathrm{Z}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}^{\prime}$ defines an object of $\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{V}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}^{\prime}}$.

Let $g: \mathrm{W} \rightarrow \mathrm{V}$ be the restriction of $\bar{g}: \mathrm{Z} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}$. We put $(g \times g)^{*}(\mathrm{~T})=\sum_{j} m_{j} \mathrm{~T}_{j} \in$ $\mathrm{F}_{n} \mathrm{G}\left(\left(\mathrm{W} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{W}\right) \times_{\mathrm{V} \times_{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{V}} \mathrm{T}\right)$ and, for each $j$, let $\overline{\mathrm{T}}_{j} \subset\left(\mathrm{Z} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{Z}\right)^{\sim}$ be the schematic closure. Then, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\left(\mathrm{T}, \Delta_{\mathrm{Y}}^{\log }\right)\right)=\frac{1}{[\mathrm{~W}: \mathrm{V}]} \sum_{j} m_{j} \cdot \bar{g}_{*}\left(\left(\overline{\mathrm{~T}}_{j}, \Delta_{\mathrm{Z}}^{\mathrm{log}}\right)\right)_{(\mathrm{Z} \times \mathrm{s} \mathrm{Z})^{\sim}} \tag{6.3.2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We define the $\log$ products $\left(\mathrm{Y} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{Y}\right)^{\sim}$ and $\left(\mathrm{Y}^{\prime} \times{ }_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{Y}^{\prime}\right)^{\sim}$ with respect to $\mathcal{E}$ and $\mathcal{E}^{\prime}$. The log product $\left(\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\prime(1)} \times_{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\prime(2)}\right)^{\sim}$ defined with respect to the pull-backs of the irreducible components of D is canonically identified with $\left(\mathrm{Y}^{\prime} \times{ }_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{Y}^{\prime}\right)^{\sim} \times_{(\mathrm{Y} \times \mathrm{s})} \sim \mathrm{T}$. We define
$\left(Z^{\prime} \times{ }_{S} Z^{\prime}\right)^{\sim}$ to be the log product with respect to the irreducible components of a divisor $\mathrm{Z}^{\prime} \backslash\left(\mathrm{Z}^{\prime} \times_{\mathrm{Z}} \mathrm{W}\right)$ with simple normal crossings and the pull-backs of the irreducible components of $\mathrm{D} \subset \mathrm{Y}^{\prime}$. The inverse image of $\mathrm{W} \times_{S} \mathrm{~W}$ by $\left(\mathrm{Z}^{\prime} \times_{S} \mathrm{Z}^{\prime}\right)^{\sim} \rightarrow\left(\mathrm{Z} \times_{S} \mathrm{Z}\right)^{\sim}$ is canonically identified with $\left(\mathrm{Y}^{\prime} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{Y}^{\prime}\right)^{\sim} \times_{(\mathrm{Y} \times \mathrm{S})} \sim\left(\mathrm{W} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{W}\right)$.

For $\mathrm{T}_{j}$ as above, both $\left(\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\prime(1)} \times_{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\prime(2)}\right)^{\sim} \times_{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{T}_{j}$ and $\left(\mathrm{Z}^{\prime} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{Z}^{\prime}\right)^{\sim} \times_{(\mathrm{Z} \times \mathrm{s} \mathrm{Z})^{\sim}} \mathrm{T}_{j}$ are identified with $\left(\mathrm{Y}^{\prime} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{Y}^{\prime}\right)^{\sim} \times_{(\mathrm{Y} \times \mathrm{S} Y} \sim \mathrm{T}_{j}$. We regard $\widetilde{\Gamma}_{j}=\widetilde{\Gamma} \times_{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{T}_{j}$ as a closed subscheme of $\left(\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\prime(1)} \times_{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\prime(2)}\right)^{\sim} \times_{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{T}_{j}=\left(\mathrm{Z}^{\prime} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{Z}^{\prime}\right)^{\sim} \times_{(\mathrm{Z} \times \mathrm{s} \mathrm{Z})^{\sim}} \mathrm{T}_{j}$. By the assumption that $\widetilde{\Gamma} \subset\left(\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\prime(1)} \times_{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\prime(2)}\right)^{\sim}$ is flat over T and by the flattening theorem [36], there exists a proper modification $\bar{q}_{j}: \overline{\mathrm{T}}_{j}^{\prime} \rightarrow \overline{\mathrm{T}}_{j}$ for each $\overline{\mathrm{T}}_{j}$ satisfying the following conditions: The map $\bar{q}_{j}: \overline{\mathrm{T}}_{j}^{\prime} \rightarrow \overline{\mathrm{T}}_{j}$ induces the identity on the dense open subscheme $\mathrm{T}_{j}$ and the schematic closure $\bar{\Gamma}_{j}^{\prime}$ of $\widetilde{\Gamma}_{j} \subset\left(\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\prime(1)} \times_{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\prime(2)}\right)^{\sim} \times_{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{T}_{j}=\left(\mathrm{Z}^{\prime} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{Z}^{\prime}\right)^{\sim} \times_{(\mathrm{Z} \times \mathrm{s} \mathrm{Z})^{\sim}} \mathrm{T}_{j}$ in the base change $\left(\mathrm{Z}^{\prime} \times{ }_{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{Z}^{\prime}\right)^{\sim} \times_{(\mathrm{Z} \times \mathrm{s} \mathrm{Z})} \sim \overline{\mathrm{T}}_{j}^{\prime}$ is flat over $\overline{\mathrm{T}}_{j}^{\prime}$. Then, similarly as (6.3.2.3), we have
(6.3.2.4)

$$
\left(\left(\Gamma, \Delta_{\mathrm{Y}^{\prime}}^{\log }\right)\right)=\frac{1}{[\mathrm{~W}: \mathrm{V}]} \sum_{j} m_{j} \cdot \bar{g}_{*}^{\prime} \bar{g}_{j^{*}}\left(\left(\bar{\Gamma}_{j}^{\prime}, \Delta_{\mathrm{Z}^{\prime}}^{\log }\right)\right)_{\left(\mathrm{Z}^{\prime} \times \mathrm{S}^{\prime}\right)^{\sim}}
$$

For each $j$, we put

## (6.3.2.5) $\quad\left(\left(\overline{\mathrm{T}}_{j}^{\prime}, \Delta_{\mathrm{Z}}^{\log }\right)\right)_{(\mathrm{Z} \times \mathrm{s})^{\sim}}=\sum n_{i}\left[s_{i}^{\prime}\right]$

in $\mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\overline{\mathrm{T}}_{j}^{\prime} \times{ }_{(\mathrm{Z} \times \mathrm{s} \mathrm{Z}) \sim} \Delta_{\mathrm{Z}}^{\log }\right)$. Since $s_{i}^{\prime} \in \overline{\mathrm{T}}_{j}^{\prime}$ is a closed point and $\mathrm{T}_{j}$ is dense in $\overline{\mathrm{T}}_{j}^{\prime}$, there exist a discrete valuation field $\mathrm{K}_{i}$ and a map $\gamma_{i}: \mathrm{S}_{i}=\operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{K}_{i}} \rightarrow \overline{\mathrm{~T}}_{j}$ extending Spec $\mathrm{K}_{i} \rightarrow \mathrm{~T}_{j}$ such that $s_{i}^{\prime}$ is the image of the closed point $\mathrm{S}_{i}$ of $\mathrm{S}_{i}$. Since the image of $s_{i}$ in $\left(\mathrm{Y} \times{ }_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{Y}\right)^{\sim}$ is in the $\log$ diagonal, the $\log$ points $s_{i} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}$ defined by the two projections are equal to each other. Thus, by (6.3.2.3), we obtain (6.3.2.1).

We prove the equality (6.3.2.2). We fix $j$ and let $p_{j}: \bar{\Gamma}_{j}^{\prime} \rightarrow \overline{\mathrm{T}}_{j}^{\prime}$ denote the projection. First we show
(6.3.2.6) $\quad p_{j *}\left(\left(\bar{\Gamma}_{j}^{\prime}, \Delta_{Z^{\prime}}^{\log }\right)\right)_{\left.\left(Z^{\prime} \times S^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}\right)^{\sim}}=\sum_{i} n_{i} \operatorname{deg}\left(\bar{\Gamma}_{j, s_{i}}^{\prime}, \Delta_{Z_{s_{i}}^{\prime}}^{\log }\right)_{\left(Z_{s_{i}}^{\prime} \times s_{i} Z_{s_{i}}^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}} \cdot\left[s_{i}^{\prime}\right]$
in $F_{0} G\left(\bar{T}_{j}^{\prime} \times{ }_{(Z \times s Z)^{\sim}} \Delta_{Z}^{\log }\right)$. Since $Z^{\prime} \rightarrow Z$ is $\log$ smooth, the morphism $\left(Z^{\prime} \times_{S} Z^{\prime}\right)^{\sim} \rightarrow$ $\left(Z \times{ }_{S} Z\right)^{\sim}$ is smooth and the $\log$ diagonal map $Z^{\prime} \rightarrow\left(Z^{\prime} \times_{Z} Z^{\prime}\right)^{\sim}=\left(Z^{\prime} \times_{S} Z^{\prime}\right)^{\sim} \times_{(Z \times s)}{ }^{\sim} Z$ is a regular immersion. By applying the associativity Lemma 3.3.6 to $\left(Z^{\prime} \times_{S} Z^{\prime}\right)^{\sim} \leftarrow$ $\left(\mathrm{Z}^{\prime} \times_{\mathrm{Z}} \mathrm{Z}^{\prime}\right)^{\sim} \leftarrow \mathrm{Z}^{\prime}$, we obtain

$$
\left(\left(\bar{\Gamma}_{j}^{\prime}, \Delta_{Z^{\prime}}^{\log }\right)\right)_{\left(\mathbb{Z}^{\prime} \times{ }_{s} Z^{\prime}\right)^{\sim}}=\left(\left(\left(\bar{\Gamma}_{j}^{\prime},\left(Z^{\prime} \times_{\mathrm{Z}} \mathrm{Z}^{\prime}\right)^{\sim}\right)\right)_{\left(Z^{\prime} \times \mathrm{s}^{\prime}\right)^{\prime} \sim}, \Delta_{Z^{\prime}}^{\log }\right)_{\left(Z^{\prime} \times Z^{\prime} Z^{\prime}\right)^{\sim}} .
$$

Since $\left(Z^{\prime} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{Z}^{\prime}\right)^{\sim}$ is smooth over $\left(\mathrm{Z} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{Z}\right)^{\sim}$, it is tor-independent with Z . Hence by applying the associativity Lemma 3.3.7 to $\left(\mathrm{Z} \times{ }_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{Z}\right)^{\sim} \leftarrow\left(\mathrm{Z}^{\prime} \times{ }_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{Z}^{\prime}\right)^{\sim} \leftarrow \bar{\Gamma}_{j}^{\prime}$ as $\mathrm{X} \leftarrow \mathrm{X}^{\prime} \leftarrow$ W, we obtain

$$
\left(\left(\bar{\Gamma}_{j}^{\prime},\left(\mathrm{Z}^{\prime} \times \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{Z}} \mathrm{Z}^{\prime}\right)^{\sim}\right)\right)_{\left(\mathrm{Z}^{\prime} \times \mathrm{s}^{\prime}\right)^{\sim}}=\left(\left(\Delta_{\mathrm{Z}}^{\log }, \bar{\Gamma}_{j}^{\prime}\right)\right)_{\left(\mathrm{Z} \times \mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{S}}\right)^{\sim}}
$$

Since $p_{j}: \bar{\Gamma}_{j}^{\prime} \rightarrow \overline{\mathrm{T}}_{j}^{\prime}$ is flat, by further applying the associativity Lemma 3.3.6 to $\left(\mathrm{Z} \times{ }_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{Z}\right)^{\sim} \leftarrow \overline{\mathrm{T}}_{j}^{\prime} \leftarrow \bar{\Gamma}_{j}^{\prime}$, we obtain

$$
\left(\left(\Delta_{\mathrm{Z}}^{\log }, \bar{\Gamma}_{j}^{\prime}\right)\right)_{(\mathrm{Z} \times \mathrm{S} \mathrm{Z})^{\sim}}=p_{j}^{*}\left(\left(\overline{\mathrm{~T}}_{j}^{\prime}, \Delta_{\mathrm{Z}}^{\log }\right)\right)_{(\mathrm{Z} \times \mathrm{s})^{\sim}}
$$

Thus, we obtain

$$
\left(\left(\bar{\Gamma}_{j}^{\prime}, \Delta_{\mathrm{Z}^{\prime}}^{\log }\right)\right)_{\left(\mathrm{Z}^{\prime} \times \mathrm{s} \mathrm{Z}^{\prime}\right)^{\sim}}=\left(p_{j}^{*}\left(\left(\overline{\mathrm{~T}}_{j}^{\prime}, \Delta_{\mathrm{Z}}^{\log }\right)\right)_{(\mathrm{Z} \times \mathrm{s} \mathrm{Z})^{\sim}}, \Delta_{\mathrm{Z}^{\prime}}^{\log }\right)_{\left(\mathrm{Z}^{\prime} \times \mathrm{Z}^{\prime}\right)^{\prime} \sim} .
$$

Substituting (6.3.2.5), we see that the right hand side is equal to

$$
\sum_{i} n_{i}\left(p_{j}^{*}\left[s_{i}^{\prime}\right], \Delta_{Z^{\prime}}^{\log }\right)_{\left(Z^{\prime} \times Z^{\prime}\right)^{\prime} \sim}=\sum_{i} n_{i}\left(\bar{\Gamma}_{j, s_{i}}^{\prime}, \Delta_{Z_{s_{i}}^{\prime}}^{\log }\right)_{\left(Z_{s_{i}}^{\prime} \times s_{i} \overline{s i c}_{i}\right)^{\sim}}
$$

in $\mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\bar{\Gamma}_{j}^{\prime} \times{ }_{(\mathrm{Z} \times \mathrm{s})^{\sim}} \Delta_{\mathrm{Z}}^{\log }\right)$. Thus the equality (6.3.2.6) is proved.
For the right hand side of (6.3.2.6), we show
(6.3.2.7)

$$
\operatorname{deg}\left(\bar{\Gamma}_{j, s_{i}}^{\prime}, \Delta_{Z_{s_{i}}}^{\log }\right)_{\left(Z_{s_{i}}^{\prime} \times s_{i} Z_{s_{i}}^{\prime}\right)}=\operatorname{Tr}\left(\left(\gamma_{i}^{*} \Gamma\right)^{*}: \mathrm{H}_{c}^{*}\left(\mathrm{~V}_{\overline{\mathrm{K}}_{i}}^{\prime}, \mathbf{Q}_{\ell}\right)\right)
$$

by applying Theorem 1.4.7 to the base changes $\mathrm{Z}^{\prime} \times_{\mathrm{Z}} \mathrm{S}_{i} \rightarrow \mathrm{~S}_{i}=\operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{K}_{i}}$. To apply it, we verify that the assumptions are satisfied. The $\log$ blow-up of the product $\left(\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\prime(1)} \times_{\mathrm{T}}\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{T}}^{(2)}\right)^{\prime}$ with respect to the families of Cartier divisors $\left(\mathrm{D}_{1}^{(1)}, \ldots, \mathrm{D}_{m}^{(1)}\right)$ and $\left(\mathrm{D}_{1}^{(2)}, \ldots, \mathrm{D}_{m}^{(2)}\right)$ contains the log product $\left(\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\prime(1)} \times_{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\prime(2)}\right)^{\sim}$ as the complement of the proper transforms $\left(\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{T}}^{(1)} \times_{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{T}}^{(2)}\right)^{\prime}$ and $\left(\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{T}}^{(1)} \times_{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{T}}^{(1)}\right)^{\prime}$. Let $\Gamma^{\prime}$ be the closure of $\widetilde{\Gamma}$ in the product $\left(\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{T}}^{(1)} \times_{\mathrm{T}}\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\prime(2)}\right)^{\prime}$. We show
(6.3.2.8) $\quad \Gamma^{\prime} \cap\left(\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{T}}^{(1)} \times_{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{T}}^{(2)}\right)^{\prime}=\Gamma^{\prime} \cap\left(\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{T}}^{(1)} \times_{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{T}}^{(1)}\right)^{\prime}$.

Let $\left(\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{U}}^{\prime} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{U}} \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{U}}^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}$ denote the log product with respect to the families of Cartier divisors $\left(\mathrm{D}_{1, \mathrm{U}}, \ldots, \mathrm{D}_{m, \mathrm{U}}\right)$. We have an open immersion $\left(\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\prime(1)} \times_{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\prime(2)}\right)^{\prime} \rightarrow\left(\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{U}}^{\prime} \times_{\mathrm{U}} \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{U}}^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}$ as the base change of $T \rightarrow V \times_{U} V$. Since $\Gamma \subset \mathrm{V}^{\prime} \times_{U^{\prime}} V^{\prime}$, the image of $\Gamma^{\prime}$ by $\left(Y_{T}^{\prime(1)} \times_{T}\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\prime(2)}\right)^{\prime} \subset\left(\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{U}}^{\prime} \times_{\mathrm{U}} \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{U}}^{\prime}\right)^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{U}}^{\prime} \times_{\mathrm{U}} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{U}}^{\prime}$ is in the diagonal $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{U}}^{\prime}$. Since $\mathrm{U}^{\prime} \subset \mathrm{X}^{\prime}$ is assumed to be the complement of a Cartier divisor $\mathrm{B}^{\prime}$, we have $\Gamma^{\prime} \cap\left(\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{U}} \times_{\mathrm{U}} \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{U}}^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}=\Gamma^{\prime} \cap\left(\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{U}}^{\prime} \times_{\mathrm{U}} \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{U}}\right)^{\prime}$ by [27, Proposition 1.1.6.2]. Thus, we obtain (6.3.2.8). Consequently, the base change to $\mathrm{K}_{i}$ satisfies the inclusion (1.4.7.1).

We construct a commutative diagram (1.4.2.4) of monoids for the two base changes $\mathrm{Z}^{\prime} \times{ }_{\mathrm{Z}} \mathrm{S}_{i} \rightarrow \mathrm{~S}_{i}=\operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{K}_{i}}$ satisfying the condition (P) loc. cit. Since the log structures of Z and $\mathrm{Z}^{\prime}$ are defined by divisors with simple normal crossings, we have a commutative diagram
(6.3.2.9)

of morphisms of monoids, locally lifted to charts. Since the image of the closed point by the composition $\mathrm{S}_{i}=\operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{K}_{i}} \rightarrow \overline{\mathrm{~T}}_{j} \rightarrow\left(\mathrm{Z} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{Z}\right)^{\sim}$ lies in the log diagonal, the compositions with the two propositions define the same $\log$ points $s_{i} \rightarrow \mathrm{Z}$. Hence there exists one morphism $\mathbf{N}^{m} \rightarrow \mathbf{N}$ of monoids that makes the diagram
(6.3.2.10)

commutative for the compositions with the two projections. We define a monoid P to be the saturated sum $\mathbf{N}+{ }_{\mathbf{N}^{m}}^{\text {sat }} \mathbf{N}^{m^{\prime}}$. Then, the commutative diagrams (6.3.2.9) and (6.3.2.10) induces a morphism $\mathrm{P} \rightarrow \Gamma\left(\mathrm{Z}^{\prime} \times_{\mathrm{Z}} \mathrm{S}_{i}, \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathrm{Z}^{\prime} \times{ }_{\mathrm{Z}} \mathrm{S}_{i}}\right)$, locally lifted to charts. It defines a commutative diagram (1.4.2.4) of monoids satisfying the condition $(\mathrm{P})$. Thus we may apply Theorem 1.4.7 and we obtain (6.3.2.7).

Therefore the equality (6.3.2.2) follows from (6.3.2.4), (6.3.2.6) and (6.3.2.7).
By the same argument, in the case where K is of characteristic 0 , we have the following.

Proposition 6.3.3. - Assume that K is of characteristic 0 and let the notations be as in (6.3.1.1)-(6.3.1.4). We assume that either (5.3.2.1a) or (5.3.2.1b) is satisfied. Let $\mathrm{T} \subset \mathrm{V} \times_{\mathrm{U}} \mathrm{V}$ be an open and closed subscheme and let $\widetilde{\Gamma}$ be a closed subscheme of the $\log$ product $\left(\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\prime(1)} \times_{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\prime(2)}\right)^{\sim}$ flat over T such that $\Gamma=\widetilde{\Gamma} \cap\left(\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\prime(1)} \times_{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\prime(2)}\right)$ is an open subscheme of $\mathrm{V}^{\prime} \times_{\mathrm{U}^{\prime}} \mathrm{V}^{\prime}$. We regard Y as a $\log$ scheme with the $\log$ structure defined by a finite family of Cartier divisors $\mathcal{E}=\left(\mathrm{E}_{j}\right)_{j \in \mathrm{~J}}$ satisfying $\mathrm{V}=\mathrm{Y} \backslash \bigcup_{j \in \mathrm{~J}} \mathrm{E}_{j}$.

Then, there exist a finite family $\left(\mathrm{K}_{i}\right)_{i \in \mathrm{I}}$ of finite extensions of K , a family $\left(\gamma_{i}: \operatorname{Spec} \mathrm{K}_{i} \rightarrow\right.$ $\mathrm{T})_{i \in \mathrm{I}}$ of morphisms over S and rational numbers $\left(r_{i}\right)_{i \in \mathrm{I}}$ satisfying the following properties:

Let $s_{i} \in \mathrm{~S}_{i}=\operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{K}_{i}}$ denote the closed point for $i \in \mathrm{I}$. Then, for each $i \in \mathrm{I}$, the $\log$ points $\bar{\gamma}_{i}: s_{i} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}$ defined by the unique maps $\mathrm{S}_{i} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}$ extending the composition $\mathrm{Spec}^{\mathrm{K}_{i}} \rightarrow \mathrm{~T} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}$ with the first and the second projections are equal to each other. Further for a prime number $\ell$ invertible on S , we have
(6.3.3.1)

$$
\left(\left(\mathrm{T}, \Delta_{\mathrm{Y}}^{\log }\right)\right)=\sum_{i} r_{i}\left[\bar{\gamma}_{i}\left(s_{i}\right)\right],
$$

(6.3.3.2)

$$
\bar{f}_{*}\left(\left(\Gamma, \Delta_{\mathrm{Y}^{\prime}}^{\log }\right)\right)=\sum_{i} r_{i} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\left(\gamma_{i}^{*} \Gamma\right)^{*}: \mathrm{H}_{c}^{*}\left(\mathrm{~V}_{\overline{\mathrm{K}}_{i}}^{\prime}, \mathbf{Q}_{\ell}\right)\right) \cdot\left[\bar{\gamma}_{i}\left(s_{i}\right)\right]
$$

in $\mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\mathrm{Y} \times{ }_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{F}\right)_{\mathbf{Q}}$.

## 7. The Swan class and a conductor formula

We keep the notation that K is a complete discrete valuation ring and $\mathrm{S}=\operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{K}}$ as in the previous sections. We fix a prime number $\ell$ different from the characteristic $p$ of
the residue field F of K. First, we define the Swan character classes for Galois coverings in Section 7.1. We define the Swan class of a locally constant $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}$-sheaf in Section 7.2. We extend the definition of the Swan class to a constructible sheaf in Section 7.4 using the excision formula Proposition 7.2.5.2, assuming K is of characteristic 0 . We prove a conductor formula for some relative curves in Section 7.3 and derive the general case in Section 7.5. In an equal characteristic case, more elementary proof is found in [42, Corollaries 5.12, 5.13].

In this paper, we state and prove results for $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}$-sheaves. The corresponding results for $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}^{-}$-sheaves are obtained simply by taking reduction modulo $\ell$.
7.1. Swan character classes. - We define the Swan character class for a Galois covering.

Definition 7.1.1. - Let U be a separated regular flat scheme of finite type over S andf: $\mathrm{V} \rightarrow$ U be a finite étale G -torsor for a finite group G such that the generic fiber $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{K}} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{K}}$ is tamely ramified with respect to K . Then, for an element $\sigma \in \mathrm{G}$, we define the Swan character class $s_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}}(\sigma) \in$ $\mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\partial_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathrm{V}\right)_{\mathbf{Q}}$ by
(7.1.1.1) $\quad s_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}}(\sigma)= \begin{cases}\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}}^{\log } & \text { for } \sigma=1 \\ -\left(\left(\Gamma_{\sigma}, \Delta_{\mathrm{V}}\right)\right)^{\log } & \text { for } \sigma \neq 1 .\end{cases}$

By Corollaries 5.1.3 and 5.1.8, for a finite Galois extension L of K of Galois group $G$ and $U=\operatorname{Spec} K, V=S \operatorname{Sec} L$, we have
(7.1.1.2) $\quad s_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}}(\sigma)= \begin{cases}\operatorname{length}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{L}}} \Omega_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{L}} / \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{K}}}^{1}(\log / \log ) & \text { for } \sigma=1 \\ - \text { length }_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{L}}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{L}} / \mathrm{J}_{\sigma} & \text { for } \sigma \neq 1\end{cases}$
in $\mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\partial_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathrm{V}\right)=\mathbf{Z}$, where $\mathrm{J}_{\sigma}$ is the ideal of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{L}}$ generated by $\sigma(a)-a$ for $a \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{L}}$ and $\sigma(b) / b-1$ for $b \in \mathrm{~L}^{\times}$.

Lemma 7.1.2. - Let the notation be as in Definition 7.1.1. Then, the following hold:

1. We have

$$
\sum_{\sigma \in \mathrm{G}} s_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}}(\sigma)=0
$$

2. Let H be a subgroup of G and $g: \mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}^{\prime}$ be the corresponding H -torsor. Then, for $\sigma \in \mathrm{H}$, we have

$$
s_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}}(\sigma)= \begin{cases}s_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}^{\prime}}(1)+g^{*} \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{U}^{\prime} / \mathrm{U}}^{\log } & \text { if } \sigma=1 \\ s_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}^{\prime}}(\sigma) & \text { if } \sigma \neq 1 .\end{cases}
$$

3. Let N be a normal subgroup of G and $\mathrm{G}^{\prime}=\mathrm{G} / \mathrm{N}$ be the quotient. Let $g: \mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{V}^{\prime}$ be the corresponding N -torsor. Then, for $\sigma^{\prime} \in \mathrm{G}^{\prime}$, we have

$$
g^{*} s_{\mathrm{V}^{\prime} / \mathrm{U}}\left(\sigma^{\prime}\right)=\sum_{\sigma \in \mathrm{G}, \bar{\sigma}=\sigma^{\prime}} s_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}}(\sigma)
$$

Proof. - 1. Clear from Lemma 5.4.4.2.
2. For $\sigma=1$, it follows from Lemma 5.4.4.1. For $\sigma \neq 1$, it is clear from the definition.
3. Clear from Lemma 5.4.4.3.

Corollary 7.1.3. - If the order of $\sigma \in G$ is not a power of $p$, we have

$$
s_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}}(\sigma)=0 .
$$

Proof. - By Lemma 7.1.2.2, we may assume that G is the cyclic group generated by $\sigma$. Assume the order of $\sigma$ is not a power of $p$. Let $\mathrm{N} \subset \mathrm{G}$ be the $p$-Sylow subgroup and $\mathrm{U}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}$ be the corresponding $\mathrm{G}^{\prime}=\mathrm{G} / \mathrm{N}$-torsor. Then, since the order of $\mathrm{G}^{\prime}$ is prime to $p$, the finite étale morphism $\mathrm{U}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}$ is tamely ramified with respect to S by Corollary 2.4.5. Hence, it follows from Corollary 5.4.2 applied to $\mathrm{V}^{\prime}=\mathrm{V}$.

For an element $\sigma \in \mathrm{G}$ of order a power of $p$ and an integer $i$ prime to $p$, Conjecture 5.4.6 predicts

$$
s_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}}(\sigma)=s_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}}\left(\sigma^{i}\right) .
$$

Corollary 7.1.4. - Let the notation be as in Definition 7.1.1. Let X be a normal proper scheme over S containing U as a dense open subscheme and let Y be the normalization of X in V . Let $\sigma \in \mathrm{G}$ be an element not contained in any conjugate of a p-Sylow group of the inertia group $\mathrm{I}_{\bar{y}} \subset \mathrm{G}$ for any geometric point $\bar{y}$ of Y . Then, we have

$$
s_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}}(\sigma)=0
$$

Proof. - By Corollary 7.1.3, it suffices to consider the case where the order of $\sigma$ is a power of $p$. As in the proof of Corollary 7.1.3, we may assume that G is the cyclic group generated by $\sigma$. Let $\mathrm{N} \subset \mathrm{G}$ be the unique maximal proper subgroup generated by $\sigma^{p}$. Let $\mathrm{V}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}$ be the corresponding $\mathrm{G}^{\prime}=\mathrm{G} / \mathrm{N}$-torsor and let $\mathrm{Y}^{\prime}$ be normalization of X in $\mathrm{V}^{\prime}$. Then, by the assumption, the inertia group at every geometric point is a subgroup of N and hence $\mathrm{Y}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}$ is étale. Hence the assertion follows from Corollary 5.4.2.
7.2. Swan class of a locally constant sheaf. - We briefly recall the Brauer trace of an $\ell$-regular element [18]. Let $G$ be a finite group and $\ell$ be a prime number. An element $\sigma \in \mathrm{G}$ is called an $\ell$-regular element if the order of $\sigma$ is prime to $\ell$. Let $\mathrm{G}^{(\ell)}$ denote the
subset of G consisting of $\ell$-regular elements. For an element $\sigma$ of a pro-finite group, we say that $\sigma$ is $\ell$-regular if it is a projective limit of $\ell$-regular elements.

Let M be an $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}$-vector space of finite dimension $n$ and $\sigma$ be an automorphism of M of order prime to $\ell$. Then the Brauer trace $\operatorname{Tr}^{\mathrm{Br}}(\sigma: \mathrm{M}) \in \mathbf{Z}_{\ell}^{\mathrm{ur}}$ is defined as follows. Let $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n} \in \overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}^{\times}$be the eigenvalues of $\sigma$ on M counted with multiplicities and $\tilde{\alpha}_{1}, \ldots, \tilde{\alpha}_{n} \in$ $\mathbf{Z}_{\ell}^{\text {urx }}$ be the liftings of finite orders prime to $\ell$. Then the Brauer trace is defined by $\operatorname{Tr}^{\mathrm{Br}}(\sigma: \mathrm{M})=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \tilde{\alpha}_{i}$.

Let $f: \mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}$ be a finite étale G -torsor for a finite group G such that the generic fiber $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{K}} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{K}}$ is tamely ramified with respect to K . By Corollary 7.1.3, we have $s_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}}(\sigma)=0$, if the order of $\sigma$ is not a power of $p$. In the following, let $\mathrm{G}_{(p)}$ denote the subset of G consisting of elements of order a power of $p$. For $\ell \neq p$, we have $\mathrm{G}_{(p)} \subset \mathrm{G}^{(\ell)}$. We put $\mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\partial_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathrm{V}\right)_{\mathbf{Q}\left(\zeta_{p} \infty\right)}=\mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\partial_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathrm{V}\right)_{\mathbf{Q}} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{Q}\left(\zeta_{p^{\infty}}\right), \mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\partial_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathrm{V}\right)_{\mathbf{Z}\left[\zeta_{p} \infty\right]}=$ $\mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\partial_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathrm{V}\right) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{Z}\left[\zeta_{p^{\infty}}\right]$ etc.

Definition 7.2.1. - Let U be a regular flat separated scheme of finite type over $\mathrm{S}=\operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{K}}$ and let $\mathcal{F}$ be a locally constant constructible $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}$-sheaf on U . Let $f: \mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}$ be a finite étale G -torsor for a finite group G such that $f^{*} \mathcal{F}$ is a constant sheaf on V . We assume that the generic fiber $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{K}} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{K}}$ is tamely ramified with respect to K . Let M be the $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}$-representation of G corresponding to $\mathcal{F}$.

Then, we define the Swan class $\mathrm{Sw}_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathcal{F} \in \mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\partial_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathrm{V}\right)_{\mathbf{Q}\left(\zeta_{p} \infty\right)}$ by
(7.2.1.1) $\quad \mathrm{Sw}_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathcal{F}=\sum_{\sigma \in \mathrm{G}_{(\rho)}} \operatorname{Tr}^{\mathrm{Br}}(\sigma: \mathrm{M}) \cdot s_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}}(\sigma)$.

By Lemma 7.1.2.1 and $\operatorname{Tr}^{\mathrm{Br}}(1: \mathrm{M})=\operatorname{dim} \mathrm{M}$, the defining equality (7.2.1.1) is equivalent to the following:
(7.2.1.2)

$$
\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathcal{F}=\sum_{\sigma \in \mathrm{G}_{(p)}, \neq 1}\left(\operatorname{dim} \mathrm{M}-\operatorname{Tr}^{\mathrm{Br}}(\sigma: \mathrm{M})\right) \cdot\left(\left(\Gamma_{\sigma}, \Delta_{\mathrm{V}}\right)\right)^{\log }
$$

Recall that in [27], the Swan class is defined similarly for a locally constant sheaf on a smooth scheme over a perfect field and is called the naive Swan class and is denoted by $\mathrm{Sw}^{\prime}$. Modifying the notation, we remove "''". If we assume Conjecture 5.4.6 asserting that $s_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}}(\sigma)=s_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}}\left(\sigma^{i}\right)$ for an integer $i$ prime to $p$, the Swan class $\mathrm{Sw}_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathcal{F}$ is in fact defined in the subspace $\mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\partial_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathrm{V}\right)_{\mathbf{Q}} \subset \mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\partial_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathrm{V}\right)_{\mathbf{Q}\left(s_{p} \infty\right)}$.

If we assume a strong form of resolution of singularity, the Swan character class is defined integrally (5.3.8.6) and hence the Swan class $\operatorname{Sw}_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathcal{F}$ is defined as an element of $\mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\partial_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathrm{V}\right)_{\mathbf{Z}\left[\zeta_{p} \infty\right]}$. Further, if we assume Conjecture 5.4.6, the Swan class $\mathrm{Sw}_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathcal{F}$ is in fact defined integrally in the subgroup $\mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\partial_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathrm{V}\right) \subset \mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\partial_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathrm{V}\right)_{\mathbf{Z}\left[\xi_{p} \infty\right]}$. When, we emphasize that it is defined integrally, we write $\mathrm{Sw}_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}}^{\mathbf{Z}} \mathcal{F}$ and call it the integral Swan class. Note that Conjecture 5.4.6 itself is a consequence of a strong form of equivariant resolution of singularities.

Similarly as [27, Lemma 4.3.10], we have the following analogue of [18, Théorème 2.1].

Proposition 7.2.2. - (cf. [43, Corollaire 3.4]) Let U be a regular flat separated scheme of finite type over $\mathrm{S}=\operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{K}}$ and $\mathcal{F}_{1}$ and $\mathcal{F}_{2}$ be locally constant constructible sheaves of $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}$-modules on U . Let $f: \mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}$ be a finite étale G -torsor for a finite group G such that $f^{*} \mathcal{F}_{1}$ and $f^{*} \mathcal{F}_{2}$ are constant on V and that the generic fiber $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{K}} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{K}}$ is tamely ramified with respect to K .

Let X be a proper normal scheme over S containing U as a dense open subscheme. Assume that, for every geometric point $\bar{x}$ of X , the restriction to a $p$-Sylow subgroup of the inertia group $\mathrm{I}_{\bar{x}}$ of the representations $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ of G corresponding to $\mathcal{F}_{1}$ and $\mathcal{F}_{2}$ are isomorphic. Then, we have $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{w} / \mathrm{U}} \mathcal{F}_{1}=\mathrm{Sw}_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathcal{F}_{2}$.

Proof. - It follows from (7.2.1.1) and Corollary 7.1.4.
Lemma 7.2.3. - Let $\mathrm{U}, \mathrm{V}, \mathrm{G}$ and $\mathcal{F}$ be as in Definition 7.2.1. Let $f^{\prime}: \mathrm{V}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}$ be a finite étale $\mathrm{G}^{\prime}$-torsor for a finite group $\mathrm{G}^{\prime}$ such that $f^{\prime *} \mathcal{F}$ is a constant sheaf on $\mathrm{V}^{\prime}$. We assume that $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{K}}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{K}}$ is tamely ramified with respect to Spec K . Let $\mathrm{g}: \mathrm{V}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{V}$ be a morphism over U compatible with a group homomorphism $\mathrm{G}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{G}$. Then, we have

$$
\mathrm{Sw}_{\mathrm{V}^{\prime} / \mathrm{U}} \mathcal{F}=g^{*} \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}}} \mathcal{F}
$$

in $\mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\partial_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathrm{V}^{\prime}\right)_{\mathbf{Q}\left(\zeta_{p}, \infty\right)}$.
Proof. - It follows from the definition and Lemma 7.1.2.3.
By Lemma 7.2.3, the Swan class $\mathrm{Sw}_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathcal{F}$ is G-invariant and hence $\frac{1}{|\mathrm{G}|} f_{*} \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{W} / \mathrm{U}} \mathcal{F} \in$ $\mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\partial_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathrm{U}\right)_{\mathbf{Q}\left(\zeta_{p}, \infty\right)}$ is independent of the choice of a Galois covering V trivializing $\mathcal{F}$. Thus the following definition makes sense.

Definition 7.2.4. - Let U be a regular flat separated scheme of finite type over $\mathrm{S}=\operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{K}}$ and $\mathcal{F}$ be a locally constant constructible sheaf of $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}$-modules on U .

1. We say that $\mathcal{F}$ is tamely ramified on the generic fiber if there exists a finite étale surjective morphism $f: \mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}$ such that $f^{*} \mathcal{F}$ is constant on V and that the generic fiber $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{K}} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{K}}$ is tamely ramified with respect to K .
2. Assume that $\mathcal{F}$ is tamely ramified on the generic fiber and that U is connected. Let $f: \mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}$ be a finite étale G -torsor for a finite group G such that $f^{*} \mathcal{F}$ is constant on V and that $\mathcal{F}$ corresponds to a faithful $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}$-representation of G .

Then, we put

$$
\mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\partial_{\mathcal{F}} \mathrm{U}\right)_{\mathbf{Q}\left(\zeta_{p} \infty\right)}=\mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\partial_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathrm{U}\right)_{\mathbf{Q}\left(\zeta_{p} \infty\right)}
$$

and define the Swan class

$$
\mathrm{Sw}_{\mathrm{U}} \mathcal{F}=\frac{1}{|\mathrm{G}|} f_{*} \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}}} \mathcal{F}
$$

to be the image by the isomorphism from the G-fixed part

$$
\frac{1}{|\mathrm{G}|} f_{*}: \mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\partial_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathrm{~V}\right)_{\mathbf{Q}\left(\zeta_{p} \infty\right)}^{\mathrm{G}} \rightarrow \mathrm{~F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\partial_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathrm{U}\right)_{\mathbf{Q}\left(\zeta_{p} \infty\right)}=\mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\partial_{\mathcal{F}} \mathrm{U}\right)_{\mathbf{Q}\left(\zeta_{p} \infty\right)}
$$

If U is not connected, we define the Swan class componentwise.
For the G-torsor V in Definition 7.2.4.2, we have $\mathrm{Sw}_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathcal{F}=f^{*} \mathrm{Sw}_{\mathrm{U}} \mathcal{F}$ by Lemma 5.2.5.2. If K is of characteristic 0 , every locally constant sheaf on U is tamely ramified on the generic fiber. In the case where $\mathrm{U}=\operatorname{Spec} \mathrm{K}$ and $\mathcal{F}$ is wildly ramified, the Swan class $\mathrm{Sw}_{\mathrm{U}} \mathcal{F} \in \mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\partial_{\mathcal{F}} \mathrm{U}\right)_{\mathbf{Q}\left(\zeta_{p} \infty\right)}=\mathbf{Q}\left(\zeta_{p^{\infty}}\right)$ is nothing but the Swan conductor

$$
\mathrm{Sw}_{\mathrm{K}} \mathcal{F}=\frac{1}{|\mathrm{G}|} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathrm{G}_{(p)}} \mathrm{Tr}^{\mathrm{Br}}(\sigma: \mathrm{M}) \cdot f_{*} s_{\mathrm{L} / \mathrm{K}}(\sigma)
$$

by (7.1.1.2), known to be an integer $\geq 1$.
The Swan classes satisfy the following additivity and the excision formula.
Proposition 7.2.5. - Let U be a regular flat separated scheme of finite type over $\mathrm{S}=\operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{K}}$ and $\mathcal{F}$ be a locally constant constructible sheaf of $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}$-modules on U . We assume that $\mathcal{F}$ is tamely ramified on the generic fiber.

1. For an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow 0$ of locally constant constructible sheaves ${ }_{\text {of }} \overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}$-modules on U , we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Sw}_{\mathrm{U}} \mathcal{F}=\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{U}}} \mathcal{F}^{\prime}+\mathrm{Sw}_{\mathrm{U}} \mathcal{F}^{\prime \prime} \tag{7.2.5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

in $\mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\partial_{\mathcal{F}} \mathrm{U}\right)_{\mathbf{Q}\left(\zeta_{p}, \infty\right)}$.
2. Let $\mathrm{U}_{1} \subset \mathrm{U}$ be a regular closed subscheme and $\mathrm{U}_{0}=\mathrm{U} \backslash \mathrm{U}_{1}$ be the complement. For the immersions $i: \mathrm{U}_{1} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}$ and $j: \mathrm{U}_{0} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}$, we have
(7.2.5.2)

$$
\mathrm{Sw}_{\mathrm{U}} \mathcal{F}=\left.j!\mathrm{Sw}_{\mathrm{U}_{0}} \mathcal{F}\right|_{\mathrm{U}_{0}}+\left.i_{*} \mathrm{Sw}_{\mathrm{U}_{1}} \mathcal{F}\right|_{\mathrm{U}_{1}}
$$

in $\mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\partial_{\mathcal{F}} \mathrm{U}\right)_{\mathbf{Q}\left(\zeta_{p} \infty\right)}$.
Proof. - 1. Clear from (7.2.1.1).
2. It follows from (7.2.1.1) and the excision formula Theorem 6.2.2.

For a smooth $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{-}$-sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ on U, its Swan class $\mathrm{Sw}_{\mathrm{U}} \mathcal{F}$ is defined as the Swan class $S_{w_{U}} \overline{\mathcal{F}}$ of the reduction $\overline{\mathcal{F}}=\mathcal{F}_{0} / \lambda \mathcal{F}_{0}$ modulo $\ell$. Though the $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}$-sheaf $\overline{\mathcal{F}}$ itself depend on the choice of a lattice $\mathcal{F}_{0}$, is defined as the Swan class $\mathrm{Sw}_{\mathrm{U}} \overline{\mathcal{F}}$ is well-defined by Proposition 7.2.5.1.

We prove an induction formula for the Swan classes. The following results are regarded as the relative conductor formula in the case of relative dimension 0 .

Proposition 7.2.6. - Let U be a regular flat separated scheme offinite type over S andf: $\mathrm{V} \rightarrow$ U be a finite étale G -torsor for a finite group G such that the generic fiber $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{K}} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{K}}$ is tamely ramified with respect to K . Let $\mathrm{H} \subset \mathrm{G}$ be a subgroup and $g: \mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}^{\prime}$ be the corresponding H -torsor. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a locally constant constructible sheaf of $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}$-modules on $\mathrm{U}^{\prime}$ such that $g^{*} \mathcal{F}$ is a constant sheaf on V and let $h: \mathrm{U}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}$ denote the canonical map.

Let $\mathrm{T} \subset \mathrm{G}$ be a complete set of representatives of $\mathrm{G} / \mathrm{H}$. Then, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}}} h_{*} \mathcal{F}=\sum_{\tau \in \mathrm{T}} \tau_{*}\left(\mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}}} \mathcal{F}+\operatorname{rank} \mathcal{F} \cdot g^{*} \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{U}^{\prime} / \mathrm{U}}^{\log }\right) \tag{7.2.6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

in $\mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\partial_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathrm{V}\right)_{\mathbf{Q}(5, \infty)}$.
Proof. - Let M be the representation of H corresponding to $\mathcal{F}$. Then, we have $\operatorname{Tr}^{\mathrm{Br}}\left(\sigma: \operatorname{Ind}_{\mathrm{H}}^{\mathrm{G}} \mathrm{M}\right)=\sum_{\tau \in \mathrm{T}, \tau^{-1} \sigma \tau \in \mathrm{H}} \operatorname{Tr}^{\mathrm{Br}}\left(\tau^{-1} \sigma \tau: \mathrm{M}\right)$. Hence, by the definition of the Swan class, the left hand side of (7.2.6.1) is equal to
(7.2.6.2)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\sigma \in \mathrm{G}_{(p)}} \operatorname{Tr}^{\mathrm{Br}}\left(\sigma: \operatorname{Ind}_{\mathrm{H}}^{\mathrm{G}} \mathrm{M}\right) \cdot s_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}}(\sigma) \\
& \quad=\sum_{\sigma \in \mathrm{G}_{(\rho)}} \sum_{\tau \in \mathrm{T}, \tau^{-1} \sigma \tau \in \mathrm{H}} \operatorname{Tr}^{\mathrm{Br}}\left(\tau^{-1} \sigma \tau: \mathrm{M}\right) \cdot s_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}}(\sigma) \\
& \quad=\sum_{\tau \in \mathrm{T}} \tau_{*}\left(\sum_{\rho \in \mathrm{H}_{(\rho)}} \operatorname{Tr}^{\mathrm{Br}}(\rho: \mathrm{M}) \cdot s_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}}(\rho)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, it follows from Lemma 7.1.2.2.
Corollary 7.2.7. - Let $f: \mathrm{U} \rightarrow \mathrm{V}$ be a finite étale morphism of regular flat schemes of finite type over S such that the generic fiber $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{K}} \rightarrow \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{K}}$ is tamely ramified with respect to K . Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a locally constant constructible sheaf of $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}$-modules on U such that there exists a finite étale morphism $g: \mathrm{U}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}$ over S such that $g^{*} \mathcal{F}$ is constant on $\mathrm{U}^{\prime}$ and that $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{K}}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{K}}$ is tamely ramified with respect to K .

Then, we have
(7.2.7.1) $\quad \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{V}}} f_{*} \mathcal{F}=f_{*} \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{w}} \mathcal{F}+\operatorname{rank} \mathcal{F} \cdot d_{\mathrm{U} / \mathrm{V}}^{\log }$.

In particular, for $\mathcal{F}=\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}$, we obtain
(7.2.7.2)

$$
\mathrm{Sw}_{\mathrm{U}} f_{*} \overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}=d_{\mathrm{U} / \mathrm{V}}^{\log }
$$

Proof. - It follows immediately from (7.2.1.1), (5.4.3.2), Proposition 7.2.6 and the remark on the Galois closure after Definition 2.4.1.

We expect the following generalization of the Hasse-Arf theorem to hold.

Conjecture 7.2.8. - The Swan class $\mathrm{Sw}_{\mathrm{U}} \mathcal{F}$ is in the image of the map $\mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\partial_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathrm{U}\right) \rightarrow$ $\mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\partial_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathrm{U}\right)_{\mathbf{Q}\left(\zeta_{p} \infty\right)}$.

Note that Conjecture 7.2.8 is much stronger than the statement that the Swan class $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}}} \mathcal{F}$ is in the image of the map $\mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\partial_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathrm{V}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\partial_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathrm{V}\right)_{\mathbf{Q}\left(\zeta_{p} \infty\right)}$, which is, as we have seen above, a consequence of a strong form of equivariant resolution of singularities. We will prove Conjecture 7.2.8 in the case $\operatorname{dim} \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{K}} \leq 1$ later at Corollary 8.3.8.

Conjecture 7.2.8 is related to the following conjecture of Serre.
Conjecture 7.2.9 ([41, Section 6]). - Let A be a regular local noetherian ring and G be a finite group of automorphisms of A . Assume that the fixed part $\mathrm{A}^{\mathrm{G}}$ is noetherian and that for every $\sigma \in \mathrm{G}, \sigma \neq 1$, the quotient $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{I}_{\sigma}$ by the ideal $\mathrm{I}_{\sigma}=\langle\sigma(a)-a ; a \in \mathrm{~A}\rangle$ is of finite length. Then the $\mathbf{Z}$-valued function $a_{\mathrm{G}}$ of G defined by

$$
a_{\mathrm{G}}(\sigma)= \begin{cases}\text { length } \mathrm{A} / \mathrm{I}_{\sigma} & \text { if } \sigma \neq 1 \\ -\sum_{\tau \in \mathrm{G}, \tau \neq 1} a_{\mathrm{G}}(\tau) & \text { if } \sigma=1\end{cases}
$$

is a character of G .
We prove Conjecture 7.2.9 in the case where $\operatorname{dim} \mathrm{A}=2$ at the end of Section 8.3.
Lemma 7.2.10. - Assume that the fraction field of A is of characteristic 0 and that the residue field F of A is of characteristic $p>0$. Then, Conjecture 7.2.8 for U such that $n=\operatorname{dim} \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{K}}+1$ implies Conjecture 7.2.9 for A of dimension $n$.

Proof. - First, we consider the following special case. Let Y be a regular flat separated scheme of finite type over $\mathrm{S}=\operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{K}}$ and $y \in \mathrm{Y}$ be a closed point in the closed fiber as in Proposition 5.1.7. Let G be a finite group of automorphisms of Y over S such that, for every $\sigma \in \mathrm{G}, \sigma \neq 1$, the fixed part $\mathrm{Y}^{\sigma}$ is equal to $\{y\}$ set-theoretically. We assume that the quotient $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{G}$ exists as a scheme of finite type over S . We put $\mathrm{V}=\mathrm{Y} \backslash\{y\}$ and $f: \mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}=\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{G} \subset \mathrm{X}$.

We show that Conjecture 7.2.8 for $f: \mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}$ and G implies Conjecture 7.2.8 for $\mathrm{A}=\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Y}, y}$ and G . We consider the image $s_{\mathrm{G}}(\sigma) \in \mathbf{Q}$ of $s_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}}(\sigma) \in \mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\partial_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathrm{V}\right)_{\mathbf{Q}}$ by $\mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\partial_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathrm{V}\right)_{\mathbf{Q}} \rightarrow \mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\Sigma_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathrm{Y}\right)_{\mathbf{Q}} \rightarrow \mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}(\{y\})_{\mathbf{Q}}=\mathbf{Q}$. Conjecture 7.2 .8 implies that the function $s_{\mathrm{G}}(\sigma)$ is a character of G. By Proposition 5.1.7, we have $a_{\mathrm{G}}=r_{\mathrm{G}}-u_{\mathrm{G}}+s_{\mathrm{G}}$ where $r_{\mathrm{G}}$ and $u_{\mathrm{G}}$ denote the characters of the regular and the unit representations of G respectively. Hence, the assertion is proved in this case.

We reduce the general case to the special case above similarly as in the proof of [28, Lemma (5.3)]. By replacing A by the completion, we may assume A and hence $\mathrm{A}^{\mathrm{G}}$ are complete. Let C be a complete valuation ring such that $p$ is a prime of C and the residue field $k$ is the same as that of $\mathrm{A}^{\mathrm{G}}$. Then, by [12, Chapitre 0 , Théorème 19.8 .8 (ii)] there exists a finite injection $\mathrm{C}\left[\left[t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n-1}\right]\right] \rightarrow \mathrm{A}^{\mathrm{G}}$. Let $\mathrm{W}(\bar{k})$ be the ring of Witt vectors and
we take a local homomorphism $\mathrm{C} \rightarrow \mathrm{W}$. By replacing A by a factor of the completion $\mathrm{A} \hat{\otimes}_{\mathrm{C}} \mathrm{W}$, we may assume $k$ is algebraically closed and $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{W}$. Then, the rest of the argument is the same as that in the proof of [28, Lemma (5.3)] by replacing $k\left[\left[t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n-1}\right]\right]$ by $\mathrm{W}\left[\left[t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n-1}\right]\right]$ and $k\left\{t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n-1}\right\}$ by the strict localization $\mathrm{W}\left\{t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n-1}\right\}$.

Conjecture 5.4.6 implies the weaker statement that the $\operatorname{Swan}$ class $\mathrm{Sw}_{\mathrm{U}} \mathcal{F}$ is in the image of the map $\mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\partial_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathrm{U}\right)_{\mathbf{Q}} \rightarrow \mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\partial_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathrm{U}\right)_{\mathbf{Q}\left(\zeta \zeta^{\prime} \infty\right.}$. Since we do not know the proof of Conjecture 5.4.6 in general, we make the following definition. Let $\mathrm{pr}_{\mathbf{Q}\left(\zeta_{p} \infty\right) / \mathbf{Q}}$ : $\mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\partial_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathrm{U}\right)_{\mathbf{Q}\left(\zeta_{p} \infty\right)} \rightarrow \mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\partial_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathrm{U}\right)_{\mathbf{Q}}$ be the projection induced by $\lim _{n} \frac{1}{\left[\mathbf{Q}\left(\zeta_{\rho^{n}}\right): \mathbf{Q} \mid\right.} \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbf{Q}\left(\zeta_{p} p^{p}\right) / \mathbf{Q}}$.

Definition 7.2.11. - The rationalized Swan class $\mathrm{Sw}_{\mathrm{U}}^{\mathbf{Q}} \mathcal{F} \in \mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\partial_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathrm{U}\right)_{\mathbf{Q}}$ is the image of the Swan class $\mathrm{Sw}_{\mathrm{U}} \mathcal{F}$ by the projection $\mathrm{pr}_{\mathbf{Q}\left(\zeta_{p} \infty\right) / \mathbf{Q}}: \mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\partial_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathrm{U}\right)_{\mathbf{Q}\left(\zeta_{p} \infty\right)} \rightarrow \mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\partial_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathrm{U}\right)_{\mathbf{Q}}$.

In [27], the rationalized Swan class is called the Swan class and is denoted by Sw. By modifying the notation there we write the Swan class by Sw and the rationalized Swan class by $\mathrm{Sw}^{2}$.
7.3. Conductor formula for a relative curve. - Let $f: \mathrm{U}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}$ be a smooth morphism of separated regular flat schemes of finite type over S and $\mathcal{F}$ be a locally constant constructible sheaf of $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}$-modules on $\mathrm{U}^{\prime}$. Let $\pi^{\prime}: \mathrm{V}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}^{\prime}$ be a finite étale morphism such that the pull-back $\pi^{*} \mathcal{F}$ is a constant sheaf on $\mathrm{V}^{\prime}$. We assume that the following conditions are satisfied:
(7.3.0.1) There exists a proper smooth scheme $\mathrm{X}^{\prime}$ over U containing $\mathrm{U}^{\prime}$ as the complement $\mathrm{U}^{\prime}=\mathrm{X}^{\prime} \backslash \mathrm{D}$ of a divisor D with simple normal crossing relatively to U . The finite étale morphism $\pi^{\prime}: \mathrm{V}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}^{\prime}$ is tamely ramified with respect to $\mathrm{X}^{\prime}$.

Then, by [17], the higher direct images $\mathrm{R}^{q} f_{!} \mathbf{F}_{\ell}$ and $\mathrm{R}^{q} f_{!} \mathcal{F}$ are locally constant sheaves on U. Further, for the alternating sum of ranks, we have
(7.3.0.2) $\quad \operatorname{rank} \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}} \mathcal{F}=\operatorname{rank} \mathcal{F} \cdot \operatorname{rank} \mathrm{R}_{f} \overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}$.

We assume that the locally constant sheaves $\mathrm{R}^{q} f_{!} \mathcal{F}$ on U are tamely ramified on the generic fiber and that $\mathcal{F}$ on $\mathrm{U}^{\prime}$ is tamely ramified on the generic fiber. Then the Swan class

$$
\mathrm{Sw}_{\mathrm{U}} \mathrm{R} f \mathfrak{f}=\sum_{q}(-1)^{q} \mathrm{Sw}_{\mathrm{U}} \mathrm{R}^{q}{ }_{f} \mathcal{F}
$$

$\in \mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\partial_{\mathcal{F}} \mathrm{U}\right)_{\mathbf{Q}\left(\zeta_{p} \infty\right)}$ is also defined as the alternating sum and the Swan class $\mathrm{Sw}_{\mathrm{U}} \mathcal{F}$ is defined. A conductor formula should express the Swan class $\mathrm{Sw}_{\mathrm{U}} \mathrm{R} f!\mathcal{F}$ in terms of the class $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{U}}} \mathcal{F}$. We prove a conductor formula for a relative curve under a certain assumption in Corollary 7.3.6 and in general in Section 7.5, assuming K is of characteristic 0.

First, we give a general formalism Proposition 7.3.3 to prove a conductor formula. We consider a commutative diagram
(7.3.0.3)

of regular flat separated schemes of finite type over $S$ satisfying the following condition:
(7.3.0.4) The horizontal arrows are finite étale, V is a G -torsor over U and $\mathrm{V}^{\prime}$ is a $\mathrm{G}^{\prime}$-torsor over $\mathrm{U}^{\prime}$ for finite groups G and $\mathrm{G}^{\prime}$. The arrow $g$ is compatible with a morphism $\varphi: \mathrm{G}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{G}$ of finite groups in the sense that, for $\sigma \in \mathrm{G}^{\prime}$ and $\tau=\varphi(\sigma) \in \mathrm{G}$, we have $g \circ \sigma=\tau \circ g$.

Lemma 7.3.1. - We consider a commutative diagram (7.3.0.3) of separated regular flat schemes of finite type over S satisfying the conditions (7.3.0.1) and (7.3.0.4). Assume that the higher direct image $\mathbf{R}^{q} g!\mathbf{F}_{\ell}$ is a constant sheaf on V for every $q \geq 0$.

Let $\sigma \in \mathrm{G}^{\prime}$ be an element and we put $\tau=\varphi(\sigma) \in \mathrm{G}$. Let $\bar{\eta}$ be a geometric point of V and $\bar{\tau}$ be an automorphism of $\bar{\eta}$ compatible with $\tau$. Let $\sigma^{*} \circ \bar{\tau}^{*}$ denote the automorphisms of $\mathrm{H}_{c}^{q}\left(\mathrm{~V}_{\bar{\eta}}^{\prime}, \mathbf{Q}_{c}\right)$ and $\mathrm{H}_{c}^{q}\left(\mathrm{~V}_{\bar{\eta}}^{\prime}, \mathbf{F}_{\ell}\right)$ defined by the pull-back by $\sigma \times \bar{\tau}$ on $\mathrm{V}_{\bar{\eta}}^{\prime}=\mathrm{V}^{\prime} \times_{\mathrm{V}} \bar{\eta}$.

1. The automorphism $\sigma^{*} \circ \bar{\tau}^{*}$ of $\mathrm{H}_{c}^{q}\left(\mathrm{~V}_{\bar{\eta}}^{\prime}, \mathbf{F}_{\ell}\right)$ is independent of the choice of $\bar{\tau}$.
2. Assume that $\sigma$ and $\bar{\tau}$ are $\ell$-regular. Let $\sigma^{*}$ denote the automorphism $\sigma^{*} \circ \bar{\tau}^{*}$ of $\mathrm{H}_{c}^{*}\left(\mathrm{~V}_{\bar{\eta}}^{\prime}, \mathbf{F}_{\ell}\right)$ independent of $\bar{\tau}$. Then, the alternating sum $\operatorname{Tr}\left(\sigma^{*} \circ \bar{\tau}^{*}: \mathrm{H}_{c}^{*}\left(\mathrm{~V}_{\bar{\eta}}^{\prime}, \mathbf{Q}_{c}\right)\right)$ is independent of $\bar{\tau}$ and is equal to the alternating sum $\operatorname{Tr}^{\mathrm{Br}}\left(\sigma^{*}, \mathrm{H}_{c}^{*}\left(\mathrm{~V}_{\bar{\eta}}^{\prime}, \mathbf{F}_{\ell}\right)\right)$ of Brauer traces.

Proof. - 1. By the assumption that $\mathrm{R}^{q} g_{g} \mathbf{F}_{\ell}$ is constant, the action of $\sigma \circ \bar{\tau}$ on $\mathrm{H}_{c}^{q}\left(\mathrm{~V}_{\bar{\eta}}^{\prime}, \mathbf{F}_{\ell}\right)$ is independent of the lifting $\bar{\tau}$ of $\tau$.
2. Since $\sigma$ and $\bar{\tau}$ are assumed $\ell$-regular, the actions of $\sigma^{*} \circ \bar{\tau}$ on $\mathrm{H}_{c}^{*}\left(\mathrm{~V}_{\bar{\eta}}^{\prime}, \mathbf{Q}_{\ell}\right)$ and on $\mathrm{H}_{c}^{*}\left(\mathrm{~V}_{\bar{\eta}}^{\prime}, \mathbf{F}_{\ell}\right)$ are of finite order prime-to- $\ell$. Hence the assertion follows.

Lemma 7.3.2. - We consider a commutative diagram (7.3.0.3) of separated regular flat schemes offinite type over S satisfying the conditions (7.3.0.1) and (7.3.0.4). Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a locally constant constructible sheaf of $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}$-modules on $\mathrm{U}^{\prime}$ such that the pull-back $\pi^{\prime *} \mathcal{F}$ is a constant sheaf on $\mathrm{V}^{\prime}$. Assume that the higher direct image $\mathrm{R}^{q} g_{!} \mathbf{F}_{\ell}$ and the pull-back $\pi^{*} \mathbf{R}^{q} f_{!} \mathcal{F}$ are constant sheaves on V for every $q \geq 0$.

Let $\tau \in \mathrm{G}$ be an $\ell$-regular element. Let $\bar{\xi}$ be a geometric point of U and lift it to a geometric point $\bar{\eta}$ of V . Let M be the $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}$-representation of $\mathrm{G}^{\prime}$ corresponding to $\mathcal{F}$. Then, we have
(7.3.2.1) $\quad \operatorname{Tr}^{\mathrm{Br}}\left(\tau, \mathrm{H}_{c}^{*}\left(\mathrm{U}_{\bar{\xi}}^{\prime}, \mathcal{F}\right)\right)=\frac{1}{\left|\mathrm{G}^{\prime}\right|} \sum_{\substack{\sigma \in \mathrm{G}^{(\ell)}, \rho \in \mathrm{G} ; \\ \varphi(\sigma)=\rho \tau \rho^{-1}}} \operatorname{Tr}^{\mathrm{Br}}\left(\sigma^{*}: \mathrm{H}_{c}^{*}\left(\mathrm{~V}_{\bar{\eta}}^{\prime}, \mathbf{F}_{\ell}\right)\right) \cdot \operatorname{Tr}^{\mathrm{Br}}\left(\sigma^{*}: \mathrm{M}\right)$.

Proof. - By the assumption that $\pi^{*} \mathrm{R}^{q} f!\mathcal{F}$ are constant on V, we may regard $\mathrm{H}_{c}^{q}\left(\mathrm{U}_{\bar{\xi}}^{\prime}, \mathcal{F}\right)$ as an $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}$-representation of G and the Brauer trace $\operatorname{Tr}^{\mathrm{Br}}\left(\tau, \mathrm{H}_{c}^{*}\left(\mathrm{U}_{\bar{\xi}}^{\prime}, \mathcal{F}\right)\right)$ is defined.

We consider $\mathrm{H}_{c}^{q}\left(\mathrm{~V}_{\bar{\xi}}^{\prime}, \overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}\right)$ as an $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}$-representation of $\mathrm{G}^{\prime}$ by the pull-back action of $\mathrm{G}^{\prime}$ on $\mathrm{V}_{\bar{\xi}}^{\prime}=\mathrm{V}^{\prime} \times_{\mathrm{U}} \bar{\xi}$. By [18, Lemma 2.2], the alternating sum $\mathrm{H}_{c}^{*}\left(\mathrm{~V}_{\bar{\xi}}^{\prime}, \overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}\right)$ defines an element $\left[\mathrm{H}_{c}^{*}\left(\mathrm{~V}_{\bar{\xi}}^{\prime}, \overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}\right)\right]$ of the Grothendieck group $\mathrm{P}_{\ell}\left(\mathrm{G}^{\prime}\right)$ of the exact category of finitely generated projective $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}\left[\mathrm{G}^{\prime}\right]$-modules ([40, Partie III 1.3]).

Since $\tau$ is assumed $\ell$-regular, we have a decomposition

$$
\mathrm{H}_{c}^{*}\left(\mathrm{~V}_{\bar{\xi}}^{\prime}, \overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}\right)=\bigoplus_{\chi \in \widehat{\{\tau}} \mathrm{H}_{c}^{*}\left(\mathrm{~V}_{\bar{\xi}}^{\prime}, \overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}\right)_{\chi}
$$

by characters of the cyclic subgroup $\langle\tau\rangle \subset \mathrm{G}$ and an equality

$$
\left[\mathrm{H}_{c}^{*}\left(\mathrm{~V}_{\bar{\xi}}^{\prime}, \overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}\right)\right]=\sum_{\chi \in \widehat{\tau \tau}}\left[\mathrm{H}_{c}^{*}\left(\mathrm{~V}_{\bar{\xi}}^{\prime}, \overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}\right)_{\chi}\right]
$$

in $\mathrm{P}_{\ell}\left(\mathrm{G}^{\prime}\right)$. Similarly as [18, Lemma 2.2], we obtain
(7.3.2.2) $\quad \operatorname{dim} \mathrm{H}_{c}^{*}\left(\mathrm{U}_{\bar{\xi}}^{\prime}, \mathcal{F}\right)_{\chi}=\operatorname{dim}\left(\left[\mathrm{H}_{c}^{*}\left(\mathrm{~V}_{\bar{\xi}}^{\prime}, \overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}\right)_{\chi}\right] \cdot[\mathrm{M}]\right)^{\mathrm{G}^{\prime}}$.

Thus, we have
(7.3.2.3) $\quad \operatorname{Tr}^{\mathrm{Br}}\left(\tau, \mathrm{H}_{c}^{*}\left(\mathrm{U}_{\bar{\xi}}^{\prime}, \mathcal{F}\right)\right)=\sum_{\chi \in \widehat{(\tau)}} \chi(\tau) \cdot \operatorname{dim}\left(\left[\mathrm{H}_{c}^{*}\left(\mathrm{~V}_{\bar{\xi}}^{\prime}, \overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}\right)_{\chi}\right] \cdot[\mathrm{M}]\right)^{\mathrm{G}^{\prime}}$.

Similarly as [18, Lemma 2.3], the right hand side of (7.3.2.3) is equal to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\chi \in \widehat{\tau}\rangle} \chi(\tau) \cdot \frac{1}{\left|\mathrm{G}^{\prime}\right|}\left(\sum_{\sigma \in \mathrm{G}^{\prime(\ell)}} \operatorname{Tr}^{\mathrm{Br}}\left(\sigma^{*}: \mathrm{H}_{c}^{*}\left(\mathrm{~V}_{\bar{\xi}}^{\prime}, \overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}\right)_{\chi}\right) \cdot \operatorname{Tr}^{\mathrm{Br}}\left(\sigma^{*}: \mathrm{M}\right)\right) \\
& \quad=\frac{1}{\left|\mathrm{G}^{\prime}\right|} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathrm{G}^{\prime}(\ell)}\left(\sum_{\chi \in \widehat{\tau \tau}} \chi(\tau) \cdot \operatorname{Tr}^{\mathrm{Br}}\left(\sigma^{*}: \mathrm{H}_{c}^{*}\left(\mathrm{~V}_{\bar{\xi}}^{\prime}, \overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}\right)_{\chi}\right)\right) \cdot \operatorname{Tr}^{\mathrm{Br}}\left(\sigma^{*}: \mathrm{M}\right) \\
& \quad=\frac{1}{\left|\mathrm{G}^{\prime}\right|} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathrm{G}^{\prime}(\ell)} \operatorname{Tr}^{\mathrm{Br}}\left(\sigma^{*} \times \bar{\tau}^{*}: \mathrm{H}_{c}^{*}\left(\mathrm{~V}_{\bar{\xi}}^{\prime}, \mathbf{F}_{\ell}\right)\right) \cdot \operatorname{Tr}^{\mathrm{Br}}\left(\sigma^{*}: \mathrm{M}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

For $\rho \in \mathrm{G}$, let $\mathrm{V}_{\rho(\bar{\eta})}^{\prime}$ denote the geometric fiber of $\mathrm{V}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{V}$ by the composition of $\bar{\eta} \rightarrow \mathrm{V}$ with $\rho: \mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{V}$. Then, the geometric fiber $\mathrm{V}_{\bar{\xi}}^{\prime}=\mathrm{V}^{\prime} \times_{\mathrm{U}} \bar{\xi}$ is the disjoint union $山_{\rho \in \mathrm{G}} \mathrm{V}_{\rho(\bar{\eta})}^{\prime}$ and we have

$$
\operatorname{Tr}^{\mathrm{Br}}\left(\sigma^{*} \times \bar{\tau}^{*}: \mathrm{H}_{c}^{*}\left(\mathrm{~V}_{\bar{\xi}}^{\prime}, \mathbf{F}_{\ell}\right)\right)=\sum_{\rho \in \mathrm{G} ; \varphi(\sigma)=\rho \tau \rho^{-1}} \operatorname{Tr}^{\mathrm{Br}}\left(\sigma^{*} \circ \bar{\tau}^{*}: \mathrm{H}_{c}^{*}\left(\mathrm{~V}_{\rho(\bar{\eta})}^{\prime}, \mathbf{F}_{\ell}\right)\right)
$$

We have $\operatorname{Tr}^{\mathrm{Br}}\left(\sigma^{*} \circ \bar{\tau}^{*}: \mathrm{H}_{c}^{*}\left(\mathrm{~V}_{\rho(\bar{\eta})}^{\prime}, \mathbf{F}_{\ell}\right)\right)=\operatorname{Tr}^{\mathrm{Br}}\left(\sigma^{*}: \mathrm{H}_{c}^{*}\left(\mathrm{~V}_{\bar{\eta}}^{\prime}, \mathbf{F}_{\ell}\right)\right)$ since $\mathrm{R}^{q} g_{!} \mathbf{F}_{\ell}$ are assumed constant on V .

Proposition 7.3.3. - We consider a commutative diagram (7.3.0.3) of separated regular flat schemes of finite type over S satisfying the conditions (7.3.0.1) and (7.3.0.4). Assume that $\mathrm{U}, \mathrm{U}^{\prime}, \mathrm{V}$ and $\mathrm{V}^{\prime}$ are irreducible and that $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{K}} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{K}}$ and $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{K}}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{K}}^{\prime}$ are tamely ramified with respect to K .

Let $\ell$ be a prime number invertible on S and $\mathcal{F}$ be a locally constant constructible sheaf of $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell^{-}}$ modules on $\mathrm{U}^{\prime}$. Assume further that the pull-backs $\pi^{*} \mathbf{R}^{q} f_{!} \pi_{*}^{\prime} \mathbf{F}_{\ell}$ and $\pi^{*} \mathbf{R}^{q} f_{!} \mathcal{F}$ are constant on V. Let $\bar{\xi}$ be a geometric point of U and $\bar{\eta}$ be a geometric point of V above $\bar{\xi}$. For an $\ell$-regular element $\sigma \in \mathrm{G}^{\prime}$, define $\operatorname{Tr}^{\mathrm{Br}}\left(\sigma^{*}: \mathrm{H}_{c}^{*}\left(\mathrm{~V}_{\bar{n}}^{\prime}, \mathbf{F}_{\ell}\right)\right)$ as in Lemma 7.3.1. Then, we have the following.

1. Assume that, for each non-trivial $\ell$-regular element $\sigma \in \mathrm{G}^{\prime}$ and $\tau=\varphi(\sigma) \in \mathrm{G}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Tr}^{\mathrm{Br}}\left(\sigma^{*}: \mathrm{H}_{c}^{*}\left(\mathrm{~V}_{\bar{\eta}}^{\prime}, \mathbf{F}_{\ell}\right)\right) \cdot\left(\left(\Gamma_{\tau}, \Delta_{\mathrm{V}}\right)\right)^{\log }=g_{!}\left(\left(\Gamma_{\sigma}, \Delta_{\mathrm{V}^{\prime}}\right)\right)^{\log } \tag{7.3.3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

in $\mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\partial_{\mathrm{U} / \mathrm{V}} \mathrm{V}\right)_{\mathbf{Q}}$. Then, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Sw}_{\mathrm{U}} \mathrm{R} f!\mathcal{F}-\operatorname{rank} \mathcal{F} \cdot \mathrm{Sw}_{\mathrm{U}} \mathrm{R} f!\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}=g_{!} \mathrm{Sw}_{\mathrm{U}^{\prime}} \mathcal{F} \tag{7.3.3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

in $\mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\partial_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathrm{U}\right)_{\mathbf{Q}\left(\xi_{p} \infty\right)}$.
2. Assume K is of characteristic 0 and suppose U and hence $\mathrm{V}, \mathrm{U}^{\prime}, \mathrm{V}^{\prime}$ are schemes over K . Assume that, for each $\ell$-regular element $\sigma \in \mathrm{G}^{\prime}$ and $\tau=\varphi(\sigma) \in \mathrm{G}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Tr}^{\mathrm{Br}}\left(\sigma^{*}: \mathrm{H}_{c}^{*}\left(\mathrm{~V}_{\bar{\eta}}^{\prime}, \mathbf{F}_{\ell}\right)\right) \cdot\left(\left(\Gamma_{\tau}, \Delta_{\mathrm{V}}\right)\right)^{\log }=g_{!}\left(\left(\Gamma_{\sigma}, \Delta_{\mathrm{V}^{\prime}}\right)\right)^{\log } \tag{7.3.3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

in $\mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\partial_{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{V}\right)_{\mathbf{Q}}$. Then, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Sw}_{\mathrm{U}} \mathrm{R} f!\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}=-f_{!}\left(\left(\Delta_{\mathrm{U}^{\prime}}, \Delta_{\mathrm{U}^{\prime}}\right)\right)^{\log }+\chi_{c}\left(\mathrm{U}_{\bar{\xi}}^{\prime}\right) \cdot\left(\left(\Delta_{\mathrm{U}}, \Delta_{\mathrm{U}}\right)\right)^{\log } \tag{7.3.3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

in $\mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\partial_{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{U}\right)_{\mathbf{Q}\left(\zeta_{p} \infty\right)}$.
Proof. - 1. Let M denote the representation of $\mathrm{G}^{\prime}$ corresponding to the locally constant $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}$-sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ on $\mathrm{U}^{\prime}$. By (7.3.0.2) and by the definition of the Swan class (7.2.1.2), the equality (7.3.3.2) is equivalent to the following:

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{|\mathrm{G}|} & \sum_{\tau \in \mathrm{G}^{(\ell)}, \tau \neq 1}\left(\operatorname{Tr}^{\mathrm{Br}}\left(\tau, \mathrm{H}_{c}^{*}\left(\mathrm{U}_{\bar{\xi}}^{\prime}, \mathcal{F}\right)\right)-\operatorname{rank} \mathcal{F} \cdot \operatorname{Tr}^{\mathrm{Br}}\left(\tau, \mathrm{H}_{c}^{*}\left(\mathrm{U}_{\bar{\xi}}^{\prime}, \mathbf{F}_{\ell}\right)\right)\right)  \tag{7.3.3.5}\\
& \times \pi_{*}\left(\left(\Gamma_{\tau}, \Delta_{\mathrm{V}}\right)\right)^{\log } \\
= & \frac{1}{\left|\mathrm{G}^{\prime}\right|} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathrm{G}^{\prime}(\ell), \sigma \neq 1}\left(\operatorname{Tr}^{\mathrm{Br}}(\sigma, \mathrm{M})-\operatorname{dim} \mathrm{M}\right) \cdot f!\pi_{*}^{\prime}\left(\left(\Gamma_{\sigma}, \Delta_{\mathrm{V}^{\prime}}\right)\right)^{\log } .
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting (7.3.2.1), we see that the left hand side of (7.3.3.5) is
(7.3.3.6)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{|\mathrm{G}|} & \sum_{\tau \in \mathrm{G}^{(\ell)}, \tau \neq 1} \frac{1}{\left|\mathrm{G}^{\prime}\right|} \sum_{\substack{\sigma \in \mathrm{G}^{\prime(\ell)}, \varphi(\sigma)=\rho \tau \rho^{-1}}} \operatorname{Tr}^{\mathrm{Br}}\left(\sigma^{*}: \mathrm{H}_{c}^{*}\left(\mathrm{~V}_{\bar{n}}^{\prime}, \mathbf{F}_{\ell}\right)\right) \\
& \times\left(\operatorname{Tr}^{\mathrm{Br}}(\sigma, \mathrm{M})-\operatorname{dim} \mathrm{M}\right) \cdot \pi_{*}\left(\left(\Gamma_{\tau}, \Delta_{\mathrm{V}}\right)\right)^{\log } \\
= & \frac{1}{\left|\mathrm{G}^{\prime}\right|} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathrm{G}^{\prime}(\ell), \sigma \neq 1}\left(\operatorname{Tr}^{\mathrm{Br}}(\sigma, \mathrm{M})-\operatorname{dim} \mathrm{M}\right) \\
\quad & \times \frac{1}{|\mathrm{G}|} \sum_{\rho \in \mathrm{G}} \operatorname{Tr}^{\mathrm{Br}}\left(\sigma^{*}: \mathrm{H}_{c}^{*}\left(\mathrm{~V}_{\bar{n}}^{\prime}, \mathbf{F}_{\ell}\right)\right) \cdot \pi_{*}\left(\left(\Gamma_{\rho^{-1} \varphi(\sigma) \rho}, \Delta_{\mathrm{V}}\right)\right)^{\log }
\end{aligned}
$$

By the assumption (7.3.3.1), each term in the second summation in the second line of (7.3.3.6) is

$$
\pi_{*} \rho_{*} g_{!}\left(\left(\Gamma_{\sigma}, \Delta_{\mathrm{V}^{\prime}}\right)\right)^{\log }=f_{!} \pi_{*}^{\prime}\left(\left(\Gamma_{\sigma}, \Delta_{\mathrm{V}^{\prime}}\right)\right)^{\log }
$$

Thus the equality (7.3.3.5) follows.
2. Similarly as above, the left hand side of the equality (7.3.3.4) is equal to
(7.3.3.7)

$$
\begin{aligned}
- & \frac{1}{|\mathrm{G}|} \sum_{\tau \in \mathrm{G}^{(\ell)}} \operatorname{Tr}^{\mathrm{Br}}\left(\tau, \mathrm{H}_{c}^{*}\left(\mathrm{U}_{\bar{\xi}}^{\prime}, \mathbf{F}_{\ell}\right)\right) \cdot \pi_{*}\left(\left(\Gamma_{\tau}, \Delta_{\mathrm{V}}\right)\right)^{\log } \\
& +\frac{1}{|\mathrm{G}|} \sum_{\tau \in \mathrm{G}^{(\ell)}} \operatorname{dim} \mathrm{H}_{c}^{*}\left(\mathrm{U}_{\bar{\xi}}^{\prime}, \mathbf{F}_{\ell}\right) \cdot \pi_{*}\left(\left(\Gamma_{\tau}, \Delta_{\mathrm{V}}\right)\right)^{\log } .
\end{aligned}
$$

Substituting (7.3.2.1), we see that the first term in (7.3.3.7) is equal to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{\left|\mathrm{G}^{\prime}\right|} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathrm{G}^{\prime( }()} \operatorname{Tr}^{\mathrm{Br}}(\sigma: 1) \cdot \frac{1}{|\mathrm{G}|} \sum_{\rho \in \mathrm{G}} \operatorname{Tr}^{\mathrm{Br}}\left(\sigma^{*}: \mathrm{H}_{c}^{*}\left(\mathrm{~V}_{\bar{\eta}}^{\prime}, \mathbf{F}_{\ell}\right)\right) \\
& \quad \times \pi_{*}\left(\left(\Gamma_{\rho^{-1} \varphi(\sigma) \rho}, \Delta_{\mathrm{V}}\right)\right)^{\log }
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, similarly as above, by the assumption (7.3.3.3), it is further equal to

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{\left|\mathrm{G}^{\prime}\right|} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathrm{G}^{\prime}(\ell)} f_{!} \pi_{*}^{\prime}\left(\left(\Gamma_{\sigma}, \Delta_{\mathrm{V}^{\prime}}\right)\right)^{\log } & =f_{!} \frac{1}{\left|\mathrm{G}^{\prime}\right|} \pi_{*}^{\prime} \pi^{\prime *}\left(\left(\Delta_{\mathrm{U}^{\prime}}, \Delta_{\mathrm{U}^{\prime}}\right)\right)^{\log } \\
& =f_{!}\left(\left(\Delta_{\mathrm{U}^{\prime}}, \Delta_{\mathrm{U}^{\prime}}\right)\right)^{\log }
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly, the second term in (7.3.3.7) is equal to $\chi_{c}\left(\mathrm{U}_{\bar{\xi}}^{\prime}\right)$ times $\frac{1}{|\mathrm{G}|} \sum_{\tau \in \mathrm{G}^{(\ell)}} \pi_{*}\left(\left(\Gamma_{\tau}, \Delta_{\mathrm{V}}\right)\right)^{\log }=$ $\left(\left(\Delta_{\mathrm{U}}, \Delta_{\mathrm{U}}\right)\right)^{\log }$ and the equality (7.3.3.4) follows.

We derive from the crucial step Proposition 6.3.2 a sufficient condition on a relative curve for the assumptions (7.3.3.1) and (7.3.3.3) of Proposition 7.3 .3 to be satisfied.

Proposition 7.3.4. - Let $f: \mathrm{U}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}$ be a smooth morphism of relative dimension 1 of separated regular flat schemes of finite type over S . Let $\ell$ be a prime number invertible on S and $\mathcal{F}$ be a locally constant constructible sheaf of $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}$-modules on $\mathrm{U}^{\prime}$. We consider a commutative diagram (7.3.0.3) satisfying the conditions (7.3.0.1) and (7.3.0.4). Assume that the pull-back $\pi^{* *} \mathcal{F}$ is constant on $\mathrm{V}^{\prime}$ and that $\pi^{*} \mathrm{R}^{q} f!\pi_{*}^{\prime} \mathbf{F}_{\ell}$ is constant on V for every $q \geq 0$.

We assume that V and $\mathrm{V}^{\prime}$ are integral. Let $\eta$ denote the generic point of V and let $\bar{\eta}$ be a geometric point above $\eta$. Let $\sigma \in \mathrm{G}^{\prime}$ and $\tau=\varphi(\sigma) \in \mathrm{G}$ be non-trivial $\ell$-regular elements.

We also consider a commutative diagram
(7.3.4.1)

of separated schemes of finite type over S and a finite family $\mathcal{E}$ of Cartier divisors Y satisfying the following condition:
(7.3.4.2) The schemes Y and $\mathrm{Y}^{\prime}$ are proper over S . There exist d sections $\left(t_{k}: \mathrm{Y} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}^{\prime}\right)_{k}$ such that the pair $\left(\mathrm{Y}^{\prime},\left(t_{k}\right)\right)$ is a d pointed stable curve of genus $g$ over Y and that $\mathrm{D} \subset \mathrm{Y}^{\prime}$ is the disjoint union of the sections $t_{k}(\mathrm{Y})$. The open subscheme $\mathrm{V} \subset \mathrm{Y}$ is the complement of the union of $\mathcal{E}$. The restriction $\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{V}}^{\prime}=\mathrm{Y}^{\prime} \times_{\mathrm{Y}} \mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{V}$ is smooth and $\mathrm{V}^{\prime} \subset \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{V}}^{\prime}$ is the complement of $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{V}}$. The action of G on V is extended to an admissible action on Y and on $\mathcal{E}$, in the sense that the quotient $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{G}$ exists as a scheme.

Let $\mathcal{E}^{\prime}$ denote the family of Cartier divisors of $\mathrm{Y}^{\prime}$ defined as the union of the pullback of $\mathcal{E}$ and the sections $\left(t_{k}(\mathrm{Y})\right)_{k}$. Then, $\Sigma_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}}^{\mathcal{E}} \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{K}}$ and $\Sigma_{\mathrm{V}^{\prime} / \mathrm{U}^{\prime}}^{\mathcal{E}^{\prime}} \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{K}}^{\prime}$ are empty.
Then, we have
(7.3.4.3)

$$
\bar{g}_{*}\left(\left(\Gamma_{\sigma}, \Delta_{\mathrm{Y}^{\prime}}^{\log }\right)\right)=\operatorname{Tr}^{\mathrm{Br}}\left(\sigma^{*}, \mathrm{H}_{c}^{*}\left(\mathrm{~V}_{\bar{\eta}}^{\prime}, \mathbf{F}_{\ell}\right)\right) \cdot\left(\left(\Gamma_{\tau}, \Delta_{\mathrm{Y}}^{\log }\right)\right)
$$

in $\mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\Sigma_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathrm{Y}\right)_{\mathbf{Q}}$,
Proof. - Since the smooth compactification $\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{V}}^{\prime} \supset \mathrm{V}$ is unique, the action of $\mathrm{G}^{\prime}$ on $\mathrm{V}^{\prime}$ is extended uniquely to that on $\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{V}}^{\prime}$ compatible with the action of G on V . Further, since an extension $\mathrm{Y}^{\prime} \supset \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{V}}^{\prime}$ is unique, the action of $\mathrm{G}^{\prime}$ on $\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{V}}^{\prime}$ is extended uniquely to that on $\mathrm{Y}^{\prime}$ compatible with the admissible action of G on Y . Since $\mathrm{Y}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}$ is projective and $\mathrm{G}^{\prime}$ acts on the relatively ample sheaf $\Omega_{\mathrm{Y}^{\prime} / \mathrm{Y}}^{1}(\log \mathrm{D})$, the action of $\mathrm{G}^{\prime}$ on $\mathrm{Y}^{\prime}$ is admissible in the sense that the quotient $\mathrm{X}^{\prime}=\mathrm{Y}^{\prime} / \mathrm{G}^{\prime}$ exists as a scheme. Hence, by Lemma 5.3.6, the quotients $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{G}$ and $\mathrm{X}^{\prime}=\mathrm{Y}^{\prime} / \mathrm{G}^{\prime}$ contains U and $\mathrm{U}^{\prime}$ as the complements of Cartier
divisors respectively. In particular, we have a commutative diagram (6.3.1.3) satisfying (6.3.1.4).

Let $\mathrm{T} \subset \mathrm{V}^{\prime} \times_{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{V}^{\prime}$ be the graph $\Gamma_{\tau}$ of $\tau$. The base changes $\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\prime(1)}$ and $\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\prime(2)}$ defined as in Proposition 6.3.2 are isomorphic to $\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{V}}^{\prime}$. We define the $\log$ product and the log blow-up $\left(\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\prime(1)} \times_{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\prime(2)}\right)^{\sim} \subset\left(\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\prime(1)} \times_{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\prime(2)}\right)^{\prime}$ with respect to the $\log$ structure defined by the sections $\left(t_{k}(\mathrm{~T})\right)_{k}$. Since the automorphism $\sigma$ of $\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{V}}^{\prime}=\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\prime(1)}$ permutes the sections $\left(t_{k}(\mathrm{~T})\right)_{k}$ of $\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\prime(1)}$, the intersection $t_{k}(\mathrm{~T}) \cap \sigma\left(t_{k}(\mathrm{~T})\right)$ is either empty or equal to a divisor $t_{k}(\mathrm{~T})$ of $\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\prime(1)}$. Hence by the universality of blow-up, the closed immersion $\gamma=(1, \sigma): \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\prime(1)} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\prime(1)} \times \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\prime(2)}$ is uniquely lifted to a closed immersion $\gamma^{\prime}: \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\prime(1)} \rightarrow\left(\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\prime(1)} \times_{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\prime(2)}\right)^{\prime}$. Let $\Gamma^{\prime} \subset\left(\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\prime(1)} \times \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\prime(2)}\right)^{\prime}$ denote the image of $\gamma^{\prime}$ and $\widetilde{\Gamma}=\Gamma^{\prime} \cap\left(\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\prime(1)} \times_{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\prime(2)}\right)^{\sim}$ be the intersection. Then, $\Gamma^{\prime}$ and hence $\widetilde{\Gamma}$ are flat over T.

We regard Y as a $\log$ scheme with the $\log$ structure defined by $\mathcal{E}$ and define the $\log$ product $\left(\mathrm{Y} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{Y}\right)^{\sim}$. By applying Proposition 6.3.2 to $\mathrm{Y}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}$, we obtain a finite family $\left(\mathrm{K}_{i}\right)_{i \in \mathrm{I}}$ of finite extensions of K , a family $\left(\gamma_{i}: \operatorname{Spec} \mathrm{K}_{i} \rightarrow \mathrm{~T}\right)_{i \in \mathrm{I}}$ of morphisms over S extended to $\left(\bar{\gamma}_{i}: \operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{K}_{i}} \rightarrow\left(\mathrm{Y} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{Y}\right)^{\sim}\right)_{i \in \mathrm{I}}$ such that the image of the closed points $\bar{\gamma}_{i}\left(s_{i}\right)$ are in the log diagonal $\Delta_{\mathrm{Y}}^{\log } \subset\left(\mathrm{Y} \times{ }_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{Y}\right)^{\sim}$ and a family $\left(r_{i}\right)_{i \in \mathrm{I}}$ of rational numbers satisfying $\left(\left(\mathrm{T}, \Delta_{\mathrm{Y}}^{\log }\right)\right)=\sum_{i} r_{i}\left[\bar{\gamma}_{i}\left(s_{i}\right)\right]$ and
(7.3.4.4)

$$
\bar{f}_{*}^{\prime}\left(\left(\Gamma, \Delta_{\mathrm{Y}^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{log}}\right)\right)=\sum_{i} r_{i} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\left(\gamma_{i}^{*} \Gamma\right)^{*}: \mathrm{H}_{c}^{*}\left(\mathrm{~V}_{\overline{\mathrm{K}}_{i}}^{\prime}, \mathbf{Q}_{\ell}\right)\right) \cdot\left[\bar{\gamma}_{i}\left(s_{i}\right)\right] .
$$

Thus, it suffices to show

$$
\text { (7.3.4.5) } \quad \operatorname{Tr}^{\mathrm{Br}}\left(\sigma^{*}, \mathrm{H}_{c}^{*}\left(\mathrm{~V}_{\bar{\eta}}^{\prime}, \mathbf{F}_{\ell}\right)\right)=\operatorname{Tr}\left(\left(\gamma_{i}^{*} \Gamma\right)^{*}: \mathrm{H}_{c}^{*}\left(\mathrm{~V}_{\overline{\mathrm{K}}_{i}}^{\prime}, \mathbf{Q}_{\ell}\right)\right)
$$

for each $i$.
We regard the composition $s_{i} \rightarrow\left(\mathrm{Y} \times_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{Y}\right)^{\sim} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}$ as a morphism of $\log$ scheme. Since $\bar{\gamma}_{i}\left(s_{i}\right) \in(\mathrm{Y} \times \mathrm{S} \mathrm{Y})^{\sim}$ are in the $\log$ diagonal, the composition $s_{i} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y} \xrightarrow{\tau} \mathrm{Y}$ of the morphisms of $\log$ schemes is the same as the original morphism $s_{i} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}$ of $\log$ schemes. Let $\bar{s}_{i}$ be a log geometric point above $s_{i}$ and let $\widetilde{\mathrm{Y}}_{\bar{s}_{i}}$ be the log strict localization. Let $\tilde{\tau}$ be the automorphism of $\widetilde{\mathrm{Y}}_{\bar{s}_{i}}$ induced by $\tau$. We lift the generic geometric point $\bar{\eta} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}$ to a geometric point $\bar{\eta} \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathrm{Y}}_{\bar{s}_{i}}$ dominating the generic point $\tilde{\eta} \in \widetilde{\mathrm{Y}}_{\bar{s}_{i}}$. Let $k_{0}$ be the fixed subfield of $\kappa(\tilde{\eta})$ by an automorphism $\tilde{\tau}$ of order prime to $\ell$. We take an $\ell$-regular lifting $\bar{\tau} \in \operatorname{Gal}\left(\bar{\eta} / k_{0}\right)$ of $\tilde{\tau} \in \operatorname{Gal}\left(\tilde{\eta} / k_{0}\right)=\langle\tilde{\tau}\rangle$. By applying Lemma 7.3.1.2., we obtain

$$
\operatorname{Tr}^{\mathrm{Br}}\left(\sigma^{*}, \mathrm{H}_{c}^{*}\left(\mathrm{~V}_{\bar{n}}^{\prime}, \mathbf{F}_{\ell}\right)\right)=\operatorname{Tr}\left(\sigma^{*} \circ \bar{\tau}^{*}, \mathrm{H}_{c}^{*}\left(\mathrm{~V}_{\bar{\eta}}^{\prime}, \mathbf{Q}_{\ell}\right)\right) .
$$

We deduce (7.3.4.5) from Proposition 1.6.2. We show that the assumption of Proposition 1.6.2 is satisfied. The intersection $\widetilde{\Gamma} \cap\left(\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\prime(1)} \times_{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\prime(2)}\right)$ is the graph $\Gamma_{\sigma}$ of $\sigma$. Hence, the second projection $\widetilde{\Gamma} \cap\left(\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\prime(1)} \times_{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\prime(2)}\right)=\Gamma_{\sigma} \rightarrow \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\prime(2)}$ is proper and $\Gamma_{\sigma}$ is flat over T. Thus $\Gamma_{\sigma}$ satisfies the conditions in Proposition 1.6.2. We define a map $\mathrm{Y}_{\bar{S}_{i}} \rightarrow \mathrm{~S}_{0}$ to a regular noetherian scheme satisfying the condition (1.6.2.2) for $\mathrm{Y}_{\bar{t}} \rightarrow \mathrm{~S}$ in the notation there. We consider the map $\mathrm{Y} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g, d}$ to the moduli of $d$ pointed stable curves of
genus $g$ defined by the pointed stable curve $\left(\mathrm{X},\left(t_{k}\right)\right)$. Let $\mathrm{S}_{0}$ be the strict localization of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g, d}$ at the geometric point $\bar{s}_{i}$. Then, the map $\mathrm{Y}_{\bar{s}_{i}} \rightarrow \mathrm{~S}_{0}$ satisfies the condition (1.6.2.2).

Since $\bar{\tau}$ is compatible with $\tilde{\tau}$, we may apply Proposition 1.6.2 and we obtain

$$
\operatorname{Tr}\left(\sigma^{*} \circ \bar{\tau}^{*}, \mathrm{H}_{c}^{*}\left(\mathrm{~V}_{\bar{\eta}}^{\prime}, \mathbf{Q}_{f}\right)\right)=\operatorname{Tr}\left(\left(\gamma_{i}^{*} \Gamma\right)^{*}: \mathrm{H}_{c}^{*}\left(\mathrm{U}_{\overline{\mathrm{K}}_{i}}^{\prime}, \mathbf{Q}_{f}\right)\right)
$$

Thus, the equality (7.3.4.5) is proved.
Similarly, if K is of characteristic 0 , the same argument gives us the following variant.

Proposition 7.3.5. - We assume K is of characteristic 0 . Let the assumption be the same as in Proposition 7.3.4 except that U is a scheme over K and that we do not assume $\sigma$ or $\tau$ be non-trivial. Then, the equality (7.3.4.3) holds in $\mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{F}}\right) \mathbf{Q}$.

We derive a conductor formula for relative curves from Proposition 7.3.3 assuming $\operatorname{char} \mathrm{K}=0$.

Corollary 7.3.6. - Assume that K is of characteristic 0 . Let $f: \mathrm{U}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}$ be a smooth morphism or relative dimension 1 of separated regular flat schemes of finite type over S and let $\ell$ be a prime number invertible on S . We assume that U and $\mathrm{U}^{\prime}$ are connected. Let $\bar{f}: \mathrm{X}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}$ be a proper smooth curve with geometrically connected fibers of genus $g$ and let D be a divisor of $\mathrm{X}^{\prime}$ finite étale of degree $d$ over U such that $\mathrm{U}^{\prime}=\mathrm{X}^{\prime} \backslash \mathrm{D}$ and $2 g-2+d \geq 0$.

1. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a locally constant constructible sheaf of $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}$-modules on $\mathrm{U}^{\prime}$. Then, there exists a finite étale covering $\pi: \mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}$ such that we have an equality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Sw}_{\mathrm{U}} \mathrm{R} f!\mathcal{F}-\operatorname{rank} \mathcal{F} \cdot \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{U}}} \mathrm{R} f!\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}=f_{!} \mathrm{Sw}_{\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{U}}} \mathcal{F} \tag{7.3.6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

in $\mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\partial_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathrm{U}\right)_{\mathbf{Q}\left(\zeta_{p} \infty\right)}$.
2. Assume that the schemes U and $\mathrm{U}^{\prime}$ are schemes over K . Then, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Sw}_{\mathrm{U}} \mathrm{R} f \overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}=-f_{!}\left(\left(\Delta_{\mathrm{U}^{\prime}}, \Delta_{\mathrm{U}^{\prime}}\right)\right)^{\log }+\chi_{c}\left(\mathrm{U}_{\bar{\xi}}^{\prime}\right) \cdot\left(\left(\Delta_{\mathrm{U}}, \Delta_{\mathrm{U}}\right)\right)^{\log } \tag{7.3.6.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

in $\mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\partial_{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{U}\right)_{\mathbf{Q}\left(\zeta_{p} \infty\right)}$ for a geometric point $\bar{\xi}$ of U .
Proof. - By the assumption char $\mathrm{K}=0$, the locally constant sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ on $\mathrm{U}^{\prime}$ and the locally constant sheaves $\mathrm{R}^{q} € \mathcal{F}$ and $\mathrm{R}^{q} f_{!} \overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}$ on U are tamely ramified on the generic fiber.

We define a commutative diagram (7.3.0.3) satisfying the condition (7.3.0.4). Since we assume K is of characteristic 0 , the sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ is tamely ramified along D by Abhyankar's lemma [37, Proposition 5.5]. Hence, we may take a $\mathrm{G}^{\prime}$-torsor $\pi: \mathrm{V}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}^{\prime}$ for a finite group $\mathrm{G}^{\prime}$ that is tamely ramified along D such that the pull-back $\pi^{*} \mathcal{F}$ is a constant sheaf on $\mathrm{U}^{\prime}$. Let $\mathrm{Y}^{\prime}$ be the normalization of $\mathrm{X}^{\prime}$ in $\mathrm{U}^{\prime}$. Then, since $\mathrm{V}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}^{\prime}$ is tamely ramified
along D , the proper curve $\mathrm{Y}^{\prime}$ is smooth over U and $\mathrm{V}^{\prime} \subset \mathrm{Y}^{\prime}$ is the complement of a divisor $\mathrm{D}^{\prime}$ finite étale over U by Lemma 7.3.7 below.

Since $\mathrm{Y}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}$ is proper smooth, its Stein factorization $\mathrm{D}^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}$ is finite étale [11, Remarque (7.8.10)]. Let $\pi^{\prime}: \mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}$ be a finite étale G-torsor trivializing the Stein factorization of the finite étale coverings $\mathrm{D}^{\prime}$ and $\mathrm{D}^{\prime \prime}$. Replacing $\mathrm{V}^{\prime}$ by a connected component of $\mathrm{U}^{\prime} \times_{\mathrm{U}} \mathrm{V}$ and $\mathrm{G}^{\prime}$ by the stabilizer in $\mathrm{G}^{\prime} \times \mathrm{H}$, we obtain a commutative diagram (7.3.0.3) satisfying the condition (7.3.0.4).

The proper smooth curve $\mathrm{Y}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{V}$ has geometrically connected fibers of genus $g^{\prime}$ and $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{V}}^{\prime}$ is the union of $d^{\prime}$ disjoint sections. By the assumption that $2 g-2+d>0$, we have $2 g^{\prime}-2+d^{\prime}>0$. Hence, with an ordering of sections $\mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{D}^{\prime}$, the pair $\left(\mathrm{Y}^{\prime}, \mathrm{D}^{\prime}\right)$ defines a $d^{\prime}$ pointed smooth stable curve of genus $g^{\prime}$. Further replacing V if necessary, we may assume that $\pi^{* *} \mathbf{R}^{q} f \mathbf{F}_{\ell}, \pi^{*} \mathbf{R}^{q} f_{!} \mathcal{F}$ and $\pi^{* *} \mathbf{R}^{q} f!\mathbf{Z} / n \mathbf{Z}$ are constant on V for some integer $n \geq 3$ invertible on $S$.

Let Y be a proper scheme over S containing V as the complement of a family $\mathcal{E}$ of Cartier divisors such that $\Sigma_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathrm{Y}=\Sigma_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}}^{\mathcal{E}} \mathrm{Y}$. Let $\mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{g^{\prime}, d^{\prime}, n}$ be the morphism to the moduli space defined by the $d^{\prime}$ pointed smooth curve $\mathrm{Y}^{\prime}$ of genus $g^{\prime}$ over V . By replacing Y by the schematic closure of the graph of the map $\mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{g^{\prime}, d^{\prime}, n}$ in $\mathrm{Y} \times_{\mathbf{Z}} \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g^{\prime}, d^{\prime}, n}$, we may assume that $\mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{g^{\prime}, d^{\prime}, n}$ is extended to a morphism $\mathrm{Y} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g^{\prime}, d^{\prime}, n}$. Further by replacing Y if necessary, we may and do assume that the action of G on Y is admissible in the sense that the quotient $\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{G}$ exists as a scheme and that $\mathcal{E}$ carries an action of G . The pull-back of the universal family by the map $\mathrm{Y} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g^{\prime}, d^{\prime}, n}$ is a pointed stable curve over $Y$ and satisfies the condition (7.3.4.2).

Thus, the assumptions in Propositions 7.3.4 and 7.3.5 are satisfied. By Propositions 7.3.4 and 7.3.5, the assumptions (7.3.3.1) and (7.3.3.3) in Proposition 7.3.3 are satisfied respectively. Thus the assertion follows.

Lemma 7.3.7. - Let S be a normal scheme and X be a smooth curve over S . Let D be a divisor of X étale over S and $\mathrm{U}=\mathrm{X} \backslash \mathrm{D}$ be the complement. Let $\mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}$ be a finite étale morphism tamely ramified along D and Y be the normalization of X in V . Then, Y is smooth over S and V is the complement of a divisor E of Y étale over S .

Proof. - Let $\bar{x}$ be a geometric point of X and $t$ be a function on a neighborhood defining D . Let $\bar{y}$ be a geometric point of Y above $\bar{x}$. Then, by Abhyankar's lemma [37, Proposition 5.5], Y is étale locally isomorphic to $\mathrm{X}[\mathrm{T}] /\left(\mathrm{T}^{n}-t\right)$ for an integer $n \geq 1$ invertible at $\bar{x}$ on a neighborhood of $\bar{y}$. Hence the assertion follows.
7.4. Swan class of a constructible sheaf. - In the rest of this section, we assume that the characteristic of K is 0 . We define the Swan class for a constructible sheaf on a scheme over K.

For a separated scheme U of finite type over K , let $\mathrm{K}\left(\mathrm{U}, \overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}\right)$ be the Grothendieck group of constructible $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}$-sheaves on the étale site of U . More precisely, it is the quotient
of the free abelian group generated by the isomorphism classes $[\mathcal{F}]$ of constructible $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell^{-}}$ sheaves $\mathcal{F}$ on the étale site of U divided by the relations $[\mathcal{F}]=\left[\mathcal{F}^{\prime}\right]+\left[\mathcal{F}^{\prime \prime}\right]$ for exact sequences $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow 0$.

Lemma 7.4.1. - The abelian group $\mathrm{K}\left(\mathrm{U}, \overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}\right)$ is generated by the classes $[i \mathcal{F}]$ where $i$ : $\mathrm{Z} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}$ is a locally closed immersion of smooth subscheme and $\mathcal{F}$ is a locally constant constructible sheaf of $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}$-modules on Z . The relations are given by
(7.4.1.1)

$$
[i!\mathcal{F}]=\left[i!\mathcal{F}^{\prime}\right]+\left[i!\mathcal{F}^{\prime \prime}\right]
$$

for exact sequences $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow 0$ of locally constant constructible $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}$-modules on Z and
(7.4.1.2) $\quad[i!\mathcal{F}]=\left[\left.i_{0!} \mathcal{F}\right|_{\mathrm{Z}_{0}}\right]+\left[\left.i_{1!} \mathcal{F}\right|_{\mathrm{Z}_{1}}\right]$
for smooth locally closed subschemes $\mathrm{Z}_{1} \subset \mathrm{Z}$ where $i_{0}: \mathrm{Z}_{0}=\mathrm{Z} \backslash \mathrm{Z}_{1} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}$ and $i_{1}: \mathrm{Z}_{1} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}$ are the immersions.

Proof. - We consider the free abelian group generated by the classes [ $i \mathfrak{F}]$ where $i: \mathrm{Z} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}$ are locally closed immersions of smooth subschemes and $\mathcal{F}$ are locally constant constructible $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}$-modules on Z . Let $\mathrm{K}^{\prime}$ denote its quotient by the relations (7.4.1.1) and (7.4.1.2). Clearly, we have a canonical map $\mathrm{K}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{K}\left(\mathrm{U}, \overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}\right)$. The inverse is defined as follows.

For a constructible sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ on U , there exists a finite partition $\mathrm{U}=\coprod_{i} \mathrm{U}_{i}$ by smooth schemes such that $\left.\mathcal{F}\right|_{\mathrm{U}_{i}}$ are locally constant. It follows from (7.4.1.2) that the sum $\sum_{i}\left[\left.\mathcal{F}\right|_{\mathrm{U}_{i}}\right]$ is independent of the partition. Thus, the class $[\mathcal{F}]=\sum_{i}\left[\left.\mathcal{F}\right|_{\mathrm{U}_{i}}\right] \in \mathrm{K}^{\prime}$ is well-defined. Further, the equalities (7.4.1.1) and (7.4.1.2) implies $[\mathcal{F}]=\left[\mathcal{F}^{\prime}\right]+\left[\mathcal{F}^{\prime \prime}\right]$ for exact sequences $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow 0$. Thus, the map $\mathrm{K}\left(\mathrm{U}, \overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{K}^{\prime}$ is well-defined and is the inverse of the map $\mathrm{K}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{K}\left(\mathrm{U}, \overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}\right)$ above.

Proposition 7.4.2. - For separated schemes U of finite type over K , there exists a unique way to attach morphisms

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Sw}_{\mathrm{U}}: \mathrm{K}\left(\mathrm{U}, \overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\partial_{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{U}\right)_{\mathbf{Q}\left(\zeta_{p} \infty\right)} \tag{7.4.2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

satisfying the following properties:
(1) If U is smooth over K and if $\mathcal{F}$ is a locally constant constructible sheaf of $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}$-modules on U , we have $\mathrm{Sw}_{\mathrm{U}}([\mathcal{F}])=\mathrm{Sw}_{\mathrm{U}} \mathcal{F}$.
(2) For an immersion $i: \mathrm{U}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}$, the diagram
(7.4.2.2)

is commutative.

Proof. - $\operatorname{By}(1)$ and (2), the map $\mathrm{Sw}_{\mathrm{U}}$ is characterized by $\mathrm{Sw}_{\mathrm{U}}\left([\lceil!\mathcal{F}])=i!\mathrm{Sw}_{\mathrm{U}^{\prime}} \mathcal{F}\right.$ for a locally constant constructible sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ on a regular subscheme $\mathrm{U}^{\prime}$ and the immersion $i$ : $\mathrm{U}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}$. Hence the uniqueness follows from Lemma 7.4.1. Further by Lemma 7.4.1, the existence follows from Proposition 7.2.5.

We define a modification of the map $\mathrm{Sw}_{\mathrm{U}}$ with stronger compatibility for pushforward. For a separated scheme $U$ of finite type over $K$, let Const $(\mathbb{U})$ be the $\mathbf{Z}$-module of constructible $\mathbf{Z}$-valued functions on U. For a constructible $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}$-sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ on U , let $\operatorname{rank} \mathcal{F} \in \operatorname{Const}(\mathrm{U})$ be the constructible function defined by $\operatorname{rank} \mathcal{F}(x)=\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{F}_{\bar{x}}$. Let rank: $\mathrm{K}\left(\mathrm{U}, \overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Const}(\mathrm{U})$ be the homomorphism sending the class $[\mathcal{F}]$ to the function $\operatorname{rank} \mathcal{F}$.

Similarly as Proposition 7.4.2, we have a map $\mathrm{Ch}_{\mathrm{U}}: \operatorname{Const}(\mathrm{U}) \rightarrow \mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\partial_{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{U}\right)_{\mathbf{Q}}$ characterized as follows.

Proposition 7.4.3. - For separated schemes U of finite type over K , there exists a unique way to attach morphisms
(7.4.3.1) $\quad \mathrm{Ch}_{\mathrm{U}}: \operatorname{Const}(\mathrm{U}) \rightarrow \mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\partial_{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{U}\right)_{\mathbf{Q}}$
satisfying the following properties:
(1) If U is smooth over K , for the constant function $1_{\mathrm{U}}$, we have $\mathrm{Ch}_{\mathrm{U}}\left(1_{\mathrm{U}}\right)=\left(\left(\Delta_{\mathrm{U}}, \Delta_{\mathrm{U}}\right)\right)^{\log }$.
(2) For an immersion $i: \mathrm{U}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}$, the diagram
(7.4.3.2)

is commutative.
Proof. - It follows from the excision formula Theorem 6.2.2.
Definition 7.4.4. - Let U be a separated scheme of finite type over K. For a constructible $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}$-sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ on U , we define the total Swan class $\overline{\mathrm{Sw}}_{\mathrm{U}} \mathcal{F} \in \mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\partial_{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{U}\right)_{\mathbf{Q}\left(\zeta_{p} \infty\right)}$ by

## (7.4.4.1) $\quad \overline{S w}_{\mathrm{U}} \mathcal{F}=\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{U}} \mathcal{F}-\mathrm{Ch}_{\mathrm{U}}(\operatorname{rank} \mathcal{F})$.

Corollary 7.4.5. - 1. Assume U is smooth and $\mathcal{F}$ is a locally constant constructible $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}$-sheaf of constant rank on U . Let $f: \mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}$ be a finite étale G -torsor for a finite group G such that $\pi^{*} \mathcal{F}$ is a constant sheaf. Then, we have
(7.4.5.1) $\quad \overline{\mathrm{Sw}}_{\mathrm{U}} \mathcal{F}=-\frac{1}{|\mathrm{G}|} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathrm{G}} \operatorname{Tr}^{\mathrm{Br}}(\sigma: \mathrm{M}) \cdot f_{*}\left(\left(\Gamma_{\sigma}, \Delta_{\mathrm{V}}\right)\right)^{\log }$.
2. For separated schemes U of finite type over K , the collection of the maps $\overline{\mathrm{Sw}}_{\mathrm{U}}: \mathrm{K}\left(\mathrm{U}, \overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}\right) \rightarrow$ $\mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\partial_{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{U}\right)_{\mathbf{Q}\left(\zeta_{p} \infty\right)}$ is characterized by the following properties:
(1) Under the assumption in 1, we have
(7.4.5.2)

$$
\overline{\mathrm{Sw}}_{\mathrm{U}}([\mathcal{F}])=-\frac{1}{|\mathrm{G}|} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathrm{G}} \operatorname{Tr}^{\mathrm{Br}}(\sigma: \mathrm{M}) \cdot f_{*}\left(\left(\Gamma_{\sigma}, \Delta_{\mathrm{V}}\right)\right)^{\log } .
$$

(2) For an immersion $i: \mathrm{U}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}$, the diagram
(7.4.5.3)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{K}\left(\mathrm{U}, \overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}\right) & \xrightarrow{\overline{\mathrm{Sw}}} \\
\underset{i \uparrow}{ } & \mathrm{~F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\partial_{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{U}\right)_{\mathbf{Q}\left(\zeta_{p}, \infty\right)} \\
\mathrm{K}^{2} \uparrow & \uparrow_{i} \\
\mathrm{~K}\left(\mathrm{U}^{\prime}, \overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}\right) \xrightarrow{\overline{\mathrm{Sw}}_{\mathrm{U}^{\prime}}} & \mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\partial_{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{U}^{\prime}\right)_{\mathbf{Q}\left(\zeta_{p} \infty\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

is commutative.
Proof. - 1. We have
(7.4.5.4) $\quad \overline{\operatorname{Sw}}_{\mathrm{U}} \mathcal{F}=\operatorname{Sw}_{\mathrm{U}} \mathcal{F}-\operatorname{rank} \mathcal{F} \cdot\left(\left(\Delta_{\mathrm{U}}, \Delta_{\mathrm{U}}\right)\right)^{\log }$.

Thus, the equality (7.4.5.1) follows from definition (7.2.1.2) of the Swan class and the equality $|\mathrm{G}| \cdot\left(\left(\Delta_{\mathrm{U}}, \Delta_{\mathrm{U}}\right)\right)^{\log }=\sum_{\sigma \in \mathrm{G}} f_{*}\left(\left(\Gamma_{\sigma}, \Delta_{\mathrm{V}}\right)\right)^{\log }$.
 tive diagram (7.4.5.3) follows from (7.4.2.2) and (7.4.3.2). The uniqueness is clear from Lemma 7.4.1.
7.5. Conductor formula. - We keep the assumption that K is of characteristic 0 . We show that the diagram (7.4.5.3) is commutative for arbitrary morphisms over K. Changing the notation, $f: \mathrm{U} \rightarrow \mathrm{V}$ denotes an arbitrary morphism over K of separated schemes of finite type over K.

Theorem 7.5.1. -Let $f: \mathrm{U} \rightarrow \mathrm{V}$ be a morphism of separated schemes of finite type over K . Then, the diagram
(7.5.1.1)

is commutative.

Proof. - It suffices to show the equality
(7.5.1.2) $\quad \overline{\operatorname{Sw}}_{V} f:[\mathcal{F}]=f!\overline{\operatorname{Sw}}_{U}[\mathcal{F}]$
for a constructible sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ on U . We prove this by induction on the dimensions of U and V. By a standard devissage using the excision formula, it suffices to show that there exist dense open subschemes $\mathrm{U}^{\prime} \subset \mathrm{U}, \mathrm{V}^{\prime} \subset \mathrm{V}$ such that $f\left(\mathrm{U}^{\prime}\right) \subset \mathrm{V}^{\prime}$ and that we have

$$
\left.\overline{\mathrm{Sw}}_{\mathrm{v}^{\prime}} f\right|_{\mathrm{U}^{\prime}!}\left[\left.\mathcal{F}\right|_{\mathrm{U}^{\prime}}\right]=f!\overline{\mathrm{Sw}}_{\mathrm{U}^{\prime}}\left[\left.\mathcal{F}\right|_{\mathrm{U}^{\prime}}\right]
$$

Hence, we may assume the following condition is satisfied.

- The sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ is locally constant and the scheme V is smooth.

The formula (7.5.1.2) is compatible with the composition of morphisms. Hence, by the induction on relative dimension, we may further assume the following.
(7.5.1.3) The morphism $f: \mathrm{U} \rightarrow \mathrm{V}$ is smooth of relative dimension $\leq 1$.

Since we are allowed to shrink V , we may assume that V is connected and that there exists a proper smooth curve X over V containing U as the complement of a divisor D finite étale over V . Since the formula (7.5.1.2) is proved for a finite étale morphism in Corollary 7.2.7, by replacing V by the Stein factorization of $\mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{V}$, we may assume that the relative dimension is 1 and that the geometric fibers of $\mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{V}$ is connected. Further shrinking U and V , we may replace the condition (7.5.1.3) by the following.
(7.5.1.4) There exist a proper smooth and geometrically connected curve $\bar{f}: \mathrm{X} \rightarrow$ V of genus $g$ and an open immersion $\mathrm{U} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}$ such that U is the complement of a divisor $\mathrm{D} \subset \mathrm{X}$ finite and étale over V of degree $d$ such that $2 g-2+d>0$.
Then, applying Corollary 7.3.6, we obtain the equalities (7.3.6.1) and (7.3.6.2). The equality (7.5.1.2) follows from them together with (7.4.5.4).

We derive some consequences of Theorem 7.5.1.
Corollary 7.5.2. - Let $f: \mathrm{U} \rightarrow \mathrm{V}$ be a smooth morphism of smooth separated schemes of finite type over $\mathbf{K}$. Assume that $\mathbf{R}^{q} f!\mathbf{F}_{\ell}$ is locally constant for every $q \geq 0$.

1. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a constructible sheaf of $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}$-modules of constant rank on U . Assume that $\mathrm{R}^{q} f_{!} \mathcal{F}$ is locally constant for every $q \geq 0$. Then, we have
(7.5.2.1) $\quad \mathrm{Sw}_{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{R} f_{!} \mathcal{F}=f_{!} \mathrm{Sw}_{\mathrm{U}} \mathcal{F}+\operatorname{rank} \mathcal{F} \cdot \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{R} f!\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}$
in $\mathrm{F}_{0}\left(\partial_{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{V}\right)_{\mathbf{Q}\left(\zeta_{p} \infty\right)}$.
2. We have
(7.5.2.2)

$$
\mathrm{Sw}_{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{R} f!\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}=\operatorname{rank} \mathrm{R} f!\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell} \cdot\left(\left(\Delta_{\mathrm{V}}, \Delta_{\mathrm{V}}\right)\right)^{\log }-f_{!}\left(\left(\Delta_{\mathrm{U}}, \Delta_{\mathrm{U}}\right)\right)^{\log }
$$

in $\mathrm{F}_{0}\left(\partial_{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{V}\right)_{\mathbf{Q}\left(\zeta_{p} \infty\right)}$.

Proof. - 1. Since $\operatorname{rank} \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}} \mathcal{F}=\operatorname{rank} \mathcal{F} \cdot \operatorname{rank} \operatorname{R} f!\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}$, it suffices to apply Theo$\operatorname{rem} 7.5 .1$ to $[\mathcal{F}]-\operatorname{rank} \mathcal{F} \cdot\left[\mathbf{F}_{\ell}\right]$.
2. It suffices to apply Theorem 7.5.1 to $\left[\mathbf{F}_{\ell}\right]$.

If there exist a proper smooth scheme $\bar{f}: \mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{V}$ and a divisor D of X with normal crossings relatively to $V$ such that $U$ is the complement $X \backslash D$, the assumption of Corollary 7.5 .2 is satisfied. Further, if $d$ denotes the relative dimension of X over V we have

$$
\operatorname{rank} \mathrm{R} f \overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}=(-1)^{d} \operatorname{deg} c_{d}\left(\Omega_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{V}}^{1}(\log \mathrm{D})\right)
$$

In particular, for $\mathrm{V}=\mathrm{Spec} \mathrm{K}$, we have the following.
Corollary 7.5.3. - Let U be a smooth separated scheme of finite type over K and $\mathcal{F}$ be a smooth $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}$-sheaf of constant rank on U . Then, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{Sw}_{\mathrm{K}} \mathrm{R} \Gamma_{c}\left(\mathrm{U}_{\overline{\mathrm{K}}}, \mathcal{F}\right)=\operatorname{deg} \operatorname{Sw}_{\mathrm{U}} \mathcal{F}+\operatorname{rank} \mathcal{F} \cdot \mathrm{Sw}_{\mathrm{K}} \mathrm{R} \Gamma_{c}\left(\mathrm{U}_{\overline{\mathrm{K}}}, \overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}\right),  \tag{7.5.3.1}\\
& \mathrm{Sw}_{\mathrm{K}} \mathrm{R} \Gamma_{c}\left(\mathrm{U}_{\overline{\mathrm{K}}}, \overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}\right)=-\operatorname{deg}\left(\left(\Delta_{\mathrm{U}}, \Delta_{\mathrm{U}}\right)\right)^{\log } . \tag{7.5.3.2}
\end{align*}
$$

The equality (7.5.3.2) implies the conductor formula of Bloch in the case proved in [26] as follows. We assume that U is proper smooth over K and X is a proper regular flat scheme over $S=\operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{K}}$ such that $\mathrm{U}=\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{K}}$ and the reduced closed fiber $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{F} \text {, red }}$ is a divisor with simple normal crossings. Then, by Proposition 4.3.8 and [26, (5.4.2.6)], we have $\left(\left(\Delta_{\mathrm{U}}, \Delta_{\mathrm{U}}\right)\right)_{(\mathrm{U} \times \mathrm{s} U)^{\sim}}^{\log }=\left(\left(\Delta_{\mathrm{U}}, \Delta_{\mathrm{U}}\right)\right)_{(\mathrm{U} \times \mathbf{s} U)^{\sim}}^{\log }=(-1)^{d} c_{d}\left(\Omega_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{s}}^{1}(\log / \log )\right)_{\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{F}}}$. Thus, in this case, the equality (7.5.3.2) is equivalent to [26, Theorem 6.2.5] and hence to the conductor formula of Bloch [3]. This proof of the conductor formula of Bloch uses the same tools including the localized intersection product. However, the excision formula allows us to reduce the proof to relative curves.

## 8. A computation in the rank 1 case

We state Conjecture 8.3.1 comparing the Swan class of a sheaf of rank 1 with the cycle class defined in [24, Section 5.1] and prove it in Theorem 8.3.7 assuming $\operatorname{dim} \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{K}} \leq 1$. Using it, we prove the integrality conjecture Conjecture 7.2.8 under the assumption $\operatorname{dim} \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{K}} \leq 1$. In Section 8.2 and in the second half of Section 8.3, we will assume that K is of characteristic 0 .
8.1. Ramification of characters. - We briefly recall the theory of ramification of characters of Galois groups in [21]. For a field $K$, let $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{K}}$ denote the dual group $\mathrm{H}^{1}(\mathrm{~K}, \mathbf{Q} / \mathbf{Z})=\mathrm{H}^{2}(\mathrm{~K}, \mathbf{Z})$ of the abelian quotient $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{K}}^{\mathrm{ab}}$ of the absolute Galois group $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{K}}=\operatorname{Gal}(\overline{\mathrm{K}} / \mathrm{K})$. The cup-product defines a canonical pairing
(8.1.1.1) $\quad(,)_{\mathrm{K}}: \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{K}} \times \mathrm{K}^{\times}=\mathrm{H}^{2}(\mathrm{~K}, \mathbf{Z}) \times \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathrm{~K}, \mathbf{G}_{m}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Br}(\mathrm{K})=\mathrm{H}^{2}\left(\mathrm{~K}, \mathbf{G}_{m}\right)$.

Assume K is a henselian discrete valuation field and let F be the residue field of characteristic $p>0$. In this subsection, we drop the assumption that F is perfect. We briefly recall the definition of the exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathrm{F}} \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathrm{F}}(\log ) \xrightarrow{\text { res }} \mathrm{F} \rightarrow 0
$$

of F-vector spaces. A canonical map $d \log : \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{K}}^{\times} \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathrm{F}}=\Omega_{\mathrm{F} / \mathrm{F}^{\text {F }}}$ is defined by $a \mapsto \bar{a}^{-1} d \bar{a}$. The F-vector space $\Omega_{\mathrm{F}}(\log )$ is defined as the amalgamate sum of $\Omega_{\mathrm{F}}$ with $\mathrm{F} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathrm{K}^{\times}$over $\mathrm{F} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{K}}^{\times}$with respect to the map $d \log : \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{K}}^{\times} \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathrm{F}}$ and the inclusion $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{K}}^{\times} \rightarrow \mathrm{K}^{\times}$. The valuation $\mathrm{K}^{\times} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$ induces the residue map res: $\Omega_{\mathrm{F}}(\log ) \rightarrow \mathrm{F}$. The map $d \log : \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{K}}^{\times} \rightarrow$ $\Omega_{\mathrm{F}}$ is canonically extended to $d \log : \mathrm{K}^{\times} \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathrm{F}}(\log )$.

We identify a character $\chi \in \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{F}}$ with the corresponding unramified character $\chi \in \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{K}}$ and regard $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{F}}$ as a subgroup of $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{K}}$. For $a \in \mathrm{~F}$, let $\chi_{a} \in \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{F}}$ be the character defined by the Artin-Schreier equation $\mathrm{T}^{p}-\mathrm{T}=a$. We define a map $\chi: \mathrm{F} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{K}}$ by sending $a \in \mathrm{~F}$ to $\chi_{a} \in \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{F}} \subset \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{K}}$. In [21, (1.4)], it is shown that there exists a unique map $\lambda_{\mathrm{K}}: \Omega_{\mathrm{F}}(\log ) \rightarrow \operatorname{Br}(\mathrm{K})$ that makes the diagram
(8.1.1.2)

commutative.
The main construction in [21, Definition (2.1)] is the increasing filtration F. of $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{K}}$ indexed by $r \in \mathbf{N}$. We have $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{K}}=\bigcup_{r \geq 0} \mathrm{~F}_{r} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{K}}$. The subgroup $\mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{K}}$ consists of the characters at most tamely ramified. For $r \geq 1$, we put $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{K}}^{r}=1+\mathfrak{m}_{\mathrm{K}}^{r} \subset \mathrm{~K}^{\times}$. Then, the pairing $(,)_{\mathrm{K}}: \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{K}} \times \mathrm{K}^{\times}$maps $\mathrm{F}_{r} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{K}} \times \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{K}}^{r}$ for $r \geq 1$ and $\mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{K}} \times \mathrm{K}^{\times}$to $\operatorname{Im} \lambda_{\mathrm{K}} \subset \operatorname{Br}(\mathrm{K})$. For an extension $L$ of henselian discrete valuation field such that $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{K}}=\mathrm{K} \cap \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{L}}$ and $\mathfrak{m}_{\mathrm{K}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{L}}=\mathfrak{m}_{\mathrm{L}}^{e}$, the canonical map $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{K}} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{L}}$ sends $\mathrm{F}_{r} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{K}}$ to $\mathrm{F}_{r e} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{L}}$.

For $r \geq 1$, we put $\mathrm{Gr}_{r}^{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{K}}=\mathrm{F}_{r} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{K}} / \mathrm{F}_{r-1} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{K}}$. A canonical injection
(8.1.1.3)

$$
\operatorname{rsw}_{r, \mathrm{~K}}: \operatorname{Gr}_{r}^{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{K}} \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathrm{F}}\left(\mathfrak{m}_{\mathrm{K}}^{r} / \mathfrak{m}_{\mathrm{K}}^{r+1}, \Omega_{\mathrm{F}}(\log )\right)
$$

is defined in [21, Corollary (5.2)]. It is characterized by the following properties:
(1) For $\chi \in \mathrm{F}_{r} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{K}}$ and $c \in \mathfrak{m}_{\mathrm{K}}^{r}$, we have
(9.1.1.4) $\quad(\chi, 1-c)_{\mathrm{K}}=\lambda_{\mathrm{K}}\left(\operatorname{rsw}_{r, \mathrm{~K}}(\chi)(\bar{c})\right)$.
(2) Let L be an arbitrary extension of henselian discrete valuation field such that $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{K}}=\mathrm{K} \cap \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{L}}$ and $\mathfrak{m}_{\mathrm{K}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{L}}=\mathfrak{m}_{\mathrm{L}}^{e}$. Let $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{L}}$ denote the residue field of L . Then,
the diagram
(8.1.1.5)

is commutative.
For an element $\chi \in \mathrm{F}_{r} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{K}} \backslash \mathrm{F}_{r-1} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{K}}$, the injection
(8.1.1.6)

$$
\operatorname{rsw}_{r, \mathrm{~K}}(\chi): \mathfrak{m}_{\mathrm{K}}^{r} / \mathfrak{m}_{\mathrm{K}}^{r+1} \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathrm{F}}(\log )
$$

is called the refined Swan conductor of $\chi$ and will be denoted by rsw $\chi$.
We compute the refined Swan conductor of a Kummer character of degree $p$ explicitly. Assume that K is of characteristic 0 and the residue field F is of characteristic $p$. Assume further that K contains a primitive $p$-th root $\zeta_{p}$ of 1 . We identify $\mathbf{Z} / p \mathbf{Z}=\mu_{p}$ by $\zeta_{p}$ and the $p$-torsion part $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{K}}[p]=\mathrm{H}^{1}(\mathrm{~K}, \mathbf{Z} / p \mathbf{Z})$ with $\mathrm{K}^{\times} / \mathrm{K}^{\times p}=\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(\mathrm{~K}, \mu_{p}\right)$ by the isomorphism $\theta: \mathrm{K}^{\times} / \mathrm{K}^{\times p} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{K}}[p]$ of Kummer theory. For $a \in \mathrm{~K}^{\times}$, let $\theta_{a} \in \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{K}}[p]$ denote the corresponding character.

We put $z=\zeta_{p}-1$. Then, we have $z^{p}+p z \equiv(z+1)^{p}-1=0 \bmod p z^{2}$ and ord $z^{p}=$ ord $p z>$ ord $p z^{2}$. Hence, for an element $a \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{K}}$, the reduction of the Kummer equation $(1-z t)^{p}=1-a z^{p}$ gives the Artin-Schreier equation $t^{p}-t=\bar{a}$ and the unramified character $\chi_{\bar{a}} \in \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{K}}[p]$ is identified with $1-a z^{p} \in \mathrm{~K}^{\times} / \mathrm{K}^{\times p}$. In particular, we have $1+z^{p} \mathfrak{m}_{\mathrm{K}} \subset \mathrm{K}^{\times p}$. Consequently, we have a commutative diagram


Proposition 8.1.2. - Let K be a henselian discrete valuation field of mixed characteristic $(0, p)$ containing a primitive $p$-th root $\zeta_{p}$ of 1 . We put $e^{\prime}=p \cdot \operatorname{ord}_{\mathrm{K}}\left(\zeta_{p}-1\right)=\operatorname{ord}_{\mathrm{K}} z^{p}$. We define a decreasing filtration $\mathrm{F}^{\bullet}$ on $\mathrm{K}^{\times} / \mathrm{K}^{\times p}$ by $\mathrm{F}^{m}\left(\mathrm{~K}^{\times} / \mathrm{K}^{\times p}\right)=$ Image $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{K}}^{m}$ for $m \geq 1$ and by $\mathrm{F}^{0}\left(\mathrm{~K}^{\times} / \mathrm{K}^{\times p}\right)=\mathrm{K}^{\times} / \mathrm{K}^{\times p}$.

1. ([21, Proposition 4.1]) The isomorphism $\theta: \mathrm{K}^{\times} / \mathrm{K}^{\times p} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{K}}[p]$ induces an isomorphism
(8.1.2.1) $\quad \mathrm{F}^{m}\left(\mathrm{~K}^{\times} / \mathrm{K}^{\times p}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{F}_{r} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{K}}[p]$
for $0 \leq m=e^{\prime}-r \leq e^{\prime}$. In particular, we have $\mathrm{F}_{e^{\prime}} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{K}}[p]=\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{K}}[p]$.
2. For $a \in \mathrm{~K}^{\times}$such that $d \log a \neq 0$ in $\Omega_{\mathrm{F}}(\log )$, the map
(8.1.2.2) $\quad \operatorname{rsw}_{e^{\prime}, \mathrm{K}}\left(\theta_{a}\right): \mathfrak{m}_{\mathrm{K}}^{e^{\prime}} / \mathfrak{m}_{\mathrm{K}}^{e^{\prime}+1} \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathrm{F}}(\log )$
sends $c \cdot z^{p}$ to $-c \cdot d \log$ a for $c \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{K}}$.
3. For $1 \leq m=e^{\prime}-r<e^{\prime}$ and $a=1-b \in \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{K}}^{m}, \notin \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{K}}^{m+1}$, the map
(8.1.2.3) $\quad \operatorname{rsw}_{r, \mathrm{~K}}\left(\theta_{a}\right): \mathfrak{m}_{\mathrm{K}}^{r} / \mathfrak{m}_{\mathrm{K}}^{r+1} \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathrm{F}}(\log )$
sends $c \cdot z^{p} / b$ to $c \cdot d \log b$ for $c \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{K}}$.
Proof. - We identify the $p$-torsion part $\operatorname{Br}(\mathrm{K})[p]=\mathrm{H}^{2}\left(\mathrm{~K}, \mu_{p}\right)$ with $\mathrm{H}^{2}\left(\mathrm{~K}, \mu_{p}^{\otimes 2}\right)$ by $\zeta_{p}$. Then, for $a, b \in \mathrm{~K}^{\times}$, the cup-product $\left(\theta_{a}, b\right)_{\mathrm{K}} \in \operatorname{Br}(\mathrm{K})$ is identified with the Galois symbol $\{a, b\}$ defined as $\theta_{a} \cup \theta_{b} \in \mathrm{H}^{2}\left(\mathrm{~K}, \mu_{p}^{\otimes 2}\right)$. Let $a \in \mathrm{~K}^{\times}$and let L be an arbitrary extension of henselian discrete valuation field. Then, for $c \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{L}}$, we have
(8.1.2.4) $\quad\left(\theta_{a}, 1-z^{p} c\right)_{\mathrm{L}}=\left\{a, 1-z^{p} c\right\}=-\left\{1-z^{p} c, a\right\}=-\left(\chi_{\bar{c}}, a\right)_{\mathrm{L}}=-\lambda_{\mathrm{L}}(\bar{c} \cdot d \log a)$.

By the characterization of $\mathrm{rsw}_{r, \mathrm{~K}}$, the equality (8.1.2.4) implies that the map $\mathrm{rsw}_{r, \mathrm{~K}}$ is the zero-map for $r>e^{\prime}$. Hence, by the injectivity of $\mathrm{rsw}_{r, \mathrm{~K}}$, we have $\mathrm{Gr}_{r}^{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{K}}[p]=0$ for $r>e^{\prime}$. Thus by $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{K}}=\bigcup_{r \geq 0} \mathrm{~F}_{r} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{K}}$, we obtain $\mathrm{F}_{e^{\prime}} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{K}}[p]=\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{K}}[p]$. Now, the equality (8.1.2.4) implies the assertion 2.

To show the remaining assertions, we use the following elementary lemma on the symbol map.

Lemma 8.1.3 ([20, Lemma 6]). - For $b, c \in \mathrm{~K}^{\times} \backslash\{1\}$ such that $b c \neq 1$, we have
(8.1.3.1) $\quad\{1-b, 1-c\}=\{1-b c,-b\}+\{1-b c, 1-c\}-\{1-b c, 1-b\}$.

Proof of Lemma. - Since $\{x, y\}=0$ for $x, y \in \mathrm{~K}^{\times}$satisfying $x+y=1$, we have

$$
\left\{1-b, 1-\frac{1-b c}{1-b}\right\}=\left\{1-b c, 1-\frac{1-b c}{1-b}\right\} .
$$

Since $1-\frac{1-b c}{1-b}=\frac{-b(1-c)}{1-b}$, the right hand side is equal to that of (8.1.3.1). Further, since $\frac{1}{1-b}+\frac{-b}{1-b}=1$, the left hand side is equal to that of (8.1.3.1).

We go back to the proof of Proposition 8.1.2. Let $1 \leq m=e^{\prime}-r<e^{\prime}$ be an integer, $b \in \mathfrak{m}_{\mathrm{K}}^{m}$ and put $a=1-b$. Let L be an arbitrary extension of discrete valuation field and $c \in \mathfrak{m}_{\mathrm{K}}^{-m} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{L}}$ be an arbitrary element. Since $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{L}}^{\ell_{\mathrm{L}}+1} \subset \mathrm{~L}^{\times p}$ for $e_{\mathrm{L}}^{\prime}=\operatorname{ord}_{\mathrm{L}} \mathcal{L}^{p}$, we have $\left\{\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{L}}^{\ell_{\mathrm{L}}^{\prime}}, \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{L}}^{1}\right\}=0$ by Lemma 8.1.3. Hence, by $b, z^{p} c \in \mathfrak{m}_{\mathrm{L}}$, we have
(8.1.3.2) $\quad\left(\theta_{a}, 1-z^{p} c\right)_{\mathrm{L}}=\left\{1-b, 1-z^{p} c\right\}=\left\{1-b c z^{p},-b\right\}$
$=\left(\chi_{\overline{b c}},-b\right)_{\mathrm{L}}=\lambda_{\mathrm{L}}(\overline{b c} \cdot d \log (-b))=\lambda_{\mathrm{L}}(\overline{b c} \cdot d \log b)$
further by Lemma 8.1.3.

Similarly as above, the equality (8.1.3.2) together with the characterization and the injectivity of $\operatorname{rsw}_{n, \mathrm{~K}}$ shows that $\theta$ maps $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{K}}^{m}$ to $\mathrm{F}_{r} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{K}}[p]$, by induction on $1 \leq m=e^{\prime}-r \leq e^{\prime}$. Further the equality (8.1.3.2) implies the assertion 3. Hence, the composition of the map

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Gr}_{\mathrm{F}}^{m}\left(\mathrm{~K}^{\times} / \mathrm{K}^{\times p}\right)= & \begin{cases}\mathfrak{m}_{\mathrm{K}}^{m} / \mathfrak{m}_{\mathrm{K}}^{m+1} & \text { if } p \nmid m \\
\mathfrak{m}_{\mathrm{K}}^{m} /\left(\mathfrak{m}_{\mathrm{K}}^{n}\right)^{p} \mathfrak{m}_{\mathrm{K}}^{m+1} & \text { if } m=n p\end{cases} \\
& \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Gr} \theta} \mathrm{Gr}_{r}^{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{K}} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{rsw}, \mathrm{~K}} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{F}}\left(\mathfrak{m}_{\mathrm{K}}^{r} / \mathfrak{m}_{\mathrm{K}}^{r+1}, \Omega_{\mathrm{F}}(\mathrm{log})\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

sends $1-b$ to the map $c \mapsto b c / z^{p} \cdot d \log b$ and is an injection. Since $\theta: \mathbf{K}^{\times} / \mathbf{K}^{\times p} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{K}}[p]$ is an isomorphism, we conclude $\theta\left(\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{K}}^{m}\right)=\mathrm{F}_{r} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{K}}[p]$.
8.2. Kummer covering of degree $p$. - We apply the theory recalled in the previous section to the following geometric situation. Let K be a complete discrete valuation field of characteristic 0 with perfect residue field F of characteristic $p>0$. Let X be a regular flat separated scheme of finite type over $\mathrm{S}=\operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{K}}$ and D be a divisor with simple normal crossings. Let $\mathrm{D}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{D}_{n}$ be the irreducible components of D . For an irreducible component $\mathrm{D}_{i}$, let $\mathrm{K}_{i}$ be the fraction field of the completion $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathrm{X}, \xi_{i}}$ of the local ring at the generic point $\xi_{i}$ of $\mathrm{D}_{i}$. The residue field $\mathrm{F}_{i}=\kappa\left(\xi_{i}\right)$ of the complete discrete valuation field $\mathrm{K}_{i}$ is the function field of $\mathrm{D}_{i}$. The fiber $\Omega_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}}^{1}(\log \mathrm{D})_{\xi_{i}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}, \xi_{i}}} \mathrm{~F}_{i}$ is identified with the $\mathrm{F}_{i}$-vector space $\Omega_{\mathrm{F}_{i}}(\log )$ in the notation of the last subsection.

Let $\chi \in \mathrm{H}^{1}(\mathrm{U}, \mathbf{Q} / \mathbf{Z})$ be a character. Then, for each local field $\mathrm{K}_{i}$, the restriction defines a character $\chi_{i} \in \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{K}_{i}}$. By the ramification theory recalled in Section 8.1, the Swan conductor $r_{i}=\operatorname{sw}_{\mathrm{K}_{i}}\left(\chi_{i}\right) \geq 0$ is defined for each $\mathrm{K}_{i}$. We define the Swan divisor of $\chi$ by $\mathrm{D}_{\chi}=\sum_{i=1}^{m} r_{i} \mathrm{D}_{i}$. Let $\mathrm{E}=\sum_{i, r_{i}>0} \mathrm{D}_{i}$ be the support of $\mathrm{D}_{\chi}$. For each irreducible component $\mathrm{D}_{i}$ such that $r_{i}>0$, the refined Swan conductor $\mathrm{rsw}_{\mathrm{K}_{i}}\left(\chi_{i}\right)$ defines a non-zero map

$$
\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}}\left(-\mathrm{D}_{\chi}\right)_{\xi_{i}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}, \xi_{i}}} \mathrm{~F}_{i}=\mathfrak{m}_{\mathrm{K}_{i}}^{r_{i}} / \mathfrak{m}_{\mathrm{K}_{i}}^{r_{i}+1} \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}}^{1}(\log \mathrm{D})_{\xi_{i}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}, \xi_{i}}} \mathrm{~F}_{i}=\Omega_{\mathrm{F}_{i}}(\log ) .
$$

In [21, Theorem (7.1), Proposition (7.3)], it is shown that there exists an $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{E}}$-linear injection
(8.2.0.1) $\quad$ rsw $\chi: \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}}\left(-\mathrm{D}_{\chi}\right) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{E}} \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}}^{1}(\log \mathrm{D}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{E}}$
inducing $\operatorname{rsw}_{K_{i}}\left(\chi_{i}\right)$ at each generic point.
Definition 8.2.1. - We say that $\chi$ is clean with respect to X if the map

$$
\operatorname{rsw} \chi: \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}}\left(-\mathrm{D}_{\chi}\right) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{E}} \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}}^{1}(\log \mathrm{D}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{E}}
$$

is a locally splitting injection.
Assume $\chi$ is clean with respect to X . Then, we say that $\chi$ is s-clean with respect to X if, for each irreducible component $\mathrm{D}_{i}$ of E , the composition

$$
\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}}\left(-\mathrm{D}_{\chi}\right) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{D}_{i}} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{rss} \mathrm{v}_{i}(x)} \Omega_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}}^{1}(\log \mathrm{D}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{D}_{i}} \xrightarrow{\text { res }} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{D}_{i}}
$$

is either an isomorphism or the zero-map, depending on $\mathrm{D}_{i}$.
It is conjectured in [24] that for any $\chi$, there exists a proper modification $\mathrm{X}^{\prime}$ of X such that $\chi$ is clean with respect to $\mathrm{X}^{\prime}$, see Lemma 8.2.6.3.

We compute the Swan divisor $\mathrm{D}_{\chi}$ and the map rsw $\chi$ (8.2.0.1) for a Kummer character $\chi$ of order $p$ explicitly.

Lemma 8.2.2. - Let A be a regular local ring such that the fraction field is of characteristic 0 and the residue field is of characteristic $p>0$. Let $t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n} \in \mathrm{~A}$ be a part of regular system of parameters. Assume that A contains a primitive p-th root $\zeta_{p}$ of 1 and that $t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}$ divide $p$. Let $\mathrm{K}_{i}$ be the fraction field of the completion $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{K}_{i}}$ of the discrete valuation ring $\mathrm{A}_{\left(t_{i}\right)}$ for each $i$.

Let $m=\left(m_{1}, \ldots, m_{n}\right)$ be a family of integers satisfying $0 \leq m_{i} \leq e_{i}^{\prime}=p \cdot \operatorname{ord}_{K_{i}}\left(\zeta_{p}-1\right)$ and let $\mathrm{F}^{m} \mathrm{~A}^{\times}$denote the subgroup $1+t_{1}^{m_{1}} \cdots t_{n}^{m_{n}} \mathrm{~A}$ for $m \neq 0$ and $\mathrm{F}^{0} \mathrm{~A}^{\times}=\mathrm{A}^{\times}$. Then, the inverse image of $\bigoplus_{i} \mathrm{~F}^{m_{i}}\left(\mathrm{~K}_{i}^{\times} / \mathrm{K}_{i}^{\times p}\right) \subset \bigoplus_{i} \mathrm{~K}_{i}^{\times} / \mathrm{K}_{i}^{\times p}$ by the canonical map $\mathrm{A}^{\times} / \mathrm{A}^{\times p} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i} \mathrm{~K}_{i}^{\times} / \mathrm{K}_{i}^{\times p}$ is the image of $\mathrm{F}^{m} \mathrm{~A}^{\times}$.

Proof. - First, we show the case where $n=1$. We prove it by induction on $m=m_{1}$. It is obvious for $m=0$. Assume $m=1$ and that the image of $a \in \mathrm{~A}^{\times}$in $\mathrm{K}_{1}^{\times} / \mathrm{K}_{1}^{\times p}$ is in $\mathrm{F}^{1}\left(\mathrm{~K}_{1}^{\times} / \mathrm{K}_{1}^{\times p}\right)$. Let $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ denote the residue field of $\mathrm{K}_{1}$. Then, we have $\bar{a} \in \mathrm{~F}_{1}^{\times p}$. We put $\bar{a}=b^{b}$ for $b \in \mathrm{~F}_{1}^{\times}$. Since $b$ is integral over the normal ring $\mathrm{A} / t_{1} \mathrm{~A}$, we have $b \in \mathrm{~A} / t_{1} \mathrm{~A}$ and $b \in\left(\mathrm{~A} / t_{1} \mathrm{~A}\right)^{\times}$. Take a unit $c \in \mathrm{~A}^{\times}$lifting $b$. Then, $a / c^{p}$ is in $\mathrm{F}^{1} \mathrm{~A}^{\times}=1+t_{1} \mathrm{~A}$.

Assume $m \geq 1$ and that the image of $a \in \mathrm{~F}^{m} \mathrm{~A}^{\times}=1+t_{1}^{m} \mathrm{~A}$ is in $\mathrm{F}^{m+1}\left(\mathrm{~K}_{1}^{\times} / \mathrm{K}_{1}^{\times p}\right)$. If $p \nmid m$, we have $a \in 1+t_{1}^{m+1} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{K}_{1}}$. Since $\mathrm{A} \cap t_{1}^{m+1} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{K}_{1}}=t_{1}^{m+1} \mathrm{~A}$, we have $a \in \mathrm{~F}^{m+1} \mathrm{~A}^{\times}$. Assume $p \mid m$ and we put $a=1+t_{1}^{m} b$. Then, we have $\bar{b} \in \mathrm{~F}_{1}^{p}$. Similarly as above, there is an element $c \in \mathrm{~A}$ such that $b \equiv c^{p} \bmod t_{1}$. Then, $a /\left(1+t^{m / p} c\right)^{p}$ is in $\mathrm{F}^{m+1} \mathrm{~A}^{\times}=1+t_{1}^{m+1} \mathrm{~A}$.

We prove the general case. Assume that the image of $a \in \mathrm{~A}^{\times}$is in $\bigoplus_{i} \mathrm{~F}^{m_{i}}\left(\mathrm{~K}_{i}^{\times} / \mathrm{K}_{i}^{\times p}\right)$. Then, for each $i$, there exists $a_{i} \in \mathrm{~A}^{\times}$such that $a / a_{i}^{p} \in \mathrm{~F}^{m_{i}} \mathrm{~A}^{\times}$. Let $m_{i}^{\prime} \geq 0$ be the smallest integer satisfying $p \cdot m_{i}^{\prime} \geq m_{i}$. Then, since the $p$-th power map $\left(\mathrm{A} / t_{i}^{m_{i}^{\prime}}\right)^{\times} \rightarrow\left(\mathrm{A} / t_{i}^{m_{i}}\right)^{\times}$is injective, the class $\bar{a}_{i} \in\left(\mathrm{~A} / t_{i}^{m_{i}^{\prime}}\right)^{\times}$is uniquely determined by the condition $a / d_{i}^{p} \in \mathrm{~F}^{m_{i}} \mathrm{~A}^{\times}$. Further, for $i \neq j$, the $p$-th power map $\left(\mathrm{A} /\left(t_{i}^{m_{i}^{\prime}}, t_{j}^{m_{j}^{\prime}}\right)\right)^{\times} \rightarrow\left(\mathrm{A} /\left(t_{i}^{m_{i}}, t_{j}^{m_{j}}\right)\right)^{\times}$is also injective. Hence, there exists a unique element $b \in\left(\mathrm{~A} / t_{1}^{m_{1}^{\prime}} \cdots t_{n}^{m_{n}^{\prime}} \mathbf{~}\right)^{\times}$satisfying $b \equiv a_{i} \bmod t_{i}^{m_{i}^{\prime}}$. Let $c \in \mathrm{~A}^{\times}$be a unit lifting $b$. Then, we have $a / c^{\phi}$ is in $\mathrm{F}^{m} \mathrm{~A}^{\times}$.

Corollary 8.2.3. -Let $\chi \in \mathrm{H}^{1}(\mathrm{U}, \mathbf{Z} / p \mathbf{Z})$ be a character of order $p$ and $x \in \mathrm{D}$ be a point. Let $\mathrm{D}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{D}_{n}$ be the irreducible components of D containing $x$ and put $\mathrm{D}_{\chi}=\sum_{i} r_{i} \mathrm{D}_{i}$ on a neighborhood of $x$. We put $\mathrm{A}=\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}, x}$ and, for each irreducible component $\mathrm{D}_{i}$, we put $e_{i}^{\prime}=p \cdot \operatorname{ord}_{\mathrm{D}_{i}}\left(\zeta_{p}-1\right)$ and $m_{i}=e_{i}^{\prime}-r_{i}$.

1. On a neighborhood of $x$, there exists an element $a \in \Gamma\left(\mathrm{U}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{U}}^{\times}\right)$such that $\chi$ is defined by $t^{p}=a$ and satisfying one of the following conditions:
(8.2.3.1) $\operatorname{ord}_{\mathrm{D}_{i}} a$ is prime to $p$ for at least one $\mathrm{D}_{i}$.
(8.2.3.2) a is a unit at $x$ and its image in $\mathbf{A}^{\times}$is in $\mathrm{F}^{m} \mathbf{A}^{\times}$for $m=\left(m_{1}, \ldots, m_{n}\right)$ in the notation of Lemma 8.2.2.
2. Assume $\mathrm{D}_{\chi}=\sum_{i} e_{i}^{\prime} \mathrm{D}_{i}$ and let a be as in 1 . Then, the map

$$
\operatorname{rsw} \chi: \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{E}}\left(-\mathrm{D}_{\chi}\right) \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}}^{1}(\log \mathrm{D}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{E}}
$$

sends $z^{p}$ to $-d \log$ a where $z=\zeta_{p}-1$.
3. Assume $\mathrm{D}_{\chi}<\sum_{i} e_{i}^{\prime} \mathrm{D}_{i}$. Then, the condition (8.2.3.2) holds. Let b be a basis of the invertible sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}}\left(-\sum_{i} m_{i} \mathrm{D}_{i}\right)$ on a neighborhood of $x$. We put $a=1-b c \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}}^{\times}$where $c \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}}$ on a neighborhood of $x$ as in (8.2.3.2). Then, the map

$$
\operatorname{rsw} \chi: \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{E}}\left(-\mathrm{D}_{\chi}\right) \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}}^{1}(\log \mathrm{D}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{E}}
$$

sends $a \cdot z^{p} / b$ to $c \cdot d \log b+d c$.
Proof. - 1. Let $a$ be a rational function on X such that $\chi$ is defined by $t^{p}=a$ on the generic point. The regular local ring $\mathrm{A}=\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}, x}$ is a UFD. Hence, we may assume $0 \leq \operatorname{ord}_{y} a<p$ for every discrete valuation defined by a point $y \in \mathrm{X}$ of codimension 1 , after dividing $a$ by the $p$-th power of a rational function and shrinking X if necessary. For a point $y \in \mathrm{X}$ of codimension 1 , if the valuation of $a$ at $y$ is not divisible by $p$, then $\chi$ is ramified at $y$. Hence, $a$ is a unit on U. Further, if the condition (8.2.3.1) is not satisfied, then $a$ is a unit at $x$. Then, by Lemma 8.2.2, after dividing $a$ by the $p$-th power of a rational function, the condition (8.2.3.2) is satisfied.
2. 3. Clear from Proposition 8.1 .2 and the equality $-d a / b=c \cdot d \log b+d c$.

We give a condition for character $\chi$ of order $p$ to be clean.
Proposition 8.2.4. - Let $\chi \in \mathrm{H}^{1}(\mathrm{U}, \mathbf{Z} / p \mathbf{Z})$ be a character of order $p$ and $x \in \mathrm{D}$ be a point. Assume that $\chi$ is not tamely ramified at $x$ and that K is of characteristic 0 and contains a primitive p-th root $\zeta_{p}$ of 1 . Let $\mathrm{D}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{D}_{n}$ be the irreducible components of D containing $x$ and let C denote the intersection $\mathrm{D}_{1} \cap \cdots \cap \mathrm{D}_{n}$. We put $\mathrm{D}_{\chi}=\sum_{i} r_{i} \mathrm{D}_{i}>0$ as in Corollary 8.2.3.

For each irreducible component $\mathrm{D}_{i}$, we put $e_{i}^{\prime}=p \cdot \operatorname{ord}_{\mathrm{D}_{i}}\left(\zeta_{p}-1\right)$ and $m_{i}=e_{i}^{\prime}-r_{i}$. Let $t_{i} \in \Gamma\left(\mathrm{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{x}}\right)$ be an element defining $\mathrm{D}_{i}$.

1. Assume $\mathrm{D}_{\chi}=\sum_{i} e_{i}^{\prime} \mathrm{D}_{i}$. Then, $\chi$ is clean at $x$ if and only if on a neighborhood of $x$, there exists an element $a \in \Gamma\left(\mathrm{U}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{U}}^{\times}\right)$such that $\chi$ is defined by $t^{p}=a$ and satisfying one of the following conditions:
(8.2.4.1) $\operatorname{ord}_{\mathrm{D}_{i}} a$ is prime to $p$ for at least one $\mathrm{D}_{i}$.
(8.2.4.2) a is a unit at $x$ and da $\left.\right|_{\mathrm{C}}$ has no zero at $x$.
2. Assume $\mathrm{D}_{\chi}<\sum_{i} e_{i}^{\prime} \mathrm{D}_{i}$. Then, $\chi$ is clean at $x$ if and only if, on a neighborhood of $x$, there exists an element $a \in \Gamma\left(\mathrm{U}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{U}}^{\times}\right)$such that $\chi$ is defined by $t^{p}=a$ and satisfying one of the following conditions:
(8.2.4.3) $a=1-u \cdot t_{1}^{m_{1}} \cdots t_{n}^{m_{n}}$ for a unit $u$ at $x$ and, for at least one $\mathrm{D}_{i}$, the integer $m_{i}$ is prime to $p$.
(8.2.4.4) $a=1-c \cdot t_{1}^{m_{1}} \cdots t_{n}^{m_{n}}$ for a regular function $c$ at $x$ such that $\left.d c\right|_{\mathrm{C}}$ has no zero at $x$.

Proof. - We have an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathrm{C}}^{1} \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}}^{1}(\log \mathrm{D}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{C}} \rightarrow$ $\bigoplus_{i} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{C}} \rightarrow 0$ and $\left(d \log t_{i}\right)_{i}$ defines a splitting. Hence, if the condition (8.2.3.1) holds, then $\chi$ is clean and we have $\mathrm{D}_{\chi}=\sum_{i} e_{i}^{\prime} \mathrm{D}_{i}$. Thus, it suffices to consider the case where (8.2.3.2) holds.

1. Assume $\mathrm{D}_{\chi}=\sum_{i} e_{i}^{\prime} \mathrm{D}_{i}$ and hence $m_{i}=0$ for every $i$. Then, $\chi$ is clean if and only $\left.d a\right|_{\mathrm{C}}$ has no zero at $x$.
2. Assume $\mathrm{D}_{\chi}<\sum_{i} e_{i} \mathbf{D}_{i}$ and hence $m_{i}>0$ for some $i$. Then, by Corollary 8.2.3.1, there exists a regular function $c$ at $x$ such that $\chi$ is defined by $t^{p}=a$ for $a=1-c \cdot t_{1}^{m_{1}} \cdots t_{n}^{m_{n}}$. By Corollary 8.2.3.3 and by the local splitting above, $\chi$ is clean if and only if either $c \cdot\left(m_{i}\right)_{i} \in \bigoplus_{i} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{C}}$ or $d c \in \Omega_{\mathrm{C}}^{1}$ has no zero at $x$. The condition that $c \cdot\left(m_{i}\right)_{i} \in \bigoplus_{i} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{C}}$ has no zero at $x$ means that $c$ is a unit at $x$ and one of $m_{i}$ is prime to $p$. The second condition is equivalent to (8.2.4.4).

Corollary 8.2.5. - Let the assumption be as in Proposition 8.2.4.

1. Assume $\mathrm{D}_{\chi}=\sum_{i} e_{i}^{\prime} \mathrm{D}_{i}$. Then, $\chi$ is clean at $x$ if and only if $\chi$ is $s$-clean at $x$.
2. Assume $\mathrm{D}_{\chi}<\sum_{i} e^{\prime} \mathrm{D}_{i}$. Then, $\chi$ is s-clean at $x$ if and only if, on a neighborhood of $x$, there exists an element $a \in \Gamma\left(\mathrm{U}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{U}}^{\times}\right)$such that $\chi$ is defined by $a$ and satisfying either the condition (8.2.4.3) or the following condition:
(8.2.4.4 $) ~ a=1-c \cdot t_{1}^{m_{1}} \cdots t_{n}^{m_{n}}$ for a regular function $c$ at $x$ such that $\left.d c\right|_{\mathrm{C}}$ has no zero at $x$ and, for every $\mathrm{D}_{i}$, the integer $m_{i}$ is divisible by $p$.

Proof. - 1 . If the condition (8.2.4.1) or (8.2.4.2) is satisfied, then $\chi$ is s-clean at $x$.
2. If the condition (8.2.4.3) is satisfied, then $\chi$ is s-clean at $x$. Assume the condition (8.2.4.4) is satisfied. Then, in the notation of the proof of Proposition 8.2.4.2, $\chi$ is s-clean at $x$ if and only if either $c \cdot\left(m_{i}\right)_{i} \in \bigoplus_{i} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{C}}$ has no zero at $x$ or $\left.c\right|_{\mathrm{C}} \cdot\left(m_{i}\right)_{i}=0$. The first condition is equivalent to (8.2.4.3). By the condition (8.2.4.4), we have $\left.c\right|_{\mathrm{C}} \neq 0$. Hence, the second condition $\left.c\right|_{\mathrm{C}} \cdot\left(m_{i}\right)_{i}=0$ is equivalent to that $m_{i}$ is divisible by $p$ for every $i$.

We recall the main result from [24] and prove a complement.
Lemma 8.2.6. - Let the assumption be as in Proposition 8.2.4. Assume $\operatorname{dim} X_{K}+1=2$ and let $\Sigma \subset \Sigma_{s} \subset \mathrm{D}$ be the sets of points where $\mathcal{F}$ is not clean and not s-clean with respect to X respectively.

1. The subsets $\Sigma$ and $\Sigma_{s}$ consist of finitely many closed points of D.
2. [24, Remark 4.13] Let $x$ be a closed point of D and $f: \mathrm{X}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}$ be the blow-up at $x$. If $\chi$ is clean at $x$, then $\chi$ is clean on a neighborhood off $f^{-1}(x)$.
3. [24, Theorem 4.1] There exists a successive blow-up $f: \mathrm{X}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}$ at $\Sigma$ such that $\chi$ is clean with respect to $\mathrm{X}^{\prime}$.
4. There exists a successive blow-up $f: \mathrm{X}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}$ at $\Sigma_{s}$ such that $\chi$ is s-clean with respect to $\mathrm{X}^{\prime}$.

Proof. - 1. Clear from the definition.
2 and 3. See [24, Remark 4.13] and [24, Theorem 4.1] respectively.
4. By 3 , we may assume $\chi$ is clean with respect to X . Let $x \in \Sigma_{s}$. If $x$ is a singular point of D , then $\chi$ is $s$-clean at $x$. Hence, $x$ is a smooth point of D and we may assume D is irreducible. We put $\mathrm{D}_{\chi}=r \mathrm{D}$ and prove the assertion by induction on $r>0$. By [24, Corollary 4.9] and [21, Theorem (8.1)], we have $e=1$ in the notation of [24, Corollary 4.9] for the blow-up $\mathrm{X}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}$ at $x$. Hence, we have $r^{\prime}=r-e=r-1<r$ and the assertion follows by induction.

We expect that Lemma 8.2.6.3 holds in arbitrary dimension.
For an s-clean character of order $p$, we give a local description of the normalization in the corresponding cyclic covering of degree $p$.

Proposition 8.2.7 (Cf. [38, Lemma 1]). - Let X be a regular flat separated scheme of finite of finite type over $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{K}}$ and $\mathrm{U}=\mathrm{X} \backslash \mathrm{D}$ be the complement of a divisor D with simple normal crossing. Assume that K is of characteristic 0 and contains a primitive $p$-th root $\zeta_{p}$ of 1 .

Let $\chi \in \mathrm{H}^{1}(\mathrm{U}, \mathbf{Z} / p \mathbf{Z})$ be a character of order $p$. Let $\mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}$ be the cyclic Galois covering of order p trivializing $\chi$ and Y be the integral closure of X in V .

Assume that $\chi$ is s-clean with respect to X . Then, there exists an $f$ s $\log$ structure $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{Y}}$ on Y such that $\left(\mathrm{Y}, \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{Y}}\right)$ is log regular [25] and that V is the maximum open subscheme where $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{Y}}$ is trivial. We have $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{Y}}=j_{*} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{V}}^{\times} \cap \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Y}}$ where $j: \mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}$ denotes the open immersion.

Proof. - The proof is similar to that of [38, Lemma 1]. Since the question is local, we may assume that $\mathrm{X}=\operatorname{Spec} \mathrm{A}$ is affine and that the $\log$ structure on X is defined by the chart $\mathrm{P}=\prod_{i} \mathbf{N} e_{i} \rightarrow \mathrm{~A}$ sending the basis $e_{i}$ to $t_{i}$ defining irreducible components $\mathrm{D}_{i}$ of D. By Corollary 8.2.5, it suffices to consider each case (8.2.4.1)-(8.2.4.3) and (8.2.4.4'). We take the notation in Proposition 8.2.4.
(8.2.4.1) Assume $a=u \prod_{i} t_{i}^{m_{i}}$ where $u \in \mathrm{~A}^{\times}$and $p \nmid m_{i}$ for at least one $i$. We put $\mathrm{Q}_{0}=\mathrm{P} \times \mathbf{Z}_{u}, e_{t}=\frac{1}{p}\left(\sum_{i} m_{i} e_{i}+e_{u}\right)$ and $\mathrm{Q}_{1}=\mathrm{Q}_{0}+\left\langle e_{t}\right\rangle$. Let $\mathrm{Q} \subset \mathrm{Q}_{1}^{\mathrm{gP}}$ be the saturation of $\mathrm{Q}_{1}$. We put $\mathrm{B}_{1}=\mathrm{A}[t] /\left(t^{p}-u \prod_{i} t_{i}^{m_{i}}\right)$ and define a monoid homomorphism $\mathrm{Q}_{1} \rightarrow \mathrm{~B}_{1}$ by $e_{u} \mapsto u$ and $e_{t} \mapsto t$. We define $\mathrm{B}=\mathrm{B}_{1} \otimes_{\mathrm{A}\left[\mathrm{O}_{1}\right]} \mathrm{A}[\mathrm{Q}], \mathrm{Y}=\operatorname{Spec} \mathrm{B}$ and define a $\log$ structure $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{Y}}$ on Y by $\mathrm{Q} \rightarrow \mathrm{B}$.

We show that Y is $\log$ regular and is equal to the normalization of X in V . By the assumption that there exists $m_{i}$ prime to $p$, the quotient $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{d}}^{\mathrm{gP}} / \mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{d}}^{\times}=\left(\mathrm{P}^{\mathrm{gp}} \times \mathbf{Z} e_{t}\right) / \mathbf{Z} e_{u}$ is torsion free. The quotient $B_{1} / I_{1}$ by the ideal $I_{1} \subset B_{1}$ generated by $Q_{1} \backslash Q_{1}^{\times}$is equal to $\overline{\mathrm{A}}=\mathrm{A} /\left(t_{i} ; i=1, \ldots, n\right)$ and is regular. Since $\mathrm{B}_{1}$ is flat over A , we have $\operatorname{dim} \mathrm{B}_{1}=\operatorname{dim} \mathrm{A}=$ $\operatorname{dim} \overline{\mathrm{A}}+n=\operatorname{dim} \mathrm{B}_{1} / \mathrm{I}_{1}+\operatorname{rank} \mathrm{Q}_{1}^{\mathrm{gp}} / \mathrm{Q}_{1}^{\times}$. Hence, similarly as the proof of [38, Claim], the $\log$ scheme Y is regular by [25, Proposition (12.2)]. Since the normal scheme Y is finite over X and $\mathrm{Y} \times_{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{U}=\mathrm{V}$, it is the normalization of X in V .
(8.2.4.2) Assume $a \in \mathrm{~A}^{\times}$and $\left.d a\right|_{\mathrm{C}}$ has no zero. We put $\mathrm{B}=\mathrm{A}[t] /\left(t^{p}-a\right), \overline{\mathrm{A}}=$ $\mathrm{A} /\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right)$ and $\overline{\mathrm{B}}=\overline{\mathrm{A}}[t] /\left(t^{p}-\bar{a}\right)$. By the assumption that $d \bar{a}$ is non-vanishing, the ring $\overline{\mathrm{B}}$ is regular and hence $\mathrm{B}=\mathrm{A}[t] /\left(t^{p}-a\right)$ is the normalization on a neighborhood of $x$. The open subscheme V of $\mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{Spec} \mathrm{B}$ is the complement of a divisor with simple normal crossing defined by $\left(t_{1} \cdots t_{n}\right)$.
(8.2.4.3) Let $u \in \mathrm{~A}^{\times}$be a unit, $0 \leq m_{i} \leq e_{i}^{\prime}$ be integers and we put $b=u \prod_{i} t_{i}^{m_{i}}$ and $a=1-b$. We assume that $p \nmid m_{i}<e_{i}^{\prime}$ for at least one $i$. We put $z=\zeta_{p}-1$ as above and $t=1-z / s$. Then, by an elementary computation, the equation $t^{p}=a$ is equivalent to

$$
s^{p}=\frac{1}{b}\left(s^{p}-(s-z)^{p}\right) .
$$

We define a polynomial $f \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{K}}[\mathrm{S}]$ of degree $p-1$ by $f=\left(\mathrm{S}^{p}-(\mathrm{S}-z)^{p}\right) / z^{p}$. We have $f \equiv 1-\mathrm{S}^{p-1} \bmod z$. We put $\mathrm{B}_{1}=\mathrm{A}[s] /\left(s^{p}-\frac{t^{p}}{b} \cdot f(s)\right)$. We put $r_{i}=e_{i}^{\prime}-m_{i} \geq 0$ and define a unit $w \in \mathrm{~A}^{\times}$by $z^{p} / b=w \cdot \prod_{i} t_{i}^{r_{i}}$. The assumption $m_{i}<e_{i}^{\prime}$ for at least one $i$ means that $z^{p} / b=w \cdot \prod_{i} t_{i}^{t_{i}}$ is in the maximal ideal at $x$. Hence, shrinking X if necessary, we may assume $f(s)$ is a unit of $\mathbf{B}$.

We put $\mathbf{Q}_{0}=\mathrm{P} \times \mathbf{Z} e_{w}, e_{s}=\frac{1}{p}\left(\sum_{i} r_{i} e_{i}+e_{w}\right)$ and $\mathbf{Q}_{1}=\mathbf{Q}_{0}+\left\langle e_{s}\right\rangle$. Let $\mathbf{Q} \subset \mathbf{Q}_{1}^{\mathrm{gp}}$ be the saturation of $\mathrm{Q}_{1}$. We define a monoid homomorphism $\mathrm{Q}_{1} \rightarrow \mathrm{~B}_{1}$ by $e_{w} \mapsto w \cdot f(s)$ and $e_{s} \mapsto s$. We define $\mathrm{B}=\mathrm{B}_{1} \otimes_{\mathrm{A}\left[\mathrm{O}_{1}\right]} \mathrm{A}[\mathrm{Q}], \mathrm{Y}=\operatorname{Spec} \mathrm{B}$ and define a $\log$ structure $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{Y}}$ on Y by $\mathrm{Q} \rightarrow \mathrm{B}$. Then similarly as in the case (8.2.4.1), we see that Y is log regular and is equal to the normalization of X in V .
(8.2.4.4') Let $c \in \mathrm{~A}, 0 \leq m_{i} \leq e_{i}^{\prime}$ be integers and we put $b=c \prod_{i} t_{i}^{m_{i}}$ and $a=$ $1-b$. We assume that $m_{i}<e_{i}^{\prime}$ for at least one $i$ and $p$ divides $m_{i}=p \cdot m_{i}^{\prime}$ for every $i$. We also assume that $\left.d c\right|_{\mathrm{C}}$ is not 0 at $x$. We put $t=1-\prod_{i} t_{i}^{m_{i}^{\prime}} s$. Then, the equation $t^{p}=a$ is equivalent to $\left(1-\prod_{i} t_{i}^{m_{i}^{\prime}} s\right)^{p}=1-\prod_{i} t_{i}^{m_{i}} c$. We define a polynomial $g \in \mathrm{~A}[\mathrm{~S}]$ of degree $p$ by $g=\left(\mathrm{S}-\prod_{i} t_{i}^{-m_{i}^{\prime}}\right)^{p}+\prod_{i} t_{i}^{-m_{i}}$. Then, as in the case (8.2.4.2), $\mathrm{B}=\mathrm{A}[s] /(g(s)+c)$ is the normalization of A . The open subscheme V of $\mathrm{Y}=\operatorname{Spec} \mathrm{B}$ is the complement of a divisor with simple normal crossing.

Since $\left(\mathrm{Y}, \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{Y}}\right)$ is log regular, we have $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{Y}}=j_{*} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{V}}^{\times} \cap \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Y}}$.
We consider the sheaf of differential forms $\Omega_{\mathrm{Y}, \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{Y}} / \mathrm{S}}^{1}$ defined with respect to the log structure $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{Y}}$ and the trivial $\log$ structure on S .

Corollary 8.2.8 (Cf. [38, Lemma 1]). - Let the notation and the assumption be as in Proposition 8.2.7 and $\sigma$ be a generator of $\mathrm{Gal}(\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U})$. Let $\mathcal{I}_{\sigma}$ denote the ideal sheaf defining the fixed part $\mathrm{Y}^{\sigma} \subset \mathrm{Y}$. Then, we have the following.

1. For each geometric point $\bar{y}$ of the fixed part $\mathrm{Y}^{\sigma}$, the action of $\sigma$ on the stalk $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{Y}, \bar{y}} / \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Y}, \bar{y}}^{\times}$is trivial.
2. We define an ideal sheaf $\mathcal{J}_{\sigma}$ of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Y}}$ to be that generated by $\mathcal{I}_{\sigma}$ and $\sigma(b) / b-1$ for $b \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{Y}}$. Then, $\mathcal{J}_{\sigma}$ is an invertible ideal defined by an effective Cartier divisor $\mathrm{D}_{\sigma}$. Further, we have
(8.2.8.1) $\quad \pi^{*} \mathrm{D}_{\chi}=p \mathrm{D}_{\sigma}$.
3. We put $\mathrm{D}^{\prime}=(p-1) \mathrm{D}_{\sigma}$. Then, the coherent $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Y}}$-module $\Omega_{\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{X}}^{1}(\log / \log )$ defined by $\Omega_{\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{X}}^{1}(\log / \log )=\operatorname{Coker}\left(\pi^{*} \Omega_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}}^{1}(\log \mathrm{D}) \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathrm{Y}, \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{Y}} / \mathrm{S}}^{1}\right)$ is an invertible $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{D}^{\prime}-\text { module }}$.
4. Define an $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Y}}$-linear surjection $\varphi_{\sigma}: \Omega_{\mathrm{Y}, \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{Y}} / \mathrm{S}}^{1} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}_{\sigma} / \mathcal{J}_{\sigma}^{2}$ by $\varphi_{\sigma}(d a)=\sigma(a)-a$ and $\varphi_{\sigma}(d \log b)=\sigma(b) / b-1$. Then, it induces an isomorphism $\Omega_{\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{X}}^{1}(\log / \log ) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Y}}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{D}_{\sigma}} \rightarrow$ $\mathcal{J}_{\sigma} / \mathcal{J}_{\sigma}^{2}=\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{D}_{\sigma}}\left(-\mathrm{D}_{\sigma}\right)$.
5. We put $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{Y}}=\left(\pi^{*} \mathrm{E}\right)_{\text {red }}$ where $\mathrm{E}=\sum_{i, r_{i}>0} \mathrm{D}_{i}$ denotes the support of $\mathrm{D}_{\chi}$. Then, the sequence (8.2.8.2)

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{Y}}}\left(-\pi^{*} \mathrm{D}_{\chi}\right) & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{rsw} \chi} \pi^{*} \Omega_{\mathrm{X}}^{1}(\log \mathrm{D}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Y}}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{Y}}} \\
& \longrightarrow \quad \Omega_{\mathrm{Y}, \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{Y}}}^{1} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Y}}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{Y}}} \quad \xrightarrow{\varphi_{\sigma}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{Y}}}\left(-\mathrm{D}_{\sigma}\right) \rightarrow 0
\end{aligned}
$$

is exact.

Proof. - Since the assertions are local, it suffices to consider the cases (8.2.4.1)(8.2.4.3) or (8.2.4.4') respectively.

1. Let $\pi: \mathrm{Y} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}$ be the canonical map. Since the canonical map $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathrm{X}, \pi(\bar{y})} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathrm{Y}, \bar{y}}$ induces an isomorphism $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathrm{X}, \pi \overline{\mathrm{V}})}^{\mathrm{gp}} \otimes \mathbf{Q} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathrm{Y}, \overline{\mathfrak{y}}}^{\mathrm{gp}} \otimes \mathbf{Q}$, the assertion follows.

2 and 3. We take the notation in the proof of Proposition 8.2.7.
(8.2.4.1) The ideal $\mathcal{J}_{\sigma}$ is generated by $\sigma(x) / x-1$ for $x \in$ Q. Hence, it is generated by $\zeta_{p}-1$. We have $\mathrm{D}_{\sigma}=\operatorname{div}\left(\zeta_{p}-1\right)$ and $\mathrm{D}_{\chi}=p \cdot \operatorname{div}\left(\zeta_{p}-1\right)$ by Proposition 8.2.7.

The $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Y}}$-module $\Omega_{\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{X}}^{1}(\log / \log )$ is generated by $d \log t$ and the annihilator is $(p)$. We have $\operatorname{div} p=(p-1) \cdot \operatorname{div}\left(\zeta_{p}-1\right)$.
(8.2.4.2) The ideal $\mathcal{J}_{\sigma}$ is generated by $\sigma(t)-t=\left(\zeta_{p}-1\right) t$. Since $t$ is a unit, it is generated by $\zeta_{p}-1$. We also have $\mathrm{D}_{\sigma}=\operatorname{div}\left(\zeta_{p}-1\right)$ and $\mathrm{D}_{\chi}=p \cdot \operatorname{div}\left(\zeta_{p}-1\right)$ by Proposition 8.2.7.

The $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Y}}$-module $\Omega_{\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{X}}^{1}(\log / \log )$ is generated by $d t$ and the annihilator is $(p)$. We have $\operatorname{div} p=(p-1) \cdot \operatorname{div}\left(\zeta_{p}-1\right)$.
(8.2.4.3) The ideal $\mathcal{J}_{\sigma}$ is generated by $\sigma(s) / s-1$. By $s=z /(1-t)$, we have $\sigma(s) / s-1=(1-t) /\left(1-\zeta_{p} t\right)-1=\left(\zeta_{p}-1\right) t /\left(1-\zeta_{p} t\right)=z t /(1-t-z t)$. Since $1-t=z / s$, it is further equal to $z t /(z / s-z t)=s t /(1-s t)$. Since $1-s t$ and $t$ are unit, the ideal $\mathcal{J}_{\sigma}$ is generated by $(s)$. Since $\left(s^{p}\right)=\left(z^{p} / b\right)$, we have $p \cdot \mathrm{D}_{\sigma}=\pi^{*} \mathrm{D}_{\chi}$.

We put $g=\mathrm{S}^{p}-\frac{z^{p}}{b} f(\mathrm{~S}) \in \mathrm{A}[\mathrm{S}]$. Then, the $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Y}}$-module $\Omega_{\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{X}}^{1}(\log / \log )$ is generated by $d \log s$ and the relation is given by $p \cdot d \log s=d \log f(s)$ and $g^{\prime}(s) \cdot s \cdot d \log s=0$. Since $g^{\prime}(s)=p s^{p-1}-\frac{z^{p}}{b} f^{\prime}(s)=s^{p-1}\left(p-s \cdot f^{\prime}(s) / f(s)\right)$, the annihilator is $\left(p-s \cdot f^{\prime}(s) / f(s)\right)$. Since $g^{\prime}(s)=\prod_{i=1}^{p-1}\left(s-\sigma^{i}(s)\right)$ and $\operatorname{div}\left(1-\sigma^{i}(s) / s\right)=\mathrm{D}_{\sigma}$ for each $i$, we have $\operatorname{div}(p-s$. $\left.f^{\prime}(s) / f(s)\right)=(p-1) \cdot \mathrm{D}_{\sigma}$.
(8.2.4.4') The ideal $\mathcal{J}_{\sigma}$ is generated by $\sigma(s)-s$. By $t=1-\prod_{i} t_{i}^{m_{i}^{\prime}} s$, we have $\sigma(s)-$ $s=\left(\zeta_{p}-1\right) t / \prod_{i} t_{i}^{m_{i}^{\prime}}$. Since $t$ is a unit, the ideal $\mathcal{J}_{\sigma}$ is generated by $\left(z / \prod_{i} t_{i}^{m_{i}^{\prime}}\right.$. Thus, we have $p \cdot \mathrm{D}_{\sigma}=\pi^{*} \mathrm{D}_{\chi}$.

The $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Y}}$-module $\Omega_{\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{X}}^{1}(\log / \log )$ is generated by $d s$ and the annihilator is $\left(g^{\prime}(s)\right)$. Since $g^{\prime}(s)=\prod_{i=1}^{p-1}\left(s-\sigma^{i}(s)\right)$ and $\operatorname{div}\left(s-\sigma^{i}(s)\right)=\mathbf{D}_{\sigma}$ for each $i$, we have $\operatorname{div} g^{\prime}(s)=$ $(p-1) \cdot \mathrm{D}_{\sigma}$.
4. By the assertion 3, the map $\varphi_{\sigma}: \Omega_{\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{X}}^{1}(\log / \log ) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Y}}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{D}_{\sigma}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{D}_{\sigma}}\left(-\mathrm{D}_{\sigma}\right)$ is a surjection of invertible $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{D}_{\sigma}}$-modules and hence is an isomorphism.
5. It follows from 4 that the sequence (8.2.8.2) is exact at $\Omega_{\mathrm{Y}, \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{Y}}}^{1} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Y}}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{Y}}}$ and at $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{Y}}}\left(-\mathrm{D}_{\sigma}\right)$. Hence, the kernel of the map in the middle is an invertible $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{E}_{Y}}$-module. By the assumption that $\theta$ is clean, the map rsw $\theta$ is a locally split injection. The composition $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{Y}}}\left(-\pi^{*} \mathrm{D}_{\chi}\right) \rightarrow \pi^{*} \Omega_{\mathrm{X}}^{1}(\log \mathrm{D}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Y}}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{Y}}} \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathrm{Y}, \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{Y}}}^{1} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Y}}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{Y}}}$ is the 0-map by (8.1.1.5). Hence, the assertion follows.
8.3. A computation in the rank 1 case. - Let X and $\mathrm{U}=\mathrm{X} \backslash \mathrm{D}$ over $\mathrm{S}=\operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{K}}$ be as in the previous subsection. We briefly recall the definition of the 0 -cycle class $c_{\mathcal{F}}$ in [24] for a smooth sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ of $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}$-vector spaces of rank 1 on U . Let $\mathrm{D}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{D}_{n}$ be the irreducible components of D and let $\mathrm{E}=\sum_{r_{i}>0} \mathrm{D}_{i} \subset \mathrm{X}$ be the support of the Swan divisor $\mathrm{D}_{\chi}=\sum_{i} r_{i} \mathrm{D}_{i}$. We put $n=\operatorname{dim} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{K}}+1$. The divisor E is supported on the closed fiber $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{F}}$. Hence, the coherent $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{E}}$-module $\Omega_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}}^{1}(\log \mathrm{D}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{E}}$ is locally free of rank $n$ and the bivariant Chern class $c\left(\Omega_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}}^{1}(\log \mathrm{D}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{E}}\right)$ is defined as an operator $\mathrm{CH}^{*}(\mathrm{E} \rightarrow \mathrm{E})$.

Assume $\chi$ is clean with respect to X . Then, we define the 0 -cycle class $c_{\chi} \in \mathrm{CH}_{0}(\mathrm{E})$ by
(8.3.0.1)

$$
\begin{aligned}
c_{\chi} & =\left\{c\left(\Omega_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}}^{1}(\log \mathrm{D}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{E}}\right)^{*} \cap\left(1+\mathrm{D}_{\chi}\right)^{-1} \cap \mathrm{D}_{\chi}\right\}_{\operatorname{dim} 0} \\
& =(-1)^{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{m} r_{i} \cdot c_{n-1}\left(\operatorname{Coker}\left(\operatorname{rsw}_{i}(\chi)\right)\right) \cap\left[\mathrm{D}_{i}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

By [24, Theorem 5.2], the cycle classes $c_{\chi}$ define an element of $\mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\partial_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathrm{U}\right)$ for the finite étale Galois covering $\mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}$ trivializing $\chi$, if $\operatorname{dim} \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{K}} \leq 1$.

We fix an isomorphism $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}^{\times} \rightarrow \mathbf{Q} / \mathbf{Z}\left[\frac{1}{\ell}\right] \subset \mathbf{Q} / \mathbf{Z}$. For a character $\chi \in \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(\mathrm{U}, \mathbf{Q} / \mathbf{Z}\left[\frac{1}{\ell}\right]\right)$ of order prime to $\ell$, let $\mathcal{F}_{\chi}$ denote the corresponding locally constant constructible sheaf of $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}$-vector spaces of rank 1 on U .

Conjecture 8.3.1. - Let X be a regular flat separated scheme of finite type over S and $\mathrm{U}=\mathrm{X} \backslash \mathrm{D}$ be the complement of a divisor with simple normal crossings. Let $f: \mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}$ be the étale cyclic covering trivializing $\chi$. Assume that $\chi$ is clean with respect to X and that $\chi$ is tamely ramified on the generic fiber.

Then, we have
(8.3.1.1) $\quad \mathrm{Sw}_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathcal{F}_{\chi}=f^{*} c_{\chi}$
in $\mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\partial_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathrm{V}\right)_{\mathbf{Q}\left(\zeta_{p} \infty\right)}$.

We prove a refinement of Conjecture 8.3.1 assuming $\operatorname{dim} \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{K}} \leq 1$ in Theorem 8.3.7 at the end of this section. Similarly as [27, Lemma 5.1.2], Conjecture 8.3.1 implies Conjecture 7.2.8, by Brauer induction.

We show that the class $c_{\chi}$ also satisfies an excision formula.
Lemma 8.3.2. - Let X be a regular flat separated scheme of finite type over S and $\mathrm{U}=\mathrm{X} \backslash \mathrm{D}$ be the complement of a divisor with simple normal crossings. Let $\mathrm{X}_{1}$ be a regular divisor meeting D transversely. We put $\mathrm{U}_{0}=\mathrm{X} \backslash\left(\mathrm{D} \cap \mathrm{X}_{1}\right)$ and $\mathrm{U}_{1}=\mathrm{U} \cap \mathrm{X}_{1}$.

Let $\chi$ be a character on U and let $\chi_{0}=\left.\chi\right|_{\mathrm{U}_{0}}$ and $\chi_{1}=\left.\chi\right|_{\mathrm{U}_{1}}$ be the restrictions. Assume that both $\chi$ and $\chi_{0}$ are clean with respect to X . Then $\chi_{1}$ is also clean with respect to $\mathrm{X}_{1}$ and we have

$$
c_{\chi}=c_{\chi_{0}}+c_{\chi_{1}}
$$

Proof. - The union $\mathrm{D}^{\prime}=\mathrm{D} \cup \mathrm{X}_{1}$ is a divisor of X with simple normal crossings and the intersection $\mathrm{D}_{1}=\mathrm{D} \cap \mathrm{X}_{1}$ is a divisor of $\mathrm{X}_{1}$ with simple normal crossings. Let $\mathrm{D}_{i}$ be an irreducible component of E and we put $\mathrm{C}_{i}=\mathrm{D}_{i} \cap \mathrm{X}_{1}$. The image of the map $\Omega_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}}^{1}(\log \mathrm{D}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{C}_{i}} \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}}^{1}\left(\log \mathrm{D}^{\prime}\right) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{C}_{i}}$ is canonically identified with $\Omega_{\mathrm{X}_{1} / \mathrm{S}}^{1}\left(\log \mathrm{D}_{1}\right) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{x}_{1}}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{C}_{i}}$. Hence, if both $\chi$ and $\chi_{0}$ are clean, then $\chi$ is strongly clean on a neighborhood of $\mathrm{C}_{i}$ in the terminology of [21, Definition (7.4)]. Thus, by [21, Theorem (9.1)], $\chi_{1}$ is strongly clean with respect to $X_{1}$ and $D_{\chi_{1}}$ is the pull-back of $D_{\chi}$.

We put $\mathrm{E}_{1}=\mathrm{E} \cap \mathrm{X}_{1}$. Then, by the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}}^{1}(\log \mathrm{D}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{E}} \rightarrow$ $\Omega_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}}^{1}\left(\log \mathrm{D}^{\prime}\right) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{E}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{E}_{1}} \rightarrow 0$, the difference $c_{\chi}-c_{\chi_{0}}$ is equal to

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left\{c\left(\Omega_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}}^{1}(\log \mathrm{D}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{E}}\right)^{*} \cap\left(1+\mathrm{D}_{\chi}\right)^{-1} \cap \mathrm{D}_{\chi}\right\}_{\operatorname{dim} 0} \\
&-\left\{c\left(\Omega_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}}^{1}\left(\log \mathrm{D}^{\prime}\right) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{E}}\right)^{*} \cap\left(1+\mathrm{D}_{\chi}\right)^{-1} \cap \mathrm{D}_{\chi}\right\}_{\operatorname{dim} 0} \\
&=\left\{c\left(\Omega_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}}^{1}(\log \mathrm{D}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{E}}\right)^{*} \cap\left(1+\mathrm{D}_{\chi}\right)^{-1} \cap\left(\mathrm{X}_{1} \cap \mathrm{D}_{\chi}\right)\right\}_{\operatorname{dim} 0} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By $\mathrm{D}_{\chi_{1}}=\mathrm{X}_{1} \cap \mathrm{D}_{\chi}$ and by $c\left(\Omega_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}}^{1}(\log \mathrm{D})\right)^{*} \cap \mathrm{X}_{1}=c\left(\Omega_{\mathrm{X}_{1} / \mathrm{S}}^{1}\left(\log \mathrm{D}_{1}\right)\right)^{*}$, the right hand side is equal to $c_{\chi_{1}}$.

Let $\mathrm{U}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}$ be a finite étale morphism tamely ramified with respect to X and let $\mathrm{X}^{\prime}$ be the normalization of X in $\mathrm{U}^{\prime}$. Then, $\mathrm{X}^{\prime}$ has a natural $\log$ structure such that $\mathrm{U}^{\prime}$ is the maximum open subscheme where the log structure is trivial and the map $\mathrm{X}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}$ is $\log$ étale with respect to this $\log$ structure. By taking a regular proper subdivision of the associated fan [25, Section 10], we obtain a log blow-up $\mathrm{X}^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}^{\prime}$ such that $\mathrm{X}^{\prime \prime}$ contains $\mathrm{U}^{\prime}$ as the complement of a divisor with simple normal crossings.

Lemma 8.3.3. - Let X be a regular flat separated scheme of finite type over S and $\mathrm{U}=\mathrm{X} \backslash \mathrm{D}$ be the complement of a divisor with simple normal crossings. Assume $\operatorname{dim} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{K}}=1$. Let $g: \mathrm{U}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}$ be a finite étale morphism tamely ramified with respect to X . Let $\mathrm{X}^{\prime}$ be a $\log$ blow-up of the normalization of X and $\bar{g}: \mathrm{X}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}$ be the canonical map.

Let $\chi$ be a character on U and let $\chi^{\prime}$ be the pull-back to $\mathrm{U}^{\prime}$. Assume that $\chi$ is clean with respect to X . Then $\chi^{\prime}$ is also clean with respect to $\mathrm{X}^{\prime}$ and we have

$$
c_{\chi^{\prime}}=\bar{g}^{*} c_{\chi} .
$$

Proof. - We may assume that the subdivision defining $\mathrm{X}^{\prime}$ induces a subdivision of the fan associated to X and defines a $\log$ blow-up $\mathrm{X}_{1} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}$. The induced map $\mathrm{X}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}_{1}$ is finite. Since $\bar{g}: \mathrm{X}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}$ is $\log$ étale, the map $\bar{g}^{*} \Omega_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}}^{1}(\log \mathrm{D}) \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathrm{X}^{\prime} / \mathrm{S}}^{1}\left(\log \mathrm{D}^{\prime}\right)$ is an isomorphism. At each singular point of $\mathrm{D}, \chi$ is strongly clean with respect to X . Hence, by [21, Theorem (8.1)], the divisor $\mathrm{D}_{\chi_{1}}$ is the pull-back of $\mathrm{D}_{\chi}$ and the divisor $\mathrm{D}_{\chi^{\prime}}$ is also the pull-back of $\mathrm{D}_{\chi}$. Further by [21, Theorem (8.1)], $\chi^{\prime}$ is clean with respect to $\mathrm{X}^{\prime}$ and we have $c_{\chi^{\prime}}=\bar{g}^{*} c_{\chi}$.

In the rest of this section, we assume that K is of characteristic 0 . The case where K is of characteristic $p>0$ is studied similarly as in [27] and in [38].

We first show that the computation in the previous subsection implies Conjecture 8.3.1 for a character of order $p$ under a slightly stronger assumption. Since $\left(\mathrm{Y}, \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{Y}}\right)$ in Proposition 8.2.7 is $\log$ regular, by [25], there exists a $\log$ blow-up $\widetilde{\mathrm{Y}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}$ satisfying the following property: The map $\widetilde{\mathrm{Y}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}$ induces an isomorphism over V and the scheme $\widetilde{\mathrm{Y}}$ is regular and contains $V$ as the complement of a divisor $D_{\widetilde{Y}}$ with simple normal crossings. We regard $\widetilde{\mathrm{Y}}$ as a $\log$ scheme with the $\log$ structure defined by $\mathrm{D}_{\tilde{\mathrm{Y}}}$. Then, the map $\widetilde{\mathrm{Y}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}$ is log étale.

Proposition 8.3.4. - Let X be a regular flat separated scheme of finite type over $\mathrm{S}=\operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{K}}$ and $\mathrm{U}=\mathrm{X} \backslash \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{X}}$ be the complement of a divisor with simple normal crossings. Assume that K contains a primitive $p$-th root $\zeta_{p}$ of 1 . Let $\theta$ be a character of order $p s$-clean with respect to X .

Let $\widetilde{\mathrm{Y}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}$ be a $\log$ blow-up as above and assume that the action of $\mathrm{G}=\mathrm{Gal}(\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}) \simeq \mathbf{Z} / p \mathbf{Z}$ is extended to an action on $\tilde{\mathrm{Y}}$. Let $\sigma$ be a generator of G and assume that $\sigma$ is an admissible automorphism (Definition 5.1.4.2) of $\tilde{\mathrm{Y}}$.

1. We put $c_{\sigma}=\left(\left(\Gamma_{\sigma}, \Delta_{\tilde{Y}}\right)\right)_{\left(\widetilde{\mathrm{Y}} \times \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{S}}\right)^{\sim}} \in \mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\partial_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \tilde{\mathrm{Y}}\right)$. Then, we have

$$
c_{\sigma}=\left\{c^{*}\left(\Omega_{\widetilde{\mathrm{Y}} / \mathrm{S}}^{1}\left(\log \mathrm{D}_{\tilde{\mathrm{Y}}}\right)\right) \cdot\left(1+\mathrm{D}_{\sigma}\right)^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{D}_{\sigma}\right\}_{\operatorname{dim} 0} .
$$

2. Let $\pi: \widetilde{\mathrm{Y}} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}$ be the canonical map. Then, we have
(8.3.4.1) $\quad \mathrm{Sw}_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}, \tilde{\mathrm{Y}}}^{\mathbf{Z}} \mathcal{F}_{\theta}=\pi^{*} c_{\theta}$
in $F_{0} G\left(\partial_{V / U} \tilde{Y}\right)$.
Proof. - 1. This is Lemma 5.1.5.2.
3. Since $\widetilde{\mathrm{Y}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}$ is log étale, we have an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{E}_{\tilde{\mathrm{Y}}}}\left(-\tilde{\pi}^{*} \mathrm{D}_{\theta}\right) \rightarrow$ $\Omega_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}}\left(\log \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{X}}\right) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{E}_{\tilde{\mathrm{Y}}}} \rightarrow \Omega_{\widetilde{\mathrm{Y}} / \mathrm{S}}\left(\log \mathrm{D}_{\widetilde{\mathrm{Y}}}\right) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{E}_{\tilde{\mathrm{Y}}}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{E}_{\widetilde{\mathrm{Y}}}}\left(-\mathrm{D}_{\sigma}\right) \rightarrow 0$ by Corollary 8.2.8.2. Hence the ratio of the total Chern classes $c\left(\Omega_{\widetilde{\mathrm{Y}} / \mathrm{S}}\left(\log \mathrm{D}_{\widetilde{\mathrm{Y}}}\right) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{E}_{\tilde{\mathrm{Y}}}}\right) \cdot c\left(\Omega_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}}\left(\log \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{X}}\right) \otimes\right.$
$\left.\left.\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{E}}\right)\right)^{-1}$ is equal to $\left(1-\mathrm{D}_{\sigma}\right)\left(1-\pi^{*} \mathrm{D}_{\theta}\right)^{-1}$. Thus, by the equality $\pi^{*} \mathrm{D}_{\theta}=p \mathrm{D}_{\sigma}(8.2 .8 .1)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\pi^{*} c_{\theta} & =\pi^{*}\left\{c^{*}\left(\Omega_{\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}}^{1}\left(\log \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{X}}\right)\right) \cdot\left(1+\pi^{*} \mathrm{D}_{\theta}\right)^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{D}_{\theta}\right\}_{\operatorname{dim} 0} \\
& =\left\{c^{*}\left(\Omega_{\tilde{\mathrm{Y}} / \mathrm{S}}^{1}\left(\log \mathrm{D}_{\tilde{\mathrm{Y}}}\right)\right) \cdot\left(1+\pi^{*} \mathrm{D}_{\sigma}\right)^{-1} \cdot p \cdot \mathrm{D}_{\sigma}\right\}_{\operatorname{dim} 0} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By 1 , the right hand side is equal to $p \cdot c_{\sigma}$.
Since $c_{\sigma^{i}}=c_{\sigma}$ for every $i \in(\mathbf{Z} / p \mathbf{Z})^{\times}$, the integral Swan class $\mathrm{Sw}_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}, \tilde{\mathrm{Y}}} \mathcal{F}_{\theta}$ is equal to $p \cdot s_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}}(\sigma)=-p \cdot c_{\sigma}$. Thus the assertion follows.

We recall an induction step from [38], which will be used in the proof of Theorem 8.3.7 below.

Lemma 8.3.5 (Cf. [38, Lemma 2]). - Let X be a regular scheme and U be the complement of a divisor with simple normal crossings. Let $\chi, \theta \in \mathrm{H}^{1}(\mathbf{U}, \mathbf{Q} / \mathbf{Z})$ be characters clean with respect to X . Assume $\theta$ is of order $p$ and s-clean. Let $\mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}$ be the cyclic covering of degree $p$ trivializing $\theta$ and Y be the normalization of X in V with the $\log$ structure defined by V . Let $\widetilde{\mathrm{Y}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}$ be the $\log$ blow-up defined by a regular proper subdivision of the fan of Y and $\pi: \widetilde{\mathrm{Y}} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}$ be the canonical map.

Assume $\chi$ is clean with respect to X and the pull-back $\chi^{\prime}=\pi^{*} \chi$ is clean with respect to $\widetilde{\mathrm{Y}}$. Assume further that the following condition is satisfied:
(8.3.5.1) For $\mathbf{D}_{\chi}=\sum_{i} r_{i} \mathbf{D}_{i}$ and $\mathbf{D}_{\theta}=\sum_{i} s_{i} \mathbf{D}_{i}$, the condition $r_{i}=0$ implies $s_{i}=0$, and the condition $r_{i}>0$ implies $r_{i}>s_{i}$.

Then, we have
(8.3.5.2) $\quad \pi^{*} c_{\chi}=c_{\chi^{\prime}}+\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{U}_{1} / V, \mathrm{Y}_{1}}^{\log }$
in $\mathrm{CH}_{0}\left(\mathrm{E}_{\widetilde{\mathrm{Y}}}\right)$.
The proof is the same as [38, Lemma 2] by using the exact sequence (8.2.8.2) and we omit it.

Corollary 8.3.6. - We keep the notation and the assumptions in Lemma 8.3.5 except that we do not assume (8.3.5.1). Assume further that $\chi$ is of order $n=m p$ and $\theta=m \cdot \chi$. Assume that the Swan class $\mathrm{Sw}_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}_{1}, \mathrm{Y}}^{\mathrm{Z}} \mathcal{F}_{\chi^{\prime}}$ is defined integrally and that we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Sw}_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}_{1}, \mathrm{Y}} \mathcal{F}_{\chi^{\prime}}=\bar{g}^{*} c_{\chi^{\prime}} \tag{8.3.6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, the Swan class $\mathrm{Sw}_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}, \mathrm{Y}} \mathcal{F}_{\chi}$ is also defined integrally and we have
(8.3.6.2) $\quad \mathrm{Sw}_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}, \mathrm{Y}}^{\mathrm{Z}} \mathcal{F}_{\chi}=\bar{f}^{*} c_{\chi}$.

Proof. - By the definition of the Swan class and by $s_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}}\left(\sigma^{i}\right)=s_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}}(\sigma)$ for an integer prime to $p$, we obtain

$$
\mathrm{Sw}_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}, \mathrm{Y}}^{\mathbf{Z}} \mathcal{F}_{\chi}-\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}, \mathrm{Y}}^{\log }=\mathrm{Sw}_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}_{1}, \mathrm{Y}}^{\mathbf{Z}} \mathcal{F}_{\chi_{1}}-\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}_{1}, \mathrm{Y}}^{\log }
$$

By $\theta=m \cdot \chi$, the assumption (8.3.5.1) is satisfied. Hence, by applying Lemma 8.3.5, we obtain $\bar{f}^{*} c_{\chi}=\bar{g}^{*} c_{\chi_{1}}+\bar{g}^{*} \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{U}_{1} / V, Y_{1}}^{\log }$. Thus, it follows from the assumption (8.3.6.1) and the chain rule $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{U} / \mathrm{V}, \mathrm{Y}}^{\log }=\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{U} / \mathrm{U}_{1}, \mathrm{Y}}^{\log }+\bar{g}^{*} \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{U}_{1} / \mathrm{V}, \mathrm{Y}_{1}}^{\log }$.

In the rest of the paper, we consider the case $\operatorname{dim} \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{K}}=1$. In this case, the strong form of resolution of singularity is known and consequently the Swan class $\mathrm{Sw}_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}}^{\mathbf{Z}} \mathcal{F}$ is defined integrally as an element of $\mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\partial_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathrm{V}\right)$.

Theorem 8.3.7. - Assume K is of characteristic 0 . Let U be a regular flat separated scheme of finite type over S such that $\operatorname{dim} \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{K}}=1$. Let $\mathcal{F}=\mathcal{F}_{\chi}$ be a locally constant constructible sheaf of $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}$-vector spaces of rank 1 and $\chi \in \mathrm{H}^{1}(\mathrm{U}, \mathbf{Q} / \mathbf{Z})$ be the corresponding character. Let $f: \mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}$ be the cyclic covering trivializing $\chi$. Then, we have
(8.3.7.1) $\quad\left[\mathrm{K}\left(\zeta_{p}\right): \mathrm{K}\right] \cdot \mathrm{Sw}_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}}^{\mathbf{Z}} \mathcal{F}_{\chi}=\left[\mathrm{K}\left(\zeta_{p}\right): \mathrm{K}\right] \cdot f^{*} c_{\chi}$
in $\mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{G}\left(\partial_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}} \mathrm{V}\right)$.
Proof. - Let $\chi^{\prime}$ be the $p$-primary part of $\chi$ and $\mathrm{V}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}$ be the cyclic covering trivializing $\chi^{\prime}$ and let $\pi: \mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{V}^{\prime}$ be the canonical map. Then, since $\mathrm{Sw}_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}}^{\mathrm{Z}} \mathcal{F}_{\chi}=$ $\pi^{*} \mathrm{Sw}_{\mathrm{V}^{\prime} / \mathrm{U}}^{\mathbf{Z}} \mathcal{F}_{\chi^{\prime}}$ and $c_{\chi}=c_{\chi^{\prime}}$, we may assume that the order of $\chi$ is a power of $p$.

We show that we may assume $\mathrm{U}=\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{K}}$. Let X be a proper regular flat scheme over S containing U as the complement of a divisor D with simple normal crossings. By blowing up some closed points in the closed fiber of $X$, we may assume that $U_{K} \subset X$ is the complement of a divisor with simple normal crossings. We show the claim by the induction on the number of irreducible components of $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{F}}$.

If the number is 0 , then $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{F}}$ is empty and there is nothing to prove. Let C be an irreducible component of $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{F}}$. Let $\chi_{0}$ be the restriction of $\chi$ to $\mathrm{U}_{0}=\mathrm{U} \backslash \mathrm{C}$. By blowing up X at the boundary of C , we may assume that both $\chi$ and $\chi_{0}$ are clean with respect to X . Then, by the excision formulas Proposition 7.2.5.2 and Lemma 8.3.2, the equality (8.3.1.1) for $\chi_{0}$ is equivalent to that for $\chi$. Thus, by the induction, the claim is proved.

We assume $\mathrm{U}=\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{K}}$. By taking the base change to $\mathrm{K}\left(\zeta_{p}\right)$ and by applying Corollary 5.4.2 and Lemma 8.3.3, we may assume that K contains a primitive $p$-th root of 1 .

Assume $\chi$ is of order $p$. Then, by Lemma 8.2.6.4, we may assume $\chi$ is $s$-clean with respect to X by replacing X by a blow-up. Then, it follows from Proposition 8.3.4.

Assume $\chi$ is of order $p^{n}$ and we prove the assertion by induction on $n \geq 1$. Similarly as above, we may assume that $\theta=p^{n-1} \chi$ is s-clean. Let $\mathrm{U}_{1} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}$ be the cyclic covering of degree $p$ and $g: \mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}_{1}$ be the canonical map. Let $\mathrm{Y}_{1}$ be the normalization of X in $\mathrm{U}_{1}$
and $\widetilde{\mathrm{Y}}_{1} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}_{1}$ be a blow-up as in Corollary 8.3.6. Let $\pi: \widetilde{\mathrm{Y}}_{1} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}$ be the canonical map. Then, further similarly as above, we may assume that $\chi^{\prime}=\pi^{*} \chi$ is clean with respect to $\widetilde{\mathrm{Y}}$. Then, by the induction hypothesis, we have $\mathrm{Sw}_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U}_{1}, \tilde{\mathrm{Y}}}^{\mathbf{Z}} \mathcal{F}_{\chi^{\prime}}=g^{*} c_{\chi^{\prime}}$. Thus it follows from Corollary 8.3.6.

We deduce the integrality of the Swan classes and the conjecture of Serre under the assumption $\operatorname{dim} \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{K}} \leq 1$.

Corollary 8.3.8. - 1. Let U be a regular flat separated scheme of finite type over $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{K}}$. If $\operatorname{dim} \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{K}} \leq 1$, Conjecture 7.2.8 is true.
2. For a regular local ring A of $\operatorname{dim} \mathrm{A} \leq 2$, Conjecture 7.2.9 is true.

Proof. - 1. By the Brauer induction and by the induction formula Proposition 7.2.6, we may assume $\operatorname{rank} \mathcal{F}=1$. Then, it follows from Theorem 8.3.7.
2. Since the positive characteristic case is proved in [28], it follows from 1 and Lemma 7.2.10.

As in the classical ramification theory, our proof of the integrality Conjecture 7.2.8 is by the reduction to the rank 1 case using Brauer induction.
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